

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**VOLUME ESTIMATES FOR REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A
KAEHLER MANIFOLD WITH STRICTLY POSITIVE
HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL AND ANTIHOLOMORPHIC
RICCI CURVATURES**

FERNANDO GIMÉNEZ AND VICENTE MIQUEL MOLINA

VOLUME ESTIMATES FOR REAL HYPERSURFACES
 OF A KAEHLER MANIFOLD
 WITH STRICTLY POSITIVE HOLOMORPHIC SECTIONAL
 AND ANTIHOLOMORPHIC RICCI CURVATURES

FERNANDO GIMÉNEZ AND VICENTE MIQUEL

We give some inequalities for the volume of a connected compact real hypersurface of a compact Kaehler manifold with strictly positive holomorphic sectional and antiholomorphic Ricci curvatures and prove that some of the corresponding equalities characterize the geodesic spheres in $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$.

1. Introduction. Let M be an n -dimensional connected compact Riemannian manifold M . Let P be a connected compact hypersurface of M . Suppose that the Ricci curvature of M is bounded from below by a real number $(n - 1)\lambda$, $\lambda > 0$. Let Λ be an upper bound of the norm of the mean curvature of P . Heintze and Karcher ([H-K]) proved that the following inequality holds:

$$(1.1) \quad \text{vol}(M) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(S_\lambda^n)}{\text{vol}(S_{\lambda+\Lambda^2}^{n-1})} \text{vol}(P),$$

where S_k^q denotes the q -sphere of constant sectional curvature k .

Moreover they showed that equality in (1.1) implies that both M and P are of constant curvature.

Observe that $S_{\lambda+\Lambda^2}^{n-1}$ is isometric to a geodesic sphere of S_λ^n of radius r given by

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda + \Lambda^2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}r), \quad 0 \leq r \leq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\lambda}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda}$ be the family of pairs (P, M) of connected compact Riemannian manifolds satisfying the hypothesis in the above statement of the Heintze-Karcher's result. Let us consider the function "relative volume" $\mathcal{V}: \mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $\mathcal{V}(P, M) = (\text{vol}(P))/(\text{vol}(M))$. Then Heintze-Karcher's theorem is equivalent to: "For every triad (n, λ, Λ) , the function \mathcal{V} defined on $\mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda}$ has a minimum $C(n, \lambda, \Lambda) = \mathcal{V}(S_{\lambda+\Lambda^2}^{n-1}, S_\lambda^n)$, and this is the only pair on which the minimum is attained".

Then it seems to be interesting to find subsets $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda}$ on which there is a lower bound B of \mathcal{V} , $B > C(n, \lambda, \Lambda)$, to study if the bound B is a minimum of \mathcal{V} on \mathcal{B} and, if this is the case, to know the elements in \mathcal{B} realizing this minimum. We look for these subsets \mathcal{B} in $\mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda} \cap \mathcal{H}_n$, where \mathcal{H}_n is the family of pairs (P, M) such that M is a connected compact Kaehler manifold of real dimension $2n$ and P is a connected compact real hypersurface of M .

The choice of \mathcal{B} requires a bit of reflexion. We expect \mathcal{V} to have a minimum on \mathcal{B} and, if it is possible, to characterize the pairs on which this minimum is attained. In Heintze-Karcher's result, the set $\mathcal{R}_{n,\lambda,\Lambda}$ is determined by a bound λ of a trace of the curvature operator of M and a bound Λ of the trace of the Weingarten map of P . Then the equality in (1.1) implies the equality of those traces with their bounds, and this makes the respective operators to be a multiple of the identity. Then the equality implies M is of constant sectional curvature and P is umbilical. Since there is no nonflat Kaehler manifold of constant sectional curvature, it is unlikely to find good results on sets determined by a bound of the Ricci curvature. On the other hand, it seems natural to expect the minima in some subsets of \mathcal{H}_n to be among the nonflat Kaehler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, but there is no umbilical real hypersurface in such Kaehler manifolds. All this forces us to choose carefully the invariants related to the curvature of M and the Weingarten map of P to be bounded to determine \mathcal{B} .

We adopt the following definition for the curvature and the tensor of Riemann-Christoffel:

$$R(X, Y)Z = -[\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z,$$

and

$$R_{XYZW} = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle.$$

Let $(M; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle; J)$ be a Kaehler manifold. Recall that a holomorphic plane is that generated by two vectors of the form X, JX , and an antiholomorphic plane is that generated by two vectors, X, Y such that Y is orthogonal to both X and JX .

1.1. DEFINITION. The holomorphic (antiholomorphic) sectional curvature K_H (K_A) of a $2n$ -dimensional Kaehler manifold $(M; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle; J)$ is the restriction of the sectional curvature of M to the holomorphic (antiholomorphic) planes. The antiholomorphic Ricci curvature is the

quadratic form ρ_A defined on T_xM for each $x \in M$ by

$$\rho_A(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{2n-2} R_{Xe_iXe_i}, \quad \text{for every } X \in T_xM,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-2}, e_{2n-1}, Je_{2n-1}\}$ is an orthonormal basis of T_xM such that $e_{2n-1} = X/|X|$.

Observe that ρ_A is a sum of antiholomorphic sectional curvatures. We also remark that $\rho(X, X) = \rho_A(X) + K_H(X)|X|^2$, where ρ is the Ricci curvature of M and $K_H(X)$ is the holomorphic sectional curvature of the plane generated by X and JX .

Bounds on K_H and K_A have been used in [Gr2] for the related problem of getting comparison theorems for the volume of a tube about a complex submanifold of a Kaehler manifold.

1.2. DEFINITION. Let P be a real hypersurface of a Kaehler manifold $(M; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle; J)$ of real dimension $2n$. Let N be a unit vector field normal to P defined on an open U of P . Let L be the Weingarten map of P associated to N . We define the JN -normal curvature of P at $p \in U$, k_{JN} , as the normal curvature of P at p in the direction JN with the orientation given by N , i.e. $k_{JN}(p) = \langle LJN, JN \rangle(p)$. We define the JN -mean curvature of P at p as the real number

$$H_{JN}(p) = \frac{1}{2n-2}(\text{tr } L - K_{JN})(p) = \frac{(2n-1)H - k_{JN}}{2n-2}(p),$$

where $H(p)$ is the mean curvature of P at p .

From now on, unless otherwise stated, M will denote a connected compact Kaehler manifold of real dimension $2n$, with metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and almost-complex structure J . P will denote a connected compact real hypersurface of M .

We will denote by $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ the complex projective space of real dimension $2n$ and holomorphic (antiholomorphic) sectional curvature 4λ (λ). $S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ ($B_r^{\mathbb{C}}$) will denote the geodesic sphere (ball) of radius r in $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$. $T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda) - B_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ will denote the geodesic tube of radius $(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}) - r$ about the complex submanifold $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}(\lambda)$ of $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$.

The main results we shall prove here are

1.3. THEOREM. *Let λ, h, k be positive real numbers. Suppose that, on M , $K_H \geq 4\lambda$, $\rho_A \geq (2n-2)\lambda$. Suppose that, on P , $k_{JN}H_{JN} \geq 0$,*

$|H_{JN}| \leq h$, $|k_{JN}| \leq k$. Then

$$(1.3.1) \quad \text{vol}(M) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda))}{\text{vol}(S_r^{\mathbb{C}})} \text{vol}(P),$$

where $r = \min\{r_k, r_h\}$, and r_k, r_h are defined by $k = 2\sqrt{\lambda}\cot(2\sqrt{\lambda}r_k)$, and $h = \sqrt{\lambda}\cot(\sqrt{\lambda}r_h)$, $0 \leq \sqrt{\lambda}r_h, 2\sqrt{\lambda}r_k \leq \pi/2$. The equality holds if and only if $r_k = r_h$ and there is a holomorphic isometry $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ such that $i(P) = S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Of course, when $n = 1$, (1.1) and (1.3.1) are equivalent.

Let $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h,k}$ be the family of pairs (P, M) with M and P as in 1.3. Let $B(n, \lambda, h, k) = (\text{vol}(S_r^{\mathbb{C}}))/(\text{vol}(\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)))$. Denote $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h,k}$ by $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,r}$ and $B(n, \lambda, h, k)$ by $B(n, \lambda, r)$ when $r_k = r_h = r$ (then $0 \leq r \leq \pi/(4\sqrt{\lambda})$). Then 1.3 says: “ $B(n, \lambda, h, k)$ is a lower bound of \mathcal{V} restricted to $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h,k}$. This bound is a minimum only when $r_k = r_h = r$, and $(S_r^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda))$ is the only pair where this minimum is attained”. Evidently $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,r} \subset \mathcal{X}_{2n,\lambda',\Lambda'}$, where $\lambda' = ((2n+2)/(2n-1))\lambda$, $\Lambda' = ((2n-2)h+k)/(2n-1)$ and, from the Heintze-Karcher’s result, $B(n, \lambda, r) > C(2n, \lambda', \Lambda')$. By continuity it follows that, if (r_k, r_h) is in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of (r, r) , then $B(n, \lambda, h, k) > C(2n, \lambda', \Lambda')$.

1.4. THEOREM. Assume M satisfies the same hypotheses as in 1.3, and that P is orientable. Let $h_i > 0$, k_i ($i = 1, 2$) be real numbers such that $k_1 \leq k_{JN} \leq k_2$, $h_1 \leq H_{JN} \leq h_2$ for a given orientation on P . Then

$$(1.4.1) \quad \text{vol}(M) \leq \left(\frac{\text{vol}(B_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(S_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})} + \frac{\text{vol}(T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(S_{r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})} \right) \text{vol}(P),$$

where $r_1 = \max\{r_{k_1}, r_{h_1}\}$, $r_2 = \min\{r_{k_2}, r_{h_2}\}$ and r_{k_i}, r_{h_i} are defined by $k_i = 2\sqrt{\lambda}\cot(2\sqrt{\lambda}r_{k_i})$ and $h_i = \sqrt{\lambda}\cot(\sqrt{\lambda}r_{h_i})$, $0 < r_{h_i}, r_{k_i} < \pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})$. The equality holds if and only if $r_1 = r_2 = r_{k_i} = r_{h_i} \equiv r$ and there is a holomorphic isometry $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ such that $i(P) = S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Notice that if \mathcal{H} is the harmonic mean of

$$\frac{\text{vol}(S_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(B_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{vol}(S_{r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})},$$

i.e.

$$\frac{2}{\mathcal{H}} = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S_{r_1}^C)/\text{vol}(B_{r_1}^C)} + \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S_{r_2}^C)/\text{vol}(T_{(n/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2}^C)},$$

then (1.4.1) can be written as $(\text{vol}(P)/\text{vol}(M)) \geq (\mathcal{H}/2)$.

The hypothesis of the orientability of P in (1.4.1) is of technical nature: it is necessary to fix the direction of N at any point of P in order to give universal bounds of k_{JN} and H_{JN} .

Let $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h_i,k_i}$ be the family of pairs (P, M) with M and P as in 1.4. Let $B(n, \lambda, h_i, k_i) = \mathcal{H}/2$. Denote this \mathcal{H} and B by $\mathcal{X}'_{n,\lambda,r}$ and $B'(n, \lambda, r)$ when $r_{k_i} = r_{h_i} = r$ (then $0 < r < (\pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda}))$). Then 1.4 says: “ \mathcal{V} restricted to $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h_i,k_i}$ has a lower bound $B(n, \lambda, h_i, k_i)$. This bound is a minimum only when $r_{k_i} = r_{h_i} = r$, and this minimum is attained only on the pair $(S_r^C, \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda))$ ”. For $0 < r \leq \pi/(4\sqrt{\lambda})$ we have $\mathcal{X}'_{n,\lambda,r} \subset \mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,r}$ and $B'(n, \lambda, r) = B(n, \lambda, r)$. We recall that $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,r}$ and $B(n, \lambda, r)$ are not defined for $\pi/(4\sqrt{\lambda}) < r < \pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})$. It is also obvious that $\mathcal{X}_{n,\lambda,h_i,k_i} \subset \mathcal{X}_{2n,\lambda',\Lambda'}$ with $\lambda' = ((2n + 2)/(2n - 1))\lambda$, $\Lambda' = (1/(2n - 1)) \max\{(2n - 2)h_2 + k_2, -(2n - 2)h_1 - k_1\}$, and also, from Heintze-Karcher’s result, $B'(n, \lambda, r) > C(2n, \lambda', \Lambda')$. By continuity it follows that, if $(r_{k_1}, r_{k_2}, r_{h_1}, r_{h_2})$ is in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of (r, r, r, r) , then $B(n, \lambda, h_i, k_i) > C(2n, \lambda', \Lambda')$.

We shall prove these theorems almost simultaneously in three steps. In the first one (§2), we shall obtain a comparison result for the volume element in Fermi coordinates around P , following the ideas in [Gr1]. In a second step (§3) we shall do the corresponding integrations to obtain (1.3.1) and (1.4.1). Then (§4) we discuss the equalities.

Finally, in §5, we state two results on the relative volume of the boundary of a regular domain of a Kaehler manifold which are obtained in the same form as 1.3 and 1.4 and may be useful to get isoperimetric inequalities for Kaehler manifolds.

We wish to thank F. Carreras and O. Gil-Medrano for useful comments. We are specially indebted to A. Montesinos for his constructive criticisms on earlier versions of this paper.

2. The estimates for the volume element.

2.1. For every $p \in P$ and every unit vector $N \in T_pM$ orthogonal to T_pP , let $\gamma_N(s)$ be the geodesic such that $\gamma_N(0) = p, \gamma'_N(0) = N$. Let

$f(N) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \gamma_N(t) \text{ is a focal point of } P\}$. For every $t \in]0, f(N)[$, there is a neighbourhood U of $\gamma_N(t)$ and a neighbourhood V of p in P such that $P(t) = U \cap \{m \in M \mid d(m, V) = t\}$ is a real hypersurface of M . Let $S(t)$ be the Weingarten map of $P(t)$ associated to a unit normal vector field N^t defined on $P(t)$ as an extension of $\gamma'_N(t)$. Then $S(t)$ satisfies the differential equation (see [Gr1]):

$$(2.1.1) \quad S'(t) = S(t)^2 + R(t),$$

where $S'(t) = \nabla_{\gamma'_N(t)} S(t)$, and $R(t)U = R(N^t U)N^t$ for every $U \in T_m P(t)$, $m = \gamma_N(t)$, R being the curvature tensor of M .

Denote by $(\mathcal{S}N P)$ $\mathcal{N}P$ the (unit) normal bundle of P in M . Let ω be the riemannian volume element of M , and dp that of P . Let $\theta_N(p, t)$ be the real function on $\{(p, N, t) \in \mathcal{S}N P \times \mathbf{R} : 0 \leq t < f(N)\}$ defined by $\omega(\gamma_N(t)) = \theta_N(p, t) dp \wedge dt$. Then θ_N satisfies the differential equation (see [Gr1]):

$$(2.1.2) \quad \frac{\theta'_N(p, t)}{\theta_N(p, t)} = -\operatorname{tr} S(t).$$

2.2. PROPOSITION. *Suppose that, on M , $K_H \geq 4\lambda$, $\rho_A \geq (2n - 2)\lambda$ ($\lambda > 0$). Denote by $\mathcal{N}_p P$ ($\mathcal{S}N_p P$) the fibre of $\mathcal{N}P$ ($\mathcal{S}N P$) at p . For each $p \in P$ and $N \in \mathcal{S}N_p P$, let $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of $T_p P$ such that $e_{2n-1} = JN$, and let $\{E_i(t)\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$ be parallel vector fields along $\gamma_N(t)$ such that $E_i(0) = e_i$ (this implies $E_{2n-1}(t) = J\gamma'_N(t)$). Then, if L is the Weingarten map of P at p associated with the orientation given by N , and $L_{ij} = \langle Le_i, e_j \rangle$, we have*

$$(2.2.1) \quad \theta_N(p, t) \leq \mu_N(\lambda, p, t), \quad \text{where}$$

$$(2.2.2) \quad \mu_N(\lambda, p, t) = \left(\cos 2\sqrt{\lambda}t - k_{JN}(p) \frac{\sin 2\sqrt{\lambda}t}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \right) \times \left(\cos \sqrt{\lambda}t - H_{JN}(p) \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda}t}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \right)^{2n-2}$$

The equality in (2.2.1) is attained if and only if $L_{ii} = L_{jj} = \beta$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n - 2$, and with respect to $\{E_i(t)\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$, $S(t)$ and $R(t)$ have

the matrix form

$$(2.2.3) \quad S(t) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\delta'_\lambda(\beta, t)}{\delta_\lambda(\beta, t)} & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & -\frac{\delta'_\lambda(\beta, t)}{\delta_\lambda(\beta, t)} & \\ 0 & & & -\frac{\zeta'_\lambda(k_{JN}, t)}{\zeta_\lambda(k_{JN}, t)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$R(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda \\ 0 & & & 4\lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\delta_\lambda(\beta, t) = \cos(\sqrt{\lambda}t) - \frac{\beta}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin(\sqrt{\lambda}t),$$

$$\zeta_\lambda(k_{JN}, t) = \cos(2\sqrt{\lambda}t) - \frac{k_{JN}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin(2\sqrt{\lambda}t),$$

and ' denotes the derivative with respect to t .

Proof. Consider the functions

$$(2.2.4) \quad f_i(t) = \langle S(t)E_i(t), E_i(t) \rangle.$$

Taking the derivative of both sides of (2.2.4), using (2.1.1) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$(2.2.5) \quad f'_i = \langle S'E_i, E_i \rangle = \langle S^2E_i + R(t)E_i, E_i \rangle = \|SE_i\|^2 + \langle R(t)E_i, E_i \rangle$$

$$\geq \langle SE_i, E_i \rangle^2 + \langle R(t)E_i, E_i \rangle = f_i^2 + \langle R(t)E_i, E_i \rangle.$$

But,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} \langle R(t)E_i, E_i \rangle \geq (2n-2)\lambda$$

because, for $i = 1, \dots, 2n-2$, the E_i are perpendicular to both γ'_N and $J\gamma'_N$, and $\rho_A \geq (2n-2)\lambda$. We have also

$$\langle R(t)E_{2n-1}, E_{2n-1} \rangle \geq 4\lambda,$$

since $K_H \geq 4\lambda$. Then, we have the differential inequalities

$$(2.2.6) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} f_i \right)' \geq \frac{1}{2n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} f_i^2 + \lambda$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{1}{2n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} f_i \right)^2 + \lambda;$$

$$(2.2.7) \quad f'_{2n-1} \geq f_{2n-1}^2 + 4\lambda;$$

with

$$(2.2.8) \quad f_i(0) = \langle Le_i, e_i \rangle = L_{ii}, \quad i = 1, \dots, 2n - 1.$$

Then ([Gr1, page 211]),

$$(2.2.9) \quad \frac{1}{2n-2} \sum_{i=1}^{2n-2} f_i(t) \geq \frac{\sqrt{\lambda} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t + H_{JN} \cos \sqrt{\lambda} t}{\cos \sqrt{\lambda} t - \frac{H_{JN}}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} t},$$

$$(2.2.10) \quad f_{2n-1}(t) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{\lambda} \sin 2\sqrt{\lambda} t + k_{JN} \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda} t}{\cos 2\sqrt{\lambda} t - \frac{k_{JN}}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin 2\sqrt{\lambda} t},$$

and the denominators of the right-hand sides of these inequalities are positive from $t = 0$ to the first zero of each one.

Then, from (2.1.2),

$$(2.2.11) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \ln \theta_N(p, t) = -\operatorname{tr} S(t) = -\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} \langle S(t)E_i(t), E_i(t) \rangle \\ \leq \frac{d}{dt} \ln \mu_N(\lambda, p, t).$$

Then $d(\ln(\theta_N(p, t)/\mu_N(\lambda, p, t)))/dt \leq 0$, and $\theta_N(p, t)/\mu_N(\lambda, p, t)$ is a decreasing function of t , whose value for $t = 0$ is 1, whence (2.2.1) follows.

If we have the equality in (2.2.1), then all the inequalities in this proof must be equalities. Equality in (2.2.6) implies $f_i(t) = f_j(t) \equiv \beta(t)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq 2n - 2$, and $\beta(0) = \beta \equiv L_{ii} = L_{jj}$. Equalities in (2.2.5) and (2.2.9) imply that $E_i(t)$ are eigenvectors of $S(t)$ with eigenvalue $-\delta'_\lambda(\beta, t)/\delta_\lambda(\beta, t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n - 2$ and $-\zeta'_\lambda(k_{JN}, t)/\zeta_\lambda(k_{JN}, t)$ for $i = 2n - 1$. This fact, (2.1.1) and the equalities in (2.2.6) and (2.2.7) give the matrix form of $R(t)$. \square

3. Proof of the inequalities (1.3.1) and (1.4.1).

3.1. Let $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{t \in \mathbb{R} : t \geq 0\}$, $\mathbb{R}_*^+ = \mathbb{R}^+ - \{0\}$. For $i = 1, 2$ let $g_i: \mathbb{R}_*^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the functions defined by

$$(3.1.1) \quad g_i(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t) = \zeta_\lambda(\varepsilon_i \alpha, t) \delta_\lambda(\varepsilon_i \beta, t)^{2n-2},$$

with $\varepsilon_1 = +1, \varepsilon_2 = -1$.

In general, given a function $q: X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where X is a given space, we denote by $z(q)$ the function which to every $x \in X$ associates the

first zero of the function $t \rightarrow q(x, t)$. Then we define $g: \mathbb{R}_*^+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(3.1.2) \quad g(\lambda, \alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_0^{z(g_i)} g_i(\lambda, \alpha_i, \beta_i, t) dt,$$

and $f: \mathbb{R}_*^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(3.1.3) \quad f(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) = g(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, \alpha, \beta).$$

3.2. LEMMA. *f is an increasing function of α and β .*

Proof. Since $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we have

$$z(g_1(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t)) \leq \pi/(4\sqrt{\lambda}) \leq z(g_2(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t)).$$

Then from the definition of f :

$$(3.2.1) \quad f(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) = \int_0^{z(g_1)} (g_1 + g_2)(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t) dt \\ + \int_{z(g_1)}^{z(g_2)} g_2(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t) dt.$$

Evidently g_2 is an increasing function of α and β . For $g_1 + g_2$ we have, denoting

$$a = \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda}t, \quad b = (\sin 2\sqrt{\lambda}t)/(2\sqrt{\lambda}),$$

$$\mathbf{a} = \cos \sqrt{\lambda}t \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b} = (\sin \sqrt{\lambda}t)/\sqrt{\lambda},$$

that

$$(g_1 + g_2)(\lambda, \alpha, \beta, t) = (a - \alpha b)(\mathbf{a} - \beta \mathbf{b})^{2n-2} + (a + \alpha b)(\mathbf{a} + \beta \mathbf{b})^{2n-2} \\ = 2a \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n-2}{2j} \mathbf{a}^{2n-2-2j} \mathbf{b}^{2j} \beta^{2j} \\ + 2b \alpha \mathbf{b} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{2n-2}{2j-1} \mathbf{a}^{2n-2-2j} \mathbf{b}^{2j-2} \beta^{2j-1},$$

$$a = \cos 2\sqrt{\lambda}t > 0, \quad \text{for } t < \frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{\lambda}},$$

$$b \alpha \mathbf{b} = \frac{\sin^2 2\sqrt{\lambda}t}{4\lambda} \geq 0.$$

Then $g_1 + g_2$ is increasing in α and β for $0 \leq t < z(g_1)$. Then $\partial(g_1 + g_2)/\partial\alpha > 0$ and $\partial(g_1 + g_2)/\partial\beta > 0$, which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} f(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} \int_0^{z(g_1)} g_1 dt + \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} \int_0^{z(g_2)} g_2 dt \\ &= \int_0^{z(g_1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} (g_1 + g_2) dt + \int_{z(g_1)}^{z(g_2)} \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha} g_2 dt > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and, from a similar computation, $\frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} f(\lambda, \alpha, \beta) > 0$. Then f is an increasing function of α and β . \square

3.3. PROPOSITION. (a) *If M and P satisfy the same conditions as in 1.3 with the same bounds for $K_H, \rho_A, |H_{JN}|$ and $|k_{JN}|$, then*

$$(3.3.1) \quad \text{vol}(M) \leq \int_P f(\lambda, |k_{JN}(p)|, |H_{JN}(p)|) dp \leq f(\lambda, k, h) \text{vol}(P).$$

(b) *If M and P satisfy the same conditions as in 1.4 with the same bounds for K_H, ρ_A, H_{JN} and k_{JN} , then*

$$(3.3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{vol}(M) &\leq \int_P g(\lambda, k_{JN}(p), H_{JN}(p), k_{JN}(p), H_{JN}(p)) dp \\ &\leq g(\lambda, k_1, h_1, k_2, h_2) \text{vol}(P). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $c(N) = \sup\{t > 0: d(P, \gamma_N(t)) = t\}$, $\text{cut } P = \{\gamma_N(c(N)): N \in \mathcal{N}P\}$. From the facts that

$$M = \{\gamma_N(t): N \in \mathcal{N}P, 0 \leq t < c(N)\} \cup \text{cut } P,$$

γ_N is the only minimizing geodesic from P to $\gamma_N(t)$ for all $t \in]0, c(N)[$, $c(N) \leq f(N) = z(\theta_N(p, t))$, and the inequality (2.2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{vol}(M) &= \int_P \left\{ \int_0^{c(N)} \theta_N(p, t) dt + \int_0^{c(-N)} \theta_{-N}(p, t) dt \right\} dp \\ &\leq \int_P \left\{ \int_0^{z(\theta_N(p, t))} \theta_N(p, t) dt + \int_0^{z(\theta_{-N}(p, t))} \theta_{-N}(p, t) dt \right\} dp \\ &\leq \int_P \left\{ \int_0^{z(\mu_N(\lambda, p, t))} \mu_N(\lambda, p, t) dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^{z(\mu_{-N}(\lambda, p, t))} \mu_{-N}(\lambda, p, t) dt \right\} dp, \end{aligned}$$

and the first part of inequalities (3.3.1) and (3.3.2) follow from this one, if we have in mind that the Weingarten maps of P associated to

N and $-N$ have opposite sign and, then, $k_{JN} = -k_{J(-N)}$ and $H_{JN} = -H_{J(-N)}$.

The second part of the inequality (3.3.2) follows immediately from the first, and the second of (3.3.1) follow from the first and Lemma 3.2. \square

In order to prove (1.3.1) and (1.4.1) we need

3.4. LEMMA. *Let $S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the geodesic sphere of radius r in $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$, $p \in S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $N \in \mathcal{N}_p S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ pointing toward the centre of $S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then there is an orthonormal basis of $T_p S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the form $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$ such that $e_{2n-1} = JN$ in which the Weingarten map of $S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ associated to N in $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ has the matrix form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r & & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r & \\ 0 & & & 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. An explicit expression for $\lambda = 1$ is given in [C-R]. It can also be obtained using the methods of [C-V].

3.5. Proof of (1.3.1). Let $M = \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$, $P = S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ in 2.2. If we take $\{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq 2n-1}$ as the basis given in 3.4, then all the inequalities of the proof are equalities and, then

$$\theta_N^{\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)}(p, t) = \mu_N(\lambda, p, t).$$

Moreover $c(N) = z(\mu_N(\lambda, p, t))$, whence

$$\text{vol}(\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)) = \int_{S_r^{\mathbb{C}}} f(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r) du,$$

where du is the volume element of $S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$(3.5.1) \quad \text{vol}(\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)) = \text{vol}(S_r^{\mathbb{C}}) f(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r).$$

If r, r_k, r_h have the values given in 1.3, then $2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r \geq k$ and $\sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r \geq h$, and, since f is increasing in the last two arguments, we get (1.3.1) from (3.3.1) and (3.5.1). \square

3.6. Proof of (1.4.1). First observe that for $M = \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$, $P = S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$\mu_{e_i N}(\lambda, p, t) = g_i(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r, t).$$

Then, if r_i, r_{k_i}, r_{h_i} are the quantities defined in 1.4,

$$(3.6.1) \quad \text{vol}(B_{r_1}^C) = \int_{S_1^C} \int_0^{r_1} g_1(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda}r_1, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda}r_1, t) dt du,$$

$$(3.6.2) \quad \text{vol} \left(T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2}^C \right) \\ = \int_{S_2^C} \int_0^{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2} g_2(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda}r_2, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda}r_2, t) dt du.$$

From the definition of the r_i and g_i :

$$(3.6.3) \quad g_i(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda}r_i, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda}r_i, t) \geq g_i(\lambda, k_i, h_i, t).$$

Then we get (1.4.1) from (3.6.1,2,3) and (3.3.2). \square

4. Equality discussion. First we recall some known facts about Jacobi operators. We take them from [Ch].

4.1. DEFINITION. Let $p \in P$, $N \in \mathcal{S}\mathcal{N}_pP$, $\gamma_N(t)$ as in 2.1. Let τ_t be the parallel transport along $\gamma_N(t)$. Then the Jacobi operator $A(t, N): \{\gamma'_N(0)\}^\perp \rightarrow \{\gamma'_N(t)\}^\perp$ is defined by

$$A(t, N)e = \tau_t^{-1}Y(t),$$

where $Y(t)$ is the transverse Jacobi field along γ_N such that $Y(0) = e$, $\nabla_{\gamma'_N(0)}Y \equiv Y'(0) = -Le$.

4.2. PROPOSITION [Ch]. $A(t, N)$ satisfies the differential equation:

$$(4.2.1) \quad A''(t, N) + \mathcal{R}(t)A(t, N) = 0$$

with the initial conditions $A(0, N) = I$, $A'(0, N) = -L$, where $\mathcal{R}(t) = \tau_t^{-1}R(t)\tau_t$.

Observe that if $\{E_i\}$ is a basis of $\{\gamma'_N(t)\}^\perp$ obtained by parallel transport of a basis $\{e_i\}$ of $\{\gamma'_N(0)\}^\perp$, then the matrix of $R(t)$ in the basis $\{E_i\}$ and that of $\mathcal{R}(t)$ in the basis $\{e_i\}$ are the same.

4.3. REMARK. For every subset S of TM , denote by \exp_S the restriction to S of the exponential map on TM . Let $\mathcal{N}P(t) = \{X \in \mathcal{N}P \text{ such that } |X| = t\}$. From Definition 4.1 it is obvious that

$$\text{rank}(A(t, N)) = \text{rank}(\exp_{\mathcal{N}P(t)*tN}).$$

4.4. DEFINITION. Let $m \in M$, $\gamma_\xi(t)$ a geodesic parametrized by its arc length starting from m ($\gamma_\xi(0) = m$), with $\gamma'_\xi(0) = \xi$. Then the Jacobi operator $A_m(t, \xi): \{\xi\}^\perp \rightarrow \{\xi\}^\perp$ is defined by

$$(4.4.1) \quad A_m(t, \xi)e = \tau_t^{-1}Y(t),$$

where $Y(t)$ is the jacobi field along $\gamma_\xi(t)$ such that $Y(0) = 0$, $Y'(0) = e$.

4.5. PROPOSITION [Ch]. $A_m(t, \xi)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$(4.5.1) \quad A_m''(t, \xi) + \mathcal{R}_m(t)A_m(t, \xi) = 0$$

with the initial conditions $A_m(0, \xi) = 0, A_m'(0, \xi) = I$, where $\mathcal{R}_m(t): \{\xi\}^\perp \rightarrow \{\xi\}^\perp$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_m(t)e = \tau_t^{-1}R(\gamma'_\xi(t), \tau_t e)\gamma'_\xi(t).$$

4.6. PROPOSITION [Ch]. Let ξ^{-1} be a coordinate system of the euclidean sphere S^{2n-1} of T_mM . Then $x(t, u) = \exp_m t\xi(u)$ defines a system of polar geodesic coordinates x^{-1} around m . In this coordinate system the metric tensor has the expression

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n-1} \left\langle A_m(t, \xi(u)) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial u^i}(u), A_m(t, \xi(u)) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial u^j}(u) \right\rangle du^i du^j.$$

4.7. PROPOSITION [Ch]. Let φ^{-1} be a coordinate system of $P \subset M$. Then $v(t, u) = \exp_{\varphi(u)} tN(u)$ defines a system of Fermi coordinates v^{-1} around P . In this coordinate system the metric tensor has the expression

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n-1} \left\langle A(t, N(\varphi(u))) \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial u^i}, A(t, N(\varphi(u))) \frac{\partial \varphi(u)}{\partial u^j} \right\rangle du^i du^j$$

In order to prove 1.3 and 1.4 it only remains to know what happens when equality occurs in (1.3.1) or (1.4.1). To do it we observe the following facts:

4.8. To prove (1.3.1) we used in 3.5 that

$$(4.7.1) \quad f(\lambda, k, h) \leq f(\lambda, 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda}r, \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda}r)$$

and equality implies $r = r_k = r_h$ (i.e. $k = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda}r$ and $h = \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda}r$).

4.9. Equality in (1.3.1) implies equality in (3.3.1) and then, looking at the proof of (3.3.1) we observe that equality implies $c(N) = f(N) = z(\theta_N(\lambda, p, t)) = z(\mu_N(\lambda, p, t)) = r$.

4.10. Equality in (3.3.1) implies $|k_{JN}(p)| = k, |H_{JN}(p)| = h$, because f is an increasing function. Then, for every $p \in P$ we can take $N \in \mathcal{N}_p P$ such that, for the Weingarten map L of P associated to N , $k_{JN} = k$ and $H_{JN} = h$. In particular, P is orientable, $\mathcal{N}P$ has two connected components and the subset \mathcal{A} of such N is one of them. With this choice, equality in (1.3.1) implies equality in

4.14. From 4.5 and (2.2.3) we have, for every $\xi \in S^{2n-1} \subset T_m M$,

$$A_m(s, \xi) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} s & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ 0 & & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin \sqrt{\lambda} s & \\ & & & \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\lambda}} \sin 2\sqrt{\lambda} s \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \\ 0 \end{matrix}$$

Then

$$\text{rank } A_m(s, \xi) = 2n - 1 \quad \text{for } 0 < s < \pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})$$

and

$$\text{rank } A_m(\pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda}), \xi) = 2n - 2$$

which implies that $c_0(\xi) := \min\{t > 0: \gamma_\xi(t) \text{ is a conjugate point of } m \text{ along } \gamma_\xi\} = \pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})$. Then, the set of conjugated points of m is $\text{conj}(m) = \{\gamma_\xi(\pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})), \xi \in S^{2n-1} \subset T_m M\}$ and, given $\xi \in S^{2n-1} \subset T_m M$, if $N \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $\xi = -\gamma'_N(r)$, then $\gamma_\xi(s) = \gamma_N(r - s) = \gamma_{-N}(s - r)$.

4.15. From 4.14, $\gamma_\xi(\pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda})) = \gamma_{-N}(\pi/(2\sqrt{\lambda}) - r)$. Then from 4.12 and 4.14 $\text{conj}(m) = \text{cut}_-(P) = \text{cut}(m)$, where $\text{cut}(m)$ is the cut locus of m . This allows us to define the map i'

$$(4.15.1) \quad i': M - \text{cut}(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda) - \text{cut}(m')$$

in the following form: Let $j: T_m M \rightarrow T_{m'} \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ be a holomorphic isometry, then we define

$$i'(\exp_m s\xi) = \exp_{m'} s j(\xi), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < \pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}, \quad \xi \in S^{2n-1}.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_m(t)$ is the same map for M and for $\mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$, we have $A_m(s, \xi) = A_{m'}(s, j(\xi))$. If ξ^{-1} is a coordinate system in $S^{2n-1} \subset T_m M$ which defines polar geodesic coordinates x^{-1} around m as in 4.6, $\xi'^{-1} = (j \circ \xi)^{-1}$ defines polar geodesic coordinates x'^{-1} around m' such that $i' \circ x^{-1} = x'^{-1}$. Then, from 4.6, i' is a holomorphic isometry.

4.16. Since the map i' in (4.15.1) is a holomorphic isometry, $M - \text{cut}(m)$ has constant holomorphic sectional curvature. Moreover, $M - \text{cut}(m)$ is dense in M , whence by continuity, M has constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and, M being compact, there is a holomorphic isometry $i: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^n(\lambda)$ (cf. [K-N]). Let $m' = i(m)$ and $j = i*$. Then $i|_{M - \text{cut}(m)} = i'$, which implies (by 4.13) that $i(P) = i'(S_m(r)) = S_r^C$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.3.

To prove 1.4, observe that equality in (1.4.1) implies that (3.6.3) are equalities, and, then, $r_i = r_{k_i} = r_{h_i}$. Also, equality in (1.4.1) implies equalities in (3.3.2) and, then $k_i = k_{JN}$, $h_i = H_{JN}$, and $r_i = r$. Then, $H_{JN} = \sqrt{\lambda} \cot(\sqrt{\lambda}r)$, $k_{JN} = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot(2\sqrt{\lambda}r)$, and, from here, the proof of 1.4 follows from similar arguments to those used in 1.3.

5. Inequalities on the relative volume of the boundary of a domain in a Kaehler manifold. The proof of 1.4 also proves, with slight modifications, the following result:

5.1. THEOREM. *Let M be as in 1.3. Let Ω be a compact regular domain of M with boundary $\partial\Omega = P$. For every $p \in P$ take $N \in \mathcal{SN}_p P$ such that N points toward Ω (i.e. $\gamma_N(t) \in \Omega$ for small $t > 0$).*

(a) *Let $h_1 > 0$, k_1 be real numbers such that $k_1 \leq k_{JN}(p)$, $h_1 \leq H_{JN}(p)$ for every $p \in P$. Then:*

$$(5.1.1) \quad \frac{\text{vol}(\partial\Omega)}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{vol}(S_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(B_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})},$$

where r_{k_1}, r_{h_1}, r_1 are defined as in 1.4. When Ω and $\partial\Omega$ are connected, the equality holds if and only if $r_1 = r_{h_1} = r_{k_1}$ and there is a holomorphic isometry $i: \Omega \rightarrow B_r^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $i(\partial\Omega) = S_r^{\mathbb{C}}$.

(b) *Let h_2, k_2 be real numbers such that $k_{JN}(p) \leq k_2, H_{JN}(p) \leq h_2$. Then*

$$(5.1.2) \quad \frac{\text{vol}(\partial\Omega)}{\text{vol}(M - \Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{vol}(S_{r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_2}^{\mathbb{C}})},$$

where $r_2 = \min\{r_{h_2}, r_{k_2}\}$, r_{k_2} is defined as in 1.4, and r_{h_2} is defined by $h_2 = \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r_{h_2}$, $0 < r_{h_2} < \pi/\sqrt{\lambda}$. The equality in (5.1.2) implies $r_{h_2} = r_{k_2} = r_2$.

5.2. COROLLARY. *Let $M, \Omega, \partial\Omega$ and N be as in 5.1 (a), but now $h_1 \leq 0$. Then*

$$(5.2.1) \quad \frac{\text{vol}(\partial\Omega)}{\text{vol}(\Omega)} \geq \frac{\text{vol}(S_{r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})}{\text{vol}(T_{(\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda})-r_1}^{\mathbb{C}})},$$

where $r_1 = \min\{r_{k_1}, r_{h_1}\}$, and r_{k_1}, r_{h_1} are defined by $-h_1 = \sqrt{\lambda} \cot \sqrt{\lambda} r_{h_1}$, $-k_1 = 2\sqrt{\lambda} \cot 2\sqrt{\lambda} r_{k_1}$, $0 < r_{h_1}, r_{k_1} < \pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}$. The equality in (5.2.1) implies $r_{k_1} = r_{h_1}$.

Proof. $M - \Omega$, $\partial\Omega$ and $-N$ satisfy the condition of 5.1 (b) with $h_2 = -h_1$ and $k_2 = -k_1$. Then the r_{h_2} defined in 5.1(b) is less than

or equal to $\pi/2\sqrt{\lambda}$, because $h_2 = -h_1 \geq 0$. Then the result of 5.1(b) holds and we get 5.2. \square

REFERENCES

- [Ch] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press 1984.
- [C-R] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, *Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **269** (1982), 481–499.
- [C-V] B. Y. Chen and L. Vanhecke, *Differential geometry of geodesic spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **325** (1981), 28–67.
- [Gr1] A. Gray, *Comparison theorems for the volumes of tubes as generalizations of the Weyl tube formula*, Topology, **21** (1982), 201–228.
- [Gr2] A. Gray, *Volumes of tubes about complex submanifolds of complex projective space*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **291** (1985), 437–449.
- [H-K] E. Heintze and H. Karcher, *A general comparison theorem with applications to volume estimates for submanifolds*, Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Sup. **11** (1978), 451–470.
- [K-N] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, Vol II, Interscience 1969.

Received March 1, 1988 and in revised form January 11, 1989. Work partially supported by a DGICYT Grant No. PS87-0115-C03-01.

DEPARTAMENTO DE GEOMETRIA Y TOPOLOGIA
UNIVERSIDAD DE VALENCIA
BURJASSOT (VALENCIA) SPAIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904-1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Marco Andreatta, Mauro Beltrametti and Andrew Sommese, Generic properties of the adjunction mapping for singular surfaces and applications	1
Chen-Lian Chuang and Pjek-Hwee Lee, On regular subdirect products of simple Artinian rings	17
Fernando Giménez and Vicente Miquel Molina, Volume estimates for real hypersurfaces of a Kaehler manifold with strictly positive holomorphic sectional and antiholomorphic Ricci curvatures	23
Richard J. Griego and Andrzej Korzeniowski, Asymptotics for certain Wiener integrals associated with higher order differential operators	41
Abdeslam Mesnaoui, Unitary bordism of classifying spaces of quaternion groups	49
Abdeslam Mesnaoui, Unitary cobordism of classifying spaces of quaternion groups	69
Jesper M. Møller, On equivariant function spaces	103
Bassam Nassrallah, A q-analogue of Appell's F_1 function, its integral representation and transformations	121
Peter A Ohring, Solvability of invariant differential operators on metabelian groups	135
Athanase Papadopoulos and R. C. Penner, Enumerating pseudo-Anosov foliations	159
Ti-Jun Xiao and Liang Jin, On complete second order linear differential equations in Banach spaces	175
Carl Widland and Robert F. Lax, Weierstrass points on Gorenstein curves	197