

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE FIX-POINTS OF COMPOSITE FUNCTIONS

WALTER BERGWEILER

ON THE FIX-POINTS OF COMPOSITE FUNCTIONS

WALTER BERGWEILER

Gross has conjectured that a composite transcendental entire function has infinitely many fix-points. We show that the conjecture is true if one of the two components has finite order.

1. Introduction and results. Let f and g be two nonlinear entire functions, at least one of them transcendental. Gross [4] has conjectured that the composite function $f \circ g$ has infinitely many fix-points.

Gross and Osgood [5] have proved that the conjecture is true, if one of the functions f and g is of finite order while the other one is of finite lower order. The conjecture has also been proved under various other conditions on f and g (cf. [6], [9], [13], [14]).

We shall prove

THEOREM 1. *Let f and g be nonlinear entire functions, at least one of them transcendental. If one of the functions f and g is of finite order, then $f \circ g$ has infinitely many fix-points.*

As a consequence of Theorem 1 we obtain

THEOREM 2. *Let f and g be nonlinear entire functions, at least one of them transcendental. If*

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, f \circ g)}{\log r} < \infty,$$

then $f \circ g$ has infinitely many fix-points.

These two theorems contain and generalize many of the results referred to above.

2. Lemmas. Our proofs will be based partially on Nevanlinna theory (for notations see [7]), but mainly on Wiman-Valiron theory. We denote the maximum term of an entire function h by $\mu(r, h)$ and the central index by $N = N(r, h)$. By F we denote an exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure, not necessarily the same at each occurrence. For the convenience of the reader we state the results of

Wiman-Valiron theory that we need. In fact Hayman [8] has obtained much more precise estimations, but the following results suffice for our purposes.

LEMMA 1 ([8], see also [12]). *Let h be entire, $k > 0$, $\gamma > 1/2$, $0 < \eta < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Assume that $|z_0| = r$, $|h(z_0)| \geq \eta M(r, h)$ and $|\tau| \leq kN^{-\gamma}$. Then*

$$(2.1) \quad h(z_0 e^\tau) \sim h(z_0) e^{N\tau} \quad (r \notin F),$$

$$(2.2) \quad h'(z_0 e^\tau) \sim \frac{N}{z_0 e^\tau} h(z_0) e^{N\tau} \quad (r \notin F),$$

$$(2.3) \quad \log \mu(r, h) \sim \log M(r, h) \sim \log M(r, h') \quad (r \notin F),$$

$$(2.4) \quad N \leq (\log \mu(r, h))^{1+\varepsilon} \quad (r \notin F),$$

$$(2.5) \quad \log \mu(r, h) \leq N \log r + O(1).$$

LEMMA 2. *Let h be entire, $K > 0$, $0 < \eta < 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. If $|\sigma_1| < K$, $|h(z_0)| \geq \eta M(r, h)$ and if $|z_0| = r \notin F$ is large enough, then there exists τ_1 such that $|N\tau_1 - \sigma_1| < \varepsilon$ and $h(z_0 e^{\tau_1}) = h(z_0) e^{\sigma_1}$. If $\varepsilon < 2\pi$ and if $r \notin F$ is large enough, then τ_1 is unique.*

Proof. Put $w_1 = h(z_0) e^{\sigma_1}$ and consider $f_1(\tau) = h(z_0 e^\tau)$ and $f_2(\tau) = h(z_0) e^{N\tau} = w_1 \exp(N\tau - \sigma_1)$. If $|N\tau - \sigma_1| = \varepsilon$, then

$$f_1(\tau) \sim h(z_0) e^{N\tau} = f_2(\tau)$$

by (2.1) and therefore

$$(2.6) \quad |(f_1(\tau) - w_1) - (f_2(\tau) - w_1)| = |f_1(\tau) - f_2(\tau)| = o(|f_2(\tau)|).$$

On the other hand, we have for $|N\tau - \sigma_1| = \varepsilon$

$$(2.7) \quad |f_2(\tau) - w_1| = |w_1(\exp(N\tau - \sigma_1) - 1)| \\ \geq \delta_1 |w_1| \geq \delta_2 |f_2(\tau)|$$

for some $\delta_1 \geq \delta_2 > 0$, if $0 < \varepsilon < 2\pi$. The conclusion follows from (2.6) and (2.7) by Rouché's theorem.

Clunie [3] has given the following application.

LEMMA 3. *If f and g are entire, then*

$$(2.8) \quad M(r, f \circ g) = M((1 + o(1))M(r, g), f) \quad (r \notin F).$$

Next we note that if $f \circ g$ has only a finite number of fix-points, then

$$(2.9) \quad f(g(z)) = P(z)e^{\alpha(z)} + z,$$

where α is an entire function and P is a polynomial. A consequence of Lemma 3 is

LEMMA 4. *If (2.9) holds, then*

$$(2.10) \quad M(r, \alpha) \sim \log M((1 + o(1))M(r, g), f) \quad (r \notin F).$$

The following lemma is implicit in the work of Gross and Osgood [5].

LEMMA 5. *If (2.9) holds, then*

$$(2.11) \quad T(r, g) = o(T(r, \alpha')) \quad (r \notin E),$$

where E has finite linear measure.

In fact, if $T(r, \alpha') \leq KT(r, g)$ for a constant K on a set of infinite measure, then a modification of a theorem of Steinmetz [11] (cf. [5]) yields that f satisfies a certain differential equation. As shown in [5], this leads to a contradiction.

We remark that for our purposes the weaker inequality

$$(2.12) \quad T(r, g) = O(T(r, \alpha')) \quad (r \notin E)$$

will be sufficient. This inequality is easier to obtain than (2.11), in fact the method used in [2] for the Riccati equation applies also to the linear equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz}(f(g(z))) &= \left(\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} + \alpha'(z) \right) f(g(z)) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} + \alpha'(z) \right) z + 1, \end{aligned}$$

which is a consequence of (2.9).

We also need

LEMMA 6 [1]. *Let $h(x)$ and $k(x)$ be non-negative, non-decreasing and convex for $x \geq 0$. Let $K > 1$ and suppose that $h(x) \leq k(x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then $h'(x) \leq Kk'(x)$ on a set of lower density at least $(K - 1)/K$.*

A consequence is

LEMMA 7. *Let α and g be entire functions, $c > 0$, $K > 1$ and assume that*

$$\log M(r, \alpha) < c \log M(r, g) \quad (r \notin F).$$

Then

$$N(r, \alpha) \leq KcN(r, g)$$

on a set of positive lower logarithmic density.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and put $x = \log r$, $h(x) = \max\{0, \log \mu(r, \alpha)\}$, $k(x) = \max\{h(x), (c + \varepsilon) \log \mu(r, g)\}$. The conclusion follows from (2.3) and Lemma 6, since

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{d\mu(r, h)}{d \log r} = N(r, h)$$

for an entire function h , except for the discontinuities of $N(r, h)$.

3. Proof of Theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Since $f \circ g$ has infinitely many fix-points if and only if $g \circ f$ does [6, p. 214, proof of Theorem 2], we may assume that the order of f is finite. The conclusion follows from the result of Gross and Osgood [5] mentioned in the introduction, if the lower order of g is finite. Hence we may assume that the lower order of g is infinite. What we need, however, is only that g has non-zero lower order.

Suppose that $f \circ g$ has only a finite number of fix-points, so that (2.9) holds. Lemma 4 shows that $\log M(r, \alpha) = O(\log M(r, g))$ for $r \notin F$ and Lemma 7 implies that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$(3.1) \quad N(r, \alpha) \leq cN(r, g) \quad (r \in H)$$

where H has positive lower logarithmic density. It follows easily from a classical lemma due to Borel [7, Lemma 2.4] that for $\beta > 0$

$$(3.2) \quad \log M(r, g) \leq T(r, g)^{1+\beta} \quad (r \notin E),$$

where E has finite linear measure. Combining (2.3), (2.4), (2.5), (2.12) and (3.2), we get for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $r \notin F$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} N(r, g) &\leq [\log \mu(r, g)]^{1+\varepsilon} \leq [\log M(r, g)]^{1+\varepsilon} \\ &\leq T(r, g)^{1+2\varepsilon} \leq T(r, \alpha')^{1+3\varepsilon} \leq [\log M(r, \alpha')]^{1+3\varepsilon} \\ &\leq [\log \mu(r, \alpha)]^{1+4\varepsilon} \leq [N(r, \alpha) \log r]^{1+5\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption that the lower order of g is positive (or infinite) we can deduce that

$$(3.4) \quad \log r \leq N(r, g)^\varepsilon,$$

if r is large enough. If $1/2 < \gamma < 1$ and if $\varepsilon > 0$ is suitably chosen, then (3.3) and (3.4) imply that

$$(3.5) \quad N(r, g)^\gamma \leq N(r, \alpha) \quad (r \notin F).$$

Now choose z_0 such that $|f(g(z_0))| = M(r, f \circ g)$, where $r = |z_0|$. It follows from Lemma 3 that

$$(3.6) \quad |g(z_0)| = (1 - o(1))M(r, g) \quad (r \notin F)$$

and that

$$(3.7) \quad M(r, e^\alpha) = \exp((1 - o(1))M(r, \alpha)) \quad (r \notin F).$$

If we put $m(r, P) = \min\{|P(z)|; |z| = r\}$, where P is the polynomial from the representation (2.9), then

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} M(r, e^\alpha) &= M\left(r, \frac{Pe^\alpha + z - z}{P}\right) \leq \frac{M(r, Pe^\alpha + z) + r}{m(r, P)} \\ &= \frac{|P(z_0)e^{\alpha(z_0)} + z_0| + r}{m(r, P)} \leq \frac{M(r, P)}{m(r, P)} |e^{\alpha(z_0)}| + \frac{2r}{m(r, P)} \\ &= (1 + o(1)) \exp(\operatorname{Re} \alpha(z_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$(3.9) \quad |\alpha(z_0)| \geq \operatorname{Re} \alpha(z_0) \geq (1 - o(1))M(r, \alpha) \quad (r \notin F).$$

Lemma 2 implies that there exists τ_1 satisfying $|\tau_1 N(r, g) - 2\pi i| = o(1)$ such that $g(z_0 e^{\tau_1}) = g(z_0)$, provided $r \notin F$. Let $z_1 = z_0 e^{\tau_1}$ and

$$l(z) = \frac{f'(g(z))g'(z) - 1}{f(g(z)) - z}.$$

Then

$$(3.10) \quad \frac{l(z_1)}{l(z_0)} \sim \frac{g'(z_1)}{g'(z_0)} \sim \frac{g(z_1)}{g(z_0)} = 1$$

by (3.6) and Lemma 1. On the other hand we have

$$l(z) = \frac{P'(z)}{P(z)} + \alpha'(z)$$

by (2.9). Since

$$|\tau_1| \leq \frac{2\pi + o(1)}{N(r, g)} \leq \frac{2\pi c + o(1)}{N(r, \alpha)} \quad (r \in H \setminus F)$$

by (3.1), we have

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{l(z_1)}{l(z_0)} \sim \frac{\alpha'(z_1)}{\alpha'(z_0)} \sim \frac{\alpha(z_1)}{\alpha(z_0)} \sim \exp(\tau_1 N(r, \alpha)) \quad (r \in H \setminus F)$$

by (3.9) and Lemma 1. It follows from (3.10) and (3.11) that $\tau_1 N(r, \alpha) = 2\pi i k + o(1)$ for some integer $k = k(r)$, provided $r \in H \setminus F$. Hence we have

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{N(r, \alpha)}{N(r, g)} \sim k(r) \in \mathbf{Z} \quad (r \in H \setminus F)$$

where $k(r) \leq c$ by (3.1).

Now let $\tau_2 = i\pi/N(r, \alpha)$ and $z_2 = z_0 e^{\tau_2}$. Lemma 1 and (3.9) imply that $\alpha(z_2) \sim (-\alpha(z_0))$ and $\operatorname{Re} \alpha(z_2) \sim (-M(r, \alpha))$ for $r \notin F$. It follows from (3.5) that $|\tau_2| \leq \pi N(r, g)^{-\gamma}$ for $r \notin F$. Hence we have

$$|g(z_2)| \sim |g(z_0) \exp(N(r, g)\tau_2)| \sim |g(z_0)| \sim M(r, g) \quad (r \notin F)$$

by Lemma 1. Lemma 2 implies that there exists τ_3 satisfying $|\tau_3 N(r, g) - 2\pi i| = o(1)$ such that $g(z_2 e^{\tau_3}) = g(z_2)$. Let $z_3 = z_2 e^{\tau_3}$. To estimate $\alpha(z_3)$ we note that

$$|\tau_3| \leq \frac{2\pi c + o(1)}{N(r, \alpha)} \quad (r \in H \setminus F)$$

by (3.1). Hence Lemma 1 and (3.12) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(z_3) &\sim \alpha(z_2) \exp(N(r, \alpha)\tau_3) \\ &\sim \alpha(z_2) \exp((k(r) + o(1))(2\pi i + o(1))) \\ &\sim \alpha(z_2) \quad (r \in H \setminus F). \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(z_2) = g(z_3)$ we have

$$z_2 + P(z_2)e^{\alpha(z_2)} = f(g(z_2)) = f(g(z_3)) = z_3 + P(z_3)e^{\alpha(z_3)}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |z_3 - z_2| &\leq |P(z_2)e^{\alpha(z_2)}| + |P(z_3)e^{\alpha(z_3)}| \\ &\leq r^K \exp(-(1 - o(1))M(r, \alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant K and $r \in H \setminus F$. On the other hand we have

$$|z_3 - z_2| = |z_2(e^{\tau_3} - 1)| \sim r|\tau_3| \sim \frac{2\pi r}{N(r, g)},$$

so that

$$N(r, g) \geq (1 - o(1))2\pi r^{1-K} \exp((1 - o(1))M(r, \alpha)) \geq \exp \frac{M(r, \alpha)}{2}$$

for sufficiently large $r \in H \setminus F$. By (3.3) we have

$$N(r, g) \leq [\log \mu(r, \alpha)]^{1+4\varepsilon} \leq [\log M(r, \alpha)]^{1+4\varepsilon} \quad (r \notin F).$$

Altogether we find for $\varepsilon = 1/4$ that

$$\exp \frac{M(r, \alpha)}{2} \leq [\log M(r, \alpha)]^2 \quad (r \in H \setminus F).$$

This is an obvious contradiction and the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 2. Assume that $f \circ g$ has only a finite number of fix-points so that (2.9) holds. It is easy to show that

$$\rho(\alpha) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log \log M(r, e^\alpha)}{\log r},$$

where $\rho(\alpha)$ denotes the order of α . In fact this is a special case of a theorem of Schönhage [10, Satz 6]. It follows from (2.9) and the hypothesis that $\rho(\alpha) < \infty$. Moreover, we have $\rho(\alpha') = \rho(\alpha)$ and (2.11) or (2.12) imply that $\rho(g) \leq \rho(\alpha')$. Hence we have $\rho(g) < \infty$, and the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.

Acknowledgment. I am thankful to Professor W. H. J. Fuchs for some valuable discussion on the subject.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bergweiler, *An inequality for real functions with applications to function theory*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **21** (1989), 171–175.
- [2] W. Bergweiler, G. Jank and L. Volkmann, *Über faktorisierebare Lösungen Riccati'scher Differentialgleichungen*, Resultate Math., **10** (1986), 40–53.
- [3] J. Clunie, *The Composition of Entire and Meromorphic Functions*, Math. Essays Dedicated to A. J. Macintyre (1970), 75–92, Ohio Univ. Press.
- [4] F. Gross, *Factorization of Meromorphic Functions*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1972.
- [5] F. Gross and C. F. Osgood, *On fixed points of composite entire functions*, J. London Math. Soc., (2) **28** (1983), 57–61.
- [6] F. Gross and C. C. Yang, *The fix-points and factorization of meromorphic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **168** (1972), 211–219.
- [7] W. K. Hayman, *Meromorphic functions*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs (1964), Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [8] ———, *The local growth of power series: A survey of the Wiman-Valiron method*, Canad. Math. Bull., (3) **17** (1974), 317–358.

- [9] P. C. Rosenbloom, *The fix-points of Entire Functions*, Medd. Lunds Univ. Mat. Sem. Suppl.-Bd. M. Riesz, (1952), 187–192.
- [10] A. Schönhage, *Über das Wachstum zusammengesetzter Funktionen*, Math. Z., **73** (1960), 22–44.
- [11] N. Steinmetz, *Über die faktorisierten Lösungen gewöhnlicher Differentialgleichungen*, Math. Z., **170** (1980), 169–180.
- [12] G. Valiron, *Lectures on the General Theory of Integral Functions*, Edouard Privat, Toulouse, 1923.
- [13] C. C. Yang, *Further results on the fix-points of composite transcendental functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **90** (1982), 259–269.
- [14] ———, *On the fix-points of composite transcendental entire functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **108** (1985), 366–370.

Received May 31, 1988 and in revised form November 11, 1988. Research performed as a Feodor Lynen Research Fellow of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY
ITHACA, NY 14853

Current address: Technical University of Aachen
Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik
D-5100 Aachen, Federal Republic of Germany

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 143, No. 1

March, 1990

| | |
|---|-----|
| Walter Bergweiler , On the fix-points of composite functions | 1 |
| Aldo Biancofiore, Maria Lucia Fania and Antonio Lanteri , Polarized surfaces with hyperelliptic sections | 9 |
| Ciprian Borcea , Deforming varieties of k -planes of projective complete intersections | 25 |
| Morton Brown , Fixed points for orientation preserving homeomorphisms of the plane which interchange two points | 37 |
| Hao Zhi Chuan , Note on the inequality of the arithmetic and geometric means | 43 |
| Paul Henry Edelman and Dennis E. White , Codes, transforms and the spectrum of the symmetric group | 47 |
| Pei-Kee Lin , The isometries of $H^\infty(E)$ | 69 |
| James J. Moloney , Residue class domains of the ring of convergent sequences and of $C^\infty([0, 1], \mathbf{R})$ | 79 |
| Zhong-Jin Ruan , The structure of pure completely bounded and completely positive multilinear operators | 155 |
| Wolfgang Ruess and William H. Summers , Weakly almost periodic semigroups of operators | 175 |
| Gideon Schwarz , A pretender to the title “canonical Moebius strip” | 195 |
| Ryszard Szwarc , Banach algebras associated with spherical representations of the free group | 201 |