Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A SHORT PROOF OF ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM

PETER MICHAEL HIGGINS

Vol. 144, No. 1

May 1990

A SHORT PROOF OF ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM

Peter M. Higgins

Isbell's Zigzag Theorem, which characterizes semigroup dominions (defined below) by means of equations, has several proofs. We give a short proof of the theorem from first principles.

The original proof Isbell [4] and that of Philip [6] are topological in flavour. The algebraic proofs of Howie [2] and Storrer [8] are based on work by Stenstrom [7] on tensor products of monoids. Yet another proof, using the geometric approach of regular diagrams, is due to David Jackson [5]. This latter approach also employs HNN extensions of semigroups to solve the problem. In this note we follow Jackson's lead in using what is essentially a HNN extension for our embedding (instead of the more intractable free product with amalgamation) to derive a short and direct proof of the Zigzag Theorem.

Following Howie and Isbell [3] we say that a subsemigroup U of a semigroup S dominates an element $d \in S$ if for every semigroup T and all morphisms $\phi_1: S \to T$, $\phi_2: S \to T$, $\phi_1|U = \phi_2|U$ implies that $d\phi_1 = d\phi_2$. The set of all elements in S dominated by U is called the *dominion* of U in S; it is obviously a subsemigroup of S containing U, and we denote it by Dom(U, S). Dominions are connected with epimorphisms (pre-cancellable morphisms) by the fact that a morphism $\alpha: S \to T$ is epi iff $Dom(S\alpha, T) = T$.

ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM. Let U be a subsemigroup of S. Then $d \in Dom(U, S)$ if and only if $d \in U$ or there exists a sequence of factorizations of d as follows:

 $d = u_0 y_1 = x_1 u_1 y_1 = x_1 u_2 y_2 = x_2 u_3 y_2 = \dots = x_m u_{2m-1} y_m = x_m u_{2m},$ where

$$u_i \in U$$
, $x_i, y_i \in S$, $u_0 = x_1 u_1$, $u_{2i-1} y_i = u_{2i} y_{i+1}$,
 $x_i u_{2i} = x_{i+1} u_{2i+1}$ $(1 \le i \le m-1)$ and $u_{2m-1} y_m = u_{2m}$.

Such equations are known as a *zigzag* in S over U with value d, length m, and spine the list u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{2m} . For a survey on

epimorphisms and semigroup amalgams featuring applications of the Zigzag Theorem see Higgins [1].

We give a new proof of the forward implication in the theorem; the reverse implication follows by a straightforward manipulation of the zigzag.

Suppose that $d \in Dom(U, S) \setminus U$. Form a semigroup H by adjoining a new element t to S subject to the relations $t^2 = 1$, tu = ut, tut = u for all $u \in U$. Define the morphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2 \colon S \to H$ by $s\phi_1 = s$ and $s\phi_2 = tst$ (indeed ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are embeddings). Clearly $\phi_1|U = \phi_2|U$ so that tdt = d, or what is the same, td = dt in H. We prove that this latter equation implies that d is the value of some zigzag in S over U.

Since td = dt there is a sequence of transitions of minimal length I: $td \to \cdots \to dt$ where each transition $pwq \to pw'q$ $(p, w, w', q \in H)$ is either a *t*-transition, i.e., involves a relation in which t occurs, or is a *refactorization*, i.e., w = w' in S. We claim that no transition in I involves any of the relations $t^2 = 1$ or tut = u $(u \in U)$. Suppose to the contrary that I has a transition $\alpha: pq \to pt^2q$ $(p, q \in H)$. Clearly α is not the final transition of I, so consider the next transition $\beta: pt^2q \to$. Suppose that the right-hand side of β has one of the forms

(i)
$$pq$$
; (ii) $p't^2q$; (iii) pt^2q' .

In the first case the two transitions cancel, while in cases (ii) and (iii) α and β can be performed in the opposite order without changing the net effect. If β does not have one of these forms then either (iv) the product p has the form p = p'u or p'tu ($u \in U$) and the right side has the form p'tutq or p'utq or (v) a similar remark applies to q. In this case the pair of transitions α , β could be replaced by the single transition $p'uq \rightarrow p'tutq$ or $p'tuq \rightarrow p'utq$ (with a similar remark applying to case (v)). Therefore cases (i), (iv) and (v) contradict our minimum length assumption, whence it follows that all transitions of I of the form $pq \rightarrow pt^2q$ can be taken to appear at the end of I, and thus there are none.

Next suppose that α has the form $puq \rightarrow ptutq$, and once again consider the following transition β . If p has the form p'v or p'tv $(v \in U)$ then β could have the form $p'vtutq \rightarrow p'tvutq$ or $p'tvtutq \rightarrow$ p'vutq; but in that case the pair α , β could be replaced by the single transition $p'vuq \rightarrow p'tvutq$ or $p'tvuq \rightarrow p'vutq$. A similar remark applies if q has the form vq' or vtq'. If p has the form p't then β could have the form $p'ttutq \rightarrow p'utq$; but again it would then be possible to shorten I by replacing our pair α , β with the single transition $p'tuq \rightarrow p'utq$; and again a similar remark applies to q. Another possibility for β is $ptutq \rightarrow put^2q$ or $ptutq \rightarrow pt^2uq$, but here again α and β could be replaced by just one transition. The remaining possibilities for β (β cancels α , or β involves only the product p or only the product q) are disposed of as in the previous paragraph, thus establishing the claim.

Call a *t*-transition of the form $putq \rightarrow ptuq$ [$ptuq \rightarrow putq$] a left [right] transition, so that our sequence I consists entirely of refactorizations and left and right transitions with exactly one occurrence of the symbol t in each word of I. Suppose that ptq is a product occurring in I, and that the next *t*-transition in the sequence is a left transition. We claim that we may assume that this left transition occurs immediately, or is preceded by just one refactorization of the form $ptg \rightarrow p'utg$, for it is clear that any refactorization of p can be performed in one step, while any refactorization of q can be delayed until after the left transition. Next suppose that I contains two left transitions with no intervening right transition, which we may assume have the form $putq \rightarrow ptuq \rightarrow p'vtuq \rightarrow p'tvuq$ $(u, v \in U)$, or simply the form $p'vutq \rightarrow p'vtuq \rightarrow p'tvuq$. In the latter case the pair of transitions can be replaced by a single left transition, while the three transitions of the first case can be replaced by two: $putq \rightarrow p'vutq \rightarrow p'tvuq$. Coupling all this with similar arguments for right transitions allows us to conclude that I consists of alternate left and right transitions, separated by single refactorizations; furthermore the first *t*-transition is right and the final *t*-transition is right. The sequence I therefore implies equalities in H of the form:

$$td = tu_0y_1 = u_0ty_1 = x_1u_1ty_1 = x_1tu_1y_1 = x_1tu_2y_2 = x_1u_2ty_2$$

= $x_2u_3tu_2 = \dots = x_{m-1}u_{2m-2}ty_m = x_mu_{2m-1}ty_m$
= $x_mtu_{2m-1}y_m = x_mtu_{2m} = x_mu_{2m}t = dt$,

for some $m \ge 1$, $u_i \in U$ $(1 \le i \le 2m)$ $x_i, y_i \in S^1$, and $u_0 = x_1 u_1$,

$$u_{2i-1}y_i = u_{2i}y_{i+1}$$
 $x_iu_{2i} = x_{i+1}u_{2i+1}$, $(1 \le i \le m-1)$ and
 $u_{2m-1}y_m = u_{2m}$.

In fact $x_i, y_i \in S$ for if $x_i = 1$ then in S we have

$$d = u_0 y_1 = x_1 u_1 y_1 = x_1 u_2 y_2 = \dots = u_{2i} y_{i+1} = \dots = x_m u_{2m};$$

and so I could be shortened by beginning with $td \rightarrow tu_{2i}y_{i+1}$, with a similar remark applying if some $y_i = 1$. Hence d is the value of a zigzag in S over U, thus completing the proof.

PETER M. HIGGINS

References

- [1] P. M. Higgins, *Epimorphisms and amalgams*, Colloquium Mathematicum, LVI (1988), 1–17.
- [2] J. M. Howie, *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, London Math. Soc. Monographs 7, Academic Press, 1976.
- [3] J. M. Howie and J. R. Isbell, *Epimorphisms and dominions* II, J. Algebra, 6 (1967), 7-21.
- [4] J. R. Isbell, *Epimorphisms and dominions*, Proc. of the Conference on Categorical Algebra, La Jolla, (1965), Lange and Springer, Berlin 1966, 232–246.
- [5] D. Jackson, Regular diagrams for the study of algebraic semigroups, manuscript.
- [6] J. M. Philip, A proof of Isbell's Zigzag Theorem, J. Algebra, 32 (1974), 328-331.
- [7] B. Stenstrom, *Flatness and localization over monoids*, Math. Nachr., **48** (1971), 315–334.
- [8] H. H. Storrer, An algebraic proof of Isbell's Zigzag Theorem, Semigroup Forum, 12 (1976), 83–88.

Received July 17, 1989.

Royal Melbourne Institute of Technology GPOB 2476V Melbourne, Vic. 3001, Australia

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

EDIT

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

K. YOSHIDA

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. Arens

B. H. Neumann

F. Wolf (1904–1989)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

E. F. BECKENBACH

(1906 - 1982)

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 144, No. 1 May, 1990

Wojciech Chojnacki, On some totally ergodic functions	l
Steven R. Costenoble, Stefan Waner and G. S. Wells, Approximating	
equivariant mapping spaces1	5
Peter Michael Higgins, A short proof of Isbell's zigzag theorem	7
Harold H. Johnson, The absolute invariance of conservation laws	l
Edgar Kann, Infinitesimal rigidity of almost-convex oriented polyhedra of	
arbitrary Euler characteristic	l
Alan Van Lair, Uniqueness for a nonlinear abstract Cauchy problem 10:	5
John B. Little and Kathryn A. Furio, On the distribution of Weierstrass	
points on irreducible rational nodal curves	l
J. S. Okon and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr., Reductions of filtrations	7
Janusz Pawlikowski, Small subset of the plane which almost contains	
almost all Borel functions	5
Sergio A. Tozoni, Vector singular integral operators on a local field16	l
John Bason Wagoner, Triangle identities and symmetries of a subshift of	
finite type	l