

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**POINCARÉ-SOBOLEV AND RELATED INEQUALITIES FOR  
SUBMANIFOLDS OF  $\mathbf{R}^N$**

JOHN HUTCHINSON

# POINCARÉ-SOBOLEV AND RELATED INEQUALITIES FOR SUBMANIFOLDS OF $\mathbf{R}^N$

JOHN HUTCHINSON

We prove Poincaré-Sobolev and related inequalities for rectifiable varifolds in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . In particular, all our results apply to properly immersed submanifolds of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ .

Suppose  $M \subset B_R = B_R(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^N = \mathbf{R}^{n+k}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $V = v(M, \theta)$  is a countably  $n$ -rectifiable varifold in  $B_R$  with generalised mean curvature vector  $H$ .  $\mu$  is the weight measure defined by  $\mu = \theta H^n \llcorner M$ .  $h: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a Lipschitz function.

In Theorem 1 we prove a Poincaré-Sobolev result for non-negative  $h$  in case  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > 0\} < \omega_n R^n$  and  $h \in W^{1,p}(\mu)$  for some  $p < n$ . This generalises a Poincaré result of Leon Simon; but in addition the relevant constant here does not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ . Theorem 2 is an Orlicz space result in case  $p = n$ .

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use a covering argument to obtain weak  $L^p$  type estimates on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > s\}$ .

Theorems 3 and 4 are generalisations of Theorems 1 and 2 in case there is no restriction on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) \neq 0\}$  (again the constants in the estimates do not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ ). The conclusion of Theorem 4 is analogous to the conclusion of the John-Nirenberg theorem for functions of bounded mean oscillation.

We prove Poincaré-Sobolev and related inequalities for rectifiable varifolds in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ . In particular, all our results apply to properly immersed submanifolds of  $\mathbf{R}^N$ .

Theorem 1 is a refinement of a result due to Leon Simon. In [Sc; p. 70] and [S; Theorem 18.4, p. 91] one has a similar Poincaré inequality in case  $p = 1$  and  $|H|$  is bounded, but with a constant  $c$  depending on  $\mathbf{M}(V \llcorner B_R)$ . In Theorem 1,  $c$  depends only on  $p$  and the dimension of  $V$ . This is important in case we have no a priori density bound for  $V$  at 0 (as in [H], which provided the motivation for the present paper).

We also remark that the Poincaré result in Theorem 1 for  $p > 1$  does not seem to follow directly from the case  $p = 1$ —the usual trick of replacing  $h$  by  $h^r$  does not work since the integrals in the inequality occur over balls of different radius. Nonetheless, one can use the Sobolev inequality for functions *with* compact support and

a cut-off function argument to “bootstrap” up from the  $p = 1$  case. However, the proof in Theorem 1 gives the Poincaré result directly for all  $p$  and with the constant dependence as noted above. The Sobolev result then follows immediately (as pointed out by Leon Simon) by a simple cut-off function argument from the result in the compact support case (this latter was first established in [A; Theorem 7.3] and [MS]).

In Theorem 2 we prove an Orlicz space result in case  $h \in W^{1,n}(\mu)$ , where  $n$  is the dimension of  $V$  and  $\mu$  is the measure in  $\mathbf{R}^N$  induced by  $V$ .

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 use a covering argument to obtain weak  $L^p$  type estimates on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > s\}$ , and were motivated in part by the proof of the Sobolev inequality for functions with compact support in [S; Theorem 18.6, p. 93].

Theorems 3 and 4 are generalisations of Theorems 1 and 2 in case there is no restriction on  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) \neq 0\}$  (again the constants in the estimates do not depend on  $\mathbf{M}(V|_{B_R})$ ). They follow directly from Theorems 1 and 2, as was also realised by Leon Simon in the context of his Poincaré inequality discussed previously [private communication]. The conclusion of Theorem 4 is analogous to the conclusion of the John-Nirenberg theorem for functions of bounded mean oscillation.

I would like to thank Gerhard Huisken, Neil Trudinger, Bill Ziemer, and particularly Leon Simon, for helpful comments and discussions.

**NOTATION.** Throughout this paper we use the notations and conventions of [S].

In each of the following theorems we take the following hypotheses:

**(H):**  $M \subset B_R = B_R(0) \subset \mathbf{R}^N = \mathbf{R}^{n+k}$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, \theta)$  is a countably  $n$ -rectifiable varifold in  $B_R$  with generalised mean curvature vector  $H$ .  $\mu$  is the weight measure defined by  $\mu = \theta H^n|_M$ .  $h: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a Lipschitz function.

*Convention.* All integrals are taken with respect to  $\mu$ , unless otherwise clear from context.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose (H). Suppose also that  $h(\xi) \geq 0$  for all  $\xi \in M$  and that  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > 0\} \leq \omega_n R^n(1 - \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ .*

Then there are constants  $c = c(n, p)$  and  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} h^{np/(n-p)} \right]^{(n-p)/np} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[ \int_{B_R} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right]^{1/p}$$

whenever  $1 \leq p < n$ .

REMARKS. (1) The hypothesis  $\mu\{\xi: h(\xi) > 0\} \leq \omega_n R^n (1 - \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$  is clearly necessary, as one sees by letting  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, 1)$  where  $M$  consists of two  $n$ -dimensional affine spaces passing through the origin, and setting  $h = 1, 2$  respectively on the two spaces.

The necessity of taking the left integral in the theorem over  $B_{\beta R}$ , rather than over  $B_R$ , is clear if one considers a modification of the above example in which one of the affine spaces is displaced slightly from the origin.

(2) From Hölder's inequality one obtains under the same assumptions that

$$\left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} h^q \right]^{1/q} \leq c R^{1+n/q-n/p} \left[ \int_{B_R} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right]^{1/p}$$

in case  $1 \leq p < n$  and  $1 \leq q \leq np/(n - p)$ , or in case  $p \geq n$  and  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . In the first case  $c = c(n, p)$  and in the second case  $c = c(n, q)$ .

*Proof of Theorem.* Our main goal is to prove the estimate (11). Without loss of generality assume  $R = 1$ .

Fix  $s > 0$  and define

$$(1) \quad f(\xi) = \min\{h(\xi), s\}.$$

In the following suppose

$$(2) \quad 0 < \beta < 1/2.$$

We will later further restrict  $\beta$ .

Applying the monotonicity formula to  $f^p$ , we have for each  $\xi \in B_\beta$  that

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} \left[ \rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} f^p \right] \geq -\rho^{-n} \int_{B_\rho(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|],$$

(in the distributional sense in  $r$ ) provided  $0 < \rho < 1 - \beta$ . (See [S;

18.1, p. 89], where this result is stated for  $C^1$  functions. The extension to the Lipschitz case follows by first extending  $f$  to a Lipschitz function  $\underline{f}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{n+k}$ , then mollifying in  $\mathbf{R}^{n+k}$ , recalling that up to a set of  $H^n$  measure zero  $M$  is a disjoint union of sets  $M_i$ , each of which is a subset of a  $C^1$  manifold  $N_i$ , and finally showing that for each  $i$  the integrals on each side of (3) (over  $M_i \cap B_\rho(\xi)$  instead of  $M \cap B_\rho(\xi)$ ) are the limit of corresponding integrals with  $f$  replaced by the mollified function  $\underline{f}_\epsilon$ . This last step makes essential use of the fact that  $\nabla^M$  is a tangential derivative.)

For  $\mu$  a.e.  $\xi$  with  $|\xi| < \beta$  and  $h(\xi) \geq s$ , we see from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4) \quad s^p &= f^p(\xi) \leq \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1-\beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^p \\
 &\leq \omega_n^{-1} (1-\beta)^{-n} \int_{B_{1-\beta}(\xi)} f^p \\
 &\quad + c \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|] \\
 &\leq \omega_n^{-1} (1-\beta)^{-n} \omega_n (1-\alpha) s^p \\
 &\quad + c \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|] \\
 &\leq (1-\alpha/2) s^p + c \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|],
 \end{aligned}$$

for suitable  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha)$ , which we now fix.

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{0 < \sigma < 1-\beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^p \\
 &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} [f^p |H| + |\nabla^M f^p|] \\
 &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-\beta} \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^{p-1} [f |H| + |\nabla^M f|] \\
 &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1-\beta} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^p \right]^{1-1/p} \\
 &\quad \times \int_0^{1-\beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any  $0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5) \quad & \left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^p \right]^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{1-\beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{\rho_0} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p} \\
 & \quad + \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_{\rho_0}^{1-\beta} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{\rho_0} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p} + \frac{c_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \rho_0^{1-n/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we set

$$(6) \quad \Gamma = \left[ \int_{B_1(0)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

Now choose  $s_0$  so that

$$(7) \quad \frac{c_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \left( \frac{1}{10} \right)^{1-n/p} = \frac{1}{2} s_0.$$

For each  $s \geq s_0$  choose  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(s)$  such that

$$(8) \quad \frac{c_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} (\rho_0^{1-n/p}) = \frac{1}{2} s,$$

i.e.

$$(9) \quad \rho_0 = c_2 \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s} \right)^{p/(n-p)}.$$

Note that

$$(10) \quad \rho_0 \leq \frac{1}{10}.$$

From (5), (8), (10), (2), (4) we have for  $s \geq s_0$  and  $\rho_0$  as in (9), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^p \right]^{1/p} \\
 & \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{\rho_0} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < (1-\beta)/5} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_{\sigma}(\xi)} f^p \right]^{1/p} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \rho_0 \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p \right]^{1/p}$$

for some  $0 < \tau = \tau(\xi) < \rho_0$ .

Since  $\rho_0 \leq 1/10 < (1-\beta)/5$  from (10) and (2), it follows from (9) that for this particular  $\tau = \tau(\xi) < \rho_0$  we have

$$\int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^p \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \rho_0^p \int_{B_{\tau}(\xi)} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p,$$

where  $\rho_0$  is as in (9).

Since this is true for  $\mu$  a.e.  $\xi \in B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}$ , it follows from (10), (2) and a standard covering argument (see [S: Theorem 3.3, p. 11]) that

$$\int_{B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}} f^p \leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \rho_0^p \int_{B_1} f^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M f|^p,$$

and so for any  $s \geq s_0$  we have (using (9)) that

$$(11) \quad \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}) \leq c \left( \frac{\Gamma \rho_0}{\alpha s} \right)^p \leq c \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s} \right)^{np/(n-p)}.$$

(Since  $\mu(B_{\rho} \cap \{h > 0\}) < \omega_n$ , this last inequality is true for all  $s > 0$ .)

It follows from (11) and the fact  $\mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq 0\}) \leq \omega_n$  that

$$\begin{aligned} (12) \quad \int_{B_{\beta}} h^p &= p \int_0^{\infty} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}) \\ &= p \int_0^{\Gamma/\alpha} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}) \\ &\quad + p \int_{\Gamma/\alpha}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \mu(B_{\beta} \cap \{h \geq s\}) \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha} \right)^p + c \int_{\Gamma/\alpha}^{\infty} s^{p-1} \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s} \right)^{np/(n-p)} \\ &\leq c \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha} \right)^p + c \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha} \right)^p \int_1^{\infty} t^{p-1} t^{-np/(n-p)} dt \leq c \left( \frac{\Gamma}{\alpha} \right)^p. \end{aligned}$$

(Remarks. One can similarly estimate the integral of  $h^q$  for any  $1 \leq q < np/(n-p)$ .)

Finally suppose  $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(B_1)$ ,  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 1$  on  $B_{\beta/2}$ ,  $\varphi \equiv 0$  on  $B_1 \setminus B_{\beta}$ , and  $|D\varphi| \leq c/\beta$ . From the appropriate Sobolev inequality for functions with compact support (for example,

see [S; Theorem 18.6, p. 93], replace  $h$  there with  $h^r$  where  $r = p(n-1)/(n-p)$ , and use Hölder's inequality it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \int_{B_1} (\varphi h)^{np/(n-p)} \right]^{(n-p)/n} &\leq c \int_{B_1} \varphi^p h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M(\varphi h)|^p \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha^p} \left[ \int_{B_1} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right], \end{aligned}$$

using (12). Hence

$$\left[ \int_{B_{\beta/2}} h^{np/(n-p)} \right]^{(n-p)/np} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \left[ \int_{B_1} h^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right]^{1/p}.$$

This establishes the theorem.  $\square$

**THEOREM 2.** *Under the same hypotheses as Theorem 1, there exist  $\beta = \beta(n) > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n) > 0$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(n)$ , such that*

$$\int_{B_{\beta R}} \left( \frac{\alpha h}{\Gamma} \right)^n \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_1 \alpha h}{\Gamma} \right) \leq \gamma_2 R^n,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \left[ \int_{B_R} h^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M h|^n \right]^{1/n}.$$

*Proof.* Choosing  $R = 1$  and arguing exactly as in the proof of Theorem 1, with  $p = n$ , we obtain instead of (5) that

$$\begin{aligned} (5)' \quad &\left[ \sup_{0 < \sigma < 1 - \beta} \omega_n^{-1} \sigma^{-n} \int_{B_\sigma(\xi)} f^n \right]^{1/n} \\ &\leq \frac{c}{\alpha} \int_0^{\rho_0} \left[ \tau^{-n} \int_{B_\tau(\xi)} f^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M f|^n \right]^{1/n} \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log(\rho_0^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Choose  $s_0$  so that

$$(7)' \quad \frac{\bar{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log \left( \frac{1}{10} \right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} s_0.$$

For each  $s \geq s_0$  choose  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(s)$  such that

$$(8)' \quad \frac{\bar{c}_1 \Gamma}{\alpha} \log \rho_0^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} s,$$



i.e.

$$(9)' \quad \rho_0 = \exp\left(-\frac{\bar{c}_2 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right).$$

Arguing again exactly as before, we obtain for any  $s \geq s_0$  that

$$(11)' \quad \mu(B_\rho \cap \{h \geq s\}) \leq c \left(\frac{\Gamma \rho_0}{\alpha s}\right)^n \leq c \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{c_3 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right).$$

(This is then true for any  $s > 0$  since  $\mu(B_\beta \cap \{h \geq 0\}) < \omega_n$ .)

By Fubini's theorem we see that if  $\varphi(s)$  is a  $C^1$  increasing function of  $s$  for  $s \geq 0$ , and  $\varphi(0) = 0$ , then (since  $h \geq 0$  on  $B_\beta \cap M$ )

$$\int_{B_\beta} \varphi(u) = \int_0^\infty \varphi'(s) \mu(B_\beta \cap \{h \geq s\}) ds.$$

If we let

$$\varphi(s) = \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right),$$

where  $\gamma_1$  is yet to be chosen, it follows from (11)' and the fact  $\mu(B_\beta \cap \{h \geq s\}) < \omega_n$  that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\beta} \left(\frac{\alpha h}{\Gamma}\right)^n \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha h}{\Gamma}\right) \\ & \leq \omega_n \int_0^{\Gamma/\alpha} \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n-1} + \gamma_1 \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^n \right] \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \\ & \quad + c \int_{\Gamma/\alpha}^\infty \left[ \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^{n-1} + \gamma_1 \frac{\alpha}{\Gamma} \left(\frac{\alpha s}{\Gamma}\right)^n \right] \\ & \quad \times \exp\left(\frac{\gamma_1 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \left(\frac{\Gamma}{\alpha s}\right)^n \exp\left(-\frac{c_3 \alpha s}{\Gamma}\right) \\ & \leq \gamma_2, \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where we choose  $\gamma_1 = c_3/2$ . □

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose (H). Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  and choose  $N$  such that  $\mu(M) \leq N\omega_n(1 - \alpha)$ .*

*Choose any  $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_M$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{h < \lambda_1\} & \leq \omega_n - \alpha, \\ \mu\{\lambda_i < h < \lambda_{i+1}\} & \leq \omega_n - \alpha \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N, \\ \mu\{\lambda_M < h\} & \leq \omega_n - \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

*This is clearly possible for some  $M \leq N - 1$ .*

Then if  $1 \leq p < n$  and  $p \leq q \leq np/(n-p)$ , there exist constants  $c = c(n, p)$  and  $\beta = \beta(n, \alpha)$  such that

$$\left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} \left( \inf_i |h - \lambda_i| \right)^q \right]^{1/q} \leq \frac{c}{\alpha} R^{1+n/q-n/p} \left[ \int_{B_R} \left[ \left( \inf_i |h - \lambda_i| \right)^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right] \right]^{1/p}.$$

The same result holds if  $p \geq n$  and  $p \leq q < \infty$ , but with  $c = c(n, q)$ .

**REMARK.** The necessity of allowing distinct values for the  $\lambda_i$  is clear if one considers examples where  $V = \mathbf{v}(M, 1)$ ,  $M$  consists of distinct affine spaces, and  $h$  takes a distinct constant value on each affine space.

*Proof of Theorem.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} I_0 &= (-\infty, \lambda_1], \\ I_1 &= [\lambda_i, \lambda_{i+1}] \quad i = 1, \dots, M-1, \\ I_M &= [\lambda_M, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$h_j(\xi) = \begin{cases} \inf_i |h(\xi) - \lambda_i|, & h(\xi) \in I_j, \\ 0, & h(\xi) \notin I_j. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$\underline{h}(\xi) = \inf_i |h(\xi) - \lambda_i| = \sum_j h_j(\xi).$$

Then for each  $\xi \in M$  there exists at most one  $j$  such that  $h_j(\xi) \neq 0$ . Moreover, each  $h_j(\xi)$  is Lipschitz. Finally, for  $H^n$  a.e.  $\xi \in M \cap \{h \in I_j\}$  we have  $\nabla^M h_j(\xi) = \nabla^M h(\xi)$ , and so  $\nabla^M \underline{h}(\xi) = \nabla^M h(\xi)$  for  $H^n$  a.e.  $\xi \in M$ .

Taking  $\beta$  as in Theorem 1, it follows that

$$\left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} \underline{h}^q \right]^{p/q} = \left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} \left( \sum_j h_j^p \right)^{q/p} \right]^{p/q} \leq \sum_j \left[ \int_{B_{\beta R}} (h_j^p)^{q/p} \right]^{p/q}$$

(by Minkowski's inequality, using  $q \geq p$ )

$$\leq \sum_j \frac{c}{\alpha^p} R^{p+(np/q)-n} \left[ \int_{B_R} h_j^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h_j|^p \right]$$

(by Theorem 1 and the remark following it)

$$= \frac{c}{\alpha^p} R^{p+(np/q)-n} \left[ \int_{B_R} \underline{h}^p |H|^p + |\nabla^M h|^p \right].$$

**REMARK.** The restriction  $q \geq p$  is required in order that the constant  $c$  not depend on  $\mu(B_R)$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose the same hypotheses hold as in the previous theorem.*

*Then there exist  $\beta = \beta(n) > 0$ ,  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(n) > 0$ , and  $\gamma_2 = \gamma_2(n)$ , such that*

$$\int_{B_{\beta R}} \left( \frac{\alpha \underline{h}}{\underline{\Gamma}} \right)^n \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_1 \alpha \underline{h}}{\underline{\Gamma}} \right) d\mu \leq \gamma_2 R^n,$$

where

$$\underline{h}(\xi) = \inf_i |h(\xi) - \lambda_i|,$$

$$\underline{\Gamma} = \left[ \int_{B_R} \underline{h}^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M h|^n \right]^{1/n}.$$

*Proof.* Define  $\lambda_i$  and  $h_j$  as in the proof of the previous theorem. Then

$$\int_{B_{\beta R}} (\alpha h_j)^n \exp \left( \frac{\gamma_1 \alpha h_j}{\Gamma_j} \right) \leq \gamma_2 \Gamma_j^n,$$

where  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are as in Theorem 2, and where

$$\Gamma_j = \left[ \int_{B_R} h_j^n |H|^n + |\nabla^M h_j|^n \right]^{1/n}.$$

Replacing  $\Gamma_j$  by  $\underline{\Gamma}$  on the left side (as  $\Gamma_j \leq \underline{\Gamma}$ ), and then summing the inequality over  $j$ , we obtain the required result.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [A] W. K. Allard, *On the first variation of a varifold*, *Annals of Math.*, **95** (1972), 417–492.
- [H] J. E. Hutchinson, *Some regularity theory for curvature varifolds*, *Proc. of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis*, **12** (1987), 60–66.
- [MS] J. H. Michael and L. M. Simon, *Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of  $R^n$* , *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **26** (1973), 361–379.
- [S] L. M. Simon, *Lectures on Geometric Measure Theory*, *Proc. of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis*, **3** (1983).
- [Sc] R. M. Schoen, *Existence and regularity theorems for some geometric variational problems*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford, 1977.

Received October 10, 1988.

AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
GPO BOX 4  
CANBERRA ACT 2601 AUSTRALIA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF  
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 145, No. 1

September, 1990

<b>Sheldon Jay Axler and Allen Lowell Shields, Extensions of harmonic and analytic functions</b> .....	1
<b>Labib Haddad and Yves Sureau, Les cogroupes et la construction de Utumi</b> .....	17
<b>John Hutchinson, Poincaré-Sobolev and related inequalities for submanifolds of <math>\mathbf{R}^N</math></b> .....	59
<b>Yuk Jaum Leung and Glenn E. Schober, Some coefficient problems and applications</b> .....	71
<b>Daniel Ruberman, Seifert surfaces of knots in <math>S^4</math></b> .....	97
<b>Joel Harold Shapiro and Carl Sundberg, Isolation amongst the composition operators</b> .....	117
<b>Hans Wenzl, Representations of braid groups and the quantum Yang-Baxter equation</b> .....	153
<b>Shuang Zhang, Diagonalizing projections in multiplier algebras and in matrices over a <math>C^*</math>-algebra</b> .....	181