

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **SPECTRAL SYMMETRY OF THE DIRAC OPERATOR FOR COMPACT AND NONCOMPACT SYMMETRIC PAIRS**

HOWARD D. FEGAN, BRIAN F. STEER AND L. WHITEWAY

# SPECTRAL SYMMETRY OF THE DIRAC OPERATOR FOR COMPACT AND NONCOMPACT SYMMETRIC PAIRS

H. D. FEGAN, B. STEER, AND L. WHITEWAY

**The aim of this paper is to prove a vanishing of theorem for the Dirac operator on a symmetric pair. In fact, we prove a stronger result: that the Dirac operator has spectral  $G$ -symmetry.**

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $(G, K)$  be a symmetric pair of rank two or greater, of compact or noncompact type and  $\Gamma \subset G$  a co-compact discrete subgroup. Let  $\rho$  be a metric on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  whose lift to  $G$  is  $G$ -left and  $K$ -right invariant. Then, the Dirac operator has spectral  $G$ -symmetry: that is, for each eigenvalue  $\lambda$  the eigenspace  $V_\lambda$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to the eigenspace  $V_{-\lambda}$ .*

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *The equivariant  $\eta$ -function vanishes identically:  $\eta_G(s, g) = 0$ .*

The importance of the eta invariant and questions of spectral symmetry has long been recognized, see [1]. If  $\dim G \neq 4k + 3$ , the spectrum is symmetric for algebraic reasons. However, as the example in [4] shows, this spectrum need not be symmetric if  $\dim G = 3$ . For an odd dimensional simply connected Lie group with bi-invariant metric, the map  $x \mapsto x^{-1}$  is an orientation reversing isometry and we again get spectral symmetry. However, this map may well not descend to quotients  $\Gamma \backslash G$ ; for example, we know the spectrum for  $SO(3) \cong SU(2)/\{\pm 1\}$  is not symmetric. Furthermore, if  $G$  is a noncompact rank one group and  $\Gamma$  a co-compact discrete subgroup then, with respect to certain natural metrics on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , the spectrum fails to be symmetric, see [6]. Thus, the result does not hold in the rank one case.

In §2 we discuss the case of a symmetric pair of compact type. This is done in some detail. Section 3 contains the case of noncompact type. Since this is similar to the compact type, we concentrate on presenting the changes in the new case. We do not consider the case of a symmetric pair of Euclidean type.

The first author was supported by an Efroymsen Memorial lecture-ship.

**2. Spectral symmetry for a symmetric pair of compact type.** Let  $(G, K)$  be a symmetric pair of compact type. Then the Lie algebra of  $G$  decomposes as  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$  with bracket relations  $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K}] \subset \mathcal{K}$ ,  $[\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{P}$  and  $[\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}] \subset \mathcal{K}$ . With respect to the negative of the Killing form let  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $E_{r+1}, \dots, E_{r+s}$  one for  $\mathcal{P}$  so  $r+s = \dim G$  is odd. Throughout this and the following section we shall use the following convention: Latin subscripts run from 1 to  $r$  and Greek subscripts from  $r+1$  to  $r+s$ . Let  $t > 0$  be a real parameter and set  $e_i = E_i/t$  and  $e_\alpha = E_\alpha$ . Let  $\rho_t$  denote the left invariant metric such that  $e_1, \dots, e_{r+s}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Thus for  $t \neq 1$   $\rho_t$  is  $G$  left-invariant but only  $K$  right-invariant. The effect is to scale the metric on the fibers and leave it unchanged on the base of the fibration  $K \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/K$ . Further set  $w_t = e^q \gamma_1, \dots, e_{r+s}$  where  $q = (r+s+1)(r+s+2)/2$  and let  $\psi^t$  denote a basic spinor corresponding to the  $e_1, \dots, e_{r+s}$  basis. When  $t = 1$  the subscript  $t$  will be omitted. There is a canonical isomorphism between the Clifford algebra associated to  $\rho$  and that associated to  $\rho_t$ . Under this isomorphism  $e_i$  is the image of  $E_i$ ,  $e_\alpha$  the image of  $E_\alpha$  and  $\psi^t$  that of  $\psi$ . Using this isomorphism, we notice that (with  $1 \leq i_j \leq r+s$ )

$$(2.1) \quad e_{e_1} \cdots e_{i_k} \psi^t = E_{i_1} \cdots E_{i_k} \psi$$

for any set of basis vectors, where the Clifford product on the left-hand side is relative to the  $\rho_t$  but on the right-hand side is relative to  $\rho = \rho_1$ . This same isomorphism is used implicitly in later expressions.

The Dirac operator is

$$(2.2) \quad P_t = \sum \omega_t e_i \nabla_{e_i}^t + \sum \omega_t e_\alpha \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t$$

where  $\nabla^t$  is the Levi-Civita connection corresponding to  $\rho_t$ . We can identify the space of sections  $\Gamma(\tilde{S})$  with  $C_\infty(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes S$  using left translation. Then for a basic spinor  $\psi^t = 1 \otimes s^t$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.3) \quad P_t(f \otimes s^t) &= \sum \nu(e_i) f \otimes \omega_t e_i s^t + \sum \nu(e_\alpha) f \otimes \omega_t e_\alpha s^t \\ &\quad + f P_t(1 \otimes s^t) \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \sum \nu(E_i) f \otimes \omega E_i s + \sum \nu(E_\alpha) f \otimes \omega E_\alpha s \\ &\quad + f P_t(1 \otimes s^t). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

If we define  $Q_K = \sum \nu(E_i) \otimes \omega E_i$ ,  $Q_P = \sum \nu(E_\alpha) \otimes \omega E_\alpha$  and  $Q_t = 1/t Q_K + Q_P$  then we see that

$$(2.4) \quad P_t(f \otimes s^t) = Q_t(f \otimes s) + f P_t(1 \otimes s^t).$$

Thus it remains to calculate  $P_t \psi^t$ . First we calculate  $\nabla^t$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** (i)  $\nabla_{e_i}^t e_j = (1/t^2) \nabla_{E_i} E_j$ ,

(ii)  $\nabla_{e_i}^t e_\beta = (2/t - t) \nabla_{E_i} E_\beta$ ,

(iii)  $\nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_j = t \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_j$ ,

(iv)  $\nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_\beta = \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta$ .

*Proof.* These follow from the following formulae:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_i}^t e_j, e_k \rangle_t = \frac{1}{t} \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_i}^t e_\beta, e_\gamma \rangle_t = (2/t - t) \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_\beta, E_\gamma \rangle, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_j, e_\gamma \rangle_t = t \langle \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_j, E_\gamma \rangle, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_\beta, e_k \rangle_t = t \langle \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta, E_k \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and the observation that all similar expressions with an odd number of Greek subscripts are zero. These formulae use the notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_t$  for the inner product given by  $\rho_t$ . The calculations are similar to those of [3]. In obtaining these formulae, we use the fact that  $\text{ad } E_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq r+s$ ) is  $\rho_t$ -skew. For orthonormal left invariant vector fields  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  there is the formula

$$(2.6) \quad \langle \nabla_X Y, Z \rangle = \frac{1}{2} (\langle Z, [X, Y] \rangle - \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle - \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle).$$

From this, we see  $\nabla_{E_i} E_j = \frac{1}{2} [E_i, E_j]$ , which is also useful.

From [2]  $\chi(X) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum [X, E_i] E_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum [X, E_\alpha] E_\alpha$ . We make the following definitions:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_K(X) &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum [X, E_i] E_i, \\ \chi_P(X) &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum [X, E_\alpha] E_\alpha, \\ M_K &= \sum \omega E_i \chi_K(E_i), \\ A &= \sum \omega E_i \chi_P(E_i), \\ M &= \sum \omega E_i \chi(E_i) + \sum \omega E_\alpha \chi(E_\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly  $\chi(X) = \chi_K(X) + \chi_P(X)$  and  $\chi_K$  is the spin representation of  $\mathcal{K}$  extended to act on  $S$ . If the isotropy representation  $K \rightarrow \text{SO}(\mathcal{P})$  lifts to spin then this induces  $\chi_P|_{\mathcal{K}}$ , see Lemma 2.1 of [5].

LEMMA 2.2.  $M = M_K + 3A$ .

*Proof.* Observe that

$$(2.8) \quad \sum_{\gamma} E_{\gamma}[E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha}] = \sum_i E_i[E_i, E_{\alpha}],$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum E_{\gamma}[E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha}] &= \sum E_{\gamma}([E_{\gamma}, E_{\alpha}], E_i)E_i = \sum -E_{\gamma}([E_i, E_{\alpha}], E_{\gamma})E_i \\ &= \sum -[E_i, E_{\alpha}]E_i = \sum E_i[E_i, E_{\alpha}]. \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows.

PROPOSITION 2.3.  $P_t \psi^t = \frac{1}{2t} M_K \psi + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{t} + t \right) A \psi$ .

*Proof.* We calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} (2.9) \quad P_t \psi^t &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum \frac{1}{t} \omega E_i (\nabla_{E_i} E_j) E_j \psi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum \left( \frac{2}{t} - t \right) \omega E_i (\nabla_{E_i} E_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \psi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} \sum t \omega E_{\alpha} (\nabla_{E_{\alpha}} E_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \psi - \frac{1}{4} \sum t \omega E_{\alpha} (\nabla_{E_{\alpha}} E_{\beta}) E_{\beta} \psi \\ &= -\frac{1}{8} \sum \frac{1}{t} \omega E_i [E_i, E_j] E_j \psi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \sum \left( \frac{2}{t} - t \right) \omega E_i [E_i, E_{\beta}] E_{\beta} \psi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{8} \sum t \omega E_{\alpha} [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] E_{\beta} \psi - \frac{1}{8} \sum t \omega E_{\alpha} [E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}] E_{\beta} \psi \\ &= \frac{1}{2t} M_K \psi + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{t} + t \right) A \psi, \end{aligned}$$

which is the result of the proposition. □

COROLLARY 2.4.

$$P_t = 1/t Q_K + Q_P + 1/2t(1 \otimes M_K) + (1/t + t/2)(1 \otimes A).$$

LEMMA 2.5. The operators  $Q_K$ ,  $Q_P$ ,  $1 \otimes M_K$ ,  $1 \otimes A$  and hence  $P_t$  all commute with the action of  $\mathcal{K}$  via the representation  $\nu \otimes \chi$ .

*Proof.* This is another direct calculation. For example in the case of  $Q_K$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad [Q_K, (\nu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \chi)E_i] \\
 &= \sum \nu([E_j, E_i]) \otimes \omega E_j \\
 &\quad + \sum \nu(E_j) \otimes \omega(E_j \chi(E_i) - \chi(E_i)E_j) \\
 &= \sum \nu([E_j, E_i]) \otimes \omega E_j + \sum \nu(E_j) \otimes \omega[E_j, E_i] = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *The operator  $P_t$  preserves the decomposition  $\Gamma(\widetilde{S}) = L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \otimes S = \widehat{\bigoplus} V_\lambda \otimes S$  into isotypic components under the right regular representation  $\nu \otimes 1$  of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* This is immediate since

$$P_t = Q_t + (1/2t)1 \otimes M_K + (1/t + t/2)1 \otimes A$$

and  $Q_t$  is a linear combination of the operators  $\nu(E)$ .

Let  $\Omega_G = -\sum E_i^2 - \sum E_\alpha^2$  and  $\Omega_K = -\sum E_i^2$  be the Casimir elements. Set  $\Omega_P = \Omega_G - \Omega_K$  and let  $\rho_K$  denote half the sum of the positive roots of  $K$ . Then define the following operators:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad & \text{(i)} \quad R_K = \sum \nu(E_i) \otimes \chi_K(E_i), \\
 & \text{(ii)} \quad R_P = \sum \nu(E_\alpha) \otimes \chi_P(E_\alpha), \\
 & \text{(iii)} \quad R_M = \sum \nu(E_i) \otimes \chi_P(E_i), \\
 & \text{(iv)} \quad R_S = \sum \chi_K(E_i) \chi_P(E_i),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_K$  and  $\chi_P$  are given in (2.7). Notice that  $R_S$  is an operator on  $S$  while the other three operate on  $C^\infty(G) \otimes S$ . Direct calculation now establishes the following result.

**PROPOSITION 2.7.** *Using the notation  $\{U, V\} = UV + VU$ :*

- (i)  $\{Q_K, Q_P\} = 4R_P$ ,
- (ii)  $\{Q_K, 1 \otimes M_K\} = -6R_K$ ,
- (iii)  $\{Q_K, 1 \otimes A\} = -2R_M$ ,
- (iv)  $\{Q_P, 1 \otimes M_K\} = 0$ ,
- (v)  $\{Q_P, 1 \otimes A\} = -4R_P$ ,
- (vi)  $\{M_K, A\} = -6R_S$ ,
- (vii)  $Q_K^2 = \nu(\Omega_K) \otimes 1 + 2R_K$ ,
- (viii)  $Q_P^2 = \nu(\Omega_P) \otimes 1 + 2R_M$ ,

- (ix)  $A^2 = \chi_P(\Omega_K) + 2R_S$ ,  
 (x)  $M_K^2 = 9\|\rho_K\|^2$ .

*Proof.* To illustrate the proof, we verify part (x):

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad M_K^2 &= \sum \omega E_i \chi_K(E_i) \omega E_j \chi_K(E_j) = \sum E_i \chi_K(E_i) E_j \chi_K(E_j) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum (E_i E_j \chi_K(E_i) \chi_K(E_j) + E_j E_i \chi_K(E_j) \chi_K(E_i) \\
 &\quad + E_i [E_i, E_j] \chi_K(E_j)) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum E_i E_j (\chi_K(E_i) \chi_K(E_j) - \chi_K(E_j) \chi_K(E_i)) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - 2 \sum \chi_K(E_i)^2 \right\} \\
 &\quad - 4 \sum \chi_K(E_j)^2 \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum E_i E_j \chi_K([E_i, E_j]) - 5 \sum \chi_K(E_i)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad \sum E_i E_j \chi_K([E_i, E_j]) &= - \sum [E_s, E_j] E_j \chi_K(E_s) \\
 &= 4 \sum \chi_K(E_s)^2 = -4 \chi_K(\Omega_K).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $M_K^2 = 3\chi_K(\Omega_K) = 9\|\rho_K\|^2$ , since  $\chi_K$  is the sum of irreducible representations, each taking the same value,  $3\|\rho_K\|^2$ , on  $\Omega_K$ .

The space of sections  $\Gamma(\mathcal{S})$  has been decomposed into a completed sum of terms of the form  $V_\lambda \otimes S$ ,  $\lambda \in \widehat{G}$ , under the action of the group  $G$ . Each  $V_\lambda$  is finite-dimensional and we may decompose  $V_\lambda \otimes S$  under the  $\nu \otimes \chi$  action of  $\mathcal{H}$  into isotypic (rather than irreducible) components:

$$(2.14) \quad V_\lambda \otimes S = \bigoplus S_\theta.$$

Now Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 tell us that  $P_t$  leaves  $S_\theta$  invariant. The next step is to show  $P_t^2$  is constant on  $S_\theta$  and then that  $\text{tr } P_t|_{S_\theta} = 0$ . To show  $P_t^2|_{S_\theta}$  is constant we show that each of the ten operators of Proposition 2.7 is constant on  $S_\theta$ . This is clearly the same as showing  $R_K$ ,  $R_P$ ,  $R_M$  and  $R_S$  are constant on  $S_\theta$ .

**LEMMA 2.8.** *The operators  $R_K$ ,  $R_P$ ,  $R_M$  and  $R_S$  are constants on  $S_\theta$ .*

*Proof.* First notice that while  $\chi_K$  and  $\chi_P$  may not be irreducible the Casimir takes the same value in each irreducible summand, see

[5, Lemma 2.2]. The result, for all except  $R_S$ , now follows from the following formulae:

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} R_K &= \frac{1}{2}(-\nu \otimes \chi_K(\Omega_K) + \nu(\Omega_K) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \chi_K(\Omega_K)), \\ R_P &= \frac{1}{2}(-\nu \otimes \chi_P(\Omega_P) + \nu(\Omega_P) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \chi_P(\Omega_P)), \\ R_M &= \frac{1}{2}(-\nu \otimes \chi_P(\Omega_K) + \nu(\Omega_K) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \chi_P(\Omega_K)). \end{aligned}$$

For  $R_S$  consider the decomposition  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{P}$ . It gives rise to an isomorphism  $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{K}) \otimes \text{Cliff}(\mathcal{P})$  and thence to one of modules:

$$(2.16) \quad S \cong S_K \otimes S_P.$$

With respect to this decomposition  $\chi_K = \hat{\chi}_K \otimes 1$  and  $\chi_P = 1 \otimes \hat{\chi}_P$  so that

$$(2.17) \quad R_S = \frac{1}{2}(-\hat{\chi}_K \otimes \hat{\chi}_P(\Omega_K) + \hat{\chi}_K \otimes 1(\Omega_K) + 1 \otimes \hat{\chi}_P(\Omega_K)).$$

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *The operator  $P_t^2|_{S_\theta}$  is constant.*

This constant depends on  $t$  and  $\theta$ . In principle it has been calculated but is omitted as the expression is unenlightening.

**PROPOSITION 2.10.** *If  $\text{rank } G > 1$ ,  $\text{tr } P_t|_{S_\theta} = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U_p$  be the subspace of  $\text{Cliff}(\mathcal{G})$  spanned as a vector space by  $E_{i_1}E_{i_2}\cdots E_{i_p}$ ,  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p$  (this time without using the convention of Latin and Greek indices). Then for  $X \in U_p$ , we have

$$(2.18) \quad \text{tr } X = 0 \quad \text{for } p \neq 0.$$

Since  $M_K = \sum \omega E_i \chi_K(E_i) = \frac{1}{4} \sum \omega E_i [E_i, E_j] E_j$  and  $\text{rank } G > 1$  (so  $\dim \mathcal{G} > 3$ ) it is clear that  $M_K \in U_{r+s-3}$ . Thus by equation (2.18), since  $r+s > 3$ ,  $\text{tr } M_K|_S = 0$ . Split  $S$  into eigenspaces of  $M_K$ :  $S = (S_K^+ \oplus S_K^-) \otimes S_P = (S_K^+ \otimes S_P) \oplus (S_K^- \otimes S_P)$ . Since  $M_K^2 = \alpha^2$ ,  $\alpha = 3||\rho_K||$ , there are only two eigenspaces and  $\text{tr } M_K = 0$  gives  $\dim S_K^+ = \dim S_K^-$ . By considering weights  $S_K \cong 2^n V_{\rho_K}$ ,  $n = \frac{1}{2}(l-1)$ , so that  $S_K^+ \cong S_K^- \cong 2^{n-1} V_{\rho_K}$  and  $S_\theta = S_\theta^+ \oplus S_\theta^-$  with  $\dim S_\theta^+ = \dim S_\theta^-$ . Thus  $\text{tr } M_K|_{S_\theta} = 0$  and with respect to the decomposition  $M_K$  has matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}$ . If  $B$  is any operator with matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix}$  then  $\{M_K, B\} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha u & 0 \\ 0 & -2\alpha y \end{pmatrix}$ . Thus if  $\{M_K, B\}$  is constant on  $S_\theta$  then  $u = -y$  and  $\text{tr } B|_{S_\theta} = 0$ . Taking  $B = Q_K$ ,  $Q_P$  and  $A$  we see  $\text{tr } Q_K|_{S_\theta} = \text{tr } Q_P|_{S_\theta} = \text{tr } A|_{S_\theta} = 0$ . Hence  $\text{tr } P_t|_{S_\theta} = 0$ .



**THEOREM 2.11.**  $P_t$  has spectral symmetry for all  $t > 0$  if  $\text{rank } G > 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.12.** The equivariant eta function of the operator  $P_t$  on  $\Gamma \backslash G$ , for  $\text{rank } G > 1$  at  $t > 0$  and any discrete co-compact subgroup  $\Gamma$  vanishes as a  $K$ -character:  $\eta_K(s, g) = 0$  where  $\eta_K(s, g) = \sum_\lambda \text{sign}(\lambda) |\lambda|^{-s} \text{tr}(g|V_\lambda)$  for  $g \in K$ .

**3. Spectral symmetry for a symmetric pair of noncompact type.** Let  $(G, K)$  be a symmetric pair of noncompact type. This case is similar to that of the previous section. However, the details are different and we shall be concerned, mainly, with pointing out the differences. Decompose  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{P}$  and define the metric  $\rho$  to be the negative of the Killing form on  $\mathcal{K}$ , the Killing form on  $\mathcal{P}$  and under  $\rho$  let  $\mathcal{K}$  be orthogonal to  $\mathcal{P}$ . As before let  $E_1, \dots, E_r$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{K}$ ;  $E_{r+1}, \dots, E_{r+s}$  be one for  $\mathcal{P}$  and we shall use the convention that Latin subscripts run from 1 to  $r$  and Greek from  $r+1$  to  $r+s$ . Set  $e_i = E_i/t$ ,  $e_\alpha = E_\alpha$  and let  $\rho_t$  be the metric with  $e_1, \dots, e_{r+s}$  as orthonormal basis. Let  $\chi_K, \chi_P, Q_K, Q_P, M_K$  and  $A$  be defined by the formulae of the previous section.

Formally we can use the compact dual  $\mathcal{G}^*$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  to obtain the present results from the previous section. Let  $\mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C}$  be the complexification of  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then there is the compact dual  $\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{G}_\mathbb{C}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  and a correspondence

$$(3.1) \quad X \rightarrow X \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{K}, \quad X \rightarrow iX \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{P} \quad (i = \sqrt{-1})$$

between  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}^*$ . Denote by  $X^*$  the element of  $\mathcal{G}^*$  corresponding to  $X \in \mathcal{G}$  so  $e_j^* = e_j$  and  $e_\alpha^* = ie_\alpha$ . There is a metric  $\rho_t^*$  on  $\mathcal{G}^*$  with orthonormal basis  $e_1^*, \dots, e_{r+s}^*$ . Formally

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_t(x, y) = \rho_{it}^*(ix^*, iy^*)$$

and so as elements of the Lie algebra one is led to expect

$$(3.3) \quad P_t \psi^t = iP_{it}^* \psi^{t*}.$$

In fact this is true as a direct, rather than formal, calculation shows.

$$\text{PROPOSITION 3.1.} \quad P_t \psi^t = \frac{1}{2t} M_K \psi + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{t} - t \right) A \psi.$$

*Proof.* This is essentially the same as the proof of Proposition 2.3. The main changes are as follows. Firstly the invariance of the metric

is now given by

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle E_\beta, [E_i, E_\gamma] \rangle &= -\langle E_\gamma, [E_i, E_\beta] \rangle, \\ \langle E_i, [E_\beta, E_\gamma] \rangle &= +\langle E_\gamma, [E_\beta, E_i] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

instead of always a negative sign. Thus

$$\sum E_\gamma [E_\gamma, E_\alpha] = -\sum E_i [E_i, E_\alpha]$$

and so

$$(3.5) \quad A = -\frac{1}{4} \sum \omega E_i [E_i, E_\alpha] E_\alpha = \frac{1}{4} \sum \omega E_\alpha [E_\alpha, E_\beta] E_\beta.$$

The formula  $\nabla_X Y = 1/2[X, Y]$  no longer holds for all  $X$  and  $Y$ . Instead we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_i} E_j &= \frac{1}{2} [E_i, E_j], & \nabla_{E_i} E_\beta &= \frac{3}{2} [E_i, E_\beta], \\ \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_j &= -\frac{1}{2} [E_\alpha, E_j], & \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta &= \frac{1}{2} [E_\alpha, E_\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Then equations (2.5) in the noncompact case become

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_i}^t e_j, e_k \rangle_t = \frac{1}{t} \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_j, E_k \rangle, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_i}^t e_\beta, e_\gamma \rangle_t = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{t} + t \right) \langle \nabla_{E_i} E_\beta, E_\gamma \rangle, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_j, e_\gamma \rangle_t = t \langle \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_j, E_\gamma \rangle, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \langle \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_\beta, e_k \rangle_t = t \langle \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta, E_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As before the other expressions analogous to these with an odd number of Greek indices are zero. The result of Proposition 2.1 is now:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad & \nabla_{e_i}^t e_j = (1/t^2) \nabla_{E_i} E_j, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad & \nabla_{e_i}^t e_\beta = \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{2}{t} + t \right) \nabla_{E_i} E_\beta, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad & \nabla_{e_\alpha} e_j = t \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_j, \\ \text{(iv)} \quad & \nabla_{e_\alpha}^t e_\beta = \nabla_{E_\alpha} E_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed by a calculation similar to that used to prove Proposition 2.3.

The list of relations in Proposition 2.7 takes the following form where the operators  $R_K$ ,  $R_P$ ,  $R_M$  and  $R_S$  are defined by the formulae (2.11).

## PROPOSITION 3.2.

- (i)  $\{Q_K, Q_P\} = -4R_P,$
- (ii)  $\{Q_K, 1 \otimes M_K\} = -6R_K,$
- (iii)  $\{Q_K, 1 \otimes A\} = -2R_M,$
- (iv)  $\{Q_P, 1 \otimes M_K\} = 0,$
- (v)  $\{Q_P, 1 \otimes A\} = 4R_P,$
- (vi)  $\{M_K, A\} = -6R_S,$
- (vii)  $Q_K^2 = \nu(\Omega_K) \otimes 1 + 2R_K,$
- (viii)  $Q_P^2 = \nu(\Omega_P) \otimes 1 - 2R_M,$
- (ix)  $A^2 = \chi_P(\Omega_K) \otimes 1 + 2R_S,$
- (x)  $M_K^2 = 9\|\rho_K\|^2.$

Now let  $\Gamma$  be any co-compact discrete subgroup of  $G$ . Then the space of  $L^2$ -sections of the spin bundle  $\tilde{S}$  over  $\Gamma \backslash G$  decomposes into a completed sum of unitary representations of  $G$ . For  $\lambda \in \hat{G}$  let  $V_\lambda^\Gamma$  be the isotypic summand of type  $\lambda$  so that

$$(3.9) \quad L^2(\tilde{S}) = \widehat{\bigoplus V_\lambda^\Gamma} \otimes S.$$

The representations  $\lambda$  with  $V_\lambda^\Gamma \neq 0$  occurring in this sum are, in general, not explicitly known. Each term in this sum decomposes further into  $\mathcal{H}$ -types under the action  $\nu \otimes \chi$ :

$$(3.10) \quad V_\lambda^\Gamma \otimes S = \bigoplus S_\theta.$$

The arguments of §2 go through word for word. So there is spectral symmetry for  $P_t$  on each  $S_\theta$  providing rank  $G > 1$ . Consequently we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *The equivariant eta function for the operator  $P_t$  on  $\Gamma \backslash G$  vanishes as a  $K$ -character for  $G$  a real semi-simple Lie group of rank  $> 1$  and  $\Gamma$  a co-compact discrete subgroup.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. F. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, and I. M. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry I and II*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **77** (1975), 43–69 and **78** (1976), 405–432.
- [2] H. D. Fegan and B. Steer, *On the “strange formula” of Freudenthal and de Vries*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **105** (1989), 249–252.
- [3] C. S. Gordon, *Naturally reductive homogeneous Riemannian manifolds*, Canad. J. Math., **37** (1985), 467–487.

- [4] N. Hitchin, *Harmonic spinors*, Advances in Math., **14** (1974), 1–55.
- [5] R. Parthasarathy, *Dirac operators and the discrete series*, Ann. of Math., **96** (1972), 1–30.
- [6] J. A. Seade and B. Steer, *A note on the eta-function for quotients of  $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbf{R})$  by co-compact Fuchsian groups*, Topology, **26** (1987), 79–91.

Received December 5, 1988 and in revised form September 1, 1989.

THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO  
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131

AND

HERTFORD COLLEGE  
OXFORD OX1 3BW, ENGLAND



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF  
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision*) scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (12 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1990 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Chong Hsio Fang and Minking Eie, <a href="#">On the values of a zeta function at nonpositive integers</a> .....	201
Howard D. Fegan, Brian F. Steer and L. Whiteway, <a href="#">Spectral symmetry of the Dirac operator for compact and noncompact symmetric pairs</a> .....	211
William James Heinzer and David C. Lantz, <a href="#">Integral domains that lose ideals in overrings</a> .....	223
Alexander Eben Koonce, <a href="#">Relations among generalized characteristic classes</a> .....	239
M. S. Narasimhan and Günther Trautmann, <a href="#">Compactification of <math>M_{\mathbb{P}_3}(0, 2)</math> and Poncelet pairs of conics</a> .....	255
James Alexander Reeds, III and Lawrence A. Shepp, <a href="#">Optimal paths for a car that goes both forwards and backwards</a> .....	367
Ai-Nung Wang, <a href="#">Constant mean curvature surfaces on a strip</a> .....	395