Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ROUND QUADRATIC FORMS UNDER ALGEBRAIC **EXTENSIONS**

BURKHARD ALPERS

Vol. 147, No. 2 **February 1991**

ROUND OUADRATIC FORMS **UNDER ALGEBRAIC EXTENSIONS**

BURKHARD ALPERS

Pfister forms over fields are those anisotropic forms that remain round under any field extension. Here, round means that for any represented element $x \neq 0$ the isometry $x \varphi \cong \varphi$ holds where φ is the form under consideration. We investigate whether a similar characterization can be given for the round forms themselves. We obtain several "going-up" and "going-down" theorems. Some counterexamples are given which show that a general theorem holds neither in the going-up nor in the going-down situation.

Introduction. Pfister forms over fields can be characterized as those anisotropic forms that remain round under any field extension (cf. [16, p. 1531). In this paper we investigate whether a similar characterization can be given for round forms over fields. Since the structure of round forms is not known in general, one cannot expect general results. According to a theorem of Marshall [14] (see also Becker/Köpping [3]), every round form has a decomposition $\varphi = l \times \psi + \rho$ where ψ is a Pfister form and ρ is torsion. This implies that any round form remains round over the pythagorean closure of the underlying field. In this paper we shall prove the following characterization theorem for certain types of forms or fields: A form φ over F is round iff it is round over every proper quadratic extension $K = F(\sqrt{w})$ where w is represented by φ over F. As to the going-down part of this equivalence the usual techniques (norm principles) allow one to prove many results. The going-up part, however, requires detailed information on the structure of round forms which is available only for certain classes of fields, for example the linked fields. Counter-examples show that in general neither implication of the equivalence is true. For extensions of odd dimension we have the well-known theorem of Springer which yields immediately that a form over F which is round over $K \geq F$ $([K : F] \in 2N + 3)$ is also round over F. In the other direction, nothing is known.

We use the standard terminology as is found in [16]. The fields occurring in this paper are commutative and of characteristic $\neq 2$. K usually denotes a field, $W(K)$ the corresponding Witt ring, and

 $W_t(K)$ or simply W_t the torsion part of $W(K)$. For (quadratic) forms φ and ψ over K, $\varphi \cong \psi$ ($\varphi = \psi$) will express isometry (equality in the Witt ring). For the anisotropic part of φ we write φ_a . The set of non-zero elements of K represented by φ is denoted by $D(\varphi)$ and we shall write $SD(\varphi)$ for the semiring generated by $D(\varphi)$. The set of square classes of elements in $D(\varphi)$ is denoted by $\widetilde{D}(\varphi)$. If no misinterpretation can occur we write x for an element $x \in \overrightarrow{F}$ and for the corresponding square class xF^{*2} as well. If $D(\varphi) = K^* := K \setminus \{0\}$, then φ is said to be universal. In the case $1 \in D(\varphi)$ the pure subform of φ is denoted by φ' , that is $\varphi \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \varphi'$. The form φ is called round if $x \cdot \varphi \cong \varphi$ holds for all $x \in D(\varphi)$, that is, $D(\varphi) = G(\varphi)$ where $G(\varphi) := \{x \in K^* \mid x\varphi \cong \varphi\}$. According to this definition, an isotropic form is round if its anisotropic part is 0 or round and universal.

1. Going-up. There are several examples of fields where every round form remains round over any finite field extension. This is true for algebraic number fields, finite fields, and p -adic fields since these classes are closed with respect to finite extensions and there are characterizations of round forms which are invariant under field extensions (cf. [2]). But in general there are no such characterizations.

To begin with, we consider the situation dim $\varphi \in 2N + 1$. Trivially, the form $\langle 1 \rangle$ remains round over any field extension. Now, let φ be round and anisotropic over F, and dim $\varphi \in 2\mathbb{N} + 3$. According to [14], F must be formally real pythagorean, and $\varphi \cong \langle 1, \ldots, 1 \rangle$. So, there is no proper quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in D_F(\varphi)$.

We now want to prove going-up theorems for round forms with a certain structure, in particular for round forms over linked fields. A field K is called linked if the classes of quaternion algebras over K form a subgroup in the Brauer group of K (cf. [9]). Equivalently, any two 2-fold Pfister forms are linked, that is, for forms $\langle \langle a, b \rangle \rangle$ and $\langle \langle c, d \rangle \rangle$ we may assume $a = c$. In general, Pfister forms $\gamma_1 \cong$ $\langle\langle a_1,\ldots,a_m\rangle\rangle$ and $\gamma_2 \cong \langle\langle b_1,\ldots,b_n\rangle\rangle$ $(m \leq n)$ are called linked if there are $c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1} \in K^*$ such that $\gamma_1 \cong \langle \langle c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}, d \rangle \rangle$ and $\gamma_2 \cong \langle \langle c_1, \ldots, c_{m-1}, d_m, \ldots, d_n \rangle \rangle$ for some d, d_m, \ldots, d_n in K. Round forms over linked fields and over fields whose schemes can be constructed using schemes of linked fields and of fields with u-invariant \leq 4 have been characterized in [1], [2] (for the special terminology concerning schemes see [11], [12]). For this class (denoted by \mathscr{L} we have:

THEOREM 1.1 ([1, 2.10, 3.6]). (i) If φ is a round and anisotropic form of dimension $2^{v}l$, $(2, l) = 1$, then there exists a decomposition $\varphi = l \times \psi + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i$ with a *v*-fold Pfister form $\psi \notin W_i \setminus \{0\}$ and *i*-fold Pfister forms $\rho_i \in W_i$ (*i* = 1, ..., *m*), $2^m \leq \dim \varphi$, such that for each $i=1,\ldots,m$

$$
D(\varphi) \subset D(\psi) \cap D(\rho_i) \quad and
$$

($l > 1 \Rightarrow D(\varphi) = D(\psi) = D(l \times \psi)$ and $2\rho_i = 0$).

(ii) Moreover, if K is linked, then we may assume that there exist an $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_{r+v} \in K^*$ such that $2^{r+v} \leq \dim \varphi$, $2^r \times \psi \cong$ $\langle\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{r+v}\rangle\rangle$ and $\langle\langle a_1,\ldots,a_{i-1}\rangle\rangle|\rho_i$ ("simultaneous linkage").

The decomposition of a round form given in (i) is called a Pfisterdecomposition, and any form with such a decomposition is called Pfister-decomposable. For linked fields we can get more information on the sets $D(\rho_i)$. In this case we have $u(K) = \max\{\dim \varphi_a \mid \varphi \in$ W_t \leq 8 (cf. [9]), so we have to examine round forms of the type $\varphi = l \times \psi + \rho_1 + \rho_2 + \rho_3$. Since $u(K) \leq 8$, the form ρ_3 is universal. For the other torsion forms we have:

LEMMA 1.2. If K is linked, and if φ is a round and anisotropic form over K, then there exists a Pfister-decomposition $\varphi = l \times \psi + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \rho_i$ with the following additional properties:

(1) If $\varphi \notin W_t$, then $D(\rho_i) = K^*$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

(2) If $\varphi = \rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_s \in W_t$ (s \leq 3) with $\rho_s \neq 0$, then $D(\rho_1) =$ $D(\rho_{s-1}) = K^*$.

Proof. (i) Assume that the form $\gamma \cong \langle 1, w, -r, -wr \rangle$ is torsion and $D(y)$ contains the semiring generated by w, r, and all squares \neq 0 (hence, this semiring does not contain 0). Pick an arbitrary $c \in K^*$. By the linkage property, we have $D(\langle w, -c, -wc \rangle) \cap D(\langle c, r, cr \rangle) \neq$ \emptyset . Therefore, there are $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_t \in K$ (not all zero) such that $w\alpha_1^2 - c(\alpha_2^2 + w\alpha_3^2) = c(\alpha_4^2 + r\alpha_5^2) + r\alpha_6^2 \neq 0$. Hence, $w\alpha_1^2 - r\alpha_6^2 =$ $c(\alpha_2^2 + \alpha_4^2 + w\alpha_3^2 + r\alpha_5^2)$. Since the RHS is not 0 and $D(\gamma)$ is a group, we have $c \in D(\gamma)$. It follows that $D(\gamma) = K^*$.

(ii) Assume that $D(\langle d, a, b, ab \rangle) \subset D(\langle 1, -d \rangle)$ for some a, b, d $\in K^*$ (in particular, we have $-1 \in D(\langle 1, -d \rangle)$). Pick an arbitrary $c \in K^*$. By linkage, we have $D(\langle ad, -cd, -ac \rangle) \cap D(\langle a, cb, cab \rangle) \neq$ \emptyset . Now one can proceed as in (i) to get $D(\langle 1, -d \rangle) = K^*$.

(iii) Assume that the form $\langle 1, -d \rangle$ represents any non-zero element of the semiring generated by d and all squares. Applying (ii) with $a = b = 1$, we get $D(\langle 1, -d \rangle) = K^*$.

(iv) Assume first dim $\varphi \ge 6$. Then, $D(\varphi) \cup \{0\}$ is a semiring by [1, 2.9]. Hence, ρ_1 is universal by (iii) (if 4 does not divide dim φ we may assume $\rho_1 = 0$; otherwise $\rho_1 = \langle 1, -d \rangle$ where d is the determinant of φ). By linkage, we may assume $\rho_2 \cong \langle 1, w, -r, -wr \rangle$ where w, r are sums of squares and hence w, $r \in D(\varphi)$. Then (i) gives $D(\rho_2) = K^*$. In the remaining case we have dim $\varphi = 4$ but then (ii) yields the desired result. \Box

As a simple application of the linkage property we get:

LEMMA 1.3. If $\psi \neq 0$ and ρ are different linked Pfister forms, dim $\psi \ge$ dim ρ , and if ρ is universal, then $D(\psi) = D((\psi + \rho)_a)$.

Induction leads to:

COROLLARY 1.4. Let ψ be a Pfister form and ρ_i be *i-fold univer*sal Pfister forms $(i = 1, ..., m)$ where $\dim \psi \geq \dim \rho_m$, $\psi \neq \rho_m$. If these Pfister forms are simultaneously linked, then $D(\psi)$ = $D((\psi + \sum_{i=1}^m \rho_i)_a).$

The following lemma is a generalization of $[10, 2.18]$.

LEMMA 1.5. Let φ be a round form over F with $D(\varphi) = SD(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$, and let $K = F(\sqrt{w})$ be a quadratic extension where $w \in D_F(\varphi)$. If φ is round over K, then $D_K(\varphi) = SD_K(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof. We want to apply [10, 2.17] to $S := SD_K(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$. Obviously, $S \leq K^*$. Now, let $x \in S \cap F$. Since $D_K(\varphi)$ is a group we may assume that there are $x_1, ..., x_s \in D_K(\varphi)$ with $x = \sum_{i=1}^s x_i$. Each of the x_i is of the type $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j (a_{ij} + b_{ij} \sqrt{w})^2$ where $\phi \cong \langle c_1, \ldots, c_n \rangle$. So $x \in D_F(\varphi)$ and hence $S \cap F = D_F(\varphi)$. Now, let $x \in S$. Again we may assume that there are $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in D_K(\varphi)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^s x_i = x$. Hence, $x \in D_K(\langle 1, 1 \rangle^s \otimes \varphi)$. By [16, 2.10.4], $\langle 1, 1 \rangle^s \otimes \varphi$ is round over K and over F as well. By [10, 2.11] we have $N(x) \in G_F(\langle 1, 1 \rangle^s \otimes \varphi) =$ $D_F(\langle 1, 1 \rangle^s \otimes \varphi) = D_F(\varphi)$. Thus, $N(S) \subset D_F(\varphi) \subset D_F(\varphi) \cdot D_F(\varphi)$. Applying [10, 2.17], we get $S = D_K(\varphi) \cdot (S \cap F) = D_K(\varphi) \cdot D_F(\varphi) =$ $D_K(\varphi)$ since φ is round over K. \Box

COROLLARY 1.6. Let ψ be a Pfister form over F, $l \in 2\mathbb{N} + 3$, and let $K = F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in D_F(l \times \psi)$. If $l \times \psi$ is round over F, then $l \times w$ is round over K.

Proof. If $l \times \psi$ is round and isotropic over F, then it is easy to see that ψ is F-universal and the claim follows from [10, 2.15]. Otherwise apply (1.5) and $[14, 1.7]$. о

In the sequel we want to improve (1.6) in order to allow the torsion part of the round form to be non-zero. We need the notions of rigid and basic elements (cf. [5], [6]). An element $x \in F^*$ is called rigid if $D(\langle 1, x \rangle) = F^{*2} \cup xF^{*2}$. A rigid element x is called one-sided rigid (resp. birigid) if $-x$ is non-rigid (resp. rigid). If x is not birigid or if $x \in \pm F^{*2}$, then x is called basic. As usual, the set of basic elements is denoted by $A(F)$ (the definition in [5] is slightly different from that given in [6] but this does not affect the results we need).

Let φ be an anisotropic round form over F with Pfister-decomposition $\varphi = l \times \psi + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i$. It is easy to check that we can write φ as a product $\varphi = (l \times \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i) \otimes \langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle$ with birigid
elements t_j (different modulo $A(F)$) where $\psi = \alpha \otimes \langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle$, $\rho_i = \delta_i \otimes \langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle$, $\gamma := (l \times \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^k \delta_i)_a$ is a round form with
Pfister-decomposition $l \times \alpha + \sum \delta_i$, $D(\gamma) \subset A(F)$ and, moreover, $D(\alpha) \subset A(F)$ (resp. $D(\delta_i) \subset A(F)$) if α (resp. δ_i) is not 0. Note that $D_F(\rho_i) \supset A(F)$ and $\rho_i \neq 0$ imply $D(\delta_i) = A(F)$.

THEOREM 1.7. Let φ be a round and anisotropic form over F with Pfister-decomposition $l \times \psi + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \rho_i$ and let $K = F(\sqrt{c})$ where $c \in D_F(\varphi)$. If $D_F(\varphi) = SD_F(\varphi) \setminus \{0\}$ and $D_F(\rho_i) \supset A(F)$ for $i =$ $1, \ldots, k$, then φ is round over K.

Proof. First assume that φ is universal. Then $\psi = 0$ and the ρ_i are universal since $\psi \notin W_i \setminus \{0\}$ and $D(\varphi) \subset D(\rho_i)$. Now, by [10, 2.15], ρ_i is universal over K for $i = 1, ..., k$. Thus, φ is a universal round form over K (possibly $= 0$ in the Witt ring). Therefore we may assume that $D_F(\varphi) = SD_F(\varphi)$ (and hence $D_F(\psi) =$ $SD_F(\psi)$, that is, $D_F(\varphi) \cup \{0\}$ is a proper preordering. Let $\varphi =$ $(l \times \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_i) \otimes \langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle$ and $\gamma = (l \times \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \delta_i)_a$ according to the above remarks. Then, by [6, Prop. 5], $D_F(\gamma) = SD_F(\gamma)$ and $D_F(\alpha) = SD_F(\alpha)$. To begin with, let $K = F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in D_F(\gamma)$. By [16, 2.10.4], it suffices to prove that γ is round over K. By (1.6), we have $D_K(\alpha) = SD_K(\alpha) \neq K$; hence $D_K(\gamma) \subset D_K(\alpha) \subset$ $A(K)$. Let $\delta \in {\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_k}$, and assume δ_K is not isotropic. We want to show that $A(K) = D_K(\delta)$. By [6, Prop. 2] and [5, 2.2], we have $D_K(\delta) \subset A(K)$. We want to apply [10, 2.17] with S := $A(K)$. By [6, Th. 1], $A(K)$ is a group. Since $w \in D(\gamma) \subset A(F)$,

[7, 5.6] implies $A(K) \cap F^* = A(F)$. From [6, Prop. 1] it follows that $N(A(K)) \subset D_F(\langle 1, -w \rangle) \subset A(F) = D_F(\delta)$. Hence, [10, 2.17] yields $A(K) = D_K(\delta) \cdot (A(K) \cap F^*) = D_K(\delta) \cdot D_F(\delta) = D_K(\delta)$. Therefore, $D_K(\gamma) \subset D_K(\alpha)$, $D_K(\delta_i)$, which means that γ is round over K. Now, assume that $m \ge 1$ and that $w \in D_F(\alpha) \cdot D_F(\langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle) \setminus A(F)$, say (wlog) $w = at_1$ where $a \in D_F(\alpha)$. Then, $a \equiv t_1$ mod K^{*2} and $t_1 \in A(K)$ by [5, 2.3]. This gives

$$
\delta_i \otimes \langle \langle t_1, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle \cong_K \delta_i \otimes \langle \langle a, t_2, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\cong_K (\delta_i \perp a\delta_i) \otimes \langle \langle t_2, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle
$$

\n
$$
\cong_K (2\delta_i) \otimes \langle \langle t_2, \ldots, t_m \rangle \rangle = 0
$$

for $i = 1, ..., k$. Therefore, $\varphi_K \cong l \times \psi_K$. Since $D_F(\psi) = SD_F(\psi)$ the proof is complete by (1.6) . \Box

COROLLARY 1.8. If φ is a Pfister-decomposable form over F with $D_F(\varphi) \cup \{0\}$ containing an ordering of F, then φ remains round over $F(\sqrt{w})$ whenever $w \in D_F(\varphi)$. In particular, if φ is a Pfisterdecomposable universal form over F , then φ is round over any quadratic extension of F .

REMARKS 1.9. (1) We cannot omit the condition on $D_F(\varphi)$ in the preceding theorem: Using quadratic form scheme theory (cf. [11] and the explanations in $\S2$), one can show that there is a non-formally real field F such that there exist universal anisotropic forms $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle$ and $\langle 1, -d \rangle$ with $-1 \neq -d \neq -a \in D_F(\langle \langle a, b \rangle \rangle)$ and $a \neq 1$ (in quadratic form scheme notation: Consider F where $S(F) \cong S(\mathbb{Q}_2) \cap S(F_3)$. Then, $\varphi \cong \langle d, a, b, ab \rangle$ is round over F and hence over $F((t))$. But for $K := F((t))(\sqrt{a})$ we have $\varphi_K \cong \langle 1, -d, 1, -1 \rangle$ and $t \notin$ $D_K(\langle 1, -d \rangle)$. Hence, φ is not round over K.

(2) Naturally, the question arises whether the "process can be continued", i.e., whether the form φ remains round over another field extension $L = K(\sqrt{c})$ where $c \in D_K(\varphi)$, and so on. But it is clear from the proof of (1.7) that φ_K retains the properties used in the proof. Therefore, φ remains round over any 2ⁿ-extension K_n where $K_n \geq \cdots \geq K_1 \geq K_0 = F$ and $K_i = K_{i-1}(\sqrt{w})$ with $w \in D_{K_{i-1}}(\varphi)$ (we call such an extension a φ -2ⁿ-extension). This means in particular that φ remains round over any 2ⁿ-extension within the pythagorean closure of F and hence over any extension within the pythagorean closure.

THEOREM 1.10. If φ is a round form over a linked field F, then φ remains round over $K = F(\sqrt{w})$ whenever $w \in D_F(\varphi)$.

Proof. Let $w \in D_F(\varphi)$ and $K = F(\sqrt{w})$. According to (1.2), there exists a Pfister-decomposition $\varphi = l \times \psi + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \rho_i$ with either $\psi \neq 0$ and $D(\rho_i) = F^*$ for $i = 1, 2, 3 = m$ or $\psi = 0$ and $\rho_m \neq 0$ and $D(\rho_i) = F^*$ for $i < m \leq 3$. In the first case, the ρ_i are universal over K, by [10, 2.15]. Then, in case of $l > 1$, we have $D_F(\varphi) = D_F(\psi) =$ $SD_F(\psi)$ and the preceding theorem can be applied. In case of $l = 1$, φ is round over K by (1.4). If $\psi = 0$, we can again apply (1.4) and $[10, 2.15]$, thus completing the proof. \Box

REMARK 1.11. Again, the proof of (1.10) depends only on the existence of a special Pfister-decomposition of the round form. It is clear from the proof that the necessary properties are preserved under the quadratic extensions under consideration. Therefore, the process can be continued. Furthermore, if $l = 1$, then φ remains round over K whenever K lies in the quadratic closure of F .

2. Going-down. We shall use different hypotheses depending on the fields under consideration:

(1) φ is round over every quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in$ $D_F(\varphi);$

(2) φ is round over every quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in$ $\langle D_F(\varphi) \rangle$ (the group generated by $D_F(\varphi)$);

(3) φ is round over every quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{w})$ where $w \in$ $-D_F(\varphi)$.

Our proofs depend heavily on the possibility of representing form values as norms with respect to a quadratic extension $K \geq F$ and on extending orderings. For this reason, we must look at more extensions than originally intended $(cf. (2))$ or at different extensions $(cf. (3))$. (1) and (2) coincide if the form under consideration is a group form (note that from the "going-up" point of view it would be no restriction to require that φ_F is a group form!). Condition (3), however, is different and yields in general no "going-down" converse for the "going-up" theorems proved in \S 2. Moreover, its "going-up" converse is wrong in general. Nevertheless, this condition yields the best "going-down" result. To see this, we need the following result that applies the norm principles (stated in [10]) to the "going-down" situation.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let φ be a form over F and 1, $x \in D_F(\varphi)$. If there is a $z \in F^* \backslash F^{*2}$ such that $x \in D(\langle 1, -z \rangle)$, and if φ is round over $F(\sqrt{z})$, then $x \in G_F(\varphi)$.

Proof. Since $x \in D(\langle 1, -z \rangle)$, there exists a $u \in K := F(\sqrt{z})$ such that $N(u) = x$. Since $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$, [10, 2.13] yields $u \in F \cdot D_K(\varphi)$. Hence, there is a $\lambda \in F^*$ with $\lambda u \in D_K(\varphi)$. By [10, 2.11], $\lambda^2 x =$ $N(\lambda u) \in G_F(\varphi)$. \Box

COROLLARY 2.2 (cf. [10, 3.14]). Let φ be a form over F where $1 \in$ $D_F(\varphi) \not\supset -1$. Assume that φ fulfills condition (3). Then φ is round over F .

REMARK 2.3. The condition $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ cannot be omitted. Consider, for example, the form $\varphi \cong \langle \varepsilon, \pi \rangle$ over a *p*-adic field where ε is a unit $\not\equiv 1$, π is a prime, and $1 \equiv -1$. The condition $-1 \notin$ $D_F(\varphi)$ can be dropped in all cases except one. Assume that φ is a form over F with $1, -1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ which fulfills condition (3). By (2.1), we get $D_F(\varphi)$ – $F^{*2} \subset G_F(\varphi)$. Now, assume there exists an $a \in \tilde{D}_F(\varphi) \setminus \{\pm 1\}$. Then, from $a \in G_F(\varphi)$ it follows that $-1 \cdot a \in \tilde{D}_F(\varphi) \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ and hence $-a \in G_F(\varphi)$. Since $G_F(\varphi)$ is a group we have $-1 \in G_F(\varphi)$. Thus, if φ is not round over F, we have $\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi) = \{\pm 1\}$. If F is formally real, then this means that F must be euclidean, and the form $m \times (1) \perp n \times (-1)$ $(m, n \in \mathbb{N}^*, m \neq n)$ is really a counter-example in this case. Let F be non-formally real and let φ be a counterexample. We may assume dim $\varphi > 2$. Then, $D_F(\varphi) = F^*$, and hence $|F^*/F^{*2}| = 2$. Thus, we additionally may assume dim $\varphi \in 2\mathbb{N} + 3$. But by the Diller/Dress-theorem (which is also true in the non-formally real pythagorean case, cf. [10] (3.9)), $K \neq K^2$ for any quadratic extension $K \geq F$. It follows that φ is not round over $F(\sqrt{-1})$, but $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$, a contradiction to condition (3). We summarize: If F is not euclidean or the form under consideration is anisotropic, then we can omit the condition $-1 \notin D_F(\varphi)$ in (2.2). So, for universal forms we get:

COROLLARY 2.4. If φ is a universal form over F and anisotropic in the case F is euclidean, and if condition (1) $(\Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3))$ holds, then φ is round over F .

Proposition (2.1) yields an easy proof of a part of a theorem in [10] which concerns the going-down step on condition (1) :

THEOREM 2.5 (cf. [10, 3.6]). Let $\varphi \cong \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ be a form over F. If $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in G_F(\varphi)$, and if φ is round over every extension $F(\sqrt{a})$ where $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. We prove by induction on l that $x \in D_F(\langle a_1, \ldots, a_l \rangle)$ implies $x \in G_F(\varphi)$. The case $l = 1$ is trivial. So, assume $x = y + a_{l+1}$ where $y \in D_F(\langle a_1, \ldots, a_l \rangle) \subset G_F(\varphi)$. We may assume $x \neq a_{l+1}$. Since $x \in D_F(\langle y, a_{l+1} \rangle)$, we have $xy \in D(\langle 1, xy \rangle) \cap D(\langle 1, ya_{l+1} \rangle) \subset$ $D(\langle 1, -xa_{l+1}\rangle)$, by [8, Lemma]. Since $y, a_{l+1} \in G_F(\varphi)$, we have $xy, xa_{l+1} \in D_F(\varphi)$. Now, (2.1) yields $xy \in G_F(\varphi)$ and hence $x \in$ $G_F(\varphi)$. \Box

COROLLARY 2.6. Let ψ be a Pfister form over F and let $\varphi \cong l \times \psi$, $l \in 2N + 1$. If φ is round over every extension $F(\sqrt{a})$ where $a \in$ $D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

We now want to show that for certain classes of forms and special classes of fields the hypotheses of Theorem 2.5 can be weakened. For a form φ over F there exists a decomposition $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2$ where φ_1 is 0 or has a diagonalization in which the entries are squares or non-rigid elements and φ_2 is 0 or represents only rigid elements \neq 1. We call any such decomposition where dim φ_2 is minimal an nrdecomposition of φ . If there is an nr-decomposition of φ with $\varphi_2 =$ 0, then we say that φ is nr-representable.

THEOREM 2.7. Let φ be a form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ which is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$. Then, any non-rigid element of $D_F(\varphi)$ lies in $G_F(\varphi)$. In particular, if $\varphi_1 \perp \langle b_1, \ldots, b_l \rangle$ is an nr-decomposition of φ with $b_1, \ldots, b_l \in G_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F .

Proof. Let z be a non-rigid element in $D_F(\varphi) \backslash F^2$. Then, φ has a subform $\langle 1, c \rangle$ such that $z \in D_F(\langle 1, c \rangle)$, and hence c is non-rigid. Pick an arbitrary $x \in \widetilde{D}_F(\langle 1, c \rangle) \setminus \{1, c\}$. Now, $x \in D_F(\langle 1, x \rangle)$ $D_F(\langle 1, c \rangle) \subset D_F(\langle 1, -xc \rangle)$, by [8, Lemma], and $xc \in D_F(\langle 1, c \rangle)$ $D_F(\varphi)$. Thus, by (2.1), $x \in G_F(\varphi)$. Since $xc \in \widetilde{D}_F(\langle 1, c \rangle) \setminus \{1, c\}$, we have also $xc \in G_F(\varphi)$ and hence $c \in G_F(\varphi)$. Therefore, $z \in$ $D(\langle 1, c \rangle) \subset G_F(\varphi)$. \Box

COROLLARY 2.8. Let φ be a form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$. If φ is nr-representable and round over every extension $F(\sqrt{a})$ where $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is also round over F.

Assume that the radical of F is not F^{*2} (cf. [4]). It is well known that any element of the radical is represented by every 1-fold Pfister form. Thus, using (2.1) , we get the desired going-down theorem without any restrictions on rigidity. So, we may restrict ourselves in the sequel to the situation where the underlying field F has a trivial radical (hence the notions of [5] and [6] coincide). We shall now have a closer look at the nonformally real case. We call a form φ basic if it has a diagonalization with basic entries. It is well known that in this case the anisotropic part of φ represents only basic elements (if any). Furthermore, using the results of [6], we easily get the following fact: Let $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2 t_2 \perp \cdots \perp \varphi_n t_n$ be a (not necessarily anisotropic) form where the φ_i are basic forms and the t_i are birigid with $t_i \neq t_j \mod A(F)$. Then, φ is a basic form iff $\varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_n$ are hyperbolic, that is, 0 in $W(F)$. We call φ_1 the basic part of φ , if φ is anisotropic. As a standard application of the properties of rigid elements and their behaviour under quadratic extensions (cf. [5], [6]) we get:

PROPOSITION 2.9. Let $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2 t_2 \perp \cdots \perp \varphi_n t_n$ be an anisotropic form over F where the φ_i are basic forms and t_2, \ldots, t_n are birigid with $t_i \not\equiv t_j \mod A(F)$ for $i \neq j$. If t is a birigid element in F, then $(\varphi_{F(\sqrt{t})})_a$ is not universal. If $t = bt_2$ with $b \in A(F)$, then $\varphi_1 \perp b\varphi_2$ equals the basic part of φ_a in $W(F(\sqrt{t}))$.

PROPOSITION 2.10. Let φ , ψ be basic forms over F, let $t \in$ $F^*\backslash A(F)$, and $K:=F(\sqrt{t})$.

- (i) If $\varphi \cong \psi$ over K, then $\varphi \cong \psi$ over F.
- (ii) If φ is round over K, then φ is hyperbolic or anisotropic and round over F .

Proof. (i) $\varphi \perp -\psi$ is a basic form over F. From $\varphi - \psi = 0$ in $W(K)$ we get $(\varphi - \psi)_a \cong_F \langle 1, -t \rangle \otimes \rho$ for an F-form ρ (possibly $= 0$). From this we get easily $\varphi \cong \psi$ over F.

(ii) According to (2.9), we may assume that φ_K is either hyperbolic or anisotropic. If φ_K is hyperbolic, then $\varphi \cong m \times \langle 1, -1 \rangle$ over K and hence also over F, by (i). If φ_K is anisotropic, then so is φ_F . We have $\varphi \cong x\varphi$ for $x \in D_F(\varphi)$ ($\subset A(F)$) over K; hence by (i) $\varphi \cong x\varphi$ over F, i.e., φ is round over F. Ò

We now settle the non-formally real case where besides squares only birigid elements are represented. According to (2.4) , we can restrict ourselves to anisotropic forms.

LEMMA 2.11. Let F be non-formally real, and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1 \in D(\varphi)$. Moreover, let $\varphi' \cong \gamma \otimes \langle t \rangle$ where γ is a basic form and $t \notin A(F)$. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. We may assume that dim $\gamma \ge 2$ and $1 \in D_F(\gamma)$. Since F is non-formally real, we have dim $\varphi \in 2\mathbb{N}$ (cf. [14]) and hence dim $\gamma \geq$ 3. Let $d := \det \gamma$. For $a \in D_F(\gamma)$ we obtain $\varphi \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp a\gamma$ over $F(\sqrt{at})$. By (2.10), $\langle 1 \rangle \perp a\gamma$ is round and anisotropic over F for every $a \in D_F(\gamma)$ since γ is anisotropic. First assume dim $\gamma \in 1+4N$. By [14, 1.1], we have $D_F(\langle 1 \rangle \perp a\gamma) \subset D_F(\langle 1, ad \rangle)$ $\forall a \in D_F(\gamma)$. Pick $a \in D_F(\gamma)$. Since $a \in D(a\gamma) \cap D(\gamma)$, we get $a \in D(\langle 1, d \rangle) \cap D(\gamma)$ $D(\langle 1, ad \rangle) \subset D_F(\langle 1, -a \rangle)$. Thus, $a \in D(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$, and hence $D(\gamma) \subset$ $D(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$, which gives $D(\langle 1 \rangle \perp \gamma) \subset D(\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle)$. Since $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \gamma$ is round over F, it follows from $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \gamma \cong \langle 1, 1 \rangle \perp \gamma'$ and $D(\gamma') \subset$ $D(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$ that $1 \in D(\gamma')$. So, $\langle 1, 1, 1 \rangle$ is a subform of $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \gamma$. But then, by Kneser's lemma, $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \gamma$ is isotropic, a contradiction. Hence, dim $\gamma = 1$, and we are done. Now, assume dim $\gamma \in 3 + 4N$. By [14, 1.1], we have $ad \in D_F(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$ $\forall a \in D_F(\gamma)$; in particular $d \in$ $D_F(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$ since $1 \in D_F(\gamma)$. Thus, again $a \in D_F(\langle 1, 1 \rangle)$ $\forall a \in D_F(\gamma)$ and we get a contradiction as above. This establishes the proof. \Box

We need a simple lemma on the structure of round forms over fields with birigid elements proved in [2]:

LEMMA 2.12 (cf. $[2, 3.3]$). Let φ be a round and anisotropic form over F. Then, $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2$ where φ_1 is a basic round form over F and φ_2 is a Pfister form and where φ'_2 represents only birigid elements.

LEMMA 2.13. Let F be non-formally real and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ and $D(\varphi') \subset F^* \backslash A(F)$. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. According to (2.11), we may assume that there are birigid elements $x, y \in D_F(\varphi)$ with $x \not\equiv y \mod A(F)$. Wlog let dim $\varphi \geq 3$. Then, $\varphi \cong \langle 1 \rangle \perp \alpha \otimes \langle x \rangle \perp \beta$, where α is a basic form and $D_F(\beta) \cap$ $xA(F) = \emptyset$. By (2.9), (1) $\perp \alpha \otimes \langle x \rangle$ equals the basic part of φ_a over $F(\sqrt{ax})$ where $a \in D_F(\alpha)$. Hence, $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \alpha \otimes \langle x \rangle$ is round over $F(\sqrt{ax})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\alpha)$, by (2.12) in case φ is anisotropic over $F(\sqrt{ax})$ or by (2.9) otherwise. From (2.11) we get $\alpha \cong \langle 1 \rangle$. Hence, $\varphi \cong \langle 1, x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ where $x_i \notin A(F)$ and $x_i \not\equiv x_i \mod A(F)$ for

 $i \neq j$. It suffices to prove $x_1x_2 \in D_F(\varphi)$. According to (2.2), (2.3), we may assume $1 \neq -1 \mod F^{*2}$. By (2.9), $\varphi_{F(\sqrt{x})} \cong \langle 1, 1, x_2, ..., x_n \rangle$ is anisotropic and, by [5, 2.3], x_2, \ldots, x_n are birigid over $F(\sqrt{x_1})$. By (2.12) and [6, Prop. 5], there exists an $i \in \{3, ..., n\}$ such that $x_2 \equiv x_i \mod A(K)$. Hence, $x_2x_i \in A(K) \cap F = \{1, x_1\}A(F)$ (by [7, 5.6]). Thus, there is an $a \in A(F)$ such that $x_i = ax_1x_2$. Again by (2.12), we have $\langle 1, 1 \rangle \cong \langle 1, a \rangle$ over $F(\sqrt{x_1})$, and hence $a \in F^2$. This completes the proof. \Box

In the remaining "mixed" case we were not able to prove a general result. We restrict ourselves to fields whose quadratic form schemes are elementary constructible starting with schemes of non-formally real fields with *u*-invariant ≤ 2 and the *p*-adic fields (that means the schemes can be constructed from those of the "starting fields" building product schemes and power schemes; cf. Kula [11], [12]). We use the notation of [11]. The above class of fields will be denoted by Ω . For a form φ over a product scheme $S(K_1) \cap S(K_2)$ $(K_1, K_2$ fields) we write $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \cdots a_n \\ b_1 \cdots b_n \end{pmatrix}$ instead of $\langle (a_1, b_1), \ldots, (a_n, b_n) \rangle$ where $\langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ and $\langle b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle$ are forms over $S(K_1)$ and $S(K_2)$ respectively. We call $S(K_1) \sqcap S(K_2)$ a non-trivial product if neither $S(K_1)$ nor $S(K_2)$ is equivalent to $S(\mathbb{C})$. If $S(K) \cong S(K_1) \cap S(K_2)$, we identify square classes of K with scheme elements of $S(K)$, and forms over K with forms over $S(K)$ (cf. [2]). A power scheme of a scheme S is denoted by S^T where T is an elementary abelian 2-group (for the exact definition see [11]).

PROPOSITION 2.14. Let F be a non-formally real field with $S(F) \cong$ $(S(K_1) \sqcap S(K_2))^T$ where $S(K_1) \sqcap S(K_2)$ is a non-trivial product. Let φ and ψ be basic forms over F with $\dim \varphi \geq 2$ and $1 \in D(\varphi)$. If $\varphi \cong \psi$ holds over $K = F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then $\varphi \cong \psi$ over F .

Proof. After identification we may assume

$$
\varphi \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1 \cdots a_n \\ 1 & b_1 \cdots b_n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \cdots c_m \\ d_1 \cdots d_m \end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since F is nonreal, both K_1 and K_2 must be nonreal. According to Kneser's lemma, there are $a \in \widetilde{D}_{K_1}(\langle 1, a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle) \setminus \{1\}$ and $b \in$ $\widetilde{D}_{K_1}(\langle 1, b_1, \ldots, b_n \rangle) \setminus \{1\}$. Hence, $\widetilde{a} := \binom{a}{1} \in \widetilde{D}_K(\varphi) \setminus \{1\}$. We have $\varphi \cong \psi$ over $F(\sqrt{\tilde{a}})$ and over $F(\sqrt{\tilde{b}})$ as well, and hence

$$
(\varphi - \psi)_a \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -a \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \rho \quad \text{and} \quad (\varphi - \psi)_a \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -b \end{pmatrix} \otimes \delta
$$

over F for some F-forms ρ , δ . Since $\varphi - \psi$ is basic, the forms ρ and δ are also basic, that is, they are defined over $S(K_1) \cap S(K_2)$. Thus.

$$
\varphi \perp - \psi = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 1 & -1 \dots 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and }
$$

$$
\varphi \perp - \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \dots 1 & -1 \\ * & \end{pmatrix}
$$

and hence $\varphi - \psi = 0$.

THEOREM 2.15. Let $F \in \Omega$. If φ is a form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ such that φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F .

Proof. According to (2.4) and (2.13), we may assume that φ is anisotropic and of the type $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2 t_2 \perp \cdots \perp \varphi_n t_n$ where the φ_i are basic forms with $1 \in D_F(\varphi_i)$ for $i = 1, ..., n$, dim $\varphi_1 \geq 2$, and the t_i are birigid with $t_i \neq t_j \mod A(F)$ for $i \neq j$. By (2.7), φ_1 is round over F and $D(\varphi_1) \subset G(\varphi_i) \subset D(\varphi_i)$ for $i = 2, ..., n$. Let $a \in$ $D_F(\varphi_1)$ $\backslash F^2$ and $K = F(\sqrt{a})$. If $(\varphi_1)_K$ is isotropic, then φ_1 contains a subform $\langle z, -az \rangle$ over $F(z \in F^*)$ and hence $-a \in D_F(\varphi_1)$. But then $-1 \in D_F(\varphi_1) \subset G_F(\varphi)$ and, by (2.2) and (2.3), we are done. Therefore, we may assume that φ_1 is anisotropic over $K = F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi_1)$. Let $j \in \{2, ..., n\}$. If $(\varphi_j)_K$ is isotropic, then $\langle w, -wa \rangle$ is a subform of φ_i over F for some $w \in D_F(\varphi_i)$ and we get $wt_i \in G_F(\varphi)$, by (2.1). This implies $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_i$ over F. If $(\varphi_i)_L$ is anisotropic for all $L = F(\sqrt{b})$ where $b \in D_F(\varphi_1)$, then also $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_i$ over F, by (2.12) and (2.14) or trivially (in case $S(F)$ is equivalent to a power scheme of a scheme of a "starting field"). Thus, we have $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_2 \cong \cdots \cong \varphi_n$ over F. Now it suffices to prove $t_i t_j \in D_F(\varphi)$ for $i \neq j$. Wlog let $i = 2$, $j = 3$. Moreover, let $K := F(\sqrt{t_2})$. Then, φ_K is round and anisotropic or hyperbolic, by (2.9). If φ_K is anisotropic, we have $t_3 \cong t_i \mod A(K)$ for a $j \ge 4$, by (2.12). As in the proof of (2.13), we now get $t_i = at_2t_3$ for an $a \in A(F)$. We have $\varphi_1 \perp \varphi_1 \cong \varphi_1 \perp a\varphi_1$ over K, according to (2.12). Hence, $\varphi_1 \cong a\varphi_1$ over K and, by (2.10), $\varphi_1 \cong a\varphi_1$ over F, so we are done. In the hyperbolic case we get $\varphi_1 \cong -\varphi_1$ over K, by (2.9),

 \Box

and hence over F, by (2.10). This means $-1 \in D_F(\varphi_1) \subset G_F(\varphi)$, and again we are done. \Box

We now want to examine the formally real pythagorean case.

LEMMA 2.16. Let F be f.r. pythagorean and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1, x, y, xy \in D_F(\varphi)$ for some $x, y \in F\backslash F^2$ with $x \notin vF^2$. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F .

Proof. Let P be an ordering of F . Then, at least one element of the set $\{x, y, xy\}$ is in P, say x. Now, P extends to an ordering \tilde{P} over $F(\sqrt{x})$ (cf. [16, 3.1.11]). Since φ is round over $F(\sqrt{x})$ we have $\operatorname{sgn}_{\widetilde{p}} \varphi \in \{0, \dim \varphi\}$, by [14, 1.1]. Hence, $\operatorname{sgn}_P \varphi \in \{0, \dim \varphi\}$ for all orderings P of F. According to the proof of [3, Satz 16], $\varphi \cong l \times \psi$ for a Pfister form ψ , that is, φ is round over F. \Box

COROLLARY 2.17. Let F be a f.r. pythagorean field and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ and $|\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi)| > 2$. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in \langle D_F(\varphi) \rangle$, then φ is round over F.

COROLLARY 2.18. Let F be a f.r. pythagorean field and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ such that φ represents at least one non-rigid element. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

LEMMA 2.19. Let F be a SAP-pythagorean field, and let φ be an anisotropic form over F with $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$ and $|\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi)| > 2$. If φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. Wlog we may assume dim $\varphi \ge 3$ and $\varphi \cong \langle 1, a, b, \dots \rangle$ where a, $b \notin F^2$ and $a \notin bF^2$. By [17, Prop. 7] (or [15, Th. 3.1]), $\langle 1, a, b, -ab \rangle$ is isotropic, hence $ab \in D_F(\langle 1, a, b \rangle) \subset D_F(\varphi)$. Now, (2.16) yields the claim. \Box

We now consider the case where at least one birigid element is represented by φ .

PROPOSITION 2.20. Let F be a f.r. pythagorean field and let $\varphi \cong$ $\alpha \perp \beta t$ be an anisotropic form over F where α and β are basic, $1 \in D(\alpha)$, $D(\beta)$, and $t \in F^*\backslash A(F)$. If $|\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi)| > 2$ and φ is round over $F(\sqrt{c})$ whenever $c \in D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. First assume $|\tilde{D}_F(\beta)| > 1$. Then, there exists a non-square $a \in D_F(\beta)$. Now, $\alpha \perp \beta$ is round over $F(\sqrt{t})$ and $\alpha \perp \alpha \beta$ is round over $F(\sqrt{at})$. By (2.10), $\alpha \perp \beta$ and $\alpha \perp a\beta$ are round over F. Hence, $a\alpha \perp a\beta \cong \alpha \perp \beta$ and $\alpha \perp \alpha\beta \cong a\alpha \perp \beta$. From this we get $a\alpha \cong \alpha$, since F is pythagorean. So a, t, at $\in D_F(\varphi)$, and by (2.16) we are done. Now, assume $\widetilde{D}(\beta) = \{1\}$ and $b \in D_F(\alpha) \backslash F^2$. By (2.18), we may assume that b is rigid; hence $K := F(\sqrt{b})$ is pythagorean, by [13, 5.15]. If φ is isotropic over K, then it is hyperbolic. But this means $\beta_K = 0$ since α , β are basic and t is birigid over K, a contradiction. Hence, φ_K is anisotropic. By (2.12), we have $\alpha_F \cong \langle 1, \ldots, 1, b, \ldots, b \rangle$ and $\dim \alpha = \dim \beta$. But then $\alpha \perp \beta t$ is not round over the pythagorean field $F(\sqrt{t})$. This completes the proof. \Box

LEMMA 2.21. Let F be a f.r. pythagorean field and let φ be an anisotropic form over F which represents 1 and at least one birigid element. If $|\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi)| > 2$ and φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in$ $D_F(\varphi)$, then φ is round over F.

Proof. According to (2.20), we may assume that φ represents birigid elements t_1, t_2 with $t_1 \neq t_2 \mod A(F)$. Let $\varphi \cong \varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2 t_2 \perp \cdots \perp$ $\varphi_n t_n$ where $t_4 = t_2 t_3$, the φ_i are basic forms, $t_i \neq t_i \mod A(F)$, and possibly $\varphi_4 = 0$. We may assume that φ is anisotropic over $F(\sqrt{t_2})$ and $F(\sqrt{t_3})$, by (2.10). According to (2.12), we get $\varphi_1 \perp \varphi_2 \cong \varphi_3 \perp$ φ_4 over $F(\sqrt{t_2})$ and hence over F, by (2.10). Analogously, we get $\varphi_1 \perp \varphi_3 \cong \varphi_2 \perp \varphi_4$ over F. Thus, $\varphi_2 \cong_F \varphi_3$ since F is pythagorean. By cancelling, we get $\varphi_1 \cong \varphi_4$, and by (2.16), we are done. \Box

If we consider formally real fields with u -invariant 2 we easily get the desired result. In this case, there exists a torsion Pfister form $\langle 1, x \rangle \neq 0$, so $D(\langle 1, x \rangle) = F^*$. Now, (2.1) yields the desired result and we do not need the additional assumption that the form under consideration must represent more than two elements modulo $F^*{}^2$. Even if we require this, there are counter-examples in case of $u(F) \geq 4$:

COUNTER-EXAMPLES 2.22. In the sequel we need a slight generalization of (1.3) . It is immediate from $[6, Th. 1, Prop. 1]$ that the assertion of (1.3) holds also for different linked basic Pfister forms $\varphi \neq 0$, ρ where $D(\rho) = A(F)$.

(1) Let F be a field with $S(F) \cong (S(\mathbb{R}) \cap S(F_3))^T$ where $T = \{1, t\}$. Consider the form

$$
\varphi \cong \left(\begin{array}{c}1\\1\end{array}\right) \perp \left(\begin{array}{cc}1&1&1\\1&1&-1\end{array}\right)t
$$

over F (so, $D_F(\varphi) = \{(\begin{matrix}1\\1\end{matrix}), (\begin{matrix}1\\1\end{matrix})t, (\begin{matrix}1\\-1\end{matrix})t\}$). Using [5, 2.3], [6, Prop. 2] and the generalized version of (1.3) it is easy to check that φ is round over $F(\sqrt{a})$ whenever $a \in D_F(\varphi)$. But, according to (2.12), φ is not round over F . This also shows that Proposition (2.20) does not hold in general when $u(F) \ge 4$. Moreover, since F is a SAP-field, the assertion of Lemma (2.19) is not true for every SAP-field.

(2) Let $L := \mathbb{Q}_2(\sqrt{-1})$, and let $\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle$ be the unique anisotropic 4-dimensional form over L (cf. [16, p. 217]). Then, $D_L(\langle a, b, ab \rangle) = L^* \setminus L^{*2}$ and, by [16, 4.1.7], for any $v \in L^* \setminus L^{*2}$ there exists a $w \in L^*$ such that $\langle 1, a, b, ab \rangle \cong \langle 1, v, w, vw \rangle$. Now, let F be a field with $S(F) \cong (S(\mathbb{R}) \cap S(L))^T$ where $T = \{1, t\}$. Define

$$
\varphi:=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1\\1&a&b&ab\end{pmatrix}\quad\text{and}\quad \psi:=\begin{pmatrix}1&1&1&1\\1&-1&1&-1\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Let $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 7 \end{pmatrix}$ be any element of $\widetilde{D}_F(\varphi)\setminus\{1\}$. Then,

$$
\varphi \perp -\psi \cong \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -z \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & x & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$

for an $x \in L^*/L^{*2}$. Hence, $\varphi \cong \psi$ over $F(\sqrt{x})$ whenever $x \in$ $D_F(\varphi)\backslash F^2$. Now, consider the form $\gamma := \varphi \perp \psi t$ over F. Using the same arguments as in (1) one can check that γ is round over any quadratic extension $F(\sqrt{z})$ where $z \in D_F(y)$, however, by (2.12), it is not round over F. Note also that the form γ represents a group. Hence, even if we use the stronger condition (2) (of the list at the beginning of this section) instead of (1) , we have counter-examples.

Finally, we want to examine how the going-down property can be generalized. The appropriate generalization is to require "roundness" over φ -2^{*n*}-extensions (cf. (1.9)(2)). The usual induction proofs yield:

LEMMA 2.23. Let φ be an nr-representable (resp. anisotropic) form over F and let $1 \in D_F(\varphi)$. If φ is round over any φ -2ⁿ-extension (resp. 2^n -extension) of F for a fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, then φ is round over F.

REFERENCES

- B. Alpers, *Round quadratic forms*, J. Algebra, to appear. $[1]$
- Zum Transitivitätsverhalten von Kollineationsgruppen affin-metrischer und $[2]$ projektiv-metrischer Räume, Ph.D. Thesis, Hamburg 1988.
- $[3]$ E. Becker and E. Köpping, Reduzierte quadratische Formen und Semiordnungen reeller Körper, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, 46 (1977), 143-177.
- L. Berman, *Pythagorean fields and the Kaplansky radical*, J. Algebra, 61 (1979), $[4]$ 497-507.
- ..., Quadratic forms and power series fields, Pacific J. Math., 89 (1980), 257– $[5]$ 267.
- $[6]$ L. Berman, C. Cordes, and R. Ware, Quadratic forms, rigid elements, and formal power series fields, J. Algebra, 66 (1980), 123-133.
- $[7]$ R. Bos, Quadratic forms, orderings, and abstract Witt rings, Ph.D. Thesis, Utrecht, 1984.
- C. Cordes and J. R. Ramsey, *Quadratic forms over fields with* $u = q/2 < +\infty$, $[8]$ Fund. Math., 99 (1978), 1-10.
- R. Elman and T. Y. Lam, Quadratic forms and the u-invariant II, Invent. Math., $[9]$ 21 (1973), 125-137.
- $[10]$ 42.
- $[11]$ M. Kula, Fields with prescribed quadratic form schemes, Math. Z. 167 (1979), $201 - 212$.
- ..., Fields and quadratic form schemes, Uniw. Slaski Kat. Prace Nauk.-Prace $[12]$ Mat., 693 (1985) = Ann. Math. Sil., 1 (1985), 7-22.
- $[13]$ T. Y. Lam, Orderings, valuations and quadratic forms, CBMS, AMS, Providence, 1983.
- M. Marshall, Round quadratic forms, Math. Z., 140 (1974), 255-262. $[14]$
- A. Rosenberg and R. Ware, *Equivalent topological properties of the space of* $[15]$ signatures of a semilocal ring, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 23 (1976), 283-288.
- W. Scharlau, Quadratic and Hermitian Forms, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New $[16]$ York-Tokyo, 1985.
- [17] D. Shapiro and T. Y. Lam, The square class invariant for pythagorean fields, Contemporary Math., 8 (1982), 327–340.

Received January 18, 1989 and in revised form July 6, 1989. This article was written during the author's stay as a postdoctoral fellow at the University of Saskatchewan in Saskatoon. The author would like to thank this institution, in particular Prof. Marshall, for the hospitality and the inspiring atmosphere.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN S7N 0W0, CANADA

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF (1906-1982) (1904-1989) K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 *Revision)* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews.* Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1991 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics