Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SINGULAR PERTURBATIONS OF SECOND ORDER CAUCHY PROBLEMS

KLAUS-JOCHEN ENGEL

Vol. 152, No. 1

January 1992

ON SINGULAR PERTURBATIONS OF SECOND ORDER CAUCHY PROBLEMS

KLAUS-J. ENGEL

We give an explicit formula for the solution of complete second order Cauchy problems in Banach spaces. Using this formula we derive an estimate for the growth of the solution in terms of an associated scalar ODE. Finally these results are applied to singular perturbations of second order Cauchy problems.

1. Introduction. We are concerned with the second order Cauchy problem

$$(ACP_{\varepsilon}) \qquad \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}''(t) + 2Bu_{\varepsilon}'(t) = Au_{\varepsilon}(t), \qquad t \ge 0, u_{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0 \in D(A), \qquad u_{\varepsilon}'(0) = u_1 \in D(A)$$

in a Banach space E where A is the generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $(C_A(t))$ commuting with the bounded operator $B \in \mathscr{L}(E)$. It is well known that for $\varepsilon > 0$ (ACP $_{\varepsilon}$) is well-posed, i.e., it admits a unique solution which depends continuously on the initial conditions u_0 and u_1 .

This paper is organized as follows. We first give (in case $\varepsilon = 1$) an explicit representation of the solution $u(\cdot)$ of (ACP_1) in terms of $C_A(t)$ and B. Then we use this formula to derive an estimate for the growth of u(t). In fact, we associate with (ACP_1) a scalar ODE and show that its solution dominates ||u(t)||. Finally these results are used to show convergence of $u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to the unique solution of

(ACP₀)
$$2Bu'_0(t) = Au_0(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

 $u_0(0) = u_0$

provided that the spectral bound of -B is less than zero. Moreover, from the proof of this result we conclude that under the above assumptions AB^{-1} generates an analytic semigroup.

2. The explicit formula. In order to state the main result of this section we need the following definitions. For a bounded operator $Q \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ we define the *modified Bessel function of order zero* by

$$I_0(Q) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{Q}{2})^{2n}}{(n!)^2}.$$

Moreover, for two functions F and G defined on \mathbb{R}_+ we denote by F * G the convolution of F and G, i.e., $F * G(t) := \int_0^t F(s)G(t-s) ds$. Using this notation we can show the following result. All integrals are understood in the strong operator topology.

THEOREM 1. Let A be the generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $(C_A(t))$ which commutes with $B \in \mathscr{L}(E)$. Then the unique solution of the well-posed second order Cauchy problem

(1)
$$u''(t) + 2Bu'(t) = Au(t), \quad t \ge 0,$$

 $u(0) = u_0 \in D(A), \quad u'(0) = u_1 \in D(A)$

is given by

$$u(t) = M_{A,B}(t)u_0 + N_{A,B}(t)u_1.$$

Here

$$N_{A,B}(t) := e^{-tB} \cdot S_{A+B^2}(t),$$

$$M_{A,B}(t) := e^{-tB} \cdot (C_A(t) + B \cdot S_{A+B^2}(t) + B^2 \cdot C_A * S_{A+B^2}(t)),$$

where

$$S_{A+B^2}(t) := \int_0^t I_0(2B\sqrt{s(t-s)}) \cdot C_A(2s-t) \, ds \, .$$

*Proof.*¹ By [10, Thm. 6] (or see [6, Chap. 2.7 & 2.8]) (1) is wellposed. First we show that $(S_{A+B^2}(t))$ is the sine family generated by $A + B^2$. For this it suffices to verify that the Laplace transform

$$\mathscr{L}(S_{A+B^2})(\lambda) := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \cdot S_{A+B^2}(t) \, dt$$

satisfies the equality

(2)
$$\mathscr{L}(S_{A+B^2})(\lambda) = R(\lambda^2, A+B^2) = \sum_{0}^{\infty} B^{2n} R(\lambda^2, A)^{n+1}$$

for λ sufficiently large. Indeed,

$$\mathscr{L}(S_{A+B^{2}})(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{t} \sum_{0}^{\infty} B^{2n} \frac{s^{n}}{n!} \frac{(t-s)^{n}}{n!} \cdot C_{A}(2s-t) \, ds \, dt$$
$$= \sum_{0}^{\infty} B^{2n} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{n}}{n!} \frac{(t-s)^{n}}{n!} \cdot C_{A}(2s-t) \, ds \, dt$$

and (2) follows from the convolution theorem for the Laplace transform and the following lemma.

¹For similar arguments see [1, Thm. 1].

LEMMA 2. If $S_A^{*(n+1)}(t)$ denotes the (n + 1)-fold convolution of the sine family $(S_A(t))$ generated by A, then

$$\int_0^t \frac{s^n}{n!} \frac{(t-s)^n}{n!} \cdot C_A(2s-t) \, ds = S_A^{*(n+1)}(t) \, .$$

Proof of Lemma 2. The case n = 0 is trivial. The general case is obtained by induction from the following computation.

$$(n!)^{2}S_{A}^{*(n+1)}(t) = n^{2}((n-1)!)^{2} \int_{0}^{t} S_{A}^{*n}(u) \cdot S_{A}(t-u) du$$

$$= n^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{u} s^{n-1}(u-s)^{n-1} \cdot C_{A}(2s-u) ds S_{A}(t-u) du$$

$$= \frac{n^{2}}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{u} s^{n-1}(u-s)^{n-1}(S_{A}(t-2s) + S_{A}(t+2s-2u)) ds du$$

$$= n^{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{u} s^{n-1}(u-s)^{n-1} \cdot S_{A}(t-2s) ds du$$

$$= n^{2} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1} \cdot S_{A}(t-2s) \int_{s}^{t} (u-s)^{n-1} du ds$$

$$= n \int_{0}^{t} s^{n-1}(t-s)^{n} \cdot S_{A}(t-2s) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} n(s^{n-1}(t-s)^{n} - s^{n}(t-s)^{n-1}) \cdot S_{A}(t-2s) ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [s^{n}(t-s)^{n} \cdot S_{A}(t-2s)]_{s=0}^{s=t} + \int_{0}^{t} s^{n}(t-s)^{n} \cdot C_{A}(t-2s) ds$$

$$= \int_{0}^{t} s^{n}(t-s)^{n} \cdot C_{A}(2s-t) ds.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 1 and show that

$$C_{A+B^2}(t) := C_A(t) + B^2 \cdot C_A * S_{A+B^2}(t)$$

is the cosine family generated by $A + B^2$. Again it is sufficient to verify that the Laplace transform of $C_{A+B^2}(\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\mathscr{L}(C_{A+B^2})(\lambda) = \lambda R(\lambda^2, A+B^2)$$

for λ sufficiently large. In fact, by the convolution theorem and the resolvent equation we have

$$\begin{split} \mathscr{L}(C_{A+B^2})(\lambda) &= \lambda R(\lambda^2, A) + B^2 \lambda R(\lambda^2, A) R(\lambda^2, A+B^2) \\ &= \lambda R(\lambda^2, A+B^2) \,. \end{split}$$

Let $x, y \in D(A)$, then the above results imply

$$\begin{split} (S_{A+B^2}(t)x)'' &- (A+B^2)(S_{A+B^2}(t)x) = 0\,,\\ S_{A+B^2}(0)x &= 0\,, \quad (S_{A+B^2}(\cdot)x)'(0) = x\,,\\ (C_{A+B^2}(t)y)'' &- (A+B^2)(C_{A+B^2}(t)y) = 0\,,\\ C_{A+B^2}(0)y &= y\,, \quad (C_{A+B^2}(\cdot)y)'(0) = 0 \end{split}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. Using this one easily verifies (or see [10, Proof of Thm. 6]) that for $N_{A,B}(t) := e^{-tB} \cdot S_{A+B^2}(t)$ and $\widetilde{M}_{A,B}(t) := e^{-tB} \cdot C_{A+B^2}(t)$ we have

$$\begin{split} &(N_{A,B}(t)x)'' + 2B(N_{A,B}(t)x)' - A(N_{A,B}(t)x) = 0, \\ &N(0)x = 0, \quad (N(\cdot)x)'(0) = x, \\ &(\widetilde{M}_{A,B}(t)y)'' + 2B(\widetilde{M}_{A,B}(t)y)' - A(\widetilde{M}_{A,B}(t)y) = 0, \\ &\widetilde{M}(0)y = y, \quad (\widetilde{M}(\cdot)y)'(0) = -By \end{split}$$

for all $t \ge 0$. Hence the solution of (1) is given by

$$M_{A,B}(t)u_0 + N_{A,B}(t)u_1 + N_{A,B}(t)Bu_0 = M_{A,B}(t)u_0 + N_{A,B}(t)u_1,$$

where $M_{A,B}(t) = e^{-tB} \cdot (C_A(t) + B \cdot S_{A+B^2}(t) + B^2 \cdot C_A * S_{A+B^2}(t))$. \Box

3. Asymptotics. In this section we estimate the growth of the solution of (1) in terms of an associated scalar ODE (see (3) below). For this purpose we first have to find an estimate for the growth of $||I_0(tQ)||$ for a bounded operator $Q \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ and all $t \ge 0$. By definition of the Bessel function it is clear that $||I_0(tQ)|| \le I_0(t||Q||)$ which turns out to be a very rough result. The following lemma relates the Bessel function to the exponential function for which very sharp estimates exist. In fact it is well known (see, e.g., [9, A-III.1]) that for $Q \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ the spectral bound

$$s(Q) := \sup\{\operatorname{Re} \lambda : \lambda \in \sigma(Q)\}$$

and the growth bound

$$\omega(Q) := \inf \{ \omega \in \mathbb{R} : \text{ there exists } M_{\omega} \\ \text{ such that } \|e^{tQ}\| \le M_{\omega} \cdot e^{t\omega} \text{ for } t \ge 0 \}$$

coincide. Combining these results will give a significant improvement of the above estimate.

LEMMA 3. Let
$$Q \in \mathscr{L}(E)$$
. Then

$$I_0(Q) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{Q \cdot \cos s} \, ds$$

Proof. As in the scalar case (see [14, Chap. II, 2.21]) the lemma can be proved by expanding $e^{Q\cos s}$ into a Taylor series and using the fact that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos s)^{2n+1} ds = 0,$$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\cos s)^{2n} ds = 2\pi \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdot \dots \cdot 2n}$$

The formula then follows without difficulty.

Before we can state the main result of this section we need some further notations. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we denote by

$$c_{\alpha}(t) := \cosh(\sqrt{\alpha}t)$$
 and $s_{\alpha}(t) := 1 * c_{\alpha}(t)$

the cosine and the sine family, respectively, generated by α . Then by [13, Thm. 2.5] (or see [3, Chap. II.5]) there exist constants $M_A \ge 1$, $\omega \ge 0$ such that

$$||C_A(t)|| \le M_A \cdot c_{\omega}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \ge 0.$$

Moreover, for b < -s(-B) there is a constant $M_B \ge 1$ such that $\|e^{-tB}\| \le M_B \cdot e^{-tb}$ for all $t \ge 0$.

Now consider the scalar ODE

(3)
$$v''(t) + 2|b|v'(t) = \sqrt{\omega}v(t), \quad v(0) = v_0, v'(0) = v_1.$$

By Theorem 1 the solution of (3) is given by

$$v(t) = m_{\omega,b}(t)v_0 + n_{\omega,b}(t)v_1,$$

where

(4)
$$n_{\omega,b}(t) := e^{-t|b|} \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t)$$
 and
 $m_{\omega,b}(t) := e^{-t|b|} \cdot (c_{\omega}(t) + |b| \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t) + |b|^2 \cdot c_{\omega} * s_{\omega+b^2}(t))$.

The following result relates the growth of the solution of (1) to the solution of (3).

THEOREM 4. Let $||e^{-tB}|| \le M_B \cdot e^{-tb}$ and $||C_A(t)|| \le M_A \cdot c_\omega(t)$ for all $t \ge 0$. Then for the solution families $(N_{A,B}(t))$ and $(M_{A,B}(t))$ of (1) the following estimates hold.

$$\|N_{A,B}(t)\| \le M_A M_B \cdot e^{t(|b|-b)} n_{\omega,b}(t), \|M_{A,B}(t)\| \le M_A M_B \max\left\{1, \frac{\|B\|}{|b|}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{|b|^2}\right\} \cdot e^{t(|b|-b)} m_{\omega,b}(t),$$

where $n_{\omega,b}(t)$ and $m_{\omega,b}(t)$ are defined as in (4).

(b) If b = 0, then $\|N_{A,B}(t)\| \le M_A M_B \cdot s_{\omega}(t),$ $\|M_{A,B}(t)\| \le M_A M_B \cdot \left(c_{\omega}(t) + \|B\| \cdot s_{\omega}(t) + \|B^2\| \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} s_{\omega}(t)\right).$

Proof. (a) By Theorem 1 and Lemma 3 we obtain

$$N_{A,B}(t) = e^{-tB} \int_0^t I_0(2B\sqrt{s(t-s)}) \cdot C_A(2s-t) \, ds$$

= $\int_0^t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{B(2\sqrt{s(t-s)}\cos(r)-t)} \, dr \, C_A(2s-t) \, ds$.

Hence

$$||N_{A,B}(t)|| \le M_A M_B \int_0^t \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{b(2\sqrt{s(t-s)}\cos(r)-t)} dr \ c_{\omega}(2s-t) \, ds$$

= $M_A M_B \cdot e^{t(|b|-b)} n_{\omega,b}(t)$,

where we used the fact that $2\sqrt{s(t-s)}\cos(r) - t \le 0$ for all $s \in [0, t]$ and $r \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Using similar arguments we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|M_{A,B}(t)\| &\leq M_A M_B \cdot e^{-tb} \left(c_{\omega}(t) + \frac{\|B\|}{|b|} |b| \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t) \right. \\ &+ \frac{\|B^2\|}{|b|^2} |b|^2 \cdot c_{\omega} \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t) \right) \\ &\leq M_A M_B \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\|B\|}{|b|}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{|b|^2} \right\} \\ &\cdot e^{-tb} (c_{\omega}(t) + |b| \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t) + |b|^2 \cdot c_{\omega} \cdot s_{\omega+b^2}(t)) \\ &= M_A M_B \max \left\{ 1, \frac{\|B\|}{|b|}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{|b|^2} \right\} \cdot e^{t(|b|-b)} m_{\omega,b}(t) \,. \end{split}$$

(b) If b = 0 we conclude from Lemma 3 that $||I_0(sB)|| \le M_B$; hence

$$\begin{split} \|N_{A,B}(t)\| &\leq M_A M_B \cdot s_{\omega}(t) \quad \text{and} \\ \|M_{A,B}(t)\| &\leq M_A M_B \cdot (c_{\omega}(t) + \|B\| \cdot s_{\omega}(t) + \|B^2\| \cdot c_{\omega} * s_{\omega}(t)) \\ &= M_A M_B \cdot \left(c_{\omega}(t) + \|B\| \cdot s_{\omega}(t) + \|B^2\| \cdot \frac{t^2}{2} s_{\omega}(t)\right). \quad \Box$$

From Theorem 4 we easily derive the following result.

COROLLARY 5. Let $||e^{-tB}|| \le M_B \cdot e^{-tb}$ where b > 0 and $||C_A(t)|| \le M_A \cdot c_{\omega}(t)$ for all $t \ge 0$. Then the following estimates for the solution families $(M_{A,B}(t))$ and $(N_{A,B}(t))$ of (1) hold.

$$\begin{split} \|N_{A,B}(t)\| &\leq \frac{M_A M_B}{2\sqrt{\omega + b^2}} \cdot e^{t(-b + \sqrt{\omega + b^2})}, \\ \|M_{A,B}(t)\| &\leq M_A M_B \max\left\{1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2}\right\} \cdot e^{t(-b + \sqrt{\omega + b^2})}. \end{split}$$

4. Singular perturbations. There is a substantial literature on singular perturbation problems involving strongly continuous semigroups or cosine families. For details we refer to [3, 4, 5] and the references therein.

Here we consider singular perturbation problems of the type

$$(ACP_{\varepsilon}) \qquad \varepsilon u_{\varepsilon}''(t) + 2Bu_{\varepsilon}'(t) = Au_{\varepsilon}(t), \qquad t \ge 0, u_{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0 \in D(A), \qquad u_{\varepsilon}'(0) = u_1 \in D(A),$$

where it is assumed that A generates a strongly continuous cosine family on some Banach space E. While in various papers (e.g. [4, 7, 12]) convergence of the solution $u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ to the solution $u_{0}(\cdot)$ of

$$2Bu'_0(t) = Au_0(t), \quad t \ge 0, \ u_0(0) = u_0$$

is shown only for 2B = Id or B = b > 0 and A the square of a group generator we extend these results to the following situation.

THEOREM 6. Let A be the generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $(C_A(t))$ on E and $B \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ a bounded operator which commutes with $(C_A(t))$ and satisfies s(-B) < 0. Then the unique solution of the second order Cauchy problem (ACP_{ε}) converges as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to the unique solution of the well-posed first order Cauchy problem

(ACP₀)
$$2Bu'_0(t) = Au_0(t), \quad t \ge 0, \quad u_0(0) = u_0.$$

Moreover, if $u_0 \in D(A^2)$, $-b \in (s(-B), 0)$ *and*

$$\|e^{-tB}\| \le M_B \cdot e^{-tb}$$
 and $\|C_A(t)\| \le M_A \cdot c_{\omega}(t)$
for all $t \ge 0$, then

$$\begin{split} \|u_0(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(t)\| &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b} \\ &\cdot e^{\omega_0 t} \left(\|A_0 u_0\| + M_A M_B \right) \\ &\cdot \max\left\{ 1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2} \right\} t \|A_0^2 u_0\| + \|u_1\| \right), \end{split}$$
where $\omega_0 := \frac{\omega}{2b}$, $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$.

Proof. As shown in Theorem 1 the Cauchy problem (ACP_{ε}) is well posed. We proceed in several steps. First we show that the solution family $(N_{\varepsilon}(t))$ of (ACP_{ε}) converges to zero as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Here and in the sequel we use the simplified notation

$$N_{\varepsilon}(t) := N_{\frac{A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{B}{\varepsilon}}(t) \text{ and } M_{\varepsilon}(t) := M_{\frac{A}{\varepsilon}, \frac{B}{\varepsilon}}(t).$$

If $-b \in (s(-B), 0)$, then there exists $M_B \ge 1$ such that $||e^{-tB}|| \le M_B \cdot e^{-tb}$ for all $t \ge 0$. Let $||C_A(t)|| \le M_A \cdot c_{\omega}(t)$ for $t \ge 0$; then by Corollary 5

(5)
$$||N_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon\omega + b^2}} \cdot e^{t\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-b + \sqrt{\varepsilon\omega + b^2})}$$

Since -b < 0 an easy calculation shows that

(6)
$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon}(-b+\sqrt{\varepsilon\omega+b^2}) \le \frac{\omega}{2b} := \omega_0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence (5) implies

(7)
$$||N_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b} \cdot e^{t\omega_0} \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

In the next step we show that $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(M_0(t))$ which turns out to be the limit of $(M_{\varepsilon}(t))$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. As above we obtain from Corollary 5 and (6) the estimate

(8)
$$||M_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \le M_A M_B \max\left\{1, \frac{||B||}{b}, \frac{||B^2||}{b^2}\right\} \cdot e^{\omega_0 t}$$

Put $C := M_A M_B \max\{1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2}, \frac{1}{2b}\}$. Then by (7), (8)

(9)
$$||N_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq \varepsilon \cdot C \cdot e^{\omega_0 t} \text{ and } ||M_{\varepsilon}(t)|| \leq C \cdot e^{\omega_0 t}.$$

Therefore the Laplace transforms

$$Q_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) := \mathscr{L}(N_{\varepsilon}(\cdot))(\lambda)$$
 and $R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) := \mathscr{L}(M_{\varepsilon}(\cdot))(\lambda)$

exist for all λ with $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0$ and satisfy the estimates

(10)
$$\|Q_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon \cdot C}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega_0}$$
 and
 $\|R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{C}{\operatorname{Re} \lambda - \omega_0}$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0$.

By [8, (9), (11)] we have for
$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0$$
 and $\varepsilon > 0$

(11)
$$Q_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = \varepsilon \cdot (\varepsilon \lambda^{2} + 2\lambda B - A)^{-1} \text{ and} R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = (\varepsilon \lambda + 2B)(\varepsilon \lambda^{2} + 2\lambda B - A)^{-1}.$$

Now from (10), (11) it follows that for fixed λ , $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0$, the family $P_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) := (\varepsilon \lambda^2 + 2\lambda B - A)^{-1}$

is bounded for $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, the resolvent equation applied to $P_{\varepsilon}(\lambda) = R(\varepsilon\lambda^2, A - 2\lambda B)$ implies that $(P_{\varepsilon}(\lambda))_{\varepsilon>0}$ is a Cauchy net for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ and the limit is readily identified as $(2\lambda B - A)^{-1}$. Again by the resolvent equation it follows that $R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)$ converges as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to

$$2B(2\lambda B - A)^{-1} = R(\lambda, \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}) := R(\lambda, A_0),$$

where the convergence is uniform for λ in compact subsets of $H := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0\}$.

In order to show that $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(M_0(t))$ it suffices to show the Hille-Yosida estimates

(12)
$$\left\|\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}R(\lambda, A_0)\right\| \leq \frac{C \cdot n!}{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda - \omega_0)^{n+1}} \text{ for all } \lambda \in H \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

First observe that $R_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ (see [8, §3]) and $R(\cdot, A_0)$ are analytic in H. Since $R_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ converges as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to $R(\cdot, A_0)$ uniformly on compact subsets of H the Weierstraß convergence theorem implies that

(13)
$$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) \to \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} R(\cdot, A_0) \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \to 0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of H. Let $M_{\varepsilon,n}(t) := (-1)^n t^n M_{\varepsilon}(t)$. Then for $\operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega_0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)=\mathscr{L}(M_{\varepsilon,n}(\cdot))(\lambda)$$

and we conclude from (9) that

$$\left\|\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n}R_{\varepsilon}(\lambda)\right\| \leq \frac{C \cdot n!}{(\operatorname{Re}\lambda - \omega_0)^{n+1}}$$

Combining this with (13) yields the desired estimate (12). This shows that $A_0 = \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$ generates a strongly continuous semigroup $(M_0(t))$. Hence the Cauchy problem (ACP₀) is well posed.

In the last step we show that $M_{\varepsilon}(t)$ converges to $M_0(t)$ uniformly for t in bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ . In order to estimate $M_0(t) - M_{\varepsilon}(t)$ we need the identity

(14)
$$(M_0(t) - M_{\varepsilon}(t))f = M_0 * \left(M_{\varepsilon} - \frac{2}{\varepsilon}B \cdot N_{\varepsilon}\right)(t)A_0f$$
$$= M_0 * N'_{\varepsilon}(t)A_0f \quad \text{for all } f \in D(A_0) = D(A) .$$

To verify (14) note that the Laplace transform of $M_0(\cdot)$ gives the resolvent $R(\cdot, A_0)$. Using this, (11), the convolution and the uniqueness theorem for the Laplace transform we obtain (14). Integrating by parts the right hand side of (14) yields

$$(M_0(t) - M_{\varepsilon}(t))f$$

= $N_{\varepsilon}(t)A_0f + M_0 * N_{\varepsilon}(t)A_0^2f$ for all $f \in D(A_0^2) = D(A^2)$.

Hence for $f \in D(A^2)$ we deduce from (7), (8)

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad \|(M_0(t) - M_{\varepsilon}(t))f\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b} \cdot m_0(t) \|A_0 f\| \\ &+ \varepsilon \cdot \frac{(M_A M_B)^2}{2b} \max\left\{1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2}\right\} \cdot m_0 * m_0(t) \|A_0^2 f\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b} \cdot m_0(t) \left(\|A_0 f\| + M_A M_B \right. \\ &\cdot \max\left\{1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2}\right\} t \|A_0^2 f\|\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $m_0(t) := e^{\omega_0 t} = e^{\frac{\omega}{2b}t}$. To estimate $u_0(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ note that

$$u_0(t) = M_0(t)u_0, \qquad u_{\varepsilon}(t) = M_{\varepsilon}(t)u_0 + N_{\varepsilon}(t)u_1.$$

Accordingly, from (7), (15) we obtain for all initial values $u_0 \in D(A^2)$, $u_1 \in D(A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_0(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(t)\| &\leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b} \\ &\cdot e^{\omega_0 t} \left(\|A_0 u_0\| + M_A M_B \right) \\ &\cdot \max\left\{ 1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2} \right\} t \|A_0^2 u_0\| + \|u_1\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the operator families $(M_0(t) - M_{\varepsilon}(t))_{\varepsilon>0}$ and $(N_{\varepsilon}(t))_{\varepsilon>0}$ are uniformly bounded for t in bounded subsets of \mathbb{R}_+ we finally conclude that $u_0(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ converges to zero as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ uniformly for t in bounded intervals for all initial values $u_0, u_1 \in D(A)$. \Box

Using Theorem 6 it is also possible to obtain results on the convergence of the derivatives of $u_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$. In fact, if in (ACP_{ε}) it is assumed that $u_0 \in D(A^2)$, then $v_{\varepsilon}(\cdot) := u'_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ is twice differentiable and solves the Cauchy problem

$$\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}''(t) + 2Bv_{\varepsilon}'(t) = Av_{\varepsilon}(t), \qquad t \ge 0,$$

$$v_{\varepsilon}(0) = u_1, \quad v_{\varepsilon}'(0) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(Au_0 - 2Bu_1).$$

On the other hand the solution $u_0(\cdot)$ of (ACP_0) is twice differentiable as well and $v_0(\cdot) := u'_0(\cdot)$ is the solution of

$$2Bv_0'(t) = Av_0(t), \quad t \ge 0, \quad v_0(0) = \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}u_0.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1, Theorem 6

$$v_0(t) - v_{\varepsilon}(t) = M_0(t) \frac{1}{2} A B^{-1} u_0 - M_{\varepsilon}(t) u_1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} N_{\varepsilon}(t) (A u_0 - 2B u_1).$$

In particular, for $\frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}u_0 = u_1$ we obtain the following result.

COROLLARY 7. Let the assumptions of Theorem 6 hold. In addition assume that $\frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}u_0 = u_1$. Then the derivatives $u'_{\varepsilon}(\cdot)$ converge uniformly on bounded intervals of \mathbb{R}_+ to $u'_0(\cdot)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. Moreover, if $u_1 \in D(A^2)$, then

$$\|v_0'(t) - v_{\varepsilon}'(t)\| \leq \varepsilon \cdot \frac{M_A M_B}{2b}$$
$$\cdot e^{\omega_0 t} \left(\|A_0 u_1\| + M_A M_B \right)$$
$$\cdot \max\left\{ 1, \frac{\|B\|}{b}, \frac{\|B^2\|}{b^2} \right\} t \|A_0^2 u_1\| \right),$$

where $\omega_0 := \frac{\omega}{2b}$, $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$.

From the proof of Theorem 6 we also obtain the following result on multiplicative perturbation.

COROLLARY 8. Let A be the generator of a strongly continuous cosine family $(C_A(t))$ on E and $B \in \mathscr{L}(E)$ a bounded operator which commutes with $(C_A(t))$ and satisfies s(-B) < 0. Then AB^{-1} generates an analytic semigroup.

Proof. We only have to show that the semigroup generated by $A_0 := \frac{1}{2}AB^{-1}$ is analytic. To this end observe that there exists $\alpha = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$, a, b > 0 such that the assumptions of Theorem 6 still hold if we replace B by αB and $\overline{\alpha}B$, respectively. Hence we conclude that αA_0 and $\overline{\alpha}A_0$ are generators and the assertion follows from the next result.

LEMMA 8. Let αA_0 and $\overline{\alpha} A_0$ be generators of strongly continuous semigroups on E, where $\alpha = a + ib \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\alpha| = 1$ and a, b > 0. Then A_0 generates an analytic semigroup.

Proof. We may assume that $\omega(\alpha A_0)$, $\omega(\overline{\alpha}A_0) \leq 0$; otherwise consider $A_0 - \omega$ instead of A_0 where ω is chosen such that $\omega(\alpha A_0)$, $\omega(\overline{\alpha}A_0) \leq \omega a$. Now it is clear that $(\alpha + \overline{\alpha})A_0$, hence A_0 generates a bounded semigroup and by [11, Chap. 2, Thm. 5.2] it suffices to show that there exists a constant C > 0 such that for all $\sigma > 0$, $\tau \neq 0$

$$\|R(\sigma + i\tau, A_0)\| \le \frac{C}{|\tau|}$$

We consider two cases. First assume $\tau > 0$. Since $\overline{\alpha}A_0$ is a generator the Hille-Yosida theorem yields a constant C such that

$$\|R(\sigma + i\tau, A_0)\| = \|\overline{\alpha}R(\overline{\alpha}(\sigma + i\tau), \overline{\alpha}A_0)\|$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{a\sigma + b\tau} \leq \frac{C}{b\tau} \quad \text{for all } \tau > 0.$$

For $\tau < 0$ we obtain a similar estimate using the fact that αA_0 is a generator on E.

References

- [1] K.-J. Engel, An explicit formula for semigroups generated by 2×2 operator matrices, to appear in Res. Math.
- [2] K.-J. Engel and J. A. Goldstein, Singular perturbation, preprint 1990.
- [3] H. O. Fattorini, Second Order Linear Differential Equations in Banach Spaces, North-Holland Mathematics Studies 108, North-Holland, 1985.
- [4] ____, Singular perturbation and boundary layer for an abstract Cauchy problem, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 97 (1983), 529–571.
- [5] ____, The hyperbolic singular perturbation problem: an operator theoretic approach, J. Differential Equations, **70** (1987), 1–41.
- [6] J. A. Goldstein, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications, Oxford University Press, New York, 1985.
- J. Kisyński, On second order Cauchy's problem in a Banach space, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 18 (1970), 371-374.
- [8] I. V. Mel'nikova, A Miranda-Feller-Phillips theorem for a complete second order equation in a Banach space, Soviet Math., 29 (1985), 42–48.
- [9] R. Nagel (ed.), One-parameter Semigroups of Positive Operators, Lecture Notes Mathematics 1184, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1986.
- [10] F. Neubrander, Well-posedness of higher order abstract Cauchy problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 295 (1986), 257–290.
- [11] A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York-Tokyo, 1986.
- [12] A. Y. Schoene, Semigroups and a class of singular perturbation problems, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 20 (1970), 247-263.

- [13] M. Sova, Cosine operator functions, Rozprawy Mat., 49 (1966), 1-47.
- [14] G. N. Watson, A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.

Received August 2, 1990 and in revised form January 16, 1991.

Mathematisches Institut der Universität Tübingen Auf der Morgenstelle 10 D-7400 Tübingen, Germany

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 NICHOLAS ERCOLANI University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. Arens

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN (1906–1982)

F. Wolf (1904–1989) K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 152, No. 1 January, 1992

B. V. Rajarama Bhat, On a characterization of velocity maps in the space of observables
John David Brillhart, Note on the discriminant of certain cyclotomic period polynomials
Steven R. Costenoble and Stefan Waner, The equivariant Thom isomorphism theorem
Karl Heinz Dovermann and Dong Youp Suh, Smith equivalence for finite abelian groups
Klaus-Jochen Engel, On singular perturbations of second order Cauchy problems
Angel Ferrandez and Pascual Lucas, On surfaces in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space
Brian Hartley, A general Brauer-Fowler theorem and centralizers in locally finite groups
Joel Hass, Intersections of least area surfaces
Erhard Luft and Denis Karmen Sjerve, On regular coverings of
3-manifolds by homology 3-spheres
Claus Scheiderer, Some remarks on orderings under finite field extensions
Fernando Serrano, Elliptic surfaces with an ample divisor of genus two 187