# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# **MULTIPLE HARMONIC SERIES**

MIKE HOFFMAN

Vol. 152, No. 2 February 1992

### **MULTIPLE HARMONIC SERIES**

#### MICHAEL E. HOFFMAN

We consider several identities involving the multiple harmonic series

$$\sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} n_2^{i_2} \cdots n_k^{i_k}},$$

which converge when the exponents  $i_j$  are at least 1 and  $i_1>1$ . There is a simple relation of these series with products of Riemann zeta functions (the case k=1) when all the  $i_j$  exceed 1. There are also two plausible identities concerning these series for integer exponents, which we call the sum and duality conjectures. Both generalize identities first proved by Euler. We give a partial proof of the duality conjecture, which coincides with the sum conjecture in one family of cases. We also prove all cases of the sum and duality conjectures when the sum of the exponents is at most 6.

1. Introduction. The problem of computing the doubly infinite series

(1) 
$$\sum_{n_1 \ge n_2 \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^a n_2^b},$$

which converges when a > 1 and  $b \ge 1$ , was discussed by Euler and Goldbach in their correspondence of 1742-3 [3]. Euler evaluated several special cases of (1) in terms of the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

Later, in a paper of 1775 [2], Euler found a general formula for (1) in terms of the zeta function when a and b are positive integers whose sum is odd. The simplest such result is

(2) 
$$\sum_{n_1 \ge n_2 \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^2 n_2} = 2\zeta(3),$$

which has been rediscovered many times since (see [1, p. 252] and the references cited there).

We shall consider multiple series of the form

$$S(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \sum_{n_1 \ge n_2 \ge \dots \ge n_k \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} n_2^{i_2} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

and

$$A(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) = \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \dots > n_k \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} n_2^{i_2} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

(so (1) is S(a, b)). With this notation,  $S(i) = A(i) = \zeta(i)$ . The relation between the S's and A's should be clear: for example,

$$S(i_1, i_2) = A(i_1, i_2) + A(i_1 + i_2)$$

and

$$S(i_1, i_2, i_3) = A(i_1, i_2, i_3) + A(i_1 + i_2, i_3) + A(i_1, i_2 + i_3) + A(i_1 + i_2 + i_3).$$

Note that (2) implies  $A(2, 1) = \zeta(3)$ .

It is immediate from the definitions that

$$S(i_1, i_2) + S(i_2, i_1) = \zeta(i_1)\zeta(i_2) + \zeta(i_1 + i_2)$$

and

$$A(i_1, i_2) + A(i_2, i_1) = \zeta(i_1)\zeta(i_2) - \zeta(i_1 + i_2)$$

whenever  $i_1$ ,  $i_2 > 1$ . More generally, if  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ , ...,  $i_k > 1$  the sums

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\nu}} S(i_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(k)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{\nu}} A(i_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(k)})$$

 $(\Sigma_k$  is the symmetric group of degree k) can be expressed in terms of the zeta function. We state and prove such formulas in §2.

There are also two interesting general conjectures about the quantities  $A(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  for positive integer exponents  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ , which we call the sum and duality conjectures. Both generalize the identity A(2, 1) = A(3). We state them in §3, and give a partial proof of the duality conjecture in §4. Further evidence for the two conjectures is discussed in §5.

2. Symmetric sums in terms of the zeta function. To state our results we shall require some notation. For a partition  $\Pi = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_l\}$  of the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , let

$$c(\Pi) = (\operatorname{card} P_1 - 1)!(\operatorname{card} P_2 - 1)! \cdots (\operatorname{card} P_l - 1)!.$$

Also, given such a  $\Pi$  and a k-tuple  $\mathbf{i} = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  of exponents, define

$$\zeta(\mathbf{i}, \Pi) = \prod_{s=1}^{l} \zeta\left(\sum_{j \in P_s} i_j\right).$$

Theorem 2.1. For any real  $i_1, \ldots, i_k > 1$ ,

(1) 
$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k} S(i_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, i_{\sigma(k)}) = \sum_{\text{partitions } \Pi \text{ of } \{1, \ldots, k\}} c(\Pi) \zeta(\mathbf{i}, \Pi).$$

*Proof.* Assume that the  $i_j$  are all distinct. (There is no loss of generality, since we can take limits.) The left-hand side of (1) can be written

$$\sum_{\sigma} \sum_{n_1 \geq \cdots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} n_{\sigma(2)}^{i_2} \cdots n_{\sigma(k)}^{i_k}}.$$

Now think of the symmetric group  $\Sigma_k$  as acting on k-tuples  $(n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  of positive integers. A given k-tuple  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \ldots, n_k)$  has an isotropy group  $\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n})$  and an associated partition  $\Lambda$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ :  $\Lambda$  is the set of equivalence classes of the relation given by  $i \sim j$  iff  $n_i = n_j$ , and  $\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n}) = \{\sigma \in \Sigma_k \mid \sigma(i) \sim i \ \forall i\}$ . Now the term

$$\frac{1}{n_1^{i_1}n_2^{i_2}\cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

occurs on the left-hand side of (1) exactly card  $\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n})$  times. It occurs on the right-hand side in those terms corresponding to partitions  $\Pi$  that are refinements of  $\Lambda$ : letting  $\succeq$  denote refinement, (2) occurs

$$\sum_{\Pi \succeq \Lambda} c(\Pi)$$

times. Thus, the conclusion will follow if

$$\operatorname{card}\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n}) = \sum_{\Pi \succeq \Lambda} c(\Pi)$$

for any k-tuple  $\mathbf{n}$  and associated partition  $\Lambda$ . To see this, note that  $c(\Pi)$  counts the permutations having cycle-type specified by  $\Pi$ : since any element of  $\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n})$  has a unique cycle-type specified by a partition that refines  $\Lambda$ , the result follows.

For k = 3, the theorem says

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_{3}} S(i_{\sigma(1)}, i_{\sigma(2)}, i_{\sigma(3)}) \\ &= \zeta(i_{1})\zeta(i_{2})\zeta(i_{3}) + \zeta(i_{1} + i_{2})\zeta(i_{3}) + \zeta(i_{1})\zeta(i_{2} + i_{3}) \\ &+ \zeta(i_{1} + i_{3})\zeta(i_{2}) + 2\zeta(i_{1} + i_{2} + i_{3}) \end{split}$$

for  $i_1$ ,  $i_2$ ,  $i_3 > 1$ . This is the main result of [7].

To state the analog of 2.1 for the A's, we require one more bit of notation. For a partition  $\Pi = \{P_1, \ldots, P_l\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ , let  $\tilde{c}(\Pi) = (-1)^{k-l} c(\Pi)$ .

Theorem 2.2. For any real  $i_1, \ldots, i_k > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma_k} A(i_{\sigma(1)}\,,\,\ldots\,,\,i_{\sigma(k)}) = \sum_{\text{partitions }\Pi \text{ of }\{1\,,\ldots\,,k\}} \tilde{c}(\Pi)\zeta(\mathbf{i}\,,\,\Pi).$$

*Proof.* We follow the same line of argument as in the preceding proof. The left-hand side is now

$$\sum_{\sigma} \sum_{n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} n_{\sigma(2)}^{i_2} \cdots n_{\sigma(k)}^{i_k}},$$

and a term (2) occurs on the left-hand side once if all the  $n_i$  are distinct, and not at all otherwise. Thus, it suffices to show

(3) 
$$\sum_{\Pi \succeq \Lambda} \tilde{c}(\Pi) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \operatorname{card} \Lambda = k, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To prove this, note first that the sign of  $\tilde{c}(\Pi)$  is positive if the permutations of cycle-type  $\Pi$  are even, and negative if they are odd: thus, the left-hand side of (3) is the signed sum of the number of even and odd permutations in the isotropy group  $\Sigma_k(\mathbf{n})$ . But such an isotropy group has equal numbers of even and odd permutations unless it is trivial, i.e. unless the associated partition  $\Lambda$  is  $\{\{1\}, \{2\}, \ldots, \{k\}\}$ .

When all the exponents are 2 we have the following result, which proves a conjecture of C. Moen.

COROLLARY 2.3. For  $k \ge 1$ ,

$$A(\underbrace{2,2,\ldots,2}_{k}) = \frac{\pi^{2k}}{(2k+1)!}.$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$A(\underbrace{2, \dots, 2}_{k}) = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\text{partitions } \{P_{1}, \dots, P_{l}\} \text{ of } \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-l} \times \prod_{s=1}^{l} (\operatorname{card} P_{s} - 1)! \zeta(2 \operatorname{card} P_{s}).$$

Using the well-known formula for values of the zeta function at even integers in terms of Bernoulli numbers (see, e.g., [4]), and writing  $p_s$  for card  $P_s$ , we can express the right-hand side as

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=1}^{k} & \sum_{\text{partitions } \{P_1, \dots, P_l\} \text{ of } \{1, \dots, k\}} (-1)^{k-1} \\ & \times \prod_{s=1}^{l} \frac{(p_s-1)! 2^{2p_s-1} (-1)^{p_s+1} B_{2p_s} \pi^{2p_s}}{(2p_s)!} \\ & = \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{\text{partitions } \{P_1, \dots, P_l\} \text{ of } \{1, \dots, k\}} 2^{2k-l} \pi^{2k} \prod_{s=1}^{l} \frac{(p_s-1)! B_{2p_s}}{(2p_s)!}, \end{split}$$

since the sum of the  $p_s$  is k. Now for a given (unordered) sequence  $p_1, \ldots, p_l$ , the number of partitions  $\{P_1, \ldots, P_l\}$  of  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$  with card  $P_s = p_s$  for  $1 \le s \le l$  is

$$\frac{1}{m_1!m_2!\cdots m_k!}\frac{k!}{p_1!p_2!\cdots p_l!},$$

where  $m_i = \text{card}\{s \mid p_s = i\}$ . Thus, we can rewrite the sum as

$$\frac{(2\pi)^{2k}}{k!} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2^{l}} \sum_{p_{1}+\dots+p_{l}=k} \frac{k!}{m_{1}! \cdots m_{k}!} \prod_{s=1}^{l} \frac{(p_{s}-1)! B_{2p_{s}}}{p_{s}! (2p_{s})!}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2k} \sum_{l=1}^{k} \sum_{p_{1}+\dots+p_{l}=k} \frac{1}{m_{1}! \cdots m_{k}!} \prod_{s=1}^{l} \frac{B_{2p_{s}}}{2p_{s} (2p_{s})!}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{2k} \sum_{m_{1}+2m_{2}+\dots+km_{k}=k} \frac{1}{m_{1}! \cdots m_{k}!} \left(\frac{B_{2}}{2 \cdot 2!}\right)^{m_{1}} \cdots \left(\frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!}\right)^{m_{k}}.$$

It is then enough to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. If  $B_n$  denotes the nth Bernoulli number, then for  $k \ge 1$ 

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1+2m_2+\cdots+km_k=k}} \frac{1}{m_1!\cdots m_k!} \left(\frac{B_2}{2\,2!}\right)^{m_1} \cdots \left(\frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!}\right)^{m_k}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2^{2k}(2k+1)!}.$$

*Proof*. Define

$$\beta(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k} x^{2k}}{2k(2k)!}.$$

If we also let

$$f(x) = \log\left(\frac{\sinh x/2}{x/2}\right) = \log\left(\frac{e^x - 1}{x}\right) - \frac{x}{2},$$

then  $\beta(x) = f(x)$  since  $\beta(0) = f(0) = 0$  and

$$\beta'(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_{2k} x^{2k-1}}{(2k)!} = \frac{1}{x} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_n x^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{x} \left( \frac{x}{e^x - 1} - 1 + \frac{x}{2} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{e^x}{e^x - 1} - \frac{1}{x} - \frac{1}{2} = f'(x).$$

(Here we have used the generating function for the Bernoulli numbers.) Thus

(4) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{2k}}{2^{2k}(2k+1)!} = \frac{\sinh x/2}{x/2} = e^{\beta(x)}$$
$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \left( \frac{B_2 x^2}{2 \cdot 2!} + \frac{B_4 x^4}{4 \cdot 4!} + \dots \right)^l.$$

Using the multinomial theorem, expand out the right-hand side to obtain

$$\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l!} \sum_{m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k = l} {l \choose m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k} \left( \frac{B_2 x^2}{2 \cdot 2!} \right)^{m_1} \cdots \left( \frac{B_{2k} x^{2k}}{2k(2k)!} \right)^{m_k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^{2k} \sum_{m_1 + 2m_2 + \dots + km_k = k} \frac{1}{m_1! m_2! \cdots m_k!}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{B_2}{2 \cdot 2!} \right)^{m_1} \cdots \left( \frac{B_{2k}}{2k(2k)!} \right)^{m_k},$$

and the conclusion follows by equating coefficients of  $x^{2k}$  in (4).

3. The sum and duality conjectures. We first state the sum conjecture, which is due to C. Moen [5].

Sum conjecture. For positive integers k < n,

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_k=n\\i_1>1}} A(i_1,\ldots,i_k) = \zeta(n),$$

where the sum is extended over k-tuples  $i_1, \ldots, i_k$  of positive integers with  $i_1 > 1$ .

Three remarks concerning this conjecture are in order. First, it implies

(1) 
$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_k=n\\i_k>1}} S(i_1,\ldots,i_k) = \binom{n-1}{k-1} \zeta(n),$$

as we prove below. Second, in the case k = 2 it says that

$$A(n-1, 1) + A(n-2, 2) + \cdots + A(2, n-2) = \zeta(n),$$

or, using the relation between the A's and S's and Theorem 2.1,

$$2S(n-1, 1) = (n+1)\zeta(n) - \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} \zeta(k)\zeta(n-k).$$

This was proved in Euler's paper [2] and has been rediscovered several times, in particular by Williams [8]. Finally, C. Moen [5] has proved the sum conjecture for k = 3 by lengthy but elementary arguments.

For the duality conjecture, we first define an involution  $\tau$  on the set  $\mathfrak S$  of finite sequences of positive integers whose first element is greater than 1. Let  $\mathfrak I$  be the set of strictly increasing finite sequences of positive integers, and let  $\Sigma \colon \mathfrak S \to \mathfrak I$  be the function that sends a sequence in  $\mathfrak S$  to its sequence of partial sums. If  $\mathfrak I_n$  is the set of sequences in  $\mathfrak I$  whose last element is at most n, we have two commuting involutions  $R_n$  and  $C_n$  on  $\mathfrak I_n$  defined by

$$R_n(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_l) = (n+1-a_l, n+1-a_{l-1}, \ldots, n+1-a_1)$$

and

$$C_n(a_1, \ldots, a_l) = \text{complement of } \{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}$$
  
in  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$  arranged in increasing order.

Then our definition of  $\tau$  is

$$\tau(I) = \Sigma^{-1} R_n C_n \Sigma(I) = \Sigma^{-1} C_n R_n \Sigma(I)$$

for  $I=(i_1,\,i_2,\,\ldots\,,\,i_k)\in\mathfrak{S}$  with  $i_1+\cdots+i_k=n$ . (The reader may verify that  $\tau(I)$  is actually in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , has length n-k, and its elements have sum n.) For example,

$$\tau(3, 4, 1) = \Sigma^{-1} C_8 R_8(3, 7, 8)$$
  
=  $\Sigma^{-1}(3, 4, 5, 7, 8) = (3, 1, 1, 2, 1).$ 

We shall say the sequences  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  and  $\tau(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  are dual to each other, and refer to a sequence fixed by  $\tau$  as self-dual.

Now we can state our second conjecture.

Duality conjecture. If  $(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-k})$  is dual to  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ , then  $A(h_1, \ldots, h_{n-k}) = A(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$ .

We include some remarks on how  $\tau$  may be more easily computed. The set  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a semigroup under the operation given by concatenation, and the indecomposables are evidently sequences of the form  $(h+1,1,\ldots,1)$  with  $h \ge 1$ . It is easily computed that

(2) 
$$\tau(h+1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k-1}) = (k+1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{h-1}).$$

(In particular, the duality conjecture implies

$$A(2, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n-2}) = A(n) = \zeta(n)$$

for integer  $n \ge 2$ . Note that this is also the sum conjecture for the case k = n - 1. This case follows from Theorem 4.4 below.) Together with the following proposition, (2) gives an effective method for computing  $\tau(I)$  for any  $I \in \mathfrak{S}$ .

Proposition 3.1. For 
$$I_1$$
,  $I_2 \in \mathfrak{S}$ ,  $\tau(I_1I_2) = \tau(I_2)\tau(I_1)$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the number of indecomposables in  $I_2$  we can reduce to the case where  $I_2$  is indecomposable. So let

$$I_1 = (i_1, \ldots, i_k), \quad I_2 = (h+1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{l-1}),$$

$$n = i_1 + \cdots + i_k, \quad m = n+h+l.$$

Then

$$\tau(I_{1}I_{2}) = \Sigma^{-1}C_{m}R_{m}\Sigma(i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}, h+1, \underbrace{1, \dots, 1}_{l-1})$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1}C_{m}R_{m}(i_{1}, i_{1}+i_{2}, \dots, i_{1}+\dots+i_{k}, n+h+1, n+h+2, \dots, n+h+l)$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1}C_{m}(1, 2, \dots, l, l+h+1, l+h+i_{k}+1, \dots, l+h+i_{2}+\dots+i_{k}+1)$$

$$= \Sigma^{-1}(l+1, \dots, l+h, l+h+c_{1}, \dots, l+h+c_{n-k})$$

$$= (l+1, 1, \dots, 1, c_{1}, c_{2}-c_{1}, \dots, c_{n-k}-c_{n-k-1}),$$

where  $C_n R_n \Sigma(I_1) = (c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_{n-k})$ , from which the conclusion follows.

We close this section by proving that the sum conjecture implies (1). We first note that  $\mathfrak{S}$  has a partial order given by refinement, e.g. (2, 1, 2) and (3, 1, 1) both refine (3, 2). Further,  $S(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  is the sum of those  $A(j_1, \ldots, j_l)$  for which  $(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  is a refinement of  $(j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ . Thus the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_k=n\\i_1>1}} S(i_1,\ldots,i_k)$$

can be written as a sum of terms  $A(j_1, \ldots, j_l)$ , each of which appears with multiplicity

card
$$\{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)|i_1 > 1 \text{ and } (i_1, \ldots, i_k) \text{ refines } (j_1, \ldots, j_l)\}$$
  
=  $\binom{n-l-1}{k-l}$ .

The equality can be seen combinatorially: think of an ordered sum  $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n$  that refines  $j_1 + \cdots + j_l = n$  as defined by choosing k - l division points (in addition to those defining the first sum) out of n - l - 1 possibilities. Thus

$$\sum_{\substack{i_1+\cdots+i_k=n\\i_i>1}} S(i_1,\ldots,i_k) = \sum_{l=1}^k {n-l-1\choose k-l} \sum_{\substack{j_1+\cdots+j_l=n\\j_l>1}} A(j_1,\ldots,j_l).$$

Assuming the sum conjecture, the latter sum is

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} {n-l-1 \choose k-l} \zeta(n) = {n-1 \choose k-1} \zeta(n).$$

**4. Partial proof of the duality conjecture.** We shall prove the duality conjecture for sequences  $(i_1, 1, ..., 1)$  with  $i_1 > 1$ . We use the following theorem of L. J. Mordell [6].

Theorem 4.1 (Mordell). For positive integer k and any a > -k,

$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k (n_1+\cdots+n_k+a)} = k! \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^i}{i! (i+1)^{k+1}} {a-1 \choose i}.$$

From this we deduce the following result.

COROLLARY 4.2. For integer  $h \ge 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k (n_1 + \cdots + n_k)^h} = k! A(k+1,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{h-1}).$$

*Proof.* Differentiate Mordell's formula p times with respect to a to get

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k (n_1 + \dots + n_k + a)^{p+1}}$$

$$= k! \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i-p}}{i! (i+1)^{k+1}} \sum_{1 \le l_1 < \dots < l_p \le i} \frac{1}{(a-l_1) \cdots (a-l_p)} \binom{a-1}{i}.$$

Now set a = 0 to obtain

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \ge 1} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_k (n_1 + \dots + n_k)^{p+1}}$$

$$= k! \sum_{i=p}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i-p}}{i! (i+1)^{k+1}} \sum_{1 \le l_1 < \dots < l_p \le i} \frac{(-1)^{p+i} i!}{l_1 \dots l_p}$$

$$= k! \sum_{1 \le l_1 < \dots < l_p < j} \frac{1}{l_1 \dots l_p j^{k+1}},$$

from which the conclusion follows by setting h = p + 1.

On the other hand, we can use the following rearrangement lemma for multiple series to rewrite the left-hand side of 4.2 another way.

LEMMA 4.3. Let f be a symmetric function in k variables. Then

$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1} \frac{k! f(n_1,\ldots,n_k)}{n_1(n_1+n_2)\cdots(n_1+\cdots+n_k)} = \sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1} \frac{f(n_1,\ldots,n_k)}{n_1n_2\cdots n_k},$$

provided the sums converge.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on k. The result is immediate for

k = 1. Now assume it for k and consider

(1) 
$$\sum_{n_{1},\dots,n_{k+1}\geq 1} \frac{(k+1)!f(n_{1},\dots,n_{k+1})}{n_{1}(n_{1}+n_{2})\cdots(n_{1}+\dots+n_{k+1})}$$

$$=\sum_{n_{1},\dots,n_{k}\geq 1} \frac{k!}{n_{1}(n_{1}+n_{2})\cdots(n_{1}+\dots+n_{k})}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_{k+1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)f(n_{1},\dots,n_{k+1})}{n_{1}+\dots+n_{k+1}},$$

where f is symmetric in k+1 variables. Since the function

$$\sum_{n_{k+1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{(k+1)f(n_1,\ldots,n_{k+1})}{n_1+\cdots+n_{k+1}}$$

is symmetric in  $n_1, \ldots, n_k$ , we can apply the induction hypothesis to transform the right-hand side of (1) into

$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1}\frac{k+1}{n_1n_2\cdots n_k}\sum_{n_{k+1}=1}^{\infty}\frac{f(n_1,\ldots,n_{k+1})}{n_1+\cdots+n_{k+1}}.$$

Let S be this sum divided by k + 1. Then

$$\sum_{n_{1},...,n_{k+1}\geq 1} \frac{f(n_{1},...,n_{k+1})}{n_{1}n_{2}\cdots n_{k+1}} - S$$

$$= \sum_{n_{1},...,n_{k}\geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{1}\cdots n_{k}}$$

$$\times \sum_{n_{k+1}=1}^{\infty} f(n_{1},...,n_{k+1}) \left[ \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{n_{1}+\cdots + n_{k+1}} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{n_{1},...,n_{k}\geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{1}\cdots n_{k}} \sum_{n_{k+1}=1}^{\infty} \frac{f(n_{1},...,n_{k+1})(n_{1}+\cdots + n_{k})}{n_{k+1}(n_{1}+\cdots + n_{k+1})}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} \sum_{n_{1},...,n_{k+1}\geq 1} \frac{f(n_{1},...,n_{k+1})n_{j}}{n_{1}\cdots n_{k+1}(n_{1}+\cdots + n_{k+1})}.$$

By permuting the variables, we see that each of the k terms in the

latter summation is just S. Thus, the sum is kS and we have

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{f(n_1, \dots, n_{k+1})}{n_1 n_2 \cdots n_{k+1}} = (k+1)S$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{(k+1)! f(n_1, \dots, n_{k+1})}{n_1 (n_1 + n_2) \cdots (n_1 + \dots + n_{k+1})}.$$

Applying the lemma with  $f(n_1, \ldots, n_k) = (n_1 + \cdots + n_k)^{-h}$  gives

$$A(h+1,\underbrace{1,\ldots,1}_{k-1}) = \sum_{\substack{n_1,\ldots,n_k \ge 1}} \frac{1}{n_1(n_1+n_2)\cdots(n_1+\cdots+n_k)^{h+1}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\substack{n_1,\ldots,n_k \ge 1}} \frac{1}{n_1\cdots n_k(n_1+\cdots+n_k)^h}.$$

Putting this together with Corollary 4.2, we have

Theorem 4.4. For integers  $h, k \ge 1$ ,

$$A(h+1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{k-1}) = A(k+1, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{h-1}).$$

From the remarks in  $\S 3$ , Theorem 4.4 is just the duality conjecture for indecomposable sequences of  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

5. Evidence for the conjectures. For the computations of this section, the following result will be useful.

THEOREM 5.1. Let  $i_1, i_2, ..., i_k$  be any sequence of positive integers with  $i_1 > 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{l=1}^{k} A(i_1, \dots, i_l + 1, \dots, i_k)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{1 \le l \le k \\ i_l \ge 2}} \sum_{j=0}^{i_l - 2} A(i_1, \dots, i_{l-1}, i_l - j, j + 1, i_{l+1}, \dots, i_k).$$

*Proof.* By multiplying series, we have

(1) 
$$A(i_{1}+1, i_{2}, ..., i_{k}) + A(i_{1}, 1, i_{2}, ..., i_{k}) + A(i_{1}, i_{2}+1, ..., i_{k}) + \cdots + A(i_{1}, i_{2}, ..., i_{k}, 1)$$

$$= \sum_{n_{1}, ..., n_{k} \geq 1} \frac{1}{s_{1}^{i_{k}} s_{2}^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{k}^{i_{1}}} \sum_{j=1}^{s_{k}} \frac{1}{j},$$

where we write  $s_r$  for  $n_1 + \cdots + n_r$ . But the right-hand side of (1) can be written

(2) 
$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_k\geq 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} s_2^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_k^{i_1}} \sum_{n_{k+1}\geq 1} \left[ \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{s_{k+1}} \right],$$

and by a standard partial-fractions identity we have

$$\frac{1}{n_{k+1}s_{k+1}^{i_1}} = \frac{1}{n_{k+1}s_k^{i_1}} - \sum_{j=0}^{i_1-1} \frac{1}{s_k^{j+1}s_{k+1}^{i_1-j}}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{s_k^{i_1}} \left[ \frac{1}{n_{k+1}} - \frac{1}{s_{k+1}} \right] = \frac{1}{n_{k+1} s_{k+1}^{i_1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{i_1-2} \frac{1}{s_k^{j+1} s_{k+1}^{i_1-j}}.$$

Thus, (2) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} s_2^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{k-1}^{i_2} n_{k+1} s_{k+1}^{i_1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{i_1-2} \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} s_2^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{k-1}^{i_2} s_k^{j+1} s_{k+1}^{i_1-j}},$$

or, since the first sum is unchanged by permuting  $n_k$  and  $n_{k+1}$ ,

$$\sum_{n_1,\ldots,n_{k+1}\geq 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} s_2^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{k-1}^{i_2} n_k s_{k+1}^{i_1}} + \sum_{j=0}^{i_1-2} A(i_1-j, j+1, i_2, \ldots, i_k).$$

Now we use the partial-fractions expansion

$$\frac{1}{n_k s_k^{i_2}} = \frac{1}{n_k s_{k-1}^{i_2}} - \sum_{j=0}^{i_2-1} \frac{1}{s_{k-1}^{j+1} s_k^{i_2-j}}$$

to obtain

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} s_2^{i_{k-1}} \cdots s_{k-1}^{i_2} n_k s_{k+1}^{i_1}}$$

$$= \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_{k+1} \ge 1} \frac{1}{s_1^{i_k} \cdots s_{k-2}^{i_3} n_{k-1} s_k^{i_2} s_{k+1}^{i_1}}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{i_2-1} A(i_1, i_2 - j, j+1, i_3, \dots, i_k).$$

Continuing in this way, we conclude that (2) equals

$$\sum_{j=0}^{i_{1}-2} A(i_{1}-j, j+1, i_{2}, \dots, i_{k})$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{i_{2}-1} A(i_{1}, i_{2}-j, j+1, i_{3}, \dots, i_{k})$$

$$+ \dots + \sum_{j=0}^{i_{k}-1} A(i_{1}, \dots, i_{k-1}, i_{k}-j, j+1)$$

$$+ \sum_{n_{1}, \dots, n_{k+1} \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_{1} s_{2}^{i_{k}} s_{3}^{i_{k-1}} \dots s_{k+1}^{i_{1}}},$$

and since the last sum is  $A(i_1, \ldots, i_k, 1)$  the conclusion follows by substitution for (2) on the right-hand side of (1) and appropriate cancellation.

Note that by taking k = 1 in Theorem 5.1 we get

$$A(i_1+1)=A(i_1, 1)+A(i_1-1, 2)+\cdots+A(2, i_1-1),$$

which is just the sum conjecture for two arguments. Recall that the sum conjecture for n-1 arguments (where n is the sum of the arguments) follows from Theorem 4.4: using this together with Theorem 5.1 applied to the sequence

$$(2, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n-3}),$$

we get the sum conjecture for n-2 arguments.

Now we consider relations among the quantities  $A(i_1, \ldots, i_k)$  with  $n = i_1 + \cdots + i_k \le 6$ . For n = 3 we have A(2, 1) = A(3) by Theorem 4.4. For n = 4 we have A(2, 2, 1) = A(4) and A(3, 1) + A(2, 2) = A(4) from Theorems 4.4 and 5.1; since the sequences (3, 1) and (2, 2) are both self-dual, all instances of both conjectures hold in this case. For n = 5, Theorems 4.4 and 5.1 establish the sum conjecture for all values of k. Also, 5.1 applied to the sequence (3, 1) gives

(3) 
$$A(4, 1) + A(3, 2) = A(3, 1, 1) + A(2, 2, 1).$$

But the sum conjecture implies

$$A(4, 1) + A(3, 2) + A(2, 3) = A(3, 1, 1) + A(2, 2, 1) + A(2, 1, 2),$$

so A(2, 3) = A(2, 1, 2) (an instance of the duality conjecture). Now A(3, 1, 1) = A(4, 1) by Theorem 4.4, so A(2, 2, 1) = A(3, 2) from (3) and all instances of the duality conjecture hold in this case.

For n = 6, we get the sum conjecture immediately for k = 2, 4, 5. Theorem 5.1 applied to the sequences (4, 1), (3, 2),and (2, 3) gives respectively

(4) 
$$A(5, 1) + A(4, 2) = A(4, 1, 1) + A(3, 2, 1) + A(2, 3, 1)$$

(5) 
$$A(4, 2) + A(3, 3) = A(3, 1, 2) + A(2, 2, 2) + A(3, 2, 1)$$

(6) 
$$A(3, 3) + A(2, 4) = A(2, 1, 3) + A(2, 3, 1) + A(2, 2, 2).$$

On the other hand, 5.1 applied to the sequences (3, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), and (2, 1, 2) give

(7) 
$$A(4, 1, 1) + A(3, 2, 1) + A(3, 1, 2) = A(3, 1, 1, 1) + A(2, 2, 1, 1)$$

(8) 
$$A(3,2,1) + A(2,3,1) + A(2,2,2) = A(2,1,2,1) + A(2,2,1,1)$$

(9) 
$$A(3, 1, 2) + A(2, 2, 2) + A(2, 1, 3) = A(2, 1, 1, 2) + A(2, 1, 2, 1)$$

respectively. Since we know the sum conjecture holds for k=2 and k=4 in this case, the sum of the left-hand sides of (4) and (6) is  $\zeta(6)$ , as is the sum of the right-hand sides of (7) and (9). Thus

$$A(4, 1, 1) + A(3, 2, 1) + 2A(2, 3, 1) + A(2, 1, 3) + A(2, 2, 2)$$
  
=  $A(4, 1, 1) + A(3, 2, 1) + 2A(3, 1, 2) + A(2, 2, 2) + A(2, 1, 3)$ ,

or A(2, 3, 1) = A(3, 1, 2), an instance of the duality conjecture. (Note that all other sequences of length 3 are self-dual.) Using this fact, we can add equations (4) and (6) to get the sum conjecture for k = 3. Also, we can conclude from (4) and (7) that

$$A(5, 1) + A(4, 2) = A(3, 1, 1, 1) + A(2, 2, 1, 1).$$

But A(5, 1) = A(3, 1, 1, 1) from Theorem 4.4, so this means A(4, 2) = A(2, 2, 1, 1). Now we can use (5) and (8) to conclude similarly that A(3, 3) = A(2, 1, 2, 1), and finally (6) and (9) to get A(2, 4) = A(2, 1, 1, 2). Thus all instances of both conjectures are true when n = 6.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bruce C. Berndt, Ramanujan's Notebooks, Part I, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [2] L. Euler, *Meditationes circa singulare serierum genus*, Novi Comm. Acad. Sci. Petropol., **20** (1775), 140–186. Reprinted in "Opera Omnia", ser. I, vol. 15, B. G. Teubner, Berlin, 1927, pp. 217–267.
- [3] P. H. Fuss (ed.), Correspondence Mathématique et Physique de quelques célèbres Géomètres (Tome 1), St. Petersburg, 1843.
- [4] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982. Chap. 15.
- [5] C. Moen, Sums of multiple series, preprint.
- [6] L. J. Mordell, On the evaluation of some multiple series, J. London Math. Soc., 33 (1958), 368-371.
- [7] R. Sita Ramachandra Rao and M. V. Subbarao, *Transformation formulae for multiple series*, Pacific J. Math., **113** (1984), 471-479.
- [8] G. T. Williams, A new method of evaluating  $\zeta(2n)$ , Amer. Math. Monthly, **60** (1953), 19-25.

Received May 1, 1990 and in revised form March 29, 1991. The author was partially supported by a grant from the Naval Academy Research Council. He also thanks his colleague Courtney Moen for introducing him to the subject of this paper and discussing it with him.

U. S. NAVAL ACADEMY ANNAPOLIS, MD 21402

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS **EDITORS**

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. Moore

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK

University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. Arens

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

(1904 - 1989)

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of Mathematical Reviews. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 152, No. 2 February, 1992

<b>Edoardo Ballico,</b> On the restrictions of the tangent bundle of the	
Grassmannians	201
Edward Burger, Homogeneous Diophantine approximation in	
S-integers	211
Jan Dijkstra, Jan van Mill and Jerzy Mogilski, The space of	
infinite-dimensional compacta and other topological copies of $(l_f^2)^\omega$ .	255
Mike Hoffman, Multiple harmonic series	275
Wu Hsiung Huang, Superharmonicity of curvatures for surfaces of consta	ınt
mean curvature	291
George Kempf, Pulling back bundles	319
<b>Kjeld Laursen,</b> Operators with finite ascent	323
Andrew Solomon Lipson, Some more states models for link invariants	337
Xiang Yang Liu, Bloch functions of several complex variables	347
Madabusi Santanam Raghunathan, A note on generators for arithmetic	
subgroups of algebraic groups	365
Marko Tadić, Notes on representations of non-Archimedean $SL(n)$	375