

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON SIEVED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS. IX:
ORTHOGONALITY ON THE UNIT CIRCLE**

MOURAD ISMAIL AND XIN LI

ON SIEVED ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS IX: ORTHOGONALITY ON THE UNIT CIRCLE

MOURAD E. H. ISMAIL AND XIN LI

We study sieved orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and using a result of Szegő we show that there is a one to one correspondence between a family of sieved orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle and two families of sieved orthogonal polynomials on the interval $[-1, 1]$, namely sieved polynomials of the first and second kinds. We find explicit representations of the sieved polynomials and the Herglotz transform of the measure with respect to which they are orthogonal.

1. Introduction. Since Al-Salam, Allaway and Askey [1] introduced sieved ultraspherical polynomials, the subject of sieved orthogonal polynomials on a finite interval have been studied extensively by several authors, [3], [4], [7], [10], [14]. In this work we consider the problem of sieved orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle.

We also explore the connection between symmetric sieved orthogonal polynomials on the interval $[-1, 1]$ and sieved orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. This connection will shed new light on sieved random walk polynomials [3].

Let $d\mu$ be a finite positive Borel measure on the unit circle Γ and assume that $d\mu$ is normalized by

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(\theta) = 1, \quad z = e^{i\theta} \in \Gamma.$$

We shall further assume that the support of $d\mu$ is infinite. Let $\{\Phi_n(z)\}_0^\infty$ be the sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal on Γ with respect to $d\mu$. The Φ_n 's satisfy the recurrence relation

$$(1.1) \quad \Phi_{n+1}(z) = z\Phi_n(z) - \bar{a}_n\Phi_n^*(z), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

[8], [9], [13], where a_n is

$$a_n = -\overline{\Phi_{n+1}(0)}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and the reciprocal polynomial of Φ_n is

$$\Phi_n^*(z) := z^n \overline{\Phi_n(1/z)}.$$

It is well-known that given an infinite sequence of complex numbers $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n, \dots$ with $|a_n| < 1, n = 0, 1, \dots$, one can uniquely define a sequence of monic polynomials $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$, by demanding that $\Phi_0(z) = 1$ and $\Phi_n(z)$ satisfy (1.1). Furthermore the Φ_n 's will be orthogonal on Γ [8], [13]. The a_n 's are called the reflection coefficients.

In §2 we start with a measure $d\mu$, as above, or a sequence of monic polynomials $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ orthogonal on the unit circle and a positive integer k , and generate a family of sieved orthogonal polynomials $\{S_n(z)\}$ by

$$(1.2) \quad S_0(z) = 1, \quad S_{n+1}(z) = zS_n(z) - \overline{\alpha_n}S_n^*(z), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

in (1.2), α_n is

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \nmid n + 1, \\ a_{m-1} & \text{if } n + 1 = mk. \end{cases}$$

Our first main result, Theorem 1.4 below, provides an explicit representation of the S_n 's in terms of the Φ_n 's and relates their orthogonality measures.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $\{S_n(z)\}$ and $\{\alpha_n\}$ be defined as in (1.2) and (1.3), respectively. Then*

$$(1.5) \quad S_{nk+j}(z) = z^j \Phi_n(z^k), \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Furthermore if $d\nu$ is the measure with respect to which the S_n 's are orthogonal, then

$$(1.6) \quad d\nu(\theta) = k^{-1} d\mu(k\theta), \quad z = e^{i\theta}.$$

Theorem 1.4 will be proved in §2. One can think of Theorem 1.4 as a case when the polynomial mapping $T(z)$ of [2] is z^k and orthogonality is on the unit circle. The polynomial mapping approach does not seem to have been applied to orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle. In §2 we will also state and prove a result connecting the Herglotz transforms of the measures $d\mu$ and $d\nu$.

Recall that a sequence of orthogonal polynomials $\{r_n(x)\}$ is a sequence of random walk polynomials if and only if there exists a sequence $\{d_n\}, 0 < d_n < 1, n > 0, 0 \leq d_0 < 1$ such that

$$(1.7) \quad r_0(x) = 1, \quad r_1(x) = x/(1 - d_0), \\ xr_n(x) = (1 - d_n)r_{n+1}(x) + d_n r_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 0.$$

For example the spherical harmonics or ultraspherical polynomials [6] are random walk polynomials with $d_n = n/(2n + 2\lambda)$. In §3 we

point out that any sequence of symmetric polynomials $\{p_n(x)\}$ (i.e. $p_n(-x) = (-1)^n p_n(x)$) orthogonal on a subset of $[-1, 1]$ is actually a sequence of random walk polynomials. In [3] it was shown how a family of random walk polynomials (1.7) generates two families of sieved random walk polynomials. In §3 we show how a single sequence of sieved polynomials orthogonal on the unit circle, when projected on $[-1, 1]$, gives rise to the two aforementioned families. We also give a further generalization of [3]. In §4 we give a mild generalization of the sieving concept of §2. The results of §2 may be viewed as orthogonal polynomials on the Julia set associated with the polynomial mapping $T(z) = z^k$ while the results in §4 deal with Julia sets associated with iterations of different mappings.

2. Sieved polynomials on the circle. We first record a lemma needed in the proof of Theorem 1.4.

LEMMA 2.1 ([11], [12]). *Let $\{\phi_n(z)\}$ be orthonormal polynomials with respect to a finite positive Borel measure $d\sigma$ on Γ . Then*

$$(2.2) \quad |\phi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{-2} d\theta \xrightarrow{W^*} d\theta, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (2.2) we mean that the limiting relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) |\phi_n(e^{i\theta})|^{-2} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\sigma(\theta),$$

holds for every function $f(\theta)$ in $C_{2\pi}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We first use induction on n to show that

$$(2.3) \quad S_{nk}(z) = \Phi_n(z^k), \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Clearly (2.3) holds when $n = 0$. If (2.3) holds for an n consider $S_{(n+1)k}(z)$. Since α_{nk+j} vanishes for $j = 0, 1, \dots, k-2$, then (1.2) implies $S_{nk+j}(z) = z^j \Phi_n(z^k)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(n+1)k}(z) &= z S_{nk+k-1}(z) - \overline{\alpha_{nk+k-1}} S_{nk+k-1}^*(z) \\ &= z^k \Phi_n(z^k) - \overline{\alpha_n} \Phi_n^*(z^k) = \Phi_{n+1}(z^k). \end{aligned}$$

In the above equality we used the fact

$$(S_{nk+k-1})^*(z) = (z^{k-1} \Phi_n(z^k))^* = \Phi_n^*(z^k).$$

This completes the proof of (1.5). To prove the remaining part of Theorem 1.4 first note that for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have, Geronimus

[8, p. 133],

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\Phi_n(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\mu(\theta) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - |a_j|^2) =: N_n^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |S_{nk}(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\nu(\theta) = \prod_{j=0}^{nk-1} [1 - |a_j|^2] = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} [1 - |a_j|^2]^k = N_n^{2k};$$

hence the polynomials $\phi_n(z) := \Phi_n(z)/N_n$ and

$$s_{kn}(z) := S_{kn}(z)/N_n = \Phi_n(z^k)/N_n = \phi_n(z^k)$$

are orthonormal with respect to $d\mu$ and $d\nu$, respectively. Applying Lemma 2.1 and (2.3) we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta) d\nu(\theta) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |s_{nk}(e^{i\theta})|^{-2} f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2\pi} |\phi_n(e^{ik\theta})|^{-2} f(\theta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{2k\pi} |\phi_n(e^{i\alpha})|^{-2} f(\alpha/k) d\alpha \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{2(j-1)\pi}^{2j\pi} |\phi_n(e^{i\alpha})|^{-2} f(\alpha/k) d\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

If we restrict ourselves to functions f with period $2\pi/k$, then the extreme right-hand side of the above equalities is

$$\int_0^{2\pi} f(\theta/k) d\mu(\theta).$$

Finally the uniqueness of a Borel measure representing a continuous linear functional on $C_{2\pi}$ establishes (1.6). □

The Herglotz transform of a finite Borel measure $d\sigma(\theta)$ supported on $[0, 2\pi)$ is defined by

$$(2.4) \quad F(\sigma; z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} d\sigma(\theta), \quad |z| < 1.$$

In the open unit disc $F(\sigma; z)$ is an analytic function and has positive real part [8, p. 23]. Furthermore the following inversion formula holds

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{2} [\sigma(\theta+0) + \sigma(\theta-0)] = \text{Constant} + \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \int_0^\theta \text{Re}\{F(\sigma; re^{i\phi})\} d\phi.$$

THEOREM 2.6. *Let $d\nu$ be as in Theorem 1.4; then*

$$(2.7) \quad F(\nu; z) = F(\mu; z^k), \quad |z| < 1.$$

Before proving Theorem 2.6 we recall some basic facts. The monic orthogonal polynomials of the second kind associated with $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ are [8, p. 5]

$$(2.8) \quad \Psi_n(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} [\Phi_n(e^{i\theta}) - \Phi_n(z)] d\mu(\theta),$$

$n > 0, \Psi_0(z) = 1.$

Note that Geronimus [8, (1.13), p. 5] erroneously defines $\Psi_0(z)$ by letting $n = 0$ in the integral in (2.8). It is not difficult to use (1.2) and (1.2') on page 2 in [8] to show that $\{\Psi_n(z)\}$ can be generated by

$$(2.9) \quad \Psi_0(z) = 1, \Psi_{n+1}(z) = z\Psi_n(z) + \bar{a}_n \Psi_n^*(z), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The reflection coefficients in (2.9) have the opposite sign of the reflection coefficients in (1.1). Moreover [8, (1.16), p. 6]

$$(2.10) \quad F(\mu; z) - \frac{\Psi_n^*(z)}{\Phi_n^*(z)} = O(z^{n+1}), \quad |z| < 1, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem (2.6). Let $\{\Psi_n(z)\}$ and $\{B_n(z)\}$ be the monic polynomials of the second kind associated with the polynomials orthogonal with respect to $d\mu$ and $d\nu$, respectively. Now (2.9) implies $B_n(z) = \Psi_n(z^k)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F(\nu; z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_{nk}^*(z) / S_{nk}^*(z) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Psi_n^*(z^k) / \Phi_n^*(z^k) = F(\mu; z^k), \quad |z| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows. □

3. Random walk polynomials. Let $\{p_n(x)\}$ be a sequence of monic symmetric polynomials orthogonal on a subset of $[-1, 1]$. To see that they must be a sequence of monic random walk polynomials assume $\{p_n(x)\}$ to be monic and generated by

$$p_0(x) = 1, \quad p_1(x) = x, \quad xp_n(x) = p_{n+1}(x) + b_n p_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 0.$$

It follows from [5, §4.2, p. 108] that the p_n 's are orthogonal on a subset of $[-1, 1]$ if and only if $\{b_n: 0 < n < \infty\}$ is a chain sequence, i.e., there is a parameter sequence $\{g_n: 0 \leq n < \infty\}$ such that b_n admits the factorization $b_n = g_n(1 - g_{n-1})$, $n > 0$, with $0 \leq g_0 < 1$ and

$0 < g_n < 1, n > 0$. If $\{b_n\}$ is a chain sequence one can always choose $\{g_n\}$ to be a minimal parameter sequence, i.e. $g_0 = 0$, [5, Theorem 5.3, p. 94]. Therefore, $\{p_n(x)\}$ is a sequence of constant multiples of random walk polynomials.

Next we start with a monic polynomial set $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ orthogonal on the unit circle with respect to $d\mu(\theta), z = e^{i\theta}$. Let $d\rho$ be the positive Borel measure defined by

$$(3.1) \quad d\mu(\theta) = |\sin \theta| d\rho(\cos \theta).$$

Further, let $\{P_n(x)\}$ and $\{Q_n(x)\}$ be the monic polynomials orthogonal on $[-1, 1]$ with respect to $d\rho(x)$ and $(1-x^2)d\rho(x)$, respectively. Then, on writing $x = (z + z^{-1})/2$, we get, see Szegő [13, §11.5],

$$(3.2) \quad P_n(x) = \frac{2^{-n}}{1 + \Phi_{2n}(0)} \{z^{-n}\Phi_{2n}(z) + z^n\Phi_{2n}(z^{-1})\}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad Q_{n-1}(x) = \frac{2^{1-n}}{1 - \Phi_{2n}(0)} \{z^{-n}\Phi_{2n}(z) - z^n\Phi_{2n}(z^{-1})\}/(z - z^{-1}),$$

$n > 0$.

Now replace z by z^k and use (3.3) to obtain after some simplification

$$Q_{n-1}(T_k(x)) = \frac{2^{1-n}}{1 - S_{2nk}(0)} \cdot \frac{z^{-nk}S_{2nk}(z) - z^{nk}S_{2nk}(z^{-1})}{z - z^{-1}} \{1/U_{k-1}(x)\},$$

where $\{T_n(x)\}$ and $\{U_n(x)\}$ are Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, respectively. On the other hand starting with symmetric monic polynomial sequences $\{P_n(x)\}$ and $\{Q_n(x)\}$ orthogonal on $[-1, 1]$ with respect to $d\rho(x)$ and $(1-x^2)d\rho(x)$, respectively we can apply (11.5.1) and (11.5.2) in Szegő [13] and find a polynomial set $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ orthogonal on the unit circle with respect to $d\mu(\theta)$ of (3.1). Once the sieved polynomials on the circle $\{S_n(x)\}$ are defined, we can again apply (11.5.1) and (11.5.2) in [13] and construct two families $\{p_n(x)\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}$ of sieved polynomials orthogonal on $[-1, 1]$ with respect to $d\alpha(x)$ and $d\beta(x) = (1-x^2)d\alpha(x)$. Thus we proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $\{P_n(x)\}, \{Q_n(x)\}$ and $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ be as above and assume that $\{S_n(x)\}, \{p_n(x)\}$ and $\{q_n(x)\}$ are constructed from them following the above procedure. Then we have*

$$(3.5) \quad p_n(x) = \frac{2^{-n}}{1 + S_{2n}(0)} \{z^{-n}S_{2n}(z) + z^nS_{2n}(z^{-1})\},$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad q_n(x) = \frac{2^{1-n}}{1 - S_{2n}(0)} \{z^{-n} S_{2n}(z) - z^n S_{2n}(z^{-1})\} / (z - z^{-1}).$$

In particular

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} 2^{n(k-1)} q_{nk-1}(x) &= U_{k-1}(x) Q_{n-1}(T_k(x)), \\ 2^{n(k-1)} p_{nk}(x) &= P_n(T_k(x)). \end{aligned}$$

It can be easily verified that

$$(3.8) \quad d\alpha(x) = k^{-1} |U_{k-1}(x)| d\rho(T_k(x)), \quad d\beta(x) = (1 - x^2) d\alpha(x).$$

Formula (3.7) is in [3] when the $P_n(x)$'s are monic random walk polynomials.

4. Iterated mappings. In this section we give a generalization of the construction in §2.

We start with a polynomial set $\{\Phi_n(z): n \geq 0\}$ orthogonal on the unit circle whose reflection coefficients are $\{a_n: n \geq 0\}$; hence $|a_n| < 1, n \geq 0$ and (1.1) holds. Assume further that we are given $k - 1$ numbers b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{k-2} such that $|b_j| < 1, 0 \leq j \leq k - 2$. Define a sequence $\{\alpha_n: n \geq 0\}$ of reflection coefficients as

$$(4.1) \quad \alpha_{mk-1} = a_{m-1}, \quad \alpha_{mk+j} = b_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, k - 2.$$

The generalized sieved polynomials $\{S_n(z)\}$ are generated by the reflection coefficients $\{\alpha_n\}$, i.e. (1.2) holds. The special pattern of the α_n 's enables us to find a representation of $S_n(z)$. This is achieved as follows.

For $j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$ and for any polynomial $v(z)$ define

$$(4.2) \quad f_j(v) := (z, -\overline{b_{j-1}}) \begin{bmatrix} z & -\overline{b_{j-2}} \\ -b_{j-2}z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \dots \begin{bmatrix} z & -\overline{b_0} \\ -b_0z & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} v(z) \\ v^*(z) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next define a sequence $\{\zeta_n(z): n \geq 0\}$ as

$$(4.3) \quad \zeta_0(z) = 1, \quad \zeta_{n+1}(z) = z f_{k-1}(\zeta_n(z)) - \overline{a_n} (f_{k-1}(\zeta_n(z)))^*.$$

It is straightforward to prove:

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{S_n(z)\}$ be as in (4.1) and (1.2), respectively; then*

$$(4.5) \quad S_{nk}(z) = \zeta_n(z) \quad \text{and} \quad S_{nk+j}(z) = f_j(\zeta_n(z)), \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1; n = 0, 1, \dots$$

In the special case when $b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_{k-2} = 0$ and $\{\Phi_n(z)\}$ are the original polynomials then

$$f_j(\zeta_0(z)) = z^j, \quad f_j(\zeta_1(z)) = z^j \zeta_1(z), \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1, \quad \text{with } \zeta_1(z) = \Phi_1(z^k),$$

and (1.5) follows.

One would like to relate the orthogonality measure of the Φ_n 's and the S_n 's in the above construction. Unfortunately our attempts to find such a relationship have not been successful.

Added in proof. After the final version of this paper was sent for publication a paper of Sansigre and Marcellan appeared where they considered the algebraic properties of the case $k = 2$ of our present paper. The reference is G. Sansigre and F. Marcellan, *Orthogonal polynomials on the unit circle; symmetrization and quadratic decomposition*, J. Approximation Theory, **65** (1991), 109–119.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Al-Salam, W. Allaway and R. Askey, *Sieved ultraspherical polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **284** (1984), 39–55.
- [2] D. Bessis, *Orthogonal polynomials, Padé approximation and Julia sets*, in *Orthogonal Polynomials: Theory and Practice*, edited by P. Nevai, Kluwer, Dordrecht, (1990), 55–97.
- [3] J. Charris and M. E. H. Ismail, *On sieved orthogonal polynomials II: Random walk polynomials*, Canad. J. Math., **38** (1986), 397–414.
- [4] ———, *On sieved orthogonal polynomials VII: Generalized polynomial mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **330** (1991), to appear.
- [5] T. S. Chihara, *An Introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1978.
- [6] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher Transcendental Functions*, volume 2, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [7] J. Geronimo and W. Van Assche, *Orthogonal polynomials via polynomial mappings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **308** (1988), 559–581.
- [8] Ya. L. Geronimus, *Orthogonal Polynomials on a Circle and Interval*, English translation, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1960.
- [9] U. Grenander and G. Szegő, *Toeplitz Forms and Their Applications*, Chelsea, New York, 1986.
- [10] M. E. H. Ismail, *On sieved orthogonal polynomials III: Polynomials orthogonal on several intervals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **294** (1986), 89–111.
- [11] A. Maté, P. Nevai and V. Totik, *Strong and weak convergence of orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. J. Math., **109** (1987), 239–282.
- [12] E. Rahmanov, *Asymptotics of the ratio of orthogonal polynomials*, Math. USSR Sbornik, **32** (1977), 199–213.

- [13] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Colloquium Publications, fourth edition, volume 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1975.
- [14] W. Van Assche and A. Magnus, *Sieved orthogonal polynomials and discrete measure with jumps dense in an interval*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **107** (1989), 163–173.

Received October 17, 1990 and in revised form February 15, 1991. Research partially supported by NSF grants DMS 8814026 and DMS 8912423.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA
TAMPA, FL 33620

AND

UNIVERSITY OF CENTRAL FLORIDA
ORLANDO, FL 32816

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906-1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904-1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1992 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

| | |
|--|-----|
| R. Ayala, Eladio Domínguez Murillo, Alberto Márquez Pérez and A. Quintero, Lusternik-Schnirelmann invariants in proper homotopy theory | 201 |
| Hari Bercovici and Dan-Virgil Voiculescu, Lévy-Hinčin type theorems for multiplicative and additive free convolution | 217 |
| L. J. Bunce and Cho-Ho Chu, Compact operations, multipliers and Radon-Nikodým property in JB^*-triples | 249 |
| Marius Dadarlat, Gabriel Nagy, András Némethi and Cornel Pasnicu, Reduction of topological stable rank in inductive limits of C^*-algebras | 267 |
| François Dumas and Robert Vidal, Dérivations, et hautes dérivations, dans certains corps gauches de series de Laurent | 277 |
| Mourad Ismail and Xin Li, On sieved orthogonal polynomials. IX: Orthogonality on the unit circle | 289 |
| X. T. Liang and Y. W. Lu, A Phragmén-Lindelöf theorem | 299 |
| Mark Stephen Reeder, On certain Iwahori invariants in the unramified principal series | 313 |
| Shohei Tanaka, On the representation of the determinant of Harish-Chandra's C-function of $SL(n, \mathbb{R})$ | 343 |
| Fritz von Haeseler and Guentcho Svetoslavov Skordev, Borsuk-Ulam theorem, fixed point index and chain approximations for maps with multiplicity | 369 |