# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF THETA REPRESENTATIONS OF GL<sub>3</sub>

GORDAN SAVIN

Vol. 154, No. 2 June 1992

# ON THE TENSOR PRODUCT OF THETA REPRESENTATIONS OF GL<sub>3</sub>

### GORDAN SAVIN

Let V be the theta representation of  $\widetilde{GL}_3$ —the two fold central extension of  $GL_3$ . Let W be a spherical representation of  $GL_3$ . We show that there is a nonzero  $GL_3$  invariant trilinear form on  $V\otimes V^*\otimes W$  if and only if W is a lift from  $SL_2$ . In this case the form is unique up to a scalar.

Introduction. Let k be a global field and A its ring of adeles. Let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible 3 dimensional representation of the Galois group  $\Gamma$  of k. Assume, for simplicity, that  $\sigma(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{SL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Then, according to Langlands there exists an automorphic representation  $\pi \subset L^2(\operatorname{PGL}_3(k) \setminus \operatorname{PGL}_3(A))$  such that the corresponding L-functions are equal. Consider the symmetric square of the representation  $\sigma$ . Then, conjecturally, the corresponding L function will have a pole only if the symmetric square representation contains a copy of trivial representation. But this means that there is a quadratic form invariant under  $\sigma$  and therefore  $\sigma(\Gamma) \subset \operatorname{SO}_3(\mathbb{C})$ . Since  $\operatorname{SO}_3(\mathbb{C}) = {}^L\operatorname{SL}_2$ , the automorphic representation  $\pi$  should be a lift of an automorphic representation of  $\operatorname{SL}_2$ . Let  $\pi_v$  be a local component of  $\pi$ . If it is spherical,  $\pi_v$  is the local lift of a representation of  $\operatorname{SL}_2$  if  $\pi_v = \operatorname{ind}_B^{\operatorname{PGL}_3} \chi$  where  $\chi$  is a character of the diagonal subgroup of  $\operatorname{PGL}_3$  given by

$$\chi \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ b & \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \mu \left( \frac{a}{c} \right)$$

for some unramified character  $\mu: k_n^* \to \mathbb{C}^*$ .

On the other hand, Patterson and Piatetski-Shapiro [PP] have constructed the symmetric square L-function corresponding to a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of PGL<sub>3</sub>. Moreover, they showed that the residue at s=1 of this L-function is

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}_3(k)\backslash\mathrm{PGL}_3(\mathbf{A})} \varphi(g)\theta(g)\theta'(g)\,dg$$

where  $\varphi \in \pi$  and  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  are "theta functions" of Kazhdan and Patterson [KP]. They are certain automorphic forms on  $\widetilde{GL}_3$ —the two

fold central extension of  $GL_3$ . Let F be a local field. In [FKS] we have constructed a smooth model  $(\theta_3, V)$  of the local component of "theta functions". Let  $(\pi, W)$  be an irreducible representation of  $PGL_3(F)$ . From what was explained above, it is natural to ask whether there is a  $GL_3$  invariant trilinear form on  $V \otimes V^* \otimes W$ . We have the following result:

THEOREM. Let F be a local field of the characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Let  $(\pi, W)$  be a spherical representation of  $PGL_3$ . Then there exists a  $GL_3$  invariant trilinear form on  $V \otimes V^* \otimes W$  if and only if  $\pi$  is the lift of a representation of  $SL_2$ . Moreover, the form is unique up to a scalar.

We remark that the article of Prasad [P] was perhaps the first result indicating relationship between special values of *L*-functions and invariant functionals.

Acknowledgment. I would like to thank Professor S. Rallis for suggesting the problem and R. Howe for the help.

**Preliminaries and notation.** Let  $P_1$  (resp.  $P_2$ ) be the standard (2, 1) (resp. (1, 2) parabolic subgroup of  $GL_3$ . Let  $P_1 = M_1U_1$  and  $P_2 = M_2U_2$  be standard Levi decompositions. We shall use the letter N to denote the unipotent group of uppertriangular matrices of  $GL_2$  and  $GL_3$  and the letter T to denote the group of diagonal matrices of  $GL_2$  and  $GL_3$ . It will be clear from the context which is meant. Finally put  $N_1 = N \cap M_1$ ,  $N_2 = N \cap M_2$  and B = TN.

Let P=MU be a parabolic subgroup and  $(\pi\,,\,V)$  a smooth module. Define  $V(U)=\mathrm{span}\{v-\pi(u)v|v\in V\,,\,u\in U\}$ . Then  $V_U=V/V(U)$  is the module of coinvariants.

Let X be an algebraic variety over the field F. Then S(X) will denote the space of locally constant, compactly supported functions on X. Obviously,  $S(X_1 \times X_2) = S(X_1) \otimes S(X_2)$ . Let q be an algebraic function on X. Then one can define a representation  $\pi$  of N on S(X) by

$$\pi\left(\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & n \\ & n \end{array}\right)\right)f(x) = \phi(nq(x))f(x)$$

where  $\phi$  is an additive character of F. It is easy to check that  $S(X)_N = S(Y)$  where Y is the subvariety of X defined by q = 0.

We need to recall some facts about the principal series representations of  $GL_3(F)$ . Let St denote the Steinberg representation of  $GL_2$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be a multiplicative character of  $F^*$  such that  $\lambda^2 = 1$ . Put  $\operatorname{St}_{\lambda} = \operatorname{St} \otimes \lambda(\det)$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a character of  $F^*$ . It defines a character  $\chi_{\mu} \colon T \to \mathbb{C}^*$  by the following formula:

$$\chi_{\mu} \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & b & \\ & c \end{pmatrix} = \mu \left( \frac{a}{c} \right) .$$

Let  $\pi(\mu) = \operatorname{ind}_B^{\operatorname{GL}_3} \chi_{\mu}$  (normalized induction). To describe the composition series of  $\pi(\mu)$  we need to introduce  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  representations of  $\operatorname{GL}_3$  defined as follows:

$$0 \to 1 \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_1}^{\operatorname{GL}_3} 1 \to \sigma_1 \to 0,$$
  
$$0 \to 1 \to \operatorname{ind}_{P_2}^{\operatorname{GL}_3} 1 \to \sigma_2 \to 0.$$

Here the induction is not normalized! We need the following result about the principal series representations. A reader can find details in Cartier's article [C, §III].

**PROPOSITION** 1. The representations  $\pi(\mu)$  are irreducible and  $\pi(\mu) \cong \pi(\mu^{-1})$  unless  $\mu$  is of the two following types:

- (a)  $\mu=\lambda|\cdot|^{\pm 1/2}$ ,  $\lambda^2=1$ . The composition series consists of  $\operatorname{ind}_P^{\operatorname{GL}_3}\lambda(\det)$  and  $\operatorname{ind}_P^{\operatorname{GL}_3}\operatorname{St}_\lambda$ .
- (b)  $\mu = |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ . The composition series consists of the trivial representation, the Steinberg representation,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$ .

The central extension and theta representation. Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ :  $F^* \times F^* \to \{\pm 1\}$  be the Hilbert symbol. Let  $\widetilde{GL}_n(F)$  be the 2-fold central extension of  $GL_n(F)$  and  $s: GL_n \to \widetilde{GL}_n$  the section as in [FKS]. The extension can be characterized in the following way:

$$\mathbf{s}(\operatorname{diag}(a_i))\mathbf{s}(\operatorname{diag}(b_i)) = \mathbf{s}(\operatorname{diag}(a_ib_i))\prod_{i< j}(a_i, b_j),$$

where  $\operatorname{diag}(a_i)$  denotes the diagonal matrix with entries  $a_i$ . Moreover the section s is an isomorphism on N and we will identify N and  $\mathbf{s}(N)$ . Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\phi \colon F \to \mathbf{C}^*$ . Define a function  $\gamma = \gamma_\phi \colon F^* \to \mathbf{C}^*$  by

$$\gamma(a) = \frac{|a|^{1/2} \int \phi(ax^2) dx}{\int \phi(x^2) dx}.$$

LEMMA 1 (Weil [W1]). The function  $\gamma$  has the following properties:

(a) 
$$\gamma(ab) = \gamma(a)\gamma(b)(a, b)$$
,

(b) 
$$\overline{\gamma}_{\phi} = \gamma_{\overline{\phi}}$$
.

DEFINITION 1. Let  $C_2(F)$  be the space of locally constant functions on  $F^*$  such that

(a) 
$$f(x) = 0$$
 if  $|x| > c$ ,

(b) 
$$f(v^2x) = f(x)$$
 if  $|x|$ ,  $|v^2x| < 1/c$ ,

where c is a constant depending on f.

The theta representation  $\theta_2$  of  $\widetilde{GL}_2$  can be realized on the space of functions f on  $F^*$  such that  $|x|^{1/4}f(x) \in C_2(F)$ . The action of  $\widetilde{GL}_2$  is given by the following formulae [F]:

$$\theta_{2}\left(\mathbf{s}\begin{pmatrix}a\\1\end{pmatrix}\right)f(x) = |a|^{1/2}f(ax),$$

$$\theta_{2}\left(\mathbf{s}\begin{pmatrix}z\\z\end{pmatrix}\right)f(x) = (x,z)\gamma(z)f(x),$$

$$\theta_{2}\left(\begin{pmatrix}1&n\\1\end{pmatrix}\right)f(x) = \phi(nx)f(x),$$

$$\theta_{2}\left(\mathbf{s}\begin{pmatrix}0&-1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}\right)f(x) = c\gamma(x)|x|^{1/2}\int_{F}|y|^{1/2}f(xy^{2})\phi(xy)\,dy$$

for some constant c.

**PROPOSITION** 2. Let  $\lambda$  be a multiplicative character of  $F^*$  such that  $\lambda^2 = 1$ . Then  $\theta_2 \cong \theta_2 \otimes \lambda(\det)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\theta$  be the even Weil representation of  $\widetilde{SL}_2$ . Let G be the subgroup of  $\widetilde{GL}_2$  consisting of the elements whose determinant is a square in  $F^*$ . It is easy to see that  $\theta$  extends to G and that  $\theta_2 = \operatorname{ind}_G^{\widetilde{GL}_2} \theta$ . Since  $\widetilde{GL}_2/G \cong F^*/(F^*)^2$  the proposition follows.

DEFINITION 2. Let H be a group and C its center. We say that H is a Heisenberg group if H/C is abelian.

To give a characterization of  $\theta_3$  we need a simple result about Heisenberg groups (see [KP,  $\S 0.3$ ]):

LEMMA 2. Let H be a Heisenberg group and C its center. Let  $\delta$  be a character of C. Assume that  $\delta$  is faithful on  $[H, H] \subset C$ . Then there is unique irreducible representation  $\pi_{\delta}$  of H such that C acts by multiplication by  $\delta$ . Moreover,  $\pi_\delta \otimes \pi_{\overline{\delta}}$  is just the regular representation of H/C. 

Let  $\widetilde{T}$  be the inverse image of T in  $\widetilde{GL}_3$ . Let Z be the center of  $GL_3$  and  $\widetilde{Z}$  the inverse image in  $\widetilde{GL}$ . It is easy to check that  $\widetilde{Z}$  is the center of  $\widetilde{GL}_3$ . The group  $\widetilde{T}$  is a Heisenberg group with center  $C = \widetilde{Z} \cdot \mathbf{s}(T^2)$  where  $T^2$  is the group of diagonal matrices whose entries are squares. Define a character  $\delta$  of C by

$$\delta(\mathbf{s}(z)\mathbf{s}(t^2)\zeta) = \gamma(z)\zeta, \qquad \zeta \in \{\pm 1\}.$$

Let  $\pi_{\delta}$  be the corresponding representation of  $\widetilde{T}$ . Define  $\rho$  to be, as usual,

$$\rho \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c \end{pmatrix} = \left| \frac{a}{c} \right|.$$

In [FKS] we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. There is a unique representation  $(\theta_3, V)$  of  $\widetilde{GL}_3$  such that  $V_N \cong \rho^{1/2} \otimes \pi_{\delta}$ . The properties of  $\theta_3$  are:

- (1)  $\theta_3(\mathbf{s}(z)) = \gamma(z) \operatorname{Id}, z \in \mathbb{Z}$ .
- (2)  $V_{U_1} \cong \theta_2 \otimes |\det|^{1/4}$ ,  $V_{U_2} \cong \theta_2 \otimes |\det|^{-1/4}$ . (3) Let  $V_0 = V(U_1) \cap V(U_2)$ ; then  $V_0 \cong S(F^* \times F)$  with the action of  $\widetilde{B}$  given by

$$\theta_{3}\begin{pmatrix}\mathbf{s}\begin{pmatrix}a&b\\&d\\&1\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix}f(x,y) = (x,d)|ad|^{1/2}f(ax,bx+dy),$$

$$\theta_{3}\begin{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}1&u\\&1&v\\&&1\end{pmatrix}\end{pmatrix}f(x,y) = \phi(ux+vy)f(x,y).$$

(4) 
$$V(U_1) + V(U_2) = V(N)$$
.

In particular, it follows that we have a filtration of V as a  $\widetilde{B}$  module such that the quotients are  $V_0$ ,  $V_{U_1}(N_1)$ ,  $V_{U_2}(N_2)$  and  $V_N$ .

Remark. Note that the dual representation  $\theta_3^*$  is obtained by replacing  $\phi$  by  $\overline{\phi}$ .

Proof of the Theorem. Let  $(\pi, W)$  be a representation of  $GL_3$ . Then the existence of a nontrivial trilinear  $GL_3$  invariant form is equivalent to the existence of a nontrivial  $GL_3$  intertwining map from  $V\otimes V^*$  to  $W^*$ . Hence we have to compute  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V\otimes V^*,W)$ . Assume that  $\pi=\operatorname{ind}_B^G\chi$ . Then by the Frobenius reciprocity we have  $\dim \operatorname{Hom}_G(V\otimes V^*,W)=\dim \operatorname{Hom}_T((V\otimes V^*)_N,\rho\chi)$ . In other words we have restricted the problem to computing the T-equivariant functionals on  $(V\otimes V^*)_N$ . From  $\widetilde{B}$  filtration of V it follows that  $(V\otimes V^*)_N$  has a filtration whose quotients are  $(V_0\otimes V_0^*)_N$ ,  $(V_{U_1}(N_1)\otimes V_{U_1}^*(N_1))_{N_1}$ ,  $(V_{U_2}(N_2)\otimes V_{U_2}^*(N_2))_{N_2}$  and  $V_N\otimes V_N^*$ .

Let  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  be the functional on  $S(F^*)$  given by

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(f) = \int_{F^*} f(x) \mu(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{|x|}.$$

Obviously, we have the following simple proposition:

Proposition 3. The functional  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  is unique up to a nonzero constant  $\mu$ -equivariant functional on  $S(F^*)$  with respect to the standard action of  $F^*$ .

Next we need to describe  $F^*$  equivariant functionals on  $C_2(F)$ .

**PROPOSITION 4** (see [W2]). Let  $\mu$  be a character of  $F^*$ . The functional  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  extends to  $C_2(F)$  if  $\mu^2 \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathscr O$  be the ring of integers of F and  $\varpi$  a uniformizing element. Put  $q=|\varpi|^{-1}$ . Assume that  $\mu^2(x)=|x|^{-s}$ . Let  $f\in C_2(F)$ . Consider the integral

$$\Lambda_s(f) = \int_{F^*} (f(x) - f(\varpi^2 x)) |x|^s \frac{dx}{|x|}.$$

Obviously  $\Lambda_s(f)$  is defined for every s and if Re(s) > 0 then

$$\Lambda_s(f) = (1 - q^s)\Gamma_{\mu}(f).$$

This formula extends the functional  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  to  $C_2(F)$  if  $\mu^2(x) = |x|^{-s}$  and  $s \neq 0$ . If  $\mu^2$  is ramified then  $\Gamma_{\mu}$  extends by taking the Principal Value integral. The proposition is proved.

**PROPOSITION 5.** Let  $\chi$  be a character of T. The space of  $\chi$ -equivariant linear functionals on  $V_N \otimes V_N^*$  is at most 1-dimensional. It has the

dimension one if and only if  $\chi = \rho \lambda$ 

$$\lambda \begin{pmatrix} a & \\ & b & \\ & c \end{pmatrix} = \mu_1(a)\mu_2(b)\mu_3(c),$$

 $\mu_i^2 = 1$  and  $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdot \mu_3 = 1$ .

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 2.

Let  $\mu$  be a multiplicative character of  $F^*$ . Let  $\delta_{1,2}(\mu)$ ,  $\delta_{1,3}(\mu)$  and  $\delta_{1,3}(\mu)$  be the characters of T defined by

$$\delta_{12}(\mu)(t) = \mu(a)\mu(b)^{-1},$$
  

$$\delta_{23}(\mu)(t) = \mu(b)\mu(c)^{-1},$$
  

$$\delta_{13}(\mu)(t) = \mu(a)\mu(c)^{-1}$$

where t = diag(a, b, c). Let  $W^{T,\chi}$  denote the space of  $\chi$ -equivariant functionals on a smooth T module W.

Proposition 6.

(a) 
$$\dim(V_{U_1}(N_1) \otimes V_{U_1}^*(N_1))_{N_1}^{T,\chi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \rho \delta_{12}(\mu), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) 
$$\dim(V_{U_2}(N_2) \otimes V_{U_2}^*(N_2))_{N_2}^{T,\chi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \rho \delta_{23}(\mu), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Using property (2) of  $\theta_3$  and the description of  $\theta_2$  it is easy to check that  $V_{U_1}(N_1) \cong S(F^*)$  and therefore  $(V_{U_1}(N_1) \otimes V_{U_1}^*(N_1))_{N_1} \cong S(F^*)$  with the action of T given by

$$\theta_3 \otimes \theta_3^* \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & b \end{pmatrix} \right) f(x) = \left| \frac{a}{c} \right| \left| \frac{a}{b} \right|^{1/2} f\left( \frac{a}{b} x \right).$$

Part (a) now follows from Proposition 3. Part (b) is proved analogously.

Proposition 7.

$$(V_0 \otimes V_0^*)_N^{T,\chi} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi = \rho \delta_{13}(\mu), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Using property (3) of  $\theta_3$  it follows that

$$(V_0 \otimes V_0^*)_{U_1} \cong S(F^* \times F)$$

with the action of  $TN_1$  given by

$$\theta_3 \otimes \theta_3^* \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} f(x, y) = \left| \frac{ab}{c^2} \right| f\left( \frac{a}{c}x, \frac{b}{c}y \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_3 \otimes \theta_3^* \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} f(x, y) = f(x, nx + y).$$

After taking the Fourier transform in the second variable the action becomes

$$\theta_{3} \otimes \theta_{3}^{*} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & b & c \end{pmatrix} f(x, y) = \left| \frac{a}{c} \right| f\left( \frac{a}{c}x, \frac{c}{b}y \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{3} \otimes \theta_{3}^{*} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} f(x, y) = f(x, y)\phi(nxy).$$

Therefore  $(V_0 \otimes V_0^*)_N \cong S(F^*)$  with the action of T given by

$$\theta_3 \otimes \theta_3^* \left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ & c \end{pmatrix} \right) f(x) = \left| \frac{a}{c} \right| f\left( \frac{a}{c} x \right).$$

The proposition follows from Proposition 3.

Let us call T equivariant functionals appearing in Proposition 5 (resp. Propositions 6 and 7) of type I (resp. II and III). Since  $V_N \otimes V_N^*$  is a quotient of  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ , functionals of type I extend to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ . In the next several propositions we are studying extension of the functionals of type II and III to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ .

**PROPOSITION 8.** The functionals of type II extend to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$  if and only if  $\mu^2 \neq 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $V_{U_1}$  is a quotient of V it follows that  $(V_{U_1} \otimes V_{U_1}^*)_{N_1}$  is a quotient of  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ . Recall that  $V_{U_1} \cong \theta_2 \otimes |\det|^{1/4}$ . The value of a  $\rho \delta_{12}(\mu)$  equivariant functional on  $(V_{U_1}(N_1) \otimes V_{U_1}^*(N_1))_{N_1}$  is given by the following integral.

$$I_{\mu}(f \otimes f^*) = \int_{F^*} |x|^{1/2} f(x) f^*(x) \mu(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{|x|}.$$

If  $f \in \theta_2 \otimes |\det|^{1/4}$  and  $f^* \in \theta_2^* \otimes |\det|^{1/4}$  it follows from the description of  $\theta_2$  that  $|x|^{1/4}f(x)$  and  $|x|^{1/4}f^*(x) \in C_2(F)$ . Therefore

 $I_{\mu}$  defines a  $\rho \delta_{12}(\mu)$  equivariant functional on  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$  if  $\mu^2 \neq 1$  by Proposition 4. It remains to deal with  $\mu$ ,  $\mu^2 = 1$ . Let  $\varphi \in V_{U_1}$  be a function given by

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} |x|^{-1/4} & \text{if } |x| \le 1 \text{ and } x \text{ is a square,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let

$$v = |\varpi|^2 \varphi \otimes \varphi^* - |\varpi| \theta_2 \otimes \theta_2^* \left( \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^2 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi \otimes \varphi^*.$$

The projection of v on  $(V_{U_1} \otimes V_{U_1}^*)_{N_1}$  lies in  $(V_{U_1}(N_1) \otimes V_{U_1}^*(N_1))_{N_1} \cong S(F^*)$  and is given by

$$\begin{split} |\varpi|^2 \varphi(x) \varphi^*(x) - |\varpi|^3 \varphi(\varpi^2 x) \varphi^*(\varpi^2 x) \\ = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -|\varpi| & \text{if } |x| = |\varpi|^{-2} \text{ and } x \text{ is a square}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $I_{\mu}(v) < 0$ . On the other hand, if the functional  $I_{\mu}$  extends to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$  then the equivariance implies  $I_{\mu}(v) = 0$ . Contradiction. Similar conclusions can be obtained for the characters  $\rho \delta_{23}(\mu)$ . The proposition is proved.

Let  $m_{ij}(\mu)$  be the multiplicity of  $\rho \delta_{ij}(\mu)$  equivariant functionals on  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ . If the principal series representation  $\pi(\mu)$  is irreducible then  $\pi(\mu) = \operatorname{ind}_B^G \delta_{ij}(\mu)$  for all  $1 \le i < j \le 3$  [C]. In particular,  $m_{ij}(\mu)$  is independent of i, j. Therefore, we have obtained the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. The functional  $\rho \delta_{13}(\mu)$  of type III extends to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$  if  $\mu \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ ,  $\mu^2 \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$  and  $\mu^2 \neq 1$ . If  $\mu^2 = 1$  and  $\mu \neq 1$  then it does not extend.

It remains to deal with  $\rho \delta_{13}(1)$ .

**PROPOSITION** 9. The functional  $\rho \delta_{13}(1)$  of type III does not extend to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$ .

*Proof.* The value of a  $\rho \delta_{13}(\mu)$  equivariant functional on  $(V_0 \otimes V_0^*)_N$  is given by the following integral:

$$I_{\mu}(f \otimes f^*) = \int_{F^*} \int_F f(x, y) f^*(x, y) \mu(x^{-1}) \frac{dx}{|x|} dy.$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a function on  $F^* \times F$  given by

$$\varphi(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \le 1 \text{ and } |y| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi \in V(U_1)$  and let

$$v = |\varpi| \varphi \otimes \varphi^* - \theta_3 \otimes \theta_3^* \left( \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \varphi \otimes \varphi^*.$$

The projection of v on  $(V(U_1) \otimes V^*(U_1))_{U_1}$  lies in  $(V_0 \otimes V_0^*)_{U_1} \cong$  $S(F^* \times F)$  and is given by

$$|\varpi|\varphi(x,y)\varphi^*(x,y) - |\varpi|\varphi(\varpi x,y)\varphi^*(\varpi x,y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} -|\varpi| & \text{if } |x| = |\varpi|^{-1} \text{ and } |y| = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $I_1(v) < 0$ . On the other hand, if the functional  $I_1$ extends to  $(V \otimes V^*)_N$  then the equivariance implies  $I_1(v) = 0$ . Contradiction. The proposition is proved.

Corollary 2. Let  $\chi$  be a character of T. Then  $\dim(V \otimes V^*)^{T,\chi}_N$ < 1.

Let  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$ ,  $\mu_3$  be three characters of  $F^*$  such that  $\mu_i^2 = 1$  and  $\mu_1 \cdot \mu_2 \cdot \mu_3 = 1$ . Let  $\chi$  be a character of T defined by  $\chi(\text{diag}(a, b, c))$  $= \mu_1(a)\mu_2(b)\mu_3(c)$ . Define  $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = \text{ind}_B^G \chi$  (normalized induction). It is unitary irreducible representation. We are now ready to formulate our main result:

THEOREM. Let  $(\pi, W)$  be a quotient of a principal series representation of GL<sub>3</sub>. Then the space of GL<sub>3</sub> invariant trilinear forms on  $V \otimes V^* \otimes W$  is 0 or 1 dimensional. The dimension is 0 unless  $\pi$  is one of the following:

- (a)  $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$ ,  $\mu_i^2 = 1$  and  $\mu_1 \mu_2 \mu_3 = 1$ , (b)  $\pi(\mu)$ ,  $\mu^2 \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$  and  $\mu \neq |\cdot|^{\pm 1}$ ,
- (c) trivial representation,
- (d)  $\operatorname{ind}_{P}^{GL_{3}} \mu$ ,  $\mu^{2} = 1$ ,
- (e)  $\operatorname{ind}_{P}^{GL_{3}} \operatorname{St}_{\mu}, \ \mu^{2} = 1$ ,
- (f)  $\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma_2$  and St.

In cases (a)-(d) the dimension is 1. In cases (e) and (f) the dimension is  $\leq 1$ .

*Proof.* Clearly the dimension is 0 unless  $\pi$  is one of the representations in (a)-(f). Since representations in (a) and (b) are irreducible these two cases follow from Corollary 2. The trace tr:  $V \times V^* \to \mathbb{C}$ 

is a GL<sub>3</sub> invariant trilinear form for  $\pi=1$ . We can similarly deal with the representations in (d). Indeed,  $V_U\otimes V_U^*$  is a quotient of  $(V\otimes V^*)_U$ . Since  $V_U\cong \theta_2\otimes |\det|^{1/4}$  and  $\theta_2\cong \theta_2\otimes \mu(\det)$  by Proposition 2 we can define an appropriate P-equivariant functional on  $(V\otimes V^*)_U$  defining a map from  $V\otimes V^*$  into  $\operatorname{ind}_P^G\mu$ . The theorem is proved.

Corollary. Let  $(\pi, W)$  be a spherical representation of  $GL_3$ . Then there exists a  $GL_3$  invariant trilinear form on  $V \otimes V^* \otimes W$  if and only if  $\pi$  is the lift of a representation of  $SL_2$ . In this case the form is unique up to a scalar.

*Proof.* Note that there is only one nontrivial unramified character  $\mu$  of  $F^*$  such that  $\mu^2=1$ . Therefore if  $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)$  is spherical then  $\pi(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3)\cong \pi(\mu, 1, \mu^{-1})$  for some unramified character  $\mu$ ,  $\mu^2=1$ .

A final remark. Recently Bump and Ginsburg [BG] have generalized the work of Patterson and Piatetski-Shapiro to construct an integral representation of the symmetric square L-function corresponding to a cuspidal automorphic representation  $\pi$  of  $PGL_n$ . As in the case n=3, the residue at s=1 of the L-function is

$$\int_{\mathrm{PGL}_n(k)\backslash\mathrm{PGL}_n(\mathbf{A})} \varphi(g)\theta(g)\theta'(g)\,dg$$

where  $\varphi \in \pi$  and  $\theta$ ,  $\theta'$  are "theta functions" of  $\widetilde{GL}_n$ —the two fold central extension of  $GL_n$ . The result of Bump and Ginzburg suggests the following generalization of our result:

Conjecture. Let F be a local field of the characteristic  $\neq 2$  and let  $(\theta, V)$  be the theta representation of  $\widetilde{GL}_n$ . Let  $(\pi, W)$  be a spherical representation of  $\operatorname{PGL}_n$ . Then there exists a  $\operatorname{GL}_n$  invariant trilinear form on  $V \otimes V^* \otimes W$  if and only if  $\pi$  is the lift of a representation of  $\operatorname{Sp}(2m)$  if n = 2m + 1 or  $\pi$  is the lift of a representation of  $\operatorname{SO}(2m)$  if n = 2m.

### REFERENCES

[BG] D. Bump and D. Ginzburg, Symmetric square L-functions on GL(r), preprint.
 [C] P. Cartier, Representations of p-adic groups, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics, XXXIII (1979), 111–156.

- [F] Y. Flicker, Explicit realization of a higher metaplectic representation, Indag. Math., Vol. I, (1990), 417-434.
- [FKS] Y. Flicker, D. Kazhdan and G. Savin, Explicit realization of a metaplectic representation, J. Analyse Math., 55 (1990), 17-39.
- [KP] D. Kazhdan and S. J. Patterson, *Metaplectic forms*, Publ. Math. IHES, 59 (1984), 35-142.
- [PP] S. J. Patterson and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, The symmetric square L-function attached to a cuspid automorphic representation of GL<sub>3</sub>, Math. Ann., 283 (1989), 551-572.
- [P] D. Prasad, Trilinear forms for GL(2) of a local field and ε-factors, Comp. Math., 75 (1990), 1–40.
- [W1] A. Weil, Sur certains groupes d'opérateurs unitaires, Acta Math., 111 (1964), 143-211.
- [W2] \_\_\_\_, Séminaire Bourbaki, No. 312.

Received March 12, 1991. Partially supported by NSF.

YALE UNIVERSITY NEW HAVEN, CT 06520

### PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS **EDITORS**

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. Moore

University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK

University of California, San Diego

La Jolla, CA 92093

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH R. ARENS

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSHIDA

(1906-1982)

(1904 - 1989)

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of Mathematical Reviews. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Copyright © 1992 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 154, No. 2

June, 1992

Manuel (Rodriguez) de León, J. A. Oubiña, P. R. Rodrigues and	
Modesto R. Salgado, Almost s-tangent manifolds of higher order	. 201
Martin Engman, New spectral characterization theorems for $S^2$	. 215
Yuval Zvi Flicker, The adjoint representation $L$ -function for $GL(n)$	. 231
Enrique Alberto Gonzalez-Velasco and Lee Kenneth Jones, On the range	
of an unbounded partly atomic vector-valued measure	. 245
<b>Takayuki Hibi,</b> Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive	252
lattices	233
Hervé Jacquet and Stephen James Rallis, Kloosterman integrals for skew symmetric matrices	265
Shulim Kaliman, Two remarks on polynomials in two variables	
<b>Kirk Lancaster,</b> Qualitative behavior of solutions of elliptic free boundary problems	
Feng Luo, Actions of finite groups on knot complements	. 317
James Joseph Madden and Charles Madison Stanton, One-dimensional	
Nash groups	.331
Christopher K. McCord, Estimating Nielsen numbers on	
infrasolvmanifolds	. 345
Gordan Savin, On the tensor product of theta representations of GL <sub>3</sub>	. 369
Gerold Wagner, On means of distances on the surface of a sphere. II.  (Upper bounds)	.381