Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF EXHAUSTION MAPS BETWEEN BOUNDED DOMAINS

CHI KEUNG CHEUNG

Volume 156 No. 1

November 1992

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF EXHAUSTION MAPS BETWEEN BOUNDED DOMAINS

CHI-KEUNG CHEUNG

In this paper, we study the properties of exhaustion maps defined on Thullen domains and piecewise smooth intersection domains. In general, "exhaustion between two bounded domains" is a much weaker condition than biholomorphism, but in the above two cases, we are able to show that the two concepts are almost the same. In particular, we generalize Pinchuk's theorems to the case of exhaustion maps in the domains mentioned above.

1. Introduction and statement of results. It is well known that two bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n , n > 1, are rarely biholomorphically equivalent to each other. In 1907, Poincaré showed that the ball and the polydisc in \mathbb{C}^2 were not biholomorphic to each other. This result was strengthened by Henkin [7] and Pinchuk [10] to bounded domains with various boundary regularity and convexity conditions. In particular, they have the following remarkable results:

THEOREM A ([10]). Let D be a convex but not strictly pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n with boundary of class $\mathscr{C}^{2+\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then D cannot be biholomorphic to any bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain.

THEOREM B ([7, 10]). Let D be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n , with piecewise \mathscr{C}^2 smooth (see the definition below) but not smooth boundary. Then D cannot be biholomorphic to any bounded domain with \mathscr{C}^2 boundary.

By a domain D with piecewise \mathscr{C}^k smooth boundary, we mean that in some neighborhood U of \overline{D} , there exist real functions ρ_{ν} defined on it, where $\nu = 1, \ldots, m$, such that

(a) $\partial D \subset \bigcup_{1}^{m} S_{\nu}$, where $S_{\nu} = \{z : \rho_{\nu}(z) = 0\};$

(b) for any subset $\{i_1, \ldots, i_q\} \subset \{1, \ldots, m\}, d\rho_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\rho_{i_q} \neq 0$, at every point where $\rho_{i_1} = \cdots = \rho_{i_q} = 0$.

In this paper, we study the conditions when two domains are "almost equivalent" in the sense of exhaustion as defined below.

DEFINITION. Let D, G be bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n . We say that "G can be exhausted by D", or "D exhausts G", if for every

compact $K \subset G$ there is a holomorphic imbedding $F: D \to G$ such that $F(D) \supset K$. Since D and G are bounded domains, if D exhausts G, one can always find a countable collection of exhaustion mappings $\{F_k: D \to G\}$, where each F_k is a holomorphic imbedding and for any compact set $K \subset G$, there exists a number N > 0 such that $F_k(D) \supset K$, for all K > N.

Obviously, "exhaustion between two bounded domains" is a much weaker condition than biholomorphism. If D and G are biholomorphic, then they can be exhausted by each other, but the converse is false. The above notion of "exhaustion" was studied extensively in [1], [2], [3]. In some situations, exhaustion is almost the same as biholomorphism. For example in [1], it is shown that the unit ball cannot exhaust the polydisc, or more generally, if D exhausts G and D is a homogeneous bounded domain, then G has to be biholomorphic to D ([1], [2]). In the case when D is a strictly pseudoconvex bounded domain with a \mathscr{C}^3 boundary, then G is either biholomorphic to Dor B^n , the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n ([2]).

The purpose of this note is to extend the above results to some weakly pseudoconvex domains and partially generalize Theorems A and B to the case of exhaustion maps.

The first type of weakly pseudoconvex domains we study is the Thullen domain, defined by $D_p = \{(z_1, z_2): |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2/p} < 1\}$, where $0 . These domains interpolate between the unit ball <math>B^2$ and the polydisc $B^1 \times B^1$, and the boundary points $|z_1| = 1$ are \mathscr{C}^2 weakly pseudoconvex. Moreover, it is well known that the Thullen domain is never biholomorphic to the ball. We have

THEOREM 1. A Thullen domain cannot be exhausted by another Thullen domain, unless they are biholomorphic to each other.

Since D_p is a convex domain with boundary of class $\mathscr{C}^{2+\varepsilon}$, by Theorem A, it cannot be biholomorphic to any bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain. For the exhaustion analogue, we have

THEOREM 2. A Thullen domain cannot exhaust any bounded strictly pseudoconvex domain unless the latter is biholomorphic to the unit ball.

Theorems 1 and 2 can easily generalize to domains of the form

 $\{(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n): |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^2 + |z_n|^{2/p} < 1\}$

in \mathbb{C}^n . However we would like to remark here that these theorems

cannot be improved to the generalized Thullen domains:

{
$$(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$$
: $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2/p_2} + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^{2/p_{n-1}} + |z_n|^{2/p_n} < 1$ },

since, in general, the domain $\{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2/p_2} + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^{2/p_{n-1}} + |z_n|^{2/p_n} < 1\}$ can exhaust the domain $\{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^2 + |z_n|^{2/p} < 1\}$, even though they are not mutually biholomorphic.

We would like to point out here that any Thullen domain can exhaust the unit ball. For example, consider

$$D_{0.5} = \{(z_1, z_2) \colon |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^4 < 1\}$$

with the family of mappings $F_k: D_{0.5} \to B^2$ defined by

$$F_k(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{(z_2 - 1) + 1/k}{(z_2 - 1) - 1/k}, \frac{\sqrt{-1/k}z_1}{(z_2 - 1) - 1/k}\right), \qquad k \ge 2.$$

It is easy to check that D exhausts the unit ball through $\{F_k\}$.

The second type of weakly pseudoconvex domains we consider are piecewise \mathscr{C}^2 smooth intersection domains, that is, those obtained from the intersection of two or more strongly pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n with \mathscr{C}^2 boundaries. Precisely speaking, such domains can be represented as

$$D = \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^n \colon \rho_1(z) < 0, \dots, \rho_k(z) < 0 \}, \qquad k > 1,$$

where:

(a) for each j = 1, ..., k, the inequality $\rho_j(z) < 0$ defines a strongly pseudoconvex domain with a \mathscr{C}^2 boundary, and

(b) the defining functions satisfy $d\rho_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d\rho_{i_q} \neq 0$ $(q \leq k)$, at every point where $\rho_{i_1} = \cdots = \rho_{i_q} = 0$.

Obviously, a bounded piecewise \mathscr{C}^2 smooth intersection domain satisfies the conditions of Theorem B, so it cannot be biholomorphic to any bounded domain with \mathscr{C}^2 smooth boundary. We are able to generalize this to

THEOREM 3. Let D be a bounded piecewise \mathscr{C}^2 smooth intersection domain in \mathbb{C}^n . Then D cannot exhaust any bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n with smooth \mathscr{C}^2 boundary unless the latter is biholomorphic to the unit ball.

The proofs of these three theorems are similar. They are divided into two steps. The first step is to use the rescaling method introduced in [5], [8] and [11] to construct a suitable limiting domain, with the desirable exhaustion property. The second step is to use the normal families argument [13] to obtain the biholomorphic map from the exhaustion maps.

We would like to thank K.-T. Kim, W. K. To and H. Wu for many helpful discussions.

2. Lemmas on exhaustion maps between bounded domains. First we would like to recall the definition of Hausdorff convergence. Given a set S in \mathbb{C}^n , the Hausdorff distance from a point p to S is defined as

$$d(p, S) = \inf_{q \in S} \{ \text{Euclidean distance between } p \text{ and } q \},\$$

and the Hausdorff distance between two sets S_1 , S_2 in \mathbb{C}^n is defined as

$$d(S_1, S_2) = \max\left\{\sup_{p \in S_2} d(p, S_1), \sup_{q \in S_1} d(q, S_2)\right\}.$$

The Hausdorff R-seminorm is

$$d_R(S_1, S_2) = d(S_1 \cap B(0, R), S_2 \cap B(0, R))$$

and we say $\{S_i\}$ converges to S in the sense of Hausdorff if

$$\forall R >> 0, \quad d_R(S_i, S) \to 0.$$

In the language of Hausdorff convergence, the notion of D exhausts G through mappings $\{f_k\}$ implies that $f_k(D)$ converges to G.

We need a lemma to transform the exhaustion properties into biholomorphism. It is a simple generalization of Lemma 1.1 in [2] and also Lemma 1 in [6].

LEMMA 1. Let D and G be two bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n . Let $\{C_k\}$ be a sequence of open sets in \mathbb{C}^n whose closure converges to the closure of D in the sense of Hausdorff convergence. Suppose there exists a sequence of mappings $\{F_k: C_k \to G\}$, satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $F_k: C_k \to F_k(C_k)$ is biholomorphic for each k.

(2) $\{F_k(C_k)\}\$ is a sequence of open sets that exhaust G (that is, for any compact $K \subset G$, there exists a number N, such that $F_k(C_k) \supset K$ for any k > N).

(3) There exists a point q in G, such that the set $\{F_k^{-1}(q)\}$ converges to a point p in D.

Then D is bilomorphic to G.

Proof. The proof is similar to that in [2]; we will use the standard normal family argument (cf. [3], [4], [10]). Since D is a bounded domain, there exists open sets $\{U_i\}$ such that $U_1 \subset \subset U_2 \subset \subset U_3 \subset \subset \cdots \subset \subset D$. Since \overline{C}_k converges to \overline{D} , for each i, there exists a number k_i , such that $C_k \supset U_i$ and F_k is well defined on U_i , if $k > k_i$. Since G is a bounded domain, $\{F_k|_{U_i}\}_{k>k_i}$ forms a normal family. Through an induction argument and by passing to a subsequence if necessary, $\{F_k\}$ will converge uniformly on compact sets to a holomorphic map $F: D \to \overline{G}$. In the other direction, let $S_k = F_k^{-1}: F_k(C_k) \to D$. Since D is a bounded domain and G is exhausted by $\{F_k(C_k)\}$, the set of mappings $\{S_k\}$ again forms a normal family. Now using a similar argument as above, one can conclude that $\{S_k\}$ will converge uniformly to $S: G \to \overline{D}$. By Hurwitz's theorem, F and S will either be nonsingular at every point, or their Jacobian determinants are identically zero.

The remaining part of the proof is then exactly the same as that in [2], in which one uses the decreasing property of the Kobayashi metric to show that F(D) and S(G) contain open sets, and hence their Jacobian determinant cannot be identically zero. So the mappings are regular everywhere and we have

 $F: D \to G$, $S: G \to D$, with $F \circ S$ = identity on D.

It follows that D and G are biholomorphic to each other. This completes the proof.

In particular, if D exhausts G with a family of exhaustion maps $\{F_k\}$, then the first two conditions of the lemma are satisfied by letting $C_k = D$, for all n. So we have

LEMMA 2 (Lemma 1.1 in [2]). Let D, G be two bounded domains in \mathbb{C}^n . Suppose D exhausts G with a family of exhaustion maps $\{F_k\}$, and there exists a point q in G such that the set $\{F_k^{-1}(q)\}$ converges to a point p in D. Then D is biholomorphic to G.

3. Proof of theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a family of exhaustion maps from a Thullen domain $D_u = \{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2/u} < 1\}$ to another Thullen domain $D_v = \{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^{2/v} < 1\}$, where 0 < u, v < 1. We want to show that D_u is biholomorphic to D_v . Consider the set $\{f_k^{-1}(0)\}$ in D_u ; there are two cases.

Case 1. There exists a subsequence of $\{f_k^{-1}(0)\}$ that converges to a point in D_u . Then by Lemma 2, D_u is biholomorphic to D_v .

Case 2. There exists a subsequence of $\{f_k^{-1}(0)\}$ that converges to a point $p \in \partial D_u$. Denote such a subsequence by $\{(r_j, s_j) = f_j^{-1}(0)\}$. In D_u , consider the following automorphisms:

$$g_j(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{(z_1 - r_j)}{(1 - \bar{r}_j z_1)}, \frac{\mu(1 - |r_j|^2)^{\mu/2}}{(1 - \bar{r}_j z_1)^{\mu}} z_2\right),$$

where $\mu = \begin{cases} |s_j|/s_j & \text{if } s_j \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } s_j = 0. \end{cases}$

Then g_j maps (r_j, s_j) to $(0, w_j)$, where $w_j = |s_j|(1 - |r_j|^2)^{-u/2} > 0$. Now $\{f_j \circ g_j^{-1}\}$ forms a new family of exhaustion maps from D_u to D_v . Again, we consider the inverse image set of 0, that is, $\{(f_j \circ g_j^{-1})^{-1}(0) = (0, w_j)\}$. There are two cases.

Case A. This set is relatively compact in D_u . Lemma 2 then implies that D_u is biholomorphic to D_v .

Case B. This set converges to $(0, 1) \in \partial D_u$. In this case, we will apply the scaling technique at the point (0, 1), to show that D_u has to be biholomorphic to the unit ball.

Consider the translation $F(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2 - 1)$. We have

$$F(D_u) = \{(z_1, z_2) \colon |z_1|^2 + |z_2 + 1|^{2/u} < 1\}, \quad F(0, 1) = (0, 0),$$

 $F(0, w_j) = (0, w_j - 1).$

Define the linear scaling map $L_j: \mathbb{C}^2 \to \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$L_j(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_j}}z_1, \frac{1}{\delta_j}z_2\right), \text{ where } \delta_j = 1 - w_j > 0.$$

So $\delta_j \to 0^+$ as $j \to \infty$, and $L_j(0, w_j - 1) = (0, -1)$, for every j.

For any R > 0, let B(0, R) denote the Euclidean ball of radius R with center at 0. Then $L_j(F(D_u)) \cap B(0, R)$ consists of all the points (z_1, z_2) in B(0, R) satisfying the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\sqrt{\delta_j} z_1|^2 + (|1+\delta_j z_2|^2)^{1/u} < 1 \\ \Leftrightarrow |z_1|^2 + \frac{(|1+\delta_j z_2|^2)^{1/u} - 1}{\delta_j} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since, for each fixed z_2 ,

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{(|1 + \delta_j z_2|^2)^{1/u} - 1}{\delta_j} = \frac{2}{u} \operatorname{Re} z_2,$$

the set $L_j(F(D_u)) \cap B(0, R)$ converges uniformly on compact sets to the domain $\{(z_1, z_2): |z_1|^2 + \frac{2}{u} \operatorname{Re} z_2 < 0\} \cap B(0, R)$. So we have $L_j(F(D_u))$ converges (in the sense of Hausdorff) to the domain, $\{(z_1, z_2): |z_1|^2 + \frac{2}{u} \operatorname{Re} z_2 < 0\}$, which is biholomorphic to the unit ball B^2 through a linear fractional transformation H, defined by

$$H(z_1, z_2) = \left(\frac{2z_1u}{-\sqrt{-1}2z_2 + \sqrt{-1}u}, \frac{-2z_2 - u}{-2z_2 + u}\right).$$

Also since Re $z_2 < 0$ for every point (z_1, z_2) in $L_j(F(D_u))$, $\{H \circ L_j \circ F : D_u \to B^2\}$ is a biholomorphic embedding and $(H \circ L_j \circ F)(D_u)$ converges (in the sense of Hausdorff) to the unit ball. Now consider the family of mappings

$$\{f_j \circ g_j^{-1} \circ (H \circ L_j \circ F)^{-1} \colon (H \circ L_j \circ F)(D_u) \to D_v\}.$$

We note that:

(1) Since each mapping is a biholomorphic embedding, the composition map

$$f_j \circ g_j^{-1} \circ (H \circ L_j \circ F)^{-1} \colon (H \circ L_j \circ F)(D_u) \to f_j(D_u)$$

is also biholomorphic.

(2) From the given assumption, $\{f_j\}$ is a family of exhaustion maps from D_u to D_v , so $\{f_j(D_u)\}$ forms a sequence of open sets that exhaust D_v .

(3) By tracing through the defining maps,

$$\begin{split} (f_j \circ g_j^{-1} \circ (H \circ L_j \circ F)^{-1})^{-1}(0) \\ &= H(L_j(F(g_j(f_j^{-1}(0))))) = H(L_j(F(g_j(r_j, s_j)))) \\ &= H(L_j(F(0, w_j))) = H(L_j(0, w_j - 1)) \\ &= H(0, -1) = \left(0, \frac{2-u}{2+u}\right) \in B^2. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1, D_v is biholomorphic to B^2 . This contradicts the fact that any Thullen domain cannot be biholomorphic to the unit ball, and hence this case is impossible.

Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose there exists a family of exhaustion maps $\{f_k\}$ from D_u to a strictly pseudoconvex domain G. Fix a

point q in G. Consider the set $\{f_k^{-1}(q)\}$ as in the proof of Theorem 1. Replacing D_v by G, and following a similar argument as above, we see that either D_u is biholomorphic to G or G is biholomorphic to the unit ball. But D_u cannot be biholomorphic to G (Theorem A), so the result follows easily.

The above two theorems can be easily generalized to domains of the form

{
$$(z_1, z_2, ..., z_n)$$
: $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_{n-1}|^2 + |z_n|^{2/p} < 1$ }

The proofs will remain the same, except one has to replace the automorphism g by composition of automorphisms of the form:

$$(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \to \left(\frac{z_1(1 - |\eta|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \overline{\eta} z_i}, \ldots, \frac{(z_i - \eta)}{1 - \overline{\eta} z_i}, \ldots, \frac{z_{n-1}(1 - |\eta|^2)^{1/2}}{1 - \overline{\eta} z_i}, \frac{\mu(1 - |\eta|^2)^{p/2}}{(1 - \overline{\eta} z_i)^p} z_n \right),$$

in order to map the inverse points $f_k^{-1}(0)$ to $(0, \ldots, 0, w_j)$, for some w_j .

Proof of Theorem 3. Let D be a bounded piecewise \mathscr{C}^2 smooth intersection domain. By Theorem B, D cannot be biholomorphic to any bounded domain with \mathscr{C}^2 boundary. Now let us assume that Dcan exhaust one such domain. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of exhaustion maps from D to G, a bounded domain with \mathscr{C}^2 boundary. Again, as in the above two proofs, we would like to consider the limit point of the set $\{f_k^{-1}(q) = p_k\}$, where q is a fixed point in G. Let us denote this limit point by p. If p is in D, then by Lemma 2, D is biholomorphic to G, and this will contradict Theorem B. So p has to be on the boundary. Now, denote the set of singular points on the boundary of D by $S_{\partial D} = \{q \in \partial D: \partial D \text{ is not smooth at } q\}$. Following Kim's work in [9], there are three different ways the sets $\{p_k\}$ can approach $p \in \partial D$.

Case 1: when p_k converges to $p \in S_{\partial D}$ non-tangentially to ∂D , that is, there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\frac{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, \partial D)}{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, p)} \ge C, \quad \text{for any } k.$$

Case 2: when p_k approaches p tangentially to $S_{\partial D}$, that is, there exists a constant C > 0, such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, \partial D)}{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, p)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, \partial D)}{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, S_{\partial D})} \ge C \quad \text{for any } k.$$

Case 3: when $p \in \partial D \setminus S_{\partial D}$, or when p_k converges to p tangentially to ∂D away from $S_{\partial D}$, that is,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, \partial D)}{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, p)} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, \partial D)}{\operatorname{dis}(p_k, S_{\partial D})} = 0.$$

For each case, we will use the scaling technique to produce exhaustion mappings from D to a new bounded domain, and then use Lemma 1 to conclude that the new bounded domain is biholomorphic to G. The scaling method in each case has been studied carefully in [9] while investigating the asymptotic behavior of the curvature. Although in that paper the domains considered are more restrictive, the general argument using the scaling technique can be carried through without any change. (A similar technique in dealing with piecewise smooth domains can also be found in [11].)

In Cases 1 and 2, one can show that there exists U, a neighborhood of p in \mathbb{C}^n , and a sequence of holomorphic mappings $L_k \colon \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$, such that $L_k(D \cap U)$ converges (in the sense of Hausdorff) to a Siegel domain D(s, n) of the form:

Im
$$z_1 > |a_{1s+1}z_{s+1}|^2 + \dots + |a_{1n}z_n|^2$$
,
 $\vdots \qquad s \ge 2$,
Im $z_s > |a_{ss+1}z_{s+1}|^2 + \dots + |a_{sn}z_n|^2$,

for some number a_{ij} . Also $L_k(p_k) \to \hat{p}$, where \hat{p} is an interior point in D(s, n). According to [12], D(s, n) is biholomorphic to \tilde{D} , a \mathscr{C}^2 piecewise smooth pseudoconvex bounded domain in \mathbb{C}^n , via a linear fractional transformation T. Let us consider the family of mappings $\{f_k \circ (T \circ L_k)^{-1} : T \circ L_k(D \cap U) \to G\}$. One can easily see that if k is large enough, T is holomorphic on $L_k(D \cap U)$, $T \circ L_k(D \cap U)$ converges to \tilde{D} , and also $(f_k \circ (T \circ L_k)^{-1})^{-1}(q) \to T(\hat{p}) \in \tilde{D}$. Now, if we assume for the moment that the sequence of the image sets $\{f_k(D \cap U)\}$ exhaust the domain G, then all the conditions in Lemma 1 are satisfied, so we have \tilde{D} is biholomorphic to G; this again contradicts Theorem B.

For the third case, the scaling technique gives a limit domain defined by

Im
$$z_1 > |b_2 z_2|^2 + \cdots + |b_n z_n|^2$$
,

which is biholomorphic to the unit ball. A similar argument as above shows that it has to be biholomorphic to G and hence G is biholomorphic to the ball, which is exactly the statement of the theorem. To complete the proof, we have to show that $(D \cap U)$ exhausts the domain G under $\{f_k\}$. Let $\{V_i\}$ be a sequence of open sets in G, with

$$q \in V_1 \subset \subset V_2 \subset \cdots \subset \subset G.$$

For each fixed *i*, consider the family of mappings $\{f_k^{-1}|_{V_i}: V_i \to D\}$. Since V_i and *D* are bounded domains, this family of mappings is relatively compact, and we can assume that f_k^{-1} converges uniformly on compact sets to a holomorphic map $g: V_i \to \overline{D}$, with g(q) = p. Since *p* is a point on the boundary of *D*, by its definition, there exists a strictly plurisubharmonic function ρ such that $\rho(p) = 0$, and $D \cap U \subset \{z \in U: \rho(z) < 0\}$. The function $\rho \circ g$ is plurisubharmonic and is nonpositive; since $\rho \circ g(q) = 0$, it follows that $\rho \circ g \equiv 0$. But since ρ is strictly plurisubharmonic, one has $g(z) = p \in U$, for all *z* in V_i . This implies that $\{f_k^{-1}\}$ converges uniformly to *p*, and hence there exists a $k_i > 0$, such that if $k > k_i$,

$$f_k^{-1}[\overline{V}_{i-1}] \subset U \Rightarrow \overline{V}_{i-1} \subset f_k[U].$$

The claim is then proved by following an induction argument. This completes the proof.

Added in proof. After this paper was submitted for publication, it came to the author's attention that some of the results here (Theorems 1 and 2 and the remarks after Theorem 2) had been discovered independently by A. Kodama, S. Krantz and D. Ma (see their preprint "A characterization of generalized complex ellipsoids in \mathbb{C}^n and related results"). The author would like to thank Professors Krantz and Kodama for friendly communications on this matter.

References

- [1] H. Alexander, Extremal holomorphic imbeddings between ball and polydisc, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 68 (1978), 200-202.
- B. L. Fridman, Biholomorphic invariants of a hyperbolic manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 276 (1983), 685–698.
- J. E. Fornaess and Sibony Nessim, Increasing sequences of complex manifolds, Math. Ann., 255 (1981), 351-360.
- [4] S. Frankel, Complex geometry of convex domains that cover varieties, Acta Math., 163 (1989), 104-149.
- [5] -, Affine approach to complex geometry, Contemp. Math., 101 (1989), 263–286.
- [6] I. Graham and H. Wu, Characterizations of the unit ball B^n in complex euclidean space, Math. Z., 189 (1985), 449–456.

- [7] G. M. Henkin, An analytic polyhedron is not holomorphically equivalent to a strictly pseudoconvex domain, Soviet Math. Dokl., 14 (1973), 1026–1029.
- [8] K. T. Kim, Complete localization of domains with noncompact automorphism groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 319 (1990), 139–153.
- [9] ____, Asymptotic behavior of the curvature of the Bergman metric of the thin domains, preprint.
- S. Pinchuk, Holomorphic inequivalences of some classes of domains in Cⁿ, Math. USSR-Sb., 39 (1981), 61-86.
- [11] ____, Homogeneous domains with piecewise smooth boundaries, Math. Notes, **32** (1983), 849–852.
- [12] I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, Automorphic Functions and the Geometry of Classical Domains, Gordon and Breach Sci. Publ., New York, London, Paris, 1969.
- [13] H. Wu, Normal families of holomorphic mappings, Acta Math., 119 (1967), 193-233.

Received May 13, 1991.

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1003

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) F. WOLF (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555 vsv@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 clemens@math.utah.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555 christ@math.ucla.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 tenright@ucsd.edu NICHOLAS ERCOLANI University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721 ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 spk@gauss.stanford.edu C. C. MOORE University of California Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106 mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 156 No. 1 November 1992

Enveloping algebras of Lie groups with discrete series	1
NGUYEN HUU ANH and VUONG MANH SON	
Asymptotic behavior of eigenvalues for a class of pseudodifferential operators on \mathbf{R}^n	19
JUNICHI ARAMAKI	
A hybrid of theorems of Vinogradov and Piatetski-Shapiro	45
ANTAL BALOG and JOHN BENJAMIN FRIEDLANDER	
Chaos in terms of the map $x \to \omega(x, f)$	63
ANDREW MICHAEL BRUCKNER and JACK GARY CEDER	
Local real analytic boundary regularity of an integral solution operator of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation on convex domains	97
ZHENHUA CHEN	
On some properties of exhaustion maps between bounded domains	107
CHI KEUNG CHEUNG	
A generalization of maximal functions on compact semisimple Lie groups	119
Hendra Gunawan	
Stability of nonsingular group orbits	135
CLARK DEAN HORTON	
Bordism and regular homotopy of low-dimensional immersions	155
John Forbes Hughes	
On six-connected finite <i>H</i> -spaces	185
JAMES PEICHENG LIN and FRANK WILLIAMS	