

# *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*

**A SPECTRAL THEORY FOR SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS OF  
OPERATORS**

**E. BOASSO AND ANGEL RAFAEL LAROTONDA**

# A SPECTRAL THEORY FOR SOLVABLE LIE ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS

E. BOASSO AND A. LAROTONDA

**The main objective of this paper is to develop a notion of joint spectrum for complex solvable Lie algebras of operators acting on a Banach space, which generalizes Taylor joint spectrum (T.J.S.) for several commuting operators.**

**I. Introduction.** We briefly recall the definition of Taylor spectrum. Let  $\bigwedge(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be the complex exterior algebra on  $n$  generators  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ , with multiplication denoted by  $\bigwedge$ . Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be a mutually commuting  $n$ -tuple of bounded linear operators on  $E$  (m.c.o.). Define  $\bigwedge_k^n(E) = \bigwedge_k(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E$ , and for  $k \geq 1$ ,  $D_{k-1}$  by:

$$D_{k-1}: \bigwedge_k^n(E) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{k-1}^n(E)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{k-1}(x \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) \\ = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} x \cdot a_{i_j} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{e}_{i_j} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sim$  means deletion. Also define  $D_k = 0$  for  $k \leq 0$ .

It is easily seen that  $D_k D_{k+1} = 0$  for all  $k$ , that is,  $\{\bigwedge_k^n(E), D_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a chain complex, called the Koszul complex associated with  $a$  and  $E$  and denoted by  $R(E, a)$ . The  $n$ -tuple  $a$  is said to be invertible or nonsingular on  $E$ , if  $R(E, a)$  is exact, i.e.,  $\text{Ker } D_k = \text{ran } D_{k+1}$  for all  $k$ . The Taylor spectrum of  $a$  on  $E$  is  $\text{Sp}(a, E) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n : a - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}$ .

Unfortunately, this definition depends very strongly on  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  and not on the vector subspace of  $L(E)$  generated by them ( $= \langle a \rangle$ ).

As we consider Lie algebras, and then naturally involve geometry, we are interested in a geometrical approach to spectrum which depends on  $L$  rather than on a particular set of operators.

This is done in II. Given a solvable Lie subalgebra of  $L(E)$ ,  $L$ , we associate to it a set in  $L^*$ ,  $Sp(L, E)$ .

This object has the classical properties.  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is compact. If  $L'$  is an ideal of  $L$ , then  $\text{Sp}(L', E)$  is the projection of  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  in  $L'^*$ .  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is non-empty.

Besides, it satisfies other interesting properties.

If  $x \in L^2$ , then  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0$ . If  $L$  is nilpotent, one has the inclusion

$$\text{Sp}(L, E) \subset \{f \in [L, L]^\perp \mid \forall x \in L, |f(x)| \leq \|x\|\}.$$

However the spectral mapping property is ill behaved.

**II. The joint spectrum for solvable Lie algebras of operators.** First of all, we establish a proposition which will be used in the definition of  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$ .

From now on,  $L$  denotes a complex finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra, and  $U(L)$  its enveloping algebra.

Let  $f$  belong to  $L^*$  such that  $f([L, L]) = 0$ , i.e.,  $f$  is a character of  $L$ . Then  $f$  defines a one dimensional representation of  $L$  denoted by  $\mathbb{C}(f)$ . Let  $\varepsilon(f)$  be the augmentation of  $U(L)$  defined by  $f$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(f): U(L) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}(f), \\ \varepsilon(f)(x) &= f(x) \quad (x \in L). \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the pair of spaces and maps  $V(L) = (U(L) \otimes \bigwedge L, \bar{d}_{p-1})$ , where  $\bar{d}_{p-1}$  is the map defined by:

$$\bar{d}_{p-1}: U(L) \otimes \bigwedge^p L \rightarrow U(L) \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L.$$

If  $p \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{p-1} \langle x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_p} \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k+1} (x_{i_k} - f(x_{i_k})) \langle x_{i_1}, \hat{x}_{i_k} x_{i_p} \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq l \leq p} (-1)^{k+l} \langle [x_{i_k}, x_{i_l}] x_{i_1} \hat{x}_{i_k} \hat{x}_{i_l} x_{i_p} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\phantom{x}}$  means deletion. If  $p \leq 0$ , we also define  $\bar{d}_p = 0$ . Then

**PROPOSITION 1.** *The pair of spaces and maps  $V(L)$  is a chain complex. Furthermore, with the augmentation  $\varepsilon(f)$ , the complex  $V(L)$  is a  $U(L)$ -free resolution of  $\mathbb{C}(f)$  as a left  $U(L)$  module.*

We omit the proof of Proposition 1 because it is a straightforward generalization of Theorem 7.1 of [3, XIII, 7].

Let  $L$  be as usual, from now on,  $E$  denotes a Banach space on which  $L$  acts as right continuous operators, i.e.,  $L$  is a Lie subalgebra

of  $L(E)$  with the opposite product. Then, by [3, XIII, 1],  $E$  is a right  $U(L)$  module.

If  $f$  is a character of  $L$ , by Proposition 1 and elementary homological algebra, the  $q$ -homology space of the complex,  $(E \otimes \wedge L, d(f))$  is  $\text{Tor}_q^{U(L)}(E, \mathbb{C}(f)) (= H_q(L, E \otimes \mathbb{C}(f)))$ .

We now state our definition.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as above the set  $\{f \in L^*, f(L^2) = 0 \mid H_*((L, E \otimes \mathbb{C}(f))) \text{ is non-zero}\}$ , is the spectrum of  $L$  acting on  $E$ , and is denoted by  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$ .

By Proposition 1 and Definition 1, it is clear that, if  $L$  is a commutative algebra  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  reduces to Taylor joint spectrum.

Let us see an example. Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be  $(\mathbb{C}^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$  and  $a, b$  the operators

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easily seen that  $[b, a] = b$ , and then, the vector space  $\mathbb{C}(b) \oplus \mathbb{C}(a) = L$  is a solvable Lie subalgebra of  $L(\mathbb{C}^2)$ .

Using Definition 1, a standard calculation shows that  $\text{Sp}(L, E) = \{f \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^* \mid f(b) = 0; f(a) = \frac{1}{2}, f(a) = -\frac{3}{2}\}$ .

Observe that,  $\|a\| = \frac{1}{2}$ ; however,  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is not contained in  $\{f \in (\mathbb{C}^2)^* \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f(x) \leq \|x\|\}$ .

**III. Fundamental properties of the spectrum.** In this section, we shall see that the most important properties of spectral theory are satisfied by our spectrum.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a compact set of  $L^*$ .*

*Proof.* Let us consider the family of spaces and maps  $(E \otimes \wedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f))$   $f \in L^{2^+}$ , where  $L^{2^+} = \{f \in L^* \mid f(L^2) = 0\}$ . This family is a parameterized chain complex on  $L^{2^+}$ . By Taylor [6, 2.1] the set  $\{f \in L^{2^+} \mid (E \otimes \wedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f)) \text{ is exact}\} = \text{Sp}(L, E)^c$  is an open set in  $L^{2^+}$ . Then,  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is closed in  $L^{2^+}$  and hence in  $L^*$ .

To verify that  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a compact set we consider a basis of  $L^2$  and we extend it to a basis of  $L$ ,  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . If  $K = \dim L^2$  and  $n = \dim L$  let  $L_i$  be the ideal generated by  $\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq n, j \neq i, i \geq K+1}$ .

Let  $f$  be a character of  $L$  and represent it in the dual basis of  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ ,  $\{f_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$   $f = \sum_{i=K+1}^n \xi_i f_i$ . For each  $i$ , there is a positive

number  $r_i$  such that if  $\xi_i \geq r_i$ ,

$$\mathrm{Tor}_p^{U(L)}(E, C(f)) = H_p \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^i L, d_{i-1}(f) \right) = 0 \quad \forall p.$$

To prove our last statement, we shall construct an homotopy operator for the chain complex  $(E \otimes \bigwedge^p L, d_{p-1}(f))$  ( $f(L^2) = 0$ ).

First of all we observe that

$$E \otimes \bigwedge^p L = \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i \right) \oplus \left( E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \right) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle.$$

As  $L_i$  is an ideal of  $L$ ,  $d_{p-1}(E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i) \subseteq E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i$ . On the other hand, there is a bounded operator  $L_{p-1}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{p-1}(f)(a \wedge \langle X_i \rangle) \\ = (d_{p-1}(f)a) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle + (-1)^p L_{p-1}a \quad \left( a \in E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that, for each  $p$ , there is a basis of  $\bigwedge^p L_i$ ,  $\{V_j^p\}$   $1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i$ , such that if we decompose

$$E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i = \bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i} E \langle V_j^p \rangle,$$

then  $L_p$  has the following form

$$L_{p_{ij}} = \begin{cases} \alpha_{ij}^p & i < j, \\ X_i - \xi_i + \alpha_{jj}^p & i = j, \\ 0 & i > j \end{cases} \quad \text{where } \alpha_{ij} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Besides, let  $K_p$  be a positive real number such that

$$\bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq \dim \bigwedge^p L_i} \mathrm{Sp}(X_i + \alpha_{jj}^p) \subseteq B[0, K_p]$$

and  $N_i = \max_{0 \leq p \leq n-1} \{K_p\}$ . Then, as  $L_p$  has a triangular form, a standard calculation shows that  $L_p$  is a topological isomorphism of Banach spaces if  $\xi_i \geq N_i$ .

Outside  $B[0, N_i]$  we construct our homotopy operator

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^p L &\rightarrow E \otimes \bigwedge^{p+1} L, \\ \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^{p-1} L_i \wedge \langle X_i \rangle &= 0, \\ \text{Sp}: E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i &\rightarrow E \otimes \bigwedge^p L_i \wedge \langle X_i \rangle \\ \text{Sp} &= (-1)^{p+1} L_p^{-1} \wedge \langle X_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of  $L_p$ , we have the following identity:

$$(-1)^{p+2} S_{p-1} d_{p-1}(f) L_p = d_{p-1}(f) \wedge \langle X_i \rangle.$$

The above identity and a standard calculation shows that  $\text{Sp}$  in an homotopy operator, i.e.,  $d_p S_p + S_{p-1} d_{p-1} = I$  and then  $S_p(L, E)$  is a compact set.

**THEOREM 3 (Projection property).** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual, and  $I$  an ideal of  $L$ . Let  $\pi$  be the projection map from  $L^*$  onto  $I^*$ , then*

$$\text{Sp}(I, E) = \pi(\text{Sp}(L, E)).$$

*Proof.* By [2, 5, 3], there is a Jordan Hölder sequence of  $L$  such that  $I$  is one of its terms. Then, by means of an induction argument, we can assume  $\dim(L/I) = 1$ .

Let us consider the connected simply connected complex Lie group  $G(L)$  such that its Lie algebra is  $L$  [5, LG, V].

Let  $\text{Ad}^*$  be the coadjoint representation of  $G(L)$  in  $L^*$ :  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f = f \text{Ad}(g^{-1})$ , where  $g \in G(L)$ ,  $f \in L^*$  and  $\text{Ad}$  is the adjoint representation of  $G(L)$  in  $L$ .

Let  $f$  belong to  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$ . Then, as  $I$  is an ideal of  $L$ , by [7, 2.13.4],  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f$  belongs to  $I^*$ ; besides, it is a character of  $I$ . Then, one can restrict the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  to  $I^*$ . Moreover,  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is invariant under the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  in  $I^*$ , i.e.: if  $f \in \text{Sp}(I, E)$ ,  $\text{Ad}^*(g)f \in \text{Sp}(I, E) \quad \forall g \in G(L)$ .

In order to prove this fact, it is enough to see:

$$(I) \quad \text{Tor}_*^{U(I)}(E, C(f)) \cong \text{Tor}_*^{U(I)}(E, C(h))$$

where  $h = \text{Ad}^*(g)f$ ,  $g \in G(L)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the ring  $U(I)$  and  $\varphi$  the ring morphism

$$\varphi = U(\text{Ad } g): U(I) \rightarrow U(I).$$

Let us consider the augmentation modules  $(C(f), E(f))$  and  $(C(h), E(h))$ .

Then, a standard calculation shows that the hypothesis of [3, VIII, 3.1] are satisfied, which implies (I).

Thus, if  $f \in \text{Sp}(I, E)$ , the orbit  $G(L) \cdot f \subseteq \text{Sp}(I, E)$ . However,  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is a compact set of  $I^*$ .

As the only bounded orbits for an action of a complex connected Lie group on a vector space are points;  $G(L) \cdot f = f$ .

Let  $\bar{f}$  be an extension of  $f$  to  $L^*$ , and consider  $\alpha = G(L) \cdot \bar{f}$ , the orbit of  $\bar{f}$  under the coadjoint action of  $G(L)$  in  $L^*$ .

As  $G(L) \cdot f = f$ , as an analytic manifold

$$(II) \quad \dim \alpha \leq 1.$$

Now suppose  $\bar{f}$  is not a character of  $L$ : i.e.,  $\bar{f}(L^2) \neq 0$ .

Let  $L^\perp$  be the following set:  $L^\perp = \{x \in L | \bar{f}([X, L]) = 0\}$ , and let  $n$  be the dimension of  $L$ .

As  $I$  is an ideal of dimension  $n - 1$ ,  $f(I^2) = 0$  and  $f(L^2) \neq 0$ , by [2, 5, 3], [1, IV, 4.1] and [4, 1, 1.2.8], we have:  $L^\perp \subset I$ , and  $\dim L^\perp = n - 2$ .

Let us consider the analytic subgroup of  $G(L)$  such that its Lie algebra is  $L^\perp$ .

As the Lie algebra of the subgroup  $G(L)_{\bar{f}} = \{g \in GL | \text{Ad}^*(g)\bar{f} = \bar{f}\}$  is  $L^\perp$ , the connected component of the identity of  $G(L)_{\bar{f}}$  is  $G(L^\perp)$ .

However, by [7, 2.9.1, 2.9.7]  $\alpha = G(L) \cdot \bar{f}$  satisfies the following properties:  $\alpha \cong G(L)/G(L)_{\bar{f}}$ , and  $\dim \alpha = \dim G(L) - \dim G(L)_{\bar{f}} = \dim G(L) - \dim(G(L^\perp)) = \dim L - \dim L^\perp = 2$ , which contradicts (II).

Then  $\bar{f}$  is a character of  $L$ .

Thus, any extension  $\bar{f}$  of an  $f$  in  $\text{Sp}(I, E)$  is a character of  $L$ .

However, as in [6], there is a short exact sequence of complexes

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* I \otimes E, d(f) \right) \\ \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* L \otimes E, d(\bar{f}) \right) \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge^* I \otimes E, d(f) \right) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

As  $U(I)$  is a subring with unit of  $U(L)$  and the complex involved in Definition 1 differs from the one of [6] by a constant term, Taylor's argument of [6, 13, 3.1] still applies and then  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = \Pi(\text{Sp}(L, E))$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we have

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is non-void.*

**IV. Some consequences.** In this section we shall see some consequences of the main theorems.

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $L$  a complex finite dimensional solvable Lie algebra acting on  $E$  as bounded operators.

One of the well known properties of Taylor spectrum for an  $n$ -tuple of m.c.o. acting on  $E$  is  $\text{Sp}(a, E) \subseteq \Pi B[0, \|a_i\|]$ . In the noncommutative case, as we have seen in §II, this property fails.

However, if the Lie algebra is nilpotent, it is still true.

**PROPOSITION 5.** *Let  $L$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra which acts as bounded operators on a Banach space  $E$ .*

*Then,  $\text{Sp}(L, E) \subset \{f \in L^* \mid |f(x)| \leq \|x\|, x \in L\}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $\dim L$ . If  $\dim L = 1$ , we have nothing to verify.

We suppose true the proposition for every nilpotent Lie algebra  $L'$  such that  $\dim L' < n$ .

If  $\dim L = n$ , by [2, 4, 1], there is a Jordan Hölder series  $S = (L_i)_{0 \leq i \leq n}$ , such that  $[L, L_i] \subseteq L_{i-1}$ .

Let  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a basis of  $L$  such that  $\{X_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq i}$  generates  $L_i$ .

Let  $L'_{n-1}$  be the vector subspace generated by  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ . As  $[L, L'_{n-1}] \subseteq L_{n-2} \subset L'_{n-1}$ ,  $L'_{n-1}$  is an ideal. Besides,  $L_{n-1} + L'_{n-1} = L$ .

Then, by means of Theorem 4 and the inductive hypothesis, we complete the inductive argument and the proposition.

Now, we deal with some consequences of the projection property.

**PROPOSITION 6.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual.*

*If  $I$  is an ideal contained in  $L^2$ , then  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = 0$ . In particular  $\text{Sp}(L^2, E) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the projection property,  $\text{Sp}(I, E) = \Pi(\text{Sp}(L, E))$ , where  $\Pi$  is the projection from  $L^*$  on  $I^*$ . However, as  $\text{Sp}(L, E)$  is a subset of characters of  $L$ ,  $f|_I = 0$ , if  $I \subseteq L^2$ .

**PROPOSITION 7.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as in Proposition 5.*

*If  $\text{Sp}(L, E) = 0$ , then  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* By means of an induction argument, the ideals  $L_{n-1}$ ,  $L'_{n-1}$  of Proposition 5 and Theorem 3, we conclude the proof.

**PROPOSITION 8.** *Let  $L$  and  $E$  be as usual. Then, if  $x \in L^2$ :  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* First of all, recall that if  $L$  is a solvable Lie algebra,  $L^2$  is a nilpotent one. Then by Proposition 6  $\text{Sp}(L^2, E) = 0$ , and by Proposition 7  $\text{Sp}(x) = 0 \quad \forall x \in L^2$ .

**V. Remark about the spectral mapping theorem.** Note that the example of §II shows that the projection property fails for subspaces which are not ideals (take  $I = \langle x \rangle$ ). Clearly this implies that the spectral mapping theorem also fails in the noncommutative case.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Bernat, N. Conze, and M. Vergne, *Représentations des Groupes de Lie Résolubles*, Monographier de la Societé Mathématique de France No. 4, Dunod, Paris, 1972.
- [2] N. Bourbaki, *Eléments de Mathématique, Groupes et Algebres de Lie*, Chapitre I, algebres de Lie, Fasc. XXVI, Hermann, Paris, 1960.
- [3] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [4] J. Dixmier, *Enveloping Algebras*, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1977.
- [5] J. P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, Lectures given at Harvard University, 1965.
- [6] J. F. Taylor, *A joint spectrum for several commuting operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **6** (1976), 172–191.
- [7] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras and Their Representations*, Prentice-Hall.

Received September 17, 1990 and in revised form January 22, 1992.

UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES  
1428 NUFIEZ, BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982)      F. WOLF (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112  
clemens@math.utah.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 158      No. 1      March 1993

---

Determinant identities	1
GEORGE W. EYRE ANDREWS and WILLIAM H. BURGE	
A spectral theory for solvable Lie algebras of operators	15
E. BOASSO and ANGEL RAFAEL LAROTONDA	
Simple group actions on hyperbolic Riemann surfaces of least area	23
S. ALLEN BROUGHTON	
Duality for finite bipartite graphs (with an application to $\text{II}_1$ factors)	49
MARIE CHODA	
Szegő maps and highest weight representations	67
MARK GREGORY DAVIDSON and RON STANKE	
Optimal approximation class for multivariate Bernstein operators	93
ZEEV DITZIAN and XINLONG ZHOU	
Witt rings under odd degree extensions	121
ROBERT FITZGERALD	
Congruence properties of functions related to the partition function	145
ANTHONY D. FORBES	
Bilinear operators on $L^\infty(G)$ of locally compact groups	157
COLIN C. GRAHAM and ANTHONY TO-MING LAU	
Nonuniqueness of the metric in Lorentzian manifolds	177
GEOFFREY K. MARTIN and GERARD THOMPSON	
Index theory and Toeplitz algebras on one-parameter subgroups of Lie groups	189
EFTON PARK	