

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**THE INTRINSIC GROUP OF MAJID'S BICROSSPRODUCT KAC
ALGEBRA**

TAKEHIKO YAMANOCHI

THE INTRINSIC GROUP OF MAJID'S BICROSSPRODUCT KAC ALGEBRA

TAKEHIKO YAMANOUCHI

A precise description of the intrinsic group of a Kac algebra considered in the recent work of Majid associated with a modular matched pair is given. By using the result, a detailed computation is done to produce an interesting pair of nonisomorphic Kac algebras.

0. Introduction. In [M1], [M2] and [M3], Majid studied the notion of a matched pair of locally compact groups and their actions. He exhibited plenty of examples of such pairs, relating them to solutions to the classical Yang-Baxter equations. Among other things, he showed in [M3] that every matched pair gives rise to two involutive Hopf-von Neumann algebras which are not commutative or cocommutative except in the trivial case. Moreover, he proved that, if a matched pair is modular in his sense, then the resulting von Neumann algebras turn out to be Kac algebras, dual to each other. (See [E&S] and §1 for definitions of an involutive Hopf-van Neumann algebra and a Kac algebra.) He called these algebras bicrossproduct Kac algebras. Thus his result furnishes abundant examples of nontrivial Kac algebras. It should be noted that, through his construction, one can even obtain a noncommutative, noncocommutative, self-dual Kac algebra. All of these would suggest that matched pairs of groups and bicrossproduct algebras deserve a further detailed investigation.

In the meantime, the notion of the intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ of a Kac algebra \mathbf{K} was introduced by Schwartz in [S]. Roughly speaking, it consists of “group-like” elements of the given Kac algebra. Thus the group $G(\mathbf{K})$ can be considered as a natural kind of invariant attached to each Kac algebra \mathbf{K} . In fact, if a Kac algebra \mathbf{K} is either commutative or cocommutative, then the intrinsic group of \mathbf{K} (or that of the dual Kac algebra $\hat{\mathbf{K}}$) completely determines the structure of the given algebra \mathbf{K} (see [Ta]). So it is one of the important things in the theory of Kac algebras to know the intrinsic group, once one is given a Kac algebra, although it is known (see [DeC1]) that the intrinsic group is not a complete invariant for general Kac algebras. In this direction, De Canière’s work [DeC1] should be noted as one of the significant achievements.

The purpose of this paper is to give a precise description of the intrinsic group of a bicrossproduct Kac algebra associated with a modular matched pair, in terms of the given data. Our main tool is De Canière's characterization of an intrinsic group as a certain group of automorphisms of the dual Kac algebra.

The organization of the paper is the following. In §1, we recall some facts on the theory of Kac algebras, relevant to our later discussion. We then review the notion of a matched pair of locally compact groups and their actions, following [M3]. Section 2 is devoted to investigating the intrinsic group of a bicrossproduct Kac algebra of a matched pair $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$. We shall completely describe it in terms of the system $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$. It turns out to be the semi-direct product of the character group of G_2 by the action β of a subgroup G_1^α of G_1 . In the final section, as an application of the result of the preceding section, we carry out a detailed computation of the intrinsic group of a bicrossproduct Kac algebra that arises from Majid's example of a modular matched pair. As a result, we obtain an interesting example of nonisomorphic Kac algebras \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K}_1 for which $G(\mathbf{K}) \cong G(\mathbf{K}_1)$, $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) \cong G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_1)$ and the associated bicharacters do not coincide. It should be remarked that the above Kac algebra \mathbf{K} is a noncommutative, noncocommutative, self-dual one.

This work was done while the author stayed at Centre de Recherches Mathématiques, Université de Montréal, and at University of Toronto. The author would like to thank the staffs of these institutes for their kind hospitality extended to him. He also expresses his sincere gratitude to Professors David Handelman and George Elliott, who gave him an opportunity to visit these institutes and supported him during this period.

1. Preliminaries. In this section, we first recall some of the most important facts on the theory of Kac algebras, introducing notations used in our later discussion. For the general theory of Kac algebras, we refer the reader to [E&S] and [S]. Our notations will be mainly adopted from these literatures. Secondly, we review relevant results concerning matched pairs of locally compact groups due to Takeuchi [T] and Majid [M1], [M2], [M3]. Then we recall Majid's bicrossproduct construction from a modular matched pair.

A Kac algebra \mathbf{K} is a quadruple $(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$ in which

(Ki) $(\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa)$ is an involutive Hopf-von Neumann algebra (Def. 1.2.1 of [E&S]);

(Kii) φ is a faithful, normal, semifinite weight on \mathcal{M} ;

(Kiii) $(\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \varphi)(\Gamma(x)) = \varphi(x) \cdot 1$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}_+$;

(Kiv) $(\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \varphi)((1 \otimes y^*)\Gamma(x)) = \kappa((\iota_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \varphi)(\Gamma(y^*)(1 \otimes x)))$ for all $x, y \in N_\varphi$;

(Kv) $\sigma_t^\varphi \circ \kappa = \kappa \circ \sigma_{-t}^\varphi$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Here $N_\varphi = \{x \in \mathcal{M} : \varphi(x^*x) < \infty\}$, and σ^φ is the modular automorphism of φ . The symbol $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the identity morphism of \mathcal{M} . We will always think of \mathcal{M} as represented in a standard form on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_φ associated with φ . Given a Kac algebra $\mathbf{K} = (\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$, there canonically exists another Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{K}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\Gamma}, \widehat{\kappa}, \widehat{\varphi})$, called the dual Kac algebra of \mathbf{K} [E&S]. The pair $\{\widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \mathcal{H}_\varphi\}$ is again a standard representation. The intrinsic group, denoted by $G(\mathbf{K})$, of the Kac algebra \mathbf{K} consists of all non-zero solutions to the equation $\Gamma(x) = x \otimes x$ ($x \in \mathcal{M}$) (see [S] for the details). Every member in $G(\mathbf{K})$ is automatically a unitary operator. Thus $G(\mathbf{K})$ is a closed subgroup of the unitary group of \mathcal{M} , when equipped with the weak topology. It was shown in [S] that, if $w \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$, then $\text{Ad } w$ induces an automorphism of \mathbf{K} . De Canière obtained in [DeC1] the complete characterization of the automorphism of \mathbf{K} that arises in this way. Since we will make use of this characterization in a crucial manner later, we state it here.

THEOREM 1.1 (*Theorem 2.3 of [DeC1]*). *Let v be a unitary operator on \mathcal{H}_φ . Then v belongs to the intrinsic group $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ if and only if $\beta_v = \text{Ad } v$ induces an automorphism of \mathbf{K} in such a way that the unitary operator v is the canonical implementation of β_v in the sense of Haagerup [H], and that β_v satisfies*

$$(\beta_v \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ \beta_v.$$

Thanks to the above theorem, the group $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$ of all automorphisms γ of \mathcal{M} satisfying the identity

$$(\gamma \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ \gamma$$

is topologically isomorphic to the intrinsic group $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$.

To any locally compact group G , one can associate two canonical Kac algebras. One is the commutative Kac algebra $\mathbf{KA}(G) = (L^\infty(G), \Gamma_G, j_G, \tau_G)$ in which

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_G(f)(s, t) &= f(st), & j_G(f)(s) &= f(s^{-1}), \\ \tau_G(f) &= \int f(s) ds, & (f \in L^\infty(G), s, t \in G), \end{aligned}$$

where ds is a left Haar measure of G , and $L^\infty(G)$ is the algebra of all (equivalence classes of) essentially bounded measurable functions on G with respect to the left Haar measure class. The other is the system $\mathbf{KS}(G) = (\mathcal{R}(G), \delta_G, \kappa_G, \varphi_G)$, where $\mathcal{R}(G)$ is the group von Neumann algebra of G . The morphisms δ_G and κ_G are characterized by the following identities:

$$\delta_G(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s) \otimes \lambda(s), \quad \kappa_G(\lambda(s)) = \lambda(s^{-1}) \quad (s \in G).$$

Here λ denotes the left regular representation of G . The weight φ_G is the so-called Plancherel weight of G that is derived from the left Hilbert algebra $\mathcal{H}(G)$, the set of all continuous functions on G with compact support, with the usual convolution as its product. The Kac algebra $\mathbf{KS}(G)$ is cocommutative in the sense that the comultiplication δ_G is symmetric. $\mathbf{KA}(G)$ and $\mathbf{KS}(G)$ are dual to each other. The intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$ of $\mathbf{KA}(G)$ is the set of all continuous homomorphisms (i.e. characters) from G into the unit circle, with the topology of compact convergence. The intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{KS}(G))$ of $\mathbf{KS}(G)$ is precisely the set $\lambda(G)$ (see [Ta] for example). Hence it is topologically isomorphic to the original group G . This is why $G(\mathbf{K})$ is called the intrinsic group.

We now review the notion of a matched pair of locally compact groups. For the details of this concept, we refer readers to [M1,2,3].

Let G_1 and G_2 be locally compact groups with left Haar measures μ_1 and μ_2 , respectively. The first assumption is that G_1 acts on, and is at the same time acted on by, G_2 continuously and nonsingularly. By nonsingularity of a group action, we mean that the action preserves the measure class in question. We denote by α (resp. β) the action of G_1 (resp. G_2). We shall still use the letters α and β for the induced actions of G_1 and G_2 on algebras $L^\infty(G_2)$ and $L^\infty(G_1)$, respectively. We put

$$\chi(g, s) = \frac{d\mu_2 \circ \alpha_g}{d\mu_2}(s), \quad \Psi(s, g) = \frac{d\mu_1 \circ \beta_s}{d\mu_1}(g) \quad (g \in G_1, s \in G_2).$$

The Radon-Nikodym derivatives χ and Ψ are cocycles on $G_1 \times G_2$, and are assumed to be jointly continuous. Following Takeuchi's terminology [T], we say that such a system $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$ is a matched pair if the actions α and β satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_g(e) &= e, & \beta_s(e) &= e, \\ \alpha_g(st) &= \alpha_{\beta_t(g)}(s)\alpha_g(t), & \beta_s(gh) &= \beta_{\alpha_h(s)}(g)\beta_s(h) \end{aligned}$$

for any $g, h \in G_1$ and $s, t \in G_2$. Majid showed in [M3] that, if the system $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$ is a matched pair, then the ordinary crossed products $L^\infty(G_2) \times_\alpha G_1$ and $L^\infty(G_1) \times_\beta G_2$ both come equipped with a structure of an involutive Hopf-von Neumann algebra. He called these algebras bicrossproduct Hopf-von Neumann algebras associated to $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$. With the additional condition that the matched pair is modular (see Definition 2.3 of [M3]), he also proved that the bicrossproduct algebras are in fact Kac algebras, and that they are dual to each other. What one should note is that bicrossproduct Kac algebras are noncommutative and noncocommutative, except in the trivial case.

2. The intrinsic group of a bicrossproduct Kac algebra. This section is concerned with investigation of the intrinsic group of the bicrossproduct Kac algebra associated with a modular matched pair. We shall show that the intrinsic group can be completely described by the given system.

In what follows, we fix a modular matched pair $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$. We shall retain all the notations introduced in the preceding section. Let $\mathbf{K} = (\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$ be the associated bicrossproduct Kac algebra in which $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(G_2) \times_\alpha G_1$. By Majid's result mentioned in the previous section, the dual Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{K}} = (\widehat{\mathcal{M}}, \widehat{\Gamma}, \widehat{\kappa}, \widehat{\varphi})$ is the other bicrossproduct Kac algebra, where $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = L^\infty(G_1) \times_\beta G_2$. Let $\mathcal{H}_i = L^2(G_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$). Then put $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$, which is regarded as the set of all L^2 -functions on $G_1 \times G_2$. Note that both \mathcal{M} and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ act on \mathcal{H} in a standard form. Abusing notations, we still employ the letters α and β for the imbeddings of $L^\infty(G_2)$ and $L^\infty(G_1)$ into \mathcal{M} and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$, respectively. Namely, α (resp. β) are injective $*$ -homomorphisms of $L^\infty(G_2)$ (resp. $L^\infty(G_1)$) into $L^\infty(G_1 \times G_2)$ defined by

$$\{\alpha(k)\eta\}(g, s) = k(\alpha_g(s))\eta(g, s), \quad \{\beta(f)\eta\}(g, s) = f(\beta_s(g))\eta(g, s),$$

where $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$, $f \in L^\infty(G_1)$, $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $(g, s) \in G_1 \times G_2$. Let λ_i ($i = 1, 2$) denote the left regular representations of G_i . We now introduce a unitary operator W on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, which we regard as the set of L^2 -functions on $G_1 \times G_2 \times G_1 \times G_2$, given by

$$\{W\xi\}(g, s, h, t) = \xi(\beta_t(h)^{-1}g, s, h, \alpha_{\beta_t(h)^{-1}g}(s)t) \quad (\xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}).$$

See the proof of Theorem 2.6 of [M3] for this operator W . The inverse W^* is given by

$$\{W^*\xi\}(g, s, h, t) = \xi(\beta_{\alpha_g(s)^{-1}t}(h)g, s, h, \alpha_g(s)^{-1}t).$$

The coproduct Γ of \mathbf{K} is then defined by the equation

$$\Gamma(x) = W(1 \otimes x)W^* \quad (x \in \mathcal{M}).$$

We now observe what the morphism Γ does to generators of \mathcal{M} .

LEMMA 2.1. *With the notations as above, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Gamma(\alpha(k))\xi\}(g, s, h, t) &= k(\alpha_g(s)\alpha_h(t))\xi(g, s, h, t), \\ \{\Gamma(\lambda_1(p) \otimes 1)\xi\}(g, s, h, t) &= \xi(\beta_{\alpha_h(t)}(p^{-1})g, s, p^{-1}h, t) \end{aligned}$$

for any $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$, $p \in G_1$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$. The first identity can be summarized to the following:

$$\Gamma \circ \alpha(k) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Gamma_{G_2}(k).$$

Similar identities hold for $\hat{\Gamma}$, β and λ_2 after an appropriate change.

Proof. The proof of the first two assertions is implicit in that of Theorem 2.6 of [M3]. Thus we leave the verification to the reader. For the third assertion, we first note that α is “implemented” by a unitary operator U on \mathcal{H} as follows:

$$\alpha(k) = U^*(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes k)U \quad (k \in L^\infty(G_2)),$$

where U is defined by

$$\{U\eta\}(g, s) = \chi(g^{-1}, s)^{1/2}\eta(g, \alpha_{g^{-1}}(s)) \quad (\eta \in \mathcal{H}).$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{U^*(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes k)U\eta\}(g, s) &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}\{(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes k)U\eta\}(g, \alpha_g(s)) \\ &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}k(\alpha_g(s))\{U\eta\}(g, \alpha_g(s)) \\ &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}k(\alpha_g(s))\chi(g^{-1}, \alpha_g(s))^{1/2}\eta(g, s) \\ &= \{\alpha(k)\eta\}(g, s). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the cocycle identity: $\chi(g, s)\chi(g^{-1}, \alpha_g(s)) = 1$. Thus the operator $(\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Gamma_{G_2}(k)$ can be expressed as

$$(\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Gamma_{G_2}(k)) = (U \otimes U)^*\Gamma_{G_2}(k)_{1,4}(U \otimes U),$$

where $\Gamma_{G_2}(k)_{1,4}$ is the operator on $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ given by

$$\Gamma_{G_2}(k)_{1,4} = (1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes \sigma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2})\Gamma_{G_2}(k)(1_{\mathcal{H}_1} \otimes \sigma \otimes 1_{\mathcal{H}_2}).$$

Here σ in general denotes the unitary between the tensor products $\mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ and $\mathcal{H}_2 \otimes \mathcal{H}_1$ of Hilbert spaces \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , given by flipping

vectors: $\sigma(\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2) = \eta_2 \otimes \eta_1$ ($\eta_i \in \mathcal{H}_i$ ($i = 1, 2$)). Recall that $\Gamma_{G_2}(k)(s, t) = k(st)$ ($(s, t) \in G_2 \times G_2$). Thus, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Gamma_{G_2}(k))\xi\}(g, s, h, t) \\ &= \{(U \otimes U)^*\Gamma_{G_2}(k)_{1,4}(U \otimes U)\xi\}(g, s, h, t) \\ &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}\chi(h, t)^{1/2}\{\Gamma_{G_2}(k)_{1,4}(U \otimes U)\xi\}(g, \alpha_g(s), h, \alpha_h(t)) \\ &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}\chi(h, t)^{1/2}k(\alpha_g(s)\alpha_h(t))\{(U \otimes U)\xi\} \\ &\quad \cdot (g, \alpha_g(s), h, \alpha_h(t)) \\ &= \chi(g, s)^{1/2}\chi(h, t)^{1/2}\chi(g^{-1}, \alpha_g(s))^{1/2}\chi(h^{-1}, \alpha_h(t))^{1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot k(\alpha_g(s)\alpha_h(t))\xi(g, s, h, t) \\ &= k(\alpha_g(s)\alpha_h(t))\xi(g, s, h, t). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the first assertion that $\Gamma(\alpha(k)) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Gamma_{G_2}(k))$. By symmetry, we may obtain similar identities for $\widehat{\Gamma}$, β and λ_2 . \square

We now introduce two closed subsets G_1^β and G_2^α of G_1 and G_2 , respectively, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^\beta &= \{g \in G_1 : \beta_s(g) = g \text{ for any } s \in G_2\}, \\ G_2^\alpha &= \{s \in G_2 : \alpha_g(s) = s \text{ for any } g \in G_1\}. \end{aligned}$$

They are in fact subgroups of relevant groups. Indeed, if $g, h \in G_1^\beta$, then, for any $s \in G_2$, we have

$$\beta_s(gh) = \beta_{\alpha_h(s)}(g)\beta_s(h) = gh,$$

which implies that $gh \in G_1^\beta$. Moreover, the identity $\beta_s(gg^{-1}) = e$ yields

$$\beta_s(g^{-1}) = \beta_{\alpha_{g^{-1}}(s)}(g)^{-1} = g^{-1}.$$

Thus $g^{-1} \in G_1^\beta$. Similarly, one can see that G_2^α is a subgroup of G_2 .

LEMMA 2.2. *The subsets $\alpha(G(\mathbf{KA}(G_2)))$ and $\{\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1 : g \in G_1^\beta\}$ are contained in the intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ of $\mathbf{K} = (\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$. By symmetry, the subsets $\beta(G(\mathbf{KA}(G_2)))$ and $\{1 \otimes \lambda_2(s) : s \in G_2^\alpha\}$ are included in $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the first assertion.

Let $k \in G(\mathbf{KA}(G_2))$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\Gamma(\alpha(k)) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(\Gamma_{G_2}(k)) = (\alpha \otimes \alpha)(k \otimes k) = \alpha(k) \otimes \alpha(k).$$

Thus $\alpha(k)$ belongs to $G(\mathbf{K})$. Let $p \in G_1^\beta$. Then Lemma 2.1 shows that, for any $\xi \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\Gamma(\lambda_1(p) \otimes 1)\xi\}(g, s, h, t) \\ &= \xi(\beta_{\alpha_h(t)}(p^{-1})g, s, p^{-1}h, t) \\ &= \xi(p^{-1}g, s, p^{-1}h, t) \\ &= \{(\lambda_1(p) \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_1(p) \otimes 1)\xi\}(g, s, h, t). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Gamma(\lambda_1(p) \otimes 1) = \lambda_1(p) \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_1(p) \otimes 1$, from which it follows that $\lambda_1(p) \otimes 1$ lies in $G(\mathbf{K})$. □

In the next lemma, recall that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$ is the group of all automorphisms γ of \mathcal{M} satisfying the condition: $(\gamma \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ \gamma$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let γ be in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$. Then γ leaves $\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$ globally invariant. Moreover, there uniquely exists an element s_0 in G_2^α such that*

$$\gamma|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))} = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))}.$$

Here $\alpha|_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the restriction of α to a subset \mathcal{A} .

Proof. By Theorem 1.1, there exists a unique element w in $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ which gives the canonical implementation of γ , i.e. $\gamma = \text{Ad } w$. Let $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$. Then the element $\alpha(k)$, by definition, belongs to $L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2)$. Since $w \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = L^\infty(G_1) \times_\beta G_2$, the unitary w lies particularly in $L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$, where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ stands for the algebra of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . It follows that

$$\gamma(\alpha(k)) = w\alpha(k)w^* \in L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2)).$$

By Lemma 3.5 (a) of [DeC1], the operator $\gamma(\alpha(k))$ belongs to the algebra $\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$. Thus we get an inclusion $\gamma(\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))) \subseteq \alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$. By applying the same argument as above to the automorphism $\gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$, we obtain the reverse inclusion. Hence γ leaves $\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$ globally invariant.

By the first paragraph, γ induces an automorphism $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $L^\infty(G_2)$ so that $\gamma \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \tilde{\gamma}$. Then, due to Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Gamma_{G_2} \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \Gamma \circ \alpha \circ \tilde{\gamma} = \Gamma \circ \gamma \circ \alpha \\ &= (\gamma \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ \Gamma \circ \alpha = (\gamma \otimes \iota_{\mathcal{M}}) \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Gamma_{G_2} \\ &= (\gamma \circ \alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ \Gamma_{G_2} = (\alpha \otimes \alpha) \circ (\tilde{\gamma} \otimes \iota_{L^\infty(G_2)}) \circ \Gamma_{G_2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since α is injective, it follows that

$$(\tilde{\gamma} \otimes \iota_{L^\infty(G_2)}) \circ \Gamma_{G_2} = \Gamma_{G_2} \circ \tilde{\gamma}.$$

From Theorem 1.1 and the fact (mentioned in the last section) that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{KA}(G_2)) \cong G(\mathbf{KS}(G_2)) = \lambda_2(G_2)$, there exists a unique element s_0 in G_2 such that

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \text{Ad } \lambda_2(s_0).$$

Our aim is to show that the element s_0 really belongs to G_2^α . For this, we first note that $\lambda_1(g)L^\infty(G_1)\lambda_1(g)^* = L^\infty(G_1)$ for any $g \in G_1$; so the fact that $w \in L^\infty(G_1)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$ implies that

$$(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)w(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1) \in L^\infty(G_1)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2)) \quad (g \in G_1).$$

Accordingly, the element

$$(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)w(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1) \cdot w^* = (\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)\gamma(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)$$

lies in $L^\infty(G_1)\overline{\otimes}\mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$. Since $(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)\gamma(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)$ is, at the same time, a member of $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(G_2) \times_\alpha G_1$, it follows from Lemma 3.5 (a) of [DeC1] that there exists an element $k_g \in L^\infty(G_2)$, depending upon $g \in G_1$, such that

$$(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)\gamma(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1) = \alpha(k_g).$$

Since the left-hand side is a unitary operator, we have that $|k_g| = 1$. Then, for any $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \circ \tilde{\gamma} \circ \alpha_g(k) &= \gamma \circ \alpha \circ \alpha_g(k) \\ &= \gamma((\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(k)(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1)) \\ &= \gamma(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\gamma(\alpha(k))\gamma(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1) \\ &= (\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(k_g) \cdot \alpha(\tilde{\gamma}(k)) \cdot \alpha(k_g^*)(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1) \\ &= (\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(k_g\tilde{\gamma}(k)k_g^*)(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1) \\ &= (\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(\tilde{\gamma}(k))(\lambda_1(g)^* \otimes 1) \\ &= \alpha \circ \alpha_g \circ \tilde{\gamma}(k). \end{aligned}$$

Since α is injective, it follows that $\tilde{\gamma} \circ \alpha_g(k) = \alpha_g \circ \tilde{\gamma}(k)$ for any $g \in G_1$ and $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$. This is, in turn, equivalent to

$$k(\alpha_g(s_0^{-1}s)) = k(s_0^{-1}\alpha_g(s)) \quad (g \in G_1, s \in G_2).$$

Here one can take k to be an arbitrary continuous function with compact support. This means that

$$\alpha_g(s_0^{-1}s) = s_0^{-1}\alpha_g(s) \quad (g \in G_1, s \in G_2).$$

In particular, we have that $\alpha_g(s_0^{-1}) = s_0^{-1}$, which implies that $s_0 \in G_2^\alpha$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))\alpha(k)(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0)^*)\eta\}(g, s) \\ &= \{\alpha(k)(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0)^*)\eta\}(g, s_0^{-1}s) \\ &= k(\alpha_g(s_0^{-1}s))\{(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0)^*)\eta\}(g, s_0^{-1}s) \\ &= k(s_0^{-1}\alpha_g(s))\eta(g, s) = \tilde{\gamma}(k)(\alpha_g(s))\eta(g, s) \\ &= \{\alpha(\tilde{\gamma}(k))\eta\}(g, s) = \{\gamma(\alpha(k))\eta\}(g, s) \end{aligned}$$

for any $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$ and $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\gamma|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))} = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))}.$$

This completes the proof. □

LEMMA 2.4. *Let γ be in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$ with $\gamma|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))} = \text{id}$. (This assumption makes sense due to Lemma 2.3.) Then there uniquely exists an element $f \in G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1))$ such that $\gamma = \text{Ad } \beta(f)$.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows from Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.2.

By Theorem 1.1, there is a unique element w in $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ such that $\gamma = \text{Ad } w$. Let U be the unitary operator introduced before. Then, by assumption, we have

$$U^*(1 \otimes k)U = \alpha(k) = \gamma(\alpha(k)) = wU^*(1 \otimes k)Uw^*$$

for any $k \in L^\infty(G_2)$. The computation shows that the operator UwU^* belongs to $\mathcal{L}(L^2(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2))$. It is easy to see, by definition, that $[U, a \otimes 1] = 0$ for any $a \in L^\infty(G_1)$, where the symbol $[p, q]$ stands for the commutator: $[p, q] = pq - qp$. Thus $U \in L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$. Since w is in $\widehat{\mathcal{M}} = L^\infty(G_1) \times_\beta G_2$, it lies also in $L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$. Accordingly, UwU^* belongs to $L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} UwU^* &\in L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_2)) \cap \mathcal{L}(L^2(G_1)) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2) \\ &= L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since the fact that $[U, a \otimes 1] = 0$ ($a \in L^\infty(G_1)$) and $U(\mathbf{C} \otimes L^\infty(G_2))U = \alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$ implies that

$$U^*(L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^2(G_2))U \subseteq L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2),$$

the operator w itself belongs to $L^\infty(G_1) \overline{\otimes} L^\infty(G_2)$. By Lemma 3.5 (a) of [DeC1] again, there exists an element f in $L^\infty(G_1)$ such that

$$|f| = 1, \quad w = \beta(f).$$

Due to Lemma 2.1, we have that $\widehat{\Gamma} \circ \beta = (\beta \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma_{G_1}$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\beta \otimes \beta) \circ \Gamma_{G_1}(f) &= \widehat{\Gamma}(\beta(f)) = \widehat{\Gamma}(w) \\ &= w \otimes w = (\beta \otimes \beta)(f \otimes f). \end{aligned}$$

Since β is injective, we have $\Gamma_{G_1}(f) = f \otimes f$. Therefore, $f \in G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1))$. □

We are now in a position to prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 2.5. *The intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ of the bicrossproduct Kac algebra $\mathbf{K} = (\mathcal{M}, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$, where $\mathcal{M} = L^\infty(G_2) \times_\alpha G_1$, associated with a modular matched pair $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$ is topologically isomorphic to the semi-direct product $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_2)) \times_\alpha G_1^\beta$. Here the product of the semi-direct product is given by*

$$(k_1, g_1) \cdot (k_2, g_2) = (\alpha_{g_2^{-1}}(k_1)k_2, g_1g_2).$$

Similarly, the intrinsic group $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ of the other bicrossproduct Kac algebra $\widehat{\mathbf{K}}$ is topologically isomorphic to the semi-direct product $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1)) \times_\beta G_2^\alpha$.

Proof. By symmetry, it suffices to prove the last half assertion.

Let $w \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$. We put $\gamma = \text{Ad } w \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists a unique element s_0 in G_2^α such that

$$\gamma|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))} = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))|_{\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))}.$$

We set $\gamma_1 = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0)^*)$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 1.1, the morphism γ_1 belongs to $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$; so $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma$ also lies in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{K})$. By construction, the restriction of $\gamma_1 \circ \gamma$ to $\alpha(L^\infty(G_2))$ is the identity. Thus, by Lemma 2.4, there is a unique element f in $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1))$ such that

$$\gamma_1 \circ \gamma = \text{Ad } \beta(f).$$

It follows that

$$\text{Ad } w = \gamma = \gamma_1^{-1} \circ \text{Ad } \beta(f) = \text{Ad}(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))\beta(f).$$

Lemma 2.2 ensures that $(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))\beta(f)$ is in $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$. From Theorem 1.1 and the uniqueness of canonical implementation, it results that

$$w = (1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_0))\beta(f).$$

This shows that the map Φ from $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1)) \times_{\beta} G_2^{\alpha}$ into $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ which sends (f, s) to $(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s))\beta(f)$ is bijective. Since

$$(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_1))\beta(f_1)(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_2))\beta(f_2) = (1 \otimes \lambda_2(s_1s_2))\beta(\beta_{s_2^{-1}}(f_1)f_2)$$

for any $f_i \in G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1))$ and $s_i \in G_2^{\alpha}$ ($i = 1, 2$), the map Φ is a group isomorphism as well, when $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1)) \times_{\beta} G_2^{\alpha}$ is endowed with the product

$$(f_1, s_1) \cdot (f_2, s_2) = (\beta_{s_2^{-1}}(f_1)f_2, s_1s_2).$$

Bicontinuity of Φ can be proven exactly by the same argument as in Proposition 3.4 of [DeC1]. □

REMARK 2.6. Let us consider the special case in which $\beta = \text{id}$ (or $\alpha = \text{id}$). Namely, α is an action of G_1 on G_2 by automorphisms. Such an example was treated in Example 5.3 of [DeC2]. Due to Theorem 2.5, the intrinsic groups $G(\mathbf{K})$ and $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$ are $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_2)) \times_{\alpha} G_1$, $G(\mathbf{KA}(G_1)) \times G_2^{\alpha}$, respectively. Thus we can recover Proposition 3.4 and 3.6 of [DeC1] as a special case of ours, where \mathbf{K} in these propositions should be taken as $\mathbf{K} = (L^{\infty}(G_2), \Gamma_{G_2}, j_{G_2}, \tau_{G_2})$.

Before we state the following corollary to Theorem 2.5, we recall the definition of the bicharacter of a Kac algebra \mathbf{K} . (\mathbf{K} is a general Kac algebra for the moment.) For any $u \in G(\mathbf{K})$ and $v \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$, there exists a complex number $\Omega(u, v)$ of modulus 1 such that

$$uv = \Omega(u, v)vu.$$

(See [S] for properties that Ω enjoys.) The map Ω is called the bicharacter of the Kac algebra \mathbf{K} .

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let \mathbf{K} be as in Theorem 2.5. Then the bicharacter Ω of \mathbf{K} is given by*

$$\Omega((k, g), (f, s)) = k(s)\overline{f(g)}$$

for any $(k, g) \in G(\mathbf{K})$ and $(f, s) \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}})$.

Proof. This is easily verified by direct computations of

$$(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(k)(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s))\beta(f)$$

and

$$(1 \otimes \lambda_2(s))\beta(f)(\lambda_1(g) \otimes 1)\alpha(k). \quad \square$$

3. Calculation. In [M3] (see also [M1,2]), Majid constructed a concrete example of a modular matched pair of Lie groups. His method

shows that, to each group $T_1(n, \mathbf{R})$ of $n \times n$ upper triangular matrices in \mathbf{R} with 1 on the diagonal, there corresponds a modular matched pair $(G_1, G_2, \alpha, \beta)$, where $G_1 = G_2 = T_1(n, \mathbf{R})$ and $\alpha = \beta$. In particular, the resulting bicrossproduct Kac algebra \mathbf{K} is self-dual. As an application of the preceding section, we shall compute the intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ of \mathbf{K} that arises in the case of $T_1(3, \mathbf{R})$, the Heisenberg group.

We let $G = T_1(3, \mathbf{R})$. We define an action α of G on itself by

$$\alpha_g(s) = (1 + g(s^{-1} - 1))^{-1} \quad (g, s \in G).$$

In terms of a matrix form, this is equivalent to

$$(3.1) \quad \alpha_g(s) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y + az \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 2.5, the intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ where $\mathbf{K} = (L^\infty(G) \times_\alpha G, \Gamma, \kappa, \varphi)$ as in the previous section, is isomorphic to $G(\mathbf{KA}(G)) \times_\alpha G^\alpha$. Thus we need to investigate what $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$, G^α and the action α really are. First, by (3.1), it is not difficult to check that

$$G^\alpha = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$$

which is known to be a closed, normal, maximal abelian subgroup of G . It is clear that G^α is isomorphic to the additive group $(\mathbf{R}^2, +)$. Next we look at $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$. It is known in general (see §3 of [DeC1]) that $G(\mathbf{KA}(G)) =$ the set of “group characters” of G can be identified with the Pontryagin dual of the abelian group $G/[G, G]$, where $[G, G]$ is the closed commutator subgroup of G . This identification is a topological isomorphism in our case. So we first look at $[G, G]$. It can be verified that

$$[G, G] = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x \in \mathbf{R} \right\},$$

which is the center of G . Thus the quotient group $G/[G, G]$ is isomorphic to the additive group $(\mathbf{R}^2, +)$ by the correspondence:

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in G/[G, G] \leftrightarrow (a, c) \in \mathbf{R}^2.$$

Hence $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$ consists of functions $A_{\lambda, \mu}$ ($\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$) on G given by

$$A_{\lambda, \mu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & c \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = e^{i(\lambda a + \mu c)}.$$

It follows that $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$ is isomorphic to the additive group $(\mathbf{R}^2, +)$. Next we examine the action α of G^α on $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$. By (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{g^{-1}}(A_{\lambda, \mu}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} &= A_{\lambda, \mu} \left(\alpha_g \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= A_{\lambda, \mu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y + az \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= e^{i(\lambda x + \mu z)} \\ &= A_{\lambda, \mu} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in G^\alpha$ and $A_{\lambda, \mu}$ is as before. This means that the action α on $G(\mathbf{KA}(G))$ is trivial; so the semi-direct product $G(\mathbf{KA}(G)) \times_\alpha G^\alpha$ is in fact the direct product. Consequently, the intrinsic group $G(\mathbf{K})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{R}^2, +) \times (\mathbf{R}^2, +) = (\mathbf{R}^4, +)$. Since \mathbf{K} is self-dual, $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) \cong (\mathbf{R}^4, +)$.

Now it is obvious that, with $\mathbf{K}_1 = (\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{R}^4), \delta_{\mathbf{R}^4}, \kappa_{\mathbf{R}^4}, \varphi_{\mathbf{R}^4})$, $G(\mathbf{K}_1) = G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_1) \cong (\mathbf{R}^4, +)$. Thus we have $G(\mathbf{K}) \cong G(\mathbf{K}_1)$, $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) \cong G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_1)$. We now look at the bicharacters Ω and Ω_1 of \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K}_1 , respectively. Let

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \left(A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in G(\mathbf{K}), \\ v &= \left(A_{\mu_1, \mu_2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) = G(\mathbf{K}), \end{aligned}$$

where $A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}, A_{\mu_1, \mu_2}$ ($\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbf{R}$ ($i = 1, 2$)) are as before. Then, by Corollary 2.7, we have

$$\Omega(u, v) = A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a & b \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \overline{A_{\mu_1, \mu_2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}} = e^{i\lambda_1 a} \cdot e^{-i\mu_1 x}.$$

In the meantime, under the isomorphisms $G(\mathbf{K}) \cong G(\mathbf{K}_1)$, $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) \cong G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_1)$, we have

$$\Omega_1(u, v) = e^{-i(\lambda_1 \mu_1 + \lambda_2 \mu_2 + xa + yb)}.$$

Hence the bicharacters Ω and Ω_1 do not coincide. Therefore we have established an interesting example of nonisomorphic Kac algebras \mathbf{K} and \mathbf{K}_1 for which $G(\mathbf{K}) \cong G(\mathbf{K}_1)$, $G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}) \cong G(\widehat{\mathbf{K}}_1)$ and the associated bicharacters do not coincide.

REFERENCES

- [DeC1] J. De Canière, *On the intrinsic group of a Kac algebra*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **40** (1980), 1–20.
- [DeC2] —, *Produit croisé d'une algèbre de Kac par un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **107** (1979), 337–372.
- [E&S] M. Enock and J. M. Schwartz, *Une dualité dans les algèbres de von Neumann*, Bull. Soc. Math. France Suppl. Mémoire, **44** (1975), 1–144.
- [H] U. Haagerup, *The standard form of von Neumann algebras*, Math. Scand., **37** (1975), 271–283.
- [M1] S. Majid, *Physics for algebraists: Noncommutative and noncocommutative Hopf algebras by a bicrossproduct construction*, J. Algebra, **130** (1990), 17–64.
- [M2] —, *Matched pairs of Lie groups associated to solutions of the classical Yang-Baxter equations*, Pacific J. Math., **141** (1990), 311–332.
- [M3] —, *Hopf-von Neumann algebra bicrossproducts, Kac algebra bicrossproducts and the classical Yang-Baxter equations*, J. Funct. Anal., **95** (1991), 291–319.
- [S] J. M. Schwartz, *Sur la structure des algèbres de Kac I*, J. Funct. Anal., **34** (1979), 370–406.
- [Sch] W. Schvepp, *Harmonic Analysis on the Heisenberg Nilpotent Lie group*, Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series, **147** (1986) Longman Scientific & Technical.
- [Ta] M. Takesaki, *Duality and von Neumann Algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 247, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972, pp. 666–779.
- [T] M. Takeuchi, *Matched pairs of groups and bismash products of Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **9** (1981), 841–882.

Received October 14, 1991 and in revised form February 20, 1992.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SWANSEA
SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA
SA2 8PP UNITED KINGDOM

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) F. WOLF (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(*Managing Editor*)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
vsv@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
clemens@math.utah.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 159 No. 1 May 1993

An application of the very weak Bernoulli condition for amenable groups	1
SCOT ROBERT ADAMS and JEFFREY EDWARD STEIF	
An application of homogenization theory to harmonic analysis on solvable Lie groups of polynomial growth	19
G. ALEXOPOULOS	
The standard double soap bubble in \mathbf{R}^2 uniquely minimizes perimeter	47
JOEL FOISY, MANUEL ALFARO GARCIA, JEFFREY FARLOWE BROCK, NICKELOUS HODGES and JASON ZIMBA	
Pseudo regular elements and the auxiliary multiplication they induce	61
BARRY E. JOHNSON	
A converse to a theorem of Komlós for convex subsets of L_1	75
CHRISTOPHER JOHN LENNARD	
General Kac-Moody algebras and the Kazhdan-Lusztig conjecture	87
WAYNE L. NEIDHARDT	
The flow space of a directed G -graph	127
WILLIAM LINDALL PASCHKE	
Primitive ideals and derivations on noncommutative Banach algebras	139
MARK PHILLIP THOMAS	
Equivariant Nielsen numbers	153
PETER N-S WONG	
Volumes of tubular neighbourhoods of real algebraic varieties	177
RICHARD ALEXANDER WONGKEW	
The intrinsic group of Majid's bicrossproduct Kac algebra	185
TAKEHIKO YAMANOUCHI	