Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOLUTIONS OF THE STATIONARY AND NONSTATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN EXTERIOR DOMAINS

ZHI MIN CHEN

Volume 159 No. 2

June 1993

SOLUTIONS OF THE STATIONARY AND NONSTATIONARY NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS IN EXTERIOR DOMAINS

ZHI-MIN CHEN

It is shown that a nonstationary exterior Navier-Stokes flow tends to a small stationary flow in L^2 like $t^{-3/4}$ as $t \to \infty$.

0. Introduction. In this paper we are concerned with the stationary Navier-Stokes equations

(0.1)
$$(w \cdot D)w - \Delta w + D\overline{p} = f, \quad D \cdot w = 0 \text{ in } G,$$

 $w = 0 \text{ on } \partial G \quad (D = \text{grad}),$

and the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations

$$v_t + (v \cdot D)v - \Delta v + D\overline{\overline{p}} = f \quad \text{in } G \times (0, \infty),$$
$$D \cdot v = 0 \quad \text{in } G \times (0, \infty),$$
$$v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G \times (0, \infty),$$
$$v|_{t=0} = a + w \quad \text{in } G \quad (v_t = \partial v / \partial t).$$

Here and in what follows G denotes a smooth exterior domain of R^3 , f = f(x) is a prescribed vector field, and \overline{p} (resp. $\overline{\overline{p}}$) represents unknown stationary (resp. nonstationary) scalar pressure which can be determined by the stationary solution w via (0.1) (resp. nonstationary solution v via (0.2)).

As is well known, it was shown by Finn [8, 9] that (0.1) admits a small solution

(0.3)
$$w \in L^{\infty}(G; \mathbb{R}^3), \quad Dw \in L^3(G; \mathbb{R}^9),$$

 $C_0 = \sup_{x \in G} |x| |w(x)| < \infty.$

If $C_0 < 1/2$ the Finn's solution w may be formed as a limit of a nonstationary solution v as $t \to \infty$ in local or global L^2 -norms (cf. Heywood [15, 14], Galdi and Rionero [11], Miyakawa and Sohr [23]) and in other norms (cf. Heywood [16], Masuda [20]). Moreover it has recently proved (cf. Borchers and Miyakawa [4]) that every weak solution of (0.2) tends the Finn's solution in $L^2(G; R^3)$ like $t^{-(3/p-3/2)/2}$ with $6/5 , provided <math>C_0 < 1/2$ and $a \in L^2(G; \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^p(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

In this paper we are only interested in the case $w \in L^3(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $Dw \in L^{3/2}(G; \mathbb{R}^9)$, or $Dw \in L^r(G; \mathbb{R}^9) \cap L^p(G; \mathbb{R}^9)$ with 1 < r < 3/2 < p < 2. Under certain smallness assumptions on w we show now that every weak solution of (0.2) tends to the stationary solution w in $L^2(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$ like the sharp decay rate $t^{-3/4}$.

1. Notation and main result. In this paper we use the following spaces.

 L^p = the Lebesgue spaces $L^p(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$, with $\|\cdot\|_p$ the associated norm,

 C_{σ}^{∞} = the set of compactly supported solenoidal in $C^{\infty}(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$, $W^{k,p}$ = the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(G; \mathbb{R}^3)$,

- J^p = the completion of C^{∞}_{σ} in L^p ,
- $W^{1,p}_{\sigma}$ = the completion of C^{∞}_{σ} in $W^{1,p}$,

 $\widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p}$ = the completion of C_{σ}^{∞} under the norm $\|D \cdot \|_{p}$,

$$\widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{2,p} = \text{the space } \{ u \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,3p/(3-p)}; D^2 u \in L^p(G; \mathbb{R}^{27}) \}$$
for $1 ,$

 $W^{-1,2} =$ the dual of $W^{1,2}_{\sigma}$,

 $\widehat{W}^{-1,p}$ = the dual of $\widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p/(p-1)}$, with $\|\cdot\|_{-1,p}$ the associated norm.

Moreover for $1 < r < \infty$ and $n \ge 1$, we denote by r' the real r/(r-1), by (\cdot, \cdot) the inner product in $L^2(G; \mathbb{R}^n)$, by P the bounded projection from L^r onto J^r (cf. [22]), by A the Stokes operators $-P\Delta$ with the domain $W^{1,r}_{\sigma} \cap W^{2,r}$, by \overline{A} the Laplacian $-\Delta$ with the domain $W^{2,r}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$, and by C a positive constant which may vary from line to line, but is always independent of the quantities t, T, u, v, w, f, u_k , and a.

Now we make preparations for stating our main result. The existence of the stationary solutions w is guaranteed by the following.

LEMMA 1.1. Let $1 < r \le 3/2 < p < 2$, and $f \in C^{\infty}_{\sigma}$. Then there is a small h > 0 such that (0.1) admits a unique solution within the class

 $\{w \in \widehat{W}^{1,r}_{\sigma} \cap \widehat{W}^{1,p}_{\sigma}; \|Dw\|_{3/2} \le h\},\$

provided that $||f||_{-1,3/2} \leq h^2$. Moreover

 $||Dw||_r + ||Dw||_p \le C(||f||_{-1,r} + ||f||_{-1,p}).$

From (0.1) and (0.2) we see that u = v - w and $\hat{p} = \overline{p} - \overline{\overline{p}}$ solve the problem

(1.1)
$$u_t + (u \cdot D)u - \Delta u + (u \cdot D)w + (w \cdot D)u + D\hat{p} = 0,$$

$$D \cdot u = 0 \quad \text{in } G \times (0, \infty),$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial G \times (0, \infty),$$

$$u|_{t=0} = a \quad \text{in } G.$$

Weak solutions are given in the following sense.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let $a \in J^2$, and $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,3/2}$ solve (0.1). A weakly continuous function $u: [0, \infty) \to J^2$ is said to be a weak solution of (1.1) if u(0) = a, $u \in L^{\infty}(0, \infty; J^2) \cap L^2(0, \infty; \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,2})$,

(1.2)
$$||u(t)||_2^2 + \int_s^t ||Du(z)||_2^2 dz \le ||u(s)||_2^2,$$

(1.3)
$$(u(t), g(t)) + \int_{s}^{t} ((Du, Dg) + ((u \cdot D)w, g) + ((w \cdot D)u, g) - (u, g_{z})) dz$$
$$= (u(s), g(s)) - \int_{s}^{t} ((u \cdot D)u, g) dz$$

for all $t > s \ge 0$ and all $g \in C([0, \infty); W^{1,2}_{\sigma}) \cap C^1([0, \infty); J^2)$, where $g_z = \partial g / \partial z$.

The existence of weak solutions to (1.1) is guaranteed by the following.

LEMMA 1.2. Let $a \in J^2$, and $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,3/2}$ such that $||Dw||_{3/2} < 1/8$. Then (1.1) admits a weak solution.

We are now in a position to state our main result.

THEOREM 1.1. Let 1 < r < 3/2 < p < 2, $a \in J^2 \cap L^1$, and let $w \in W^{1,r}_{\sigma} \cap W^{1,p}_{\sigma}$ such that w solves (0.1) and $||Dw||_r + ||Dw||_p$ is sufficiently small. Then every weak solution of (1.1) possesses the sharp decay property

$$||u(t)||_2 = O(t^{-3/4}).$$

Section 2 is concerned with the proof of Lemmas 1.1 and 1.2. In [23], it has been obtained an existence result on weak solutions of (1.1) with w the Finn's solution such that $C_0 < 1/2$. However,

the argument of [23] heavily depends on the property (0.3). In §3, with the use of the approach developed from [7], we shall show sharp decay estimates of solutions to the linearized equations of (1.1). If w only satisfies (0.3) and $C_0 < 1/2$, such estimates seem unavailable. Theorem 1.1 will be proved in §4 by making use of the estimates carried out in §3 and studying the time average $t^{-1} \int_0^t ||u(s)||_2 ds$. A similar technique has been used in [23, 4]. However, we have not used the spectral decomposition of the Stokes operator A in L^2 as usually used in earlier work concerning the L^2 decay problem. Moreover our proof seems much simpler.

It should be noted that the L^2 decay problem of (1.1) with w = 0stems from Leray [19], and has affirmatively been solved (cf. [24, 3, 2] and the references therein). If 1 and <math>u is a weak solution of (1.1) with w = 0, it has been proved that $||u(t)||_2 = O(t^{-(3/p-3/2)/2})$ provided $u(0) \in J^2 \cap L^p$ (cf. [2]), and $||u(t)||_2 = O(t^{-3/4})$ provided $u(0) \in J^2 \cap L^1$ and $||e^{-tA}a||_2 \le Ct^{-3/4}||a||_1$ (cf. [3]).

2. Proof of Lemmas 1.1, 1.2. To begin with we give the estimate (cf. [2, Theorem 3.6] or [12, 18] for a similar consideration)

(2.1)
$$||Du||_p \le C \sup\{|(Du, Dv)|; v \in C^{\infty}_{\sigma}, ||Dv||_{p'} = 1\}$$

for $1 ,$

and the Sobolev inequality (cf. [13])

(2.2)
$$||u||_{3p/(3-p)} \le 2p(3-p)^{-1}3^{-1/2}||Du||_p$$

for $1 .$

Proof of Lemma 1.1. Let r and p be given in Lemma 1.1. We rewrite (0.1) in the abstract form $Aw + P(w \cdot D)w = f$, $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,r} \cap \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p}$. Since the proof of [5, (3.1)] implies that A can be extended as a bounded and invertible operator from $\widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{2,q}$ onto J^{q} with 1 < q < 3/2, we can set

$$H: \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,r} \cap \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p} \to \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{2,3p/(6-p)} \text{ such that } Hw = A^{-1}(f - P(w \cdot D)w).$$

Let $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,r} \cap \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p}$, $r < s < p$, and $v \in C_{\sigma}^{\infty}$ with $\|Dv\|_{s'} = 1$.
Integrating by parts and using the divergence condition $D \cdot w = 0$, we have

$$(DHw, Dv) = (f, v) - ((w \cdot D)w, v)$$

= $(f, v) + ((w \cdot D)v, w)$
 $\leq (f, v) + ||w||_3 ||w||_{3s/(3-s)} ||Dv||_{s'}$

that is, by (2.1)-(2.2),

$$\|DHw\|_{s} \leq C(\|f\|_{-1,s} + \|Dw\|_{s}\|Dw\|_{3/2}).$$

Similarly, for $w, w^* \in W^{1,r}_{\sigma} \cap W^{1,p}_{\sigma}$ we have

 $\|DHw - DHw^*\|_{s} \le C(\|Dw\|_{3/2} + \|Dw^*\|_{3/2})\|Dw - Dw^*\|_{s}.$

Consequently, the desired assertion follows immediately from the contraction mapping principle. The proof is complete.

In [23], Miyakawa and Sohr proved that (1.1) admits a weak solution in case w is the Finn's solution and $C_0 < 1/2$. However, as for our case, the argument of [23] does not work somewhere. Now we give our proof in a slightly different way. Similar to [23], we also study approximate solutions of (1.1) by applying a technique developed from [6].

Proof of Lemma 1.2. Let k > 1. We set $J_k = k(k + A)^{-1}$ and $I_k = k(k + \overline{A})^{-1}E$, where E denotes the extension operator such that Eu = u in G and Eu = 0 outside G. With the use of the notation above, we have

(2.3)
$$||J_k u||_p \le C(k) ||u||_r$$
, $||I_k u||_p \le C(k) ||u||_r$
for $1 < r < p \le \infty$, $u \in J^r$,

(2.4) $||I_k u||_r \le ||u||_r$, $||J_k u||_r \le C ||u||_r$ for $1 < r < \infty$, $u \in J^r$,

where C is independent of k. (2.3) is a consequence of the Sobolev embedding theorem and L^r -estimates. The first inequality in (2.4) follows from the proof of [1, Lemma 10.1], and the second one from [2, Theorem 1.2].

Now we proceed to the evolution equation

(2.5)
$$(d/dt)u_k + Au_k = F_k(u_k), \quad u_k(0) = J_k a \text{ in } J^2,$$

where $F_k(u) = F_k(u, u)$ with

$$F_k(u, v) = -P(J_k u \cdot D)v - P(J_k w \cdot D)u - P(I_k u \cdot D)I_k w.$$

For $u, v \in W^{1,2}_{\sigma}$, we have

$$(2.6) ||F_k(u, v)||_2 + ||P(J_k v \cdot D)u||_2
\leq ||J_k u||_{\infty} ||Dv||_2 + ||J_k w||_{\infty} ||Du||_2
+ ||I_k u||_6 ||DI_k w||_3 + ||J_k v||_{\infty} ||Du||_2
\leq C(k)(||u||_6 ||Dv||_2 + ||w||_3 ||Du||_2
+ ||u||_6 ||I_k DEw||_3 + ||v||_6 ||Du||_2), by (2.3),
\leq C(k) ||Du||_2 (||Dv||_2 + ||Dw||_{3/2}), by (2.2).$$

On the other hand, given k and T > 0, we suppose that u_k solve (2.5) over [0, T), and $u_k \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}_{\sigma} \cap W^{2,2}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; J^2)$. Then multiplying (2.5) by $2u_k$ and $2Au_k$, respectively, we have

$$(d/dt) \|u_k\|_2^2 + 2\|Du_k\|_2^2 = 2(F_k(u_k), u_k),$$

$$(d/dt) \|Du_k\|_2^2 + 2\|Au_k\|_2^2 = 2(F_k(u_k), Au_k).$$

The estimation of the right-hand side terms of the preceding identities can be achieved as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} 2(F_k(u_k), u_k) &= 2((I_k u_k \cdot D) u_k, I_k w), \\ &\text{since } D \cdot J_k u_k = D \cdot J_k w = D \cdot I_k u = 0, \\ &\leq 2 \|I_k u_k\|_6 \|D u_k\|_2 \|I_k w\|_3 \\ &\leq (12/3^{-1/2}) \|w\|_3 \|D u_k\|_2^2, \quad \text{by (2.4) and (2.2),} \\ &\leq 8 \|D w\|_{3/2} \|D u_k\|_2^2, \quad \text{by (2.2),} \\ &\leq \|D u_k\|_2^2, \quad \text{by setting } \|D w\|_{3/2} < 1/8, \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

(2.7)
$$||u_k(t)||_2^2 + \int_s^t ||Du_k(z)||_2^2 dz \le ||u_k(s)||_2^2, \quad 0 \le s < t < T,$$

$$(2.8) \quad \|Du_k(t)\|_2^2 \\ \leq \|DJ_ka\|_2^2 + C(k) \int_0^t \|Du_k(s)\|_2^2 (\|u_k(s)\|_2^2 + \|Dw\|_{3/2}^2) \, ds \\ \leq \|DJ_ka\|_2^2 + C(k) \|J_ka\|_2^2 (\|J_ka\|_2^2 + \|Dw\|_{3/2}^2), \quad \text{by } (2.7)$$

Thus, following the same way as in the proof of [23, Proposition 3.4] by making use of (2.6)–(2.8), we conclude that (2.5) admits a unique global solution u_k satisfying (2.6), and $u_k \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}_{\sigma} \cap W^{2,2}) \cap W^{1,2}(0, T; J^2)$ for all T > 0.

To obtain a weak solution of (1.1), we need to study compactness of the sequence u_k . Let $v \in W^{1,2}_{\sigma}$. Applying (2.2) and (2.4) repeatedly, we have, from (2.5),

with C independent of k. This together with (2.7) implies that the sequence u_k is bounded in

$$L^{\infty}(0, \infty; J^2) \cap L^2(0, \infty; \widehat{W}^{1,2}_{\sigma}) \cap W^{1,4/3}(0, T; W^{-1,2})$$

for all $0 < T < \infty$. From [26, Theorem 2.1 in Chapter III] it follows readily that there are a function u and a subsequence of u_k , denoted again u_k , satisfying

$$u_k \xrightarrow{w^*} u \text{ in } L^{\infty}(0, \infty; J^2),$$

$$u_k \xrightarrow{w} u \text{ in } L^2(0, \infty; \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,2}),$$

$$u_k \rightarrow u \text{ strongly in } L^2_{\text{loc}}(G \times (0, \infty)).$$

As in [21], we can check that the limit u is a weak solution of (1.1). The proof is complete.

3. Decay estimates. In this section, we let t > 0, 1 < r < 3/2 < p < 2, and w be a solution of (0.1) such that $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,r} \cap \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p}$, and set

$$Lu = Au + P(u \cdot D)w + P(w \cdot D)u,$$

$$B^*u = -p(w \cdot D)u + P\sum_{i=1}^n u^i Dw^i,$$

$$L^*u = Au + B^*u.$$

Thus, we see that

$$(Lu, v) = (u, L^*v) \text{ for } u, v \in W^{1,2}_{\sigma} \cap W^{2,2},$$

and the linearized equation of (1.1) can be stated in the form

 $(d/dt)v + Lv = 0, \quad v(0) = u.$

Denote by $e^{-tL}u$ the solution of the preceding equation. It is the purpose of this section to prove the following.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Suppose that $||Dw||_r + ||Dw||_p$ is sufficiently small. Then there holds

(3.1) $||e^{-tL}Pu||_2 \le Ct^{-3/4}||u||_1$ for $u \in L^1 \cap L^{6/5}$.

The preceding proposition is based on the following decay estimates.

(3.2) $||e^{-tA}u||_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-1/4}||u||_{6}$ for $u \in J^{6}$,

$$(3.3) \quad \|e^{-tA}u\|_s \le Ct^{-(3/q-3/s)/2}\|u\|_q \quad \text{for } 1 < q \le s < \infty, \ u \in J^q,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|De^{-tA}u\|_s \le Ct^{-(1+3/q-3/s)/2} \|u\|_q \quad \text{for } 1 < q \le s \le 3, \ u \in J^q.$$

The estimates (3.3) and (3.4) were recently obtained by Iwashita (cf. [17, Theorems 1.2, 1.3]). (3.2) will be proved in the Appendix by using the argument of [17].

With the use of (3.2)-(3.4), we can now prove the following.

LEMMA 3.1. Let
$$u \in C_{\sigma}^{\infty}$$
. Then there hold
(3.5) $\|e^{-tA}u\|_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2}$,

(3.6)
$$\|e^{-tA}B^*u\|_{\infty} + \|De^{-tA}B^*u\|_{3}$$

 $\leq Ct^{-3/2p}(t+1)^{-(3/r-3/p)/2}(\|u\|_{\infty} + \|Du\|_{3})(\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p}).$

Proof. From (3.2), (3.3), (2.2) and the semigroup property of e^{-tA} we get (3.5) and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-tA}B^*u\|_{\infty} &\leq Ct^{-3/2b}\|B^*u\|_b \\ &\leq Ct^{-3/2b}\|Dw\|_b(\|u\|_{\infty} + \|Du\|_3) \end{aligned}$$

for b = r, p. Moreover (3.4) and (2.2) yield

 $||De^{-tA}B^*u||_3 \le Ct^{-3/2b}||Dw||_b(||u||_{\infty} + ||Du||_3)$ for b = r, p. Collecting terms, we get readily (3.6) and complete the proof.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Setting $v(t) = e^{-tL^*}u$ with $u \in C_{\sigma}^{\infty}$, we have obviously that $v \in C([0, \infty); L^{\infty} \cap W_{\sigma}^{1,3})$ and

$$v(t) = e^{-tA}u + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} B^* v(s) \, ds.$$

This gives, by (3.4)-(3.6),

$$\|v(t)\|_{\infty} + \|Dv(t)\|_{3}$$

$$\leq Ct^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2} + C\int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-3/2p}(t-s+1)^{-(3/r-3/p)/2} \times (\|v\|_{\infty} + \|Dv\|_{3}) \, ds(\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p}).$$
Setting $\||v\|\|_{t} = \sup_{0 < s < t} s^{3/4}(\|v(s)\|_{\infty} + \|Dv(s)\|_{3})$, we have
 $\|v(t)\|_{\infty} + \|Dv(t)\|_{3}$

$$\leq Ct^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2} + C(\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p})|||v|||_{t} \times \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{-3/2p}(t-s+1)^{-(3/r-3/p)/2}s^{-3/4} \, ds$$

$$\leq Ct^{-3/4}\|u\|_{2} + Ct^{-3/4}(\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p})|||v|||_{t} \times \int_{0}^{t} s^{-3/2p}(s+1)^{-(3/r-3/p)/2} \, ds$$

$$+ Ct^{1/4-3/2p}(t+1)^{-(3/r-3/p)/2}(\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p})|||v|||_{t}$$

$$\leq Ct^{-3/4}(\|u\|_{2} + (\|Dw\|_{r} + \|Dw\|_{p})||v|||_{t}),$$

where we have used the condition r < 3/2 < p. Hence, if we presuppose that

(3.7)
$$C(\|Dw\|_r + \|Dw\|_p) < 1/2$$

with the constant C given in the last term above, we obtain

(3.8) $||e^{-tL^*}u||_{\infty} \leq Ct^{-3/4}||u||_2.$

Now we take $u \in L^1 \cap L^{6/5}$ and $v \in L^2$. By (3.8) we have

 $(e^{-tL}Pu, v) = (u, e^{-tL^*}Pv) \le ||u||_1 ||e^{-tL^*}Pv||_{\infty} \le Ct^{-3/4} ||u||_1 ||v||_2$ and therefore the validity of (3.1). The proof is complete.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.1. In this section we always suppose that the stationary solution $w \in \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,r} \cap \widehat{W}_{\sigma}^{1,p}$ with 1 < r < 3/2 < p < 2 such that (3.7) holds. Let u be a weak solution of (1.1). Then (1.2) implies

(4.1)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le t^{-1} \int_0^t ||u(s)||_2 ds$$

On the other hand, taking $v \in C^{\infty}_{\sigma}$ and applying (1.3) with $g(z) = e^{-(t-z)L^*}v$, we have

$$(u(t), v) + \int_0^t (Lu(s), e^{-(t-s)L^*}v) \, ds - \int_0^t (u(s), L^*e^{-(t-s)L^*}v) \, ds$$

= $(a, e^{-tL^*}v) - \int_0^t ((u \cdot D)u, e^{-(t-s)L^*}v) \, ds$,

that is,

$$\begin{aligned} (u(t), v) &= (e^{-tL}a, v) - \int_0^t (e^{-(t-s)L}P(u \cdot D)u(s), v) \, ds \\ &\leq \|e^{-tL}a\|_2 \|v\|_2 + \int_0^t \|e^{-(t-s)L}P(u \cdot D)u(s)\|_2 \, ds \|v\|_2 \\ &\leq C \|v\|_2 \left(t^{-3/4} \|a\|_1 + \int_0^t (t-s)^{-3/4} \|u(s)\|_2 \|Du(s)\|_2 \, ds\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (3.1). We then get

$$||u(s)||_2 \le Cs^{-3/4} ||a||_1 + C \int_0^s (s-z)^{-3/4} ||u(z)||_2 ||Du(z)||_2 dz.$$

Integrating the above inequality from 0 to t, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2 \, ds &\leq Ct^{1/4} \|a\|_1 + C \int_0^t dz \int_z^t (s-z)^{-3/4} \|u(z)\|_2 \|Du(z)\|_2 \, ds \\ &\leq Ct^{1/4} \|a\|_1 + Ct^{1/4} \int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2 \|Du(s)\|_2 \, ds \\ &\leq Ct^{1/4} \|a\|_1 + Ct^{1/4} \|a\|_2 \left(\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2^2 \, ds\right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{by (1.2).} \end{split}$$

Combining this with (4.1), we have

$$||u(t)||_2 \le Ct^{-3/4} ||a||_1 + Ct^{-3/4} ||a||_2 \left(\int_0^t ||u(s)||_2^2 ds\right)^{1/2},$$

that is,

(4.2)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1 t^{-3/4} \left(1 + \left(\int_0^t ||u(s)||_2^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

where and in what follows $C_1 = C_1(||a||_1, ||a||_2)$ may vary from line to line.

Now we apply (4.2) and (1.2) to complete our proof via a boot strap iteration argument.

Note that

(4.3)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1$$
, by (1.2),

and

(4.4)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1 t^{-3/4} (1 + t^{1/2})$$
, by (4.2) and (4.3).

Combining (4.4) with (4.3), we have

(4.5)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1 t^{-1/4}.$$

Moreover, taking (4.2) and (4.5) into account, we have

 $||u(t)||_2 \le C_1 t^{-3/4} (1 + t^{1/4}).$

This together with (4.3) implies

(4.6)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1(t+1)^{-1/2}$$

Similarly, (4.2) and (4.6) yield

$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1 t^{-3/4} (1 + \ln(t+1)),$$

and so, by (4.3),

(4.7)
$$||u(t)||_2 \le C_1(t+1)^{-2/3}$$

Finally, by (4.2) and (4.7), we arrive at the desired estimate

 $\|u(t)\|_2 \le C_1 t^{-3/4}$

and complete the proof.

REMARK 4.1. It should be noted that the validity of the assumption of Lemma 1.2 follows from the inequality $||Dw||_{3/2} \le ||Dw||_r + ||Dw||_p$ and (2.7).

Appendix: Proof of (3.2). Let Q be a domain of R^3 . By $\|\cdot\|_{k,p,Q}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{p,Q}$ we denote respectively the norms of the Sobolev space $W^{k,p}(Q;R^3)$ and the Lebesgue space $L^p(Q;R^3)$. Of course, $\|\cdot\|_{k,p}$ $= \|\cdot\|_{k,p,G}$ and $\|\cdot\|_p = \|\cdot\|_{p,G}$. \overline{P} is the bounded projection from $L^p(R^3; R^3)$ onto $J^p(R^3; R^3)$, where $J^p(R^3; R^3)$ denotes the completion of the set of compactly supported solenoidal in $C^{\infty}(R^3; R^3)$. Let h be a constant such that |x| < h - 1 for $x \in \partial G$, and let $g \in C^{\infty}(R^3; R)$ be a fixed function such that g = 1 for |x| > h and g = 0 for |x| < h - 1. Moreover we set $G_h = \{x \in G; |x| < h\}$.

In arriving at (3.2), we need the following lemmas.

LEMMA A.1. Let 1 , <math>t > 0, $v \in L^{p}(R^{3}; R^{3}) \cap L^{q}(R^{3}; R^{3})$, n > 1, and $u \in J^{6}$. Then we have (A.1) $\|e^{-t\overline{A}}v\|_{\infty,R^{3}} \le Ct^{-3/2q}(t+1)^{-(3/p-3/q)/2}(\|v\|_{p,R^{3}} + \|v\|_{q,R^{3}})$, (A.3) $\|e^{-tA}u\|_{2n,6} \le C(t^{-n}+1)\|u\|_{6}$.

(A.1) is deduced immediately by an elementary calculation. (A.2) is a consequence of L^p -estimates (cf. [25]) and the Sobolev embedding theorem. One can also refer to [17] for details.

LEMMA A.2 ([17, Lemmas 5.3, 5.4] and (A.2)). Let t > 0, $v \in J^6$, and P^* be a certain pressure such that $p^* = Ae^{-(t+1)A}v + \Delta e^{-(t+1)A}v$. Then

$$\|e^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{2,6,G_h} + \|Ae^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{2,6,G_h} + \|p^*(t)\|_{3,6,G_h} \le Ct^{-1/4}\|v\|_{6}.$$

LEMMA A.3 ([17, (5.18)] and (A.2)). Let $v \in J^6$, and t > 0. Then there is a function v^* such that

$$D \cdot v^* = D \cdot (ge^{-(t+1)A}v),$$

$$\sup v^*(t) \subset \{x \in R^3; h-1 < |x| < h\},$$

$$\|v^*(t)\|_{2,6} + \|(\partial/\partial t)v^*(t)\|_6 \le C(t+1)^{-1/4} \|v\|_6.$$

LEMMA A.4. Let t > 0, v and v^* be given in Lemma A.3. Then we have

$$\|ge^{-(t+1)A}v - v^*(t)\|_{\infty} \le C(t+1)^{-1/4} \|v\|_6.$$

Proof. Set $u(t) = ge^{-(t+1)A}v - v^*(t)$, $u_0 = u(0)$, and

$$F(t) = p^*(t)Dg - 2(Dg \cdot D)e^{-(t+1)A}v - (\Delta g)e^{-(t+1)A}v + \Delta v^*(t) - (\partial/\partial t)v^*(t),$$

where p^* is given in Lemma A.2. By Lemmas A.2, A.3 we have that the support of F(t) is contained in $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3; h-1 < |x| < h\}$, and

(A.3)
$$(t+1)^{1/4} \|F(t)\|_6 + \|u_0\|_{1,6} \le C \|v\|_6,$$
$$u_t - \Delta u + D(gp^*) = F, \quad D \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } R^3 \times (0,\infty).$$

We thus rewrite u in the integral form

(A.4)
$$u(t) = e^{-t\overline{A}}u_0 + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\overline{A}}\overline{P}F(s)\,ds.$$

From (A.1), (A.3), and Sobolev's embedding theorem it follows that

$$\|e^{-t\overline{A}}u_0\|_{\infty,R^3} \le C(t+1)^{-1/4}(\|u_0\|_{\infty}+\|u_0\|_6) \le Ct^{-1/4}\|v\|_6,$$

$$\begin{split} \left\| \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)\overline{A}} \overline{P}F(s) \, ds \right\|_{\infty, \mathbb{R}^3} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} (t-s+1)^{-3/4} (\|F(s)\|_{3, G_h} + \|F(s)\|_{6/5, G_h}) \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} (t-s+1)^{-3/4} \|F(s)\|_6 \, ds \\ &\leq C \|v\|_6 \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} (t-s+1)^{-3/4} (s+1)^{-1/4} \, ds \\ &\leq C (t+1)^{-1/4} \|v\|_6. \end{split}$$

Taking (A.4) into account, we have the desired estimate and complete the proof.

Proof of (3.2). Let $v \in J^6$. By Lemmas A.1, A.2, A.3, Sobolev inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (cf. [10]), we have

$$\begin{split} \|e^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{\infty} &\leq \|ge^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{\infty} + \|e^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{\infty,G_{h}} \\ &\leq \|ge^{-(t+1)A}v - v^{*}(t)\|_{\infty} + C\|v^{*}(t)\|_{1,6} \\ &+ C\|e^{-(t+1)A}v\|_{1,6,G_{h}} \\ &\leq C(t+1)^{-1/4}\|v\|_{6} \quad \text{for } t > 0 \,, \\ \|e^{-tA}v\|_{\infty} &\leq C\|e^{-tA}v\|_{6}^{3/4}\|e^{-tA}v\|_{2,6}^{1/4} \\ &\leq C(t^{-1}+1)^{1/4}\|v\|_{6} \leq Ct^{-1/4}\|v\|_{6} \end{split}$$

for 1 > t > 0. The proof is complete.

The author would like to thank T. Miyakawa for sending [2, 3, 4]. He would also like to thank the referee for his valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Amann, Dual semigroups and second order linear elliptic boundary value problems, Israel J. Math., 45 (1983), 225–254.
- [2] W. Borchers and T. Miyakawa, Algebraic L^2 decay for Navier-Stokes flows in exterior domains, Acta Math., 165 (1990), 189–227.
- [3] ____, Algebraic L^2 decay for Navier-Stokes flows in exterior domains II, Hiroshima Math. J., to appear.
- [4] $_$, L^2 decay for Navier-Stokes flows in unbounded domains, with application to exterior stationary flows, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **118** (1992), 273–295.
- [5] W. Borchers and H. Sohr, On the semigroup of the Stokes operator for exterior domains in L^q-spaces, Math. Z., 196 (1987), 415-425.

- [6] L. Caffarelli, R. Kohn and L. Nirenberg, Partial regularity of suitable weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 35 (1982), 771-831.
- [7] Z.-M. Chen, L^n solutions of the stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations in \mathbb{R}^n , Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [8] R. Finn, On the exterior stationary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, and associated perturbation problems, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 19 (1965), 363-406.
- [9] _____, Mathematical questions relating to viscous fluid flow in an exterior domain, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 3 (1973), 107–140.
- [10] A. Friedman, Partial Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
- [11] G. P. Galdi and S. Rionero, Weighted Energy Methods in Fluid Dynamics and Elasticity, Lecture Notes in Math., 1134, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1985.
- [12] G. Galdi and C. G. Simader, Existence, uniqueness and L^q-estimates for the Stokes problem in an exterior domain, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 112 (1990), 291-318.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second* Order, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1983.
- [14] J. G. Heywood, On stationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations as limits of nonstationary solutions, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 37 (1970), 48-60.
- [15] ____, The exterior nonstationary problem for the Navier-Stokes equations, Acta Math., **129** (1972), 11–34.
- [16] ____, The Navier-Stokes equations: on the existence, regularity and decay of solutions, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 29 (1980), 639-681.
- [17] H. Iwashita, $L_q L_r$ estimates for solutions of the nonstationary Stokes equations in an exterior domain and the Navier-Stokes initial value problem in L_q spaces, Math. Ann., **285** (1989), 265–288.
- [18] H. Kozono and H. Sohr, New a priori estimates for the Stokes equations in exterior domains, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 40 (1991), 1–27.
- [19] J. Leray, Sur le mouvement d'un liquide visqueux emplissant l'espace, Acta Math., 63 (1934), 193-248.
- [20] K. Masuda, On the stability of incompressible viscous fluid motions past objects, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 27 (1975), 294-327.
- [21] ____, Weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain, Tohoku Math. J., **36** (1984), 623–646.
- [22] T. Miyakawa, On nonstationary solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in an exterior domain, Hiroshima Math. J., 12 (1982), 115–140.
- [23] T. Miyakawa and H. Sohr, On energy inequality, smoothness and large time behavior in L^2 for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains, Math. Z., 199 (1988), 455–478.
- [24] M. E. Schonbek, L^2 decay for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 88 (1985), 209-222.
- [25] V. A. Solonnikov, Estimates for solutions of nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations, J. Soviet Math., 8 (1977), 467–529.
- [26] R. Teman, Navier-Stokes Equations, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.

Received October 7, 1991 and in revised form March 13, 1992.

Tianjin University Tianjin 300072 People's Republic of China

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) F. WOLF (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN (Managing Editor) University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555 vsv@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST University of California Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555 christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS University of Utah Salt Lake City, UT 84112 clemens@math.utah.edu THOMAS ENRIGHT University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093 tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI University of Arizona Tucson, AZ 85721 ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 vfr@math.berkeley.edu STEVEN KERCKHOFF Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106 mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK University of California, San Diego La Jolla, CA 92093

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Speckart, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$200.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$100.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-TEX,

the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system.

Copyright (c) 1993 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 159 No. 2 June 1993

L^p -integrability of the second order derivatives of Green potentials in convex domains	201
VILHELM ADOLFSSON	
Solutions of the stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations in exterior domains	227
Zhi Min Chen	
Mesures de Patterson-Sullivan sur le bord d'un espace hyperbolique au sens de Gromov	241
MICHEL COORNAERT	
Differential-difference operators and monodromy representations of Hecke algebras	271
Charles F. Dunkl	
Between the unitary and similarity orbits of normal operators PAUL GUINAND and LAURENT WALSH MARCOUX	299
Skeins and handlebodies W. B. RAYMOND LICKORISH	337
The Plancherel formula for homogeneous spaces with polynomial spectrum	351
Ronald Leslie Lipsman	
On the uniform approximation problem for the square of the Cauchy-Riemann operator	379
JOAN MANUEL VERDERA MELENCHÓN	