Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NONEXISTENCE RESULT FOR THE *n*-LAPLACIAN

TILAK BHATTACHARYA

Volume 160 No. 1 September 1993

A NONEXISTENCE RESULT FOR THE n-LAPLACIAN

TILAK BHATTACHARYA

Let P be a point in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$; then the problem $\text{div}(|\nabla u|^{n-2}\nabla u)$ $= e^u$ with $u \in W^{1,n}_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}$ has no subsolutions in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \{P\}$.

Introduction. Let $P = P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ be a point in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \ge 2$, and $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{P\}$. Without any loss of generality we will take P to be the origin. Consider the problem

(1.1)
$$
\begin{cases} L_p u = e^u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \in W^{1,p}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega); \qquad p > 1. \end{cases}
$$

Here $L_p u \equiv \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u)$ is the p-Laplacian with $1 < p < \infty$. By a subsolution u of (1.1) we will mean that $u \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$, and

$$
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u, \ \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} e^u \psi \le 0, \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \text{ and } \psi \ge 0.
$$

It is known that for $1 < p < n$, (1.1) has no subsolutions in the exterior of a compact set [AW]. However, for $p = n$ there exist radial subsolutions for large values of $|x|$. We show that (1.1) has no subsolutions in Ω , thus extending the results of [AW], namely

THEOREM 1. The following problem

 $L_n u = e^u$ in Ω , $n \ge 2$,

has no subsolutions in $W^{1,n}_{loc}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$.

The proof of Theorem 1 will be a consequence of a comparison principle and nonexistence of global radial solutions. The proof is presented in §4.

2. Preliminary results.

LEMMA 2.1. Consider

$$
C(x) = \frac{(1+x)^{1/n}}{1+x^{1/n}} \quad \text{in } 0 \le x \le 1 \, .
$$

Then $C(x)$ is decreasing on [0, 1].

Proof. Elementary computations show that

$$
\frac{dC}{dx} = \frac{(1+x)^{1/n}(1-x^{(1-n)/n})}{n(1+x^{1/n})^2(1+x)} \le 0
$$

in $0 \le x \le 1$. Furthermore, $C(0) = 1$ and $C(1) = 2^{1-n/n}$, and $C(x) \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. \Box

We now state an elementary inequality that is easy to prove

(2.1)
$$
x^n - b^n \ge (x - b)^n
$$
, for $x \ge b \ge 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose $u(r) \in C^1$ satisfies the following differential inequality in (a, R) ,

$$
\dot{u} \ge A \left(e^{u/n} + \frac{B-b}{R-r} \right) ,
$$

where *u* represents differentiation with respect to r, $0 < A < 1$, $0 <$ $b < 1$, $0 < a < R$ and $B \geq \frac{n}{4} + b$. Then there is an \bar{r} in (a, R) such that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \overline{r}$.

Proof. Setting $v = e^{-u/n}$, we obtain that

$$
\dot{v}+\frac{c}{R-r}v\leq -\frac{A}{n}, \quad a
$$

where $c = \frac{A(B-b)}{n}$. Using the integrating factor $\phi(r) = (\frac{1}{R-r})^c$ and setting $Z = v(r)\phi(r) - v(a)\phi(a)$, we obtain

$$
Z \le \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left(-\frac{A}{n}\right) \ln \frac{R-a}{R-r}; & c=1, \\ \left(-\frac{A}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{c-1}\right) \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{R-r}\right)^{c-1} - \left(\frac{1}{R-a}\right)^{c-1} \right\}; & c>1. \end{array} \right.
$$

It is clear that for each $c \ge 1$, there is an $\overline{r} \in (a, R)$ such that $v(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \overline{r}$, and hence $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \overline{r}$. \Box

We present a comparison lemma; please refer to [AW] for its proof.

LEMMA 2.3. In a region $(\Omega) \subseteq R^n$, $n \ge 2$, suppose $u, v \in W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega)$ $\cap L^{\infty}_{loc}(\Omega)$, and $(u-v)^{+} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. If g is a nondecreasing function and

$$
L_p u \ge g(u) \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega),
$$

$$
L_p v \le g(v) \quad \text{in } D'(\Omega),
$$

then $u \leq v$ a.e. in (Ω) .

3. Nonexistence of radial subsolutions. Consider the following problem

(3.1)
$$
(n-1)|\dot{u}|^{n-2} \left(\ddot{u} + \frac{\dot{u}}{r}\right) = e^u, \qquad 0 < r < \infty,
$$

 $u(R) = a, \text{ and } \dot{u}(R) = b; \qquad a, b \in R.$

LEMMA 3.1. For the problem in (3.1), there exists a C^1 radial solution $u(r)$ such that at least one of the following occurs.

(i) There is an \bar{r} in (0, R) such that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \bar{r}$.

(ii) There is an \bar{r} in (R, ∞) such that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \bar{r}$.

Furthermore, there are values of b for which both (i) and (ii) occur.

Proof. We divide the proof into three parts.

Case 1. Take $b = 0$. Let $u(r)$ be the solution defined by

(3.2)
$$
u(r) = a + \int_{R}^{r} \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \int_{R}^{t} s^{n-1} e^{u(s)} ds \right\}^{1/(n-1)} dt,
$$

in $r > R$. The existence and uniqueness in a small interval follows from Picard's iteration. It can be shown by differentiating that u solves (3.1) . From (3.2) it is clear that *ru* is increasing and thus $u \ge 0$ in (R, r) , and hence u is increasing. Continue u by (3.2). By differentiating (3.2) once,

$$
\dot{u}(r) = \frac{1}{r} \left\{ \int_{R}^{r} s^{n-1} e^{u(s)} \, ds \right\}^{1/(n-1)}
$$

Thus.

$$
\frac{d}{dr}\left\{\frac{(\dot{u})^{n-1}}{r}\right\} = \frac{r^n e^{u(r)} - n \int_R^r s^{n-1} e^{u(s)} ds}{r^{n+1}}
$$

$$
\geq \frac{r^n e^{u(r)} - e^{u(r)} (r^n - R^n)}{r^{n+1}} \geq 0.
$$

By simplifying the left side of the foregoing inequality,

$$
(n-1)\ddot{u}\geq \frac{\dot{u}}{r}.
$$

Note that u is C^2 except possibly where $\dot{u} = 0$. Noting that $\dot{u} \ge 0$, (3.1) yields

$$
n(n-1)(\dot{u})^{n-1}\ddot{u}\geq e^u\,,\qquad R
$$

Multiplying both sides by \dot{u} and integrating once from R to r,

(3.3)
$$
(u)^n \ge \frac{e^u - e^a}{n-1}.
$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, small enough, it follows from (3.2) and the fact that u is increasing that

$$
u(r) > a + \int_{R+\varepsilon}^r \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \int_R^{R+\varepsilon} s^{n-1} e^{u(s)} ds \right\}^{1/(n-1)} dt.
$$

Hence for some appropriate constant $A > 0$,

$$
u(r) > a + A \ln \frac{r}{R + \varepsilon}
$$

implying that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as r gets large. Thus in (3.3) we may take $r > R_1$, where R_1 is large enough so that $e^u/2 \le e^u - e^a$ for $r > R_1$. If $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow R_1$, then we are done. Otherwise, continue u using (3.2) past $r = R_1$. Hence

$$
\dot{u} \ge Ce^{u/n}, \quad \text{in } r > R_1,
$$

for some $C > 0$. Integrating,

$$
\int_{u(R_1)}^{u(r)} e^{-u/n} du \geq C(r-R_1).
$$

It is clear that there exists an $\bar{r} > R$, such that $u(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \bar{r}$. The case $b > 0$ follows similarly.

Case 2. Without any loss of generality, take $a = 0$. Take $b < 0$. Now $\dot{u}(r) < 0$ near $r = R$, so we obtain that $\dot{u}(r)$ satisfies

(3.4)
$$
\dot{u}(r) = -\frac{1}{r} \left\{ |bR|^{n-1} - \int_{R}^{r} t^{n-1} e^{u(t)} dt \right\}^{1/(n-1)},
$$

in $r > R$. We show that there is $\bar{b} < 0$ such that if $\bar{b} < b < 0$, there is an $\hat{r} > R$ such that $\dot{u}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \hat{r}$. It follows from (3.4) that $ri\mu$ is increasing and thus

$$
\frac{bR}{r} \le \dot{u} \le 0, \quad \text{for } r > R.
$$

Set $c = bR$. Integrating, we find

$$
e^u\geq r^c\,,
$$

and so (3.4) yields

$$
\dot{u}(r) \ge -\frac{1}{r} \left\{ |c|^{n-1} - \int_{R}^{r} t^{n-1+c} dt \right\}^{1/(n-1)}
$$

Therefore.

$$
\dot{u}(r) \ge \begin{cases}\n-\frac{1}{r} \left\{ |c|^{n-1} - \frac{r^{n+c} - R^{n+c}}{n+c} \right\}^{1/(n-1)}; & -n < c < 0, \\
-\frac{1}{r} \left\{ |c|^{n-1} - \ln \frac{r}{R} \right\}^{1/(n-1)}; & c = -n.\n\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that there is an $\hat{r} > R$ for which $\dot{u}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \hat{r}$. Now, take $c < -n$, satisfying

(3.5)
$$
|c|^{n-1} - \frac{1}{|c| - n} \left(\frac{1}{R}\right)^{|c| - n} < n^{n-1}.
$$

Now, (3.4) yields

$$
\dot{u}(r) \geq -\frac{1}{r} \left[|c|^{n-1} - \frac{1}{|c| - n} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{R} \right)^{|c| - n} - \left(\frac{1}{r} \right)^{|c| - n} \right\} \right]^{1/(n-1)}
$$

Using (3.5), there is an \tilde{r} such that $\dot{u}(r) \geq -\frac{n}{r}$ for $r > \tilde{r}$. If $\dot{u}(r) \to 0$ as $r \rightarrow \tilde{r}$, then we are done. Otherwise, continue u past $r = \tilde{r}$. Repeating the arguments preceding (3.5), we see that $\dot{u}(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \hat{r}$ for some $\hat{r} > R$. Continuing u past $r = \hat{r}$ using

$$
u(r) = u(\hat{r}) + \int_{\hat{r}}^r \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \int_{\hat{r}}^t s^{n-1} e^{u(s)} ds \right\}^{1/(n-1)} dt,
$$

we may show, as in Case 1, that there is an $\bar{r} > R$ where u blows up.

Case 3. We may again take $a = 0$. Let $c < -n$, $t = R - r$, and $v(t) = u(r)$, where $0 < r \le R$. Then $\dot{v}(t) = -\dot{u}(r)$, where \dot{v} represents differentiation with respect to t . Then

(3.6)
$$
(n-1)|\dot{v}|^{n-2}\left(\dot{v}-\frac{\dot{v}}{R-t}\right)=e^v, \qquad 0 \le t < R,
$$

$$
v(0)=0 \text{ and } \dot{v}(0)=-b.
$$

A solution of (3.6) is given by

$$
v(t)=\int_0^t\frac{1}{R-s}\left\{|c|^{n-1}+\int_0^s(R-w)^{n-1}e^{v(w)}\,dw\right\}^{1/(n-1)}\,ds\,.
$$

Equation (3.6) yields that $\frac{d}{dt}$ { $(R - t)\dot{v}$ } ≥ 0 , thus $\dot{v} \geq 0$ in $t > 0$. Integrating this inequality from 0 to t , we obtain

$$
\dot{v}(t) \geq \frac{|c|}{(R-t)}.
$$

 \bullet

Hence,

$$
(3.7) \t e^{v(t)} \ge \left(\frac{1}{R-t}\right)^{|c|}.
$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1$ be such that

$$
|c| \ge n \left\{ \frac{1 + \varepsilon^{1/n}}{(1 + \varepsilon)^{1/n}} \right\} + \varepsilon
$$

for every ε in $(0, \varepsilon_0)$. It follows from (3.7) that there is a $t_1 < R$ such that

$$
\left(\frac{|c|}{R-t}\right)^n e^{-v(t)} < \varepsilon_0,
$$

for $t > t_1$. If $v(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_1$, then we are done; otherwise continue $v(t)$ past $t = t_1$. Furthermore, we may take t_1 such that $R - t_1 < \varepsilon_0$. Rearranging the terms in (3.6), and multiplying by $\dot{v}(t)$ yields

$$
(n-1)(\dot{v})^{n-1}\ddot{v}=e^v\dot{v}+\frac{n-1}{R-t}(\dot{v})^n\,,\qquad 0\leq t < R
$$

Integrating both sides from 0 to t, and noting that $\dot{v} \ge \frac{|c|}{R-t}$, we find

$$
(\dot{v})^n \ge e^v - 1 + \left(\frac{|c|}{R-t}\right)^n, \qquad 0 \le t < R.
$$

By the definition of t_1 , it follows that

$$
(\dot{v})^n \ge e^v + \left(\frac{|c| - \varepsilon_0}{R - t}\right)^n, \qquad t_1 < t < R.
$$

Setting

$$
x = \left(\frac{|c| - \varepsilon_0}{R - t}\right)^n e^{-v},
$$

the above may be rewritten as

$$
(v)^n \ge e^v\{1+x\}.
$$

Hence,

$$
\dot{v} \geq e^{v/n} \{1+x\}^{1/n}.
$$

Using Lemma 2.1 and the definition of t_1 ,

$$
\dot{v} \geq C(\varepsilon_0)e^{v/n}\left\{1+x^{1/n}\right\}.
$$

Thus we obtain

$$
\dot{v} \geq C(\varepsilon_0) \left\{ e^{v/n} + \frac{|c| - \varepsilon_0}{R - t} \right\}, \qquad t_1 < t < R.
$$

By Lemma 2.2, there is a $t_2 > t_1$ such that $v(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow t_2$. Hence there is an $\bar{r} \in (0, R)$ for which $u(r) \to \infty$ as $r \to \bar{r}$. Thus for every $c < -n$, we have a vertical asymptote in $(0, R)$. It is clear from (3.5) that there are values of b for which both (i) and (ii) happen. Call one such value to be b_R .

For the case $a \neq 0$, we introduce the following change of variables. Let $v(r) = u(r) - a$; then

$$
(n-1)|\dot{v}|^{n-2}\left(\ddot{v}+\frac{n-1}{r}\dot{v}\right)=e^a e^v
$$

Setting $t = re^{a/n}$, and $w(t) = v(r)$, and differentiating with respect to t , we have

$$
(n-1)|\dot{w}|^{n-2}\left(\ddot{w}+\frac{n-1}{t}\dot{w}\right)=e^w,
$$

$$
w(\overline{R})=0 \text{ and } \dot{w}(\overline{R})=e^{-a/n}b,
$$

where $\overline{R} = e^{a/n}R$. There is a $b_{\overline{R}}$ so that the corresponding solution which we continue to call $w(t)$, blows up near zero and at a point past \overline{R} . Then $u(t) = a + w(e^{-a/n}t)$ is such a solution for the original problem. Π

4. Proof of Theorem 1. This follows easily from Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume to the contrary. Let $U(x)$ be such a subsolution in (1.2) . Let

$$
a=\inf_{1/2\leq |x|\leq 3/2}U(x).
$$

By Lemma 3.1, there is a radial solution $u(r)$ such that $u(1) = a - 1$, and $u(r)$ blows up at some $r \in (0, 1)$ and $\overline{r} \in (1, \infty)$. Let

$$
M=\sup_{r\leq |x|\leq \overline{r}} U(x)\,,
$$

 $\underline{r} \in (\underline{r}, 1)$ and $\overline{\overline{r}} \in (1, \overline{r})$ be such that $u(\underline{r})$, $u(\overline{\overline{r}}) \geq M + 1$. Using Lemma 2.3, $u(x) \ge U(x)$ in $\underline{r} \le |x| \le \overline{r}$, a contradiction. \Box

REMARK. In Theorem 1, $1 < p \le n$ is the best possible. For $p > n$, take $u = \ln(\frac{A}{r})$, where $0 < A \leq (p - n)p^{p-1}$. Then

$$
L_p u = \frac{(p-n)p^{p-1}}{r^p} \ge \frac{A}{r^p}
$$

TILAK BHATTACHARYA

REFERENCES

- $[AD]$ R. A. Adams, Sobolev Spaces, Academic Press, New York, (1975).
- $[AW]$ P. Aviles and A. Weitsman, On the singularities of certain non-linear partial differential equations, Ann. Acad. Sc. Fenn. 7 series A. I. Mathematics, (1982), 147-152.
- T. Bhattacharya, Radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction of the p- $[BH1]$ Laplacian in the ball, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 104 no. 1 (1988).
- $\frac{1}{1}$, Some results concerning the eigenvalue problem for the p-Laplacian, $[BH2]$ to appear in Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn.
- $[S]$ J. Serrin, Local behaviour of solutions of quasilinear equations, Acta Math., 111 (1964), 247-302.
- $[VA]$ J. L. Vazquez, An apriori interior estimate for the solutions of a nonlinear problem representing weak diffusions, Nonlinear Anal., 5 (1981), 95–103.
- [VAVE] J. L. Vazquez and L. Veron, Removable singularities of some strongly nonlinear elliptic equations, Manuscripta Math., 33 (1980), 129-144.
- $[VE1]$ L. Veron, Global behaviour and symmetry properties of singular solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, Annales Fac. Sci. Toulous, 6 (1984), 1-31.

Received January 22, 1990.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE NEW DELHI-110 016 INDIA

CONTENTS

Vol. 160, No. 1

September 1993

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 160 No. 1 September 1993

EDWIN SPANIER