Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MLUR RENORMINGS OF BANACH SPACES

PATRICK DOWLING, ZHIBAO HU AND MARK ANDREW SMITH

Volume 170 No. 2

October 1995

MLUR RENORMINGS OF BANACH SPACES

PATRICK N. DOWLING, ZHIBAO HU AND MARK A. SMITH

A specific construction is given on a Banach space X with a 1-unconditional basis which yields an equivalent norm on X that is midpoint locally uniformly rotund. This construction, when applied to ℓ^2 , confirms a suspicion of K.W. Anderson and answers a question of R.E. Megginson; when applied to an example of M. Talagrand, it improves an example of P-K. Lin.

Introduction.

In 1960, K.W. Anderson [A] systematically studied the geometrical notion of midpoint local uniform rotundity in Banach spaces (definitions are given in the next section), a notion which had been known earlier to G. Lumer and M.M. Day. His motivation for such a study was to find the correct rotundity notion in a reflexive Banach space X that would be in duality to the smoothness notion of Fréchet differentiability of the norm in the conjugate space X^* . Although this hoped-for duality did not materialize, Anderson did discover that the combination of strict convexity and the Kadec-Klee property in reflexive Banach spaces is in full duality with Fréchet differentiability of the conjugate norm; he also showed that this combination in a reflexive Banach space implies midpoint local uniform rotundity. Anderson strongly suspected that the last mentioned implication could not be reversed (that is, that, in reflexive Banach spaces, midpoint local uniform rotundity is not equivalent to the combination of strict convexity and the Kadec-Klee property). However, he was unable to provide an example; in fact, he left open the question of whether midpoint local uniform rotundity implies the Kadec-Klee property in any Banach space.

In 1981, M.A. Smith [S] gave an example of a midpoint locally uniformly rotund Banach space that fails to have the Kadec-Klee property, which thus answered Anderson's question in the negative. But the example that Smith gave is a renorming of c_0 (and hence a nonreflexive space), thereby leaving Anderson's suspicion still unconfirmed.

In 1983, R.E. Megginson $[\mathbf{M}]$, during his investigation of the semi-Kadec-Klee condition and nearest-point properties in Banach spaces, posed the question: Are the reflexive midpoint locally uniformly rotund spaces exactly the strongly rotund Banach spaces? This question, as Megginson points out, is equivalent to Anderson's question of whether reflexive midpoint locally uniformly rotund spaces have the Kadec-Klee property. Megginson gave a third equivalent formulation of this question in terms of distance minimizing sequences in a closed ball for a point, a formulation that led him to join Anderson in the strong suspicion that the answer must be negative; but still no example was known.

Backtracking chronologically, in 1980, M.A. Smith and B. Turett [S-T], during their examination of which generalizations of uniform rotundity lift from a Banach space X to the Lebesgue-Bochner function space $L^p(\mu, X)$, posed the question: If X is strictly convex and has the Kadec-Klee property and if $1 , then does the space <math>L^p(\mu, X)$ have the Kadec-Klee property? They noted that the answer is affirmative, whenever X is reflexive; they also showed that without strict convexity the Kadec-Klee property need not lift from X to $L^p(\mu, X)$, even when X is reflexive.

In 1987, P-K. Lin [L] constructed an example of a strictly convex Banach space X with the Kadec-Klee property such that $L^{p}(\mu, X)$ fails to have the Kadec-Klee property, thus providing a negative answer to the question of Smith and Turett. This space X is not midpoint locally uniformly rotund.

In this paper, a specific construction is given on a Banach space X with a 1-unconditional basis which yields an equivalent norm on X that is midpoint locally uniformly rotund. This construction, when applied to ℓ^2 , produces the example sought after by both Anderson and Megginson and finally confirms their suspicions that a reflexive midpoint locally uniformly rotund Banach space need not have the Kadec-Klee property. This application to ℓ^2 also improves the example of Smith cited above by providing a reflexive space with the specified properties. An application of the construction to an example of M. Talagrand [T] produces a midpoint locally uniformly rotund Banach space X with the Kadec-Klee property such that $L^{p}(\mu, X)$ fails to have the Kadec-Klee property, thereby improving the example of Lin cited above by upgrading the level of rotundity of both X and $L^{p}(\mu, X)$ from strict convexity to midpoint local uniform rotundity. In addition, the space X produced by this second application has the Radon-Nikodým property and the Schur property; yet the space $h^p(D, X)$ of vector-valued harmonic functions fails to have the Kadec-Klee property with respect to uniform convergence on compact subsets of the unit disc D; this example complements some recent work of the authors [D-H-S].

Definitions and Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper X will denote a real Banach space, B_X will denote the closed unit ball of X and S_X will denote the unit sphere of X. A sequence $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in X is called a 1-unconditional basis for X provided $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is an unconditional basis for X with unconditional constant 1; that is, for every choice of scalars $\{x^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n e_n$ converges and for every choice of bounded scalars $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, it follows that

$$\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\lambda_n x^n e_n\right\| \leq \sup_n |\lambda_n| \quad \left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n e_n\right\|.$$

Recall that a Banach space with an unconditional basis can be renormed to have a 1-unconditional normalized basis; see [L-T, pp. 18-19].

For a given measure space (Ω, Σ, μ) and $1 , the symbol <math>L^p(\mu, X)$ will denote the usual Lebesgue-Bochner function space $L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu, X)$; see [**D-U**, p. 97]. For $1 , the symbol <math>h^p(D, X)$ will denote the space of X-valued harmonic functions defined on the open unit disc D in the complex plane which have finite p-norm; see [**D-H-S**]. A sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in $h^p(D, X)$ is said to converge with respect to β to f in $h^p(D, X)$ provided

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}(\sup\{\parallel f_n(z)-f(z)\parallel:z\in K\})=0$$

for all compact subsets K of D.

A Banach space X is said to have the $Kadec - Klee \ property$ (KK) provided that whenever $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in S_X and x is in S_X with $x_n \to x$ weakly, it follows that $x_n \to x$ in norm. For $1 and X, the space <math>h^p(D, X)$ is said to have the $Kadec - Klee \ property$ with respect to $\beta - convergence$ (KK(β)) provided that whenever $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in $S_{h^p(D,X)}$ and f is in $S_{h^p(D,X)}$ with $f_n \to f$ with respect to β , it follows that $f_n \to f$ in norm.

The following is a well-known list of geometrical notions in a Banach space; the list is given in the order of increasing strength.

- (i) A point x in B_X is called an *extreme point* of B_X provided x is not the midpoint of any non-trivial line segment lying in B_X . A Banach space X is said to be *strictly convex* provided every x in S_X is an extreme point of B_X .
- (ii) A point x in B_X is called a strongly extreme point of B_X provided that whenever $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X with $|| x \pm x_n || \to 1$, it follows that $x_n \to 0$ in norm. A Banach space X is said to be midpoint locally uniformly rotund (MLUR) provided every x in S_X is a strongly extreme point of B_X .

(iii) A point x in B_X is called a *denting point* of B_X provided x is not an element of the closed convex hull of $\{y \in B_X : || y - x || > \varepsilon\}$ for each $\varepsilon > 0$. A Banach space X is said to have property (G) provided every x in S_X is a denting point of B_X .

It should also be noted that, in any Banach space, property (G) implies KK and, in a Banach space that contains no isomorphic copy of ℓ^1 , the combination of KK and strict convexity implies property (G); see [L-L1].

The Construction.

Let X be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional normalized basis $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$. For $n \ge 0$ and $x = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j e_j$, define $\beta_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\beta_n \Bigl(\sum_{j=0}^\infty x^j e_j\Bigr) = \max\,\left\{ |x^0|, |x^n|, \left\|\sum_{j=n+1}^\infty x^j e_j\right\|\right\}.$$

It is easy to show that each β_n is a lattice seminorm on X and that $\beta_n(x) \leq ||x|| \leq 2\beta_0(x)$ for all x in X. Now, for each $n \geq 0$, define $\sigma_n : X \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\sigma_n\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j e_j\right) = \beta_n\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (x^j)^+ e_j\right) + \beta_n\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (x^j)^- e_j\right)$$

It is straightforward to show that each σ_n is a seminorm on X and, for each $n \ge 0$ and each x in X, that $\beta_n(x) \le \sigma_n(x) \le 2\beta_n(x)$ and so $\sigma_n(x) \le 2 \parallel x \parallel$. Define $T_{n,m}: X \to X$ by

$$T_{0,m}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^j e_j\right) = x^0 e_0 + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x^j e_j \quad \text{ for } m > 0$$

and

$$T_{n,m}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x^{j} e_{j}\right) = x^{0} e_{0} + x^{n} e_{n} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x^{j} e_{j} \quad \text{for } m > n > 0$$

It is clear that each $T_{n,m}$ is linear and that $||T_{n,m}(x)|| \le ||x||$ for each x in X, since $\{e_j\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a 1-unconditional basis. Now, for $n \ge 1$, choose $\alpha_n > 0$ and, for $m > n \ge 0$, choose $\alpha_{n,m} > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\alpha_n^2 = \sum_{m>n\geq 0}\alpha_{n,m}^2 = 1.$$

Define $T: X \to \ell^2$ by

$$T\Bigl(\sum_{j=0}^\infty x^j e_j\Bigr)=(x^0,lpha_1x^1,lpha_2x^2,...).$$

Then T is linear and $||T(x)||_2 \le 2 ||x||$ for all x in X. Finally, define the following norm on X: for x in X, let

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \| x \|_{\mathcal{M}} &= \left(\beta_0(x)^2 + \sum_{n \ge 1} \alpha_n^2 \beta_n(x)^2 \right. \\ &+ \sum_{m > n \ge 0} \alpha_{n,m}^2 \sigma_n(T_{n,m}(x))^2 + \| T(x) \|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} \parallel x \parallel &\leq \beta_0(x) \\ &\leq \parallel x \parallel_{\mathsf{M}} \\ &\leq \left(\parallel x \parallel^2 \ + \ \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^2 \parallel x \parallel^2 + \sum_{m > n \geq 0} \alpha_{n,m}^2 (2 \parallel x \parallel)^2 + (2 \parallel x \parallel)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \sqrt{10} \parallel x \parallel \end{split}$$

and hence $\|\cdot\|_{M}$ is an equivalent norm on X.

Theorem. Let X be a Banach space with a 1-unconditional basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_M$ be the equivalent norm on X defined by (*). Then the Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$ is MLUR and $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|e_0 + e_n\|_M = \|e_0\|_M = 2$.

Proof. It is a straightforward computation to see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} || e_0 + e_n ||_{\mathrm{M}} = || e_0 ||_{\mathrm{M}} = 2$ and so it remains to show only that $(X, || \cdot ||_{\mathrm{M}})$ is MLUR. Toward this end, suppose x is in X with $|| x ||_{\mathrm{M}} = 1$ and $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X with $|| x \pm x_k ||_{\mathrm{M}} \to 1$. Then the definition of $|| \cdot ||_{\mathrm{M}}$ yields the following three equations:

(1)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \beta_n(x \pm x_k) = \beta_n(x) \quad \text{for all } n \ge 0,$$

(2)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sigma_n(T_{n,m}(x \pm x_k)) = \sigma_n(T_{n,m}(x)) \quad \text{for all } m > n \ge 0$$

and

(3)
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T(x\pm x_k)\|_2 = \|T(x)\|_2;$$

these equations follow by first observing that

$$2(||x+x_k||_M^2+||x-x_k||_M^2) - ||2x||_M^2 \to 0,$$

by then rewriting this using (*) and finally by grouping "like" terms together and applying the Lemma in [S] (see [S, p. 278] for details). Since $(\ell^2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ is MLUR, equation (3) yields that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \|T(x_k)\|_2 = 0$ and hence

(4)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} x_k^j = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \ge 0, \text{ where } x_k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} x_k^j e_j.$$

Since x is not zero, there exists n_0 in $\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $x^{n_0} \neq 0$ and $x^j = 0$ for each $j < n_0$. Without loss of generality, assume $x^{n_0} > 0$. Invoking (4), it can also be assumed that $x_k^j = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n_0$ and for all k in \mathbb{N} . To show $(X, \|\cdot\|_{\mathsf{M}})$ is MLUR, it must be shown that $x_k \to 0$ in norm. Suppose this is not the case. Then, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|x_k\| \geq \varepsilon$ for all k in \mathbb{N} . There exists $m > n_0$ such that $\|\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x^j e_j\| < \frac{1}{16}\varepsilon$ and hence

$$\begin{array}{l} | \ \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x)) - x^{n_0} \ | \ = | \ \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x)) - \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0})) \ | \\ & \leq \ \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x - x^{n_0}e_{n_0})) \\ & = \ \sigma_{n_0}\Big(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x^j e_j\Big) \\ & \leq \ 2 \Big\| \sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x^j e_j \Big\| \\ & < \ \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \ . \end{array}$$

Now, $\lim_{k\to\infty} \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x\pm x_k)) = \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x))$, by (2), and so there exists k_0 in \mathbb{N} such that, for all $k \ge k_0$,

$$|\sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x\pm x_k)) - \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x))| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$$

By (4), there exists $k_1 \ge k_0$ such that $\|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_k^j e_j\| < \frac{1}{8}\varepsilon$ for all $k \ge k_1$. Now, for all $k \ge k_1$,

$$|\sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x\pm x_k)) - \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0}\pm x_k))| \le \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x-x^{n_0}e_{n_0})) < \frac{\varepsilon}{8}$$

and hence

$$\begin{array}{l|l} \mid \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0}+x_k)) \; - \; x^{n_0} \mid \\ & \leq \mid \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0}+x_k)) \; - \; \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x+x_k)) \mid \\ & \quad + \; \mid \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x+x_k)) \; - \; \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x)) \mid \\ & \quad + \; \mid \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x)) - x^{n_0} \mid \\ & \quad < \; \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} \; . \end{array}$$

Similarly, it can be shown that, for all $k \ge k_1$,

$$|\sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0}-x_k)) - x^{n_0}| < \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}$$
.

Note that, for all $k \geq k_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n_0}(T_{n_0,m}(x^{n_0}e_{n_0}+x_k)) &= \beta_{n_0}\Big(x^{n_0}e_{n_0} + \sum_{j=m}^{\infty}(x_k^j)^+e_j\Big) + \beta_{n_0}\Big(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty}(x_k^j)^-e_j\Big) \\ &\geq x^{n_0} + \beta_{n_0}\Big(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty}(x_k^j)^-e_j\Big) \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\beta_{n_0} \left(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (x_k^j)^- e_j \right) < \frac{3}{8} \epsilon$. Similarly, it follows that

$$eta_{n_0} \Bigl(\sum_{j=m}^\infty (-x_k^j)^- e_j \Bigr) \ < \ rac{3}{8} arepsilon$$

that is, $\beta_{n_0}\left(\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (x_k^j)^+ e_j\right) < \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon$ for all $k \ge k_1$. Finally, for all $k \ge k_1$, it now follows that

$$\|x_k\| \leq \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_k^j e_j\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} x_k^j e_j\right\|$$
$$\leq \left\|\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_k^j e_j\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (x_k^j)^+ e_j\right\| + \left\|\sum_{j=m}^{\infty} (x_k^j)^- e_j\right\|$$
$$< \frac{\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8} + \frac{3\varepsilon}{8}$$
$$= \frac{7\varepsilon}{8}.$$

This contradicts the fact that $|| x_k || \ge \varepsilon$ for all k in N. This shows that $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$ is MLUR and the proof is complete.

Corollary. Let X be a Banach space with a weakly null 1-unconditional normalized basis $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and let $\|\cdot\|_M$ be the equivalent norm on X defined by (*). Then $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$ is MLUR but fails to have KK.

Proof. The Banach space $(X, \| \cdot \|_{M})$ is MLUR by the Theorem. Since $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is weakly null, $\frac{1}{2}(e_0 + e_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}e_0$ weakly and, by the Theorem, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\frac{1}{2}(e_0 + e_n)\|_{M} = \|\frac{1}{2}e_0\|_{M} = 1$. Therefore $(X, \| \cdot \|_{M})$ fails to have KK and the proof is complete.

1. Applications.

In this final section, the two examples advertised in the introduction will be produced by applying the results from the previous section. **Example 1.** A direct application of the Corollary shows that c_0 and ℓ^p , for 1 , admit equivalent renormings so as to be MLUR and fail to have KK. In particular, there exists a reflexive Banach space that is MLUR but fails to have KK; this is the first known example of a reflexive Banach space in which all closed balls, but not all nonempty closed convex sets, are approximatively compact Chebyshev sets; see [M, Theorem 2.7 and Theorem B.8].

The next goal is to produce an example of a Banach space X which has KK and is MLUR but such that $L^p(\mu, X)$ fails to have KK for each p with $1 and an appropriate measure space <math>(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$. Following the lead of Lin [L], the example constructed here is also a renorming of Talagrand space [T].

To begin, recall the following facts about Talagrand space. Let $\Delta = \bigcup_{n\geq 1} \{0,1\}^n$ be the usual dyadic tree. If $\varphi = \{\varepsilon_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is in $\{0,1\}^n$, write $|\varphi| = n$ and say that φ is a node of order n. If φ and ψ are two nodes, write $\psi \geq \varphi$ whenever ψ extends φ . Then Talagrand space, E, is defined to be the completion of the space of all finitely non-zero functions $e : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ under the norm

$$|| e || = \sup_{n \ge 1} \left(\sum_{|\varphi|=n} \sup_{\psi \ge \varphi} e(\psi)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Enumerate Δ as $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and let $e_{\psi_n} : \Delta \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function that is 1 at ψ_n and 0 elsewhere. Then $\{e_{\psi_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a 1-unconditional basis for E. Talagrand [**T**] showed that E^* is separable and so $\{e_{\psi_n}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a shrinking basis for E. Hence the sequence of coefficient functionals $\{e_{\psi_n}^*\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a 1-unconditional basis for E^* .

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbb{R} \oplus E^*$ with norm, $\|\cdot\|$, given by

$$\parallel (r,e^*) \parallel = \max \{ \mid r \mid \ , \ \parallel e^* \parallel \}$$

for (r, e^*) in $\mathbb{R} \oplus E^*$. Let $e_0 = (1, 0)$ and $e_n = (0, e^*_{\psi_n})$ for $n \ge 1$. Since E^* embeds isometrically into X, it is easy to check that $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a 1-unconditional basis for X and $||e_n||=1$ for all $n\ge 0$. Let $||\cdot||_{\mathcal{M}}$ be the MLUR norm on X induced from $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ via the Theorem. So

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \parallel e_0 + e_n \parallel_{\mathrm{M}} = \parallel e_0 \parallel_{\mathrm{M}} = 2 .$$

Let $\Omega = \prod_{n\geq 1} \{0,1\}^n$, let Σ be the Borel σ -algebra of subsets of Ω and let μ denote the normalized Haar measure on Ω . For each n in \mathbb{N} , let p_n be the canonical projection from Ω onto $\{0,1\}^n$ and define $g_n : \Omega \to X$ by $g_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}(0, e_{p_n(\omega)}^*)$ for all ω in Ω . As noted in [L], the sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges weakly to zero in $L^p(\mu, X)$ for each $1 . Define <math>h : \Omega \to X$ by $h(\omega) = \frac{1}{2}e_0$ for all ω in Ω . Then $h + g_n \to h$ weakly in $L^p(\mu, X)$ for each

 $1 . Note that, for each <math>\omega$ in Ω ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| h(\omega) + g_n(\omega) \|_{\mathcal{M}} = \| h(\omega) \|_{\mathcal{M}} = 1$$

and $|| h(\omega) + g_n(\omega) ||_{\mathcal{M}} \leq \sqrt{10} || h(\omega) + g_n(\omega) || = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{10}$ for each n in \mathbb{N} . Therefore, by the Bounded Convergence Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \| h + g_n \|_{L^p(\mu, (X, \|\cdot\|_M))} = \| h \|_{L^p(\mu, (X, \|\cdot\|_M))} = 1$$

for each $1 . Thus <math>L^p(\mu, (X, \|\cdot\|_M))$ fails to have KK even though $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$ is MLUR and has KK; the assertion that $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$ has KK follows from the fact that E^* (and hence $(X, \|\cdot\|_M)$) has the Schur property (see [**T**]). This completes Example 2 with respect to improving the Lin example. But, furthermore, note X has the Radon-Nikodým property because it is a separable dual space. Now, let p with $1 be fixed. Since <math>L^p(\mu, (X, \|\cdot\|_M))$ fails to have property (G); see [**L**-L2]. Thus (see [**D**-H-S]) the space $h^p(D, (X, \|\cdot\|_M))$ fails to have KK(β). All the listed geometrical properties of this example are summarized in the following concluding result.

Proposition. Let X be the Banach space in Example 2 equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|_M$ and let (Ω, Σ, μ) be the measure space given there. Then X has the Radon-Nikodým property, has the Schur property (hence has KK) and is MLUR; but $L^p(\mu, X)$ fails to have KK and $h^p(D, X)$ fails to have KK(β) for each 1 .

References

- [A] K.W. Anderson, Midpoint local uniform convexity, and other geometric properties of Banach spaces, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 1960.
- [D-H-S] P.N. Dowling, Z. Hu and M.A. Smith, Geometry of spaces of vector-valued harmonic functions, Can. J. Math., 42(2) (1994), 274-283.
 - [D-U] J. Diestel and J.J. Uhl, Jr., Vector measures, Math Survey 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI 1977.
 - [L] P.K. Lin, unpublished manuscript, 1987.
 - [L-L1] B-L. Lin and P-K. Lin, Property (H) in Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 95 (1985), 581-584.
 - [L-L2] B-L. Lin and P-K. Lin, Denting points in Bochner L^p-spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 97 (1986), 629-633.
 - [L-T] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, Classical Banach Spaces I, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 92, Springer-Verlag, New York 1977.
 - [M] R.E. Megginson, The semi-Kadec-Klee condition and nearest-point properties of sets in normed linear spaces, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 1983.

- [S] M.A. Smith, A Banach space that is MLUR but not HR, Math. Ann., 256 (1981), 277-279.
- [S-T] M.A. Smith and B. Turett, Rotundity in Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 257 (1980), 105-118.
 - [T] M. Talagrand, La propriete de Dunford-Pettis dans C(K, E) et $L^{1}(E)$, Israel J. Math., 44 (1983), 317-321.

Received February 12, 1993 and revised February 22, 1994.

MIAMI UNIVERSITY OXFORD, OH 45056 E-mail address: pndowling@miavx1.acs.muohio.edu

EL PASO COMMUNITY COLLEGE EL PASO, TX 79998 E-mail address: davidhu@laguna.epcc.edu

AND

MIAMI UNIVERSITY Oxford, OH 45056 E-mail address: masmith@maimiu.acs.muohio.edu

CONTENTS

N. Ben Amar, Tangential deformations on the dual of nilpotent special Lie	
algebras	297
Martin Bendersky, Donald M. Davis and Mark Mahowald, v ₁ -periodic	
homotopy groups of $Sp(n)$	319
Georgia Benkart, Seok-Jin Kang, Kailash C. Misra, Indefinite Kac-	
Moody algebras of special linear type	379
Robin Brooks and Charles Odenthal, Nielsen numbers for roots of maps	
of aspherical manifolds	405
L.J. Bunce and J.D. Maitland Wright, Velocity maps in von Neumann	101
algebras	421
Bradley N. Currey, Smooth decomposition of finite multiplicity monomial	100
representations for a class of completely solvable homogeneous spaces	429
R.J. Daverman and D.F. Snyder, On proper surjections with locally triv-	401
ial Leray sheaves	461
Patrick N. Dowling, Zhibao Hu and Mark A. Smith, MLUR renormings	479
of Banach spaces	473
Tuval Foguel, Finite groups with a special 2-generator property	483
Mourad E.H. Ismail and Mizan Rahman, Some basic bilateral sums and	
integrals	497
Wojciech Jaworski, Strong approximate transitivity, polynomial growth,	
and spread out random walks on locally compact groups	517
N. Kutev and F. Tomi, Nonexistence and instability in the exterior Dirich-	
let problem for the minimal surface equation in the plane	535
A. Nobile, Equisingularity Theory for Plane Curves With Embedded	
Points	543
Dominikus Noll, Directional differentiability of the metric projection in	
Hilbert space	567

Vol.170, No.2

October 1995

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 170 No. 2 October 1995

Tangential deformations on the dual of nilpotent special Lie algebras NABIHA BEN AMAR	297
v_1 -periodic homotopy groups of $Sp(n)$ MARTIN BENDERSKY, DONALD M. DAVIS and MARK MAHOWALD	319
Indefinite Kac-Moody algebras of special linear type GEORGIA BENKART, SEOK-JIN KANG and KAILASH C. MISRA	379
Nielsen numbers for roots of maps of aspherical manifolds ROBIN B. S. BROOKS and CHARLES ODENTHAL	405
Velocity maps in von Neumann algebras L. J. BUNCE and JOHN DAVID MAITLAND WRIGHT	421
Smooth decomposition of finite multiplicity monomial representations for a class of completely solvable homogeneous spaces BRADLEY CURREY	429
On proper surjections with locally trivial Leray sheaves ROBERT JAY DAVERMAN and DAVID FRED SNYDER	461
MLUR renormings of Banach spaces PATRICK DOWLING, ZHIBAO HU and MARK ANDREW SMITH	473
Finite groups with a special 2-generator property TUVAL S. FOGUEL	483
Some basic bilateral sums and integrals MOURAD ISMAIL and MIZAN RAHMAN	497
Strong approximate transitivity, polynomial growth, and spread out random walks on locally compact groups WOJCIECH JAWORSKI	517
Nonexistence and instability in the exterior Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface equation in the plane NIKOLAI KUTEV and FRIEDRICH TOMI	535
Equisingularity theory for plane curves with embedded points AUGUSTO NOBILE	543
Directional differentiability of the metric projection in Hilbert space DOMINIKUS NOLL	567