

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**STABLE RELATIONS. II. CORONA SEMIPROJECTIVITY AND
DIMENSION-DROP C^* -ALGEBRAS**

TERRY ATHERTON LORING

STABLE RELATIONS II: CORONA SEMIPROJECTIVITY AND DIMENSION-DROP C^* -ALGEBRAS

TERRY A. LORING

We prove that the relations in any presentation of the dimension-drop interval are stable, meaning there is a perturbation of all approximate representations into exact representations. The dimension-drop interval is the algebra of all M_n -valued continuous function on the interval that are zero at one end-point and scalar at the other. This has applications to mod- p K -theory, lifting problems and classification problems in C^* -algebras. For many applications, the perturbation must respect precise functorial conditions. To make this possible, we develop a matricial version of Kasparov's technical theorem.

1. Introduction.

Suppose \mathcal{R} is a finite set of relations on a finite set G of generators so that $C^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$ is isomorphic to the dimension-drop interval

$$\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n = \{f \in C[0, 1] \mid f(0), f(1) \in \mathbb{C}I\}.$$

For simplicity, we assume the relations are of the form $p(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 0$ for some $*$ -polynomial p . *Weak stability* means that an approximate representation (x_1, \dots, x_n) , meaning an n -tuple of elements in a C^* -algebra A such that each $p(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is close zero, can be perturbed slightly within A to an actual representation $(\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$. That this (and a little more) can be done was shown in [8], but only for one specific set of relations. The relations \mathcal{R} are *stable* if the perturbation can be done so that whenever there is a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ which sends (x_1, \dots, x_n) to an exact representation, then $\varphi(\tilde{x}_j) = \varphi(x_j)$.

There are several advantages to stability over weak stability. It is far more useful when dealing with extensions of C^* -algebras and it depends only on the universal C^* -algebra, not the choice of relations for that C^* -algebra. The reason for our focus on the dimension-drop interval is primarily that this is the most complicated building block used in the inductive limits, called AD algebras, that appeared in Elliott's first classification paper [7].

See [5] for an application of stable relations to the extension problem for AD algebras. See [4] for a discussion of the role of the dimension-drop interval in mod- p K-theory. Our results will be stated in the more general context of dimension-drop graphs, but certainly the dimension-drop interval is the most important case.

In §2 we give a characterization, in terms of lifting properties, of the universal C^* -algebras for stable relations. Since this property, called semiprojectivity, depends only on the C^* -algebra, this frees us from having to specify generators and relations in many cases. We have a third, equivalent property involving corona algebras. This characterization formalizes some of the ideas used by Olsen and Pedersen [11] to show that nilpotents always lift.

For any C^* -algebra A we let $M(A)$ denote the multiplier algebra of A and $C(A)$ denote the corona algebra $M(A)/A$.

By a dimension-drop graph, we mean a C^* -algebra of the form

$$\{f \in C(X, M_n) \mid f(v) \in CI \text{ for all vertices } v\}$$

where X is the underlying topological space for a graph and n is a positive integer. We call this a dimension-drop interval in the special case where X is the unit interval with 0 and 1 as vertices.

To handle these algebras we need several generalizations of Kasparov's Technical Theorem. The purpose of these results is to show that, inside of a corona algebra, one can find good substitutes for elements that would exist if only the corona algebra were a von Neumann algebra. For example, there is an acceptable substitute for the logarithm of a unitary with full spectrum. Also, if $M_n(A)$ sits inside the corona algebra, there are elements that function just like matrix units in the way they multiply against $M_n(A)$, even if A is not unital but only σ -unital.

These technical lemmas are very similar to the second splitting lemma in BDF [3, Lemma 7.3]. The basic form of these results is to show that every $\varphi : A \rightarrow C(E)$ factors through some injection $A \rightarrow A_1$. In the BDF case, A and A_1 are commutative and $C(E)$ is the Calkin algebra.

Once we have shown that a dimension-drop graph is universal for a stable set of relations, a host of perturbation, lifting and homotopy results follow regarding homomorphisms (and asymptotic morphisms) out of dimension-drop C^* -algebras. For most of these we refer the reader to [8] but we will mention one of these, [8, Theorem 3.8]. If a separable C^* -algebra A has the property that any finite set of its elements can be approximated by elements of a C^* -subalgebra isomorphic to a quotient of a dimension-drop graph, then A is the inductive limit of dimension-drop graphs.

A C^* -algebra that will figure prominently in all this the cone $CM_n = M_n(C_0(0, 1])$. By [8, Theorem 4.9] we know that CM_n is projective. This is

a very useful fact as there are many copies of $C M_n$ inside of a dimension-drop graph.

The author is grateful to Gert Pedersen for discussions which lead to much simplified proofs in Section four.

2. A characterization of stability.

We begin with a characterization of projectivity in terms of corona algebras that is suggested by [11]. This then generalizes to give a characterization of semiprojectivity and of stability for relations. One consequence is that two finite sets of relations that determine isomorphic universal C^* -algebras are either both stable, or both not.

All our definitions are with respect to the full category of not-necessarily-unital C^* -algebras and $*$ -homomorphisms.

Definition 2.1. A C^* -algebra A is *projective* if, for every surjection $\pi : B \rightarrow C$ and every $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow C$, there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B$ such that $\pi \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi$. We call A *corona projective* if this holds only in the special case where $C = C(E)$ for some σ -unital C^* -algebra E .

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. Then A is projective if and only if A is corona projective.*

Proof. The forward implication is trivial. Suppose that A is corona projective and that $\varphi : A \rightarrow C$ and a surjection $\pi : B \rightarrow C$ are given. Replacing B , if necessary, by the closed span of a lift of a dense sequence in $\varphi(A)$ reduces the problem to the case where B is separable.

Let $I = \ker(\pi)$ and let I^\perp denote the annihilator of I in B . As $I \cap I^\perp = 0$ and $I + I^\perp$ is an essential ideal in B , we have the following commutative diagram with the left square a pull-back.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B & \longrightarrow & B/I^\perp & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & M(I + I^\perp)/I^\perp \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 \\ A \xrightarrow{\varphi} B/I & \longrightarrow & B/(I + I^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & M(I + I^\perp)/(I + I^\perp) \end{array}$$

By the corona projectivity of A , we have

$$\psi : A \rightarrow M(I + I^\perp)/I^\perp$$

which is a lift of the composition of the bottom row:

We now claim that $\pi_2^{-1}(\text{im}(\iota_2)) \subseteq \text{im}(\iota_1)$. Suppose $b \in \pi_2^{-1}(\text{im}(\iota_2))$. Thus $\pi_2(b) = \iota_2(c)$ for some c . But $c = \pi_1(a)$ for some a , so

$$\pi_2(\iota_1(a)) = \iota_2(\pi_1(a)) = \iota_2(c) = \pi_2(b).$$

This implies

$$\iota_1(a) - b \in \ker(\pi_2) = (I + I^\perp)/I^\perp \subseteq B/I^\perp = \text{im}(\iota_1)$$

and hence $b \in \text{im}(\iota_1)$.

By the claim, we may regard ψ as a map into B/I^\perp . The pull-back property now shows that φ and ψ together determine the desired lifting to B . \square

Following Blackadar [1] we define semiprojectivity as a lifting property. This turns out to have better closure properties than the version of semiprojectivity due to Effros and Kaminker [6], which is better suited to some homotopy calculations.

Definition 2.3. A C^* -algebra A is called *semiprojective* if, for every $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow B/\overline{\bigcup I_n}$, where the I_n are increasing ideals in B , and with $\pi_m : B/I_m \rightarrow B/\overline{\bigcup I_n}$ the natural quotient map, there exists, for some m , a $*$ -homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B/I_m$ such that $\pi_m \circ \bar{\varphi} = \varphi$. We call A *corona semiprojective* if this holds only in the special case where $B/\overline{\bigcup I_n} \cong C(E)$ for some σ -unital C^* -algebra E . \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra. Then A is semiprojective if and only if A is corona semiprojective.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2 except that one uses the following diagram, with $I = \overline{\bigcup I_n}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B/I_n & \longrightarrow & B/(I_n + I^\perp) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & M(I + I^\perp)/I^\perp \\ \downarrow \pi_1 & & \downarrow \pi_2 & & \downarrow \pi_3 \\ A & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & B/I & \longrightarrow & B/(I + I^\perp) \xrightarrow{\iota_2} M(I + I^\perp)/(I + I^\perp) \end{array}$$

Notice that $\overline{\bigcup I_n + I^\perp} = I + I^\perp$, so corona semiprojectivity applies, and the left square is still a pull-back since $I \cap (I_n + I^\perp) = I_n$. \square

If A is unital, then it is easy to see that one need only check the corona semiprojectivity condition in the special case $\varphi(1) = 1$.

We now recall the definition of stability from [8]. We shall assume that $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$ is a finite set of generators and $\mathcal{R} = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is a finite set of $*$ -polynomials with zero constant terms. By $C^*\langle G | \mathcal{R} \rangle$, we denote the universal (not-necessarily-unital) C^* -algebra generated by g_1, \dots, g_l subject to

$$\|g_j\| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p_i(g_1, \dots, g_l) = 0.$$

By $C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$, we denote the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by g_1, \dots, g_l subject to

$$\|g_j\| \leq 1 + \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \|p_i(g_1, \dots, g_l)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Sometimes, to be more explicit, we will denote the generators of $C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$ by $g_1^\epsilon, \dots, g_l^\epsilon$. We let P_ϵ denote the surjection

$$P_\epsilon : C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle \rightarrow C^*\langle G|R\rangle$$

which sends g_j^ϵ to g_j .

If, for every $\eta > 0$, there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\sigma_\epsilon : C^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle \rightarrow C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$$

such that

$$\|\sigma_\epsilon(g_j) - g_j^\epsilon\| \leq \eta, \quad j = 1, \dots, l$$

and $P_\epsilon \circ \sigma_\epsilon = \text{id}$, then R is *stable*.

Theorem 2.5. *For a finitely presented C^* -algebra $C^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{R} is *stable*.
- (2) $C^*\langle G|R\rangle$ is *semiprojective*.
- (3) $C^*\langle G|R\rangle$ is *corona semiprojective*.

Proof. The implication (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from [8, Theorem 3.2] while (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) is a special case of Theorem 2.4. For (2) \Rightarrow (1), applying semiprojectivity to the identity map immediately gives a map $\bar{\sigma}_\epsilon : C^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle \rightarrow C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$ with $P_\epsilon \circ \bar{\sigma}_\epsilon = \text{id}$. Let σ_ϵ equal the composition of $\bar{\sigma}_\epsilon$ with the natural surjection of $C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$ onto $C_\epsilon^*\langle G|\mathcal{R}\rangle$ for ϵ sufficiently small, $0 < \epsilon < \bar{\epsilon}$. \square

3. Generalizations of Kasparov's Technical Theorem.

Using the techniques of [8] and [11] we derive several generalizations of Kasparov's Technical Theorem (KTT). Our goal is to find the closest possible thing to matrix units inside a corona algebra for C^* -subalgebras of the form $A \otimes F$ where A is σ -unital and F is finite-dimensional.

All our theorems involve a subset D with which these ersatz matrix units are to commute. Easier proofs exist if one ignores D and sticks with the separable case. Indeed, one may use the projectivity of CM_n , or $\bigoplus C_0(0, 1]$, and [12, Proposition 3.12.1] along the lines of an observation of Cuntz described in [2, §12.4]. We will discuss this further in recent joint work with Gert Pedersen [10].

In this section, E will always denote a σ -unital C^* -algebra and $C(E)$ its corona algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose A_1, \dots, A_n are σ -unital C^* -subalgebras of $C(E)$. Let D be a separable, unital C^* -subalgebra of $C(E)$ such that*

$$A_j D A_k = 0, \quad j \neq k.$$

There exist g_1, \dots, g_n in $C(E) \cap D'$ such that

$$0 \leq g_j \leq 1, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

$$g_j g_k = 0, \quad j \neq k,$$

$$g_j a = a g_j = a, \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Proof. For $n = 2$ this is equivalent to KTT. Indeed, it is very close to the equivalent result [11, Theorem 3.7]. An induction argument gives the general case. \square

Notice that $A_1 A_2 = 0$ implies that the C^* -algebra generated by $A_1 \cup A_2$ is isomorphic to $A_1 \oplus A_2$. Therefore, Kasparov’s Technical Theorem implicitly involves a $*$ -homomorphism $A_1 \oplus A_2 \rightarrow C(E)$. A natural setting for generalization is $M_n(A) \rightarrow C(E)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose A is a σ -unital C^* -algebra, φ is a $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\varphi : M_n(A) \rightarrow C(E)$$

and $\text{im}(\varphi)$ commutes with a separable subset D of $C(E)$. There exists a $$ -homomorphism*

$$\psi : \mathcal{C} M_n \rightarrow C(E) \cap D'$$

such that, setting $q_{ij} = \psi(t \otimes e_{ij})$,

$$q_{ij} \varphi(a \otimes e_{kl}) = \delta_{jk} \varphi(a \otimes e_{il}), \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, D may be assumed to be a unital C^* -algebra. Applying Theorem 3.1 to

$$D, \varphi(A \otimes e_{11}), \dots, \varphi(A \otimes e_{nn})$$

we obtain g_1, \dots, g_n in $C(E) \cap D'$ such that

$$0 \leq g_i \leq 1, \quad g_i g_j = 0 \quad (i \neq j),$$

$$g_j \varphi(a \otimes e_{jj}) = \varphi(a \otimes e_{jj}).$$

Let h be a completely positive element of A . Since, for any a in A ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_i \varphi(hah \otimes e_{jk}) &= g_i g_j \varphi(h \otimes e_{jj}) \varphi(ah \otimes e_{jk}) \\ &= \delta_{ij} \varphi(hah \otimes e_{jk}) \end{aligned}$$

we conclude

$$(1) \quad g_i \varphi(a \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{ij} \varphi(a \otimes e_{jk})$$

for all i, j, k and all $a \in A$.

Let $x = \varphi(h \otimes w)$ where

$$w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \\ & 1 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since x is normal and both x and $|x| = \varphi(h \otimes I)$ commute with D , we may apply [11, Theorem 4.4]. Thus, there exists u in $C(E) \cap D'$, with $\|u\| \leq 1$, such that $x = u|x|$ and $x^* = u^*|x|$.

Multiplying $x = u|x|$ by $\varphi(ah \otimes e_{ij})$ yields

$$u \varphi(hah \otimes e_{ij}) = \varphi(hah \otimes e_{i+1,j}).$$

(Addition taken mod n .) Therefore, by this and a similar calculation based on $x^* = u^*|x|$,

$$(2) \quad u \varphi(a \otimes e_{ij}) = \varphi(a \otimes e_{i+1,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad u^* \varphi(a \otimes e_{ij}) = \varphi(a \otimes e_{i-1,j}),$$

for all j, k and all $a \in A$.

We now make a first approximation on what shall be the images, under ψ , of the generators $t \otimes e_{j1}$ of CM_n . Let

$$a_n = g_n u^{n-1} g_1,$$

and then for $j = n-1, \dots, 2$,

$$a_{j-1} = g_{j-1} u^{j-2} |a_j|.$$

Clearly $a_j \in D'$ and

$$(3) \quad |a_2| \leq |a_3| \leq \dots \leq |a_n| \leq 1.$$

By induction, $a_j \in \overline{g_j C(E) g_1}$. This forces some of the relations determining $C M_n$ (as in [8, Proposition 2.7]) to hold, namely

$$a_j a_k = 0, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$(4) \quad a_j^* a_k = 0, \quad j \neq k.$$

We claim that, for all $b \in A$ and all i, j, k ,

$$(5) \quad a_i \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{1j} \varphi(b \otimes e_{ik}) \text{ and } a_i^* \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{ij} \varphi(b \otimes e_{1k}).$$

For $i = n$ this follows directly from (1) and (2). But then

$$|a_n| \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{1j} \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk})$$

so one may handle the case $i = n - 1$, et cetera.

As done in the proof of [8, Lemma 4.8], for $j = 2, \dots, n$ we define

$$\tilde{a}_j = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_j((1/m) + a_j^* a_j)^{-1/2} (a_j^* a_j)^{1/2}.$$

By the calculations done in the proof of [8, Lemma 4.8] we conclude that setting $\psi(t \otimes e_{il}) = \tilde{a}_i$ defines a homomorphism

$$\psi : C M_n \rightarrow C(E) \cap D'.$$

For every $b \in A$, (5) implies

$$(6) \quad \tilde{a}_i \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{1j} \varphi(b \otimes e_{ik}) \text{ and } \tilde{a}_i^* \varphi(b \otimes e_{jk}) = \delta_{ij} \varphi(b \otimes e_{1k})$$

whence

$$\psi(t \otimes e_{ij}) \varphi(b \otimes e_{kl}) = \delta_{jk} \varphi(b \otimes e_{il}).$$

□

4. Interval stretching in corona algebras.

We continue in this section to assume $C(E)$ is the corona algebra of some σ -unital C^* -algebra.

Let us consider a simple case of Kasparov's Technical Theorem. Given h_1, h_2 in $C(E)$ such that

$$(7) \quad 0 \leq h_i \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, 2) \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 h_2 = 0,$$

the conclusion is there exists an additional element so that now

$$0 \leq z \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq h_i \leq 1 \quad (i = 1, 2),$$

$$(8) \quad h_1 z = 0, \quad h_2 z = h_2 \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 h_2 = 0.$$

The universal C^* -algebra for these relations are as follows:

$$C^*\langle h_1, h_2 \mid (7) \text{ holds} \rangle \cong C_0([-1, 0] \cup (0, 1])$$

and

$$C^*\langle h_1, h_2, z \mid (8) \text{ holds} \rangle \cong C_0([-1, 0] \cup (0, 2]).$$

For this reason, we think of Kasparov's Technical Theorem as a device for stretching an interval algebra at a point.

We introduce some notation to be used for the rest of this section.

Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ denote the union of the unit circle and the interval $[-2, -1]$. Let

$$A_n = \{f \in C(X, M_n) \mid f(-2) \text{ is scalar}\}$$

and let $\alpha : M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim \rightarrow A_n$ denote the inclusion of the subalgebra of functions in $C(X, M_n)$ that are constant and scalar on $[-2, -1]$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let B denote any separable, unital C^* -algebra. Given a $*$ -homomorphism*

$$\varphi : M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim \otimes B \rightarrow C(E)$$

whose image commutes with a separable subset $D \subseteq C(E)$, there exists $$ -homomorphism*

$$\tilde{\varphi} : A_n \otimes B \rightarrow C(E)$$

such that $\tilde{\varphi} \circ (\alpha \otimes \text{id}_B) = \varphi$ and whose image commutes with D .

Proof. Since A_n and $M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim$ are nuclear there is no ambiguity in the tensor product. As the tensor products involve unital C^* -algebras they are characterized as the universal C^* -algebras containing commuting copies of the two factors. By altering the subset D one easily shows that it suffices to prove this result only when $B = \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 2.8 of [8] shows that $M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim$ is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by x, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n subject to the relations

$$\|a_j\| \leq 1, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

$$a_j a_k = 0, \quad 2 \leq j, k \leq n,$$

$$a_j^* a_k = 0, \quad j \neq k,$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^* a_j &= x^* x, \\ x^* x &= x x^* = -x - x^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one may show that A_n is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by x, b_2, b_3, \dots, b_n subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \|b_j\| &\leq 1, \quad j = 2, \dots, n, \\ b_j b_k &= 0, \quad 2 \leq j, k \leq n, \\ b_j^* b_k &= 0, \quad j \neq k, \\ b_j^* b_j &= b_k^* b_k, \quad 2 \leq j, k \leq n, \\ (b_j^* b_j - 1)(x x^* + x^* x) &= 0, \\ x x^* &= x^* x = -x - x^*, \end{aligned}$$

and the inclusion α corresponds to the $*$ -homomorphism determined by the assignment $x \mapsto x, a_j \mapsto b_j |x|$. Working with the same relations, but in nonunital category, one sees that this is a special case of Theorem 3.2. □

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose J is an ideal in A and A is a sub- C^* -algebra of B . Let J_B denote the ideal of B generated by J . There is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi : B/J_B \rightarrow B *_A (A/J)$$

defined by $\Phi(b + J_B) = b$.

We will need to prove technical results regarding maps from general dimension-drop graphs into corona algebras. For clarity we will concentrate on the most important case, that of the dimension-drop intervals, $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n$. Recall

$$\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n = \{f \in C[0, 1] \mid f(0), f(1) \in \mathbb{C}I\},$$

this being the unital version of the dimension-drop interval.

Although isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n$ we also consider

$$\mathbb{J}_n = \{f \in C[-1, 2] \mid f(-1) \text{ and } f(2) \text{ are scalar}\}.$$

Let $\iota : \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{J}_n$ denote the inclusion that extends a function to be constant on $[-1, 0]$ and on $[1, 2]$.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $\varphi : \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n \rightarrow C(E)$ is a $*$ -homomorphism whose image commutes with a separable subset D . Then there exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\bar{\varphi} : \mathbb{J}_n \rightarrow C(E) \cap D'$ such that $\bar{\varphi} \circ \iota = \varphi$.*

Proof. Consider $M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim \otimes C[0, 1]$ which we identify with

$$C_n = \{f \in C([0, 1]^2, M_n) \mid f(0, t) = f(1, t) \in \mathbb{C}I, \forall t\}.$$

Restriction to the diagonal gives us a surjection

$$\rho : M_n(C_0(0, 1))^\sim \otimes C[0, 1] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n.$$

One can check that by the last lemma we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A_n \otimes C[0, 1]) *_{C_n} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{J}_n \\ \uparrow (\alpha \otimes \text{id}) * \text{id} & & \uparrow \iota \\ C_n *_{C_n} \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \tilde{\mathbb{I}}_n \end{array}$$

and so this result thus follows from Lemma 4.1. \square

Remark. The generalization of Theorem 4.3 to the case of extending maps of dimension-drop graphs into corona algebras follows by the same methods, but the notation is significantly worse.

5. Stability for dimension-drop graphs.

Suppose X is a graph. We denote the associated dimension-drop C^* -algebra by

$$C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) = \{f \in C(X, M_n) \mid f(v) \in \mathbb{C}I \text{ for all vertices } v\}.$$

Theorem 5.1. *For every graph X , and every positive integer n , the C^* -algebra $C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n)$ is universal for a stable set of relations.*

Proof. We may reduce to the case of X connected using Proposition 3.10 and [8, Theorem 5.1]. For connected graphs, the proof is by induction on the number of vertices. If there is but one vertex then

$$C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) \cong \left(\bigoplus_{j=1}^J M_n(C_0(0, 1)) \right)^\sim$$

where J is the number of edges. This has stable relations by [8, Theorem 5.1].

Now suppose X has at least two vertices, v_0 and v_1 . We will need an auxiliary space, \tilde{X} , which is obtained from X by stretching all edges attached

to v_0 or v_1 . Topologically, \tilde{X} will be a copy of X . We shall use v_0 and v_1 to denote the appropriate vertices in \tilde{X} .

Choose a function

$$h_0 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [-1, 2]$$

such that $h_0^{-1}([-1, 0])$ consists of the union of half-closed subintervals, containing v_0 , of each edge adjacent to v_0 . We may assume a similar statement holds for $h_0^{-1}([1, 2])$ and v_1 .

We will identify X with the quotient of \tilde{X} obtained by collapsing $h_0^{-1}([-1, 0])$ to a point and $h_0^{-1}([1, 2])$ to a different point. We will also consider two copies of the graph obtained from X by collapsing the two designated vertices together. We let \tilde{Y} denote the quotient of \tilde{X} obtained by identifying v_0 with v_1 and Y denote the quotient of \tilde{X} obtained by collapsing $h_0^{-1}([-1, 0]) \cup h_0^{-1}([1, 2])$ to a point.

Accordingly, we will be making identifications of the various dimension-drop algebras with subalgebras of $C(\tilde{X}, M_n)$. Of course, $C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{X}, M_n)$ is defined as such a subalgebra. The remaining identifications are:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) &= \{f \mid f(x) = f(v_0) \text{ if } h_0(x) \leq 0 \\ &\quad \text{and } f(x) = f(v_1) \text{ if } h_0(x) \geq 1\}, \\ C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n) &= \{f \mid f(x) = f(v_0) \text{ if } h_0(x) \leq 0 \text{ or } h_0(x) \geq 1\} \\ C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{Y}, M_n) &= \{f \mid f(v_0) = f(v_1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Our strategy is based on the observation that $C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n)$ is generated by the subalgebra $C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)$ and the element

$$h = h_1 \otimes I \quad \text{where} \quad h_1(x) = \max(\min(h_0(x), 1), 0).$$

A way to express the relation between h and $C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)$ is that

$$e^{2\pi i h} = e^{2\pi i h_1} \otimes I.$$

By Theorem 2.6, our task is reduced to proving corona semiprojectivity for $C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n)$ while assuming it for $C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{Y}, M_n)$. So suppose that we are given a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi : C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) \rightarrow C(E) \cong B/\overline{\bigcup I_m}.$$

By Theorem 4.3 and the remark following, there is an extension of φ to

$$\bar{\varphi} : C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{X}, M_n) \rightarrow C(E).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the restriction of $\bar{\varphi}$ to $C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{Y}, M_n)$ can be lifted to

$$\psi : C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{Y}, M_n) \rightarrow B/I_m$$

for some m . This leads to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{Y}, M_n) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & B/I_m & \\
 & \uparrow & & \downarrow \pi_m & \\
 & C_{\text{vert}}(\tilde{X}, M_n) & & & \\
 & \uparrow & \searrow \bar{\varphi} & & \\
 C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n) & \longrightarrow & C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C(E)
 \end{array}$$

Let H be any lift of $\varphi(h)$ to B/I_m such that $0 \leq H \leq 1$. Now define

$$\tilde{H} = \psi(l(h_0) \otimes I) + \psi(m(h_0)^{1/2} \otimes I)H\psi(m(h_0)^{1/2} \otimes I)$$

where l and m are the functions

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 2 - t, & 1 \leq t \leq 2, \end{cases} \quad m(t) = \begin{cases} -t, & t \leq 0, \\ 0, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ t - 1, & 1 \leq t \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

These are defined so that $l + mh_2 = h_2$ where h_2 is the function

$$h_2(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ t, & 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 \leq t \leq 2. \end{cases}$$

Notice also that $h_2(h_0) = h_1$.

Clearly \tilde{H} is selfadjoint. In fact, it is also a lift of $\varphi(h)$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \pi_m(\tilde{H}) &= \bar{\varphi}(l(h_0) \otimes I) + \bar{\varphi}(m(h_0) \otimes I)\bar{\varphi}(h_2(h_0) \otimes I) \\
 &= \bar{\varphi}((l + mh_2)(h_0) \otimes I) = \varphi(h).
 \end{aligned}$$

For any $f \otimes T \in C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)$

$$(f \otimes T)(m(h_0)^{1/2} \otimes I) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \psi(f \otimes T)\tilde{H} = \tilde{H}\psi(f \otimes T).$$

By replacing \tilde{H} by $h_2(\tilde{H})$, we have found a lift of $\varphi(h)$, with $0 \leq \tilde{H} \leq 1$, and a lift of $\varphi|_{C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)}$ that commute.

Expressing this conclusion differently, we have shown that given a unital map

$$C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n) \rightarrow C(E)$$

we can find an m and a map making the diagram commute where D is the universal unital C^* -algebra generated by a copy of $C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)$ and a central element h such that $0 \leq h \leq 1$. I.e.,

$$D \cong C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n) \otimes C[0, 1].$$

We have no further need for \tilde{X} so v_0 and v_1 again denote the specified vertices in X . We regard Y as the quotient of X , with quotient map $\eta : X \rightarrow Y$ which collapses v_0 and v_1 to a single vertex we call w_0 .

Let us identify D with

$$\{g \in C(Y \times [0, 1], M_n) \mid g(v, t) \in CI \text{ for all vertices}\}.$$

The copy of $C_{\text{vert}}(Y, M_n)$ and the extra element h appear as functions in D constant in one variable or the other. There is a sort of diagonal map

$$\Delta : X \rightarrow Y \times [0, 1], \quad \Delta(x) = (\eta(x), h_1(x))$$

which induces a surjection $\beta : D \rightarrow C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n)$.

We need also a quotient of D where the relation (9) holds approximately. Consider

$$Z_\delta = \{(\eta(x), t) \in Y \times [0, 1] \mid |e^{2\pi i h_1(x)} - e^{2\pi i t}| \leq \delta\},$$

where δ is a small number to be named later, and let

$$D_\delta = \{g \in C(Z, M_n) \mid g(v, t) \in CI \text{ for all vertices}\}.$$

Since Δ maps into Z it induces

$$\beta_0 : D_\delta \rightarrow C_{\text{vert}}(X, M_n).$$

By increasing m we may assume that the map $D \rightarrow B/I_m$ factors through D_δ . Therefore, we are done if we exhibit a right-inverse to β_0 . This exists because there is a retraction of Z_δ onto $\text{im}(\Delta)$ which sends (v, t) to (v, t') for every vertex v . To be able to describe this retraction we break up Z_δ as $Z_\delta = Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3$ where

$$Z_1 = \{(\eta(x), t) \mid |h_1(x) - t| \leq 1/4, 0 < t < 1\},$$

$$Z_2 = \{(\eta(x), t) \mid |h_1(x) + 1 - t| \leq 1/4\},$$

$$Z_3 = \{(\eta(x), t) \mid |h_1(x) - 1 - t| \leq 1/4\}.$$

The retraction sends Z_2 to $(w_0, 1)$ and Z_3 to $(w_0, 0)$. Each point $(\eta(x), t)$ in Z_1 is sent to $(\eta(x), s)$ where s is the unique number in $(0, 1)$ such that

$e^{2\pi i s} = e^{2\pi i h_1(x)}$. By choosing δ sufficiently small, we ensure that $(v, t) \notin Z_2 \cup Z_3$ for any vertex v except for $v = w_0$. Therefore this is the desired retraction. \square

References

- [1] B. Blackadar, *Shape theory for C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand., **56** (1985), 249-275.
- [2] ———, *K-theory for Operator Algebras*, M.S.R.I. Monographs No. 5, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1986.
- [3] L. Brown, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Proceedings of a Conference on Operator Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 345, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973, 58-140.
- [4] M. Dadarlat and T.A. Loring, *K-homology, asymptotic morphisms and unsuspended E-theory*, J. Funct. Anal., **126** (1994), 367-383.
- [5] ———, *Extensions of certain real rank zero C^* -algebras*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **44** (1994), 906-925.
- [6] E. Effros and J. Kaminker, *Homotopy continuity and shape theory for C^* -algebras*, Geometric Methods in Operator Algebras, U.S. - Japan Joint Seminar at Kyoto, 1983, Pitman.
- [7] G.A. Elliott, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of the real rank zero*, J. reine angew Math., **443** (1993), 179-219.
- [8] T.A. Loring, *C^* -algebras generated by stable relations*, J. Funct. Anal., **112** (1993), 159-201.
- [9] ———, *Projective C^* -algebras*, Math. Scand., **173** (1993), 274-280.
- [10] T.A. Loring and G.K. Pedersen, *Projectivity, transitivity and AF telescopes*, preprint.
- [11] C.L. Olsen and G.K. Pedersen, *Corona C^* -algebras and their applications to lifting problems*, Math. Scand., **164** (1989), 63-86.
- [12] G.K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1979.

Received September 3, 1993 and revised April 15, 1995. This work was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-900734 and NATO grant CRG-920777.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO
 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87131
E-mail address: loring@math.unm.edu

Rosa M. Miró-Roig , Singular moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^3	477
Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Toshikazu Natsume , The Godbillon-Vey Cyclic Cocycle and Longitudinal Dirac Operators	483
J.C. Naranjo , The positive dimensional fibres of the Prym map	223
Artur Nicolau and Arne Stray , Nevanlinna's coefficients and Douglas algebras	541
K.K. Park , Entropy of a skew product with a Z^2 -action	227
María Cristina Pereyra , Sobolev spaces on Lipschitz curves	553
T. Sano , Commuting co-commuting squares and finite dimensional Kac algebras	243
H.B. Thompson , Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two point boundary conditions	255
H.B. Thompson , Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two point boundary conditions II	279
F. Xu , The flat part of non-flat orbifolds	299
Hidehobu Yoshida , A type of uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem on a half-space with continuous data	591

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 172 No. 2 February 1996

On the failure cycles for the quadratic normality of a projective variety	307
EDOARDO BALLICO	
On the minimal free resolution of general embeddings of curves	315
EDOARDO BALLICO	
On normality of the closure of a generic torus orbit in G/P	321
ROMUALD DABROWSKI	
Paragroupe d'Adrian Ocneanu et algèbre de Kac	331
MARIE-CLAUDE DAVID	
Irreducibility and dimension theorems for families of height 3 Gorenstein algebras	365
SUSAN J. DIESEL	
On the cohomology of the Lie algebra L_2	399
ALICE FIALOWSKI	
Generic differentiability of convex functions on the dual of a Banach space	413
JOHN R. GILES, P. S. KENDEROV, WARREN BRIAN MOORS and S. D. SCIFFER	
Moon hypersurfaces and some related existence results of capillary hypersurfaces without gravity and of rotational symmetry	433
FEI-TSEN LIANG	
Stable relations. II. Corona semiprojectivity and dimension-drop C^* -algebras	461
TERRY ATHERTON LORING	
Singular moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^3	477
ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG	
The Godbillon-Vey cyclic cocycle and longitudinal Dirac operators	483
HITOSHI MORIYOSHI and TOSHIKAZU NATSUME	
Nevanlinna's coefficients and Douglas algebras	541
ARTUR NICOLAU and ARNE STRAY	
Sobolev spaces on Lipschitz curves	553
MARÍA CRISTINA PEREYRA	
A type of uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem on a half-space with continuous data	591
HIDENOBU YOSHIDA	