

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**TENSOR PRODUCTS WITH ANISOTROPIC PRINCIPAL  
SERIES REPRESENTATIONS OF FREE GROUPS**

CARLO PENSINVALLE AND TIM STEGER

## TENSOR PRODUCTS WITH ANISOTROPIC PRINCIPAL SERIES REPRESENTATIONS OF FREE GROUPS

CARLO PENSAVALLE AND TIM STEGER

Let  $\tau$  be the tensor product of an anisotropic principal series representation of a free group  $\Gamma$ , not an endpoint representation, with an irreducible unitary finite dimensional  $\Gamma$ -representation. Usually  $\tau$  is irreducible and has exactly two perfect boundary realizations. In a certain well specified anomalous case  $\tau$  splits into two irreducible components and each component has exactly one boundary realization, which is not perfect.

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a noncommutative free group on finitely many generators. Fix a basis  $A_+$  for  $\Gamma$  and let  $A = A_+ \cup A_+^{-1}$  consist of the basis elements and their inverses. Let  $\pi$  be a representation from one of the *anisotropic principal series* of [Figà-Talamanca-Steger]. Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible unitary finite dimensional representation of  $\Gamma$ . The aim of this paper is to apply the results of [Steger] to the tensor product  $\pi \otimes \rho$ . In particular, we show that the representation  $\pi \otimes \rho$  is irreducible under most circumstances, and decomposes into two irreducible components when it does decompose.

**Anisotropic principal series representations.** The following is taken from [Figà-Talamanca-Steger]. (That paper deals with a free product of copies of  $\mathbf{Z}/2$ . The case of a free group is somewhat less complicated.) Let  $\mu$  be a symmetric probability measure on  $A$ , suppose that  $\mu(a) > 0$  for  $a \in A$ , and denote by  $\text{Spec}(\mu)$  the spectrum of  $\mu$  acting on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  by left convolution. Then  $\text{Spec}(\mu)$  consists of a closed interval symmetric about 0. Let  $\sigma$  belong to the interior of that interval. There is a unitary representation,  $\pi$ , described below, determined by the pair  $(\mu, \sigma)$ . Fixing  $\mu$  and letting  $\sigma$  vary we obtain a series of representations whose direct integral is the regular representation of  $\Gamma$ . Call such a series an *anisotropic principal series* for  $(\Gamma, A)$ , and call the representation  $\pi$  an *anisotropic principal series representation*.

Fix  $(\mu, \sigma)$ . Recall that each  $x \in \Gamma$  has a unique shortest expression,  $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_L$ , as a product of elements in  $A$ . That shortest expression is the *reduced word* for  $x$ , characterized by the property that  $a_l a_{l+1} \neq e$  for any  $l$ .

The length,  $|x|$ , of  $x$  as above is  $L$ . A nonzero function  $f: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is called *multiplicative* if

$$(1.1) \quad f(xy) = f(x)f(y) \quad \text{when } |xy| = |x| + |y|.$$

A multiplicative function is determined by its values on  $A$ . For  $\gamma \notin \text{Spec}(\mu)$ , the inverse of left convolution by  $\gamma\delta_e - \mu$  on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  is left convolution by  $g_\gamma = h_\gamma/(2w_\gamma)$ , where  $h_\gamma$  is a multiplicative function and  $w_\gamma$  is a constant. Let

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} h_\pm &= h_{\sigma \pm i0} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} h_{\sigma \pm i\delta} \\ w_\pm &= w_{\sigma \pm i0} = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} w_{\sigma \pm i\delta} \\ g_\pm &= g_{\sigma \pm i0} = h_\pm/(2w_\pm) \\ \phi &= \phi_\sigma = (g_+ - g_-)/(g_+(e) - g_-(e)). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\phi$  is a positive definite function on  $\Gamma$ , and the representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  is defined by applying the construction of Gel'fand and Raikov to  $\phi$ .

For later use, observe that since  $\mu$  is symmetric,  $h_\gamma(a) = h_\gamma(a^{-1})$  for all  $a \in A$ , and consequently the same holds for  $h_\pm$ . Also note that since  $\mu$  is real,  $h_{\bar{\gamma}} = \bar{h}_\gamma$ ,  $w_{\bar{\gamma}} = \bar{w}_\gamma$ , and consequently  $h_\pm$ ,  $w_\pm$ , and  $g_\pm$  are complex conjugates of one another.

**Results for  $\tau = \pi \otimes \rho$ .** Let  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  be the representation space of  $\tau$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the representation space of  $\pi$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  is the representation space of  $\rho$ . Define  $\text{sgn}: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^\times$  by  $\text{sgn}(x) = (-1)^{|x|}$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *Exclude the anomalous case that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Then  $\tau$  is irreducible.*

In the anomalous case,  $\tau$  splits into two irreducible representations. The full story of the anomalous case is in Section 4.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Exclude the anomalous case that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Then there is a dense subspace  $\mathcal{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  and a constant  $C_0 > 0$  so that*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} C_0 \epsilon \sum_{x \in \Gamma} e^{-\epsilon|x|} \langle w_1, \tau(x)w_2 \rangle \overline{\langle w_3, \tau(x)w_4 \rangle} = \langle w_1, w_3 \rangle \overline{\langle w_2, w_4 \rangle}$$

for  $w_1, w_3 \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  and  $w_2, w_4 \in \mathcal{Z}$ .

**The boundary,  $\Omega$ , of  $\Gamma$ .** The statements of the other main results, and the proofs of all the results, depend on the idea of the boundary of  $\Gamma$ . See [Figà-Talamanca–Nebbia] or the introduction to [Kuhn–Steger] for more detailed discussions of the boundary. Give  $\Gamma$  the structure of a tree by putting

an edge between  $x$  and  $xa$  for  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in A$ . Let  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the distance on  $\Gamma$  given by this tree structure. Note that  $|x| = d(e, x)$ . A *geodesic* is a sequence  $(x_l)_l \subseteq \Gamma$  such that  $d(x_l, x_m) = |l - m|$ . There is a unique geodesic between any two elements of  $\Gamma$ . The *boundary*,  $\Omega$ , is conveniently defined as  $\{\text{semiinfinite geodesics } (x_l)_{l=0}^\infty\} / \sim$  where two such geodesics are considered equivalent when some shift of the indices makes them cofinally equal. This space is also called the space of *ends* of the tree. Given  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ , there is a unique geodesic  $[x, \omega]$  starting at  $x$  and representing  $\omega$ , and this we think of as the geodesic from  $x$  to  $\omega$ . Let

$$(1.3) \quad [e, \omega) = (e, \omega_1, \omega_2, \dots).$$

There will exist  $(a_l)_{l=1}^\infty \subseteq A$  satisfying  $a_l a_{l+1} \neq e$  such that  $\omega_L = a_1 a_2 \cdots a_L$ . We may think of  $\omega$  as the infinite reduced word  $a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$ . For  $z \in \Gamma$ , define

$$\Gamma(z) = \{x \in \Gamma; z \in [e, x]\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(z) = \{\omega \in \Omega; z \in [e, \omega)\},$$

those reduced words, respectively finite or infinite, which start out with the word for  $z$ . Topologize  $\Gamma \cup \Omega$  by taking the singleton subsets of  $\Gamma$  and the sets  $(\Gamma(z) \cup \Omega(z))_{z \in \Gamma}$  as a basis of open sets. This topology makes  $\Gamma \cup \Omega$  and  $\Omega$  compact, with  $\Gamma$  discrete and with every point of  $\Omega$  in the closure of  $\Gamma$ . Indeed,  $\omega = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \omega_l$  where  $\omega_l$  is as in (1.3).

The left action of  $\Gamma$  on itself preserves the tree structure, and therefore it induces an action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Omega$ . We write that action as multiplication, and indeed, it is the obvious action by left multiplication of finite reduced words on infinite reduced words. This left action of  $\Gamma$  on  $\Gamma \cup \Omega$  leaves the topology fixed.

**Realization of  $\pi$  on the boundary.** Define a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$\nu(\Omega(xa)) = |h_+(xa)|^2 / (1 + |h_+(a)|^2) \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma, a \in A, |xa| = |x| + 1.$$

This works since  $\sum_{a \in A} |h_+(a)|^2 / (1 + |h_+(a)|^2) = 1$ . Define a unitary representation  $\pi'_{+\Gamma}$  of  $\Gamma$  on  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu)$  by

$$(1.4) \quad (\pi'_{+\Gamma}(a)F)(\omega) = \begin{cases} h_+(a)F(a^{-1}\omega), & \text{if } \omega \notin \Omega(a) \\ h_+(a)^{-1}F(a^{-1}\omega), & \text{if } \omega \in \Omega(a) \end{cases}$$

for  $a \in A$ . This extends to a representation of  $\Gamma$  because  $\pi'_{+\Gamma}(a)\pi'_{+\Gamma}(a^{-1}) = 1$ . The action of general  $x \in \Gamma$  is given by

$$(\pi'_{+\Gamma}(x)F)(\omega) = P_+(x, \omega)F(x^{-1}\omega)$$

for

$$(1.5) \quad P_+(x, \omega) = \frac{h_+(x_2)}{h_+(x_1)}$$

where  $x = x_1x_2$  and  $x_1$  is the last element common to the geodesics  $[e, x]$  and  $[e, \omega]$ , that is, the longest common initial segment of the reduced words for  $x$  and  $\omega$ . Unitarity of  $\pi'_{+\Gamma}$  depends on

$$(1.6) \quad |P_+(x, \omega)|^2 = \frac{d\nu(x^{-1}\omega)}{d\nu(\omega)},$$

the right hand side being a Radon–Nikodym derivative. This is easy to check for  $x \in A$  and then follows for all  $x$ . One defines  $\pi'_{-\Gamma}$  in just the same way, using  $h_-$  instead of  $h_+$ .

[Figà-Talamanca–Steger] exhibits unitary  $\Gamma$ -maps  $\iota_{\pm}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, d\nu)$ , intertwining  $\pi$  to  $\pi'_{\pm\Gamma}$  respectively. Also exhibited explicitly is the intertwining operator

$$J = \iota_- \iota_+^*: L^2(\Omega, d\nu) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, d\nu)$$

which satisfies  $J\pi'_{+\Gamma}(x) = \pi'_{-\Gamma}(x)J$  for  $x \in \Gamma$ . Although complex conjugation intertwines  $\pi'_{+\Gamma}$  and  $\pi'_{-\Gamma}$  just as  $J$  does,  $J$  is a complex linear map, more complicated than simple conjugation.

**Boundary representations.** Define  $*$ -representations  $\pi'_{\pm\Omega}$  of the commutative  $C^*$ -algebra  $C(\Omega)$  on  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu)$  by

$$(1.7) \quad (\pi'_{+\Omega}(G)F)(\omega) = (\pi'_{-\Omega}(G)F)(\omega) = G(\omega)F(\omega).$$

The symbols  $\pi'_{\pm\Gamma}$  and  $\pi'_{\pm\Omega}$  are chosen so as to match the following definition from [Steger].

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\Gamma$  be any free group and let  $\Omega$  be its boundary. A  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -representation or boundary representation,  $\pi'$ , of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}'$  is a pair  $(\pi'_\Gamma, \pi'_\Omega)$  such that

- (1)  $\pi'_\Gamma$  is a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}'$ .
- (2)  $\pi'_\Omega$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $C(\Omega)$  on  $\mathcal{H}'$ .
- (3) For  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $G \in C(\Omega)$ ,  $\pi'_\Gamma(x)\pi'_\Omega(G)\pi'_\Gamma(x^{-1}) = \pi'_\Omega(\lambda(x)G)$ .

Here  $(\lambda(x)G)(\omega) = G(x^{-1}\omega)$ . The reader familiar with crossed product  $C^*$ -algebras will see that a boundary representation is just a representation of the crossed product algebra  $\Gamma \rtimes C(\Omega)$ . We will routinely drop the subscripts  $\Gamma$  and  $\Omega$  on  $\pi'_\pm$ . One may easily verify that the two representations  $\pi'_\pm$  defined by (1.4) and (1.7) fit together to give a boundary representation of  $\Gamma$ . One makes  $\pi'_-$  into a boundary representation in the same way. Note

that although  $\pi'_+$  and  $\pi'_-$  are equivalent as  $\Gamma$ -representations and identical as  $C(\Omega)$ -representations, they are not equivalent as  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -representations.

Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$ . It is easily verified that the definitions

$$\tau'_{\pm\Gamma}(x) = \pi'_{\pm\Gamma}(x) \otimes \rho(x) \qquad \tau'_{\pm\Omega}(G) = \pi'_{\pm\Omega}(G) \otimes \text{id}$$

give boundary representations  $\tau'_\pm$  acting on  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu) \otimes \mathcal{V}$ . Indeed this simple construction applies generally, yielding a boundary representation as the tensor product of any boundary representation and any ordinary  $\Gamma$ -representation. Identifying  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu) \otimes \mathcal{V}$  with  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$ , (see [Reed–Simon] Section 2.4, Theorem 10,) gives for any  $F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$

$$(\tau'_{\pm\Gamma}(x)F)(\omega) = P_\pm(x, \omega)\rho(x)F(x^{-1}\omega)$$

and

$$(\tau'_{\pm\Omega}(G)F)(\omega) = G(\omega)F(\omega)$$

where  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $G \in C(\Omega)$ .

The following definitions are also from [Steger].

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group, let  $\Omega$  be its boundary, and let  $\pi$  be a fixed unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A *boundary intertwiner* for  $\pi$  is a pair  $(\iota, \pi')$  satisfying

- (1)  $\pi'$  is a boundary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}'$ .
- (2)  $\iota$  is a bounded  $\Gamma$ -map from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}'$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{H}'$  is generated as a  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -space by  $\iota(\mathcal{H})$ .

If  $\iota$  is an isometric inclusion, the pair is called a *boundary realization* of  $\pi$ , and if  $\iota$  is unitary, the pair is called a *perfect boundary realization* of  $\pi$ .

Condition (3) is equivalent to requiring that  $\pi'(C(\Omega))\iota(\mathcal{H})$  be dense in  $\mathcal{H}'$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Two boundary intertwiners  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  for the same given representation  $\pi$  of  $\Gamma$  are *equivalent* if there is a unitary  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -map  $U: \mathcal{H}'_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'_2$  so that  $U\iota_1 = \iota_2$ .

Let  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  be two boundary intertwiners for a given representation  $\pi$  of  $\Gamma$ . The *direct sum* of these two intertwiners,

$$(\iota_s, \pi'_s) = (\iota_1, \pi'_1) + (\iota_2, \pi'_2),$$

is defined in two steps. Let  $\tilde{\pi}'_s$  be the direct sum boundary representation on  $\mathcal{H}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_2$ . Let  $\tilde{\iota}_s(v) = (\iota_1(v), \iota_2(v))$ . Then  $(\tilde{\iota}_s, \tilde{\pi}'_s)$  satisfies the definition of a boundary intertwiner except for condition (3). Let  $\mathcal{H}'_s$  be the closure in  $\mathcal{H}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_2$  of  $\tilde{\pi}'_s(C(\Omega))\tilde{\iota}_s(\mathcal{H})$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}'_s$  is a subboundary representation of  $\mathcal{H}'_1 \oplus \mathcal{H}'_2$ . Denote the boundary representation on  $\mathcal{H}'_s$  by  $\pi'_s$ . Finally, let  $\iota_s: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'_s$  be  $\tilde{\iota}_s$  with its range restricted to  $\mathcal{H}'_s$ .

For  $G \in C(\Omega)$  one may verify that

$$\iota_s^* \pi'_s(G) \iota_s = \iota_1^* \pi'_1(G) \iota_1 + \iota_2^* \pi'_2(G) \iota_2 .$$

To be consistent with that definition define, for a boundary intertwiner  $(\iota, \pi')$  and for real  $t > 0$ ,

$$t(\iota, \pi') = (t^{1/2} \iota, \pi')$$

and define

$$0(\iota, \pi') = (0, \zeta')$$

where  $\zeta'$  is the boundary representation on 0-dimensional Hilbert space. From these definitions it follows that if  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  are boundary realizations, that is, if  $\iota_1$  and  $\iota_2$  are isometric inclusions, and if  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , then  $t(\iota_1, \pi'_1) + (1 - t)(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  is also a boundary realization.

**Results on boundary realizations of  $\tau$ .** Return to the specific case of the tensor product representation  $(\tau, \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})$  of an anisotropic principal series representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  and an irreducible unitary finite dimensional representation  $(\rho, \mathcal{V})$  of  $\Gamma$ . The maps

$$\iota_{\pm} \otimes \text{id}: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega, d\nu) \otimes \mathcal{V}$$

are unitary  $\Gamma$ -maps intertwining  $\tau$  with  $\tau'_{\pm\Gamma}$ . Thus  $(\iota_{\pm} \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_{\pm})$ , where  $\tau'_{\pm} = (\tau'_{\pm\Gamma}, \tau'_{\pm\Omega})$ , are two perfect boundary realizations of  $\tau$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** *Exclude the anomalous case that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Then, up to equivalence, the only perfect boundary realizations of  $\tau$  are  $(\iota_{\pm} \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_{\pm})$ . Moreover, all boundary realizations, perfect or otherwise, are given, up to equivalence, by*

$$t(\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_+) + (1 - t)(\iota_- \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_-) \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1 .$$

**Outline.** Section 2 describes some general results on unitary representations of free groups and their boundary realizations. Section 3 establishes the applicability of those general results in the present context. Section 4 deals with the anomalous case,  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ .

### 2. General Results.

If  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}_1$  are Hilbert spaces, we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_1)$  the vector space of all bounded linear maps from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . If  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1$  then  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ .

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ . We say that  $T$  is positive if

$$\langle Tv, v \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \geq 0 \quad \text{for } v \in \mathcal{H} .$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$  the set of all positive operators in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . We define the trace of  $T$  as

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \langle Te_n, e_n \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

where  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{+\infty}$  is a fixed orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**Properties 2.3.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be in  $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ .

- (1)  $\text{tr}(T) \in [0, +\infty]$ .
- (2)  $\text{tr}(\alpha S + \beta T) = \alpha \text{tr}(S) + \beta \text{tr}(T)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .
- (3)  $\text{tr}(SS^*) = \|S\|_{HS}^2$  where  $\|\cdot\|_{HS}$  is the Hilbert–Schmidt norm.
- (4) If  $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  is unitary, then  $\text{tr}(UTU^{-1}) = \text{tr}(T)$ .
- (5)  $\text{tr}(T)$  is independent of the choice of basis.
- (6) Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then  $\text{tr}(T \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}) = \dim \mathcal{V} \text{tr}(T)$ .

*Proof.* For (1)–(5), see [Dixmier] Section 1.6.6, Theorem 5. Let  $(v_m)_{m=1}^N$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Then using  $(e_n \otimes v_m)_{n,m}$  as a basis of  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  gives (6).  $\square$

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ . We define the following inner product

$$(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} = \text{tr}(\sqrt{ST}\sqrt{S}).$$

**Properties 2.5.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be in  $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ .

- (1)  $(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} \in [0, +\infty]$ .
- (2)  $(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} = \|\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T}\|_{HS}^2$ .
- (3)  $(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} = (T, S)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})}$ .
- (4)  $(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})}$  is bilinear in  $S$  and  $T$ .
- (5) Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, then  $(S \otimes \text{id}, T \otimes \text{id})_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})} = \dim \mathcal{V} (S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})}$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows directly from the definition. For (2),

$$\begin{aligned} (S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} &= \text{tr}(\sqrt{ST}\sqrt{S}) = \text{tr}(\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T}\sqrt{T}\sqrt{S}) \\ &= \text{tr}((\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T})(\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T})^*) = \|\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T}\|_{HS}^2. \end{aligned}$$



For (3),

$$(S, T)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} = \|\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T}\|_{\mathcal{H}S}^2 = \|(\sqrt{S}\sqrt{T})^*\|_{\mathcal{H}S}^2 = \|\sqrt{T}\sqrt{S}\|_{\mathcal{H}S}^2 = (T, S)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})}.$$

Finally (4) follows from the definition and (3), and (5) follows from (6) of Properties 2.3.  $\square$

One may easily verify the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group. Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  and let  $(\iota, \pi')$  be a boundary intertwiner for  $\pi$ . For any  $G \in C(\Omega)$  with  $G \geq 0$  the operator*

$$\iota^* \pi'(G) \iota: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$$

*is linear, bounded and positive.*

Now we can give the definition of the Finite Trace Condition (FTC) for boundary intertwiners.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $A$  be a set of generators and their inverses for a free group  $\Gamma$ . Let  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  be two boundary intertwiners for a representation  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  of  $\Gamma$ . We say that  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  satisfy the (FTC) if

$$(\iota_1^* \pi'_1(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_1, \iota_2^* \pi'_2(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_2)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} < +\infty$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group with  $A$  as a set of generators and inverses. Let  $\pi$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  and let  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  be boundary intertwiners for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\rho$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\tau = \pi \otimes \rho$ ,  $\tau'_{1,\Gamma} = \pi'_{1,\Gamma} \otimes \rho$ ,  $\tau'_{2,\Gamma} = \pi'_{2,\Gamma} \otimes \rho$ ,  $\tau'_{1,\Omega} = \pi'_{1,\Omega} \otimes \text{id}$ , and  $\tau'_{2,\Omega} = \pi'_{2,\Omega} \otimes \text{id}$ . Then  $(\iota_1 \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2 \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_2)$  satisfy the (FTC) as realizations of  $\tau$  if and only if  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  satisfy the (FTC) as realizations of  $\pi$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\iota_1 \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_1(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_1 \otimes \text{id}), (\iota_2 \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_2(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_2 \otimes \text{id}))_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})} \\ &= (\iota_1^* \pi'_1(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_1 \otimes \text{id}, \iota_2^* \pi'_2(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_2 \otimes \text{id})_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})} \\ &= \dim \mathcal{V} (\iota_1^* \pi'_1(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_1, \iota_2^* \pi'_2(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_2)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} \end{aligned}$$

according to (5) of Properties 2.5.  $\square$

The following result, which is our main tool, is from [Steger].

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group and let  $A$  be a set of generators and their inverses. Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . If  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  are two perfect boundary realizations of  $\pi$  satisfying the (FTC), and if  $\pi'_1$  and  $\pi'_2$  are irreducible and inequivalent as boundary representations, then*

- (1)  $\pi$  is irreducible.
- (2) Up to equivalence  $(\iota_1, \pi'_1)$  and  $(\iota_2, \pi'_2)$  are the only perfect boundary realizations of  $\pi$ .
- (3) Up to equivalence all boundary realizations of  $\pi$  are obtained as

$$t(\iota_1, \pi'_1) + (1 - t)(\iota_2, \pi'_2) \quad \text{with } t \in [0, 1].$$

- (4) There exists a dense subspace  $\mathcal{H}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  and a constant  $C_0 > 0$  so that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} C_0 \epsilon \sum_{x \in \Gamma} e^{-\epsilon|x|_\Gamma} \langle v_1, \pi(x)v_2 \rangle \overline{\langle v_3, \pi(x)v_4 \rangle} = \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \overline{\langle v_2, v_4 \rangle}$$

for  $v_1, v_3 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $v_2, v_4 \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

### 3. Technical Results.

In this section, let  $\Gamma$  be a free group with  $A$  as a fixed set of generators and their inverses. Let  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  be an anisotropic principal series representation of  $\Gamma$  and let  $(\iota_\pm, \pi'_\pm)$  be the two perfect boundary realizations of  $\pi$  described in the introduction. Let  $\rho$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  of finite dimension  $N$ . Let  $\tau = \pi \otimes \rho$  be the tensor product representation of  $\Gamma$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ . We will establish that the hypotheses of Theorem 2.9 apply to  $\tau$  and its two perfect boundary realizations  $(\iota_\pm \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_\pm)$  except in the anomalous case  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . The various conclusions of Theorem 2.9 give Theorems 1.1, 1.2, and 1.6.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}))$  be a linear bounded  $C(\Omega)$ -map. Then there exists  $t \in L^\infty(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$  such that*

$$(TF)(\omega) = t(\omega)F(\omega) \quad \text{for } F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) .$$

*Proof.* We identify  $\mathcal{V}$  with  $\mathbf{C}^N$  and use subscripts on elements of  $\mathcal{V}$  to pick out their coordinates. For every  $n = 1, \dots, N$  define  $E^n \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$  as follows

$$(E^n)_m(\omega) = \delta(m, n) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n \end{cases} .$$

Hence for any  $F \in C(\Omega; \mathcal{V})$  we have  $F = \sum_{n=1}^N \pi'_+(F_n)E^n$ . The operator  $T$  acts as follows

$$TF = T \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \pi'_+(F_n)E^n \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \pi'_+(F_n)TE^n .$$

We define

$$t_{mn}(\omega) = (TE^n)_m(\omega) \quad \text{where } t_{mn} \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu) .$$

Then

$$(TF)_m(\omega) = \sum_{n=1}^N t_{mn}(\omega)F_n(\omega) = (t(\omega)F(\omega))_m$$

where  $t(\omega) \equiv (t_{mn}(\omega))_{N \times N}$  belongs to  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$ . Since  $T$  is bounded, it follows from Lusin's Theorem that the entries of  $t$  are almost everywhere bounded. Then  $t \in L^\infty(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$ . Consequently extending by density, we get

$$(TF)(\omega) = t(\omega)F(\omega) \quad \text{for } F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) .$$

□

Endow  $GL(\mathcal{V})$  with the norm  $\| \cdot \|_\infty$  obtained by identifying any linear operator  $t: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  with its matrix  $(t_{jk})_{j,k}$  and setting  $\|t\|_\infty = \sup_{j,k} |t_{jk}|$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $f$  be a function in  $L^1(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(\omega_n))} \int_{\Omega(\omega_n)} \|f(\omega') - f(\omega)\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') = 0$$

for almost all  $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* This is a standard consequence of the boundedness of the maximal function for  $L^1$ -martingales. □

**Lemma 3.3.** *If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $|\gamma a| = |\gamma| + 1$ , then  $\gamma^{-1}\Omega(\gamma a) = \Omega(a)$ .*

*Proof.* Thinking of boundary points of  $\Omega$  as infinite reduced words, one sees easily that

$$\gamma^{-1}\Omega(\gamma a) \subseteq \Omega(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma\Omega(a) \subseteq \Omega(\gamma a) .$$

□

**Lemma 3.4.** *If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $|\gamma a| = |\gamma| + 1$ , then  $P_+(\gamma, \omega)$  is constant for  $\omega \in \Omega(\gamma a)$ .*

*Proof.* By (1.5)

$$P_+(\gamma, \omega) = \frac{1}{h_+(\gamma)} \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega(\gamma a) .$$

□

**Lemma 3.5.** *If  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $a \in A$ , and  $|\gamma a| = |\gamma| + 1$ , then*

$$\frac{d\nu(\gamma^{-1}\omega)}{d\nu(\omega)} = \frac{\nu(\Omega(a))}{\nu(\Omega(\gamma a))} \quad \text{for } \omega \in \Omega(\gamma a).$$

*Proof.* From (1.6) we have that

$$\frac{d\nu(\gamma^{-1}\omega)}{d\nu(\omega)} = |P_+(\gamma, \omega)|^2.$$

By Lemma 3.4 above,  $|P_+(\gamma, \omega)|^2$  is constant for  $\omega \in \Omega(\gamma a)$ . Clearly this constant also gives

$$\frac{\nu(\gamma^{-1}\Omega(\gamma a))}{\nu(\Omega(\gamma a))} = \frac{\nu(\Omega(a))}{\nu(\Omega(\gamma a))}.$$

□

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $f$  be a function in  $L^\infty(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$ . Let  $\rho$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $Q: \Gamma \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a function,  $\nu$ -measurable in  $\omega$ , such that*

- (1)  $|Q(x, \omega)| = 1$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .
- (2)  $f(x^{-1}\omega) = Q(x, \omega)\rho(x^{-1})f(\omega)\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $\omega \in \Omega$ .
- (3) If  $\gamma, y \in \Gamma$  and  $\gamma \notin \Gamma(y)$  then  $Q(\gamma, \omega)$  is constant for  $\omega \in \Omega(y)$ .

*Then there exists  $a \in A$  and  $t_0 \in GL(\mathcal{V})$  such that*

$$f(\omega) = t_0 \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega(a).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.2 there exists  $\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \dots) \in \Omega$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(\omega_n))} \int_{\Omega(\omega_n)} \|f(\omega') - s\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') = 0$$

where  $s = f(\omega)$ . For every  $n \geq 1$  let  $a_n = \gamma_n^{-1}\omega_n$  where  $\gamma_n = \omega_{n-1}$ . According to (3)  $Q(\gamma_n, \omega')$  has a constant value for  $\omega' \in \Omega(\omega_n)$ . Let  $s_n = Q(\gamma_n, \omega')$  be that constant value. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(a_n))} \int_{\Omega(a_n)} \|\rho(\gamma_n)f(\omega')\rho(\gamma_n^{-1}) - s_n\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') \\ &= \frac{\nu(\Omega(\omega_n))}{\nu(\Omega(a_n))} \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(\omega_n))} \int_{\gamma_n\Omega(a_n)} \|\rho(\gamma_n)f(\gamma_n^{-1}\omega')\rho(\gamma_n^{-1}) - s_n\|_\infty d\nu(\gamma_n^{-1}\omega') \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(\omega_n))} \int_{\Omega(\omega_n)} \|f(\omega') - s\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') \quad (\text{using Lemmas 3.3 and 3.5}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(a_n))} \int_{\Omega(a_n)} \|\rho(\gamma_n) f(\omega') \rho(\gamma_n^{-1}) - s_n\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') = 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{V})$  is compact, since  $s_n \in \{\alpha s ; |\alpha| = 1\}$ , and since  $A$  is finite, we may select a sequence  $(n_j)$  so that  $\rho(\gamma_{n_j}) \rightarrow \rho_0$  for some  $\rho_0$  in  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{V})$ , so that  $s_{n_j} \rightarrow t$  for some  $t \in GL(\mathcal{V})$ , and so that  $a_{n_j} = a$  for all  $j$  and for some  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\int_{\Omega(a)} \|\rho_0 f(\omega') \rho_0^{-1} - t\|_\infty d\nu(\omega') = 0.$$

That is

$$f(\omega) = t_0 \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega(a)$$

where  $t_0 \equiv \rho_0^{-1} t \rho_0$ . □

In analogy with  $\Gamma(y)$ , the set of all words which start with the letters of  $y$ , define  $\tilde{\Gamma}(y) = \Gamma(y^{-1})^{-1}$ , the set of all words that end with the letters of  $y$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $(\Gamma, A)$  be a free group. Let  $\rho$  be a unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$  and let  $q: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a multiplicative function (not a character, see (1.1)). If there exists  $a \in A$  and  $t_0 \in GL(\mathcal{V})$  such that*

$$t_0 \rho(x) = q(x) \rho(x) t_0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma(a) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$$

then

$$t_0 \rho(x) = q(x) \rho(x) t_0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma.$$

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in \Gamma(a_1) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}(a_2)$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in A$  and  $a_2 \neq a^{-1}$ . Choose  $w_1 \in \Gamma(a)$  such that  $w_1 \notin \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$  and  $w_1 \notin \tilde{\Gamma}(a_1^{-1})$ . Choose  $w_2 \in \Gamma(a)$  such that  $w_2 \notin \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$ . Then  $w_1, w_2, w_1 x w_2 \in \Gamma(a) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$ , and  $|w_1 x w_2| = |w_1| + |x| + |w_2|$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t_0 \rho(w_1 x w_2) &= q(w_1 x w_2) \rho(w_1 x w_2) t_0 \\ &\Rightarrow q(w_1) \rho(w_1) t_0 \rho(x) \rho(w_2) = q(w_1) \rho(w_1) q(x w_2) \rho(x w_2) t_0 \\ &\Rightarrow t_0 \rho(x) \rho(w_2) = q(x) \rho(x) q(w_2) \rho(w_2) t_0 = q(x) \rho(x) t_0 \rho(w_2) \\ &\Rightarrow t_0 \rho(x) = q(x) \rho(x) t_0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$  choose  $b \in A$  such that  $b \neq a$  and  $b \neq a^{-1}$ . Then  $b$  and  $xb$  are words which don't end with  $a^{-1}$  and  $|xb| = |x| + 1$ . In this case we already know that

$$t_0 \rho(xb) = q(xb) \rho(xb) t_0 \quad \text{and} \quad t_0 \rho(b) = q(b) \rho(b) t_0.$$

Hence

$$t_0\rho(x)\rho(b) = q(x)\rho(x)q(b)\rho(b)t_0 = q(x)\rho(x)t_0\rho(b) .$$

□

Recall that  $\sigma \in \text{Spec}(\mu)$  is not allowed to be an endpoint. We define

$$q(x) = \frac{h_+(x)}{h_-(x)} \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma .$$

This makes  $q$  a multiplicative function of modulus one on  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 3.8.** *If  $q^2(a) = 1$  for all  $a \in A$ , then  $\sigma = 0$  and  $q(x) = \text{sgn}(x) \equiv (-1)^{|x|}$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ .*

*Proof.* From [Figà-Talamanca–Steger], Proposition 1.3.4, we will use

- (1)  $2w_+ = \mu(c)(h_+^{-1}(c) - h_+(c))$  for any  $c \in A$  and
- (2)  $2w_+ = \sigma - \sum_{b \in A} \mu(b)h_+(b)$ .

We proceed, dividing the proof into two cases.

(A) There is a  $c \in A$  such that  $h_+(c) \in \mathbf{R}$ . By (1) we note first that  $w_+$  is real and then that  $h_+(b)$  is real for all  $b \in A$ . By [Figà-Talamanca–Steger], Lemma 2.3.1, and the discussion thereafter, we know that  $h_+ = h_-$  and  $w_+ = w_-$  is impossible for  $\sigma$  in the interior of  $\text{Spec}(\mu)$ . This eliminates case (A).

(B) For all  $a \in A$  we have  $h_+(a) \in i\mathbf{R}$ . Since  $h_+(a) \in i\mathbf{R}$  for all  $a \in A$ ,  $w_+ \in i\mathbf{R}$ . By (2)  $\sigma = 0$ . We also have that  $q(a) = -1$  for all  $a \in A$ , so  $q(x) = (-1)^{|x|}$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ . □

**Proposition 3.9.** *The two boundary representations  $\tau'_\pm$  are irreducible.*

*Proof.* We only need to work with  $\tau'_+$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_1$  be a closed  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -stable subspace in  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_2 = \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$  has the same property, so the orthogonal projection  $T: L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_1$  commutes with  $\tau'_+(x)$  and  $\tau'_+(G)$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $G \in C(\Omega)$ . So, as usual, we only need to prove that any bounded  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -map  $T$  on  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$  is scalar. Applying Lemma 3.1 there exists  $t \in L^\infty(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$  such that

$$(TF)(\omega) = t(\omega)F(\omega) \quad \text{for } F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) .$$

On the other hand, for all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$

$$(\tau'_+(x)TF)(\omega) = P_+(x, \omega)\rho(x)t(x^{-1}\omega)F(x^{-1}\omega)$$

and

$$(T\tau'_+(x)F)(\omega) = t(\omega)P_+(x, \omega)\rho(x)F(x^{-1}\omega) .$$

Therefore

$$t(x^{-1}\omega) = \rho(x^{-1})t(\omega)\rho(x) \quad \text{for any } x \in \Gamma .$$

From Lemma 3.6, with  $Q(x, \omega) = 1$  there exists  $a \in \Gamma$  and  $t_0 \in GL(\mathcal{V})$  such that  $t(\omega) = t_0$  for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . Fix  $x \in \Gamma(a) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$ . Then  $x\omega \in \Omega(a)$  and the product  $x\omega$  does not simplify when  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . Therefore

$$t_0 = t(x\omega) = \rho(x)t(\omega)\rho(x^{-1}) = \rho(x)t_0\rho(x^{-1})$$

for almost all  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . By Lemma 3.7, with  $q(x) = 1$ , it follows that

$$t_0\rho(x) = \rho(x)t_0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma .$$

Then  $t_0$  commutes with  $\rho(x)$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ . As a consequence of Schur's Lemma,  $t_0$  is scalar. That is, there exists  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$t(\omega) = \alpha \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}} \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega(a) .$$

We want now to extend this result to  $\Omega$ . Fix  $b \in A$ . Find  $z \in \Gamma(a) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}(b)$ . Then for  $\omega \notin \Omega(b^{-1})$ ,  $z\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . Thus  $t(\omega) = \alpha \text{id}_{\mathcal{V}}$  almost everywhere on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega(b^{-1})$ . Vary  $b$  to see that this holds almost everywhere on  $\Omega$ . Thus  $T$  is multiplication by  $\alpha$ . □

**Proposition 3.10.** *Excluding the anomalous case that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ , the two boundary representations  $\tau_{\pm}$  are inequivalent.*

*Proof.* We proceed by contradiction. Suppose that

$$T: L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$$

is a unitary  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -map that intertwines  $\tau'_+(x)$  with  $\tau'_-(x)$  and  $\tau'_+(G)$  with  $\tau'_-(G)$  for any  $x$  in  $\Gamma$  and  $G$  in  $C(\Omega)$ . By Lemma 3.1 there exists  $t \in L^\infty(\Omega, d\nu; GL(\mathcal{V}))$  such that

$$(TF)(\omega) = t(\omega)F(\omega) \quad \text{for } F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V}) .$$

For all  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $F \in L^2(\Omega, d\nu; \mathcal{V})$  the following holds.

$$(\tau'_-(x)TF)(\omega) = P_-(x, \omega)\rho(x)t(x^{-1}\omega)F(x^{-1}\omega)$$

and

$$(T\tau'_+(x)F)(\omega) = t(\omega)P_+(x, \omega)\rho(x)F(x^{-1}\omega) .$$

Hence

$$t(x^{-1}\omega) = \frac{P_+(x, \omega)}{P_-(x, \omega)}\rho(x^{-1})t(\omega)\rho(x) .$$

By Lemma 3.6 with

$$Q(x, \omega) = \frac{P_+(x, \omega)}{P_-(x, \omega)} = \frac{P_+(x, \omega)}{P_+(x, \omega)^-},$$

there exists  $a \in \Gamma$  and  $t_0 \in GL(\mathcal{V})$  such that

$$t(\omega) = t_0 \quad \text{for almost all } \omega \in \Omega(a).$$

Fix  $x \in \Gamma(a) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}(a^{-1})$ . When  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$ , the product  $x\omega$  does not simplify and  $x\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . By the absence of cancellation

$$Q(x^{-1}, \omega) = \frac{h_+(x^{-1})}{h_-(x^{-1})} = \frac{h_+(x)}{h_-(x)} = q(x)$$

for  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$ . For almost every  $\omega \in \Omega(a)$

$$t_0 = t(x\omega) = Q(x^{-1}, \omega)\rho(x)t(\omega)\rho(x^{-1}) = q(x)\rho(x)t_0\rho(x^{-1}).$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.7, the relation  $t_0\rho(x) = q(x)\rho(x)t_0$  holds for all  $x \in \Gamma$ . For  $x, y \in \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} q(xy)\rho(xy)t_0 &= t_0\rho(xy) = t_0\rho(x)\rho(y) \\ &= q(x)\rho(x)t_0\rho(y) = q(x)\rho(x)q(y)\rho(y)t_0 = q(x)q(y)\rho(xy)t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$q(x)q(y) = q(xy) \quad \text{for } x, y \in \Gamma.$$

This means that  $q$  is a character of  $\Gamma$ . Then for any  $a \in A$  we have

$$1 = q(e) = q(a^{-1}a) = q(a^{-1})q(a).$$

That is

$$q^2(a) = 1 \quad \text{for } a \in A.$$

By Lemma 3.8 it follows that  $\sigma = 0$  and  $q(x) = \text{sgn}(x)$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ . Since  $t_0\rho(x) = \text{sgn}(x)\rho(x)t_0$ ,  $t_0$  is an intertwiner between  $\rho$  and  $\rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Since the anomalous case is excluded, and since  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\rho \otimes \text{sgn}$  cannot be equivalent. Therefore  $t_0$  must be 0.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.11.** *The two boundary realizations  $(\iota_{\pm} \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_{\pm})$  satisfy the (FTC).*

*Proof.* Given Lemma 2.8, we need only to work with  $(\iota_{\pm}, \pi'_{\pm})$ . Remember that  $\iota_{\pm}$  are unitary, and that the Hilbert–Schmidt norm is invariant under unitary operator action on either side. Observe also that for any



$a \in A$  the functions  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}$  and  $\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}$  are idempotent, so  $\pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})$  and  $\pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})$  are projections and consequently the operators  $\iota_+^* \pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+$  and  $\iota_-^* \pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_-$  are too. Recall that  $J = \iota_- \iota_+^*$  intertwines

$$(\pi'_+(x), L^2(\Omega, d\nu)) \quad \text{with} \quad (\pi'_-(x), L^2(\Omega, d\nu)).$$

Therefore, our problem reduces as follows

$$\begin{aligned} & (\iota_+^* \pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+, \iota_-^* \pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_-)_{\mathcal{B}+(\mathcal{H})} \\ &= \|\iota_+^* \pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \iota_-^* \pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_-\|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}}^2 \\ &= \|\pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) J^* \pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})\|_{\mathcal{H}\mathcal{S}}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Section 3 of [Figà-Talamanca–Steger] gives the following description of  $J$ . Define a set  $(F_x)_{x \in \Gamma}$  of functions in  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ , the space of locally constant functions in  $L^2(\Omega, d\nu)$ , by

$$\begin{aligned} F_e &= \mathbf{1}_\Omega \\ F_{xa} &= \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(a))} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(ax)} - \frac{1}{\nu(\Omega(x))} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(x)} \quad \text{for } |a| = 1 \text{ and } |xa| = |x| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We know that  $(F_x)_{x \in \Gamma}$  spans  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} JF_e &= F_e \\ JF_{xa} &= \frac{w_-}{w_+} \frac{h_+(x)}{h_-(x)} F_{xa} \quad \text{for } |a| = 1 \text{ and } |xa| = |x| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $x \in \Gamma$  let  $\mathcal{K}_x$  be the linear span of  $\{F_{xa} ; |xa| = |x| + 1 \text{ and } a \in A\}$ . This means that

$$\mathcal{K}_x = \{F \in \mathcal{K}(\Omega) ; \text{supp}(F) \subseteq \Omega(x), \int_\Omega F(\omega) d\nu(\omega) = 0,$$

and  $F$  depends only on the first  $|x| + 1$  letters of  $\omega\}$ .

Define  $\mathcal{K}_0 = \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{1}_\Omega$ . Then the spaces  $\mathcal{K}_x$  together with  $\mathcal{K}_0$  span  $\mathcal{K}(\Omega)$ . Each element of  $\mathcal{K}_x$  is an eigenvector of  $J$ . In addition, for  $x \neq y$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_x$  and  $\mathcal{K}_y$  are orthogonal to each other and to  $\mathcal{K}_0$ , with respect to integration by  $\nu$ . For these reasons, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} L^2(\Omega, d\nu) &\cong \mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \bigoplus_{x \in \Gamma} \mathcal{K}_x \\ J|_{\mathcal{K}_0} &= \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}_0} \\ J|_{\mathcal{K}_x} &= \frac{w_-}{w_+} \frac{h_+(x)}{h_-(x)} \text{id}_{\mathcal{K}_x}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x \in \Gamma$  and  $|x| \geq 1$ . If  $x \in \Omega(a)$  then  $\pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})(\mathcal{K}_x) = 0$ . If  $x \notin \Omega(a)$  we have

$$\pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})J^*\pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})(\mathcal{K}_x) \subseteq \pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})(\mathcal{K}_x) = 0.$$

Hence  $\pi'_+(\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})J^*\pi'_-(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)})$  is identically zero on  $\bigoplus_{|x| \geq 1} \mathcal{K}_x$ . Therefore to compute the Hilbert–Schmidt norm, we need only to work with the restriction to  $\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_e$ . Since  $\mathcal{K}_0 \oplus \mathcal{K}_e$  is finite dimensional, the Hilbert–Schmidt norm is finite.  $\square$

#### 4. Anomalous case.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group and let  $A$  be a set of generators and inverses for  $\Gamma$ . As in the introduction, fix a symmetric probability measure  $\mu$  on  $A$ . The anisotropic principal series representation  $\pi$  is constructed from the pair  $(\mu, \sigma)$  where  $\sigma$  is in the interior of  $\text{Spec}(\mu)$ . The burden of this section is to explain the special case  $\sigma = 0$ .

Since the function  $\text{sgn}$  is a character

$$(4.1) \quad ((f_1)\text{sgn}) * ((f_2)\text{sgn}) = (f_1 * f_2)\text{sgn}$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are functions on  $\Gamma$  and “ $*$ ” denotes convolution. The symbols in the following lemma are from (1.2).

**Lemma 4.1.** *When  $\sigma = 0$*

- (1)  $g_+ = -(g_-)\text{sgn}$
- (2)  $w_+ = -w_-$
- (3)  $h_+ = (h_-)\text{sgn}$
- (4)  $w_{\pm}, h_{\pm}(a) \in i\mathbf{R}$  for  $a \in A$
- (5)  $\phi(x) = 0$  if  $|x|$  is odd.

*Proof.* For  $\gamma \notin \text{Spec}(\mu)$  recall that  $g_\gamma$  is defined as the inverse of  $\gamma\delta_e - \mu$ , both functions acting on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$  by left convolution. From (4.1)

$$-g_{-\gamma} = (\gamma\delta_e + \mu)^{-1} = ((\gamma\delta_e - \mu)\text{sgn})^{-1} = (\gamma\delta_e - \mu)^{-1}\text{sgn} = (g_\gamma)\text{sgn}$$

where multiplication by  $\text{sgn}$  is pointwise multiplication but all inverses are inverses for the convolution algebra. (1) then follows from the definition of  $g_{\pm}$  as the limit  $g_{0 \pm i0}$ . (2) and (3) follow from the equality  $g_{\pm} = h_{\pm}/(2w_{\pm})$  given that  $h_{\pm}$  is a multiplicative function and  $w_{\pm}$  is a constant. Since  $w_+ = \bar{w}_-$  and  $h_+ = \bar{h}_-$ , (4) is a consequence of (2) and (3). (5) is immediate from (1) and the definition  $\phi = (g_+ - g_-)/(g_+(e) - g_-(e))$ .  $\square$

Since  $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$  is the result of the Gel'fand–Raikov construction applied to  $\phi$ , there is a cyclic vector  $k_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that

$$\langle k_0, \pi(x)k_0 \rangle = \phi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Gamma .$$

Let

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_e &= \text{closed-span}\{\pi(x)k_0; x \in \Gamma, |x| \text{ is even}\} \\ \mathcal{H}_o &= \text{closed-span}\{\pi(y)k_0; y \in \Gamma, |y| \text{ is odd}\} . \end{aligned}$$

By (5) of Lemma 4.1, these two spaces are orthogonal to one another, so  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_e \oplus \mathcal{H}_o$ . Define  $S: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  by

$$S|_{\mathcal{H}_e} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_e} \quad S|_{\mathcal{H}_o} = -\text{id}_{\mathcal{H}_o} .$$

**Lemma 4.2.**

- (1)  $S\pi(x) = \text{sgn}(x)\pi(x)S$  for  $x \in \Gamma$ .
- (2)  $\pi'_+(x) = \text{sgn}(x)\pi'_-(x)$  for  $x \in \Gamma$ .
- (3)  $\iota_+S = \iota_-$ .

*Proof.* (1) follows from the definitions. To establish (2), it is enough to do so for  $x \in A$ , and that is immediate by the definition (1.4) of  $\pi'_\pm$  and by (3) of Lemma 4.1. Since  $\iota_+Sk_0 = \mathbf{1} = \iota_-k_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_+S\pi(x)k_0 &= \text{sgn}(x)\iota_+\pi(x)Sk_0 = \text{sgn}(x)\pi'_+(x)\iota_+Sk_0 \\ &= \pi'_-(x)\iota_-k_0 = \iota_-\pi(x)k_0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in \Gamma$ . Since the  $\Gamma$ -translates of  $k_0$  are dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ , this proves (3). □

Fix  $\rho$  an irreducible unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  on a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathcal{V}$ , such that  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Then there exists a unitary map  $s: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad s\rho(x) = \text{sgn}(x)\rho(x)s .$$

Observe that since  $s^2\rho(x) = \rho(x)s^2$ ,  $s^2$  is a scalar operator. Multiplying  $s$  by an opportune constant, we may assume  $s^2$  is the identity operator.

We consider, the operator  $S \otimes s: \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$ .

**Lemma 4.3.**

- (1)  $(S \otimes s)\tau(x) = \tau(x)(S \otimes s)$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ .
- (2)  $(S \otimes s)^2 = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}}$ .
- (3)  $S \otimes s$  is unitary and self adjoint.

$$(4) \quad \tau'_+(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)\tau'_-(x) \text{ for all } x \in \Gamma.$$

$$(5) \quad (\iota_+ \otimes \operatorname{id})(S \otimes s) = (\iota_- \otimes s).$$

*Proof.* (1) follows from (4.3) and (1) of Lemma 4.2. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} (S \otimes s)\tau(x) &= (S \otimes s)(\pi(x) \otimes \rho(x)) = (S\pi(x) \otimes s\rho(x)) \\ &= (\operatorname{sgn}(x)\pi(x)S \otimes \operatorname{sgn}(x)\rho(x)s) = \tau(x)(S \otimes s). \end{aligned}$$

For (2)  $(S \otimes s)^2 = (S^2 \otimes s^2) = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{V}} = \operatorname{id}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}}$ . Remember that  $S \otimes s$  is the tensor product of unitary self adjoint operators. This gives (3). By (2) of Lemma 4.2  $\pi'_+(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)\pi'_-(x)$  for any  $x \in \Gamma$ , and so  $\tau'_+(x) = \pi'_+(x) \otimes \rho(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)\pi'_-(x) \otimes \rho(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)\tau'_-(x)$ , proving (4). Finally, (3) of Lemma 4.2 gives (5).  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{V}_e$  and  $\mathcal{V}_o$  be the 1 and  $-1$  eigenspaces of  $s$  respectively. This means that

$$s|_{\mathcal{V}_e} = \operatorname{id}|_{\mathcal{V}_e} \quad s|_{\mathcal{V}_o} = -\operatorname{id}|_{\mathcal{V}_o} \quad \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_e \oplus \mathcal{V}_o.$$

Then the 1 and  $-1$  eigenspaces of  $S \otimes s$  are

$$\mathcal{W}_e = (\mathcal{H}_e \otimes \mathcal{V}_e) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_o \otimes \mathcal{V}_o), \quad \mathcal{W}_o = (\mathcal{H}_e \otimes \mathcal{V}_o) \oplus (\mathcal{H}_o \otimes \mathcal{V}_e).$$

Let  $\tau_e$  and  $\tau_o$  be the representations of  $\Gamma$  on  $\mathcal{W}_e$  and  $\mathcal{W}_o$  respectively. From the perfect boundary realization  $(\iota_+ \otimes \operatorname{id}, \tau'_+)$  of  $\tau$  construct boundary realizations, no longer perfect,  $(\iota_e, \tau'_+)$  and  $(\iota_o, \tau'_+)$  of  $\tau_e$  and  $\tau_o$  respectively, by restricting  $\iota_+ \otimes \operatorname{id}$  to  $\mathcal{W}_e$  and  $\mathcal{W}_o$ . Condition (3) in Definition 1.4 holds on account of Proposition 3.9, which says that  $\tau'_+$  is irreducible as a  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -representation.

The following result from [Steger] is parallel to Theorem 2.9.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a free group, let  $A$  be some set of generators and their inverses for  $\Gamma$ , and define length on  $\Gamma$  in terms of  $A$ . Let  $(\pi_1, \mathcal{H}_1)$  be an arbitrary unitary representation of  $\Gamma$  and let  $(\iota_1, \pi')$  be a boundary realization of  $\pi_1$ . Suppose that  $(\iota_1, \pi')$  is not perfect and that it satisfies the (FTC) with itself. Suppose further that  $\pi'$  is irreducible as a  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -representation. Then*

- (1)  $\pi_1$  is irreducible.
- (2) Up to equivalence,  $(\iota_1, \pi')$  is the only boundary realization of  $\pi_1$ .
- (3) There is a dense subspace  $\mathcal{H}_{01} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_1$  and a constant  $C_0 > 0$  so that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} C_0 \epsilon \sum_{x \in \Gamma} e^{-\epsilon|x|_{\Gamma}} \langle v_1, \pi(x)v_2 \rangle \overline{\langle v_3, \pi(x)v_4 \rangle} = \langle v_1, v_3 \rangle \overline{\langle v_2, v_4 \rangle}$$

for  $v_1, v_3 \in \mathcal{H}_1$  and  $v_2, v_4 \in \mathcal{H}_{01}$ .

The rest of this section is devoted to showing that the abstract Theorem 4.4 applies to our particular situation.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $\sigma = 0$  and  $\rho \cong \rho \otimes \text{sgn}$ . Let  $\tau_e$  and  $\tau_o$  be the two direct summands of  $\tau$  defined previously. The conclusions of Theorem 4.4 apply to  $\tau_e$  and its realization  $(\iota_e, \tau'_+)$  and also to  $\tau_o$  and its realization  $(\iota_o, \tau'_+)$ .*

Accordingly we have  $\tau'_+ \cong \tau_e \oplus \tau_o$  as representations of  $\Gamma$ , where  $\tau'_+$  is realized perfectly on the boundary, but neither of its two irreducible summands can be realized except in conjunction with the other.

Neither  $(\iota_e, \tau'_+)$  nor  $(\iota_o, \tau'_+)$  is a perfect realization, verifying one of the hypotheses of Theorem 4.4. Proposition 3.9 asserts that  $\tau'_+$  is an irreducible  $(\Gamma, C(\Omega))$ -representation, verifying another. It remains only to show that  $(\iota_e, \tau'_+)$  satisfies the (FTC) with itself as a realization of  $\tau_e$  and similarly for  $(\iota_o, \tau'_+)$ . This can be reduced to Proposition 3.11, which says that  $(\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_+)$  and  $(\iota_- \otimes \text{id}, \tau'_-)$  satisfy the (FTC) as realizations of  $\tau$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $j: \mathcal{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be an isometric inclusion. If  $S, T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , then*

- (1)  $j(j^*Sj)^{1/2}j^* = (jj^*Sjj^*)^{1/2}$ .
- (2)  $(j^*Sj, j^*Tj)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H}_1)} = (jj^*Sjj^*, jj^*Tjj^*)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})}$ .

*Proof.* For (1), check that both sides are positive square roots of  $jj^*Sjj^*$ . For (2), let  $(e_m)_m$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_1$  and suppose that  $(je_m)_m$  and  $(f_n)_n$  together make up an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (j^*Sj, j^*Tj)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H}_1)} &= \sum_m \left\langle j^*Tj(j^*Sj)^{1/2}e_m, (j^*Sj)^{1/2}e_m \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}_1} \\ &= \sum_m \left\langle jj^*Tjj^*j(j^*Sj)^{1/2}je_m, j(j^*Sj)^{1/2}je_m \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= \sum_m \left\langle jj^*Tjj^*(jj^*Sjj^*)^{1/2}je_m, (jj^*Sjj^*)^{1/2}je_m \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &= (jj^*Sjj^*, jj^*Tjj^*)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})} \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\left\langle jj^*Tjj^*(jj^*Sjj^*)^{1/2}f_n, (jj^*Sjj^*)^{1/2}f_n \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$$

for all  $n$ . □

**Lemma 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $T \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H})$ , then*

$$(X + Y)^*T(X + Y) \leq 2(X^*TX + Y^*TY).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle (X + Y)^* T(X + Y)v, v \rangle &= \|T^{1/2}(Xv + Yv)\|^2 \\
 &\leq \left( \|T^{1/2}Xv\| + \|T^{1/2}Yv\| \right)^2 \\
 &\leq 2 \left( \|T^{1/2}Xv\|^2 + \|T^{1/2}Yv\|^2 \right) \\
 &= 2 \left( \langle X^*TXv, v \rangle + \langle Y^*TYv, v \rangle \right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

□

For fixed  $a \in A$  we must show that

$$(4.4) \quad (\iota_e^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_e, \iota_e^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_e)_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{W}_e)}$$

is finite. Let  $j_e: \mathcal{W}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  be inclusion. Then

$$(\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})j_e = \iota_e \quad j_e^*j_e = \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_e} \quad 2j_e j_e^* = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}} + (S \otimes s) .$$

Together with these identities Lemma 4.6 says that up to a factor (4.4) equals

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &((\text{id} + (S \otimes s))^* (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) (\text{id} + (S \otimes s))) , \\ &(\text{id} + (S \otimes s))^* (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) (\text{id} + (S \otimes s)) \end{aligned} \Big|_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})} .$$

By Lemma 4.7 the first of the two operators in this inner product is bounded by

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} &2 \left( (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (S \otimes s)^* (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) (S \otimes s) \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Keeping in mind (5) of Lemma 4.3, the identity of  $\tau'_+$  and  $\tau'_-$  as representations of  $C(\Omega)$  and the fact that  $s^*s = \text{id}$ , one sees that (4.6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2 \left( (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id})^* \tau'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) + (\iota_- \otimes s)^* \tau'_- (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) (\iota_- \otimes s) \right) \\
 &= 2 \left( \iota_+^* \pi'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id} + \iota_-^* \pi'_- (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_- \otimes \text{id} \right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

This bound, and the similar bound on the second operator in (4.5), together with the bilinearity and positivity of the  $\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})$ -inner product, show that up to a factor (4.5) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\iota_+^* \pi'_+ (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id} + \iota_-^* \pi'_- (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_- \otimes \text{id}, \\
 &\quad \iota_+^* \pi'_+ (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id} + \iota_-^* \pi'_- (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_- \otimes \text{id})_{\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})} .
 \end{aligned}$$

This expands into four terms. The term

$$(\iota_+^* \pi_+' (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id}, \iota_+^* \pi_+' (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id})_{\mathcal{B}+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})}$$

is zero since the two operators involved are complementary orthogonal projections.

$$(\iota_+^* \pi_+' (\mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_+ \otimes \text{id}, \iota_-^* \pi_-' (\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1}_{\Omega(a)}) \iota_- \otimes \text{id})_{\mathcal{B}+(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V})}$$

is finite by Proposition 3.11. The other two terms are zero and finite respectively by symmetry. This concludes the proof that (4.4) is finite, and so concludes the proof of Theorem 4.4 for  $\tau_e$ . To prove the (FTC) for  $(\iota_o, \tau_+')$  with itself use the same method, letting  $j_o: \mathcal{W}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}$  be inclusion and making use of

$$(\iota_+ \otimes \text{id}) j_o = \iota_o \quad j_o^* j_o = \text{id}_{\mathcal{W}_o} \quad 2j_o j_o^* = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{V}} - (S \otimes s) .$$

## References

- J. Dixmier, *Von Neumann Algebras*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
- A. Figà-Talamanca and C. Nebbia, *Harmonic Analysis and Representation Theory for Groups Acting on Homogeneous Trees*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 162, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- A. Figà-Talamanca and T. Steger, *Harmonic analysis for anisotropic random walks on homogeneous trees*, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.
- G. Kuhn and T. Steger, *More irreducible representations of free groups*, to appear in Duke Math. J.
- M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics I: Functional Analysis*, Academic Press, New York 1972.
- T. Steger, *Free group representations: duplicity on the boundary*, in preparation.

Receiver June 6, 1993 and revised April 7, 1994.

ISTITUTO DI MATEMATICA E FISICA  
 VIA VIENNA 2, 07100 SASSARI, ITALIA  
*E-mail address:* pensa@ssmain.uniss.it  
 steger@ssmain.uniss.it

**PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS**

Founded by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982)      F. Wolf (1904-1989)

**EDITORS**

Sun-Yung A. Chang (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. Michael Christ  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

Nicholas Ercolani  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Robert Finn  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Steven Kerckhoff  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

Gang Tian  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
tian@math.mit.edu

V. S. Varadarajan  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

Dan Voiculescu  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
dvv@math.berkeley.edu

**SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS**

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

---

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover.

The table of contents and the abstracts of the papers in the current issue, as well as other information about the Pacific Journal of Mathematics, may be found on the Internet at <http://www.msri.org>.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$245.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$123.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions. Subscriptions, back issues published within the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143 Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,  
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX,  
the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system.

Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 173    No. 1    March 1996

---

Isometric immersions of $H_1^n$ into $H_1^{n+1}$	1
KINETSU ABE	
Rotationally symmetric hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature	29
MARIE-FRANÇOISE BIDAUT-VÉRON	
The covers of a Noetherian module	69
JIAN-JUN CHUAI	
On the odd primary cohomology of higher projective planes	77
MARK FOSKEY and MICHAEL DAVID SLACK	
Unit indices of some imaginary composite quadratic fields. II	93
MIKIHITO HIRABAYASHI	
Mixed automorphic vector bundles on Shimura varieties	105
MIN HO LEE	
Trace ideal criteria for Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the weighted Bergman spaces with exponential type weights	127
PENG LIN and RICHARD ROCHBERG	
On quadratic reciprocity over function fields	147
KATHY DONOVAN MERRILL and LYNNE WALLING	
$(A_2)$ -conditions and Carleson inequalities in Bergman spaces	151
TAKAHIKO NAKAZI and MASAHIRO YAMADA	
A note on a paper of E. Boasso and A. Larotonda: "A spectral theory for solvable Lie algebras of operators"	173
C. OTT	
Tensor products with anisotropic principal series representations of free groups	181
CARLO PENSVALLE and TIM STEGER	
On Ricci deformation of a Riemannian metric on manifold with boundary	203
YING SHEN	
The Weyl quantization of Poisson $SU(2)$	223
ALBERT JEU-LIANG SHEU	
Weyl's law for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z}) \backslash SL(3, \mathbb{R}) / SO(3, \mathbb{R})$	241
ERIC GEORGE STADE and DOROTHY IRENE WALLACE (ANDREOLI)	
Minimal hyperspheres in two-point homogeneous spaces	263
PER TOMTER	
Subalgebras of little Lipschitz algebras	283
NIKOLAI ISAAC WEAVER	