Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SHARING VALUES AND A PROBLEM DUE TO C. C. YANG

XIN-HOU HUA

Volume 175 No. 1

September 1996

SHARING VALUES AND A PROBLEM DUE TO C.C. YANG

Xin-hou Hua

In this paper, we proved a unicity theorem for meromorphic functions with one sharing pair and a condition on deficiency. An example shows that the condition on deficiency is best possible. This result gives a general answer to the problem due to C.C.Yang (1977).

1. Introduction.

In this paper, by meromorphic function we always mean a function which meromorphic in the plane. Let f(z) be meromorphic. We shall use the following standard notations in Nevanlinna theory:

$$T(r,f), \quad m(r,f), \quad N(r,f),...$$

(see Gross [5]). We denote by S(r, f) any function satisfying

$$S(r,f) = o\{T(r,f)\}$$

as $r \to +\infty$, possibly outside a set of finite Lebesgue measure. A meromorphic function a(z) is said to be a small function of f if

$$T(r,a) = S(r,f).$$

In this case, we define

$$\delta(a, f) = 1 - \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, \frac{1}{f-a})}{T(r, f)},$$

and a(z) is said to be a deficient function of f if $\delta(a, f) > 0$.

Let g(z), $a_1(z)$ and $a_2(z)$ be meromorphic functions. If the two functions $f(z) - a_1(z)$ and $g(z) - a_2(z)$ assume the same zeros with the same multiplicities, then we call that f and g share the pair (a_1, a_2) CM. In particular, if $a_1 = a_2 = a$, then the word "the pair" is replaced by "the value" or "the function" provided that a is a constant or a is a function respectively (cf. Frank-Ohlenroth [4], Gundersen [6], etc.). In addition, if

$$N(r, (f = a_1)\Delta(g = a_2)) = \min\{S(r, f), S(r, g)\},\$$

then we say that f and g almost share the pair (a_1, a_2) CM. Here, $N(r, (f = a_1)\Delta(g = a_2))$ is the counting function of those points which satisfy one of the following three cases: (i) $f = a_1$ but $g \neq a_2$; (ii) $f \neq a_1$ but $g = a_2$; (iii) $f = a_1$ and $g = a_2$ but the multiplicities are not the same.

In 1977, Yang [9] proved the following result.

Theorem A. Suppose that F is a family of the functions which are of the form $\alpha_1(z)e^{\mu(z)} + \alpha_2(z)$, where $\mu(z)$ is an entire functions with finite order, $\alpha_j(z)$ (j = 1, 2) are meromorphic functions of finite order, $\alpha_1 \neq 0$, $\alpha_2 \neq \text{const.}$, the order of α_j (j = 1, 2) is less than the order of μ . Let c_1 and c_2 be two distinct constants, and let $f \in F$, $g \in F$. If f and g share the two values c_1 and c_2 CM, then $f \equiv g$ or

$$\left(f - \frac{c_2 - c_1\lambda(z)}{1 - \lambda(z)}\right) \left(g + \frac{c_2 - c_1\lambda(z)}{1 - \lambda(z)}\right) = -\frac{(c_2 - c_1)^2\lambda(z)}{(1 - \lambda(z))^2},$$

where $\lambda(z)$ is a nonconstant meromorphic function.

Based on this result, Yang [9] proposed the following problem.

Yang's problem. Whether can we omit the restrictions on the order in the family F?

It is easy to see from the hypotheses of Theorem A that, if $f = \alpha_1(z)e^{\mu(z)} + \alpha_2(z) \in F$ and $g = \alpha_3(z)e^{\nu(z)} + \alpha_4(z) \in F$, then $N(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha_2}) = o\{T(r, f)\}$ and $N(r, \frac{1}{g-\alpha_4}) = o\{T(r, g)\}$. Thus

(1)
$$\delta(\alpha_2, f) = \delta(\alpha_4, g) = 1,$$

(2)
$$\delta(\infty, f) = \delta(\infty, f) = 1.$$

These observations lead to our main result.

Theorem 1. Let f(z), g(z), a(z), b(z), $\alpha(z)$ and $\beta(z)$ be meromorphic functions in the plane, where a(z) and $\alpha(z)$ are small functions of f, b(z)and $\beta(z)$ are small functions of g(z), $a(z) \not\equiv \alpha(z)$, $b(z) \not\equiv \beta(z)$. Suppose that f and g share the pair (a,b) CM and

(3)
$$\delta = \delta(\alpha, f) + \delta(\beta, g) + \delta(\infty, f) + \delta(\infty, g) > 3.$$

Then either

(4)
$$\frac{f-\alpha}{a-\alpha} = \frac{g-\beta}{b-\beta}$$

or

(5)
$$\frac{f-\alpha}{a-\alpha}\frac{g-\beta}{b-\beta} = 1.$$

Remark 1. The number 3 in the inequality (3) is sharp.

For example, let P and Q be two nonzero polynomials, $f = e^{2z} - Qe^z$, $g = \frac{e^{2z}}{e^z + \frac{P}{Q}}$. Then one can check that f and g share the pair (P, Q) CM and

$$\delta(0, f) + \delta(0, g) + \delta(\infty, f) + \delta(\infty, g) = \frac{1}{2} + 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2} = 3.$$

However, $\frac{f}{P} \not\equiv \frac{g}{Q}$ and $\frac{f}{P} \frac{g}{Q} \not\equiv 1$.

Remark 2. Note that Theorem A needs two shared values. However, in our theorem 1, we only need one shared pair.

Remark 3. The topic on unicity theorem concerning deficiency were studied by Ozawa [7], Ueda [8] etc. The case that f and g are entire functions and a(z) = b(z) = 1 was considered by Yi [10].

Remark 4. From the proof of Theorem 1 we see that the word "share" can be replaced by "almost share".

As an application, we obtain the following

Corollary. The answer to Yang's problem is affirmative.

2. Some Symbols.

For the sake of convienence, we shall use some symbols introduced by Chuang [1] and Chuang-Hua [2].

For meromorphic function f(z) and a point z, according as z is a pole of f or not, we denote by $\omega(f, z)$ the multiplicity of z or 0 and by $\overline{\omega}(f, z)$ the value 1 or 0. For three meromorphic functions f, g and h, we divide the set of the poles of f and g on $\{|z| \leq r\}$ into five pairwise disjoint subsets as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ll} V_1 =: & \{z: & f(z) \neq \infty, & g(z) = \infty\}, \\ V_2 =: & \{z: & f(z) = \infty, & g(z) \neq \infty\}, \\ V_3 =: & \{z: & f(z) = \infty, & g(z) = \infty, & h(z) = \infty\}, \\ V_4 =: & \{z: & f(z) = \infty, & g(z) = \infty, & h(z) \neq 0, \infty\}, \\ V_5 =: & \{z: & f(z) = \infty, & g(z) = \infty, & h(z) = 0\}. \end{array}$$

XIN-HOU HUA

Furthermore, for each $j \in \{1, ..., 5\}$, we denote by $n_j(f)$ and $n_j(g)$ the number of the poles of f and g in the set V_j respectively, with due count of multiplicity. The corresponding counting functions are denoted by $N_j(f)$ and $N_j(g)$ respectively. Obviously,

(6)
$$N(r,f) = N_2(f) + N_3(f) + N_4(f) + N_5(f),$$

(7)
$$N(r,g) = N_1(g) + N_3(g) + N_4(g) + N_5(g).$$

3. One Basic Lemma.

For the proof of our results, we need the following lemma which can be found in Chuang-Yang [3, p. 39] or Gross [5, pp. 70-73].

Lemma 1. Let f_j $(j = 1, ..., n \ge 2)$ be n linearly independent meromorphic functions. If $f_1 + ... + f_n \equiv 1$, then we have

$$T(r, f_1) \le \sum_{j=1}^n N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right) + N(r, W) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) \\ - \sum_{j=2}^n N(r, f_j) + S(r, f_1) + \dots + S(r, f_n),$$

where W = W(z) is the Wronskian of $f_1, ..., f_n$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let

$$\begin{split} F_1 &=: \ \{z: a(z) = \infty\} \cup \{z: b(z) = \infty\} \cup \{z: \alpha(z) = \infty\} \cup \{z: \beta(z) = \infty\}, \\ F_2 &=: \ \{z: a(z) = \alpha(z)\} \cup \{z: b(z) = \beta(z)\}. \end{split}$$

Set

$$F =: F_1 \cup F_2,$$

the corresponding counting function is denoted by $N_F(r)$. Put

(8)
$$h(z) =: \frac{f(z) - a(z)}{g(z) - b(z)}.$$

Since a(z) and b(z) are small functions of f and g respectively, we know that $h(z) \neq 0, \infty$. Let z_o be a pole of h with $z_o \notin F$. Since f and g share the

pair (a, b) CM, we have $z_o \in V_2 \cup V_3$. If $z_o \in V_2$, then $\omega(h, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o)$; If $z_o \in V_3$, then $\omega(h, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o) - \omega(g, z_o)$. Thus (9) $N_2(f) + N_3(f) - N_3(g) - N_F(r) \le N(r, h) \le N_2(f) + N_3(f) - N_3(g) + N_F(r)$.

Similarly we have

(10)
$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) \le N_1(g) + N_5(g) - N_5(f) + N_F(r).$$

Let

$$f_1 =: \frac{f-\alpha}{a-\alpha}, \quad f_2 =: -\frac{g-\beta}{a-\alpha}h, \quad f_3 =: \frac{b-\beta}{a-\alpha}h.$$

Then

(11)
$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{f_1}\right) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N_F(r),$$

(12)
$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{f_3}\right) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{h}\right) + N_F(r),$$

(13)
$$N(r,h) - N_F(r) \le N(r,f_3) \le N(r,h) + N_F(r).$$

From (8) it is easy to see that any zero of h which is not in the set F is not a zero of f_2 . Thus

(14)
$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{f_2}\right) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + N_F(r).$$

Now for any pole z_o of f_2 with $z_o \notin F$, we know that z_o is a pole of g or h. If $z_o \in V_1$, then $\omega(g, z_o) = \omega(\frac{1}{h}, z_o)$, and so, $\omega(f_2, z_o) = 0$; If $z_o \in V_2$, then $\omega(f_2, z_o) = \omega(h, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o)$; If $z_o \in V_3$, then $\omega(h, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o) - \omega(g, z_o)$, and so, $\omega(f_2, z_o) = \omega(g, z_o) + \omega(h, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o)$; If $z_o \in V_4$, then $h(z_o) \neq 0, \infty$ and $\omega(f_2, z_o) = \omega(g, z_o)$; If $z_o \in V_5$, then $\omega(\frac{1}{h}, z_o) = \omega(g, z_o) - \omega(f, z_o)$ and $\omega(f_2, z_o) = \omega(g, z_o) - \omega(\frac{1}{h}, z_o) = \omega(f, z_o)$. Combining all these facts we get

(15)
$$N_2(f) + N_3(f) + N_4(g) + N_5(f) - N_F(r) \le N(r, f_2)$$

$$\leq N_2(f) + N_3(f) + N_4(g) + N_5(f) + N_F(r).$$

Next we rewrite (8) in the form

(16)
$$f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 1.$$

XIN-HOU HUA

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set I with infinite measure such that

(17)
$$T(r,g) \le T(r,f), \quad r \in I.$$

(Otherwise, we only need to consider T(r, g) instead of T(r, f) in the following discussions.) Thus $N_F(r) = S(r, f), r \in I$.

In the sequel, we always let $r \in I$. Now we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2. f_1 , f_2 and f_3 are linearly dependent.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that the f's are linearly independent. By lemma 1,

$$T(r, f_1) \le \sum_{j=1}^3 N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right) + N(r, W) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) - N(r, f_2) - N(r, f_3) + S(r, f_1) + S(r, f_2) + S(r, f_3)$$

where W is the Wronskian of f_1, f_2, f_3 , i.e.,

$$W = \begin{vmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 \\ f_1' & f_2' & f_3' \\ f_1'' & f_2'' & f_3'' \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} f_1' & f_3' \\ f_1'' & f_3'' \end{vmatrix}$$

by (16). Now by (10), (11), (12) and (14),

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j=1}^{3} N\left(r, \frac{1}{f_j}\right) \leq & N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) \\ & + N_1(g) + N_5(g) - N_5(f) + 4N_F(r) \end{split}$$

In addition, by the inequalities on the left hand sides of (9), (13) and (15),

$$N(r, f_2) + N(r, f_3) \ge 2N_2(f) + 2N_3(f) + N_4(f) + N_5(f) - N_3(g) - 3N_F(r).$$

Combining the three inequalities above we get

$$T(r, f_1) \leq N(r, W) - N\left(r, \frac{1}{W}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{f - \alpha}\right) + N\left(r, \frac{1}{g - \beta}\right) \\ + N_1(g) + N_3(g) + N_5(g) \\ - 2N_2(f) - 2N_3(f) - N_4(f) - 2N_5(f) \\ + 7N_F(r) + S(r, f_1) + S(r, f_2) + S(r, f_3)$$

$$=N(r,W) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{W}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + N_1(g) + N_3(g) + N_4(g) + N_5(g) \\ - 2N_2(f) - 2N_3(f) - 2N_4(f) - 2N_5(f) \\ - N_4(g) + N_4(f) \\ + 7N_F(r) + S(r,f_1) + S(r,f_2) + S(r,f_3).$$

Substituting (6) and (7) into the above inequality and using the facts that

$$N_4(f) = N_4(g), \quad T(r, f) = T(r, f_1) + S(r, f),$$

$$T(r, a), \quad T(r, b), \quad T(r, \alpha), \quad T(r, \beta) = S(r, f),$$

$$N_F(r), \quad S(r, f_j) = S(r, f), \quad (j = 1, ..., 3)$$

we obtain

$$T(r,f) \le N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + N(r,W) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{W}\right)$$

(18)
$$+N(r,g) - 2N(r,f) + S(r,f).$$

Next we estimate the term $N(r, W) - N(r, \frac{1}{W})$. Since

(19)
$$W = -(f_1'f_3'' - f_1''f_3'),$$

from the expressions of f_1 and f_3 we see that the poles of W only occur at the poles of f and the points in F. Let z_0 be a pole of f with $z_0 \notin F$.

If $z_o \in V_2$, then near $z = z_0$,

$$f_1 = rac{1}{(z-z_0)^{\omega(f,z_0)}} \left\{ x + \bigcirc (z-z_0)
ight\}, \quad f_3 = rac{1}{(z-z_0)^{\omega(f,z_0)}} \left\{ y + \bigcirc (z-z_0)
ight\},$$

where x and y are nonzero constants. If $\omega(f, z_0) \ge 2$, then

$$\begin{split} f_1'f_3'' &= \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^{2\omega(f,z_0)+3}} \left\{ -\omega(f,z_0)^2 (\omega(f,z_0)+1) xy + \bigcirc (z-z_0) \right\}, \\ f_1''f_3' &= \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^{2\omega(f,z_0)+3}} \left\{ -\omega(f,z_0)^2 (\omega(f,z_0)+1) xy + \bigcirc (z-z_0) \right\}, \end{split}$$

and so,

$$f_1'f_3'' - f_1''f_3' = \bigcirc \left\{ \frac{1}{(z - z_0)^{2\omega(f, z_0) + 2}} \right\}$$

If $\omega(f, z_0) = 1$, then

$$f_1'f_3'' = \frac{-2xy}{(z-z_0)^5} + \frac{O(1)}{(z-z_0)^3} + \dots,$$

$$f_1''f_3' = \frac{-2xy}{(z-z_0)^5} + \frac{O(1)}{(z-z_0)^3} + \dots,$$

and so,

$$f'_1 f''_3 - f''_1 f'_3 = \bigcirc \left\{ \frac{1}{(z-z_0)^3} \right\}.$$

Thus

$$egin{aligned} &\omega(W,z_0) \leq egin{cases} 2\omega(f,z_0)+2, & ext{if } \omega(f,z_0) \geq 2\ 3, & ext{if } \omega(f,z_0)=1\ &\leq 3\omega(f,z_0). \end{aligned}$$

If $z_0 \in V_3$, then $\omega(g, z_0) \ge 1$ and $\omega(f, z_0) \ge 2$. Thus, by (19),

$$egin{aligned} &\omega(W,z_0) \leq 2\omega(f,z_0) + 3 - \omega(g,z_0) \ &\leq 2\omega(f,z_0) + 2 \leq 3\omega(f,z_0). \end{aligned}$$

If $z_0 \in V_4$, then $\omega(f, z_0) = \omega(g, z_0)$, and so, $\omega(f_3, z_0) = 0$. By (19), we get

$$\omega(W, z_0) \le \omega(f, z_0) + 2 \le 3\omega(f, z_0).$$

If $z_0 \in V_5$, and if z_0 is a pole of W, then by (19),

$$\omega(W, z_0) \le \omega(f, z_0) + 2$$
$$\le 3\omega(f, z_0).$$

Combining all the cases above and noting (6), we deduce that

$$N(r,W) \leq 3N(r,f) + N_F(r).$$

This and (18) give

(20)
$$T(r,f) \leq N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + N(r,f) + N(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

Now by the definition of deficiency, for $\epsilon = \frac{\delta-3}{8} > 0$, where δ is the sum in (3), there exists $r_o > 0$ such that

$$N\left(r, \frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) \le (1-\delta(\alpha, f)+\epsilon)T(r, f),$$

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) \le (1-\delta(\beta,g)+\epsilon)T(r,g),$$
$$N(r,f) \le (1-\delta(\infty,f)+\epsilon)T(r,f)$$

 and

$$N(r,g) \le (1 - \delta(\infty,g) + \epsilon)T(r,g)$$

hold for $r \in I$ and $r > r_o$. Substituting all these inequality into (20) and noting (17), we get $\delta \leq 3$, which contradicts our hypothesis. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Now by Lemma 2, there exist three constants c_1 , c_2 and c_3 with

(21)
$$|c_1| + |c_2| + |c_3| \neq 0$$

and

(22)
$$c_1 f_1 + c_2 f_2 + c_3 f_3 = 0.$$

If $c_1 = 0$, then $c_2c_3 \neq 0$ and $f_2 = -\frac{c_3}{c_2}f_3$. This leads to $g = \frac{c_3}{c_2}b(z) + (1 - \frac{c_3}{c_2})\beta(z)$, which contradicts the assumptions that b(z) and $\beta(z)$ are small functions of g. Thus, $c_1 \neq 0$. We may suppose $c_1 = -1$, and (22) reads $f_1 = c_2f_2 + c_3f_3$. Combining this and (16) we obtain

(23)
$$(1+c_2)f_2 + (1+c_3)f_3 = 1.$$

Next we consider two cases.

(i) $1 + c_2 = 0$. Then $1 + c_3 \neq 0$ and $(1 + c_3)f_3 = 1$. It follows from (8) and the definition of f_3 between (10) and (11) that

(24)
$$f - \frac{c_3 a + \alpha}{1 + c_3} = f - a + a - \frac{c_3 a + \alpha}{1 + c_3}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1 + c_3}\right) \frac{a - \alpha}{b - \beta} (g - b) + a - \frac{c_3 a + \alpha}{1 + c_3}$$
$$= \left(\frac{1}{1 + c_3}\right) \frac{a - \alpha}{b - \beta} (g - \beta).$$

If $c_3 \neq 0$, then $\frac{c_3 a + \alpha}{1 + c_3} \neq \alpha$. By the Nevanlinna "three-functions theorem" we deduce that

$$T(r,f) \le N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\frac{c_3a+\alpha}{1+c_3}}\right) + S(r,f)$$
$$= N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + S(r,f).$$

XIN-HOU HUA

This is impossible by the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2. Therefore $c_3 = 0$ and (24) reads

$$\frac{f-\alpha}{g-\beta} = \frac{a-\alpha}{b-\beta}.$$

This is what we need.

(ii) $1 + c_2 \neq 0$. It follows from (8), (23) and the definitions of f_2 and f_3 between (10) and (11) that $-(1+c_2)\frac{g-\beta}{a-\alpha} + (1+c_3)\frac{b-\beta}{a-\alpha} = \frac{g-b}{f-a}$, which can be written as

(25)
$$f - \frac{c_2 a + \alpha}{1 + c_2} = \left(\frac{c_2 - c_3}{(1 + c_2)^2}\right) \frac{(a - \alpha)(b - \beta)}{g - \frac{1 + c_3}{1 + c_2}b - \frac{c_2 - c_3}{1 + c_2}\beta}$$

If $c_2 \neq 0$, then $\frac{c_2 a + \alpha}{1 + c_2} \neq \alpha$. By the "three-functions theorem", we have

$$T(r,f) \le N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\frac{c_2a+\alpha}{1+c_2}}\right) + S(r,f)$$
$$\le N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N(r,g) + S(r,f).$$

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2, we can get a contradiction. Thus $c_2 = 0$, and (25) reads

(26)
$$f - \alpha = -c_3 \frac{(a - \alpha)(b - \beta)}{g - (1 + c_3)b + c_3\beta}.$$

If $c_3 = -1$, then

$$(f-\alpha)(g-\beta) = (a-\alpha)(b-\beta),$$

as asserted. If $c_3 \neq -1$, then $\frac{\alpha + c_3 \alpha}{1 + c_3} \neq \alpha$ and (26) can be written as

$$f - \frac{\alpha + c_3 a}{1 + c_3} = -\left(\frac{c_3}{1 + c_3}\right) \frac{(a - \alpha)(g - \beta)}{g - (1 + c_3)b + c_3\beta}.$$

Thus, the "three-functions theorem" gives

$$T(r,f) \le N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\frac{\alpha+c_{3}a}{1+c_{3}}}\right) + S(r,f)$$
$$\le N(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f-\alpha}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{g-\beta}\right) + S(r,f).$$

By the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 2 we obtain a contradiction. This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Acknowledgement. I wish to thank Prof. Dr. G. Frank, Prof. Dr. E. Mues and Dr. M. Reinders for their valuable discussions. I also want to express my gratitude to the refree for many useful suggestions.

References

- C.T. Chuang, Une généralisation d'une inegatité de Nevanlinna, Sci. Sinica, 13 (1964), 887-895.
- [2] C.T. Chuang and X.H. Hua, On the growth of meromorphic functions, Sci. Sinica (Sci. in China), 33 (1990), 1025-1033.
- [3] C.T. Chuang and C.C. Yang, Fix-points and factorization of meromorphic functions, World Sci. Publishing, Singapore 1990.
- [4] G. Frank and W. Ohlenroth, Meromorphe Funktionen, die mit einer ihrer Ableitungen Werte teilen, Complex Variables, 6 (1986), 23-27.
- [5] F. Gross, Factorization of meromorphic functions, U. S. Government Printing Office 1972.
- [6] G.G. Gundersen, Meromorphic functions that share three or four values, J. London Math. Soc., 20 (1979), 457-466.
- [7] M. Ozawa, Unicity theorems for entire functions, J. Analyse Math., 30 (1976), 411-420.
- [8] H. Ueda, Unicity theorems for entire functions, Kodai Math. J., 3 (1980), 212-223.
- C.C. Yang, On meromorphic functions taking the same values at the same points, Kodai Math Sem. Rep., 28 (1977), 300-309.
- [10] H.X. Yi, Meromorphic functions with two deficient values, Acta Math. Sinica, 30 (1987), 588-597.

Received November 10, 1993 and revised October 4, 1994. Supported by DAAD/K.C.Wong Fellowship and NNSF of China.

NANJING UNIVERSITY NANJING 210093 P.R.CHINA *E-mail address*: postmath@netra.nju.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

Robert Finn Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Sun-Yung A. Chang (Managing Editor)

University of California

pacific@math.ucla.edu

University of California

christ@math.ucla.edu

University of Arizona

ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

F. Michael Christ

Nicholas Ercolani

Tucson, AZ 85721

Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555

Steven Kerckhoff Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305 spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106 mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu Gang Tian Massachusettes Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA 02139 tian@math.mit.edu

V. S. Varadarajan University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 vsv@math.ucla.edu

Dan Voiculescu University of California Berkeley, CA 94720 dvv@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

CALIF. INST. OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIV. OF ARIZONA	UNIV. OF HAWAII
CHINESE UNIV. OF HONG KONG	UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	UNIV. OF MELBOURNE
MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY	UNIV. OF CALIF., BERKELEY	UNIV. OF MONTANA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.	UNIV. OF CALIF., DAVIS	UNIV. NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE MEXICO
OREGON STATE UNIV.	UNIV. OF CALIF., IRVINE	UNIV. OF NEVADA, RENO
PEKING UNIVERSITY	UNIV. OF CALIF., LOS ANGELES	UNIV. OF OREGON
RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY	UNIV. OF CALIF., RIVERSIDE	UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY	UNIV. OF CALIF., SAN DIEGO	UNIV OF UTAH
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA	UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES	UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover. The table of contents and the abstracts of the papers in the current issue, as well as other information about the Pacific Journal of Mathematics, may be found on the Internet at http://www.math.uci.edu/pjm.html.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$245.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$123.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions. Subscriptions, back issues published within the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,

Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX, the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system. Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 175 No. 1 September 1996

Homogeneous Ricci positive 5-manifolds	1
DIMITRI ALEKSEEVSKY, ISABEL DOTTI DE MIATELLO and CARLOS J. FERRARIS	1
On the structure of tensor products of ℓ_p -spaces ALVARO ARIAS and JEFFREY D. FARMER	13
The closed geodesic problem for compact Riemannian 2-orbifolds JOSEPH E. BORZELLINO and BENJAMIN G. LORICA	39
Small eigenvalue variation and real rank zero OLA BRATTELI and GEORGE A. ELLIOTT	47
Global analytic hypoellipticity of \Box_b on circular domains SO-CHIN CHEN	61
Sharing values and a problem due to C. C. Yang XIN-HOU HUA	71
Commutators and invariant domains for Schrödinger propagators MIN-JEI HUANG	83
Chaos of continuum-wise expansive homeomorphisms and dynamical properties of sensitive maps of graphs HISAO KATO	93
Some properties of Fano manifolds that are zeros of sections in homogeneous vector bundles over Grassmannians OLIVER KÜCHLE	117
On polynomials orthogonal with respect to Sobolev inner product on the unit circle XIN LI and FRANCISCO MARCELLAN	127
Maximal subfields of Q (<i>i</i>)-division rings STEVEN LIEDAHL	147
Virtual diagonals and <i>n</i> -amenability for Banach algebras ALAN L. T. PATERSON	161
Rational Pontryagin classes, local representations, and <i>K^G</i> -theory CLAUDE SCHOCHET	187
An equivalence relation for codimension one foliations of 3-manifolds SANDRA SHIELDS	235
A construction of Lomonosov functions and applications to the invariant subspace problem	257
Aleksander Simonič	
Complete intersection subvarieties of general hypersurfaces ENDRE SZABÓ	271