

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

MAXIMAL SUBFIELDS OF $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ -DIVISION RINGS

STEVEN LIEDAHL

Volume 175 No. 1

September 1996

MAXIMAL SUBFIELDS OF $Q(i)$ -DIVISION RINGS

STEVEN LIEDAHL

In this paper we determine the $Q(i)$ -division rings which have maximal subfields of the form $E(i)$, where E/Q is cyclic and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. These are precisely the $Q(i)$ -division rings having maximal subfields which are abelian over Q . More generally we determine the $Q(i)$ -division rings having maximal subfields which are Galois over Q . We show that a division ring D contains such subfields if and only if the same is true for the 2-part of the Sylow decomposition of D .

1. Introduction and Preliminaries.

Let K be a field, and let D be a finite-dimensional K -central division ring. The dimension $[D : K] = m^2$ is a square, and one defines the index of D by $\text{ind}(D) = m$. The maximal subfields of D are precisely those subfields which contain K and which have degree m over K . In case D has a maximal subfield L which is Galois over K , there exists a 2-cocycle $f : G \times G \rightarrow L^*$ such that D is isomorphic to the crossed product algebra $(L/K, f)$. This is proved in the chapter on simple algebras in [Hers], and we will assume familiarity with the results given there. It is well known that if K is a number field, then D has a maximal subfield which is cyclic of degree m over K . In [Alb], A.A. Albert posed the following rationality question: if F is a subfield of K , does there exist a cyclic extension E/F of degree m such that EK is a maximal subfield of D ? He showed that such E need not exist, but considered conditions on $\text{ind}(D)$ and $[K : F]$ under which such E could be found (e.g., Proposition 6, below).

The results of the present paper are motivated by this question in the special case $K = Q(i)$, $F = Q$. If E/Q is a cyclic extension of degree m such that $E(i)$ is a maximal subfield of a $Q(i)$ -division ring D , then $E(i)$ is, in particular, an abelian extension of Q . It turns out that, conversely, if D has maximal subfields abelian over Q , then it has one of the form $E(i)$, where E/Q is cyclic. This raises the question of whether a $Q(i)$ -division ring has maximal subfields which are cyclic, abelian, or even Galois over Q . We determine the $Q(i)$ -division rings having such subfields in our main theorems 7, 8, and 12, according to the local indices of D . To define these,

let K be any number field, let p denote a finite or infinite prime of K , and let K_p denote the completion of K at p . Then $K_p \otimes_K D$ is a matrix ring over a K_p -division ring D_p , and we define $\text{ind}_p(D) = \text{ind}(D_p) = m_p$. The K -division ring D is determined uniquely up to K -isomorphism by its Hasse invariants $\text{inv}_p(D) = r_p/m_p \in Q/Z$, where $(r_p, m_p) = 1$. For their definition, we refer to [A-T] and especially to [Rein]. The invariants satisfy

- (i) $r_p/m_p = 0$ for all but finitely many p ,
- (ii) $r_p/m_p = 0$ or $1/2$ if p is real, $r_p/m_p = 0$ if p is complex, and
- (iii) $\sum_p (r_p/m_p) = 0$.

Moreover, given fractions r_p/m_p in Q/Z satisfying (i), (ii), and (iii), with the nonzero r_p/m_p satisfying $(r_p, m_p) = 1$, there is a unique K -division ring D such that $\text{inv}_p(D) = r_p/m_p$, and one has $\text{ind}(D) = \text{l.c.m. } \{m_p\}$. If L is a finite extension of K then $L \otimes_K D$ is a central simple L -algebra. Its invariant at a prime \wp dividing p is given by $\text{inv}_\wp(L \otimes_K D) = [L_\wp : K_p] \cdot \text{inv}_p(D)$. Let $\text{Br}(K)$ denote the Brauer group of K , and denote the Brauer class of D by $[D]$. Let Res denote the restriction homomorphism from $\text{Br}(K)$ to $\text{Br}(L)$ induced by $D \rightarrow L \otimes_K D$.

We recall the splitting behavior of rational primes in $Q(i)$. The primes $p = 2$ and $p = p_\infty$ are the only ramified primes; they have unique extensions to $Q(i)$. A prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ has two divisors $\wp, \bar{\wp}$ in $Q(i)$ which are conjugate under the action of $\text{Gal}(Q(i)/Q)$ on the set of primes of $Q(i)$. The primes $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ remain prime in $Q(i)$.

Finally, we will need a description of the Galois extensions of degree ef of a local field F such that the ramification index e is prime to the residue characteristic p of F . Accordingly, let F be a finite extension of Q_p , let q denote the number of elements of the residue field of F . Let T/F be the unramified extension of degree f . Choose a prime element π_F of F and a root of unity $\zeta \in T$ of order $q^f - 1$.

Theorem 1 ([Alb, Has]). *Assume e, f , and i are integers such that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, $e|i(q-1)$, $0 \leq i < q^f - 1$, and $(e, p) = 1$. Then the field $K = F(\zeta, \pi_K)$ defined by $\pi_K^e = \zeta^i \pi_F$ is a Galois extension whose Galois group is generated by elements x, y with defining relations $x^e = 1$, $y^f = x^i$, $y^{-1}xy = x^q$. Conversely, each Galois extension of F having degree ef and ramification index e is obtained in this manner by a choice of integer i satisfying the congruence conditions above.*

This paper is based on part of the author's U.C.L.A. doctoral dissertation, which was written under the kind supervision of Professor M. Schacher, and which was supported by a U.S. Department of Education Dissertation Year

Grant.

2. Maximal Subfields.

We shall give necessary and sufficient conditions for a $Q(i)$ -division ring to have maximal subfields which are cyclic, abelian, or Galois over Q in terms of the local indices $\text{ind}_\wp(D)$. Assume D is a $Q(i)$ -division ring for which the class $[D]$ lies in the image of $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$, with $[Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta] = [D]$ for some Q -division ring Δ . Then either $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \cong D$ or $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \cong M_2(D)$ and $\text{ind}(D) = \frac{1}{2} \text{ind}(\Delta)$. In the first case, if L/Q is a cyclic maximal subfield of Δ , then $L(i)$ is a maximal subfield of D and is an abelian extension of Q . By contrast, it may happen in the second case that no maximal subfield of D is Galois over Q . These cases are distinguished in the following proposition.

Proposition 2. *For a Q -division ring Δ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ is a $Q(i)$ -division ring,
- (ii) $\sqrt{-1} \notin \Delta$,
- (iii) If $\text{ind}(\Delta) = 2^t \cdot m$, m odd, then 2^t divides $\text{ind}_p(\Delta)$ for a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). If $\sqrt{-1} \in \Delta$ then $Q(i) \otimes \Delta$ contains $Q(i) \otimes Q(i) \cong Q(i) \otimes Q[X]/(X^2 + 1) \cong Q(i)[X]/(X^2 + 1) \cong Q(i) \oplus Q(i)$, which contains zero-divisors.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). The regular representation gives $Q(i) \subseteq M_2(Q)$, therefore $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \subseteq M_2(Q) \otimes_Q \Delta = M_2(\Delta)$. If $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ is not a division ring then $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \cong M_2(D)$ contains a copy of $M_2(Q)$ centralized by $Z(Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta) = Q(i)$. By [Hers, Thm. 4.4.2], $M_2(\Delta) = M_2(Q) \otimes_Q C$, where C is the centralizer of $M_2(Q)$ in $M_2(\Delta)$. Then C is a central simple Q -algebra, so $C \cong \Delta$ and there is an embedding $Q(i) \subseteq \Delta$.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (iii). The index of $D = Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ equals the l.c.m. of the indices $\text{ind}_\wp(D)$. So $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ is a division ring iff 2^t divides $\text{ind}_p(\Delta)/[Q(i)_\wp : Q_p]$ for some p iff 2^t divides $\text{ind}_p(\Delta)$ for some p split completely in $Q(i)$. \square

It is known from [Sch1] that if Δ is a Q -division ring which is a crossed product algebra for G , then each Sylow subgroup of G is metacyclic, i.e., a Sylow subgroup P has a cyclic normal subgroup N such that P/N is cyclic. This important property is preserved by $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$ in the following sense.

Proposition 3. *If the class $[D]$ lies in the image of $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$, and if D is a crossed product algebra for a group G , then G is Sylow-*

metacyclic.

Proof. Let $L/Q(i)$ be maximal subfield of D , assume $L/Q(i)$ is Galois, and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/Q(i))$. We claim P is contained in a decomposition group of $L/Q(i)$ at a prime of $Q(i)$ not dividing p . This will imply P is metacyclic by Theorem 1. By [Sch1, Prop. 2.6], P is contained in $\text{Gal}(L_\wp/Q(i)_\wp)$ for at least two primes \wp of $Q(i)$. If $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, the claim follows from the fact that p has a unique extension to $Q(i)$. If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and \wp divides p , then $\text{inv}_\wp(D) = \text{inv}_{\bar{\wp}}(D)$. Since 2 is prime to p , the element $\text{inv}_\wp(D) + \text{inv}_{\bar{\wp}}(D)$ of Q/Z has order a multiple of $|P|$. Then $\sum \text{inv}_\wp(D) = 0$ implies $|P|$ divides the local index of D at some prime v other than $\wp, \bar{\wp}$. This implies that a copy of P is contained in $\text{Gal}(L_v/Q(i)_v)$ as desired. \square

Proposition 3 reflects the statement above about Q -division rings as crossed products. However the proposition is easily false if $Q(i)$ is replaced by an arbitrary number field. For instance, let p be an odd prime, let K/Q be a cyclic extension of degree p^3 in which p splits completely. Let D be the unique K -division ring whose invariants are $1/p^3$ at each of the p^3 divisors of p in K , and 0 elsewhere. Let G be the nonabelian group of order p^3 and exponent p . Since G is generated by two elements, it follows easily from [Sh] and [Neu2, Main Thm.] that D has a G -Galois maximal subfield L/K . This D lies in the image of the restriction map. For if Δ is the Q -division ring whose invariants are $1/p^3$ at p , $-1/p^3$ at some prime of degree p^3 , and 0 elsewhere, then $K \otimes_Q \Delta \cong D$.

We have used the fact that a class $[D]$ in the image of $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$ has equal invariants at conjugate primes. This property actually characterizes the image in the present case. We omit the easy proof of the following proposition, which uses only the fact that $\text{Gal}(Q(i)/Q)$ is cyclic. The case of a finite Galois extension is slightly more complicated, and is treated in [Mac, p. 330].

Proposition 4. *The image of $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$ consists of those classes $[D]$ such that $\text{inv}_\wp(D) = \text{inv}_{\bar{\wp}}(D)$ whenever \wp is conjugate to $\bar{\wp}$ over Q .*

With Proposition 4 we describe those $Q(i)$ -division rings which may be defined over Q :

Proposition 5. *Let D be a $Q(i)$ -division ring of index $2^t \cdot m$, m odd. Then*

there exists a Q -division ring Δ such that $D \cong Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ if and only if

- (i) $\text{inv}_\varphi(D) = \text{inv}_{\bar{\varphi}}(D)$ for all primes $\varphi, \bar{\varphi}$ conjugate over Q , and
- (ii) if $t \geq 1$, then 2^t divides $\text{ind}_\varphi(D)$ only if φ divides a rational prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$.

Proof. If $D = Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta$ is a division ring, then the invariants of D are equal at conjugate primes, and 2^t is the highest power of 2 dividing $\text{ind}(\Delta)$, so 2^t divides $\text{ind}_p(\Delta)$ for some prime p . If $t \geq 1$, then (ii) follows from the fact that $[Q(i)_\varphi : Q_p] = 2$ unless $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Conversely, if (i) holds then there is a Q -division ring Δ such that $[Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta] = [D]$ by Proposition 4, and $\text{ind}(\Delta) \geq \text{ind}(D)$. If (ii) is assumed, then the 2-part of $\text{ind}_p(\Delta)$ is at most 2^t for all rational primes p . Therefore $\text{ind}(\Delta) = 2^t \cdot m = \text{ind}(D)$ and $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \cong D$. \square

We begin our study of maximal subfields of $Q(i)$ -division rings with a determination of those division rings having a maximal subfield which is a cyclic extension of Q . Suppose D has index $2^t \cdot m$, m odd, and assume D has such a maximal subfield. Then $t = 0$ according to the fact that $Q(i)/Q$ cannot be embedded a cyclic extension of degree 4. We show that conversely, a $Q(i)$ -division ring of odd index has a maximal subfield which is cyclic over Q . This is immediate from:

Proposition 6 ([Alb, Thm. 24]). *Let K and F be number fields with $[K : F] = q$, and assume K/F is Galois. If D is a K -division ring of index n prime to q , then there is a cyclic extension E/F of degree n such that EK is a maximal subfield of D .*

Proof. Let S be the set of primes of F which lie under primes of K where $\text{ind}_\varphi(D) > 1$. By the Grunwald-Wang theorem ([A-T, Chap. 10]), there is a cyclic extension E/F of degree n such that $[E_\varphi : F_\varphi] = \text{ind}_\varphi(D)$ for all $\varphi \in S$. Then $[EK : K] = n$. Since K/F is Galois, the degrees $[K_\varphi : F_\varphi]$ are divisors of q . Therefore K_φ and E_φ are disjoint over F_φ and $[(EK)_\varphi : K_\varphi] = \text{ind}_\varphi(D)$ for all φ such that $\text{ind}_\varphi(D) > 1$. This shows that EK splits D , and is a maximal subfield of D . \square

Remarks. 1. The assumption that K/F be Galois was omitted in [Alb], but is shown to be necessary by the following example. We take $F = Q$. Since the 3-adic field Q_3 contains no primitive 5-th root of unity, the only cyclic extension of Q_3 of degree 5 is unramified. Let L/Q be a Galois extension with group S_7 such that L_φ is unramified of degree 5 at a divisor of 3. Let $\alpha \in L$ be such that $[Q(\alpha) : Q] = 7$ and L is generated by the conjugates of

α over Q . We may assume α is chosen so that $L_\wp = Q_3(\alpha)$. Let $K = Q(\alpha)$ and let D be a K -division ring of index 5 such that $\text{ind}_p(D) = 5$, where p lies under the prime \wp of L . Then $K_p = L_\wp$ is unramified of degree 5 over Q_3 . Any cyclic extension E/Q of degree 5 such that EK splits D must have the property that $[E_p : Q_3] = 5$ at the unique divisor of 3 in E , and $[(EK)_p : K_p] = 5$ at the unique divisor of p in EK . But then E_p/Q_3 is unramified, hence $(EK)_p = K_p$, and EK cannot split D .

2. The following related question is considered in [Sch2]. If D is a K -division ring, K a number field, it is well known that D has a cyclotomic splitting field $L \subseteq K(\mu_n)$ for some n (see [A-T, p. 57]). Thus $[D] = [(L/K, f)]$ for a cyclotomic extension L of K . It had been conjectured that L could be chosen to be a maximal subfield of D , so that similarity could be strengthened to an isomorphism $D \cong (L/K, f)$. This conjecture is disproved in [Sch2] (counterexamples over $Q(i)$ are given below). It was stated in [Sch2, Thm. 2] that this conjecture is true if K/Q has degree q prime to $n = \text{ind}(D)$. The proof given there is valid if K/Q is Galois, but the K -division ring D of the preceding remark is a counterexample to the conjecture, hence also to [Sch2, Thm. 2]. Indeed, if $L \subseteq K(\mu_n)$ is a maximal subfield of D , then $K \cap Q(\mu_n) = Q$ implies L has the form EK , where $E \subseteq Q(\mu_n)$ is cyclic of degree 5 over Q . By Remark 1, D has no such subfield.

According to Proposition 6, if $\text{ind}(D) = m$ is odd, there is a cyclic extension E/Q of degree m such that $E(i)$ is a maximal subfield of D , and $E(i)/Q$ is clearly cyclic. We have proved

Theorem 7. *A $Q(i)$ -division ring D has maximal subfields which are cyclic over Q if and only if $\text{ind}(D)$ is odd.*

Next we determine the $Q(i)$ -division rings having maximal subfields which are abelian over Q . The problem is easily reduced to the case of 2-power index as follows. According to [Hers, Thm. 4.4.6], let $D = D_1 \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2$, where $\text{ind}(D_1) = m$ is odd, and $\text{ind}(D_2) = 2^t$. If D_1 and D_2 have maximal subfields L_1 and L_2 , respectively, then the composite $L_1 L_2 \cong L_1 \otimes_{Q(i)} L_2$ is a maximal subfield of D . If L_1 and L_2 are abelian over Q , then so is $L_1 L_2$. Conversely, if D has a maximal subfield L abelian over Q , let M be the fixed field of the Sylow 2-subgroup H of $\text{Gal}(L/Q)$, and let K be the fixed field of the complement of H . Then $M(i)$ splits D_1 , K splits D_2 , and these are abelian over Q .

According to the above decomposition of D , we define four sets of primes as follows:

- S' = the finite set of primes \wp of $Q(i)$ such that $\text{ind}_{\wp}(D_2) > 1$,
- S = the restrictions to Q of primes in S' ,
- S_3 = primes $p \in S$ such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$,
- T = primes in S_3 such that $\text{ind}_{\wp}(D_2) = 2^t$, \wp a divisor of p .

Theorem 8. *Let D be a $Q(i)$ -division ring of index $2^t \cdot m$, m odd. If $t = 1$, then D has maximal subfields which are abelian over Q . If $t \geq 2$, then D has maximal subfields which are abelian over Q if and only if $T = \emptyset$.*

Proof. As shown above, we may assume D has 2-power index. Assume $t = 1$. By the approximation theorem, let E/Q be a quadratic extension such that $[E_{\wp} : Q_p] = 2$ for each $p \in S$, and $\sqrt{-1} \notin E_{\wp}$ if $p = 2$ or $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $[E(i)_{\wp} : Q(i)_{\wp}] = 2$ for each $\wp \in S'$, so $E(i)$ splits D .

Next assume $t \geq 2$ and that D has a maximal subfield L abelian over Q . Then $[L : Q] = 2^{t+1}$. Let σ denote complex conjugation restricted to L . Then $\sqrt{-1} \in L$ implies σ has order 2, and we let M denote the fixed field of σ , $[M : Q] = 2^t$. If $\text{ind}_{\wp}(D) = 2^t$, and \wp divides a rational prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $[L_{\wp} : Q(i)_{\wp}] = 2^t$, $L_{\wp} = M(i)_{\wp}$, and $M_{\wp} \cap Q(i)_{\wp} = Q_p$, with $[M_{\wp} : Q_p] = 2^t$. The unramified quadratic extension of Q_p is $Q(i)_{\wp}$, so M_{\wp}/Q_p is totally and tamely ramified. Therefore $M_{\wp} = Q_p(\alpha)$, α a root of $X^{2^t} - \pi$ for some prime element π of Q_p . Then M_{\wp}/Q_p is Galois, so $\mu_{2^t} \subseteq Q_p$, which contradicts $\sqrt{-1} \notin Q_p$.

Conversely, assume $\text{ind}(D) = 2^t$, $t \geq 2$, and $T = \emptyset$. By the Grunwald-Wang theorem ([A-T, Chap. 10, Thm. 5]) let E/Q be a cyclic extension of degree 2^t with the following properties:

- (i) $L_2 = Q_2(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$, ζ a primitive 2^{t+2} -th root of unity, and
- (ii) L_{\wp}/Q_p is unramified of degree 2^t for odd primes $p \in S$.

Though we are in the special case of the Grunwald-Wang theorem [A-T, Thm. 5, Chap. 10] if $t \geq 3$, this choice of L_2 permits its use by [A-T, Lemma 8, p. 104]. Then $E(i)/Q(i)$ has degree 2^t , has local degree 2^{t-1} at divisors of primes in S congruent to 3 (mod 4), and has local degree 2^t over all other primes in S . So $E(i)$ is a maximal subfield of D and $\text{Gal}(E(i)/Q)$ is abelian. □

Example. If D is the $Q(i)$ -division ring of index 4 with invariant 0 at all primes except $\text{inv}_p(D) = 1/4$, $\text{inv}_q(D) = -1/4$, where p and q are the divisors of 3 and 7 in $Q(i)$, then D has no maximal subfield abelian over Q . In

particular, no maximal subfield of D is contained in a cyclotomic extension of $Q(i)$. Thus we have additional counterexamples to the conjecture considered in [Sch2].

As a corollary to the proof of Theorem 8, we answer the rationality question for $Q(i)/Q$ stated in the introduction.

Corollary 9. *A $Q(i)$ -division ring of index n has maximal subfields of the form $E(i)$, where E/Q is cyclic of degree n , if and only if D has maximal subfields which are abelian over Q .*

We now consider maximal subfields which are Galois over Q . As in the abelian case, the existence of such subfields depends only on the local indices of the 2-component of $D_1 \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2$ at divisors of rational primes congruent to 3 (mod 4). We are now at a disadvantage in that if $L \supseteq Q(i) \supseteq Q$ is Galois, a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/Q)$ need not be a normal subgroup, and there need not exist a complementary subgroup of order m . It will turn out that some choice of L/Q yields a Galois group with these properties.

In order to show that the existence of maximal subfields of D Galois over Q does not depend on $\text{ind}_\wp(D)$ at the prime divisor of 2, we are required to solve certain 2-adic embedding problems. We define the following groups:

$$\begin{aligned} D_{2^{t+1}} &= \langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle, t \geq 1 \\ Q_{2^{t+1}} &= \langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = x^{2^{t-1}}, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle, t \geq 2 \\ SD_{2^{t+1}} &= \langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1+2^{t-1}} \rangle, t \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

The presentations of the dihedral and quaternion groups as metacyclic groups are unique, but the relation $y^2 = 1$ in the presentation for the semidihedral group may be replaced by $y^2 = x^{2^{t-1}}$. We let X denote the subgroup generated by x , and similarly $Y = \langle y \rangle$.

Lemma 10. *For $t \geq 1$, $Q_2(i)/Q_2$ has an embedding in a dihedral extension of degree 2^{t+1} . For $t \geq 3$, $Q_2(i)/Q_2$ has an embedding in a semidihedral extension of degree 2^{t+1} .*

Proof. Let K denote $Q_2(i)$. Then K has the prime element $\pi = 1 - i$. For $j \geq 1$, let U^j denote the group of units of K which are congruent to 1 modulo π^j . In the direct decomposition

$$K^* = \langle \pi \rangle \times U^1,$$

U^1 is invariant under automorphisms from $Y = \text{Gal}(K/Q_2)$ and is therefore a right multiplicative module for the group ring $Z_2[Y]$ of Y over the 2-adic

integers. We claim U^1 has a normal basis, i.e., that there is a 1-unit θ such that every 1-unit has a unique expression of the form $i^a \theta^b \theta^{cy}$, where $0 \leq a \leq 3$ and $b, c \in Z_2$. Let $\theta = 1 - 2i$, $\theta^y = 1 + 2i$. To prove the claim it suffices to show that the 1-units $i, 1 - 2i, 1 + 2i$ generate a set of representatives of each of the quotients U^j/U^{j+1} , for then they generate U^1 itself and the module structure is completely determined. Since K/Q_2 is totally ramified, each U^j/U^{j+1} has order 2, so it is enough to produce a nontrivial element of each level. In fact:

$$\begin{aligned} i &= 1 - \pi \text{ generates } U^1/U^2, \\ -1 &= 1 - i\pi^2 \text{ generates } U^2/U^3, \\ -1(1 - 2i) &= 1 + i\pi^3 \text{ generates } U^3/U^4, \\ 5 &= N(1 - 2i) = 1 - \pi^4 \text{ generates } U^4/U^5. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from [Has, Chap. 15, §5] that $(1 + i\pi^3)^{2^v}$ generates level $3 + 2v$, and $(1 - \pi^4)^{2^v}$ generates level $4 + 2v$. This proves the claim.

The relations $\pi^y = 1 + i = i\pi$ show that the subgroup of K^* generated by π, i is Y -invariant, with the submodule generated by θ as Y -invariant complement. Therefore if we let Γ be the subgroup of K^* generated by $(K^*)^{2^t}, \pi, i$, then K^*/Γ is Y -isomorphic to the group ring $Z_{2^t}[Y]$ of Y over $Z/2^tZ$.

By local class field theory there is a unique abelian extension \tilde{L}/K such that $N_{\tilde{L}/K}(\tilde{L}^*) = \Gamma$, and one has the reciprocity isomorphism

$$r_{\tilde{L}/K} : K^*/\Gamma \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K).$$

The Y -invariance of Γ implies that \tilde{L}/Q_2 is a Galois extension. Here Y acts on K^*/Γ on the right via the Galois action and Y acts on $\text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ via the conjugation $\sigma \rightarrow \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau$, τ a lift of y to G_{Q_2} , and the map $r_{\tilde{L}/K}$ commutes with these actions ([Neu3, Prop. 2.8]). This makes $r_{\tilde{L}/K} : Z_{2^t}[Y] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/K)$ an isomorphism of Y -modules. The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{2^t}[Y] \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\tilde{L}/Q_2) \rightarrow Y \rightarrow 1$ is therefore split.

In the dihedral case, the conjugation $y^{-1}xy = x^{-1}$ makes X a $Z_{2^t}[Y]$ -module. It is a quotient of the free module $Z_{2^t}[Y]$ by the map which sends $1 \mapsto x$. Let $L \subseteq \tilde{L}$ be the fixed field of the kernel of this map. Then $1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L/Q_2) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/Q_2) \rightarrow 1$ is split exact, and L/Q_2 is a dihedral extension of K/Q_2 . In the same way, \tilde{L} contains a semidihedral extension of K/Q_2 . □

We next consider cyclic extensions $L/Q(i)$ of degree 2^t for which L/Q is Galois and $\text{Gal}(L/Q) = G$ is dihedral or semidihedral. These groups have

unique cyclic subgroups of index 2, and we identify $\text{Gal}(Q(i)/Q)$ with G/X . Let $G_\wp = \text{Gal}(L_\wp/Q_\wp)$ be the decomposition group of G over a rational prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Then $\sqrt{-1} \notin Q_\wp$ implies that the restriction to G_\wp of the canonical map $G \rightarrow G/X$ is surjective, i.e., G_\wp and X generate G . This puts some restriction on the isomorphism types of subgroups which may occur as decomposition groups at such primes. We now determine these. The same remarks apply to extensions of the form $L/M(i)/M$, where \wp is a divisor of p such that $\sqrt{-1} \notin M_\wp$.

Lemma 11. *For $t \geq 2$, a subgroup H of $D_{2^{t+1}}$ for which $HX = D_{2^{t+1}}$ is isomorphic to one of $D_{2^{t+1}}, D_{2^t}, \dots, D_4$, or C_2 . For $t \geq 3$, a subgroup H of $SD_{2^{t+1}}$ for which $HX = SD_{2^{t+1}}$ is isomorphic to one of $SD_{2^{t+1}}, D_{2^t}, \dots, D_4, Q_{2^t}, \dots, Q_8, C_4$, or C_2 .*

Proof. Let H be generated by x^{2^i} and a preimage $x^j y$ of σ in the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow X \cap H \rightarrow H \rightarrow \langle \sigma \rangle \rightarrow 1,$$

where σ has order 2. If $i = 0$ then $H = D_{2^{t+1}}$ or $SD_{2^{t+1}}$. We assume $i \geq 1$, then $x^j y$ sends x^{2^i} to x^{-2^i} by conjugation. In $D_{2^{t+1}}$, $x^j y$ has order 2, so H is dihedral of order $2|X \cap H|$, unless $H \cap X = (1)$ and $H = C_2$. In $SD_{2^{t+1}}$, $(x^j y)^2 = x^{j2^{t-1}}$, so $x^j y$ has order ≤ 4 , with equality if and only if j is odd. If j is odd then $(x^j y)^2 = x^{2^{t-1}}$ and H is quaternion of order $2|X \cap H|$, unless $|X \cap H| = 2$ and $H = C_4$. If j is even then $x^j y$ has order 2, and H is dihedral of order $2|X \cap H|$, unless $X \cap H = (1)$ and $H = C_2$. \square

Definition. For a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, we denote by d_p the greatest integer d such that $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^d}$.

One has $2 \leq d_p < \infty$. For $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, Theorem 1 implies $D_{2^{t+1}}$ occurs as a Galois group over Q_p iff $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^t}$ iff $t \leq d_p$, and $SD_{2^{t+1}}$ occurs iff $p \equiv -1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$ iff $t = d_p + 1$. For $t \geq 3$, we will refer to the following conditions on the sets of primes S_3 and T defined prior to Theorem 8, and the indices $\text{ind}_\wp(D_2)$:

(a) each prime in T is congruent to $-1 \pmod{2^t}$. If $p \in S_3$ then

$$\text{ind}_\wp(D_2) \leq 2^{d_p}.$$

(b) each prime in T is congruent to $-1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$. If $p \in S_3$, then

$$p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^t} \Rightarrow \text{ind}_\wp(D_2) \leq 2^{t-1};$$

$$p \not\equiv -1 \pmod{2^t} \text{ and } p \not\equiv -1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t} \Rightarrow \text{ind}_\wp(D_2) \leq 2^{d_p}.$$

We now prove our main theorem.

Theorem 12. *Let D be a $Q(i)$ -division ring of index $2^t \cdot m$, where $t \geq 0$ and m is odd. Then the following statements hold:*

- (i) *D has maximal subfields cyclic over Q if and only if $t = 0$.*
- (ii) *D has maximal subfields abelian over Q if and only if $t \leq 1$ or $T = \emptyset$.*
- (iii) *D has maximal subfields Galois over Q if and only if $t \leq 2$ or $T = \emptyset$, or $T \neq \emptyset$ and condition (a) or (b) is satisfied.*

Proof. Assume D has a maximal subfield L Galois over Q , and assume $t \geq 3$. Let σ denote complex conjugation restricted to L , let H be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G = \text{Gal}(L/Q)$ containing σ , and let $M \subseteq L$ be the fixed field of H . Then $[M : Q] = m = [M(i) : Q(i)]$ and $\text{Gal}(L/M(i))$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/Q(i))$. If $p \in T$ then $G_\wp = \text{Gal}(L_\wp/Q_p)$ contains H , where \wp is some divisor of p in L , and σ is identified with an element of $H = H_\wp = \text{Gal}(L_\wp/M_\wp)$. In addition, $[L_\wp : M(i)_\wp] = 2^t$ shows $M(i) \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2$ is an $M(i)$ -division ring of index 2^t having L as a maximal subfield.

The unramified quadratic extension of M_\wp is $M(i)_\wp$. Therefore L_\wp^σ , the subfield of L_\wp fixed by σ , is a totally and tamely ramified extension of M_\wp of degree 2^t . It follows that $L_\wp^\sigma = M_\wp(\alpha)$, α a root of $X^{2^t} - \pi$, π a prime element of M_\wp . The normal closure of L_\wp^σ/M_\wp contains μ_{2^t} , and $t \geq 3$, so L_\wp^σ/M_\wp is not normal. But $\mu_{2^t} \subseteq L_\wp$ and $M_\wp(\mu_{2^t})/M_\wp$ is unramified, so $\mu_{2^t} \subseteq M(i)_\wp$. If q denotes the number of elements of the residue field of M_\wp , it follows that 2 equals the least positive integer f such that $q^f \equiv 1 \pmod{2^t}$. Since q equals p raised to the odd power f (M_\wp/Q_p) = residue degree of M_\wp/Q_p , we have $p \equiv q \pmod{2^t}$, and $p \equiv -1$ or $-1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$. Theorem 1 shows H_\wp has a presentation $\langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = x^j, y^{-1}xy = x^p \rangle$, where $j = 2^t$ or 2^{t-1} . But $\sigma \in H_\wp$ restricts to a generator of $\text{Gal}(M(i)_\wp/M_\wp)$, so we take y to have order 2, $j = 2^t$. Then $H = D_{2^{t+1}}$ or $H = SD_{2^{t+1}}$ according as each prime in T is congruent to -1 or $-1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$.

Suppose $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^t}$ for all $p \in T$, so that $\text{Gal}(L/Q)$ has a Sylow 2-subgroup $H = \langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle$. We show that condition (a) is satisfied by the indices $\text{ind}_\wp(M(i) \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2) = \text{ind}_\wp(D_2)$. Let $p \in S_3$, \wp a divisor of p in L such that $H_\wp = H \cap G_\wp$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_\wp , and H_\wp has fixed field M_\wp . Then $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ is proper, so $H_\wp \not\subseteq \text{Gal}(L_\wp/Q(i)_\wp)$. In particular, $H_\wp \not\subseteq \text{Gal}(L_\wp/M(i)_\wp)$, so $\sqrt{-1} \notin M_\wp$, and H is generated by H_\wp and X . Then H_\wp is among the groups listed in Lemma 11. In particular, H_\wp has order at most $2^{d_\wp+1}$ by Theorem 1, which implies $\text{ind}_\wp(M(i) \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2) \leq 2^{d_\wp}$. This proves that (a) is satisfied. If $p \equiv -1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$ for all $p \in T$, then $H = \langle x, y \mid x^{2^t} = 1, y^2 = 1, y^{-1}xy = x^{-1+2^{t-1}} \rangle$. If $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^t}$ and $p \in S$, then Lemma 11 and Theorem 1 show H_\wp may be dihedral (or quaternion) of order at most 2^t , so that $\text{ind}_\wp(D_2) \leq 2^{t-1}$. If p is congruent to neither -1 nor $-1 + 2^{t-1} \pmod{2^t}$ then similarly $\text{ind}_\wp(D_2) \leq 2^{d_\wp}$. This

proves that (b) is satisfied.

Conversely, let $D = D_1 \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2$ as usual. Suppose L_1 is a maximal subfield of D_1 which is cyclic over Q according to Theorem 7. If L_2 is a maximal subfield of D_2 which is Galois over Q , then $L_1 L_2$ is a maximal subfield of D which is Galois over Q . So we assume $D = D_2$ has index 2^t , and $t \geq 2$ by Theorem 8.

Suppose first that $t = 2$. If p_1, \dots, p_s are the rational primes in S , then the splitting field L of $f(X) = X^4 - p_1 \cdots p_s$ has Galois group D_8 over Q , $L/Q(i)$ is cyclic of degree 4, and $[L(i)_\wp : Q(i)_\wp] = 4$ at the critical primes, so L is a maximal subfield of D which is Galois over Q .

Now let $t \geq 3$ and assume a nonempty set T which satisfies condition (a). We embed $Q(i)/Q$ in a $D_{2^{t+1}}$ -extension L with prescribed behavior at the primes $p \in S$ as follows. By Lemma 10, there is an embedding of $Q_2(i)/Q_2$ in a dihedral extension L_2 of degree 2^{t+1} . If $p \in T$, the splitting field L_\wp of $f(X) = X^{2^t} - p$ is a $D_{2^{t+1}}$ -extension of $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ by Theorem 1. More generally, if $p \in S_3$ then $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ embeds in a dihedral extension L_\wp/Q_p of degree 2^r , where $r = \min(d_p + 1, t + 1)$. If $p \in S$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let L_\wp/Q_p be unramified of degree 2^t . These local prescriptions are consistent by Lemma 11.

If the nonempty set T satisfies (b), we embed $Q(i)/Q$ in an $SD_{2^{t+1}}$ -extension L/Q with the following localizations. By Lemma 10, there is an embedding of $Q_2(i)/Q_2$ in a semidihedral extension L_2 of degree 2^{t+1} . If $p \in T$, the splitting field L_\wp of $f(X) = X^{2^t} - p$ is an $SD_{2^{t+1}}$ -extension of $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ by Theorem 1. If $p \in S$ and $p \equiv -1 \pmod{2^t}$, then $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ embeds in a dihedral extension L_\wp of degree 2^t . For all other $p \in S_3$, $Q(i)_\wp/Q_p$ embeds in a dihedral extension L_\wp of degree 2^{d_p+1} . If $p \in S$ and $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, let L_\wp/Q_p be unramified of degree 2^t . These local prescriptions are consistent by Lemma 11.

We now solve the embedding problem, with prescribed local solutions, given by the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{j} Y \rightarrow 1$, where $Y = \text{Gal}(Q(i)/Q)$ and $G = D_{2^{t+1}}$ or $G = SD_{2^{t+1}}$. Let G_Q denote the absolute Galois group of Q , and let $\phi : G_Q \rightarrow \text{Gal}(Q(i)/Q)$ be the canonical map. Observe that the group extension is split for either choice of G . It follows that there is trivially a weak solution to the embedding problem, i.e., a (not surjective) homomorphism $\psi : G_Q \rightarrow G$ such that $j \circ \psi = \phi$. For $q = -1$ or $-1 + 2^{t-1}$, the conjugation $y^{-1}xy = x^q$ makes X a G_Q -module. Also G_Q acts on $X' = \text{Hom}(X, \mu_{2^t})$ by $f^z(x) = f(xz^{-1})^z$, $x \in X$, $z \in G_Q$. Let T' denote the fixed field of the kernel of this action. If σ_q , for q odd, denotes the automorphism of $Q(\mu_{2^t})$ defined by sending a primitive 2^t -th root of unity to its q -th power, then one may easily check that T' equals the fixed field of σ_{-1} in the dihedral case, and T' equals the fixed field of $\sigma_{-1+2^{t-1}}$ in the semidihedral case. These

fixed fields are cyclic extensions of Q . If G' denotes the Galois group of T'/Q , then G' is cyclic, in particular the decomposition groups G'_p for $p \in S$ are cyclic. It follows from [Neu1, Kor. 2.5, Kor. 6.4(b)] that there is a G -Galois extension L of $Q(i)/Q$ such that the completions of L at divisors of primes $p \in S$ coincide with the given fields L_ρ .

Since $[L_\rho : Q(i)_\rho]$ is a multiple of $\text{ind}_\rho(D)$ for each $\rho \in S'$, we have shown that L is a splitting field of D , and is a maximal subfield of D which is a Galois extension of Q . □

We have two corollaries from the proof of Theorem 12.

Corollary 13. *Assume D has maximal subfields L Galois over Q , but no maximal subfields abelian over Q . Then the Sylow 2-subgroups of the Galois groups $\text{Gal}(L/Q)$ are all dihedral or all semidihedral. In this case, L may be chosen to be the composite of a cyclic m -extension of Q with a dihedral or semidihedral extension of $Q(i)/Q$.*

Corollary 14. *Let $D = D_1 \otimes_{Q(i)} D_2$, where $\text{ind}(D_1)$ is odd, $\text{ind}(D_2) = 2^t$. Then D has maximal subfields cyclic, abelian, or Galois over Q if and only if the same is true for D_2 .*

Examples. 1. If D is the $Q(i)$ -division ring of index 8 with invariant 0 at all primes except $\text{inv}_p(D) = 1/8$, $\text{inv}_q(D) = -1/8$, where p and q are the divisors of 3 and 7 in $Q(i)$, then D has no maximal subfield Galois over Q . By Proposition 4, $[D]$ belongs to the image of $\text{Res} : \text{Br}(Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Q(i))$. For instance, the Q -division ring Δ with invariant 0 at all primes except $\text{inv}_3(\Delta) = 1/16$ and $\text{inv}_7(\Delta) = -1/16$ satisfies $Q(i) \otimes_Q \Delta \cong M_2(D)$.

2. Let p_1, p_2, p_3 be distinct primes of $Q(i)$ which divide rational primes congruent to $-1 \pmod{16}$ and let p be the divisor of 3 in $Q(i)$. Let D be the $Q(i)$ -division ring of index 16 having invariant 0 at all primes except $\text{inv}_p(D) = 1/8$, $\text{inv}_{p_j}(D) = -1/8, 1/16$, and $-1/16$ for $j = 1, 2, 3$. Then $d_3 = 2$ and $\text{ind}_p(D) > 4$ show that condition (a) is not satisfied, and D has no maximal subfield Galois over Q . In terms of the proof of Theorem 12, if L/Q is any D_{32} -extension of $Q(i)/Q$, then $[L_\rho : Q_3(i)] \leq 4$, so $\text{ind}_p(D) \leq 4$ would be implied by the existence of such a subfield.

References

- [Alb] A.A. Albert, *On p -adic fields and rational division algebras*, Ann. of Math., **41** (1940), 674-693.
- [A-T] E. Artin and J. Tate, *Class Field Theory*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1990.
- [Has] H. Hasse, *Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

- [Hers] I.N. Herstein, *Noncommutative Rings*, Carus Monograph, **15**, Math. Assoc. Amer., 1968.
- [Mac] S. MacLane, *Symmetry of algebras over a number field*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **54** (1948), 328-333.
- [Neu1] J. Neukirch, *Über das Einbettungsproblem der algebraischen Zahlentheorie*, Invent. Math., **21** (1973), 59-116.
- [Neu2] ———, *On solvable number fields*, Invent. Math., **53** (1979), 135-164.
- [Neu3] ———, *Class Field Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Rein] I. Reiner, *Maximal Orders*, Academic Press, London, 1975.
- [Sch1] M. Schacher, *Subfields of division rings*, I, J. Algebra, **9** (1968), 451-477.
- [Sch2] ———, *Cyclotomic splitting fields*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **25** (1970), 630-633.
- [Sh] I. Shafarevich, *On p -extensions*, Mat. Sb., **20** (1947), 351-363; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (Ser. 2), **4** (1956), 59-72.

Received April 29, 1994. The author is grateful for support from a Fulbright Research Fellowship from the U.S. - Israel Educational Foundation.

TECHNION – ISRAEL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
32000 HAIFA
ISRAEL

Current address:
UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME
NOTRE DAME, IN 46556

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982)

F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

Sun-Yung A. Chang (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. Michael Christ
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
christ@math.ucla.edu

Nicholas Ercolani
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Robert Finn
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Steven Kerckhoff
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

Gang Tian
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139
tian@math.mit.edu

V. S. Varadarajan
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
vsv@math.ucla.edu

Dan Voiculescu
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
dvv@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

CALIF. INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
CHINESE UNIV. OF HONG KONG
MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.
PEKING UNIVERSITY
RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES

UNIV. OF ARIZONA
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIF., BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIF., DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIF., IRVINE
UNIV. OF CALIF., LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIF., RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIF., SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ

UNIV. OF HAWAII
UNIV. OF MELBOURNE
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE MEXICO
UNIV. OF NEVADA, RENO
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover.

The table of contents and the abstracts of the papers in the current issue, as well as other information about the Pacific Journal of Mathematics, may be found on the Internet at <http://www.math.uci.edu/pjm.html>.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$245.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$123.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions. Subscriptions, back issues published within the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX, the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system. Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 175 No. 1 September 1996

Homogeneous Ricci positive 5-manifolds	1
DIMITRI ALEKSEEVSKY, ISABEL DOTTI DE MIATELLO and CARLOS J. FERRARIS	
On the structure of tensor products of ℓ_p -spaces	13
ALVARO ARIAS and JEFFREY D. FARMER	
The closed geodesic problem for compact Riemannian 2-orbifolds	39
JOSEPH E. BORZELLINO and BENJAMIN G. LORICA	
Small eigenvalue variation and real rank zero	47
OLA BRATTELI and GEORGE A. ELLIOTT	
Global analytic hypoellipticity of \square_b on circular domains	61
SO-CHIN CHEN	
Sharing values and a problem due to C. C. Yang	71
XIN-HOU HUA	
Commutators and invariant domains for Schrödinger propagators	83
MIN-JEI HUANG	
Chaos of continuum-wise expansive homeomorphisms and dynamical properties of sensitive maps of graphs	93
HISAO KATO	
Some properties of Fano manifolds that are zeros of sections in homogeneous vector bundles over Grassmannians	117
OLIVER KÜCHLE	
On polynomials orthogonal with respect to Sobolev inner product on the unit circle	127
XIN LI and FRANCISCO MARCELLAN	
Maximal subfields of $\mathbf{Q}(i)$ -division rings	147
STEVEN LIEDAHL	
Virtual diagonals and n -amenability for Banach algebras	161
ALAN L. T. PATERSON	
Rational Pontryagin classes, local representations, and K^G -theory	187
CLAUDE SCHOCHET	
An equivalence relation for codimension one foliations of 3-manifolds	235
SANDRA SHIELDS	
A construction of Lomonosov functions and applications to the invariant subspace problem	257
ALEKSANDER SIMONIĆ	
Complete intersection subvarieties of general hypersurfaces	271
ENDRE SZABÓ	