

# *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*

FOURIER MULTIPLIERS FOR  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  VIA  $q$ -VARIATION

QUANHUA XU

# FOURIER MULTIPLIERS FOR $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ VIA $q$ -VARIATION

QUANHUA XU

We give a new sufficient condition for a function to be a Fourier multiplier of  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  via its  $q$ -variation on dyadic rectangles. This solves a problem posed by Coifman, Rubio de Francia and Semmes, who had considered the one-dimensional case.

## 1. Introduction.

Let  $I$  be an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $1 \leq q < \infty$  we denote by  $V_q(I)$  the space of all the complex-valued functions of bounded  $q$ -variation on  $I$ , that is,  $V_q(I)$  consists of the functions  $m$  on  $I$  such that

$$\|m\|_{V_q(I)} = \sup \left( |m(x_0)|^q + \sum_{k \geq 0} |m(x_{k+1}) - m(x_k)|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all increasing sequences  $\{x_k\}_{k \geq 0}$  in  $I$ .

In [2], Coifman, Rubio de Francia and Semmes proved the following considerable improvement of the classical Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem for  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$ .

**Theorem A.** *Let  $I_k = [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$  and  $J_k = [-2^{k+1}, -2^k]$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (\|m\|_{V_q(I_k)} + \|m\|_{V_q(J_k)}) < \infty$  for some  $1 \leq q < \infty$ , then  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R})$  for every  $1 < p < \infty$  satisfying  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{q}$ .*

The ingredient of the proof of Theorem A in [2] is Rubio de Francia's generalized Littlewood-Paley inequality for arbitrary families of disjoint intervals (cf. [7]). Let us emphasize that the above theorem is one-dimensional, while the classical Marcinkiewicz theorem holds as well in the multiple dimensional case. The problem of extending Theorem A to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  was left open in [2]. The purpose of this note is to solve it.

Let us define the space of functions of bounded  $q$ -variation on a rectangle of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We consider only rectangles with sides parallel to the axes, and also we restrict ourself to finite rectangles. Now let  $R$  be such a rectangle. Write  $R = \prod_{k=1}^n [a_k, b_k]$ . Let  $m$  be a function defined on  $R$ . Define  $\Delta_R$  by

$$\Delta_R(m) = \Delta_{h_1}^{(1)} \Delta_{h_2}^{(2)} \cdots \Delta_{h_n}^{(n)} m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n),$$

where  $h_k = b_k - a_k$  and where  $\Delta^{(k)}$  is the usual difference operator in the  $k$ -th variable (with all the others fixed), i.e., for any function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and any positive real number  $h$

$$\Delta_h^{(k)}(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_k + h, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Now for  $1 \leq q < \infty$  we define  $V_q(R)$  inductively in  $n$ . We already have the definition for the case  $n = 1$ . Thus suppose  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $m$  be a function on  $R$ . We say that  $m$  is of bounded  $q$ -variation on  $R$  if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) for each  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$  the function  $m(x_1, \dots, x_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n)$ , considered as a function of the first  $k$  variables, is of bounded  $q$ -variation on the  $k$ -dimensional rectangle  $\prod_{j=1}^k [a_j, b_j]$ ;
- (ii) the condition analogous to (i) is valid for each permutation of the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ ;
- (iii) for the full  $n$  variables we have

$$\sup_{\mathcal{Q}} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} |\Delta_Q(m)|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

where the supremum runs over all decompositions  $\mathcal{Q}$  of  $R$  into subrectangles of disjoint interior.

We define  $\|m\|_{V_q(R)}$  as the sum of all the quantities appearing in (i) - (iii) and denote by  $V_q(R)$  the space of all functions of bounded  $q$ -variation on  $R$ . This is a Banach space equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{V_q(R)}$ .

**Remark.**  $V_1(R)$  is the usual space of functions of bounded variation on  $R$ ; moreover,  $m \in V_1(R)$  if  $m$  is  $n$  times continuously differentiable in the interior of  $R$  and satisfies the following

- (i) for each  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$
- $$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \left| \frac{\partial^k m}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_k}(x_1, \dots, x_k, a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n) \right| dx_1 \dots dx_k < \infty,$$
- (ii) the condition analogous to (i) is valid for each permutation of the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ ;
  - (iii) and

$$\int_{a_1}^{b_1} \dots \int_{a_n}^{b_n} \left| \frac{\partial^n m}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| dx_1 \dots dx_n < \infty.$$

Also observe that we can slightly weaken the above condition (iii) by requiring only that  $m$  be  $n - 1$  times continuously differentiable on  $R$  and that  $\frac{\partial^n m}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  exist (in a reasonable sense) and be absolutely Riemann integrable on  $R$ .

For stating the analogue of Theorem A for  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we need recall the notion of dyadic rectangles, used in the classical Littlewood-Paley theory (cf. [8]). First for  $n = 1$  a dyadic interval is an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$  from one of the sequences  $\{I_k\}$  and  $\{J_k\}$  introduced in Theorem A. Then by a dyadic rectangle of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we mean a rectangle  $R$  which is a product of  $n$  dyadic intervals. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  denote the family of the dyadic rectangles. Let us also recall that  $A_p^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = A_p(\mathbb{R} \times \dots \times \mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of the Muckenhoupt  $A_p$ -weights on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  in the product sense (cf. [4]).

For a function  $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the corresponding multiplier operator is denoted by  $T_m$ , i.e.,  $\widehat{T_m(f)} = m\hat{f}$ , where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . The result of this note is the following theorem, which solves the problem in [2] for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Theorem.**

- (i) Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $\sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \|m\|_{V_q(R)} < \infty$ , then  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any  $p \in (1, \infty)$  satisfying  $|\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}| < \frac{1}{q}$ .
- (ii) If  $m$  satisfies the above condition with  $q = 2$ , then for any  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_m(f)(x)|^2 w(x) dx \\ \leq C \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \|m\|_{V_2(R)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx, \quad \forall f \in L_2(w), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $w$ .

**Remark.** In particular, if  $q = 2$ , then  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Recall that the classical Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem corresponds to the case  $q = 1$ .

## 2. Proof.

Now we proceed to prove the theorem. As in [2], the ingredient of our proof is again the generalized Littlewood-Paley inequality of Rubio de Francia [7]. The new point is a simple observation: a function of bounded  $q$ -variation on a rectangle can be regarded as a vector-valued function of bounded  $q$ -variation on an interval (see Lemma 1 below). This observation allows us to iterate one-dimensional results.

Let us first extend the definition of  $q$ -variation to functions with values in a Banach space  $B$ . This is done just by replacing the absolute value by the norm of  $B$ . Thus if  $I$  is an interval (say,  $I = [a, b]$  a finite interval),  $V_q(B, I)$  is the space of all functions  $m$  from  $I$  to  $B$  such that

$$\|m\|_{V_q(B, I)} = \sup_{a=x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n=b} \left( \|m(a)\|^q + \sum_{k=1}^n \|m(x_{k+1}) - m(x_k)\|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

Note that the above  $V_q$ -norm is not equal but equivalent to that introduced at the beginning.

Now let  $R$  be a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Write  $R = \prod_{k=1}^n I_k$  with  $I_k = [a_k, b_k]$ . Then we can introduce the space  $V_q(V_q(\dots(V_q(I_n)\dots), I_2), I_1)$  of functions on  $R$ . This space is denoted by  $\tilde{V}_q(R)$ . For example, if  $n = 2$ , a function  $m$  on  $R = I_1 \times I_2$  belongs to  $\tilde{V}_q(R)$  iff for all  $x_1 \in I_1$  the function  $m(x_1, \cdot)$  belongs to  $V_q(I_2)$  uniformly in  $x_1 \in I_1$  and the vector-valued function  $x_1 \mapsto m(x_1, \cdot) \in V_q(I_2)$  belongs to  $V_q(V_q(I_2), I_1)$ .

The following lemma is elementary and almost obvious.

**Lemma 1.** *For any  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and any rectangle  $R$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $V_q(R) \subset \tilde{V}_q(R)$  of inclusion norm  $\leq 1$ .*

By Lemma 1 it suffices to prove the theorem for  $\tilde{V}_q$  in place of  $V_q$ .

To continue the proof of the theorem we need introduce another space  $U_q$  related to  $V_q$ . Let  $B$  be a Banach space and  $I$  an interval of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}$  the family of all step functions  $m$  from  $I$  to  $B$  which can be written as

$$m = \sum_{k=1}^K a_k \chi_{I_k},$$

where  $\{a_k\} \subset B$  and  $\{I_k\}$  is a finite sequence of disjoint intervals with  $I = \bigcup_k I_k$  ( $\chi_e$  standing for the indicator function of a subset  $e$ ). For  $m \in \mathcal{E}$  as above set

$$\llbracket m \rrbracket = \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \|a_k\|^q \right)^{1/q}$$

and

$$\|m\|_{U_q(B, I)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^J \llbracket m_j \rrbracket : m = \sum_{j=1}^J m_j, m_j \in \mathcal{E}, 1 \leq j \leq J, J \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Then  $\|\cdot\|_{U_q(B, I)}$  is a norm on  $\mathcal{E}$ . The completion of  $\mathcal{E}$  with respect to this norm is denoted by  $U_q(B, I)$ . If  $B = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U_q(\mathbb{C}, I)$  is simply denoted by  $U_q(I)$ .

It is trivial that  $U_q(B, I) \subset V_q(B, I)$ . Clearly, the inverse inclusion is not true. However, we have the following

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $B$  be a Banach space and  $I$  an interval. Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . Then  $V_p(B, I) \subset U_q(B, I)$  of inclusion norm bounded by a constant depending only on  $p$  and  $q$ .*

This lemma is proved in [2] for  $B = \mathbb{C}$ . The same proof works for any  $B$ . Note that Lemma 2 was already known to the authors of [6]. It follows from more general results on the real interpolation spaces between the  $V_q$ -spaces (see [6] and [9]).

If  $R = \prod_{k=1}^n I_k$  is a rectangle in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , as for  $\tilde{V}_q$  above, let

$$\tilde{U}_q(R) = U_q(U_q(\cdots (U_q(I_n), \cdots), I_2), I_1).$$

This is a space of functions on  $R$ . We have the obvious inclusion  $\tilde{U}_q(R) \subset \tilde{V}_q(R)$ ; also Lemma 2 implies that  $\tilde{V}_p(R) \subset \tilde{U}_q(R)$  for  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . The following lemma is the  $n$ -dimensional analogue of a lemma in [2].

**Lemma 3.** *For any  $m \in \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have*

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_m f(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|m\|_{\tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)|^2 w(x) dx \right)^{1/2},$$

$\forall f \in L_2(w),$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $w$ .

*Proof.* It is based on Rubio de Francia's inequality. Let us recall this inequality (in its weighted form; see [7] and [2]). Let  $\{I_k\}$  be an arbitrary sequence of disjoint intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $S_{I_k}$  denote the partial sum operator associated to  $I_k$  (i.e.,  $S_{I_k}$  is the multiplier operator with symbol  $\chi_{I_k}$ ). Then for any  $w \in A_1(\mathbb{R}) (= A_1^*(\mathbb{R}))$

$$(*) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left( \sum_k |S_{I_k} f|^2 \right) w \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 w, \quad \forall f \in L_2(w),$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $w$  only.

Now we show Lemma 3 by induction on  $n$ . The case  $n = 1$  is just (\*). Then suppose the lemma is true for  $n - 1$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Let  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $m \in \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of norm 1. By convexity, we may suppose  $m$  is a step function as in the definition of  $U_2(B, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $B = \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  (in the last  $n - 1$  variables). Thus  $m$  is a finite sum

$$m(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \sum_k \lambda_k a_k(x_2, \cdots, x_n) \chi_{I_k}(x_1),$$

where  $a_k \in \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  of norm 1,  $\{I_k\}$  are disjoint intervals and  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  are such that  $\sum \lambda_k^2 \leq 1$ . Let  $T_k$  and  $S_k$  be the multiplier operators with symbols  $\chi_{\mathbb{R}} a_k$  and  $\chi_{I_k \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1}}$  respectively. Then

$$T_m = \sum_k \lambda_k T_k S_k.$$

Recall that if  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $w(x_1, \cdot) \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$  uniformly for all  $x_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  and also  $w(\cdot, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in A_1(\mathbb{R})$  uniformly for all  $(x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ . Therefore, by the Fubini theorem, the induction assumption on  $n-1$  and (\*) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_m f|^2 w &\leq \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |T_k(S_k f)|^2 w \\ &= \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |T_k(S_k f)|^2 w dx_2 \cdots dx_n \\ &\leq C \sum_k \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} |S_k f|^2 w dx_2 \cdots dx_n \\ &= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx_2 \cdots dx_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_k |S_k f|^2 w dx_1 \\ &\leq C C' \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} dx_2 \cdots dx_n \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 w dx_1 \\ &= C C' \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^2 w. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

Now we can deduce the theorem from Lemmas 2 and 3. Recall that if  $R$  is a rectangle,  $S_R$  denotes the associated partial sum operator.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Let us first prove (ii). Fix  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then by the reverse Hölder inequality  $w^\alpha \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for some  $\alpha > 1$ . Let  $1/\theta = \alpha$  and  $q = 2\alpha$ . Then  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $q > 2$ . We claim that for any  $m \in \tilde{U}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $T_m$  is bounded on  $L_2(w)$ . This follows from Lemma 3 by interpolation. Indeed, let us consider the bilinear operator  $\mathcal{B}$  defined by  $\mathcal{B}(m, f) = T_m f$ . By Lemma 3,  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded from  $\tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L_2(w^\alpha)$  to  $L_2(w^\alpha)$ ; on the other hand,  $\mathcal{B}$  is obviously bounded from  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L_2$  to  $L_2$ . Therefore, by the complex interpolation (see [1]),  $\mathcal{B}$  is bounded from  $(L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta \times L_2(w)$  to  $L_2(w)$ . Thus if  $m \in (L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta$ , then  $T_m$  is bounded on  $L_2(w)$ ; it is however clear that  $\tilde{U}_q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset (L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), \tilde{U}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))_\theta$ , from which follows our claim.

Now with the claim and Lemma 2, the proof of (ii) can be finished by a standard argument. Let  $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be such that  $\sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \|m\|_{\tilde{V}_2(R)} \leq 1$ . Set  $m_R = m\chi_R$ . For  $f \in L_2(w)$  choose  $g \in L_2(w)$  of unit norm such that

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L_2(w)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_m(f)gw.$$

Note that

$$T_m = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}} S_R \circ T_{m_R} \circ S_R.$$

Then using the self-adjointness of  $S_R$  we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} T_m(f)gw = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{D}} T_{m_R}(S_R f) S_R(\bar{g}w);$$

and so

$$\|T_m(f)\|_{L_2(w)}^2 \leq \left( \int \sum_R |T_{m_R}(S_R f)|^2 w \right) \left( \int \sum_R |S_R(\bar{g}w)|^2 w^{-1} \right).$$

Observe that  $\bar{g}w \in L_2(w^{-1})$  and  $w^{-1} \in A_2^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . By the weighted Littlewood-Paley inequality (this can be obtained by the standard argument as in the un-weighted case, see [4] or [8]),

$$\left( \int \sum_R |S_R(\bar{g}w)|^2 w^{-1} \right)^{1/2} \leq C \|\bar{g}w\|_{L_2(w^{-1})} \leq C.$$

Also, by the condition on  $m$ ,  $m_R \in \tilde{V}_2(R)$  and is of norm  $\leq 1$ ; so by Lemma 2,  $m_R \in \tilde{U}_q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for the above  $q = 2\alpha$ . Thus it follows from the previous claim that

$$\int |T_{m_R}(S_R f)|^2 w \leq C \int |S_R f|^2 w, \quad \forall R \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Putting together the preceding inequalities, we obtain the boundedness of  $T_m$  on  $L_2(w)$ . This is (ii) of the theorem.

The proof of (i) is now easy. First we show (i) for  $q = 2$ . This is done by (ii) and the elementary fact that for any  $g \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  ( $r > 1$ ) there exists  $w \in L_r(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $w \in A_1^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|g| \leq w$  and  $\|w\|_r \leq 2\|g\|_r$  (see [4]). In particular, if  $\sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \|m\|_{V_2(R)} < \infty$ ,  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier of  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for all  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then interpolating this with the trivial case where  $m \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and by an argument similar to that at the beginning of the

present proof, we deduce that (i) is true with  $\tilde{U}_q$  instead of  $V_q$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2, we finally get (i) in its full generality.  $\square$

### Remarks.

- (i) The preceding proof also yields the following vector-valued version of the theorem. Let  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and  $\{m_k\}$  be a sequence of functions in  $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $M = \sup_k \sup_{R \in \mathcal{D}} \|m_k\|_{V_q(R)} < \infty$ . If  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/q$ , then

$$\left\| \left( \sum_k |T_{m_k} f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p \leq C M \left\| \left( \sum_k |f_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_p, \quad \{f_k\} \subset L_p(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where  $C$  is a constant depending on  $p$  and  $q$ .

- (ii) As the reader may observe, the preceding proof is essentially the iteration of a one-dimensional argument. Its pattern is the same as that of [2]. This is not surprising if one remembers that the Marcinkiewicz multiplier theorem in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is an iteration of one-dimensional results as well.
- (iii) Let us point out that the generalized Littlewood-Paley inequality of Rubio de Francia was extended to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by Journé [5]. At first glance, one might think that Journé's extension should suit to the proof of our theorem better than the preceding iteration argument. However, this apparently cannot lead to our final goal (at least, the author has not succeeded in proving the theorem by Journé's inequality).
- (iv) The case  $q = 2$  in our theorem is of special importance (the result in the general case then follows by interpolation, as the above proof shows). If we just interpolate the case  $q = 1$ , to which the classical Marcinkiewicz theorem applies, with the trivial case  $q = \infty$  as above, we can only obtain the following weaker fact: under the condition of the theorem (i),  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier of  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $1 < p < \infty$  such that  $|1/p - 1/2| < 1/(2q)$ .

### 3. An example.

The following example is classical. Let

$$m(x) = \frac{e^{i|x|^a}}{(1 + |x|^2)^b} \quad (a > 0, b > 0).$$

Then  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  whenever  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $|1/p - 1/2| < \frac{2b}{na}$ . We will obtain this by our theorem. To that end, it suffices

to show that  $m$  belongs to  $V_q(R)$  uniformly in all dyadic rectangles  $R$  for any  $q > na/(2b)$ . For that let us allow  $b$  to take complex values. Thus let  $b$  be a complex number with  $\operatorname{Re}(b) > na/2$ . Then it is clear that for any  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  with  $\alpha_k = 0$  or  $1$

$$\left| x^\alpha \frac{\partial^\alpha m}{\partial x^\alpha}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \right| \leq C (1 + |\operatorname{Im}(b)|)^{|\alpha|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where  $\frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$  and  $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ . It follows that for any dyadic rectangle  $R$ ,  $m$  belongs to  $V_1(R)$  and is of norm bounded by  $C(1 + |\operatorname{Im}(b)|)^n$ . Multiplying  $m$  by a function like  $e^{b^2 - \theta^2}$  (for a suitable  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ ), one sees that the new function is in  $V_1(R)$  uniformly in dyadic  $R$  for all complex  $b$  with  $\operatorname{Re}(b) > na/2$ . Then interpolating this with the trivial case where  $\operatorname{Re}(b) = 0$  (then  $m \in L_\infty$ ), we obtain the desired result.

**Remark.** Recall that the above result is optimal, that is, if  $|1/p - 1/2| > \frac{2b}{na}$ , then  $m$  is not a multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This shows that our theorem is also optimal. However, it does not cover the critical case where  $|1/p - 1/2| = \frac{2b}{na}$ . In this case,  $m$  is still a Fourier multiplier for  $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (cf. [3]).

**Acknowledgement.** We are grateful to the referee for some valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [2] R. Coifman, J.L. Rubio de Francia and S. Semmes, *Multiplicateurs de Fourier de  $L^p(\mathbb{R})$  et estimations quadratiques*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, **306** (1988), 351-354.
- [3] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein,  *$H^p$  spaces of several variables*, Acta Math., **129** (1972), 137-193.
- [4] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J.L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequalities and related topics*, North-Holland, 1986.
- [5] J-L. Journé, *Calderón - Zygmund operators on product spaces*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985), 55-91.
- [6] G. Pisier and Q. Xu, *Random series in the real interpolation spaces between the spaces  $v_p$* , Lect. Notes Math., Springer, **1267** (1987), 185-209.
- [7] J.L. Rubio de Francia, *A Littlewood-Paley inequality for arbitrary intervals*, Revista Mat. Iberoamericana, **1** (1985), 1-14.
- [8] E.M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University Press, 1970.

- [9] Q. Xu, *Espaces d'interpolation entre les espaces  $V_p$ : propriétés géométriques et applications probabilistes*, Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle des Universités Paris 6 et Paris 7, **28** (1985-1987), 77-123.

Received June 8, 1994 and revised June 23, 1995.

UNIVERSITÉ PARIS 6  
EQUIPE D'ANALYSE  
46-0; 4ÈME ETAGE  
4, PLACE JUSSIEU  
75252 PARIS CEDEX 05 - FRANCE  
*E-mail address:* qx@moka.ccr.jussieu.fr

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982)

F. Wolf (1904-1989)

### EDITORS

Sun-Yung A. Chang (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. Michael Christ  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

Nicholas Ercolani  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Robert Finn  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Steven Kerckhoff  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

Gang Tian  
Massachusetts Institute of Technology  
Cambridge, MA 02139  
tian@math.mit.edu

V. S. Varadarajan  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

Dan Voiculescu  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
dvv@math.berkeley.edu

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIF. INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
CHINESE UNIV. OF HONG KONG  
HONG KONG UNIV. OF SCI. & TECH.  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCI. RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.  
PEKING UNIVERSITY  
RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES  
UNIV. OF ARIZONA  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIF., BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIF., DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIF., IRVINE  
UNIV. OF CALIF., LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIF., RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF HAWAII  
UNIV. OF MELBOURNE  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE MEXICO  
UNIV. OF NEVADA, RENO  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

---

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover.

The table of contents and the abstracts of the papers in the current issue, as well as other information about the Pacific Journal of Mathematics, may be found on the Internet at <http://www.math.uci.edu/pjm.html>.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$245.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$123.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, back issues published within the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,  
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX,  
the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system.  
Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 176      No. 1      November 1996

---

Moduli spaces of isometric pluriharmonic immersions of Kähler manifolds into indefinite Euclidean spaces	1
HITOSHI FURUHATA	
On a theorem of Koch	15
FARSHID HAJIR	
Degree-one maps onto lens spaces	19
CLAUDE HAYAT-LEGRAND, SHICHENG WANG and HEINER ZIESCHANG	
Unitary representation induced from maximal parabolic subgroups for split $F_4$	33
CHENG CHON HU	
New constructions of models for link invariants	71
FRANÇOIS JAEGER	
Solvability of Dirichlet problems for semilinear elliptic equations on certain domains	117
ZHIREN JIN	
Hadamard-Frankel type theorems for manifolds with partially positive curvature	129
KATSUEI KENMOTSU and CHANGYU XIA	
Boundary behavior of the Bergman curvature in strictly pseudoconvex polyhedral domains	141
KANG-TAE KIM and JIYE YU	
Existence and behavior of the radial limits of a bounded capillary surface at a corner	165
KIRK LANCASTER and DAVID SIEGEL	
Triangle subgroups of hyperbolic tetrahedral groups	195
COLIN MACLACHLAN	
Chern classes of vector bundles on arithmetic varieties	205
TOHRU NAKASHIMA and YUICHIRO TAKEDA	
Haar measure on $E_q(2)$	217
ARUP KUMAR PAL	
Domains of partial attraction in noncommutative probability	235
VITTORINO PATA	
Partitioning products of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)/\text{fin}$	249
OTMAR SPINAS	
Dimensions of nilpotent algebras over fields of prime characteristic	263
CORA M. STACK	
Tensor products of structures with interpolation	267
FRIEDRICH WEHRUNG	
Fourier multipliers for $L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via $q$ -variation	287
QUANHUA XU	