

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**APPLICATIONS OF LOOP GROUPS AND STANDARD
MODULES TO JACOBIANS AND THETA FUNCTIONS OF
ISOSPECTRAL CURVES**

WILLI SCHWARZ

APPLICATIONS OF LOOP GROUPS AND STANDARD MODULES TO JACOBIANS AND THETA FUNCTIONS OF ISOSPECTRAL CURVES

WILLI SCHWARZ

Let $L(z)$ be an element of $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. In this work we study the structure of isospectral curves given by $f(z, \lambda) = 0$, $f(z, \lambda) = \det(L(z) - \lambda)$, their Jacobians and the relationship between standard modules and the corresponding theta functions. We assume that $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible and nonsingular for $f(z, \lambda) = 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

The element $L(z)$ will be called good, if the centralizers $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}(L)$ of $L(z)$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$ (resp. $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}])$) are the integral closure of $\mathbb{C}[z, z^p L]$ (resp. $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z^{-q} L])$) in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. The class of curves we analyze include nonsingular curves and the isospectral curve of the periodic Toda lattice. The latter curve is represented by a “tridiagonal” matrix $L(z)$.

The Jacobian variety is expressed as a quotient of certain centralizers of $L(z)$ which are computed in a completion $M_n(A_w)$ of $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. If we assume further that $L(z)$ is an element of $\underline{SL}_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$ then the basic module of the universal central extension $\widetilde{SL}_n(A_w)$ of $SL_n(A_w)$ is employed to define a function Θ . This function Θ is defined in terms of representative functions on the “Lie theoretic” Jacobian and satisfies the functional equation of theta functions.

Introduction.

The relationship between completely integrable Hamiltonian systems, Kac-Moody Lie algebras and curve theory were studied systematically by M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke in [1], [2]. The main idea of their method is to associate to such a Hamiltonian system a Lax matrix differential equation of the form

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = [L, M(L)^+] = [L, M(L)^-]$$

where L is an element of a loop algebra $\tilde{g} = g \otimes \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ and $M(L)$ is a function of L . The associated isospectral curve X_L is obtained as projective completion of the quasi-affine curve

$$X^a = \{(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid \det(L(z) - \lambda) = 0\}.$$

The corresponding Lax equation of a completely integrable Hamiltonian system becomes linear on the Jacobian variety of the curve X_L . For those systems which are of relevance in physics, such as the periodic Toda system, the Euler-Arnold spinning top and the Neumann problem, the isospectral curves are hyperelliptic.

Certain classical integrable Hamiltonian systems which arise in mechanics or geometry, for instance the motion of a particle on an ellipsoid under a central force or the geodesic flow on an ellipsoid, were solved directly by J. Moser [15]. The solutions are expressed in terms of hyperelliptic theta functions on the Jacobian variety of the related hyperelliptic isospectral curve. The Jacobian variety is obtained as a quotient-space of an isospectral manifold. Its linear structure defined by the Hamiltonian vector fields of the integrals of the flow agrees with the linear structure as given by Abel's theorem. These ideas were developed further by D. Mumford in [18] to construct families of Jacobian varieties using dynamical systems.

Classical and quantum mechanical systems of Toda lattice type were studied in detail by R. Goodman and N. Wallach in a series of papers [6], [7], [8]. In the case of the generalized periodic Toda lattice the solution is calculated in terms of representative functions of standard modules of a Banach Lie group \hat{G}_w . The group \hat{G}_w is obtained as a central extension of the connected and simply-connected loop group \tilde{G}_w of the completion \tilde{g}_w of the Kac-Moody algebra \tilde{g} . To obtain explicit solutions the representative functions on standard modules defined by highest weight vectors are computed along certain one-parameter subgroups of \hat{G}_w . These functions define a system of non-linear ordinary differential equations. In the special case of $\widehat{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ this system of ordinary differential was solved explicitly and the solution described in terms of theta functions.

In this work we combine the Kac-Moody Lie algebra approach to Hamiltonian systems with the method of representative functions of standard modules to obtain our main results. The related Lax equation of an integrable Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = [L, M(L)^+] = [L, M(L)^-]$$

with $L \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$ implies that $M(L)^+ - M(L)^-$ is contained in the centralizer of L in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. Taking this fact into account we consider various centralizers of L in a certain loop algebra and its associated loop group.

Suppose $L \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$, $L(z) = \sum_{j=-p}^q \ell_j z^j$ is such that its characteristic polynomial $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible and the isospectral curve X_L is nonsingular for $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The calculation of centralizers will be done in a

completion $M_n(A_w)$ of the loop algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. The completion is defined by the absolute convergence of weighted series of Fourier coefficients for certain weights w . These weights satisfy for some σ , $1 < \sigma < 2$, the inequalities

$$C_t \exp(t|k|^{1/\sigma}) \leq w(k) \leq M_\epsilon \exp(\epsilon|k|)$$

for all $t, \epsilon > 0, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some positive constants C_t, M_ϵ .

Let $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ denote the centralizer of L in $M_n(A_w)$ and \mathfrak{C}_+^w resp. \mathfrak{C}_-^w the centralizers of L in the respective completions of $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$ and $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}])$. We prove that if the curve X_L is nonsingular or if L is “tridiagonal” (i.e. L is tridiagonal with an entry in the upper right corner and in the lower left corner) that the respective centralizers $\mathfrak{C}_\pm(L)$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$ resp. $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}])$ are the integral closure of $\mathbb{C}[z, z^p L]$ resp. of $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z^{-q} L]$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. We consider $\mathbb{C}[z, z^p L]$ and $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, z^{-q} L]$ to be contained in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$. The element $L \in M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$ will be called good if it satisfies this additional condition.

If $C_\pm^w(L)$ denote the analogue of the centralizers $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^w(L)$ in the loop group $GL_n(A_w)$ we define

$$\Lambda = \{V \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L) \mid e^V \in C_-^w(L)C_+^w(L)\}.$$

We will prove that the Jacobian variety of the desingularized curve X'_L , $\text{Jac}(X'_L)$, is isomorphic to

$$\text{Jac}(X'_L) \cong \mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)/\Lambda/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$$

if L is good.

Suppose now that $L \in \underline{sl}_n(A_w)$. Let $(L(\lambda_0), \pi_{\lambda_0})$ denote the basic module of the universal central extension $\widehat{SL}_n(A_w)$ of $SL_n(A_w)$. If v_0 denotes the highest weight vector of $L(\lambda_0)$ we define the function θ on

$$\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$$

in terms of representative functions as

$$\theta(W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(W)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0}.$$

The term $\sigma(W)$ is defined to be $\sigma(W) = \omega(W_+, W_-)$ where ω is the cocycle defining the Lie algebra extension and $W = W_+ + W_0 + W_-$ is the decomposition of W corresponding to $\underline{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[z]) + \underline{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}) + \underline{sl}_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}])$. Here $\langle, \rangle_{\lambda_0}$ denotes the contravariant, positive-definite Hermitian form on $L(\lambda_0)$. We show that the function θ is a theta function on the “Lie theoretic” Jacobian of X'_L .

Most of the material contained in this work is essentially the author's doctoral thesis given at Rutgers. He wishes to thank his thesis advisor, Nolan Wallach, for help and guidance. Discussions with Roe Goodman were also very helpful.

1. Concepts from the Theory of Nonsingular Projective Curves.

The purpose of this chapter is to develop notation from the theory of nonsingular projective curves.

1.1. Nonsingular Projective Curves and Jacobian Varieties.

The term projective curve will denote an irreducible algebraic set in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of complex dimension one, with the induced topology. Most of the projective curves in this work will be given as the zero set of an irreducible homogeneous polynomial $f, f \in \mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$, in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$.

Set

$$X = \{P \in \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}) \mid f(P) = 0\}.$$

The projective curve X is said to be nonsingular, if for any $P \in X$

$$\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_0}(P), \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}(P), \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}(P) \right] \neq 0.$$

However, there will be cases when the general definition (cf. Hartshorne [12], p. 31) will be necessary.

The simplicial homology groups of a nonsingular projective curve X are described as follows. Since X is connected, $H_0(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is the free group on the generators $[a_j], [b_j]$, $j = 1, \dots, g$. Thus $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2g}$. The second homology is isomorphic to the integers, $H_2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, since X is compact.

The integer g is called the genus of the nonsingular projective curve X .

The generators $[a_j], [b_j]$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ can be chosen, so that the following intersection properties are satisfied (see Farkas–Kra [4], p. 54).

$$a_j \cdot b_k = \delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \neq k \\ 1, & j = k \end{cases}$$

$$a_j \cdot a_k = 0 = b_j \cdot b_k.$$

Any basis $\{a_1, \dots, a_g, b_1, \dots, b_g\}$ of $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ with these intersection properties will be called a canonical homology basis for X .

Let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_g\}$ be the unique basis for the space of holomorphic differentials with the property

$$\int_{a_j} \omega_k = \delta_{jk}.$$

Furthermore, for this basis, the matrix $\Omega = (\Omega_{jk})$ with

$$\Omega_{jk} = \int_{b_j} \omega_k$$

is symmetric with positive definite imaginary part.

The matrix (I, Ω) is called the period matrix of the holomorphic 1-forms on X .

Suppose X is an irreducible nonsingular projective curve. Define $\Lambda = \Lambda(\Omega)$ to be the lattice

$$\mathbb{Z}^g + \Omega \cdot \mathbb{Z}^g.$$

The complex torus

$$\text{Jac}(X) = \mathbb{C}^g / \Lambda$$

is called the Jacobian variety of X .

An equivalent definition is obtained by using sheaves (cf. Gunning [11]). Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_X^* \rightarrow 0$$

be the short exact sequence of sheaves on X . Here \mathbb{Z} denotes the constant sheaf, \mathfrak{o}_X the structure sheaf, and \mathfrak{o}_X^* the sheaf of invertible elements of \mathfrak{o}_X under multiplication. The map

$$\mathfrak{o}_X \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_X^*$$

is given by the exponential map. The cohomology sequence of this short exact sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{o}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathfrak{o}_X^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

The sheaf theoretic Jacobian variety of X is defined as

$$\text{Jac}_{\text{sheaf}}(X) = H^1(X, \mathfrak{o}_X) / H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

which is the kernel of the map

$$H^1(X, \mathfrak{o}_X^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}).$$

1.2. Theta Functions.

This section contains a definition of theta functions as given by Lang [13] and by Mumford [17].

Let V be a complex vector space of dimension n . Suppose Λ is a lattice in V , so that the factor group V/Λ is a complex torus. A function Θ on V is called a theta function with respect to Λ , if it is a quotient of entire functions, not identically zero, and

$$\Theta(u+x) = e^{2\pi i[\ell(x,u)+J(u)]} \Theta(x), \quad \text{all } u \in \Lambda, x \in V$$

where ℓ is \mathbb{C} -linear in x . No further assumptions are made about ℓ and J .

If Θ is a theta function with respect to Λ then one can prove (cf. Lang [13], p. 58) that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad & J(u+v) - J(u) - J(v) = \ell(u, v) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ (2) \quad & \ell(u, v) \equiv \ell(v, u) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}} \\ (3) \quad & \ell(x, u+v) = \ell(x, u) + \ell(x, v) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then ℓ can be extended to a function $\ell(x, y)$ on $V \times V$, which is \mathbb{C} -linear in x and \mathbb{R} -linear in y . J can be chosen in such a way that the function

$$K(u) = J(u) - \frac{1}{2}\ell(u, u)$$

is \mathbb{Z} -linear, and can be extended to an \mathbb{R} -linear function on V .

In terms of ℓ and K , the relation defining a theta function can now be rewritten as

$$\Theta(u+x) = e^{2\pi i[\ell(x,u) + \frac{1}{2}\ell(u,u) + K(u)]} \Theta(x).$$

2. Affine Lie Algebras and Loop Groups.

In this chapter we introduce some concepts from the theory of affine Lie algebras and loop groups. We use the notation of Goodman – Wallach [7] and recall some of their results.

2.1. Affine Preliminaries.

Let \underline{g} be a simple, finite-dimensional Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} . Set

$$\tilde{\underline{g}} = \underline{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}],$$

which we consider as a subspace of the smooth maps from S^1 , the circle, to \underline{g} .

$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Lie algebra under pointwise commutator.

Let B be the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} , and define a skew-symmetric bilinear form ω on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$\omega(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} B \left(\frac{d}{d\Theta} X(e^{i\Theta}), Y(e^{i\Theta}) \right) d\Theta$$

for any $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Then ω is a 2-cocycle on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, which gives rise to a central extension of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ denoted by $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow 0.$$

As a vector space we write $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}c$, with commutation relations

$$[X + ac, Y + bc] = [X, Y]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} + \omega(X, Y)c,$$

for $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Here $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ denotes the bracket in $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, and c is central.

The extended Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ is defined to be

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^e = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d$$

with

$$[d, c] = 0, \quad [d, x \otimes z^k] = z \frac{d}{dz} (x \otimes z^k) = kx \otimes z^k, \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

or

$$[d, X(e^{i\Theta})] = \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{d\Theta} (X(e^{i\Theta})), \quad X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

From the Killing form B on \mathfrak{g} , we obtain a bilinear form \tilde{B} on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ by integration over S^1 :

$$\tilde{B}(X, Y) = \int_0^{2\pi} B(X(e^{i\Theta}), Y(e^{i\Theta})) d\Theta, \quad X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}},$$

(Goodman - Wallach [8], p. 199). The form \tilde{B} on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ can be extended to a bilinear form \hat{B}^e on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}^e$ by setting

$$\hat{B}^e(c, d) = 1, \quad \hat{B}^e(c, c) = \hat{B}^e(d, d) = 0, \quad \hat{B}^e(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, c) = 0.$$

$$\hat{B}^e(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, d) = 0, \quad \hat{B}^e(X, Y) = \tilde{B}(X, Y), \quad X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\underline{h} \subset \underline{g}$, and let Φ be the roots of \underline{h} on \underline{g} , where \underline{g}_α denotes the α rootspace, $\alpha \in \Phi$. Choose Φ^+ a set of positive roots and a Chevalley basis $\{E_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Phi} \cup \{H_{\alpha_j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$ for \underline{g} , with $E_\alpha \in \underline{g}_\alpha$, $H_\alpha = [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] \in \underline{h}$ such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$. Here $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell$ denote the simple roots in Φ^+ . Put

$$\hat{\underline{h}}^e = \underline{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d.$$

If $\alpha \in \underline{h}^*$, extend α to $\hat{\underline{h}}^e$ by setting $\alpha(c) = \alpha(d) = 0$. Let $\gamma, \delta \in (\hat{\underline{h}}^e)^*$ be defined by

$$\gamma(\underline{h}) = 0, \quad \gamma(c) = 1, \quad \gamma(d) = 0,$$

$$\delta(\underline{h}) = 0, \quad \delta(c) = 0, \quad \delta(d) = 1.$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the highest root in Φ^+ . Set $\alpha_0 = \delta - \tilde{\alpha}$ and $H_{\alpha_0} = -H_{\tilde{\alpha}} + \frac{2c}{(\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\alpha})}$. (Here (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product on \underline{h}^* induced by B .) Denote by $\omega_j \in \underline{h}^*$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$ the basis dual to H_{α_j} , $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Define $\hat{\omega}_j \in (\hat{\underline{h}}^e)^*$ by the duality relations

$$\hat{\omega}_j(H_{\alpha_k}) = \delta_{jk}, \quad 0 \leq j, k \leq \ell, \quad \hat{\omega}_j(d) = 0.$$

$\lambda \in (\hat{\underline{h}}^e)^*$ is called dominant integral if $\lambda(H_{\alpha_j}) \in \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ for $j = 0, \dots, \ell$. Every such element of $(\hat{\underline{h}}^e)^*$ is of the form $n_0\hat{\omega}_0 + \dots + n_\ell\hat{\omega}_\ell + a\delta$, where $n_j = \lambda(H_{\alpha_j})$ and $a = \lambda(d) \in \mathbb{C}$.

Set $\hat{\underline{b}}^e = \hat{\underline{h}}^e \oplus \hat{\underline{n}}$, where $\hat{\underline{n}}$ is defined to be

$$\hat{\underline{n}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \underline{g}_\alpha \oplus \underline{g} \otimes z\mathbb{C}[z].$$

Given $\lambda \in (\hat{\underline{h}}^e)^*$, extend λ to be zero on $\hat{\underline{n}}$, and form the induced $\hat{\underline{g}}^e$ - module

$$M(\lambda) = U(\hat{\underline{g}}^e) \otimes_{U(\hat{\underline{b}}^e)} \mathbb{C}_\lambda,$$

where \mathbb{C}_λ denotes the one-dimensional $\hat{\underline{b}}^e$ - module with action $x \rightarrow \lambda(x) \cdot 1$, and $U(\hat{\underline{g}}^e)$ resp. $U(\hat{\underline{b}}^e)$ denote the universal enveloping algebra of $\hat{\underline{g}}^e$ resp. $\hat{\underline{b}}^e$. Let $L(\lambda)$ be the quotient of $M(\lambda)$ by the maximal submodule of $M(\lambda)$ which does not contain $1 \otimes 1$. When λ is dominant integral we shall call $L(\lambda)$ a standard module with highest weight λ .

Fix a basis $\{u_j \mid j = 1, \dots, \ell\}$ of \underline{g} and let $\{u^j \mid j = 1, \dots, \ell\}$ be defined by

$$B(u_j, u^k) = \delta_{jk}.$$

Set $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha$. Then the operator

$$T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} u_j u^j + \sum_{\substack{k>0 \\ k \in \mathbb{Z}}} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} (u_j \otimes z^{-k})(u^j \otimes z^k)$$

acts on $L(\lambda)$ with action

$$\left[\frac{1}{\lambda(c) + \frac{1}{2}} \right] T = -\alpha + \frac{(\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + 2\rho)}{2\lambda(c) + 1} I,$$

where $\lambda_0 = \lambda|_{\underline{h}}$.

2.2. Completions of \tilde{g} .

A function $w : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ will be called a symmetric weight function if

- (1) $w(-k) = w(k)$
- (2) $w(k + \ell) \leq w(k) w(\ell), \quad k, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}.$

Let A_w be the space of functions

$$f(e^{i\Theta}) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} a_k e^{ik\Theta}$$

on S^1 such that

$$\|f\|_w := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |a_k| w(k) < \infty.$$

Then $(A_w, \|\cdot\|_w)$ is a commutative Banach $*$ -algebra under pointwise multiplication and $*$ -operation given by complex conjugation. Since $w(k) \geq w(0)^{1/2}$, $A_w \subset C(S^1, \mathbb{C})$ with continuous inclusion.

The symmetric weight w will be said to be of non-analytic type if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} w(k)^{1/k} = 1.$$

In this case the maximal ideal space of A_w is S^1 . Hence if $f \in A_w, f \neq 0$ on S^1 , then $\frac{1}{f} \in A_w$ (cf. Goodman-Wallach [7], p. 128).

Let $X \in \tilde{g}$ be given by

$$X = \sum_{j,k} a_{j,k} u_j z^k, \quad z = e^{i\Theta}$$

with $\{u_j\}$ a basis for \underline{g} and $u_j = u_j^*$. Define

$$\|X\|_w = \sum_{j,k} |a_{j,k}| w(k).$$

Then $\| \cdot \|_w$ is a norm on \tilde{g} , and we denote the completion of \tilde{g} with respect to $\| \cdot \|_w$ \tilde{g}_w .

Suppose the symmetric weight w satisfies

$$w(k) \geq C |k|^{1/2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some constant $C > 0$. If we define

$$\| X + ac \|_w = \| X \|_w + |a|$$

for $X \in \tilde{g}$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$, then the completion $\hat{\underline{g}}_w$ of $\hat{\underline{g}}$ is a Banach Lie algebra and given by $\hat{\underline{g}}_w = \tilde{g}_w \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ (cf. Goodman – Wallach [7] p. 83). For $\underline{g} \subset M_n(\mathbb{C})$ the completion \tilde{g}_w of \tilde{g} can also be described as

$$\tilde{g}_w = \{X \in M_n(A_w) \mid X(z) \in \underline{g}, \quad z \in S^1\}.$$

2.3. Completion of Standard Modules.

Suppose λ is a dominant integral weight for $\hat{\underline{g}}^e$, and $(L(\lambda), \pi_\lambda)$ is the corresponding standard $\hat{\underline{g}}^e$ -module with inner product $\langle u, v \rangle_\lambda$. Denote by H^λ the completion of $L(\lambda)$ in the norm $\| v \|_\lambda = \langle v, v \rangle_\lambda^{1/2}$. Let A be the closure of

$$I + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda(c) + \frac{1}{2}} \right) T$$

in H_λ . Define the powers A^r , $r \in \mathbb{R}$, by the spectral theorem, and set

$$\| v \|_{\sigma,t} = \left\| \exp \left(tA^{1/\sigma} \right) v \right\|_\lambda$$

for $v \in L(\lambda)$, $t \geq 1$ and $\sigma \geq 1$. Denote by $S_{\sigma,t}^\lambda$ the completion of $L(\lambda)$ relative to this norm. Then

$$S_\sigma^\lambda = \bigcap_{t>0} S_{\sigma,t}^\lambda$$

will be called the space of Gevrey vectors of order σ for A .

2.4. The Group \hat{G}_w .

Let f_1, \dots, f_α be polynomials on $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that

$$G = \{g \in SL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid f_j(g) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, d\}$$

is a connected and simply connected group with simple Lie algebra \underline{g} . Also assume that if $g \in G$, then the conjugate-transpose $g^* \in G$. Set

$$\widetilde{SL}_n = SL_n(A_w) = \{g \in M_n(A_w) \mid g^{-1} \in M_n(A_w), \quad \det(g) = 1\}$$

for w a weight of non-analytic type. Then \tilde{G}_w , with

$$\tilde{G}_w = \{g \in SL_n(A_w) \mid f_j(g(z)) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, d, \ z \in S^1\},$$

is a closed Banach Lie subgroup of $SL_n(A_w)$ with Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_w = \{X \in M_n(A_w) \mid df_j(X(z)) = 0, \ j = 1, \dots, d, \ z \in S^1\}.$$

If $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$ are the fundamental weights of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, set

$$S_\sigma = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\ell} S_\sigma^{\lambda_j}, \quad \pi = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\ell} \pi_{\lambda_j}.$$

Let \hat{G}_w be the group generated by

$$\{\exp(\pi(X)) \mid X \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w\}.$$

Let Z denote the center of \hat{G}_w . Then Z is a closed Lie subgroup of \hat{G}_w with Lie algebra $\mathbb{C}c$. The identity component of Z is

$$Z^0 = \{\exp(t\pi(c)) \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Assume there exists a σ , $1 < \sigma < 2$, such that the weight w satisfies the inequality

$$C_t \exp(t|k|^{1/\sigma}) \leq w(k) \leq M_\epsilon \exp(\epsilon|k|)$$

for all $t > 0$, $\epsilon > 0$ where C_t, M_ϵ are positive constants. Then we have an exact sequence of Lie group homomorphisms

$$1 \rightarrow Z^0 \rightarrow \hat{G}_w \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}} \tilde{G}_w \rightarrow 1$$

whose differentials give the Lie algebra exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}c \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_w \xrightarrow{\text{Pr}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_w \rightarrow 0$$

(cf. Goodman-Wallach [7], p. 111).

3. Isospectral Curves and Their Jacobians.

3.1. Isospectral Curves.

Throughout this chapter we make the following assumptions:

3.1.1. *There exists σ , $1 < \sigma < 2$, such that the symmetric weight w satisfies the inequalities*

$$C_t \exp(t|k|^{1/\sigma}) \leq w(k) \leq M_\epsilon \exp(\epsilon|k|)$$

for all $t, \epsilon > 0$ with constants $C_t, M_\epsilon > 0$.

Suppose $L \in \underline{g}$, $\underline{g} = M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$, is given by

$$L = L(z) = \sum_{j=-p}^q \ell_j z^j, \ell_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), j = -p, \dots, q, p + q \geq 1.$$

3.1.2. *The characteristic polynomials of $L_0(z) = z^p L(z)$ and $L_\infty(z^{-1}) = z^{-q} L(z)$, $f_0(z, \lambda) = \det(L_0(z) - \lambda)$ and $f_\infty(z^{-1}, \lambda) = \det(L_\infty(z^{-1}) - \lambda)$ are irreducible.*

Define the quasi-affine curve X^a to be

$$X^a = \{(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} \mid f(z, \lambda) = \det(L(z) - \lambda) = 0\}.$$

Then X^a is irreducible. Let X_L denote the corresponding projective curve of X^a . X_L is obtained by homogenizing

$$L_0(z) - \lambda = \sum_{j=0}^{p+q} \ell_{j-p} z^j - \lambda$$

and setting

$$M(x_0, x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=0}^{p+q} \ell_{j-p} z^j t^{p+q-j} + t^{p+q-1} \lambda,$$

$$x_0 = z, x_1 = \lambda, x_2 = t.$$

The isospectral curve X_L is defined to be

$$X_L = \{(x_0, x_1, x_2) \mid \det M(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C}).$$

Set

$$X_0 = \{(z, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid f_0(z, \lambda) = 0\},$$

$$X_\infty = \{(z^{-1}, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \mid f_\infty(z^{-1}, \lambda) = 0\}.$$

Then $X^a \subset X_L$ and we have the following maps

$$\psi_0 : X^a \rightarrow X_0, (z, \lambda) \rightarrow (z, z^p \lambda)$$

$$\psi_\infty : X^a \rightarrow X_\infty, (z^{-1}, \lambda) \rightarrow (z^{-1}, z^{-q}\lambda).$$

We also assume

3.1.3. $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ is nonsingular or equivalently $df(z, \lambda)|_{X_0 \cap X_\infty} \neq 0$.

If $f(z, \lambda)$ has singularities on X_L , denote by S the set of singular points of X_L .

Theorem 3.1.4. *Let L be as above. Then there exists a nonsingular model X'_L of X_L and a projection map $p : X'_L \rightarrow X_L$. Set $S' = p^{-1}(S)$, then $p : X'_L - S' \rightarrow X_L - S$ is biholomorphic.*

Proof. (Cf. Serre [24], pp. 67/68.) □

In this chapter we will be analyzing two classes of curves:

3.1.5. X_L is nonsingular, i.e. $S = 0$. Then $X'_L = X_L$.

3.1.6. L is given by

$$\begin{aligned} L(z) &= \ell_0 + \ell_1 z + \ell_2 z^2, \\ \ell_0 &= E_{1,n}, \\ \ell_1 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (a_j E_{j,j+1} + b_j E_{j+1,j}) + \sum_{j=1}^n d_j E_{j,j}, \\ \ell_2 &= E_{n,1}, \\ \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j &\neq 0, \quad \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In Section 3.3 we will give a condition in terms of the a_j 's, b_j 's and d_j 's which guarantees the nonsingularity of $X_0 \cap X_\infty$, $X_0 \cap X_\infty \subset X_L$.

We associate to X_L a line bundle $E_L \rightarrow X_L$ as follows: For $x \in X_L$ define

$$E_L(x) = \text{Ker} (L(z(x)) - \lambda(x)).$$

Then we have

Theorem 3.1.7. *If $f(z, \lambda)$ is nonsingular on X_L , then $E_L(x)$ is one-dimensional for any $x \in X_L$ and the map*

$$x \rightarrow E_L(x)$$

is holomorphic from X_L to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $L(z_0)$ does not have simple spectrum. If λ_0 is a multiple eigenvalue of $L(z_0)$, then by the nonsingularity of $f(z, \lambda)$

we obtain

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, \lambda)|_{(z_0, \lambda_0)} \neq 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(z, \lambda)|_{(z_0, \lambda_0)} = 0.$$

Define $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}^n$ to be the generalized eigenspace of λ_0

$$\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}^n = \{v \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid (L(z_0) - \lambda_0)_\nu^r = 0, \quad \text{for some } r \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Assume there exist linearly independent vectors $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_0}^n$ such that $L(z_0)v_j = \lambda_0 v_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Extend v_1, v_2 to a basis $\{v_j\}$ of \mathbb{C}^n . Then

$$\det(L(z_0) - \lambda) = (\lambda - \lambda_0)^2 \Phi(\lambda),$$

$$(L(z) - \lambda_0)v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge (L(z) - \lambda_0)v_n = f(z, \lambda_0)v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_n,$$

which yields $f(z, \lambda_0) = (z - z_0)^2 g(z)$, contradicting $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, \lambda)|_{(z_0, \lambda_0)} \neq 0$.

(b) Denote by $\mathfrak{Ad}(M(x_0, x_1, x_2))$ the classical adjoint (cofactor matrix) of $M(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ as in the definition of X_L and let $e_j(x) = e_j(x_0, x_1, x_2)$ be the j -th column of $\mathfrak{Ad}(M(x_0, x_1, x_2))$. If $\det M(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 0$ then by (a) $\dim \text{Ker} M(x_0, x_1, x_2) = 1$ hence $\text{rank} M(x_0, x_1, x_2) = n - 1$. Consequently there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, such that $e_j(x) \neq 0$. Set

$$U_j = \{x \in X_L \mid e_j(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Then $\bigcup_{j=1}^n U_j = X_L$ and the map

$$X_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \rightarrow e_j(x)$$

is polynomial and homogeneous. On $U_j \cap U_k$ we have $e_j(x) = g_{j,k} e_k(x)$ with $g_{j,k}$ holomorphic. □

Theorem 3.1.8. *Let L be as in 3.1.6. If $x \in X_0 \cap X_\infty$, then $E_L(x)$ is one-dimensional and the map*

$$x \rightarrow E_L(x)$$

is rational from X_L to $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. From 3.1.7 (a) it follows that $E_L(x)$ is one-dimensional for $x \in X_0 \cap X_\infty$, since $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ is nonsingular. Define Φ on the Zariski-open set $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ as

$$\Phi : X_0 \cap X_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C}), \quad x \rightarrow e_j(x) \quad (\text{see 3.1.7(b)}).$$

If $A \subset \Phi(X_0 \cap X_\infty) \cap \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is closed, then $\Phi^{-1}(A)$ is closed, since Φ is polynomial and homogeneous. Thus Φ is continuous in the Zariski-topology. Suppose $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is open and $r : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a regular function on V , i.e. for $P \in U \subset V$, U open, there exist homogeneous polynomials g, h of the same degree, such that h is nowhere zero on U , and $r = \frac{g}{h}$ on U . Consequently $r \circ \Phi : \Phi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is regular. If $x \in \Phi^{-1}(U)$, then there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, so that $x \in U_j$ (3.1.7 (b)). Thus $r \circ \Phi = \frac{g \circ \Phi}{h \circ \Phi}$ and $g \circ \Phi$, $h \circ \Phi$ are homogeneous polynomials of the same degree.

Moreover, $h \circ \Phi$ is nowhere zero on $U_j \cap \Phi^{-1}(V)$. Hence Φ is a rational map $\Phi : X_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ (cf. Hartshorne [12], pp. 14-24). \square

Theorem 3.1.9. *Suppose L is as in 3.1.6. Let $\Phi : X_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, $x \rightarrow E_L(x)$ be the rational map in 3.1.8. Then the induced rational map $\Phi' : X'_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ is everywhere regular.*

Proof. (Cf. Mumford [16], pp. 166/167.) \square

3.2. The Algebraic Curve X_L (Nonsingular Case).

Let $L \in \tilde{g}$ be given by

$$L = L(z) = \sum_{j=-p}^q \ell_j z^j, \ell_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), j = -p, \dots, q, p + q \geq 1.$$

Theorem 3.2.1. *Let L be as above. Suppose $f(z, \lambda)$ is nonsingular on X_L . Then the genus of X_L , $g(X_L)$, is equal to*

$$g(X_L) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(p+q) - n + 1.$$

Proof. (a) We may replace $L(z)$ by $L_0(z)$. Let $p_1 : X_L \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the projection map corresponding to z , so that $p_1(z, \lambda) = z$. Then $\deg p_1 = 1$. By Hurwitz formula (cf. Farkas – Kra [4] p. 18) we have

$$2g(X_L) - 2 = n(2\gamma - 2) + B = -2n + B,$$

since the genus of \mathbb{P}^1 , $\gamma(\mathbb{P}^1) = 0$. To establish the result we observe that the total branch number, B , is given by

$$B = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec } L(z)} \{\text{mult}(\lambda) - 1\},$$

where $\text{Spec}L(z)$ denotes the spectrum of $L(z)$ and $\text{mult}(\lambda)$ the multiplicity of λ . We now use this observation to show that

$$B = n(n-1)(p+q).$$

(b) Suppose $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Define $Q(A)$ by $\det(\text{ad}A - tI) = t^n Q(A) +$ higher order terms in t .

Then $Q(A)$ is polynomial and if A has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ counting multiplicities, then

$$Q(A) = \prod_{j \neq k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k).$$

Thus $Q(tA) = t^{n(n-1)}Q(A)$. Since there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(z_0)$ has simple spectrum we have $Q(L(z)) \neq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Q(L(z)) &= Q(z^{p+q}\ell_q + \text{lower order terms in } z) \\ &= z^{n(n-1)(p+q)}Q(\ell_q) + \text{lower order terms in } z. \end{aligned}$$

If ℓ_q does not have simple spectrum, then if $L(z_0)$ does replace $L(z)$ by $L(z + z_0)$ and consider $L_\infty((z + z_0)^{-1})$ to obtain

$$\deg Q(L(z)) = n(n-1)(p+q).$$

(c) Assume $L(z_0)$ has a multiple eigenvalue for some $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We assert that

$$\text{ord}_{z_0} Q(L(z)) = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Spec}L(z_0)} \{\text{mult}(\lambda) - 1\}.$$

We may assume $z_0 = 0$, otherwise we can replace $L(z)$ by $L(z + z_0)$. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of $L(0)$ counting multiplicities. If $\lambda \in \text{Spec}L(0)$ and $r = \text{mult}(\lambda)$, $r > 1$, we have

$$(1) \quad f(0, \lambda) = \prod (\lambda_i - \lambda), \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(0, \lambda) \neq 0.$$

We may also assume $\lambda = 0$, otherwise we can shift by $-\lambda$. Then by the Inverse Function Theorem $f(z, \lambda)$ defines $z = z(\lambda)$ in some disc in the λ parameter with $z(0) = 0$. We assert that $\text{ord}_0 z(\lambda) = r$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^s}{\partial \lambda^s} f(z, \lambda)|_{(0,0)} = 0, \quad s < r, \quad \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \lambda^r} f(z, \lambda)|_{(0,0)} \neq 0.$$

Then

$$0 = \frac{d}{d\lambda} f(z, \lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(z(\lambda), \lambda) z'(\lambda)|_{\lambda=0} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} f(z(\lambda), \lambda)|_{\lambda=0}$$

giving $z'(0) = 0$ by equation (1), and more generally

$$0 = \frac{d^s}{d\lambda^s} f(z, \lambda)|_{\lambda=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(z(\lambda), \lambda) z^{(s)}(\lambda)|_{\lambda=0} + \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \lambda^s} f(z(\lambda), \lambda)|_{\lambda=0}$$

yielding $z^{(s)}(0) = 0$ for $s < r$. Thus

$$z(\lambda) = a_r \lambda^r + \text{higher order terms in } \lambda,$$

which gives $\lambda = \lambda(z^{1/r})$, $\lambda(0) = 0$, $\lambda'(0) \neq 0$, with λ holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are the distinct eigenvalues of $L(0)$ with multiplicities r_1, \dots, r_k respectively, then $\lambda_j = \lambda_j(z^{1/r_j})$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, with λ_j holomorphic near 0. This implies

$$Q(L(z)) = \prod_{j=1}^k z^{r_j(r_j-1)/r_j} g(z), \quad g(0) \neq 0$$

with $g(z)$ polynomial, since $Q(L(z))$ is polynomial. Thus

$$\text{ord}_0 Q(L(z)) = \sum_{j=1}^k (r_j - 1).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{z_0 \in \mathbb{C}} \text{ord}_{z_0} Q(L(z)) = \deg Q(L(z)) = n(n-1)(p+q).$$

□

3.3. The Isospectral Curve X_L (Tridiagonal Case).

Let $L(z) = \ell_0 + \ell_1 z + \ell_2 z^2$ be tridiagonal as in 3.1. Then

$$z^{-1}L(z) - \lambda = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 - \lambda & a_1 & \cdots & & z^{-1} \\ b_1 & d_2 - \lambda & a_2 & \cdots & \vdots \\ 0 & b_2 & d_3 - \lambda & \cdots & \\ \vdots & & & & a_{n-1} \\ z & \cdots & & b_{n-1} & d_n - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

The determinant of $z^{-1}L(z) - \lambda$ is given by

$$f(z, \lambda) = (-1)^{n+1} \left[\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \right) z + \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \right) z^{-1} - P(\lambda) \right],$$

where $P(\lambda)$ is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient 1. Set $A = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j$, $B = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j$. Then by assumption $A \neq 0$, $B \neq 0$ and the curve X_L is defined by

$$Az + Bz^{-1} - P(\lambda) = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$z(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2A} \left[P(\lambda) \pm \sqrt{P(\lambda)^2 - 4AB} \right] = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2A} \left[P(\lambda) \mp \sqrt{P(\lambda)^2 - 4AB} \right]}.$$

Theorem 3.3.1. *Let L be as above and suppose X_L is nonsingular on $X_0 \cap X_\infty$. Then the curve X_L is hyperelliptic of genus $g(X'_L) = n - 1$.*

Proof. (Van Moerbeke-Mumford [14], pp. 125/126.) □

The assumption that X_L is nonsingular on $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ was added and is necessary as the following example shows.

Consider the matrix:

$$L(z) - \lambda = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & 1 & z^{-1} \\ 1 & -\lambda & 1 \\ z & 1 & -\lambda. \end{bmatrix}$$

Then $f(z, \lambda) = -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda + z + z^{-1}$ and consequently $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible. We have

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, \lambda) = 1 - z^{-2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(z, \lambda) = -3\lambda^2 + 3,$$

which implies that $(z, \lambda) = (-1, 1)$ and $(z, \lambda) = (1, -1)$ are singular points of X_L . Moreover, $A = 1 = B$ and

$$P(\lambda)^2 - 4 = (\lambda - 1)^2(\lambda + 2)(\lambda + 1)^2(\lambda - 2), \quad P'(\lambda) = 3(\lambda^2 - 1).$$

Thus $P(\lambda)^2 - 4$ and $P'(\lambda)$ have a non-constant factor in common.

To allow singularities only on $X_L \setminus X_0 \cap X_\infty$, i.e. at $z = 0$ or $z^{-1} = 0$, the condition we need is as follows:

Set

$$f(z, \lambda) = Az + Bz^{-1} - P(\lambda).$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(z, \lambda) = A - Bz^{-2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}(z, \lambda) = -P'(\lambda).$$

If $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$, then $z = \pm\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}}$. Hence the condition we obtain is, that the polynomials

$$P(\lambda) \pm 2\sqrt{AB}, P'(\lambda)$$

have no non-constant factor in common. If

$$R = R(P(\lambda)^2 - 4AB, P'(\lambda))$$

denotes the resultant of $P(\lambda)^2 - 4AB$ and $P'(\lambda)$, then the above condition is equivalent to $R \neq 0$. The resultant is a polynomial in the

$$a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_n$$

(cf. van der Waerden [25], p. 108). The zeroset of R

$$Z(R) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{3n-2} \mid R = 0\}$$

is an affine algebraic set of \mathbb{C}^{3n-2} of codimension 1, the zeroset

$$Z(A, B) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{3n-2} \mid AB = 0\}$$

has codimension 1 in \mathbb{C}^{3n-2} . Therefore for $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, d_1, \dots, d_n)$ in the complement of $Z(R) \cup Z(A, B)$ we have the nonsingularity on $X_0 \cap X_\infty$.

3.4. Lie Theoretic Construction of the Jacobian Variety.

Let L satisfy 3.1.2 and 3.1.3. Denote by $\tilde{g}_{w,+}$ resp. $\tilde{g}_{w,-}$ the completions of $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$ resp. $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}])$ with respect to the weight w . Let $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ denote the centralizer of L in \tilde{g}_w . The centralizers of L in $\tilde{g}_{w,+}$ resp. $\tilde{g}_{w,-}$ will be denoted $\mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ resp. $\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$.

Theorem 3.4.1. *Let L be as above. Suppose $f(z, \lambda)$ is nonsingular on X_L for all $z \in S^1$. If $M \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then*

$$M(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} c_k(z) L^k(z)$$

with $c_k(z) \in A_w$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $(z_0, \lambda_0) \in X_L$ and $df|_{(z_0, \lambda_0)} \neq 0$. Then $I, L(z_0), \dots, L^{n-1}(z_0)$ is a basis of the centralizer of $L(z_0)$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Suppose $L(z_0)$ is in Jordan canonical form. By 3.1.7 (a) we have $\dim \text{Ker}(L(z_0) - \lambda_0) = 1$. Thus there exists exactly one Jordan block for the

eigenvalue λ_0 of $L(z_0)$. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, are the distinct eigenvalues of $L(z_0)$ with multiplicities r_1, \dots, r_k respectively, then (notation as in 3.1.7 (a))

$$\mathbb{C}^n = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n, \quad \dim(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n) = r_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

We note that if $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ commutes with $L(z_0)$, then $A\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n \subset \mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence it is enough to consider the dimension of the centralizer of $L(z_0)$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Denote by $L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}$ the restriction of $L(z_0)$ to $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n$. Since $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n$ is a cyclic $\mathbb{C}[L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}]$ -module the centralizer of $L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}$ is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{C}[L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}] / (m_j)$$

where m_j denotes the minimal polynomial of $L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}$. Since $\deg m_j = r_j$ it follows

$$\dim \mathbb{C}[L(z_0)|_{\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_j}^n}] / (m_j) = r_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Thus the dimension of the centralizer of $L(z_0)$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ equals $\sum_{j=1}^n r_j = n$. The minimal polynomial of $L(z_0)$ on \mathbb{C}^n , $m(L(z_0))$, is $m(L(z_0)) = \prod_{j=1}^k m_j$. Hence $\deg(m(L(z_0))) = n$ which implies $I, L(z_0), \dots, L^{n-1}(z_0)$ are linearly independent.

(b) Suppose $M(z) \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Then, if $f(z, \lambda) = 0$, $df(z, \lambda) \neq 0$, we obtain $M(z) \wedge (I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)) \equiv 0$ in $\Lambda^{n+1} M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $z \in S^1$. Hence

$$(2) \quad M(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k(z) L^k(z), \quad z \in S^1.$$

(c) From the equation (2) one obtains

$$c_k(z)(I \wedge (L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z))) = (-1)^k (M(z) \wedge I \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{L}^k(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)).$$

The map

$$z \rightarrow M(z) \wedge I \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{L}^k(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)$$

from $S^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^n M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has coordinates in A_w ; the map

$$z \rightarrow I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)$$

from $S^1 \rightarrow \Lambda^n M_n(\mathbb{C})$ has polynomial coordinates and is nowhere 0. Set $X_J = X_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge X_{j_n}$, with X_1, \dots, X_{n^2} a basis of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z) = \sum b_J(z) X_J, \quad b_J(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}],$$

$$\overline{I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)} \cdot c_k(z) (I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)) = \sum \overline{b_J(z)} b_J(z) c_k(z)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{I \wedge L(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)} \cdot (-1)^k (M(z) \wedge I \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{L}^k(z) \wedge \dots \wedge L^{n-1}(z)) = \\ = \sum \overline{b_J(z)} \Phi_J(z), \end{aligned}$$

with $\Phi_J(z) \in A_w$. Now $\sum \overline{b_J(z)} b_J(z) = \sum |b_J(z)|^2 \neq 0$, $z \in S^1$. Hence

$$c_k(z) = \frac{\sum \overline{b_J(z)} \Phi_J(z)}{\sum |b_J(z)|^2} \in A_w.$$

□

From the proof of the theorem, it follows $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ and $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^w(L) = \mathfrak{C}^w(L) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{w,\pm}$ are abelian Lie algebras.

Lemma 3.4.2. *Let L be as above. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ is such that $[A, L]_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}} = 0$, then $A = a \cdot I$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $L(z_0)$ has simple spectrum. We may assume $L(z_0)$ is in diagonal form. Then $A = \text{diag}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$. Assume $a = a_1$ has multiplicity r with $1 \leq r < n$ and $a = a_1 = \dots = a_r$. If $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$AL(z)e_j = L(z)Ae_j = L(z)ae_j = aL(z)e_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

where e_j is the j -th unit vector of \mathbb{C}^n . Hence $L(z)e_j$ is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue a of A . This implies that

$$L(z)e_j = \sum_{k=0}^r a_{jk}(z)e_k, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

So

$$L(z) = \begin{bmatrix} A(z) & B(z) \\ 0 & D(z) \end{bmatrix}$$

and $\det(L(z) - \lambda) = \det(A(z) - \lambda) \det(D(z) - \lambda)$. This contradicts 3.1.2.

□

Suppose $M \in \tilde{g}_w$. Then M can be written as $M = M_+ + M_0 + M_-$ with $M_+ \in z\tilde{g}_{w,+}$, $M_0 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_- \in z^{-1}\tilde{g}_{w,-}$.

Lemma 3.4.3. *Suppose $M \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Then $M \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$ if and only if there exist $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $M_+ - A, M_- - B \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$.*

Proof. (a) The sufficiency is immediate.

(b) Let $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $M_+ - A, M_- - B \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$.

Then $M = M_+ + M_0 + M_-$, $M_+ - A + M_- - B \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, which implies $M_0 + A + B \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Furthermore, $M_+ - A + (M_0 + A + B) \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ and $M_- - B \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$.

Thus $M_+ - A + (M_0 + A + B) + M_- - B = M \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$. \square

Lemma 3.4.4. $\mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$ is closed in $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$.

Proof. Let $M_j \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$ be a sequence with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M_j = M$ in \tilde{g}_w . By the previous lemma there exist $A_j, B_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(M_j)_+ - A_j, (M_j)_- - B_j \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Then $[(M_j)_+, L]_{\tilde{g}} = [A_j, L]_{\tilde{g}}$, $[(M_j)_-, L]_{\tilde{g}} = [B_j, L]_{\tilde{g}}$ and by assumption $(M_j)_+ \rightarrow M_+$, $(M_j)_- \rightarrow M_-$ which implies the convergence of the sequences $[A_j, L]_{\tilde{g}}$ and $[B_j, L]_{\tilde{g}}$. Since $\{[C, L]_{\tilde{g}} \mid C \in M_n(\mathbb{C})\}$ is finite dimensional hence closed in \tilde{g}_w , it follows

$$[(M_j)_+, L]_{\tilde{g}} = [A_j, L]_{\tilde{g}} \rightarrow [A, L]_{\tilde{g}}, \quad A \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$$

$$[(M_j)_-, L]_{\tilde{g}} = [B_j, L]_{\tilde{g}} \rightarrow [B, L]_{\tilde{g}}, \quad B \in M_n(\mathbb{C}).$$

Since $[A_j - A, L]_{\tilde{g}} \rightarrow 0$, one has by the above lemma $A_j - A \rightarrow aI$, $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Thus $A_j \rightarrow A + aI$. One obtains $(M_j)_+ - A_j \rightarrow M_+ - A - aI$. Similarly, $(M_j)_- - B_j \rightarrow M_- - B - bI$, $b \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ is closed, it follows $M_+ - A - aI, M_- - B - bI \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Thus $M \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$, by the previous lemma. \square

Set $\tilde{G}_w = GL_n(A_w)$. Denote by $\tilde{G}_{w,+}$ resp. $\tilde{G}_{w,-}$ the subgroups

$$\tilde{G}_{w,+} = \left\{ g \in \tilde{G}_w \mid g = \sum_{m \geq 0} g_m z^m \right\},$$

$$\tilde{G}_{w,-} = \left\{ g \in \tilde{G}_w \mid g = \sum_{m \leq 0} g_m z^m \right\}.$$

Let $\tilde{V}_{w,+}$ resp. $\tilde{V}_{w,-}$ be defined by

$$\tilde{V}_{w,+} = \left\{ g \in \tilde{G}_{w,+} \mid g = I + \sum_{m>0} g_m z^m \right\},$$

$$\tilde{V}_{w,-} = \left\{ g \in \tilde{G}_{w,-} \mid g = I + \sum_{m<0} g_m z^m \right\}.$$

By analogy with the definition of the centralizers $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\pm}^w(L)$ we define

$$C^w(L) = \{g \in \tilde{G}_w \mid gLg^{-1} = L\},$$

$$C_{\pm}^w(L) = C^w(L) \cap \tilde{G}_{w,\pm}.$$

Denote by $V \rightarrow e^V$ the exponential map from $\tilde{g}_w \rightarrow \tilde{G}_w$. Define

$$\Lambda = \{V \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L) \mid e^V \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)\}.$$

Theorem 3.4.5. Λ is closed in $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$.

In order to establish the theorem we show that $C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$ is closed in $C^w(L)$. From the following lemmas we will deduce the statement of the theorem.

Lemma 3.4.6. Suppose $g \in C^w(L)$. Assume furthermore, g can be factored, $g = g_- g_0 g_+$, with $g_- \in \tilde{V}_{w,-}$, $g_0 \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $g_+ \in \tilde{V}_{w,+}$. Then $g \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$ if and only if there exist $a, b \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g_-^{-1} L g_- = a^{-1} L a$ and $g_+ L g_+^{-1} = b L b^{-1}$.

Proof. (a) The sufficiency follows immediately.

(b) Let $a, b \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $g_-^{-1} L g_- = a^{-1} L a$ and $g_+ L g_+^{-1} = b L b^{-1}$. Then

$$a g_-^{-1} (g_- g_0 g_+) g_+^{-1} b = a g_0 b \in C^w(L).$$

Moreover,

$$g_- a^{-1} (a g_0 b) = g_- g_0 b \in C_-^w(L), \quad b^{-1} g_+ \in C_+^w(L),$$

which implies

$$(g_- g_0 b) (b^{-1} g_+) = g_- g_0 g_+ \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L).$$

□

Lemma 3.4.7. *Let $\{g_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a sequence in $C_-^w(L) \ C_+^w(L)$ such that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} g_j = g$ exists and $g \in \tilde{V}_{w,-} GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \tilde{V}_{w,+}$. Then $g \in C_-^w(L) \ C_+^w(L)$.*

Proof. (a) By 3.4.6, $g_j \in C_-^w(L) \ C_+^w(L)$ if and only if there exist $a_j, b_j \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $(g_j)_-^{-1} L (g_j)_- = a_j^{-1} L a_j$, $(g_j)_+ L (g_j)_+^{-1} = b_j L b_j^{-1}$. From

$$(g_j)_- (g_j)_0 (g_j)_+ \rightarrow g_- g_0 g_+,$$

it follows that $(g_j)_- \rightarrow g_-$, $(g_j)_-^{-1} \rightarrow g_-^{-1}$. This implies $(g_j)_-^{-1} L (g_j)_- = a_j^{-1} L a_j \rightarrow g_-^{-1} L g_-$.

(b) Since $\mathbb{P}M_n(\mathbb{C})$, the projective space of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, is compact, $[a_j]$ has a subsequence $[a_{j_k}]$ in $\mathbb{P}M_n(\mathbb{C})$ which converges to $[a]$, $a \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. For the classical adjoint, $\mathfrak{Ad}(a_{j_k})$, we obtain $[\mathfrak{Ad}(a_{j_k})] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{Ad}(a)]$ in $\mathbb{P}M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

(c) Denote by $\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q}$ the finite dimensional subspace of $\tilde{\underline{g}}_w$

$$\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q} = \left\{ P \in \tilde{\underline{g}}_w \mid P(z) = \sum_{j=-p}^q p_j z^j, \ p_j \in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \ j = -p, \dots, q \right\}.$$

The sequence $[a_j^{-1} L a_j]$ converges in $\mathbb{P}\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q}$. Moreover, $[\mathfrak{Ad}(a_j) L a_j]$ converges in $\mathbb{P}\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q}$ and it follows

$$[\mathfrak{Ad}(a_{j_k}) L a_{j_k}] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{Ad}(a) L a] \text{ in } \mathbb{P}\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q}.$$

Hence,

$$[\mathfrak{Ad}(a_j) L a_j] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{Ad}(a) L a] \text{ in } \mathbb{P}\tilde{\underline{g}}_w^{p,q}.$$

(d) From $[\mathfrak{Ad}(a) L a] = [g_-^{-1} L g_-]$ (by (a) and (c)) it follows that there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\alpha(g_-^{-1} L g_-) = \mathfrak{Ad}(a) L a$. We assert $a \in GL_n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume not. Then $\det(\alpha g_-^{-1} L g_-) = \alpha^n \det L = 0$. Since $\det L$ is the coefficient of λ^0 in $f(z, \lambda)$, $\det L = 0$ yields a contradiction to the irreducibility of f . We obtain $\tilde{\alpha} g_-^{-1} L g_- = a^{-1} L a$, for $\tilde{\alpha} \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

(e) We assert $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$. Consider

$$\text{tr}(\tilde{\alpha} g_-^{-1} L g_-) = \tilde{\alpha} \text{tr} L = \text{tr}(a^{-1} L a) = \text{tr} L.$$

We may assume $\text{tr} L \neq 0$. Otherwise we can replace L by $L + t \cdot I$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \gg 0$, since L and $L + t \cdot I$ have the same centralizer. Thus $\tilde{\alpha} = 1$ and $g_-^{-1} L g_- = a^{-1} L a$. By the previous lemma it follows that $g_- a^{-1} \in C^w(L)$. A similar argument shows $b^{-1} g_+ \in C^w(L)$. So we conclude from 3.4.6 that $g = g_- g_0 g_+ \in C_-^w(L) \ C_+^w(L)$. \square

Lemma 3.4.8. $C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$ is closed in $C^w(L)$.

Proof. Let $g_j \rightarrow g$, where $g_j \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$. Since $C^w(L)$ is closed, one obtains $g \in C^w(L)$. Then $gg_j^{-1} \rightarrow 1$, which implies that $gg_j^{-1} \in \tilde{V}_{w,-}GL_n(\mathbb{C})\tilde{V}_{w,+}$ for all $j \geq N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, since $g \in C^w(L)$

$$gg_j^{-1} = \left(g_j^{-1}\right)_- a_j^{-1} \left(a_j \left(g_j^{-1}\right)_0 b_j\right) g b_j^{-1} \left(g_j^{-1}\right)_+ \in \tilde{V}_{w,-}GL_n(\mathbb{C})\tilde{V}_{w,+},$$

for some $a_j, b_j \in CL_n(\mathbb{C})$ by 3.4.6. Furthermore

$$g \in a_j \left(a_j \left(g_j^{-1}\right)_0 b_j\right)_-^{-1} \tilde{V}_{w,-}GL_n(\mathbb{C})\tilde{V}_{w,+} \left(g_j^{-1}\right)_+^{-1} b_j$$

since $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ normalizes $\tilde{V}_{w,-}$ and $\tilde{V}_{w,+}$. From 3.4.7 we conclude $g \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.4.5. Let $V_j \in \Lambda$ such that $V_j \rightarrow V$. Then $e^{V_j} \rightarrow e^V$ with $e^{V_j} \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$. Since $C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$ is closed, it follows that $e^V \in C_-^w(L) C_+^w(L)$. Hence $V \in \Lambda$. \square

Suppose $L \in \tilde{g}$. Denote by $\mathfrak{C}(L)$ the centralizer of L in \tilde{g} and by $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ resp. $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ the centralizer of L in $\tilde{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z]$ resp. $\tilde{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$. In the sequel we consider $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ and $\mathbb{C}[z, L_\infty]$ to be contained in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$.

Lemma 3.4.9. Suppose $L \in \tilde{g}$ and that $f(z, \lambda) = \det(L(z) - \lambda)$ is irreducible. Then $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ resp. $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ is integral over $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ resp. $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]$.

Proof. Since $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible the set S of singular points of $X_L \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ is a proper Zariski-closed subset of X_L , hence finite. Suppose $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $I, L_0(z_0), \dots, L_0^{n-1}(z_0)$ are linearly independent. Then there exist $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{n-1} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})^*$ such that $\det(\lambda_j(L_0^k(z_0)))_{0 \leq j, k \leq n-1} \neq 0$. Denote by $\Lambda(z)$ the matrix

$$\Lambda(z) = [\lambda_j(L_0^k(z))_{0 \leq j, k \leq n-1}].$$

Set

$$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \det(\Lambda(z)) \neq 0\}.$$

Since $\det(\Lambda(z))$ is a polynomial and $\det(\Lambda(z)) \not\equiv 0$, it follows that $\mathbb{C} - U$ is finite. If $z \in U$, $M \in \mathfrak{C}_+(L)$, then

$$M(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k(z) L_0^k(z), \quad \lambda_j(M(z)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k(z) \lambda_j(L_0^k(z)), \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

Hence if $z \in U$, then

$$\det (\Lambda(z))(c_0(z), \ldots, c_{n-1}(z))^T = \mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{d}(\Lambda(z))(\lambda_0(M(z)), \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}(M(z)))^T.$$

Set $u_k(z) = \det (\Lambda(z)) c_k(z)$, $k = 0, \ldots, n - 1$. Then $u_k(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z]$ since $\mathfrak{A}\mathfrak{d}(\Lambda(z))$ and $\lambda_j(M(z))$ are polynomials on U . Now

$$\det (\Lambda(z)) M(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_k(z) L_0^k(z)$$

on U , hence everywhere on \mathbb{C} . Thus

$$M(z) \in \mathbb{C}[z, L_0] \det (\Lambda(z))^{-1} I.$$

Now $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0] \det (\Lambda(z))^{-1} I$ is a notherian $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ -module with $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ as $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ -submodule. This implies $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$, hence integral.

A similar argument shows that $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ is integral over $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]$.

□

Suppose $L \in \tilde{g}$. We will call L good if $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible, $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ is nonsingular and $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ resp. $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ is the integral closure of $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ resp. $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]$ in $M_n(\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}])$, in symbols $\mathfrak{C}_+(L) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]}$, $\mathfrak{C}_-(L) = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]}$.

Theorem 3.4.10. *Suppose $L \in \tilde{g}$ and also assume L is good. Then*

$$H^1\left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right) \cong \mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L),$$

in particular $\dim(\mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L)) = g(X'_L)$.

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{U}' = \{X'_0, X'_\infty\}$ the open, affine covering of X'_L , where $p(X'_0) = X_0$ and $p(X'_\infty) = X_\infty$. We view X'_L as an analytic space and the coherent sheaf $\mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}$ as coherent analytic sheaf and compute the cohomology group $H^1\left(\mathbb{Z}'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right)$ in the analytic category via Čech cohomology with respect to the covering \mathfrak{U}' . GAGA (Serre [23]) then establishes the isomorphism

$$H^1\left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right) \cong H^1\left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right).$$

The Čech complex has two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} C^0 &= \Gamma\left(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right) \oplus \Gamma\left(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right), \\ C^1 &= \Gamma\left(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where Γ denotes the global section functor. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &= \mathfrak{o}_{X'_0} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, \lambda]/(f_0)}, \\ \Gamma(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &= \mathfrak{o}_{X'_\infty} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, \lambda]/(f_\infty)},\end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) = \mathfrak{o}_{X'_0 \cap X'_\infty} = \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, \lambda]/(f)|_{X_0 \cap X_\infty}}.$$

The map $d : C^0 \rightarrow C^1$ is given by addition in C^1 . To compute $H^1(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})$ note that the image of $d, \text{Im } d$, is the set of all expressions $k = k_0 + k_\infty$ with $k_0 \in \Gamma(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})$ and $k_\infty \in \Gamma(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})$.

Since L is good the following maps

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma : \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, \lambda]/(f)|_{X_0 \cap X_\infty} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, L]/(f), \\ z &\rightarrow z, \quad z^{-1} \rightarrow z^{-1}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow L, \\ \gamma_+ : \mathbb{C}[z, \lambda]/(f_0)|_{X_0} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z, L_0]/(f_0), \\ z &\rightarrow z, \quad \lambda \rightarrow L_0 = z^p L, \\ \gamma_- : \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, \lambda]/(f_\infty)|_{X_\infty} &\rightarrow \mathbb{C}[z, L_\infty]/(f_\infty), \\ z^{-1} &\rightarrow z^{-1}, \quad \lambda \rightarrow L_\infty = z^{-q} L,\end{aligned}$$

establish the isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &\cong \mathfrak{C}(L), \quad \Gamma(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) \cong \mathfrak{C}_-(L) \\ \Gamma(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &\cong \mathfrak{C}_+(L).\end{aligned}$$

Thus $H^1(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})$

$$\begin{aligned}H^1(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &\cong \Gamma(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) / \Gamma(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})|_{X'_0 \cap X'_\infty} + \Gamma(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})|_{X'_0 \cap X'_\infty} \\ &\cong \mathfrak{C}(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.4.11. *Suppose $L \in \tilde{g}$ and also assume that $f(z, \lambda)$ is irreducible and $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ is nonsingular. If in addition $\dim(\mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L)) = g(X'_L)$, then L is good.*

Proof. Since $X_0 \cap X_\infty$ is nonsingular we have the isomorphism

$$\Gamma(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) = \Gamma(X_0 \cap X_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X_L}) \cong \mathfrak{C}(L).$$

From the irreducibility of $f(z, \lambda)$ we obtain that $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$ resp. $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ is integral over $\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]$ resp. $\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]$ and

$$\mathbb{C}[z, L_0] \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_+(L) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]}.$$

$$\mathbb{C}[z, L_\infty] \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_-(L) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, L_\infty]}.$$

Assume $\mathfrak{C}_+(L) \neq \overline{\mathbb{C}[z, L_0]}$ or $\mathfrak{C}_-(L) \neq \overline{\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}, L_\infty]}$. Then $\mathfrak{C}_+(L)$, $\mathfrak{C}_-(L)$ define a curve \tilde{X}_L with

$$X'_L \rightarrow \tilde{X}_L \xrightarrow{\tilde{p}} X_L$$

and $\mathfrak{C}_+(L) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{X}_0}$, $\mathfrak{C}_-(L) \cong \mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{X}_\infty}$, $\tilde{p}(\tilde{X}_0) = X_0$, $\tilde{p}(\tilde{X}_\infty) = X_\infty$, $\tilde{X}_0 \cap \tilde{X}_\infty = X_0 \cap X_\infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(X'_L) = \dim H^1(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) &< \dim H^1(\tilde{X}_L, \mathfrak{o}_{\tilde{X}_L}) \\ &= \dim(\mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L)). \end{aligned}$$

(Cf. Serre [24], p. 73.) □

Theorem 3.4.12. *Suppose $L \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is as in 3.1.5 or 3.1.6. Then*

$$\dim(\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)) = g(X'_L) \text{ and}$$

$$\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) \cong \mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_+(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-(L).$$

In particular L is good.

Proof. (a) (nonsingular case). Consider $L_0(z) = z^p L(z)$. Then the condition on the nonsingularity of $f(z, \lambda)$ implies that $I, L_0(z), \dots, L_0^{n-1}(z)$ are linearly independent for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For the same reason one has that $I, L_\infty(z^{-1}), \dots, L_\infty^{n-1}(z^{-1})$ are linearly independent for all $z^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. From the characterization of $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, $\mathfrak{C}_\pm^w(L)$ (3.4.1) it follows if $M \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$

$$\begin{aligned} M(z) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j(z) L^j(z), \quad c_j(z) \in A_w \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k < -l} a_{j,k} z^k + \sum_{k \geq -l}^m a_{j,k} z^k + \sum_{k > m} a_{j,k} z^k \right) L^j(z) \end{aligned}$$

for $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus the set

$$\{z^k L^j(z) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n-1, -jp+1 \leq k \leq jq-1\}$$

provides a basis for $\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$. A counting argument shows that the dimension equals

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (j(p+q) - 1) = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)(p+q) - n + 1 = g(X_L).$$

(b) (tridiagonal case). Consider $L_0(z) = \ell_0 + z\ell_1 + z^2\ell_2$. For the proof of the theorem in the tridiagonal case we will need the following scholia.

Scholium I. *If $0 \leq j \leq n-2$, then $\ell_0\ell_1^j\ell_0 = 0$ and $\ell_2\ell_1^j\ell_2 = 0$.*

Proof. We assert that $\ell_0\ell_1^jE_{r,n} = 0$ if $j+r \leq n-1$. We prove this by induction on j . If $j=0$, then $\ell_0E_{r,n} = E_{1,n}E_{r,n} = 0$, $r < n$. Assume the inductive hypothesis for j . Then

$$\ell_1E_{r,n} = a_{r-1}E_{r-1,n} + d_rE_{r,n} + b_rE_{r+1,n}.$$

Thus

$$\ell_0\ell_1^{j+1}E_{r,n} = a_{r-1}\ell_0\ell_1^jE_{r-1,n} + d_r\ell_0\ell_1^jE_{r,n} + b_r\ell_0\ell_1^jE_{r+1,n}.$$

If $j+1+r < n$, then $j+r-1 < n$, $j+r < n$ and $j+r+1 < n$. Thus the inductive hypothesis implies the result for $j+1$. The Scholium is just the assertion for $r=1$.

By applying the anti-automorphism $x \rightarrow x^T$ one obtains $\ell_2\ell_1^j\ell_2 = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq n-2$. \square

Scholium II. *If $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, then $L_0^j(z) = z^{j-1}M_j(z)$, $M_j(z) \in \mathfrak{B}(z, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, i.e. $M_j(z)$ is polynomial.*

Proof. This result is clear for $j=1$. Assume that we have shown that

$$L_0^j(z) = z^{j-1}M_j(z) = z^{j-1}(A_{j,j-1} + zA_{j,j} + \dots)$$

and $\ell_0A_{j,j-1} = 0$. We show that

$$L_0^{j+1}(z) = z^jA_{j+1,j} + z^{j+1}A_{j+1,j+1} + \dots$$

with $\ell_0A_{j+1,j} = 0$ for $j+1 \leq n-1$.

$$\begin{aligned} L_0^{j+1}(z) &= \ell_0L_0^j(z) + z\ell_1L_0^j(z) + z^2\ell_2L_0^j(z) \\ &= \ell_0(z^{j-1}A_{j,j-1} + z^jA_{j,j} + \dots) \\ &\quad + \ell_1(z^jA_{j,j-1} + z^{j+1}A_{j,j} + \dots) \\ &\quad + \ell_2(z^{j+1}A_{j,j-1} + z^{j+2}A_{j,j} + \dots). \end{aligned}$$

Now $\ell_0 A_{j,j-1} = 0$ by assumption. Thus

$$L_0^{j+1}(z) = z^j(\ell_0 A_{j,j} + \ell_1 A_{j,j-1}) + z^{j+1}(\ell_1 A_{j,j} + \ell_2 A_{j,j-1}) + \dots$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad A_{j+1,j} = \ell_0 A_{j,j} + \ell_1 A_{j,j-1}.$$

But then $\ell_0 A_{j+1,j} = \ell_0 \ell_1 A_{j,j-1}$. Applying equation (3) to $A_{j,j-1}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 A_{j+1,j} &= \ell_0 \ell_1 \ell_0 A_{j-1,j-1} + \ell_0 \ell_1^2 A_{j-1,j-2} \\ &= \ell_0 \ell_1^2 A_{j-1,j-2}. \end{aligned}$$

We continue to apply equation (3) and finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_0 A_{j+1,j} &= \ell_0 \ell_1^{j-2} A_{2,1} = \ell_0 \ell_1^{j-2} (\ell_1 \ell_0 + \ell_0 \ell_1) \\ &= \ell_0 \ell_1^{j-1} \ell_0 + \ell_0 \ell_1^{j-2} \ell_0 \ell_1 = 0, \text{ if } j+1 \leq n-1 \end{aligned}$$

by Scholium I. The statement now follows. \square

Scholium III. *If $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j \neq 0$, $\ell_0 \neq 0$, then $I, M_1(0), \dots, M_{n-1}(0)$ are linearly independent.*

Proof. Since $M_{j+1}(0) = A_{j+1,j}$ and $A_{j+1,j} = \ell_0 A_{j,j} + \ell_1 A_{j,j-1}$, $0 \leq j \leq n-2$, it is enough to show that $I, \ell_0, \ell_1 \ell_0, \dots, \ell_1^{n-2} \ell_0$ are linearly independent. We assert

$$\ell_1^j \ell_0 = \sum_{k=0}^j c_k E_{k+1,n}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq n-2,$$

with $c_j = \prod_{k=1}^j b_k$. We proceed by induction. The result is obvious for $j = 0$. Assume the inductive hypothesis for j . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_1^{j+1} \ell_0 &= \ell_1 (\ell_1^j \ell_0) = \ell_1 \left(\sum_{k=0}^j c_k E_{k+1,n} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j c_k \ell_1 E_{k+1,n} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \tilde{c}_k E_{k+1,n} + c_j (a_j E_{j,n} + d_{j+1} E_{j+1,n} + b_{j+1} E_{j+2,n}) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} \tilde{\tilde{c}}_k E_{k+1,n}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{c}_{j+1} = c_j b_{j+1} = \prod_{k=1}^{j+1} b_k$. It follows that

$$\ell_1^{j+1} \equiv E_{j+2,n} \pmod{(\mathbb{C}E_{1,n} + \dots + \mathbb{C}E_{j+1,n})}$$

which implies that $\ell_0, \ell_1 \ell_0, \dots, \ell_1^{n-2} \ell_0$ are linearly independent. Consequently $I, \ell_0, \ell_1 \ell_0, \dots, \ell_1^{n-2} \ell_0$ are linearly independent. \square

Consider $L_\infty(z^{-1}) = z^{-2} \ell_0 + z^{-1} \ell_1 + \ell_2$. By the same chain of arguments one shows that $L_\infty^j(z^{-1}) = z^{-j+1} \overline{M_j(z^{-1})}$, with $\overline{M_j(z^{-1})} \in \mathfrak{B}(z^{-1}, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. If in addition $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j \neq 0$, then $I, \overline{M(0)}, \dots, \overline{M_{n-1}(0)}$ are linearly independent. The relation between $M_j(z)$ and $\overline{M_j(z^{-1})}$ is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{M_j(z^{-1})} &= z^{j-1} L_\infty^j(z^{-1}) = z^{j-1} (z^{-2j} L_0^j(z)) \\ &= z^{-j-1} L_0^j(z) = z^{-j-1} (z^{j-1} M_j(z)) \\ &= z^{-2} M_j(z), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

If $M(z) \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then by 3.4.1 and Scholium III

$$M(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_j(z) M_j(z), \quad (M_0(z) \equiv I), \quad \alpha_j(z) \in A_w.$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_j(z) &= \alpha_j^+(z) + \alpha_j^-(z) \\ \alpha_j^+(z) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_{j,k} z^k, \quad \alpha_j^-(z) = \sum_{k < 0} \alpha_{j,k} z^k. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j^+(z) M_j(z) \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L),$$

and if $\beta(z) = \sum_{k \leq -2} \alpha_{j,k} z^k$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \beta_j(z) M_j(z) + \alpha_0(z) I \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L).$$

Thus

$$M(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \alpha_{j,-1} z^{-1} M_j(z) \pmod{\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)}.$$

So $\dim (\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)) \leq n-1$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j(z) M_j(z) \mid \gamma_j(z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \gamma_{j,k} z^k, \gamma_j(z) \in A_w, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\}, \\ \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) &= \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j(z) \overline{M_j(z)} \mid \gamma_j(z) = \sum_{k \leq 0} \gamma_{j,k} z^k, \gamma_j(z^{-1}) \in A_w, 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \right\}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j z^{-1} M_j(z) \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L),$$

then by equation (4)

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} c_j z^{-1} M_j(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \gamma_j(z) M_j(z) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_j(z^{-1}) z^{-2} M_j(z).$$

So $c_j z^{-1} = \gamma_j(z) + \delta_j(z^{-1}) z^{-2}$, $z \neq 0$. This implies $c_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n-1$. Thus $\dim (\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)) = n-1$.

(c) From the proof of (a) and (b) it follows immediately that

$$\mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) \cong \mathfrak{C}(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L).$$

□

Theorem 3.4.13. *Suppose $L \in \tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is good. Then*

$$\text{Jac}(X'_L) \cong \mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) / \Lambda / \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) \cong \mathfrak{C}^w(L)/\Lambda.$$

Proof. We have (cf. Gunning [11], pp. 130, 152, 157)

$$\text{Jac}(X'_L) \cong H^1(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) / H^1(X'_L, \mathbb{Z}).$$

Now $H^1(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L})$ by GAGA. The short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \xrightarrow{e} \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}^* \rightarrow 0$$

induces a surjective homomorphism

$$H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) / H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathbb{Z})$$

from which we obtain a surjective homomorphism (notation in 3.4.10).

$$\Gamma(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}) / H^1(\mathcal{U}', \mathbb{Z}).$$

The kernel K of this map consists of all functions k such that $e^k = e^{k_0} e^{k_\infty}$, with $k_0 \in \Gamma \left(X'_0, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right)_{|_{X_0 \cap X_\infty}}$ and $k_\infty \in \Gamma \left(X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right)_{|_{X_0 \cap X_\infty}}$. If $\tilde{\Lambda}$ denotes the analogue of Λ in \tilde{g} , then (notation in 3.4.10)

$$K / \operatorname{Im} d \cong \tilde{\Lambda} / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{C}^w(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) / \Lambda / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) \\ & \cong \mathfrak{C}(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L) / \tilde{\Lambda} / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+(L) \\ & \cong \Gamma \left(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) / \operatorname{Im} d / K / \operatorname{Im} d \\ & \cong \Gamma \left(X'_0 \cap X'_\infty, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) / K \\ & \cong H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) / H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right) \\ & \cong H^1 \left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) / H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since by GAGA we also obtain $H^1 \left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}^* \right) \cong H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}^* \right)$, we can replace the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right) \rightarrow H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) \rightarrow H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}^* \right) \rightarrow H^2 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right) \rightarrow 0$$

by the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right) \rightarrow H^1 \left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) \rightarrow H^1 \left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L}^* \right) \rightarrow H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows that $\operatorname{Jac} \left(X'_L \right) \cong H^1 \left(X'_L, \mathfrak{o}_{X'_L} \right) / H^1 \left(\mathfrak{U}', \mathbb{Z} \right)$. Hence

$$\operatorname{Jac} \left(X'_L \right) \cong \mathfrak{C}^w(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L) / \Lambda / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L).$$

□

3.5. Theta Functions as Matrix Entries of the Standard Representations of the Basic Module.

In this section we want to employ the basic representation $(L(\lambda_0), \pi_{\lambda_0})$ of $\widehat{SL}_n(A_w)$ and the method of representative functions to obtain theta functions. The map $X \rightarrow X - n^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(X) \cdot I$ is a continuous projection from $M_n(A_w)$ onto $\underline{sl}_n(A_w)$. Its restriction to the quotient $\mathfrak{C}^w(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ is for $L \in M_n(A_w)$, $L(z) = \sum_{j=-p}^q \ell_j z^j$, injective, since $A_w \cdot I \subset \mathfrak{C}_-(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$. We thus may assume without loss of generality $\underline{g} = \underline{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$, $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $L \in \tilde{g}$. We suppose in addition that L is good.

Another way to employ the basic representation is to induce it to $M_n(A_w)$ by letting $A_w \cdot I$ act as O on $L(\lambda_0)$. On the level of the group we consider the simply connected covering $\mathbb{C}^*(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ of $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathbb{C}^* \text{Center}(SL_n(\mathbb{C}))$ act by 1 on the module. In order to obtain the same results one could also use the construction of the universal central extension of $\widehat{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and its action on the dual determinant bundle of some Grassmanian manifold. For details we refer to Pressley-Segal [19] and Segal-Wilson [22].

We use the notation \exp for the exponential map from \hat{g}_w to \hat{G}_w and e^x for the exponential map from \tilde{g}_w to \tilde{G}_w . Let pr be the projection $\text{pr} : \hat{G}_w \rightarrow \tilde{G}_w$. We note that

$$\text{pr} : \hat{V}_{w,-}G \hat{V}_{w,+} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{w,-}G \tilde{V}_{w,+}$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism with kernel $\{e^{tc} \mid t \in \mathbb{C}\}$. Here, $\hat{V}_{w,\pm}$ denote the images of $\tilde{V}_{w,\pm}$ in \hat{G}_w . On $\hat{V}_{w,-}G \hat{V}_{w,+}$ the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \hat{G}_w \rightarrow \tilde{G}_w \rightarrow 1$ splits and we denote by ψ the cross-section $\psi : \tilde{V}_{w,-}G \tilde{V}_{w,+} \rightarrow \hat{V}_{w,-}G \hat{V}_{w,+}$ (Wallach [Lecture Notes]).

Suppose $V \in \Lambda$. Then e^V can be represented as

$$e^V = g_-(V)g_+(V), \quad g_{\pm}(V) \in C^{\omega}_{\pm}(L).$$

If $e^V = h_-(V)h_+(V)$ with $h_{\pm}(V) \in C^{\omega}_{\pm}(L)$, then by 3.4.2 $h_+(V)^{-1}g_+(V) = aI$ with $aI \in G$. Assume $V \in \tilde{g}_w$ such that $\exp V \in \hat{V}_{w,-}G \hat{V}_{w,+}$. Then $\exp V$ can be expressed as follows:

3.5.1.
$$\exp V = \psi(g_-(V)g_+(V)) \, e^{\gamma(V)c}$$

where γ is a function $\gamma : \tilde{g}_w \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.5.2. *If $X, Y \in \tilde{g}_w$ and $[X, Y]_{\tilde{g}} = 0$, then*

$$\exp(X) \exp(Y) = \exp(X + Y) \, e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(X,Y)c}.$$

Proof. Assume $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ are small. Since $[X, Y]_{\tilde{g}} = 0$

$$\exp(rX) \exp(sY) \exp(-(rX + sY)) = e^{\Phi(rX, sY)c},$$

where $\Phi(rX, sY)$ is a holomorphic function in r and s . Thus we may assume that $\|X\|_w, \|Y\|_w$ are small, such that we can apply the Campbell-Hausdroff

formula. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(X) \exp(Y) &= \exp \left(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] + \text{higher commutators} \right) \\ &= \exp \left(X + Y + \frac{1}{2}[X, Y] \right),\end{aligned}$$

since c is central and $[X, Y]_{\underline{g}} = 0$ by hypothesis. Now $[X, Y] = [X, Y]_{\underline{g}} + \omega(X, Y)c$. Hence

$$\exp(X) \exp(Y) = \exp(X + Y) e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(X, Y)c}.$$

□

Corollary. *Under the assumption of the lemma*

$$\exp(X + Y) = \exp(X) \exp(Y) e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(X, Y)c}.$$

Suppose $v_0 \in L(\lambda_0)$ is a highest weight vector of the basic module $L(\lambda_0)$. Suppose $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Define the function Φ as follows:

$$\Phi(W) = \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0}.$$

Denote by $\text{Ad}_{\underline{g}_w}$ resp. $\text{Ad}_{\tilde{g}_w}$ the adjoint representation of \hat{G}_w resp. \tilde{G}_w on \underline{g}_w . If $V \in \Lambda$ and $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ then (notation as in 2.4.4)

$$\text{Pr}(\text{Ad}_{\underline{g}_w}(\psi(g_+(V))W)) = \text{Ad}_{\underline{g}_w}(g_+(V))W = W.$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{3.5.3} \quad \text{Ad}_{\underline{g}_w}(\psi(g_+(V))W) = W + \alpha(g_+(V), W)c,$$

where $\alpha(g_+(V), W)$ describes the lifting of the action to \underline{g}_w .

Lemma 3.5.4. *Suppose $V \in \Lambda$, $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Then*

$$\Phi(V + W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V, W) + \gamma(V) + \alpha(g_+(V), W)} \Phi(W).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(V + W) &= \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp(V + W))v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V, W)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp(V))\pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp(W))v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \text{ by 3.5.2} \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V, W) + \gamma(V)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\psi(g_-(V)g_+(V)))\pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \text{ by 3.5.1.}\end{aligned}$$

If $X \in \underline{g}$, then $\langle Xv_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} = 0$ which follows from the contravariance of the form $\langle, \rangle_{\lambda_0}$ (cf. Garland [5], pp. 541–549) and the fact that $\hat{\underline{h}} \oplus \hat{\underline{n}}$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}v_0$. Since $\langle, \rangle_{\lambda_0}$ is positive definite (cf. Garland [5]) we conclude $Xv_0 = 0$ for $X \in \underline{g}$. Hence, if $g \in G$ then $gv_0 = v_0$, since G is connected. We also have $gv_0 = v_0$ for $g \in \hat{V}_{w,+}$. Thus from the contravariance of the form $\langle, \rangle_{\lambda_0}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(V)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\psi(g_-(V)g_+(V))) \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(V)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\psi(g_+(V))) \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(V)} \left\langle \pi_{\lambda_0} \left(\exp \operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\psi(g_+(V)))W \right) v_0, v_0 \right\rangle_{\lambda_0} \\
 &\quad (G\hat{V}_{w,+} \text{ acts by 1 on } \mathbb{C}v_0) \\
 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(W)+\alpha(g_+(V),W)} \langle \pi_{\lambda_0}(\exp W)v_0, v_0 \rangle_{\lambda_0} \text{ by 3.5.3} \\
 &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(W)+\alpha(g_+(V),W)} \Phi(W).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.5.5. *If $g_1, g_2 \in \hat{G}_w$, $X \in \hat{\underline{g}}_w$ and $\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\operatorname{pr}(g_j))X = X$, $j = 1, 2$, then*

$$\alpha(g_1g_2, X) = \alpha(g_1, X) + \alpha(g_2, X).$$

Proof.

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(g_1g_2)X = \operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\operatorname{pr}(g_1g_2))X + \alpha(g_1g_2, X)c = X + \alpha(g_1g_2, X)c.$$

Also,

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(g_1g_2)X = \operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(g_1)(X + \alpha(g_2, X)c) = X + \alpha(g_1, X)c + \alpha(g_2, X)c.$$

□

Suppose $g \in \hat{G}_w$ and $X \in \hat{\underline{g}}_w$. Then

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(g)X = \operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\operatorname{pr}(g))X + \alpha(g, X)c.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{B}^e \left(\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(g)X, d \right) &= \hat{B}^e \left(\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\operatorname{pr}(g))X + \alpha(g, X)c, d \right) \\
 &= \hat{B}^e \left(\operatorname{Ad}_{\hat{\underline{g}}_w}(\operatorname{pr}(g))X, d \right) + \hat{B}^e(\alpha(g, X)c, d) \\
 &= \alpha(g, X).
 \end{aligned}$$

From the properties of \hat{B}^e it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{B}^e \left(\text{Ad}_{\hat{g}_w}(g)X, d \right) &= \hat{B}^e \left(X, \text{Ad}_{\hat{g}_w}(g)^{-1}d \right) \\ &= \hat{B}^e \left(X, g^{-1}dg \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\alpha(g, X) = \hat{B}^e \left(X, g^{-1}dg \right).$$

If $V \in \Lambda$ and $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(g_+(V), W) &= \hat{B}^e \left(W, g_+(V)^{-1}dg_+(V) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} B \left(W(e^{i\theta}), (g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}))^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}) \right) d\theta\end{aligned}$$

which shows that the ambiguity in the definition of $g_+(V)$ by aI , $aI \in G$, $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$, does not occur in the expression $\alpha(g_+(V), W)$. Since the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow C_+^w(L)_{/\mathbb{C}^*I \cap G}$$

given by $V \rightarrow g_+(V)$ is a homomorphism, we obtain from 3.5.5.

Lemma 3.5.6. *If $U, V \in \Lambda$ and $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then*

$$\alpha(g_+(U+V), W) = \alpha(g_+(U), W) + \alpha(g_+(V), W).$$

Set $\delta(V, W) = \alpha(g_+(V), W)$. Then 3.5.4 can be restated as

$$\Phi(V+W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)+\gamma(V)+\delta(V,W)} \Phi(W).$$

Lemma 3.5.7. (1) *If $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$, $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then*

$$\Phi(V+W) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)} \Phi(W).$$

(2) *If $V \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$, $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$, then*

$$\Phi(V+W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\omega(V,W)} \Phi(W).$$

Proof. (a) Since the cocycle ω vanishes on $\hat{g}_{w,+}$ and on $\hat{g}_{w,-}$ it follows $\gamma(V) = 0$ for $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ or $V \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$.

(b) Suppose $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$. We assert $\delta(V, W) = \omega(V, W)$. Since $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ it follows $g_+(V) = e^V$. Moreover, $g_+(V)^{-1} = e^{-V}$ and $\frac{d}{d\theta} g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}) = e^{V(e^{i\theta})} \frac{d}{d\theta} V(e^{i\theta})$. Thus $\frac{d}{d\theta} V(e^{i\theta}) = (g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}))^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} g_+(V)(e^{i\theta})$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(V, W) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} B\left(\frac{d}{d\theta} V(e^{i\theta}), W(e^{i\theta})\right) d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} B\left((g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}))^{-1} \frac{d}{d\theta} g_+(V)(e^{i\theta}), W(e^{i\theta})\right) d\theta \\ &= \delta(V, W). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Since $\delta(V, W) = 0$ for $V \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$, statement (2) follows. □

For any $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_w$ with $X = X_+ + X_0 + X_-$, set

$$\sigma(X) = \omega(X_+, X_-).$$

Since for any $X, Y \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_w$, $(X + Y)_+ = X_+ + Y_+$ and $(X + Y)_- = X_- + Y_-$ it follows by integration by parts

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(X + Y) - \sigma(X) - \sigma(Y) &= \omega(X_+ + Y_+, X_- + Y_-) - \omega(X_+, X_-) - \omega(Y_+, Y_-) \\ &= \omega(X_+, Y_-) - \omega(X_-, Y_+). \end{aligned}$$

Denote by

$$\mathbf{3.5.8.} \quad (X, Y) = \sigma(X + Y) - \sigma(X) - \sigma(Y).$$

Suppose $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. Define the function Θ to be

$$\mathbf{3.5.9.} \quad \Theta(W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(W)} \Phi(W).$$

Lemma 3.5.10. *Suppose $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$. If $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ or $V \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$, then*

$$\Theta(V + W) = \Theta(W).$$

Proof. (a) Suppose $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L)$ and $V \in \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$. Then

$$\Phi(V + W) = e^{\frac{1}{2}\omega(V, W)} \Phi(W).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta(V + W) &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(V+W)} \Phi(V + W) \\ &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(V+W) + \frac{1}{2}\omega(V, W)} \Phi(W). \end{aligned}$$

We establish the formula by proving $\sigma(V + W) - \omega(V, W) = \sigma(W)$. Since the cocycle ω vanishes on $\tilde{g}_{w,+}$ and $\frac{d}{d\theta} V_+(e^{i\theta}) = \frac{d}{d\theta} V(e^{i\theta})$ it follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(V + W) - \omega(V, W) &= \sigma(V + W) - \omega(V_+, W_-) \\ &= \omega(V_+ + W_+, W_-) - \omega(V_+, W_-) \\ &= \omega(W_+, W_-) \\ &= \sigma(W). \end{aligned}$$

(b) To prove the formula for $V \in \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L)$, one shows by a similar argument that $\sigma(V + W) + \omega(V, W) = \sigma(W)$. \square

Define for $V \in \Lambda / \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ and $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$

$$\ell(W, V) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} [(V, W) + \omega(V, W) - 2\delta(V, W)]$$

and

$$J(V) = -\frac{1}{4\pi i} [\sigma(V) - 2\gamma(V)].$$

The definition of the function $\ell(W, V)$ shows that it is \mathbb{C} -linear in W , since (V, W) , $\omega(V, W)$ and $\delta(V, W)$ are \mathbb{C} -linear in W .

We are now ready to prove one of the main results of this work which shows that the function Θ as defined in 3.5.9 is a theta function. This generalizes a result of Goodman-Wallach who showed in the case of $n = 2$ and tridiagonal L that the matrix entries of the standard representations are theta functions ([8], pp. 211-213 – see also appendix).

Theorem 3.5.11.

Suppose $V \in \Lambda / \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$ and $W \in \mathfrak{C}^w(L) / \mathfrak{C}_-^w(L) + \mathfrak{C}_+^w(L)$. Then

$$\Theta(V + W) = e^{2\pi i [\ell(W, V) + J(V)]} \Theta(W).$$

Proof. This is the functional equation required in Lang's definition of a theta function ([13], Chapter 4, (1.2)).

(by 3.5.9)

$$\Theta(V + W) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(V+W)} \Phi(V + W)$$

(by 3.5.4)

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(V+W)+\omega(V,W)]+\delta(V,W)+\gamma(V)} \Phi(W)$$

(by 3.5.8)

$$= e^{-\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(V)+\sigma(W)+(V,W)+\omega(V,W)]+\delta(V,W)+\gamma(V)} \Phi(W)$$

(by 3.5.9)

$$\begin{aligned} &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}[(V,W)+\omega(V,W)-2\delta(V,W)]-\frac{1}{2}[\sigma(V)-2\gamma(V)]} \Theta(W) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[\ell(V,W)+J(V)]} \Theta(W). \end{aligned}$$

□

Appendix. The Case $\widehat{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$.

This section contains a calculation of the solution of the generalized periodic Toda lattice in the case of $\widehat{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$. It was shown by Goodman and Wallach that the solutions are given in terms of representative functions of standard modules. The representative functions are expressed in terms of the original Jacobi theta function. The calculation is taken from ([8], p. 211-213 – see also references therein).

A) Let H denote the periodic Toda lattice system for $\widehat{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$:

$$H = \frac{1}{4} \cdot p_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} (e^{-2q_0} + e^{-2q_1})$$

with $q_0 = \gamma - q_1$ for some constant γ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{q}_1 &= -\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_1} = -\frac{1}{2}p_1, & \dot{q}_0 &= -\dot{q}_1, \\ \dot{p}_1 &= \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_1} = e^{-2q_0} - e^{-2q_1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_0(0) = -\log\left(\frac{1+k}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad q_1(0) = -\log\left(\frac{1-k}{\sqrt{2}}\right), \quad p_1(0) = 0.$$

Here k denotes a parameter in the range $-1 < k < 1$. Assume that L is as follows:

$$L(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & c_0 \cdot z^{-1} + c_1 \\ c_0 \cdot z + c_1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $c_0 = \frac{1}{2}(1+k)$, $c_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1-k)$.

Let $\phi_i(t)$ be defined by

$$\phi_i(t) = \langle \pi_{\lambda_i} \exp(-tL)v_i, v_i \rangle_{\lambda_i}$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

The solution of the periodic Toda lattice is given by

$$q_1(t) = q_1(0) + \log \left\{ \frac{\phi_1(t)}{\phi_0(t)} \right\}.$$

B) Define x , y and z as follows:

$$x = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-q_0} - e^{-q_1}), \quad y = \frac{1}{2} \cdot p_1, \quad z = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(e^{-q_0} + e^{-q_1}).$$

Then x , y and z satisfy the system of bilinear differential equations

$$\dot{x} = -y \cdot z, \quad \dot{y} = x \cdot z, \quad \dot{z} = -x \cdot y.$$

Given the above initial condition the solution of x , y and z are given in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions as

$$x = k \operatorname{cn}(t, k), \quad y = k \operatorname{sn}(t, k), \quad z = \operatorname{dn}(t, k).$$

The coordinate functions of the Hamiltonian are then expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(t) &= q_1(0) + \log \left\{ \frac{\operatorname{dn}(t, k) + k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)}{1+k} \right\} \\ p_1(t) &= 2k \operatorname{sn}(t, k). \end{aligned}$$

C) For the representative functions we have

$$\log \left\{ \frac{\phi_1(t)}{\phi_0(t)} \right\} = \frac{\operatorname{dn}(t, k) + k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)}{1+k} = \frac{\operatorname{dn}(t, k) - k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)}{1-k}.$$

The representative functions $\phi_i(t)$ also satisfy the differential equation

$$c_i^2 \phi_i(t) = \phi_i''(t) \phi_i(t) - [\phi_i'(t)]^2,$$

from which we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2(\log \phi_0)'' &= \operatorname{dn}^2 + k \cdot \operatorname{dn} \cdot \operatorname{cn} + 2(k^2 - 1) \\ 2(\log \phi_1)'' &= \operatorname{dn}^2 - k \cdot \operatorname{dn} \cdot \operatorname{cn} + 2(k^2 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

These equations can be integrated using complete elliptic integrals of the first and second kind with modulus k to yield:

$$\phi_0(t)^2 = \frac{\theta_4\left(\frac{\pi t}{2K}, q\right)}{\theta_4(0, q)} \cdot \frac{dn(t, k) - k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)}{1 - k} e^{2vt^2}$$

where q , $0 \leq q < 1$, is defined implicitly by

$$1 - k^2 = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1 - q^{2n-1}}{1 + q^{2n-1}} \right\}^8,$$

and E, K, v are given by

$$\begin{aligned} K &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\theta, \\ E &= \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (1 - k^2 \sin^2 \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}} d\theta, \\ v &= \frac{k^2 - 1}{8} + \frac{E}{4K}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one obtains for $\phi_1(t)^2$

$$\phi_1(t)^2 = \frac{\theta_4\left(\frac{\pi t}{2K}, q\right)}{\theta_4(0, q)} \cdot \frac{dn(t, k) + k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)}{1 + k} e^{2vt^2}.$$

From infinite product expansions for

$$dn(t, k) \pm k \operatorname{cn}(t, k)$$

and from Jacobi's infinite product expansions of theta functions we obtain explicit expressions for $\phi_0(t), \phi_1(t)$ in terms of theta functions. The formulas for $\phi_i(t)$ are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_0(t) &= e^{vt^2} \frac{\theta_4\left(\frac{u}{2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\theta_4\left(0, q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \\ \phi_1(t) &= e^{vt^2} \frac{\theta_3\left(\frac{u}{2}, q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}{\theta_3\left(0, q^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)} \end{aligned}$$

with $u = \frac{\pi t}{2K}$.

References

- [1] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, *Completely integrable systems, Euclidean Lie algebras and curves*, Adv. Math., **38** (1980), 267-317.

- [2] M. Adler and P. van Moerbeke, *Linearization of Hamiltonian systems, Jacobian varieties and representation theory*, Adv. Math., **38** (1980), 318-379.
- [3] L. Bers, *Riemann surfaces*, Lectures, New York University 1957-58.
- [4] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra, *Riemann surfaces*, Springer Verlag, New York 1980.
- [5] H. Garland, *The arithmetic theory of loop algebras*, J. Algebra, **53** (1978), 480-551.
- [6] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Classical and quantum-mechanical systems of Toda lattice type I*, Commun. Math. Phys., **83** (1982), 355-386.
- [7] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Structure and unitary cocycle representations of loop groups and the group of diffeomorphisms of the circle*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **347** (1984), 69-113.
- [8] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Classical and quantum-mechanical systems of Toda lattice type II*, Commun. Math. Phys., **94** (1984), 177-217.
- [9] R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, *Classical and quantum-mechanical systems of Toda lattice type III*, Commun. Math. Phys., **105** (1986), 473-509.
- [10] P. Griffiths and J. Adams, *Topics in algebraic and analytic geometry*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1974.
- [11] R.C. Gunning, *Lectures on Riemann surfaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1966.
- [12] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Springer Verlag, New York 1977.
- [13] S. Lang, *Introduction to algebraic and abelian functions*, Addison - Wesley, Reading 1972.
- [14] P. van Moerbeke and D. Mumford, *The spectrum of difference operators and algebraic curves*, Acta Math., **143** (1979), 93-154.
- [15] J. Moser, *Geometry of quadrics and spectral theory*, The Chern Symposium 1979: Proc. of the International Symposium on Differential Geometry, Berkeley, CA 1979.
- [16] D. Mumford, *Algebraic geometry I Complex Projective Varieties*, Springer Verlag, New York 1976.
- [17] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta I*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1983.
- [18] D. Mumford, *Tata lectures on theta II*, Birkhäuser, Boston 1983.
- [19] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop groups and their representations*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [20] A.G. Reyman and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Reduction of Hamiltonian systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations*, Invent. Math., **54** (1979), 81-100.
- [21] A.G. Reyman and M.A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, *Reduction of Hamiltonian systems, affine Lie algebras and Lax equations*, II, Invent. Math., **63** (1981), 423-432.
- [22] G.B. Segal and G. Wilson, *Loop groups and equations of KdV type*, Pub. Math. I. M. E. S., **61** (1985), 5-65.
- [23] J.-P. Serre, *Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique*, Annales Inst. Fourier, **6** (1955-1956), 1-42.
- [24] J.-P. Serre, *Groupes algébrique et corps de classes*, Hermann, Paris 1959.
- [25] B.L. van der Waerden, *Algebra I*, Springer Verlag, Berlin 1936.
- [26] N.R. Wallach, *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York 1973.

[27] N.R. Wallach, *Lecture notes*, Rutgers University 1987.

Received January 6, 1994 and revised April 15, 1994.

IM HIRSCHMORGEN 14

69 181 LEIMEN

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

E-mail address: POLYCARPOU@EMBL-Heidelberg.DE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982)

F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

Sun-Yung A. Chang (Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. Michael Christ
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
christ@math.ucla.edu

Nicholas Ercolani
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Robert Finn
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Steven Kerckhoff
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

Gang Tian
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139
tian@math.mit.edu

V. S. Varadarajan
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
vsv@math.ucla.edu

Dan Voiculescu
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
dvv@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIF. INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
CHINESE UNIV. OF HONG KONG
HONG KONG UNIV. OF SCI. & TECH.
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCI. RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.
PEKING UNIVERSITY
RITSUMEIKAN UNIVERSITY
STANFORD UNIVERSITY

TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSIDAD DE LOS ANDES
UNIV. OF ARIZONA
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIF., BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIF., DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIF., IRVINE
UNIV. OF CALIF., LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIF., RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIF., SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF HAWAII
UNIV. OF MELBOURNE
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. NACIONAL AUTONOMA DE MEXICO
UNIV. OF NEVADA, RENO
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover.

The table of contents and the abstracts of the papers in the current issue, as well as other information about the Pacific Journal of Mathematics, may be found on the Internet at <http://www.math.uci.edu/pjm.html>.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$245.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$123.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, back issues published within the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX,
the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system.
Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 176 No. 2 December 1996

One remark on polynomials in two variables	297
ENRIQUE ARTAL BARTOLO and PIERRETTE CASSOU-NOGUÈS	
Divergence of the normalization for real Lagrangian surfaces near complex tangents	311
XIANGHONG GONG	
Classification of the stable homotopy types of stunted lens spaces for an odd prime	325
JESUS GONZALEZ	
Plancherel formulae for non-symmetric polar homogeneous spaces	345
JING-SONG HUANG	
A uniqueness theorem for the minimal surface equation	357
JENN-FANG HWANG	
Differential Galois groups of confluent generalized hypergeometric equations: an approach using Stokes multipliers	365
CLAUDINE MITSCHI	
Oscillatory theorem and pendent liquid drops	407
KIMIYAKI NARUKAWA and TAKASHI SUZUKI	
Local and global plurisubharmonic defining functions	421
ALAN NOELL	
Specializations and a local homeomorphism theorem for real Riemann surfaces of rings	427
M. J. DE LA PUENTE	
Eigenvalue comparisons in graph theory	443
GREGORY T. QUENELL	
Applications of loop groups and standard modules to Jacobians and theta functions of isospectral curves	463
WILLI SCHWARZ	
Bridged extremal distance and maximal capacity	507
ROBERT E. THURMAN	
Imbedding and multiplier theorems for discrete Littlewood-Paley spaces	529
IGOR E. VERBITSKY	
On constrained extrema	557
THOMAS VOGEL	
Heat flow of equivariant harmonic maps from \mathbb{B}^3 into \mathbb{CP}^2	563
YUANLONG XIN	
Proof of Longuerre's theorem and its extensions by the method of polar coordinates	581
ZHIHONG YU	
Correction to: "Special generating sets of purely inseparable extension fields of unbounded exponent"	587
BONIFACE IHEMOTUONYE EKE	