

## TWO GENERALIZATIONS OF THE GLEASON-KAHANE-ŻELAZKO THEOREM

ERIK CHRISTENSEN

In this article we obtain 2 generalizations of the well known Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko Theorem. We consider a unital Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and a continuous unital linear mapping  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  – the  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$ . The first generalization states that if  $\varphi$  sends invertible elements to invertible elements, then the kernel of  $\varphi$  is contained in a proper two sided closed ideal of finite codimension. The second result characterizes this property for  $\varphi$  in saying that  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}})$  is contained in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  if and only if for each  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and each natural number  $k$ :

$$\text{trace}(\varphi(a^k)) = \text{trace}(\varphi(a)^k).$$

### 1. Introduction.

The results are based on Aupetits work [1], where he proves that if  $\varphi$  is surjective and  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}})$  is contained in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  then  $\varphi$  is a Jordan homomorphism. Some of the key ingredients in Aupetits proof are some relations which involve  $\varphi$  and the trace. We quote [1, Rel (3), p. 15]

$$\text{trace}(\varphi(xy)) = \text{trace}(\varphi(x)\varphi(y)).$$

The results in this paper are based on some elaboration of the identity above.

Aupetits result is proved via a Liouville Theorem for harmonic functions. Since we could not get the reference quoted in [1] for this result, we have included a slightly different argument, which on the other hand is an application of the Hadamard Factorization Theorem, very much in the same way as used in [3, 4].

### 2. Notation and basic results.

We will consider a unital Banach algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  over the complex numbers, and let  $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}}$  denote the set of invertible elements in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . For a natural number  $n$  we let  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$  and we will let  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  denote the usual trace on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ , which satisfies  $\text{tr}(I) = n$ . We remind the reader that a functional  $f$  on an algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  is called a trace if  $f(ab) = f(ba)$  for all

$a, b$  from  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Moreover – up to scalar multiples – there exists only one trace on  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

The following lemma is well known, but we do not have an exact reference.

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists a positive real  $r(0 < r < 1)$  such that for all  $x$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\|x - I\| < r$  we have*

$$|\det(x) - 1| < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Log}(\det(x)) = \text{tr}(\text{Log}(x)).$$

*Proof.* The existence of  $r(0 < r < 1)$  such that  $\|x - I\| < r \Rightarrow |\det(x) - 1| < 1$  follows from the continuity of the determinant. Suppose now  $x$  in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is chosen such that  $\|x - I\| < r < 1$  then the power series for  $\text{Log}(1 + u)$  in the circle  $\{u \in \mathbb{C} \mid |u| < 1\}$  converges for both  $(\det(x) - 1)$  and  $(x - I)$  so the expressions make sense. The equality is easily obtained when  $x$  is represented in a Jordan normal form.  $\square$

### 3. Main results.

We start by recapturing the basic results from [1] in [Theorem 3.1](#), and then we present our extensions.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra  $\varphi$  a continuous unital linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*If  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}}) \subseteq \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  then:*

- (i)  $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{A} : \det(\varphi(e^a e^b)) = \det(e^{\varphi(a)} e^{\varphi(b)})$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{A} : \text{tr}(\varphi(ab)) = \text{tr}(\varphi(a)\varphi(b)) = \text{tr}(\varphi(ba))$ ,
- (iii)  $\forall a, b \in \mathfrak{A} : \det(\varphi(ab)) = \det(\varphi(a)\varphi(b))$ .

*Proof.* For an  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  we define an entire function  $f(z)$  by

$$f(z) = \det(\varphi(e^{za})e^{-z\varphi(a)}).$$

As usual  $f(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z$  and the order  $p$  of  $f$  satisfies  $p \leq 1$  since

$$|f(z)| \leq \|\varphi\|^n \exp(|z|n(\|a\| + \|\varphi(a)\|)).$$

By Hadamards Factorization Theorem [2, p. 291; 5 p. 250] we have  $f(z) = e^{\alpha + \beta z}$ , but  $f(0) = 1$  so  $f(z) = e^{\beta z}$ .

Following [Lemma 2.1](#) we get that for some positive real  $r$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z, |z| < r : \beta z &= \text{tr}(\text{Log}(I + z\varphi(a) + O(z^2))) - z \text{tr}(\varphi(a)) \\ &= O(z^2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\beta = 0$  and  $\det(\varphi(e^a)) = \det(e^{\varphi(a)})$ .

In the general case define an entire function  $f(w, z)$  in 2 variables by  $f(w, z) = \det(\varphi(e^{wa}e^{zb})e^{-w\varphi(a)}e^{-z\varphi(b)})$ . Let  $w$  be fixed then the function  $g(z) = f(w, z)$  is entire, never vanishing, of order 1 and by the previous result  $g(0) = 1$ , hence there exists a complex function  $\alpha(w)$  such that  $f(w, z) = g(z) = e^{\alpha(w)z}$ . By analogy we find a complex function  $\beta(z)$  such that  $f(w, z) = e^{w\beta(z)}$ . Hence there exists a constant  $\gamma$  such that  $f(w, z) = e^{\gamma wz}$ . On the other hand the function  $k(z) = f(z, z)$  is easily seen to be of order less than or equal to 1 so  $\gamma = 0$  and (i) follows.  $\square$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to both sides in the following identity

$$\det(e^{w\varphi(a)}) \det(e^{z\varphi(b)}) = \det(\varphi(e^{wa}e^{zb}))$$

shows that there exists a positive real  $r$  such that for all  $z, w$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $|z| < r$ ,  $|w| < r$

$$\begin{aligned} w \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)) + z \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(b)) &= \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Log}(\varphi(e^{wa}e^{zb}))) \\ &= \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Log}(I + w\varphi(a) + z\varphi(b) + wz\varphi(ab) + w^2p_1(w, z) + z^2p_2(w, z))) \\ &= w \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)) + z \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(b)) + wz \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(ab)) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}wz \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)\varphi(b) + \varphi(b)\varphi(a)) + w^2p_3(w, z) + z^2p_4(w, z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $p_i(w, z)$  are power series. Hence (ii) follows from the properties of the trace. The relation (iii) is a consequence of (i) since for  $|z| > \|a\| + \|b\|$  we have  $(z - a) = z(1 - \frac{a}{z}) = z \exp(\operatorname{Log}(I - \frac{a}{z}))$  and a similar expression for  $b$  and hence for  $|z| > \|a\| + \|b\|$  we have

$$\det(\varphi((a - z)(b - z))) = \det(\varphi(a - z)) \det(\varphi(b - z)).$$

Since the functions involved are entire, we get (iii) for  $z = 0$ .

The relation (ii) is the basis for the following result.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  a continuous, unital linear mapping of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*If  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}}) \subseteq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  then  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a proper closed two sided ideal  $J$  - of finite codimension - which contains the kernel of  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $J = \{a \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \forall b \in \mathfrak{A} : \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(ab)) = 0\}$ , then  $J$  is obviously a closed right ideal, but by the trace property -  $\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(ab)) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(ba))$  - from (ii) in Theorem 3.1 we see that  $J$  is a left ideal as well. The property  $\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(ab)) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)\varphi(b))$  from (ii) above shows that  $\ker \varphi \subseteq J$ . Hence  $J$  is

of finite codimension and since  $\varphi(I) = I$  we get  $I \notin J$  and  $J$  is a proper ideal.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $k, n$  be natural numbers if  $\varphi$  is a unital linear mapping of  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  which satisfy  $\varphi(\mathrm{GL}_k(\mathbb{C})) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ , then  $\varphi$  is injective and  $k$  divides  $n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $M_k$  has no nontrivial ideals, we get  $\ker \varphi = \{0\}$  and  $\varphi$  is injective. By (ii) in [Theorem 3.1](#) we get that  $\mathrm{tr}_n \circ \varphi$  is a trace on  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  which satisfies  $\mathrm{tr}_n(\varphi(I)) = \mathrm{tr}_n(I) = n$ . By the uniqueness (up to scalar multiples) of the trace on  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  we have for all  $a$  in  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$ :  $\mathrm{tr}_n(\varphi(a)) = (n/k) \mathrm{tr}_k(a)$ . Let  $e$  be a rank 1 projection in  $M_k(\mathbb{C})$  then  $\sigma(\varphi(e)) \subseteq \sigma(e) = \{0, 1\}$  so  $\mathrm{tr}_n(\varphi(e)) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . On the other hand  $\mathrm{tr}_n(\varphi(e)) = (n/k) \mathrm{tr}_k(e) = n/k$  so  $n/k \in \mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *If  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{inv}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  then there exists a unital finitedimensional algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  and a linear mapping  $\psi$  of  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  into  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is a unital homomorphism.*

*Proof.* Just another formulation of the result  $\ker \varphi \subseteq J \neq \mathfrak{A}$ , combined with elementary algebra.  $\square$

The property (ii) from [Theorem 3.1](#) and the theorem above are generalizations of the original Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko Theorem. The following result yields another generalisation as well as a characterization of the mappings  $\varphi$  which satisfy  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{inv}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a unital Banach algebra and  $\varphi$  a unital continuous linear mapping into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{inv}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  if and only if*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \forall a \in \mathfrak{A} : \mathrm{tr}(\varphi(a^k)) = \mathrm{tr}(\varphi(a)^k).$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\mathrm{inv}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ . Let  $r$  be the positive real coming from [Lemma 2.1](#) and let  $a$  be in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $z$  in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then there exists a positive real  $r_1, 0 < r_1 < \|a\|^{-1}$  such that for  $|z| < r_1$

$$\|\varphi(I + za) - I\| < r \quad \text{and} \quad \|\exp(\varphi(\mathrm{Log}(I + za))) - I\| < r.$$

By [Theorem 3.1 \(i\)](#) we get for  $|z| < r_1$

$$\det(\varphi(\exp(\mathrm{Log}(I + za)))) = \det(\exp(\varphi(\mathrm{Log}(I + za))))$$

so by [Lemma 2.1](#)

$$\forall z, |z| < r_1 : \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Log}(I + z\varphi(a))) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(\operatorname{Log}(1 + za))).$$

□

By expanding in power series and comparing terms we get

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)^k) = (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a^k)),$$

and the first part of the proof is complete. Let us now suppose, that for each  $k$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  and any  $a$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$   $\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a^k)) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(a)^k)$ . Let  $b$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{A}$  and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  denote the abelian unital Banach algebra generated by  $b$  and all its resolvents in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{C}$  is abelian we get for  $c, d$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$   $cd = \frac{1}{4}((c+d)^2 - (c-d)^2)$  so

$$(*) \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(cd)) = \frac{1}{4} \left( \operatorname{tr} \left( (\varphi(c) + \varphi(d))^2 - (\varphi(c) - \varphi(d))^2 \right) \right) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(c)\varphi(d)).$$

Having this identity we may as in the proof of [Theorem 3.2](#) define a two sided ideal  $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  by

$$J_{\mathfrak{C}} = \{c \in \mathfrak{C} \mid \forall d \in \mathfrak{C} : \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(cd)) = 0\}.$$

Again  $J_{\mathfrak{C}} \neq \mathfrak{C}$  and

$$(\ker \varphi \cap \mathfrak{C}) \subseteq J_{\mathfrak{C}}.$$

Since  $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$  is a proper two sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $b$  is invertible in  $\mathfrak{C}$ ,  $b \notin J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ , and  $\varphi(b) \neq 0$ . Let  $p(x)$  be a monic polynomial which satisfies  $p(\varphi(b)) = 0$ . The roots for  $p$  are divided into two groups  $L = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k\}$  and  $M = \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l\}$  corresponding to the criteria: for each  $\lambda_i$  we have  $(b - \lambda_i)$  is not invertible in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and for each  $\mu_j$ ,  $(b - \mu_j)$  is invertible in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Finally, there exist exponents  $r_1, \dots, r_k$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_l$  such that

$$p(x) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \lambda_i)^{r_i} \right) \left( \prod_{j=1}^l (x - \mu_j)^{s_j} \right).$$

In order to link properties of  $p(b)$  to properties of  $p(\varphi(b)) = 0$  we state and prove that for any polynomial  $q$  and any  $c, d$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$  we have

$$(**) \quad \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(q(c)d)) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(q(c))\varphi(d)) = \operatorname{tr}(q(\varphi(c))\varphi(d)).$$

The proof of [\(\\*\\*\)](#) follows from the proof of the special case where  $q(x) = x^s$ ,  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  then by assumption

$$\forall z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{tr}(\varphi((c + zd)^{s+1})) = \operatorname{tr}((\varphi(c) + z\varphi(d))^{s+1})$$

and then by comparing terms

$$\operatorname{tr}(\varphi(c^s d)) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(c)^s \varphi(d)).$$

Having (\*\*) we get  $p(b) \in J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . Since the elements  $(b - \mu_j)$  are invertible in  $\mathfrak{C}$  we get for the polynomial  $q(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k (x - \lambda_i)^{r_i}$  that  $q(b) \in J_{\mathfrak{C}}$ . By definition of  $J_{\mathfrak{C}}$  and by (\*\*) we then have

$$\forall s \in \mathbb{N}: \quad \operatorname{tr}((q(\varphi(b)))^s) = \operatorname{tr}(\varphi(q(b)^s)) = 0.$$

The matrix  $q(\varphi(b))$  is then nilpotent and then for each  $\lambda$  in  $\sigma(\varphi(b))$  there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $\lambda = \lambda_i \in \sigma(b)$ , and we have proved that  $\sigma(\varphi(b)) \subseteq \sigma(b)$ , so  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{inv}}) \subseteq \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

### References

- [1] B. Aupetit, *Une generalisation du théorème de Gleason-Kahane-Żelazko pour les algèbres de Banach*, Pac. J. Math., **85** (1979), 11-17.
- [2] J.B. Conway, *Functions of one complex variable*, Grad. Texts in Math., Springer, **11** 1975.
- [3] A.M. Gleason, *A characterization of maximal ideals*, J. Analyse Math., **19** (1967), 171-172.
- [4] J.P. Kahane and W. Żelazko, *A characterization of maximal ideals in commutative Banach algebras*, Studia Math., **29** (1968), 339-343.
- [5] E.C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, The Clarendon Press, 1932.

Received April 19, 1995 and revised September 18, 1995.

KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET  
UNIVERSITETSPARKEN 5  
DK-2100 COPENHAGEN Ø, DENMARK