

CLASSIFICATION OF DIRECT LIMITS OF GENERALIZED TOEPLITZ ALGEBRAS

HUAXIN LIN AND HONGBING SU

A classification is given to the class of C^* -algebras of real rank zero which are direct limits of so called generalized Toeplitz algebras. This class includes all so called $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras of real rank zero as well as many C^* -algebras which are not stably finite.

1. Introduction.

A certain class of C^* -algebras is classified. The class consists C^* -algebras of real rank zero that can be expressed as direct limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras, where \mathcal{T} -algebras are unital essential extensions of $C(S^1)$ by compact operators \mathcal{K} :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0.$$

Let A be an algebra in the class. The invariant consists of the abelian semigroup $V(A)$, the Murry-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in matrices of A , an abelian semigroup $k(A)_+$, some equivalence classes of homotopy classes of hyponormal partial isometries in matrices of A and a homomorphism d from $k(A)_+$ into $V(A)$. The main result of this paper states that the above invariant, together with the class of the identity, is complete for the class of C^* -algebras that we consider (cf. §5). For the subclass of the above class which consists of direct limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over only non-trivial extensions of the above type, the invariants are even simpler—just $V(A)$. We also show that the algebras in the class exhaust all possible invariants (cf. 3.7).

Our paper can be viewed as part of the program of classifying “amenable” C^* -algebras initiated by George A. Elliott. The classical model for the program is the classification of AF -algebras by their dimension groups [Eli1]. Elliott proved in [Eli2] that the class of real rank zero $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebras, direct limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over $C(S^1)$ of real rank zero, could be classified by the graded group $K_0 \oplus K_1$ with its natural order. Since then a number of classification results appeared ([BEEK], [D1], [D2], [DL1], [DL2], [Eli3], [Eli4], [Eli5], [EE], [EG1], [EG2], [EGL], [EGLP],

[ER], [G1], [G2], [G3], [K], [Li], [Ln2], [Ln3], [Ln5], [LP1], [LP2], [LP3], [Lr], [Ph1], [Ph2], [Rr1], [Rr2], [Rr3], [Rr4], [Rr5], [Su1], [Su2], [Tm], etc.). Many classes of C^* -algebras are classified. These include C^* -algebras of real rank zero which are direct limits of homogeneous algebras of slow dimension growth and a large class (presumably all) separable nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras. The situation is changing even when we are typing this paper. C^* -algebras that we consider in this paper are usually nonsimple and their stable rank are usually greater than one. The class does contain all AT -algebras of real rank zero. It contains many other C^* -algebras which are not of so called approximately homogeneous C^* -algebras. One of the important features which makes our class essentially different from approximately homogeneous algebras is that the torsion in K_0 does not arise from the torsion parts of certain metric spaces but from nontrivial extensions of $C(S^1)$ by \mathcal{K} .

Inductive limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over the classical Toeplitz algebra \mathcal{T}_1 (i.e. the extension with index -1) was first studied in [EES]. A stable isomorphism theorem was obtained for the special case that each summand is a single matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_1 and the algebras are of real rank zero. In [EES], the algebras in question were shown to be absorbing extensions of real rank zero AT -algebras by stable AF algebras, which led to the stable classification.

The class that we consider here allows building blocks to be matrix algebras over any unital essential extensions of $C(S^1)$ by \mathcal{K} . This gives us torsion in K -theory as well as non-trivial K_1 -theory. We also obtained a classification up to isomorphism instead of stable isomorphism.

One of the technical problems that we have to deal with is to lift two “close” homomorphisms from $M_k(C(S^1))$ into $M_m(C(S^1))$ to two “close” homomorphisms from $M_k(\mathcal{T}_i)$ into $M_m(\mathcal{T}_j)$. Here, \mathcal{T}_i and \mathcal{T}_j are the extensions of $C(S^1)$ by \mathcal{K} with indexes $-i$ and $-j$, respectively (cf. 2.1). There are several problems here. First, not every homomorphism from $M_k(C(S^1))$ into $M_m(C(S^1))$ comes from an injective homomorphism from $M_k(\mathcal{T}_i)$. Second, two quite different homomorphisms from $M_k(\mathcal{T}_i)$ into $M_m(\mathcal{T}_j)$ which map $M_k(\mathcal{T}_i)$ to $M_m(\mathcal{K})$ induce two zero homomorphisms from $M_k(C(S^1))$ to $M_m(C(S^1))$. However, there is nothing to lift. Third, assuming the lift is perfect, $k = m$, $i = j$ and two homomorphisms are two automorphisms on $M_k(\mathcal{T}_i)$ which induce the identity map on $C(S^1)$. How much can we say about these two automorphisms?

It turns out that the first two problems could be avoided using some existing tricks. For the third problem, we establish a so called uniqueness theorem (Section 4). It uses the BDF-theory as well as Voiculescu’s generalized Weyl-von Neumann theorem. A special case of one of the lemmas says

that an automorphism α of the Toeplitz algebra which has the property that $\pi \circ \alpha = \pi$, where π is the quotient map from the Toeplitz algebra onto the circle algebra $C(S^1)$, is approximately inner, a result has been just obtained by Rørdam independently with a completely different proof.

Since we allow the building blocks to include trivial extension \mathcal{T}_0 , the invariants become more complicated. For example, $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is not finitely generated. This causes lots of problems when we try to employ a standard intertwining argument. For this, we have to do some surgical work.

We have some description (3.4) of the invariants that we use here. It would be nicer to have a characterization of our invariants. A version of Effros-Handelman-Shen theorem which characterizes the dimension groups or an Elliott's theorem ([Eli6]) is certainly desirable. However, at present, it is beyond our reach to characterize these semigroups and we feel that it should be treated separately.

A C^* -algebra in the class often becomes an essential extension of an AT -algebra by an AF -algebra. But this paper is not about classification of extensions. Classification of extensions requires to know the ideal and the quotient. Our invariant is defined for general C^* -algebras which does not require to know the ideal nor the quotient. In fact, a C^* -algebra in the class may be written into different extensions. In other words, a result of classification of extensions of a given AT -algebra by a given AF -algebra, while is important, would not classify our class.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define and discuss the invariants of AT -algebras. It is rather tedious section. In Section 3, we establish an existence theorem. We further show that given a possible invariant V_* there is a direct limit A of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over AT -algebras with real rank zero such that $V_*(A) = V_*$. We also show that every AT -algebra of real rank zero can be expressed as a direct limit of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over AT -algebras. In Section 4, we give the uniqueness theorem. In Section 5, we combine the results in the previous sections and give the main result.

Acknowledgement. The first author acknowledges the support from the Fields Institute during a summer visit in 1994 when a draft of this paper was initially written. He is also supported by a NSF grant. The second author is supported by a NSERC postdoctoral fellowship of Canada. He would like to thank G.A. Elliott, D.D. Evans, G. Gong and D. Voiculescu for helpful discussions.

2. \mathcal{T} -algebras and their invariants.

2.1. Let $C(S^1)$ be the continuous functions on the unit circle and let \mathcal{K} be the compact operators on an infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space. The generalized Toeplitz algebras \mathcal{T}_k is an essential unital extension of $C(S^1)$ by \mathcal{K} with index $-k \in \mathbf{Z}$:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_k \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0.$$

It is well known that two extensions with the same index are isomorphic as C^* -algebras. (It certainly follows from [V].) We call these algebras \mathcal{T} -algebras. It is obvious that \mathcal{T}_k is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_{-k} . So we consider only those \mathcal{T}_k with $k \geq 0$.

We now give another description of \mathcal{T}_k (for $k \geq 0$). Let S_1 be the standard unilateral shift operator acting on the Hilbert space $H = l^2$. Then \mathcal{T}_1 is isomorphic to the universal C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ generated by S_1 . Note that the ideal of the C^* -subalgebra generated by $1 - S_1 S_1^*$ is the ideal of compact operators on H . We will identify \mathcal{T}_1 with this algebra whenever it is convenient without further explanation. The element S_1 in \mathcal{T}_1 will mean the shift operator. The algebra \mathcal{T}_k ($k > 0$) can be identified as follows. Consider the algebra $\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes M_k$, where M_k is the C^* -algebra of $k \times k$ matrix algebra over the complex field. Let $S_k = \text{diag}(S_1, S_1, \dots, S_1)$ (there are k copies of S_1). Then \mathcal{T}_k is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebras of $\mathcal{T}_1 \otimes M_k$ generated by S_k and $M_k(\mathcal{K})$. We will identify these two algebras. Let $e = 1 - S_1 S_1^*$, a minimum projection in \mathcal{T}_1 , and let $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k$ be the matrix unit for M_k . One checks easily that \mathcal{T}_k is generated by S_k and $e \otimes e_{ij}$. Note that $1 - S_k S_k^* = \sum_{i=1}^k e \otimes e_{ii}$. We denote $g_{ij} = e \otimes e_{ij}$. Suppose that $T \in B(H)$ is an isometry and there are k mutually orthogonal equivalent projections p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k such that $\sum_{i=1}^k p_i = 1 - T T^*$. Let a_{ij} be the partial isometries such that $a_{ij}^* a_{ij} = p_j$ and $a_{ij} a_{ij}^* = p_i$. The C^* -subalgebra generated by T is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_1 and the ideal J of this C^* -subalgebra generated by $1 - T T^*$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{K} . Note that $a_{11} T = a_{11} (1 - T T^*) T = 0$ and $(T^m a_{11} (T^m)^*) T^{m+l} a_{11} (T^{m+l})^* = 0$ if $l > 0$. Let $q_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} T^m a_{11} (T^m)^*$, a projection in $B(H)$. Then $T q_1 = q_1 T$. Set $T_1 = q_1 T$. Then $T_1^* T_1 = q_1$ and $1 - T_1 T_1^* = a_{11}$. Let B be the C^* -subalgebra generated by T and $\{a_{ij}\}$ and let C be the C^* -subalgebra generated by B and q_1, q_2, \dots, q_k , where $q_i = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} T^m a_{ii} (T^m)^*$. Then $C \cong \mathcal{T}_1 \otimes M_k$. It then follows that $B \cong \mathcal{T}_k$. By identifying B with \mathcal{T}_k , we will say that \mathcal{T}_k is generated by S_k and $\{a_{ij}\}$. We would like to point out that the ideal of B generated by $\{a_{ij}\}$ is not the set of all compact operators on H even if a_{11} is a rank one operator. Let S_0 be a unitary in $B(H)$ with essential spectrum S^1 . Then \mathcal{T}_0 is isomorphic to the C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ generated by S_0 and $\mathcal{K}(H)$.

In this paper, we will consider direct limits of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras.

2.2. Let k be a nonnegative integer. We will determine the K -theory of \mathcal{T}_k . If $k \neq 0$, the index map $\delta : K_1(C(S^1)) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K})$ is the multiplication by $-k$. Hence δ is injective. From the six-term exact sequence in K -theory, we obtain:

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(M_n(C(S^1))) \rightarrow K_0(M_n(\mathcal{K})) \rightarrow K_0(M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)) \rightarrow K_0(M_n(C(S^1))) \rightarrow 0$$

where $K_1(M_n(C(S^1))) = \mathbf{Z}$, $K_0(M_n(\mathcal{K})) = \mathbf{Z}$ and $K_0(M_n(C(S^1))) = \mathbf{Z}$. Therefore,

$$K_0(\mathcal{T}_k) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(\mathcal{T}_k) = 0.$$

If $k = 0$, then $\delta = 0$. The six-term exact sequence breaks into two:

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{K}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow K_0(C(S^1)) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \leftarrow K_1(C(S^1)) \leftarrow K_1(\mathcal{T}_0) \leftarrow 0.$$

This implies that

$$K_0(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(\mathcal{T}_0) = \mathbf{Z}.$$

We would like to point out that the positive cone of $K_0(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is not the usual one on $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ (cf. 2.3).

2.3. When the stable rank of a C^* -algebra A is not one, there may be too much information lost in $K_*(A)$. Hence, we should also consider $V(A)$, the Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of projections in matrices over A . Note that $V(A)$ is a commutative semigroup.

It is well known and easy to check that if $p \in \mathcal{T}_1 \setminus \mathcal{K}$ is a projection, then p is equivalent to 1. From this and the fact that $V(C(S^1)) = \mathbf{Z}_+$, one computes that

$$V(\mathcal{T}_1) = \mathbf{Z}_+ \sqcup \mathbf{N},$$

where the addition in \mathbf{Z}_+ and \mathbf{N} are the usual ones. And if $x \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $y \in \mathbf{N}$, then $x + y = y$.

For $k > 1$, let S_k be the isometry in \mathcal{T}_k such that $1 - S_k S_k^*$ is a projection in \mathcal{K} with rank k . Then the C^* -subalgebra B generated by S_k is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_1 . Note also that the index of every isometry in \mathcal{T}_k which is essentially unitary is of multiple k . It is then routinely checked that $V(\mathcal{T}_k)$ may be identified with

$$\mathbf{Z}_+ \sqcup \mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$$

with the addition defined as follows. Additions are the usual ones in \mathbf{Z}_+ and $\mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$. If $z \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $y \in \mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$, then $z + y = y + \bar{z}$, where \bar{z} is the class containing z in $\mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$.

When $k = 0$, one computes that

$$V(\mathcal{T}_0) = \{(m, n) : m \in \mathbf{Z}, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+, \text{ if } n = 0, m \geq 0\}$$

with addition defined in $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$.

We denote $V(\mathcal{T}_k)$ by F_k . Let $I(F_k) = \mathbf{Z}_+$ if $k \neq 0$, and $I(F_0) = \{(m, 0) : m \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$.

We note that if p and q are two projections in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$ such that $[p] = [q]$ in $V(\mathcal{T}_k)$ then there exists an isometry, or a coisometry $W \in M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$ such that $WpW^* = q$ and $W^*qW = p$.

If V is a semigroup, we will denote $G(V)$ the Grothendieck group of V . Let $j_k : F_k \rightarrow G(F_k)$ be the natural map from F_k into $G(F_k)$. In the case that $k = 0$, F_0 is a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. So j_0 is injective. For $k > 0$, $\ker j_k = k\mathbf{Z}_+$. In both cases, $G(F_k) = K_0(\mathcal{T}_k)$. Note that $\ker j_k \subset I(F_k)$. Denote $Q(F_k) = F_k/I(F_k) = j_k(F_k)/G(j_k(I(F_k))) \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$. Let $\eta : F_k \rightarrow F_m$ be a homomorphism of abelian semigroups, i.e., η preserves the additions of the semigroups. A direct computation shows that η maps $\ker j_k$ into $\ker j_m$. It follows that η gives a group homomorphism from $G(F_k)$ into $G(F_m)$. If V is a direct sum of F_{k_i} , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $Q(V)$ is the direct sum of $Q(F_{k_i})$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let V_1 be a direct sum of F_{k_i} $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let V_2 be a direct sum of $F_{k'_j}$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, l$ and let $\eta : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ be a homomorphism from semigroup V_1 into the semigroup V_2 . Then*

- (a) η maps $I(V_1)$ into $I(V_2)$,
- (b) η induces a quotient map $\bar{\eta} : Q(V_1) \rightarrow Q(V_2)$,
- (c) if $\bar{\eta} = 0$, $\eta_{I(V_1)} = 0$,
- (d) if $V_1 = F_k$ and either $\eta|_{I(F_k)}$ or $\bar{\eta}$ is not injective, then $\eta|_{I(F_k)} = 0$,
- (e) if $V_1 = F_0$, $V_2 = F_0$ and η is not injective, then $\eta|_{I(F_0)} = 0$, and
- (f) if $V_1 = F_k$ with $k > 0$ and $V_2 = F_0$ then $\eta|_{I(F_k)} = 0$.

Proof. It is obvious that (b) follows from (a). For (a), it is clear that we only need to show that a homomorphism $\eta : F_k \rightarrow F_m$ maps $I(F_k)$ into $I(F_m)$.

Case (1): $k = 0$ and $m = 0$.

Since $\ker j_0 = 0$, the homomorphism η can be extended to a group homomorphism from $G(F_0)$ into $G(F_0)$. We still use η for the extension. Note $G(F_0) = \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$. Suppose that $\eta((1, 0)) = (l_1, l_2)$ with $l_2 > 0$. Suppose also that $\eta((0, 1)) = (l'_1, l'_2)$ with l'_2 nonnegative. Then, for any $n > 0$

$\eta((-n, 1)) = (l'_1 - nl_1, l'_2 - nl_2)$. If n is large enough, $l'_2 - nl_2 < 0$. But since $(-n, 1) \in F_0$, $\eta((-n, 1))$ should be in F_0 . This is impossible. Therefore, $l_2 = 0$. Thus η maps $I(F_0)$ into $I(F_0)$.

Case (2): $k = 0$ and $m > 0$.

The map $j_m \circ \eta$ extends to a group homomorphism $\tilde{\eta} : G(F_0) \rightarrow G(F_m) (= \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z})$. Suppose that

$$\tilde{\eta}((1, 0)) = x \oplus \bar{y} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\eta}((0, 1)) = x_1 \oplus \bar{y}_1.$$

Then

$$\tilde{\eta}((-n, 1)) = (-nx + x_1) \oplus (-n\bar{y} + \bar{y}_1).$$

Since $\tilde{\eta}$ must map F_0 into $j_m(F_m)$, x has to be zero. Since $j_m(I(F_m)) = \mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$ and since $\ker j_m \subset I(F_m)$, this implies that η maps $I(F_0)$ into $I(F_m)$.

Case (3): $k > 0$.

Since η maps $\ker j_k$ into $\ker j_m$, the map η gives a group homomorphism $\tilde{\eta} : G(F_k) \rightarrow G(F_m)$. Since $j_k(I(F_k))$ is torsion, so is $\tilde{\eta}(j_k(I(F_k)))$. Therefore η maps $I(F_k)$ into $I(F_m)$.

Now for (c), $\bar{\eta} = 0$, implies that η maps F_k into \mathbf{Z}_+ . If $k > 0$, η maps $\ker j_k$ into zero, since $k + (1, 0) = (1, 0)$ in F_k and since the map from \mathbf{Z}_+ into $G(\mathbf{Z}_+) (= \mathbf{Z})$ is injective. So η maps $k\mathbf{Z}_+$ into zero. The map η induces a group homomorphism which maps $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$ into \mathbf{Z} . Therefore it must map the torsion part to zero. This implies that η maps $I(V_1)$ into zero. If $k = 0$, let us assume that $\eta((1, 0)) = l > 0$ and $\eta((0, 1)) = l' \geq 0$. Let us use η for the extension from $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ into \mathbf{Z} . Then $\eta((-n, 1)) = -nl + l'$ which is negative if n is large enough. But this is impossible. Therefore $\eta((1, 0)) = 0$. Thus η maps $I(V_1)$ into zero.

For (f), by (a), we may write $\eta(x) = k$ and $\eta(y) = (n, z)$, where $x \in I(F_k)$, $k \in I(F_0)$, $y \in \mathbf{N}$ and $z \neq 0$. So $\eta(x) + \eta(y) = (k + n, z) \in F_0$. Let $\tilde{\eta} : G(F_k) \rightarrow G(F_0)$ be the group homomorphism induced by η . Then $\tilde{\eta}(\bar{x}) = 0$, if $\bar{x} \in \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$. Since η and $\tilde{\eta}$ agree on $\mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$, $\eta(\bar{x} + y) = \eta(y)$. So we have $\eta(x + y) = \eta(\bar{x} + y) = \eta(y) = (n, z)$. Therefore $k = 0$. This means that $\eta|_{I(F_0)} = 0$.

To prove (d), we may assume that $V_2 = F_m$. It follows from (a) that $\eta|_{I(F_k)}$ is either injective or zero, since $I(F_k) \cong I(F_m) \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$. Suppose that $\bar{\eta}$ is not injective. But $Q(F_k) \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $Q(F_m) \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\bar{\eta}$ has to be zero if it is not injective. It follows from (c) that $\eta|_{I(F_k)} = 0$.

To prove (e), we assume that $\eta|_{I(F_0)} \neq 0$. Let $\tilde{\eta} : G(F_0) \rightarrow G(F_0)$ be the group homomorphism induced by η . Then $\tilde{\eta}$ has to be injective. Consequently, η has to be injective. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $V = \varinjlim (V_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$, where each V_n is a finite direct sum of F'_{k_i} s. Then there is $n > 0$ such that $\phi_{n,\infty}$ is injective on $\phi_{1,n}(V_1)$.*

Proof. It is enough to prove the case that $V_1 = F_k$.

We first assume that $k > 0$. It is clear that there are only finitely many possible homomorphic images of F_k , namely, 0 , F_k , F_m (where $m|k$) or \mathbf{Z}_+ . There is an integer n such that the image of $\phi_{1,n}(F_k)$ equals to $\phi_{1,\infty}(F_k)$. If $\phi_{1,\infty}(F_k) = 0$, or $= \mathbf{Z}_+$, it is clear that $\phi_{n,\infty}$ on $\phi_{1,n}(F_k)$ is injective. Since there are only finitely many images, it is enough to show that any surjective homomorphism η from F_k (or F_m) onto F_k (or F_m) is injective. By (d) of 2.4, both $\eta|_{I(F_k)}$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are injective. This immediately implies that η maps $I(F_k)$ onto $I(F_k)$ and $\eta(\mathbf{N}) \cap I(F_k) = \{0\}$. Therefore η maps $\mathbf{N} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$ onto itself. Since the only surjective homomorphism from $\mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/k\mathbf{Z}$ onto itself is injective, we conclude that η has to be injective. This proves the case for $k > 0$.

Now we consider the case that $k = 0$. There is an integer $n_1 > 0$ such that the image of $\phi_{1,n_1}(F_0)$ equals to $\phi_{1,\infty}(F_0)$. If $\phi_{n_1,\infty}$ is injective on $\phi_{1,n_1}(F_0)$, then we are done. So we assume that it is not injective. Without loss of generality, we may assume that ϕ_{n_1,n_2} on $\phi_{1,n_1}(F_0)$ is not injective. Therefore, ϕ_{1,n_2} is not injective. Let $V_{n_2} = B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus B_m$ and $p_i : V_{n_2} \rightarrow B_i$ be the projection, where each $B_i \cong F_{k_i}$. We may assume that $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_j \cong F_0$, and $B_{j+k} \cong F_{r_k}$ for some $r_k > 0$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, m - j$. Then every $p_i \circ \phi_{1,n_2}$ is not injective. By (e) of 2.4, $p_i \circ \phi_{1,n_2}(F_0) = 0$, or \mathbf{Z}_+ . Therefore, there is $n_3 > 0$ such that $\phi_{n_2,\infty}$ is injective on $\phi_{n_2,n_3} \circ p_i \circ \phi_{1,n_2}(F_0)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, j$. From the case that $k > 0$, we conclude that there is also an integer $n_4 > 0$ such that $\phi_{n_4,\infty}$ is injective on each $\phi_{n_2,n_4}(B_{j+k})$. Therefore, there is a large $n > 0$ such that $\phi_{n,\infty}$ is injective on $\phi_{1,n}(F_0)$. \square

2.6. Let E_1 and E_2 be two finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Suppose that $E_1 = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})$ and $E_2 = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(\mathcal{T}_{r_j})$. Each E_i is an essential extension

$$0 \rightarrow I(E_i) \rightarrow E_i \rightarrow Q(E_i) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $I(E_1) = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(\mathcal{K})$, $I(E_2) = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(\mathcal{K})$, $Q(E_1) = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_1} M_{l_j}(C(S^1))$, and $Q(E_2) = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_2} M_{m_j}(C(S^1))$. If $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a homomorphism, then ϕ maps $I(E_1)$ into $I(E_2)$. This happens because $M_m(C(S^1))$ can not contain any copy of \mathcal{K} . Thus we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & I(E_1) & \rightarrow & E_1 & \rightarrow & Q(E_1) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \phi & & \downarrow \bar{\phi} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & I(E_2) & \rightarrow & E_2 & \rightarrow & Q(E_2) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where $\bar{\phi} : Q(E_1) \rightarrow Q(E_2)$ is the (unique) induced map.

One also has

$$0 \rightarrow V(I(E_i)) \rightarrow V(E_i) \rightarrow V(Q(E_i)) \rightarrow 0.$$

The six-term exact sequence of the K-groups of this extension has the form

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(E_i) \rightarrow K_1(Q(E_i)) \rightarrow K_0(I(E_i)) \rightarrow K_0(E_i) \rightarrow K_0(Q(E_i)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We will denote this exact sequence by $\mathbf{K}(E_i)$. The index map from $K_1(Q(E_i))$ to $K_0(I(E_i))$ will be denoted by δ_i . By a map from $\mathbf{K}(E_1)$ to $\mathbf{K}(E_2)$ we mean five group homomorphisms $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & K_1(E_1) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q(E_1)) & \rightarrow & K_0(I(E_1)) & \rightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \rightarrow & K_0(Q(E_1)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_5 & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & K_1(E_2) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q(E_2)) & \rightarrow & K_0(I(E_2)) & \rightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \rightarrow & K_0(Q(E_2)) & \rightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Definition 2.7. Recall that an element s in a C^* -algebra is called hyponormal if $s^*s \geq ss^*$. For a unital C^* -algebra A , let $S_n(A)$ be the set of all nonzero hyponormal partial isometries in $M_n(A)$ and $S(A) = \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n(A)$ ($S_n(A) \rightarrow S_{n+1}(A)$ is defined by $s \rightarrow \text{diag}(s, 1)$). Let $S(A)_0$ be the set of (nonzero) hyponormal partial isometries which is homotopic to a projection. We denote by $k(A)_+ = S(A)/S(A)_0$. For two hyponormal partial isometries v_1 and v_2 in $M_n(A)$, $[v_1] = [v_2]$ in $k(A)_+$ if and only if $(1 - v_1^*v_1 + v_1) + 1_m$ is homotopic to $(1 - v_2^*v_2 + v_2) + 1_m$ in $S_{m+n}(A)$, where 1_m is the identity in $M_m(A)$. By defining the usual orthogonal addition, $k(A)_+$ becomes an abelian semigroup. There is a natural embedding $em : K_1(A) \rightarrow k(A)_+$. When A has cancellation, $K_1(A) = k(A)_+$. We think that $k(A)_+$ may be useful for C^* -algebra with stable rank other than one.

It is easy to see that $k(\mathcal{T}_0)_+ \cong K_1(\mathcal{T}_0)$. One can also verify that $k(M_m(\mathcal{T}_k))_+ \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$, if $k > 0$. Let $\pi : M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) \rightarrow M_m(C(S^1))$ be the quotient map. Then π induces a map from $k(M_m(\mathcal{T}_k))_+$ to $k(M_m(C(S^1)))_+ \cong k(C(S^1))_+$. Clearly, this is an injection. Since $k(C(S^1))_+ \cong K_1(C(S^1))$, there is an injective homomorphism $\Delta : k(\mathcal{T}_k)_+ \rightarrow K_1(C(S^1))$. Note also that $K_1(M_m(\mathcal{T}_k)) = \{0\}$, if $k > 0$.

2.8. Associate to each C^* -algebra A a semigroup

$$\bar{V}(A) = \{([u^*u], [u]) : u \in S(A) \text{ and } [u] \in k(A)_+\},$$

where $[u^*u]$ is in $V(A)$. Let $d : k(A)_+ \rightarrow V(A)$ be defined by $d([u]) = [u^*u - uu^*]$. Let $\{u_t\}$ be a path of hyponormal partial isometries. Then

$\{u_t^* u_t - u_t u_t^*\}$ is a path of projections. Thus d is a well defined semigroup homomorphism.

If E is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras, then $d = -\delta \circ \Delta$, where $\delta : K_1(Q(E)) \rightarrow K_0(I(E))$ is the usual index map.

Definition 2.9. We write the triples

$$V_*(A) = \{([u^* u], [u], d([u])) : u \in S(A) \text{ and } [u] \in k(A)_+\}.$$

Let A and B be two C^* -algebras. Suppose that $V_*(A)$ and $V_*(B)$ are two such triples, then a homomorphism $\eta : V_*(A) \rightarrow V_*(B)$ is a homomorphisms $\alpha : \bar{V}(A) \rightarrow \bar{V}(B)$ for which

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(A) & \xleftarrow{d} & k(A)_+ \\ \downarrow \alpha|_V & & \downarrow \alpha|_k \\ V(B) & \xleftarrow{d} & k(B)_+ \end{array}$$

commutes.

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism, then ϕ induces not only a homomorphism from $\bar{V}(A)$ into $\bar{V}(B)$ but also a homomorphism $\phi_* : V_*(A) \rightarrow V_*(B)$. We will use the notation F_{k*} for $V_*(\mathcal{T}_k)$.

Let E be a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. It is important to note that the image of d is contained in $I(V(E)) \subset V(E)$.

A fact that we will use later is that any homomorphism from $V_*(\mathcal{T}_0)$ into $V_*(\mathcal{T}_k)$ with $k > 0$ maps the second variable to zero. This is clear, since the only homomorphism from the semigroup \mathbf{Z} into the semigroup \mathbf{Z}_+ is zero.

2.10. Suppose that there is a homomorphism $\eta : V_*(E_1) \rightarrow V_*(E_2)$ where each E_i is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. It follows from 2.6 that η induces the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_1)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_5 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(E_2) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_2)) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

This in turn gives uniquely the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_0(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Q(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_5 \\ K_0(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \longrightarrow & K_0(Q(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(Q(E_1)) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_5 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(Q(E_2)). \end{array}$$

The map η also gives a homomorphism from $k(E_1)_+$ into $k(E_2)_+$ which, in turn, gives a homomorphism

$$\alpha_2 : K_1(Q(E_1)) \rightarrow K_1(Q(E_2)).$$

Define $\alpha_1 = em^{-1} \circ \eta|_{em(K_1(E_1))} \circ em : K_1(E_1) \rightarrow K_1(E_2)$ (note that em is injective). Note that image of d lies in $I(V(E_i)) = V(I(E_i))$. From the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V(I(E_1)) & \xleftarrow{d} & k(E_1)_+ \\ \downarrow \eta|_V & & \downarrow \eta|_k \\ V(I(E_2)) & \xleftarrow{d} & k(E_2)_+ \end{array}$$

and using the map Δ_i and the natural embedding from $V(I(E_i))$ into $K_0(E_i)$, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & K_1(E_1) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q(E_1)) & \rightarrow & K_0(I(E_1)) & \rightarrow & K_0(E_1) & \rightarrow & K_0(Q(E_1)) & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \alpha_1 & & \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \alpha_3 & & \downarrow \alpha_4 & & \downarrow \alpha_5 & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & K_1(E_2) & \rightarrow & K_1(Q(E_2)) & \rightarrow & K_0(I(E_2)) & \rightarrow & K_0(E_2) & \rightarrow & K_0(Q(E_2)) & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Set $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$. Then α is a homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbf{K}(E_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{K}(E_2)$ which is uniquely determined by η .

Let E be a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. We denote by Γ a subset of $K_0(Q(E)) \oplus K_1(Q(E))$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &= \{([u^*u], [u]) : u \text{ is a normal partial isometry} \in Q(E)\} \\ &= \{(x, y) : x \in V(Q(E)), y \in K_1(Q(E)), \text{ if } x = 0, y = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Denote by $K_*(Q(E))$ the graded group $K_0(Q(E)) \oplus K_1(Q(E))$ with the partial order generated by Γ . It is clear that a homomorphism $\alpha : V_*(E_1) \rightarrow V_*(E_2)$ also induces a homomorphism $\alpha_5 \oplus \alpha_2 : K_*(Q(E_1)) \rightarrow K_*(Q(E_2))$ (which preserves the order).

From the above we have the following:

Corollary 2.11. *Let E_1 and E_2 be as in 2.10. Suppose that α is a homomorphism from $V_*(E_1)$ to $V_*(E_2)$. Then α induces*

- (i) *uniquely two homomorphisms γ and β such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(E_2) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

- (ii) *uniquely a map $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$, from $\mathbf{K}(E_1)$ to $\mathbf{K}(E_2)$, and*

(iii) the map $\alpha_5 \oplus \alpha_2$ from $K_*(Q(E_1))$ to $K_*(Q(E_2))$ preserves the order.

Lemma 2.12. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras such that there is no summand in the sums which is isomorphic to a matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_0 . Then a homomorphism $\alpha : V(E_1) \rightarrow V(E_2)$ extends to a unique homomorphism $\sigma : V_*(E_1) \rightarrow V_*(E_2)$. Furthermore, the conclusion of 2.11 holds.*

Proof. It follows from the definition that d maps $k(\mathcal{T}_k)_+$ injectively onto $\ker j_k$, where $k > 0$ and j_k is the natural map from F_k into $G(F_k)$. We also know that homomorphism from F_k into F_r (with $r > 0$) maps $\ker j_k$ into $\ker j_r$. \square

Definition 2.13. Let $V_*^{(i)} = \varinjlim (V_{n*}^{(i)}, \eta_{n,n+1}^{(i)})$, where $V_{n*}^{(i)}$ is a finite direct sum of $F_{r_{j*}}^{(i)}$, and where $\eta_{n,n+1}^{(i)}$ is a homomorphism from $V_{n*}^{(i)}$ into $V_{n+1*}^{(i)}$, $i = 1, 2$. Suppose that $V_n^{(i)}$ is the first variable, $k_n^{(i)}$ is the second variable and $d_n^{(i)}$ is the third variable of $V_{n*}^{(i)}$, respectively, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $V^{(i)} = \varinjlim V_n^{(i)}$, $k = \varinjlim k_n^{(i)}$, $d^{(i)} = \varinjlim d_n^{(i)}$ and $\bar{V}^{(i)} = \varinjlim (\bar{V}_n^{(i)}, \eta_{n,n+1}^{(i)})$. A homomorphism $\phi : V_*^{(1)} \rightarrow V_*^{(2)}$ is a homomorphism $\alpha : \bar{V}^{(1)} \rightarrow \bar{V}^{(2)}$ for which

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^{(1)} & \xleftarrow{d^{(1)}} & k^{(1)} \\ \downarrow \alpha|_{V^{(1)}} & & \downarrow \alpha|_{k(A)_+} \\ V^{(2)} & \xleftarrow{d^{(2)}} & k^{(2)} \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let $E = \varinjlim (E_i, \phi_{i,i+1})$ be a direct limit of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras and let $I(E) = \varinjlim (I(E_i), \phi_{i,i+1})$. Then $I(E)$ is an ideal of E . Set $Q(E) = E/I(E)$. Then it is clear that $Q(E) = \varinjlim (Q(E_i), \bar{\phi}_{i,i+1})$, where $\bar{\phi}_{i,i+1}$ is the map induced by ϕ (see 2.6).

Lemma 2.14. *Let $E = \varinjlim (E_i, \phi_{i,i+1})$ and $E' = \varinjlim (E'_i, \psi_{i,i+1})$ be two inductive limit C^* -algebras where each E_i or each E'_i is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Suppose that each connecting map in the two inductive limit systems satisfies the following condition: any partial map from $M_n(\mathcal{T}_0)$ to another algebra vanishes on $M_n(\mathcal{K})$. Let α be a homomorphism from $V_*(E)$ to $V_*(E')$. Then α induces*

(i) *uniquely two homomorphisms γ and β such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E)) & \longrightarrow & V(E) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E')) & \longrightarrow & V(E') & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E')) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

(ii) α induces uniquely a map $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$ from $\mathbf{K}(E)$ to $\mathbf{K}(E')$.

Furthermore, $\alpha_5 \oplus \alpha_2$ from $K_*(Q(E))$ to $K_*(Q(E'))$ preserves the order. In particular, if α is an isomorphism, all the maps induced by α are isomorphisms.

Proof. Let $V_*(E) = \varinjlim (V_*(E_n), \eta_{n,n+1})$ and $V_*(E') = \varinjlim (V_*(E'_n), \eta'_{n,n+1})$. There exists $n'_1 > 1$ such that $\alpha(\eta_{1,\infty}(\bar{V}(E_1))) \subset \eta'_{n'_1,\infty}(\bar{V}(E'_{n'_1}))$. To see this, it is enough to assume that $E_1 = M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$. If $k > 0$, $\bar{V}(E_1)$ is finitely generated. If $k = 0$, although $\bar{V}(E_1)$ is not finitely generated, the connecting map $\bar{V}(E_1) \rightarrow \bar{V}(E_2)$ vanishes on $\bar{V}(I(E_1))$. The image in $\bar{V}(E_2)$ is generated by a single element. In both cases, the inclusion follows. There is n''_1 such that $\eta'_{n'_1,m}$ maps $\eta'_{n'_1,n'_1}(\bar{V}(E'_{n'_1}))$ injectively into $V(E_m)$ for any $m > n''_1$. This is possible because of 2.5. Choose $n_1 = n'_1 + 1$. Let h be the inverse of $\eta'_{n'_1,\infty}$ on $\eta'_{n'_1,\infty}(\eta'_{n'_1,n_1}(\bar{V}(E'_{n'_1})))$. Define

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \eta'_{n'_1,n_1} \circ h \circ \alpha \circ \eta_{1,\infty}.$$

Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E) \\ \downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \bar{V}(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E'). \end{array}$$

Since $k(E_1)_+$ is finitely generated, we can choose $\alpha^{(1)}$ so that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V_*(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E) \\ \downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & & \downarrow \alpha \\ V_*(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'). \end{array}$$

As above, there is n'_2 such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \bar{V}(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & \eta'_{n'_2,\infty}(\bar{V}(E'_{n'_2})) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E') \end{array}$$

commutes. The above argument actually says that one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_2) & & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E) & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & \\ \bar{V}(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & \eta'_{n'_2,\infty}(\bar{V}(E'_{n'_2})) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E'_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E'). \end{array}$$

This will give the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E) \\
\downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(2)} & & \downarrow \alpha \\
\bar{V}(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E'_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E').
\end{array}$$

The above argument further gives us the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V_*(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E_2) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E) \\
\downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(2)} & & \downarrow \alpha \\
V_*(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E').
\end{array}$$

Continuing this way, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
V_*(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E) \\
\downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(2)} & & & & \downarrow \alpha \\
V_*(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E')
\end{array}$$

where each map is homomorphism. We will call this diagram (1).

If α is an isomorphism, by the similar argument as above, by choosing m_2 first (after choosing n_1), then choosing n_2 , one has the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
V_*(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E_{m_2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E) \\
\downarrow \alpha^{(1)} & \nearrow \alpha^{(1)'} & \downarrow \alpha^{(2)} & \nearrow \alpha^{(2)'} & & & \alpha \downarrow \uparrow \beta \\
V_*(E'_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E').
\end{array}$$

We will call this diagram (2).

By passing to a subsequence and changing the notation, we may assume that $n_k = k$ and $m_k = k$ in both diagram (1) and diagram (2).

For each k , diagram (1) gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_k)) & \longrightarrow & V(E_k) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_k)) \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow \gamma^{(k)} & & \downarrow \alpha^{(k)} & & \downarrow \beta^{(k)} \\
0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E'_k)) & \longrightarrow & V(E'_k) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E'_k)) \longrightarrow 0.
\end{array}$$

It also gives commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(I(E)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V(I(E'_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(I(E'_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(I(E'))
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
V(Q(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E)) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
V(Q(E'_1)) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E'_2)) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E')).
\end{array}$$

Combining these commutative diagrams, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E)) & \longrightarrow & V(E) & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V(I(E')) & \longrightarrow & V(E') & \longrightarrow & V(Q(E')) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if α is an isomorphism, then diagram (2) ensures that α , β and γ are invertible.

By 2.11 and diagram (1), we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{K}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathbf{K}(E'_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E'_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E') \end{array}$$

which will induces a map from $\mathbf{K}(H)$ to $\mathbf{K}(E')$. It is clear that

$$K_*(Q(E)) = \varinjlim K_*(Q(E_n)) \quad \text{and} \quad K_*(Q(E')) = \varinjlim K_*(E_n).$$

Thus we also have that $\alpha_5 \oplus \alpha_2$ preserves the order.

If α is a isomorphism, from diagram (2), we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{K}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ \mathbf{K}(E'_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E'_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E'). \end{array}$$

This gives an isomorphism from $\mathbf{K}(E)$ onto $\mathbf{K}(E')$. In particular, α_i is isomorphism, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Let E and E' be as in Lemma 2.13 with additional assumption that no \mathcal{T}_0 ever appears in E_i and E'_i . Let α be a homomorphism from $V(E)$ into $V(E')$. Then all conclusions in Lemma 2.13 hold.*

3. Existence.

In this section, we first prove an existence Theorem (3.1). Then we show another type of existence Theorem (3.7), which says that given any possible invariant V_* , there is an inductive limit A of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras of real rank zero such that $V_*(A) = V_*$. We also show that every real rank zero AT algebra is an inductive limit of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras, i.e., an AT -algebra.

If $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ is a homomorphism from C^* -algebra A into C^* -algebra B , we will use the notation ϕ_{*0} and ϕ_{*1} for the maps from $K_0(A)$ into $K_0(B)$ and from $K_1(A)$ into $K_1(B)$, respectively.

Theorem 3.1. *Let E_1 and E_2 be two finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Suppose that there is a homomorphism α from $V_*(E_1)$ into $V_*(E_2)$ which maps $[1_{E_1}]$ into $[P]$ for some projection P in E_2 . Then*

- (i) *α induces two homomorphisms γ and β such that the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(I(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(Q(E_1)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \gamma & & \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(I(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \bar{V}(Q(E_2)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

- (ii) *α induces a map, consisting of five group homomorphisms $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^5$, from $\mathbf{K}(E_1)$ to $\mathbf{K}(E_2)$.*

Suppose further that ψ is a $$ -homomorphism from $Q(E_1)$ to $Q(E_2)$ with $\psi_{*0} = \alpha_5$ and $\psi_{*1} = \alpha_2$ which satisfies the following condition: if $M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is a summand of E_1 and $M_{m'}(\mathcal{T}_k)$ is a summand of E_2 and the partial map of γ from $I(F_0)$ into $I(F_k)$ is not zero, then the partial map of ψ from $Q(M_m(\mathcal{T}_0))$ to $Q(M_{m'}(\mathcal{T}_k))$ is injective. Then there exists a homomorphism ϕ from E_1 to E_2 such that ϕ induces α , $\phi(1_{E_1}) = P$ and such that $\pi_2 \circ \phi = \psi \circ \pi_1$, where π_i is the quotient map from E_i to $Q(E_i)$.*

Proof. First, we note from Corollary 2.11 that the commuting diagrams in the theorem comes automatically from map α . Also if ϕ is a homomorphism from E_1 into E_2 such that ϕ induces α from $V_*(E_1)$ to $V_*(E_2)$ and such that $\pi_2 \circ \phi = \psi \circ \pi_1$, then ϕ induces ψ . Clearly, we may assume that E_2 has only one summand, say $M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)$.

Write $E_1 = \bigoplus_{j=1}^k E_1^{(j)}$ where $E_1^{(j)} = M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})$ and let 1_{n_j} be the unit of $E_1^{(j)}$. Associated with each $E_1^{(j)}$ we have an extension

$$0 \rightarrow I(E_1^{(j)}) \rightarrow E_1^{(j)} \rightarrow Q(E_1^{(j)}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Write $\psi = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \psi_i$ where each ψ_i is a homomorphism from $M_{n_i}(C(S^1))$ into $M_m(S^1)$. There exists a unitary $V \in M_m(C(S^1))$ such that $\text{Ad } V \circ \psi = \text{diag}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k)$. Let $W = \text{diag}(W_1, \dots, W_k)$ be a unitary in $M_m(C(S^1))$. Then $\text{Ad}(WV) \circ \psi$ still has diagonal form. We can choose W such that WV can be lifted to a unitary in E_2 . So we may assume that ψ already is of diagonal form:

$$\psi = \text{diag}(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_k).$$

Let $e_i = \text{diag}(0, \dots, \psi_i(1_{n_i}), 0, \dots, 0)$. If $[e_i] = m_i$, then $m \geq m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_k$. Notice that $e_i M_m(C(S^1)) e_i \cong M_{m_i}(C(S^1))$. We note that some of ψ_j may be zero. This means that the corresponding $m_j = 0$.

Since $\alpha(\sum_{i=1}^k [1_{n_i}]) = [P]$, there are mutually orthogonal projections d_1, d_2, \dots, d_k in $PM_m(\mathcal{T}_r)P$ such that $P = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i$, $\alpha([1_{n_j}]) = [d_j]$ and $\pi_2(d_j) =$

$\psi(1_{n_j})$. Note that

$$\pi(d_i)M_m(C(S^1))\pi(d_i) \cong M_{m_i}(C(S^1)),$$

if d_i is not in $M_m(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\psi_j = \psi|_{Q(E_1^{(j)})}$. It is enough to show that, for each j , there exists a unital homomorphism $\phi_j : E_1^{(j)} \rightarrow d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_r) d_j$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \phi_j = \psi_j \circ \pi_1$ and the homomorphism from $V(E_1^{(j)})$ into $V(E_2)$ induced by ϕ_j agrees with $\alpha|_{V(E_1^{(j)})}$.

We first consider the case that $d_j \in M_m(\mathcal{K})$. Let $[d_j] = b_j \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \setminus \{0\}$ (if $b_j = 0$, we define $\phi_j = 0$). So $d_i M_m(\mathcal{K}) d_i \cong M_{b_i}$. Since α preserves the addition, n_i divides b_i . Let Φ be the quotient map from $M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})$ onto M_{n_j} . Since n_i divides b_i , there is a unital homomorphism Ψ from M_{n_j} into $d_j M(\mathcal{K}) d_j$. We define $\phi_j = \Psi \circ \Phi$. It is worthy of pointing out that, in this case, ϕ_j vanishes on $I(E_1^{(j)})$ and $\psi_j = 0$.

Now the homomorphism ϕ_j maps $E_1^{(j)}$ to $d_j E_2 d_j$ and sends the identity to d_j . Furthermore, ϕ_j induces a map from $V(E_1^{(j)})$ to $V(E_2)$ which agrees α on $V(E_1^{(j)})$.

Let us now assume that d_j is not in $M_m(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\{e_{il}\}$ be a matrix unit for $M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C})$ which is in $M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})$. Let $\psi_j(\pi(e_{il})) = \bar{q}_{il}$. Then \bar{q}_{il} is a matrix unit (for M_{n_j}). There is a matrix unit $\{q'_{il}\} \in d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) d_j$ such that $\pi(q'_{il}) = \bar{q}_{il}$ (see [Efi]). Note $\alpha([e_{ii}]) = \alpha([e_{11}])$, $[q'_{ii}] = [q'_{11}]$ in $V(d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) d_j)$ and $\alpha_5([e_{11}]) = [\bar{q}'_{11}]$. There is a projection $q' \in d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) d_j$ such that $\alpha([e_{11}]) = [q']$ in $V(d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) d_j)$. Then either $[q'] - [q'_{11}]$, or $[q'_{11}] - [q']$ is in $I(V(d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) d_j)) \cong \mathbf{Z}_+$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \alpha([e_{ii}]) = [d_j]$, the second case will not happen. Let $d'_j = d_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} q'_{ii}$. Then $[d'_j] = n_j[q'] - n_j[q'_{11}] = n_j([q'] - [q'_{11}]) \geq 0$. If $[q'] - [q'_{11}] \neq 0$, there are mutually orthogonal and mutually equivalent projections a_i in $d'_j M_m(\mathcal{K}) d'_j$ such that $[a_i] = [q'] - [q'_{11}]$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n_j} a_i = d'_j$. There is a matrix unit $\{a_{il}\} \subset d'_j M_m(\mathcal{K}) d'_j$ such that $a_{ii} = a_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_j$. Let $q_{il} = q'_{il} + a_{il}$. Then $\pi(q_{il}) = \bar{q}_{il}$ and $\alpha([e_{ii}]) = [q_{ii}]$.

Let $g : M_{n_j}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow d_j M_m(\mathcal{T}_r) d_j$ be the monomorphism which maps e_{il} into q_{il} . Let $\bar{g} = \pi_2 \circ g$. Then we can write

$$\psi_j = \bar{g} \otimes \tilde{\psi}_j,$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_j$ is a unital homomorphism from $C(S^1)$ into $\pi_2(q_{11})Q(M_m(\mathcal{T}_r))\pi_2(q_{11}) \cong M_t(C(S^1))$ and $t = m_j/n_j$. It is enough to show that there exists a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_j : e_{11} M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j}) e_{11} \rightarrow g(e_{11}) M_m(\mathcal{T}_r) g(e_{11})$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \tilde{\phi}_j(S_{k_j}) = \psi_j(z)$ where z is the canonical generator of $C(S^1)$ and where S_{k_j} is the canonical isometry of \mathcal{T}_{k_j} and such that the map from $V_*(e_{11} M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j}) e_{11})$ into $V_*(g(e_{11}) M_m(\mathcal{T}_r) g(e_{11}))$ agrees with the restriction of α . Note that $\pi_1(e_{11} M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j}) e_{11}) \cong C(S^1)$.

Case (1): $r = 0$.

First, consider the case $k_j = 0$. Note that $e_{11}M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_0)e_{11} \cong \mathcal{T}_0$.

Since $r = 0$, every normal partial isometry in $M_m(C(S^1))$ lifts to a normal partial isometry in $M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$. In particular, $\psi_j(z)$ lifts to a unitary $W \in g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$. Let A be the C^* -algebra generated by W and $g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{K})g(e_{11})$. Then $A \cong \mathcal{T}_0$. Note that W has essential spectrum S^1 .

Suppose that γ has multiplicity $l_1 > 0$. Embedding A into $B(l^2)$ such that $I(A) = I(\mathcal{T}_0)$ maps onto $\mathcal{K}(l^2)$. Let λ_1 be an isomorphism from $M_{l_1}(B)$ onto $B(l^2)$. Let $\lambda_2 : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow M_{l_1}(B(l^2))$ be an amplification such that $\lambda_2(a) = \text{diag}(a, a, \dots, a)$ (there are l_1 copies of a). Set $\phi' = \lambda_1 \circ \lambda_2$. Then (in $B(l^2)$) both unitaries $\phi'(S_0)$ and W have the same essential spectrum S^1 , i.e., spectrum of $\pi(\phi'(S_0)) = \psi(z) = S^1$. Therefore there is a unitary $U \in B(l^2)$ such that $U^*\phi'(S_0)U - W \in \mathcal{K}(l^2)$. Thus $U^*\phi'(S_0)U \in A$. We now define $\tilde{\phi}_j(a) = U^*\phi'(a)U$ for $a \in \mathcal{T}_0$. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\phi}$ meets the requirement in this case.

In the case that $\gamma = 0$ (i.e. $\alpha_3 = 0$), let

$$f : M_t(C(S^1)) \rightarrow g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$$

be a monomorphism which splits the short exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{K})g(e_{11}) \rightarrow g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)g(e_{11}) \rightarrow M_t(C(S^1)) \rightarrow 0.$$

We define

$$\tilde{\phi}_j = f \circ \psi_j \circ \pi.$$

Clearly $\tilde{\phi}_j$ induces the same map from $V_*(e_{11}M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})e_{11})$ into $V_*(g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11}))$ as the restriction of α .

If $k_j > 0$, we also define

$$\tilde{\phi}_j = f \circ \psi_j \circ \pi.$$

To see that $\tilde{\phi}_j$ meets other requirements, we only need to show that α maps $I(F_{k_j})$ into zero. The homomorphism α maps $\ker j_{k_j}$ into zero, since the map $j_0 : F_0 \rightarrow G(F_0)$ is injective. Thus α is not injective. By part (d) of 2.4, α indeed maps $I(F_{k_j})$ into zero.

Case (2): $r > 0$. Note that $\tilde{\psi}_j(z)$ can be lifted to an isometry W in $g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$. From the second diagram, one sees that

$$\alpha([1 - S_{k_j}S_{k_j}^*]) = \alpha_3([1 - S_{k_j}S_{k_j}^*]) = [g(e_{11}) - WW^*].$$

We first consider the case that $\alpha([1 - S_{k_j}S_{k_j}^*]) = 0$. In this case, we may assume that W is a unitary.

Let us first consider the case that $k_j = 0$ and γ does not map $I(F_{k_j})$ into zero. By the assumption on ψ , the essential spectrum of W is the whole circle. In particular $sp(W) = S^1$.

Denote by B the C^* -subalgebra generated by W and $g(e_{11})I(M_{n_j}(\mathcal{T}_r))g(e_{11}) \cong \mathcal{K}$. Clearly B is a unital essential extension of $C(S^1)$ by \mathcal{K} . Therefore $B \cong \mathcal{T}_0$. Suppose that $\gamma(n) = l_1 n$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. From the case that $r = 0$, we know that there exists a unital homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}'_j : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow B$ such that $\tilde{\phi}'_j$ induces a map from $V_*(\mathcal{T}_0)$ into $V_*(B)$ which has multiplicity l_1 on $I(F_0)$. Finally, we define $\tilde{\phi}_j = j \circ \tilde{\phi}'_j$, where $j : B \rightarrow g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$ is the embedding. It is easily checked that this $\tilde{\phi}_j$ meets the requirements.

Now we consider the case that γ is trivial on $I(F_{k_j})$. This is always the case if $k_j > 0$. In fact, in this case, the map δ_1 is injective, so $\alpha_3 = 0$. So α is not injective, by part (f) of 2.4, α also maps $I(F_{k_j})$ into zero.

We define $\tilde{\phi}_j = \lambda \circ \pi_1$, where λ is a homomorphism from $C(S^1)$ into $g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$ by sending z into W . Clearly, $\tilde{\phi}_j$ meets the requirements.

Now we consider the case that $\alpha([1 - S_{k_j} S_{k_j}^*]) \neq 0$. Note that in this case it is necessary that $k_j > 0$. Since $1 - S_{k_j} S_{k_j}^*$ is the sum of k_j mutually orthogonal and equivalent projections, say q_1, \dots, q_{k_j} , there are k_j mutually orthogonal and equivalent projections a_1, \dots, a_{k_j} such that $\alpha([q_i]) = [a_i] = [a_1]$ in $V(M_m(\mathcal{T}_r))$ and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_j} a_i = g(e_{11}) - WW^*.$$

We now define $\tilde{\phi}_j$ from $e_{11}M_{k_j}(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})e_{11} \cong \mathcal{T}_{k_j}$ to $g(e_{11})M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)g(e_{11})$ by sending S_{k_j} to W and by sending $(1 - S_{k_j} S_{k_j}^*)I(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})(1 - S_{k_j} S_{k_j}^*)$ into $(g(e_{11}) - WW^*)M_m(\mathcal{K})(g(e_{11}) - WW^*)$. By 2.1, this is in fact a homomorphism. From our construction, it is clear that such defined $\tilde{\phi}_j$ induces a map from $V_*(e_{11}M_m(\mathcal{T}_{k_j})e_{11})$ into $V_*(M_m(\mathcal{T}_r))$ which agrees with the restriction of α . \square

Remark 3.2. We would like to remark that the condition for ψ in the Theorem 3.1 is necessary. If $\phi : M_m(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow M_{m'}(\mathcal{T}_k)$ is a homomorphism and $\ker \phi \cap I(M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)) = 0$, then $\ker \phi = 0$, since $I(M_m(\mathcal{T}_0))$ is an essential ideal of $M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$. So ϕ is injective. To see that the induced map from $M_m(C(S^1))$ into $M_{m'}(S^1)$ is injective, we let u be the unitary in $e_{11}(\mathcal{T}_0)e_{11}$ such that $\pi(u) = z$, where z is the function in $e_{11}C(S^1)e_{11} \cong C(S^1)$ which is identity on S^1 and $\pi : M_m(\mathcal{T}_0) \rightarrow M_m(C(S^1))$ is the quotient map. Since ϕ is injective, $sp(\phi(u)) = S^1$. We want to show that, in fact, $sp(\pi_1(\phi(u))) = S^1$, where $\pi_1 : M_{m'}(\mathcal{T}_k) \rightarrow M_{m'}(C(S^1))$ is the quotient map. Suppose that

$sp(\phi(u)) = F$. If $F \neq S^1$, then, since F is compact, $S^1 \setminus F$ contains an arc, say C . Suppose that $C_0 \in C$ is a sub-arc of C . Let $f \in C(S^1)$ such that $f(\xi) = 1$, if $\xi \in C_0$, $f(\xi) = 0$, if $\xi \in F$ and f is linear otherwise. Let $a = \phi(u)\phi(f(u))$. Then $C_0 \subset sp(a)$. However, $a \in I(M_{m'}(\mathcal{T}_k)) (\cong \mathcal{K})$. So $sp(a)$ can have only countably many points. A contradiction. Therefore, $S^1 \setminus F$ does not contain any arc, which implies that $F = S^1$.

3.3. The class of AT -algebras of real rank zero will be denoted by \mathcal{T} . The subclass \mathcal{T}_1 consists of those C^* -algebras that there are no $M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$ appeared in any summand in the direct limit system. We will call the algebras in these class \mathcal{T} -algebras and \mathcal{T}_1 -algebras, respectively.

If E is a unital AT -algebra then $V(E) = \varinjlim (V(E_n), \phi_{n,n+1}^*)$ is a direct limit of finite direct sums of $V(E_n)$. The homomorphism $\phi_{n,n+1}^*$ maps the subsemigroup $I(V(E_n))$ into $I(V(E_{n+1}))$. Let $I(V(E))$ be the subsemigroup $\varinjlim I(V(E_n))$. If we assume that E has real rank zero, then $Q(V(E))$ is a positive cone of an unperforated ordered group with Riesz decomposition property. This follows from the fact that $Q(E)$ is an AT -algebra with real rank zero and Theorem 8.1 of [Eil2].

Let $V = \varinjlim (V_n, \alpha^{n,n+1})$ be a direct limit of finite direct sums of $F'_{k(n)}s$. $(G(V), V)$ is an ordered group. By 2.10, there are maps $\alpha_5^{n,n+1} : Q(V_n) \rightarrow Q(V_{n+1})$ induced by α . Let $V_* = \varinjlim (V_{n*}, \alpha^{n,n+1})$ be a direct limit of finite direct sums of $F'_{k(n)*}s$. By 2.10, there are also maps $\alpha_5^{n,n+1}$ from $Q(V_{n*})$ into $Q(V_{n+1*})$. We will identify V with the semigroup $\varinjlim (V_n, \alpha^{n,n+1})$ of V_* .

Lemma. *Let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ be a direct limit of finite direct sums of \mathcal{T} -algebras of real rank zero. Then, for any k and any summand $E_k^i \cong M_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$ of E_k , there exists an integer $N(k) > 0$ such that every partial homomorphism $\phi_{k,n}^{i,j}$ is either non-injective or the multiplicity of $(\psi_{k,n}^{i,j})_{*0}$ is greater than 1 for all $n \geq N$, where $\psi_{k,n}$ is the homomorphism from $Q(E_k^i)$ into $Q(E_n^j)$ induced by $\phi_{k,n}^{i,j}$.*

Proof. Suppose that the lemma is false. We may assume, by taking a subsequence, that $E' \cong M'_m(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is a summand of E_1 and $\phi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}$ is injective and $(\psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n})_{*0}$ has multiplicity 1 for j_n and for each n . Let $\psi_{n,n+1}$ be the homomorphism from $Q(E_n)$ into $Q(E_{n+1})$ induced by $\phi_{n,n+1}$. Let $p = \psi_{1,\infty}(z^*z)$, where z is the canonical unitary in $e_{11}M_m(C(S^1))e_{11}$. Then $pQ(E)p$ is an AT -algebra of real rank zero. Let $h = (z + z^*)/2$ and $x = \psi_{1,\infty}(h)$. Since $pQ(E)p$ has real rank zero, for any $1/2\varepsilon > 0$, there is a selfadjoint element $y \in pQ(E)p$ with finite spectrum such that

$$\|x - y\| < \varepsilon/4.$$

Suppose that $y = \sum_{l=1}^L \gamma_l e_l$, where γ_l are real numbers and e_l are mutually orthogonal projections in $pQ(E)p$. Without loss of generality, by taking a large n , we may assume that $e_l \in \psi_{n,\infty}(\psi_{1,n}(z^*z)Q(E_n)\psi_{1,n}(z^*z))$. We may also assume, by taking large n , without loss of generality, that

$$\|\psi_{1,n}(h) - g\| < \varepsilon/2,$$

where g is selfadjoint element in $\psi_{1,n}(z^*z)Q(E_n)\psi_{1,n}(z^*z)$ and $\psi_{n,\infty}(g) = y$. Let f_l be selfadjoint element in $\psi_{1,n}(z^*z)Q(E_n)\psi_{1,n}(z^*z)$ such that $\psi_{n,\infty}(f_l) = e_l$. Since

$$\|\psi_{n,k}(f_l)^2 - \psi_{n,k}(f_l)\| \rightarrow 0,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, by functional calculus, we may further assume that g has finite spectrum.

Now $e = \psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}(z^*z)$ commutes with both $\psi_{1,n}(h)$ and g . Since $\psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}$ is injective and $(\psi_{1,j_n}^{1,n})_{*0}$ has multiplicity 1, $eQ(E_n)e \cong C(S^1)$. We have $\psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}(h) (= e\psi_{1,n}(h))$, $ege \in eQ(E_n)e \cong C(S^1)$, ege has finite spectrum and

$$\|\psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}(h) - ege\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since $sp(\psi_{1,n}^{1,j_n}(h)) = [-1, 1]$, and ege has at most 1 point in its spectrum, the above inequality could not hold. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ be an AT-algebra of real rank zero. Denote by $\psi_{n,n+1}$ the $*$ -homomorphism from $Q(E_n)$ to $Q(E_{n+1})$ induced by $\phi_{n,n+1}$. Then there exists a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ of integers such that each partial homomorphism of $(\phi_{n(k),n(k+1)})_{*0}$ is either zero when restricted on any summand $I(F_0)$, or the corresponding partial homomorphism of $(\psi_{n(k),n(k+1)})_{*0}$ has multiplicity $> 2^{k+1}$.*

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of the proof of Lemma 3.3. \square

Definition 3.5. Let $V = \varinjlim (V_n, \alpha^{n,n+1})$ be a direct limit of finite direct sums of $F_{n(k)*}$'s. We say V satisfies *generalized Riesz decomposition property* if $(G(Q(V)), Q(V))$ is an unperforated ordered group with Riesz decomposition property and there exists a subsequence $\{n(k)\}$ of integers such that every partial homomorphism $\alpha'_{n(k),n(k+1)}$ restricted on any summand $I(F_0)$ is either zero or the corresponding partial homomorphism $\alpha_5^{n(k),n(k+1)'}$ has multiplicity $> 2^{k+1}$, where $\alpha_5^{n(k),n(k+1)'}$ is the homomorphism from $Q(V_{n(k)})$ into $Q(V_{n(k+1)})$ induced by $\alpha_{n(k),n(k+1)}$.

So we have the following.

Proposition 3.6. *If E is an AT-algebra of real rank zero, then $V(E)_*$ has the generalized Riesz decomposition property.*

Proof. This follows immediately from 3.4 and 3.3. \square

Proposition 3.7.

(A) Let $V = \varinjlim (V_n, \alpha^{n,n+1})$, where $V_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m(n)} F_{(n,i)}$ and $(G(Q(V)), Q(V))$ has the Riesz decomposition property. Then, for a fixed order unit $q(e) \in Q(V)$, where $e \in V$, there exists a unital \mathcal{T}_1 -algebra $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ with

$$V(E) = V, [1] = e.$$

(B) Let $V_* = \varinjlim (V_{n*}, \alpha^{n,n+1})$, where $V_{n*} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m(n)} F_{(n,i)*}$, has the generalized Riesz decomposition property. Then, for a fixed order unit $q(e) \in Q(V)$, where $e \in V$, there exists a unital \mathcal{T} -algebra, E such that

$$V_*(E) = V_*, [1] = e.$$

Proof. We first find an AT-algebra $Q(E)$ of real rank zero which will give us the required \mathcal{T} -algebra (or \mathcal{T}_1 -algebra).

For case (A), let $j_n : V_n \rightarrow G(V_n)$ and $j : V \rightarrow G(V)$ be the natural homomorphism from V_n and V into their Grothendieck groups. It is easy to see that

$$\ker j = \varinjlim (\ker j_n, \alpha^{n,n+1}).$$

We will denote $k(V_n)_+ = \ker j_n$ and $k(V)_+ = \ker j$. We write $(Q(V_n) \oplus k(V_n)_+, \Gamma_n)$ for the direct sum of summands $\mathbf{Z}_+ \oplus k_i \mathbf{Z}_+$ with order in each such summand determined by the strict order in the first component. Let $\alpha_5^{n,n+1} : Q(V_n) \rightarrow Q(V_{n+1})$ be the quotient map induced by $\alpha^{n,n+1}$ and let $\alpha_2^{n,n+1} : k(V_n)_+ \rightarrow k(V_{n+1})_+$ be the restriction of $\alpha^{n,n+1}$. One can extend $\alpha_5^{n,n+1}$ to group homomorphisms from $G(Q(V_n))$ into $G(Q(V_{n+1}))$ and from $k(V_n)_+ = G(k(V_n))_+$ into $k(V_{n+1})_+ = G(k(V_{n+1}))_+$, respectively. We will use $\alpha_5^{n,n+1}$ for the first extension, $\alpha_2^{n,n+1}$ for the second extension and let $\eta^{n,n+1} = \alpha_5^{n,n+1} \oplus \alpha_2^{n,n+1}$. Let $k(V_n) = G(k(V_n)_+)$ and $k(V) = G(k(V)_+)$. We then denote

$$(G(Q(V)) \oplus k(V), \Gamma) = \varinjlim (G(Q(V_n)) \oplus k(V_n), \Gamma_n, \eta^{n,n+1}).$$

For case (B), let

$$Q_*(V_n) = \{(\pi(x), y) : (x, y) \in V_{n*}\},$$

where $\pi : V_n \rightarrow Q(V_n)$ is the quotient map. Define $\eta^{n,n+1}(\pi(x), y) = (\pi(\alpha^{n,n+1}(x)), \alpha_{n,n+1}(y))$ $(x, y) \in V_{n*}$. Let

$$k(V)_+ = \varinjlim (k(V_n)_+, \alpha^{n,n+1}).$$

We also note that

$$Q(V) = \varinjlim (Q(V_n), \alpha^{n,n+1}).$$

Then $k(V) = G(k(V)_+) = \varinjlim G(k(V_n)_+)$ and $G(Q(V)) = \varinjlim G(Q(V_n))$. Define

$$\Gamma = \varinjlim (Q_*(V_n), \alpha_5^{n,n+1} \oplus \alpha_2^{n,n+1}).$$

For both case (A) and (B), since $Q(G(V))$ has Riesz decomposition property, the asymptotic condition (ii) in Theorem 7.2 of [E12], implies that $(Q(G(V)) \oplus k(V), \Gamma)$ (for both case (A) and (B)) has the asymptotic property (ii) in Theorem 8.1 of [E12]. Therefore, by Theorem 8.1 of [E12], $(G(Q(V)) \oplus k(V), \Gamma)$ (in both cases) has Riesz decomposition property. We also assume that $e_n \in V_n$ such that $\alpha^{n,n+1}(e_n) = e_{n+1}$ and the image of e_n is $e \in V$.

Now by Lemma 7.2 of [E12], by passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume the following.

(1): For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, every summand \mathbf{Z} of $Q(V_n)$, and every summand \mathbf{Z} of $Q(V_{n+1})$, the partial homomorphism $\alpha_5^{n,n+1'} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ has multiplicity $m_0 \geq 2^{n+1}$ or the corresponding partial homomorphism $\alpha_2^{n,n+1'}$ is zero.

(2): if $\alpha_2^{n,n+1'} = 0$ but $\alpha_3^{n,n+1'} \neq 0$ the partial homomorphism $\alpha_5^{n,n+1}$ has multiplicity $m_0 > 2^{n+1}$.

To see (2), we note that if the corresponding summand is F_{r*} with $r > 0$, $\alpha_3^{n,n+1'} \neq 0$, implies that $\alpha_2^{n,n+1} \neq 0$, so $m_0 > 2^{n+1}$. If $r = 0$, then we apply the second condition of the generalized Riesz decomposition property.

Let

$$A_n = \oplus_{i=1}^{m(n)} M_{k(n,i)}(C(S^1)),$$

where each summand $M_{k(n,i)}(C(S^1))$ corresponds a summand of $Q(G(V_n))$. Here $m(n)$ is determines by $Q(G(V_n))$. For $k(n,i)$, we let $k(1,i) = 1$ and $k(n,i)$ defined inductively by homomorphisms $\alpha^{n,n+1}$ as follows.

Suppose that there are $m(n+1)$ copies of \mathbf{Z} in $Q(G(V_n))$ and

$$q(e_{n+1}) = (k(n+1,1), k(n+1,2), \dots, k(n+1, m(n+1))),$$

where $q : G(V_n) \rightarrow Q(G(V_n))$ is the quotient map. Since $q(e)$ is an order unit, without loss of generality, by passing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $k(n+1,i)$ is positive for each i . Set

$$A_{n+1} = \oplus_{i=1}^{m(n+1)} M_{k(n+1,i)}(C(S^1)).$$

Note that

$$K_0(A_n) = Q(G(V_n)) \quad \text{and} \quad K_1(A_n) = k(V).$$

We define the partial homomorphism

$$\psi'_{n,n+1} : M_{k(n,i)}(C(S^1)) \rightarrow M_{k(n+1,j)}(C(S^1))$$

as follows. If $\alpha_2^{n,n+1'} = 0$ and $\alpha_3^{n,n+1'} = 0$, define

$$\psi'_{n,n+1}(f) = \text{diag}(f(1), \dots, f(1), 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{k(n+1,j)}(C(S^1))$$

(there are m_0 many $f(1)$). If $\alpha_2^{n,n+1'} = 0$ and $\alpha_3^{n,n+1'} \neq 0$, (in this case, $m_0 > 2^{n+1}$), define

$$\psi'_{n,n+1}(f) = \text{diag}(f(z), f(1), \dots, f(1), 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{k(n+1,j)}(C(S^1))$$

(there are $m_0 - 1$ many $f(1)$).

If $\alpha_2^{n,n+1'}$ maps a generator of i th summand of $k(V_n)$ to m_1 times a generator of j th summand of $k(V_{n+1})$ and $m_1 \neq 0$, then set

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'_{(n,n+1)}(f) \\ = \text{diag}(f(z^{m_1-1}), f(\omega), f(\omega^2), f(\omega^3), \dots, f(\omega^{m_0}), 0, \dots, 0) \in M_{m'}(C(S^1)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/(m_0 - 2))$. The construction of $\psi_{n,n+1}$ ensures that it induces the same map $K_0(A_n) \oplus K_1(A_n) \rightarrow K_0(A_{n+1}) \oplus K_1(A_{n+1})$ as $(\alpha_5^{n,n+1} \oplus \alpha_2^{n,n+1})$. Let

$$A = \varinjlim (A_n, \psi_{n,n+1}).$$

Then A is of real rank zero. This is certainly known. We sketch the proof as follows. Let h be a selfadjoint function in a summand of A_n . We want to show that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are $m > n$ and selfadjoint element h' in A_m with finite spectrum such that $\|\psi'_{n,m}(h) - h'\| < \varepsilon$ for each partial map $\psi'_{n,m}$ from A_n into A . Using an $\varepsilon/16$ -cover, dividing the spectrum into finitely many disjoint pieces, write h as a direct sum of selfadjoint elements and then shift the spectrum, without loss of generality, we may assume that $sp(h)$ is in $[0, \|h\|]$ and it is $\varepsilon/8$ -dense in $[0, \|h\|]$. Take a large $m > n$,

$$\psi'_{n,m}(h) = \text{diag}(h(z^{m_1-1}), h(\omega), h(\omega^2), \dots, g(\omega^{m_0}), 0, \dots, 0).$$

Note that $\{h(\omega), h(\omega^2), \dots, h(\omega^{m_0})\}$ is $\varepsilon/4$ dense in $[0, \|h\|]$, if m is large enough. One then applies (i) of Lemma 8 in [LR] to obtain the assertion.

Let $M_{k(n,i)}(C(S^1))$ correspond to a summand $F_{l(n,i)}$. We will lift $M_{k(n,i)}$ to $M_{k(n,i)}(\mathcal{T}_{l(n,i)})$. Define $E'_n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m(n)} M_{k(n,i)}(\mathcal{T}_{l(n,i)})$. Then $V(E'_n) \oplus V''_n = V_n$ for case (A) and $V_*(E'_n) \oplus V''_{n*} = V_{n*}$ for case (B), where $\alpha^{n,n+1}(V''_n) \in I(V_{n+1})$ in both cases. There are, for each n , a finite direct sum of \mathcal{T} -algebras E''_n such that $V''_n = V(E''_n)$ (for case (A)) or $V''_{n*} = V_*(E''_n)$. Let $E_n = E'_n \oplus E''_n$. We have

$V(E_n) = V_n$, $V_*(E_n) = V_{n*}$ for case (B), $V(I(E_n)) = I(V_n)$, $K_0(Q(E_n)) = G(Q(V_n))$ and $K_1(Q(E_n)) = G(k(V_n))$. Remember that $\alpha^{n,n+1}$ induces $\alpha_5^{n,n+1} \oplus \alpha_2^{n,n+1}$.

We now use the second condition in the generalized Riesz decomposition property to apply 3.1. By 3.1, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_n & \longrightarrow & E_{n+1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Q(E_n) & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n,n+1}} & Q(E_{n+1}) \end{array}.$$

Then the direct limit $E = \varinjlim E_n$ has $V(E) = V$, $[1] = e$, or $V_*(E) = V_*$ for case (B). Note $A_n = Q(E_n)$. We have

$$Q(E) = \varinjlim (A_n, \psi_{n,n+1}).$$

Since A has real rank zero, it follows from 2.3 of [Zh1] and 2.11 of [Zh2] that E has real rank zero. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Every AF-algebra is an \mathcal{T}_1 -algebra. Furthermore, we can choose E_n in the direct limit such that each summand is a matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_1 .*

Proof. Let A be a unital AF-algebra and G be its dimension group. Let $G = \varinjlim (G_n, \eta_n)$, where each G_n is a direct sum of finitely many copies of \mathbf{Z} . Let V_n be the corresponding sum of \mathbf{Z}_+ . Let $V_n^{(i)}$ be a summand ($V_n^{(i)} = \mathbf{Z}_+$). Let $R_n^{(i)} = \mathbf{Z}_+ \sqcup V_n^{(i)}$. Define $x + y = y$, if $x \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $y \neq 0$ and $y \in V_n^{(i)}$. Let $V'_n = \oplus R_n^{(i)}$.

Now define a map $\eta'_n : V'_n \rightarrow V'_{n+1}$ by defining $\eta'_n|_{R_n^{(i)}}(x) = 0$, if $x \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\eta'_n|_{R_n^{(i)}}(y) = \eta_n|_{V_n^{(i)}}(y)$. Then $V = \varinjlim (V'_n, \eta'_n) = \varinjlim (V_n, \eta_n)$. It follows from 3.7 that there is a \mathcal{T}_1 -algebra E such that $V(E) = V$. Since $I(V) = \{0\}$, $I(E) = 0$. Therefore, $E \cong Q(E)$. This implies that $K_0(Q(E)) = G$ as ordered group and $K_1(Q(E)) = \{0\}$. Since $Q(E)$ is an $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra, by Theorem 7.1 of [Ell2], $E \cong Q(E) \cong A$. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Every $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra of real zero is in \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Let $A = \varinjlim (A_n, \rho_{n,n+1})$ be an $A\mathbb{T}$ -algebra, where

$$A_n = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_n} M_{k_j}(C(S^1)).$$

Set

$$E_n = \oplus_{j=1}^{L_n} M_{k_j}(\mathcal{T}_0).$$

Let $\pi_n : E_n \rightarrow A_n$ be the quotient map. There is a unital monomorphism $j_n : A_n \rightarrow E_n$ such that $j_n \circ \pi_n$ is the identity map on A_n . We define $\phi_{n,n+1} = j_{n+1} \circ \rho_{n,n+1} \circ \pi_n$. So $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ is an AT -algebra. Clearly $I(E) = 0$ and $E \cong A$. So E has real rank zero. Therefore $E \in \mathcal{T}$. \square

3.10. Our invariants for \mathcal{T} are $V_*(-)$. However, we would like briefly describe the K -theory of \mathcal{T} . If $E \in \mathcal{T}$, then $K_0(E) = G(V(E))$ and $K_1(E) = G(\ker d)$. The map from $\ker d$ into $G(\ker d)$ is injective. In particular, if $E \in \mathcal{T}_1$, $K_1(E) = 0$. In general, one may write $K_0(E) = G_0 \oplus G_1$, where G_1 can be any countable torsion group that every element in it has finite order. The order of $K_0(E)$ is determined by G_0 , where $G_0/K_0(I(E))$ is any countable abelian unperforated ordered group with the Riesz decomposition property. Note that $I(E)$ is a stable AF -algebra.

4. Uniqueness.

In this section, we will prove a so called uniqueness theorem for homomorphisms from one matrix algebra over \mathcal{T} -algebra to another.

Lemma 4.1 ([Ln5, 2.1]). *Every derivable automorphism on a unital separable C^* -algebra A is approximately inner.*

4.2. Denote the function $f_1((e^{i\theta}, e^{i\gamma})) = e^{i\theta}$ and $f_2((e^{i\theta}, e^{i\gamma})) = e^{i\gamma}$ in $C(S^1 \times S^1)$, respectively. Then $C(S^1 \times S^1)$ is generated by f_1 and f_2 . Let X be a compact subset of $S^1 \times S^1$. Then there is a homomorphism $\phi : C(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow C(X)$. We will also use the notation f_1 and f_2 for $\phi(f_1)$ and $\phi(f_2)$, if there is no confusion. Note that f_1 and f_2 also generate $C(X)$. In the rest of this section, f_1 and f_2 will always mean these functions.

Lemma. *Let X be a compact subset of $S^1 \times S^1$ and let $\tau : C(X) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ be a unital trivial essential extension of $C(X)$ by \mathcal{K} . Suppose that $sp(\tau(f_2)) = F(\subset S^1)$. Then there exists a norm continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t\}$ in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$w_0 = \tau(f_1), \quad [w_t, \tau(f_2)] = 0,$$

and the C^ -subalgebra $C^*(w_1, \tau(f_2))$ is isomorphic to $C(S^1 \times F)$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda \in X$. Then there exists a nonzero projection $q \in B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\tau(f)q = q\tau(f) = f(\lambda)q$$

for all $f \in C(X)$ (see [BDF2]). By taking a subprojection of q if necessary, we may assume that the map $\tau'(f) = \tau(f)(1 - q)$ is still injective. Note

that $f_2(\lambda) = \exp(ia)$ for some nonnegative number $a \leq 2\pi$. Let Q be a projection in $B(H)$ such that $\pi(Q) = q$, where $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ is the quotient map. Then τ' gives a unital trivial essential extension of $C(X)$ by $(1 - Q)\mathcal{K}(1 - Q)$.

By the BDF theory (see [BDF1]), there is an abelian AF-algebra $B \subset (1 - q)(B(H)/\mathcal{K})(1 - q)$ such that $\text{im } \tau' \subset B$. Therefore there is $0 \leq h_1 \leq (2\pi)(1 - q)$ in B such that $\tau'(f_1) = \exp(ih_1)(1 - q)$. Thus $[h_1, \tau'(f_2)] = 0$. Note also that

$$h_1\tau(f_2) = h_1(1 - q)\tau(f_2) = h_1\tau'(f_2) = \tau'(f_2)h_1 = \tau(f_2)(1 - q)h_1 = \tau(f_2)h_1.$$

Let $\tau_0 : C(S^1 \times F) \rightarrow q(B(H)/\mathcal{K})q$ be a unital trivial essential extension of $C(S^1 \times F)$ by $Q\mathcal{K}Q$. Again, there is $0 \leq h_2 \leq (2\pi)q$ in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\exp(ih_2)q = \tau_0(f_1) \quad \text{and} \quad [h_2, \tau_0(f_2)] = 0.$$

Set $h_3 = h_1 + h_2$. Then $0 \leq h_3 \leq 2\pi$ and

$$[h_3, \tau'(f_2) + \tau_0(f_2)] = 0.$$

Note that $sp(\tau'(f_2)) = F$ and $[\tau'(f_2) + \tau_0(f_2)] = [\tau(f_2)] = 0$ in $K_1(B(H)/\mathcal{K})$. There is a unitary $W \in B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$W^*(\tau'(f_2) + \tau_0(f_2))W = \tau(f_2).$$

Set $h_4 = W^*h_3W$. Then $[h_4, \tau(f_2)] = 0$ and the C^* -subalgebra generated by $\exp(ih_4)(= W^*(\tau'(f_1) + \tau_0(f_1))W)$ and $\tau(f_2)$ is isomorphic to $C(S^1 \times F)$. Now define $h'_1 = h_1 + aq$ and

$$w_t = \exp(i(1 - t)h'_1 + th_4) \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Note that

$$w_0 = \exp(ih'_1) = \tau'(f_1) + \exp(ia)q = \tau(f_1) \quad \text{and} \quad w_1 = \exp(ih_4).$$

Since $[\tau(f_2), h'_1] = [\tau(f_2), h_4] = 0$,

$$[w_t, \tau(f_2)] = 0 \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

□

Lemma 4.3. *Let u and v be two commuting unitaries in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ with $[u] = 0$ in $K_1(B(H)/\mathcal{K})$ and $sp(v) = S^1$. Then there is a norm continuous path of unitaries $\{u_t\} \subset B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$u_0 = u, u_1 = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad [u_t, v] = 0$$

for $0 \leq t \leq 1$.

Proof. Let $\phi : C(X) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ be the monomorphism generated by u and v such that $\phi(f_1) = u$ and $\phi(f_2) = v$. We will assume that $sp(u) = S^1$. Otherwise, there is a selfadjoint element $h \in B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that $\exp(ih) = u$ and $[h, v] = 0$. We can take $u_t = \exp(i(1-t)h)$.

We consider two cases.

(1) We assume that $C^*(u, v) = C(S^1 \times S^1)$. Take a unital trivial essential extension $\tau_0 : C(S^1 \times S^1) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}$. Since τ_0 is trivial, as in the proof of Lemma 4.2, there is $0 \leq h_1 \leq 2\pi$ in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that $\tau_0(f_1) = \exp(ih_1)$ and $[h_1, \tau_0(f_2)] = 0$.

Define a unital extension τ_1 of $C(S^1 \times S^1)$ by defining $\tau_1(f_1) = 1 \oplus \tau_0(f_1)$ and $\tau_1(f_2) = v \oplus \tau_0(f_2)$. Let $(\tau_1)_*$ and ϕ_* be the map from $K_1(C(S^1 \times S^1))$ into $K_1(B(H)/\mathcal{K})$ induced by τ_1 and ϕ . It is easy to check that $(\tau_1)_* = \phi_*$. By the BDF theory (see Theorem 6.6 of [BDF2]), there exists a unitary $W \in B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$W^*(\tau_1)W = \phi.$$

In particular

$$W^*\tau_1(f_1)W = u \quad \text{and} \quad W^*\tau_1(f_2)W = v.$$

Therefore, by setting $h'_1 = W^*(1 \oplus h_1)W$,

$$u = \exp(i(h'_1)) \quad \text{and} \quad [h'_1, v] = 0.$$

Now set

$$w_t = \exp(i(1-t)h'_1).$$

This proves the case (1).

(2) General case, $C^*(u, v) = C(X)$, for some compact subset of $S^1 \times S^1$. By the BDF theory, $\phi = \tau_2 \oplus \tau_0$, where τ_2 is unitarily equivalent to ϕ and $\tau_0 : C(X) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ is a unital essential trivial extension. Write

$$\tau_2(f_1) = u', \tau_2(f_2) = v', \tau_0(f_1) = u'' \quad \text{and} \quad \tau_0(f_2) = v''.$$

So $u = u' \oplus u''$ and $v = v' \oplus v''$. Suppose that $sp(\phi(f_2)) = S^1$, then $X \subset S^1 \times S^1$. By Lemma 4.2, there is a norm continuous path of unitaries $\{w_t\}$ in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that

$$w_0 = u'', [w_t, v''] = 0$$

and $C^*(w_1, v'') \cong C(S^1 \times S^1)$. Set $z_t = u' \oplus w_t$. Then

$$z_0 = u, [z_t, v] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad C^*(z_1, v) \cong C(S^1 \times S^1).$$

So we can then apply the case (1). \square

Theorem 4.4. *Let*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow E' \rightarrow C(S^1) \rightarrow 0$$

be a unital essential extension. Suppose that $\alpha : E' \rightarrow E'$ is an automorphism such that $\pi \circ \alpha = \pi$, where $\pi : E' \rightarrow C(S^1)$ is the quotient map. Then α is approximately inner.

Proof. It is well known that there exists a unitary $W \in B(H)$ such that

$$\alpha(a) = W^* a W \quad \text{for all } a \in E'.$$

Let u be the standard generator of $C(S^1)$. Denote the quotient map from $B(H)$ onto $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ by π too. Since $\pi \circ \alpha = \pi$,

$$\|[\pi(W), u]\| = 0.$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3, there is a continuous path of unitaries $\{v_t : t \in [0, 1]\}$ in $B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ such that $v_0 = \pi(W)$, $v_1 = 1$ and $[v_t, u] = 0$. We claim that there are unitaries $W_1, W_2, \dots, W_N \in B(H)$ such that

$$\|W_i - W_{i+1}\| < 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(W_i) = v_{t_i},$$

where $W_0 = W$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ and $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_N = 1$. This claim is shown by applying the following statement repeatedly:

If $Z_1 \in B(H)$ is a unitary, $\pi(Z_1) = z_1$ and $z_2 \in B(H)/\mathcal{K}$ is also a unitary with the property that

$$\|z_1 - z_2\| < 1/4,$$

then there exists a unitary $Z_2 \in B(H)$ such that

$$\|Z_1 - Z_2\| < 8\|z_1 - z_2\| \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(Z_2) = z_2.$$

To prove this, we note that there exist unitary $Z'_2 \in B(H)$ with $\pi(Z'_2) = z_2$ and $a \in \mathcal{K}$ such that

$$\|Z_1 - (Z'_2 + a)\| < 1/4.$$

Set $b = Z_1^*(Z'_2 + a)$. Then

$$\|1 - b\| < 1/4.$$

So b is invertible. Let $Z_2 = Z_1 b(b^*b)^{-1/2}$. Note that $\pi(b) = z_1^* z_2$ and $\pi(b^*b) = 1$. It is then easily checked that Z_2 meets the requirement.

Since $[\pi(W_i), u] = 0$, $\alpha_i(a) = W_i^* a W_i$ for $a \in E'$ defines an automorphism on E' for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$. Then

$$\|\alpha_i - \alpha_{i+1}\| < 1$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Therefore

$$\|\alpha_i \circ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1} - \text{id}\| < 1, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$

By [KR] (see also Theorem 8.7.7 of [Pd]), $\alpha_i \circ \alpha_{i+1}^{-1}$ are derivable automorphisms. We have

$$\alpha = (\alpha \circ \alpha_1^{-1}) \circ \dots \circ (\alpha_{N-1} \circ \alpha_N^{-1}) \circ \alpha_N.$$

Since $\pi(W_N) = 1$, $W_N \in \tilde{\mathcal{K}}$, the unitization of \mathcal{K} . There is a norm continuous path of unitaries in $\tilde{\mathcal{K}}$ which connects W_N with 1. This implies that α_N is contained in the connected component of automorphism group of E' containing the identity automorphism. It follows from [KR] (see also 8.7.8 of [Pd]) that α_N is a product of derivable automorphisms. Therefore α is a product of derivable automorphisms of E' . Since every derivable automorphism is approximately inner (4.2), we conclude that α is approximately inner.

Remark 4.5. It is easy to see that not every automorphism α in 4.4 is inner. Examples can be constructed from our proof of 4.4, using an extension of $C(S^1 \times S^1)$. The following is a classical example which is provided to us by Jingbo Xia. Identify \mathcal{T}_1 with the C^* -algebra generated by Toeplitz operators with continuous symbols. Let $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ be the C^* -algebra generated by all Toeplitz operators with symbols ψ , where ψ are bounded measurable functions on \mathbb{T} . Since $\mathcal{T}_1 \neq L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, there are unitaries $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ which are not in \mathcal{T}_1 . However, it is well known that $ux - xu$ is a compact operator for every $x \in \mathcal{T}_1$. Let $\alpha : \mathcal{T}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_1$ by defining $\alpha(x) = u^* x u$, $x \in \mathcal{T}_1$ for some $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}) \setminus \mathcal{T}_1$. Clearly α is an automorphism on \mathcal{T}_1 with $\pi \circ \alpha = \pi$. It is not inner, since otherwise there would be a unitary $v \in \mathcal{T}_1$ such that $vu^*x = xvu^*$ for each $x \in \mathcal{T}_1$ which would imply that $vu^* = 1$. It would then follow that $u = v \in \mathcal{T}_1$. However, it follows from 4.4 that α is approximate inner.

Remark 4.6. Before we typed the paper, we learned from Mikael Rørdam that he had proved, a couple of weeks earlier that an automorphism on E which induces an identity map on the quotient $C(S^1)$ is approximately inner, which is essentially the same result as our 4.4. His nice proof used the Berg's technique. Our proof presented here is completely different and contains other information which is needed in this paper.

Theorem 4.7. *Let B be a unital C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ such that $1_B = 1$ and $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}(H) \subset B$. Suppose that $\phi_i : M_m(\mathcal{T}_k) \rightarrow B$, $i = 1, 2$ are two unital monomorphisms such that $1 - \phi_i(S_k)\phi_i(S_k)^* \in \mathcal{K}$ and ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 induce the same map from $V(M_m(\mathcal{T}_k))$ into $V(B)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n \in M_m(\mathcal{T}_k)$ which contains the generators $\{S_k, a_{ij}\}$ if $k > 0$ or contains S_0 if $k = 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: If there is a unitary $W \in B$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ such that*

$$\|W^*\phi_1(z_i)W - \phi_2(z_i) + a_i\| < \delta, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

then there is a unitary $U \in B$ such that

$$\|U^*\phi_1(z_i)U - \phi_2(z_i)\| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Furthermore, δ does not depend on B nor depends on monomorphisms ϕ_i as long as they satisfy the above conditions.

Proof. Denote $M_m(\mathcal{T}_k)$ by E . We first assume that $m = 1$. To save the notation, we may also assume that $W = 1$. For the case that $k > 0$, without loss of generality, we may further assume that $z_1 = S_k$ and $\{z_2, \dots, z_n\} = \{a_{ij}\}$ (see 2.1). Let

$$z'_1 = (\phi_2(z_1) - a_1)((\phi_2(z_1) - a_1)^*(\phi_2(z_1) - a_1))^{-1/2}$$

(note that if $\delta < 1/2$, $(\phi_2(z_1) - a_1)^*(\phi_2(z_1) - a_1)$ is invertible). Let $p = 1 - z'_1(z'_1)^*$. Then

$$\|(1 - \phi_1(z_1)\phi_1(z_1)^*) - p\| < 2\delta.$$

If $\delta < 1/4$, there is a unitary $W' \in B$ such that

$$\|W' - 1\| < 4\delta \quad \text{and} \quad (W')^*(1 - \phi_1(z_1)\phi_1(z_1))W' = p.$$

Define $z'_{1+i} = (W')^*\phi_1(z_{1+i})W'$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then $\{z'_1, z'_2, \dots, z'_n\}$ generates a C^* -subalgebra which is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_k (see 2.1) and

$$\|\phi_1(z_i) - z'_i\| < 8\delta.$$

Define $\phi_3 : \mathcal{T}_k \rightarrow B$ by sending z_i to z'_i .

From the assumption, we see that $\phi_i(I(E)) \subset \mathcal{K}(H)$, $i = 2, 3$. We view ϕ_3 and ϕ_2 as two faithful representations of E . The representation ρ of E which maps $I(E)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(H)$ is a faithful irreducible representation of E . From representation theory, this is the only faithful irreducible representation (up to unitary equivalence) of E which maps $I(E)$ onto $\mathcal{K}(H)$.

Suppose that $\phi_2(1 - S_k S_k^*)$ has rank l . Then $\phi_1(1 - S_k S_k^*)$ has rank l too. Therefore, if δ is small enough, $\phi_3(1 - S_k S_k^*)$ has rank l . We are going to use Voiculescu's Theorem, as stated in [Ar] (Theorem 5). Let H_e be the closure of $\phi_2(E) \cap \mathcal{K}(H)(H)$, the essential subspace of ϕ_2 . By standard results in representation theory, $(\phi_2|_{I(E)})|_{H_e}$ is a direct sum of l copies of the faithful irreducible representations of $I(E) \cong \mathcal{K}$. There are l mutually orthogonal rank one projections d_1, d_2, \dots, d_l in $\mathcal{K}(H_e)$ which commutes with every element in $\phi_2(I(E))|_{H_e}$. Since $\phi_2(I(E))|_{H_e}$ is an essential ideal of $\phi_2(E)|_{H_e}$, d_i commutes with every element in $\phi_2(E)|_{H_e}$. Since now $d_i \phi_2(1 - S_k S_k^*)$ has rank one, $d_i \phi_2|_{H_e}$ is irreducible (and also faithful). Thus $\phi_2|_{H_e}$ is unitarily equivalent to l copies of ρ . Since $\phi_3(1 - S_k S_k^*)$ has also rank l , $\phi_3|_{H_e}$ is also unitarily equivalent to l copies of ρ . Therefore the essential parts of ϕ_2 and ϕ_3 are unitarily equivalent. It is trivial that $\ker(\pi \circ \phi_2) = \ker(\pi \circ \phi_3)$. A result of Voiculescu (see Theorem 5 of [Ar]) now implies that, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is unitary $V \in B(H)$ such that

$$\|V^* \phi_2(z_i) V - \phi_3(z_i)\| < \varepsilon/3 \text{ and } V^* \phi_2(z_i) V - \phi_3(z_i) \in \mathcal{K}(H), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

In particular $V^* \phi_2(z_i) V \in B$.

Let E' be the C^* -subalgebra of B generated by $\phi_2(E)$ and $\mathcal{K}(H)$. Then, since $\pi(E') = \pi(E)$, $E' \cong \mathcal{T}_k$. From the construction, $\phi_2(z_i) - \phi_3(z_i) \in \mathcal{K}(H)$. In fact, since $\{z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n\} = \{a_{ij}\}$ are in $\mathcal{K}(H)$, $z'_i \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. So $\phi_2(z_i) - \phi_3(z_i) \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$. We also (note $a_1 \in \mathcal{K}(H)$) have

$$\pi(z'_1) = \pi \circ \phi_2(z_1) \pi(((\phi_2(z_1) - a_1)^*(\phi_2(z_1) - a_1))^{1/2}) = \pi \circ \phi_2(z_1),$$

where $\pi : B(H) \rightarrow B(H)/\mathcal{K}(H)$ is the quotient map. Thus $\phi_2(z_i) - \phi_3(z_i) \in \mathcal{K}(H)$ for all i . Therefore $Ad(V)$ gives an automorphism on E' . Furthermore, $\pi \circ Ad(V) = \pi$ on E' . By 4.5, there is a unitary $U \in E' \subset B$ such that

$$\|U \phi_2(z_i) U^* - V^* \phi_2(z_i) V\| < \varepsilon/3, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \|U^* \phi_1(z_i) U - \phi_2(z_i)\| \\ & \leq \|U^* \phi_1(z_i) U - U^* \phi_3(z_i) U\| + \|U^* \phi_3(z_i) U - U^* V^* \phi_2(z_i) V U\| \\ & \quad + \|U^* V^* \phi_2(z_i) V U - \phi_2(z_i)\| < 8\delta + \varepsilon/3 + \varepsilon/3 < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

if $8\delta < \varepsilon/3$.

We now consider the case that $k = 0$. Although we may not need this in our proof of the classification theorems, it is of interesting on its own. Note

that S_0 is a unitary and there is an approximate identity $\{p_m\}$ for $I(\mathcal{T}_0)$ consisting of projections such that

$$\|p_m S_0 - S_0 p_m\| \rightarrow 0.$$

With an error within $\varepsilon/8$, we may assume that

$$z_i \in p_N I(\mathcal{T}_0) p_N, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n$$

and

$$\|p_N S_0 - S_0 p_N\| < \varepsilon/8$$

for some integer N . There is a unitary $s \in (1 - p_N)\mathcal{T}_0(1 - p_N)$ such that

$$\|s - (1 - p_N)S_0(1 - p_N)\| < \varepsilon/8.$$

So, without loss of generality, we may assume that, in this case, $z_1 = s \in (1 - p_N)\mathcal{T}_0(1 - p_N)$, $z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n \in p_N I(\mathcal{T}_0) p_N$ (we may have to consider n elements in $p_N I(\mathcal{T}_0) p_N$ instead of $(n - 1)$ elements, but we can change notation). Note that $(1 - p_N)\mathcal{T}_0(1 - p_N) \cong \mathcal{T}_0$. Since ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 induce the same map on $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$, $\phi_1(p_N)$ is equivalent to $\phi_2(p_N)$. So there is a unitary $W_1 \in B$ such that

$$W_1^* \phi_1(p_N) W_1 = \phi_2(p_N).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\phi_1(p_N) = \phi_2(p_N)$. The argument used in the case that $k > 0$ shows that there is a unitary $U' \in \phi_1(1 - p_N)B\phi_1(1 - p_N)$ such that

$$\|(U')^* \phi_1(z_1) U' - \phi_2(z_1)\| < \varepsilon/8.$$

Since z_2, z_3, \dots, z_n generate a finite dimensional C^* -subalgebra of $p_N I(\mathcal{T}_k) p_N$ and ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 induce the same map on $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$, there is a unitary $u_1 \in \phi_1(p_N)\mathcal{K}(H)\phi_1(p_N)$ such that

$$u_1^* \phi_1(z_i) u_1 = \phi_2(z_i), \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n.$$

Now set $U = U' + u_1$. Then

$$\|U^* \phi_1(z_i) U - \phi_2(z_i)\| < \varepsilon, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

For the case that $m > 0$, We first find a unitary $Z \in B$ such that $Ad(Z) \circ \phi_1$ agrees with ϕ_2 on $M_m(\mathbf{C})$. So we may assume that $Z = 1$. Note then that $\phi_1(e_{11})\mathcal{K}\phi_1(e_{11}) \cong \mathcal{K}$. We then consider $\phi_1(e_{11})\phi_1(-)\phi_1(e_{11})$, $\phi_2(e_{11})\phi_2(-)\phi_2(e_{11})$ and $\phi_1(e_{11})B\phi_1(e_{11})$. So the case that $m > 1$ is reduced to the case that $m = 1$.

Finally, from the proof, we see that our δ is independent of C^* -algebra B and ϕ_i as long as they satisfy the conditions of the theorem. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Let B be as in 4.7. Suppose that $\phi_i : M_m(C(S^1)) \rightarrow B, i = 1, 2$ are two unital monomorphisms such that $[\phi_1(e_{11})] = [\phi_2(e_{11})]$ in $V(B)$, where $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^m$ is a matrix unit for $M_m(\mathbf{C})$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ satisfying the following: If there is unitary $W \in B$ and $a_0, a_{ij} \in \mathcal{K}$ such that*

$$\|W^*\phi_1(u)W - \phi_2(u) + a_0\| < \delta$$

and

$$\|W^*\phi_1(e_{ij})W - \phi_2(e_{ij}) + a_{ij}\| < \delta$$

then there is unitary $U \in B$ such that

$$\|U^*\phi_1(u)U - \phi_2(u)\| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\|U^*\phi_1(e_{ij})U - \phi_2(e_{ij})\| < \varepsilon,$$

where $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and u is the standard generator of $C(S^1)$.

Proof. Let us first consider the case that $m = 1$. Let D be the C^* -subalgebra of B generated by $\phi_2(u)$ and \mathcal{K} . Then D is isomorphic to \mathcal{T}_0 and $\phi_2(u) - a_0 \in D$. As in the proof of 4.7, one shows that there is a unitary $W \in D$ such that $W\phi_2(u)W^*$ and $\phi_2(u) - a_0$ are close. The result then follows.

For the case $m > 1$, the proof is the same as that of 4.7. It can be reduced to the case that $m = 1$. \square

4.9. The following definition is taken from [EG2].

Definition. Let $A = B \otimes C(S^1)$, where B is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra and let ϵ be a positive number. A finite subset $F \subset A$ is *weakly approximately constant to within ϵ* if for any $t \in S^1$ there exists a unitary $U(t) \in B$ such that $\text{Ad}(U(t))f(t)$ and $f(1)$ is within ϵ for every $f \in F$, i.e.

$$\|\text{Ad}(U(t))f(t) - f(1)\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } f \in F.$$

Let A and B be two (unital) C^* -algebras, let $F \subset A$ be a subset and let $\phi, \psi : A \rightarrow B$ two homomorphisms. We say ϕ and ψ are *approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ε* if there is a unitary $u \in B$ such that

$$\|\text{Ad } u \circ \phi(f) - \psi(f)\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } f \in F.$$

Theorem 4.10. *Let $E_1 = M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$ and $E_2 = M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)$ be two \mathcal{T} -algebras, let π_1 be the quotient map from E_1 to $Q(E_1)$, let $F \subset E_1$ be a finite subset such that $\pi_1(F)$ contains the standard generators of $Q(E_1)$, and let ϕ and $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be two $*$ -homomorphisms which induce the same map on $V_*(E_1)$. For given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ only depending on E_1, F and ϵ such that the followings hold:*

- (1) *If ϕ and ψ are injective and if the two induced maps $Q(\phi)$ and $Q(\psi)$ from $Q(E_1)$ to $Q(E_2)$ are approximately the same to within δ on $\pi_1(F) \subset Q(E_1)$, then ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ .*
- (2) *If ϕ and ψ are not injective but the two maps ϕ_Q and ψ_Q induced by ϕ and ψ from $Q(E_1)$ to E_2 are injective, and if $Q(\phi)$ and $Q(\psi)$ are approximately the same on $\pi_1(F)$ to within δ , then ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ .*

Proof. (1) Since ϕ and ψ induce the same map on $V_*(E_1)$, there exists a unitary $X \in E_2$ such that ϕ and $\text{Ad } X \circ \psi$ agree on $M_n(\mathbf{C}) \subset M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$. So we may assume that they agree on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Denote $\phi(1) = \psi(1) = p$, and denote $B = pE_2p$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$ and F as above. If $Q(\phi)$ and $Q(\psi)$ are approximately the same on $\pi_1(F)$ to within δ , there exist $W = 1$ and a_f to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4.7. It follows that there exists a unitary $U \in B$ such that

$$\|U^*\phi(f)U - \psi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad f \in F.$$

This completes the proof of (1).

(2) Since ϕ and ψ are not injective, they vanish on $I(E_1)$. Now ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are defined. By the assumption, they are injective. Since $\phi = \phi_Q \circ \pi_1$ and $\psi = \psi_Q \circ \pi_1$ and since π_1 induces an onto map from $V(E_1)$ to $V(Q(E_1))$, ϕ_Q and ψ_Q induce the same map on $V(Q(E_1))$.

Since $Q(\phi)$ and $Q(\psi)$ are approximately the same on $\pi_1(F)$ to within δ , ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are approximately unitarily equivalent on $\pi_1(F)$ to within ϵ (Corollary 4.8). Since $\phi_Q \circ \pi_1 = \phi$ and $\psi_Q \circ \pi_1 = \psi$, ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ .

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 4.11. *Let $E_1 = M_n(\mathcal{T}_k)$ and $E_2 = M_m(\mathcal{T}_r)$ be two \mathcal{T} -algebras, let π_1 be the quotient map from E_1 to $Q(E_1)$, let $\epsilon > 0$, let $F \subset E_1$ be a finite subset such that $\pi_1(F)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within*

$\epsilon/2$, and let ϕ and $\psi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ be two $*$ -homomorphisms which induce the same map on $V_*(E_1)$. Then the followings hold:

- (1) If ϕ and ψ are not injective, the images of $\phi_Q(u)$ and $\psi_Q(u)$ are of finite spectra, where ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are the $*$ -homomorphisms from $Q(E_1)$ to E_2 induced by ϕ and ψ , respectively, and where u is the canonical generator of $e_{11}M_n(C(S^1))e_{11}$ ($\{e_{ij}\}$ is the matrix unit for $M_n(\mathbf{C})$), then ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ .
- (2) If $Q(\phi)$ and $Q(\psi)$, the $*$ -homomorphisms from $Q(E_1)$ to $Q(E_2)$ induced by ϕ and ψ , respectively, are zero, then ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ .

Proof. (1) Since ϕ and ψ induce the same map on $V_*(E_1)$, by conjugating a unitary, if necessary, we may assume that ϕ and ψ agree on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. In particular, $\phi(1) = \psi(1) = p \in E_2$. Let $B = pE_2p$. By assumption, $\phi_Q(u) = \sum a_t p_t^{(1)}$ where $a_t \in S^1$ and where $p_t^{(1)}$ are finitely many mutually orthogonal projections in B . Let $p_t^{(i)} = \phi_Q(e_{i1})p_t^{(1)}\phi_Q(e_{1i})$, $p_k = \sum_{i=1}^m p_k^{(i)}$ and $p = \sum p_k = \phi(1) \in B$, where $\{e_{ij}\}$ is the matrix unit of $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. For any $h \in Q(E_1) \cong M_n(C(S^1))$, we have

$$\phi_Q(h) = \sum_k \phi_Q(h(a_k))p_k.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\psi_Q(h) = \sum_j \psi_Q(h(b_j))q_j$$

where $\sum q_j = p$, and where $b_k \in S^1$.

Since $\pi_1(F)$ is weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon/2$, there exist unitaries $X_i, Y_i \in M_n(\mathbf{C})$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_i\pi_1(f)(a_i)X_i^* - X_1\pi_1(f)(a_1)X_1^*\| &< \epsilon/2 \\ \|Y_i\pi_1(f)(b_i)Y_i^* - Y_1\pi_1(f)(a_1)Y_1^*\| &< \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$U = \sum \phi_Q(X_k^* X_1)p_k.$$

Then U is a unitary in B and

$$\|\phi_Q(\pi_1(f)) - U^* \phi_Q(\pi_1(f)(a_1))U\| < \epsilon/2 \quad f \in F.$$

Similarly, we have

$$\|\psi_Q(\pi_1(f)) - V^* \psi_Q(\pi_1(f)(a_1))V\| < \epsilon/2 \quad f \in F,$$

where $V = \sum \psi_Q(Y_j^* Y_1) q_j$ is a unitary in B . Note by the assumption at the beginning of this part of the proof, ϕ_Q agrees with ψ_Q on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. Thus

$$\phi_Q(\pi_1(f)(a_1)) = \psi_Q(\pi_1(f)(a_1)).$$

Hence

$$\|VU^*\phi_Q(\pi_1(f))UV^* - \psi_Q(\pi_1(f))\| < \epsilon \quad f \in F.$$

Notice that $\phi = \phi_Q \circ \pi_1$ and $\psi = \psi_Q \circ \pi_1$, we have

$$\|VU^*\phi(f)UV^* - \psi(f)\| < \epsilon \quad f \in F.$$

(2) Again, as in (1), we may assume that ϕ and ψ agree on $M_n(\mathbf{C})$. We also know that ϕ and ψ factor through $Q(E_1)$. Therefore ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are two maps from $Q(E_1)$ to $I(E_2)$. Denote $p = \phi_Q(1) = \psi_Q(1)$. We have $pI(E_2)p \cong M_l$ where $l = [p] \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. So ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are two unital $*$ -homomorphisms from $Q(E_1)$ to $pI(E_2)p \cong M_l$. We may write

$$\phi_Q(h) = \sum_k \phi_Q(h(a_k))p_k \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_Q(h) = \sum_j \psi_Q(h(b_j))q_j,$$

for $h \in M_n(C(S^1))$, where $a_k, b_j \in S^1$, $\{p_k\}$ and $\{q_j\}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $pI(E_2)p$ and $\sum_k p_k = \sum_j q_j = p$.

Since $\pi_1(F)$ is weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon/2$, the same argument used in the proof of (1) shows that ϕ_Q and ψ_Q are approximately unitarily equivalent on $\pi_1(F)$ to within ϵ . Since $\phi = \phi_Q \circ \pi_1$ and $\psi = \psi_Q \circ \pi_1$, ϕ and ψ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within ϵ . \square

5. The Classification.

The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 5.1. *Let E and E' be two unital C^* -algebras in \mathcal{T} . Suppose that $\eta : V_*(E) \rightarrow V_*(E')$ is an isomorphism such that $\eta([1_E]) = [1_{E'}]$. Then there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that ϕ induces η .*

The theorem is a direct consequence of Lemma 5.4 and Proposition 5.7 below.

We note that if $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ is an isomorphism, then ϕ_* induces an isomorphism from $V_*(E)$ onto $V_*(E')$. Therefore, together with 3.7, we give a complete classification of unital C^* -algebras in \mathcal{T} .

Write $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ and $E' = \varinjlim (E'_n, \psi_{n,n+1})$. One would hope that η induces an intertwining between the two sequences $\{V_*(E_n)\}$ and

$\{V_*(E'_m)\}$ for each n . However, since $V(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is not finitely generated, it may not be possible. To overcome this difficulty, we first impose a condition on the connecting maps $\phi_{n,n+1}$ and $\psi_{n,n+1}$ (see Lemma 5.4 below). We then show that the general case can be reduced to this case.

We will consider unital C^* -algebras only. Therefore, in what follows, we will always assume that all connecting maps in an inductive limit system are unital.

5.2. The following is essentially Theorem 6.2 of [El12].

Theorem. *Let $A = \varinjlim A_n$ be a C^* -algebra of real rank zero where each A_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over $C(S^1)$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$, for any n , and for any finite subset $F \subset A_n$, there exists $m > n$ such that the image of F in A_k for $k \geq m$ is weakly approximately constant to within ϵ .*

5.3. The following is Theorem 2.29 of [EG2]. We only state it for the special case that we will use later.

Theorem. *Let $A = \varinjlim (A_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ be a C^* -algebra of real rank zero where each A_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over $C(S^1)$, let $\epsilon > 0$ and let $F \subset A_n$ be a finite subset of weakly approximately constant to within ϵ . If ϕ and ψ are two $*$ -homomorphisms from A_n to A_{n+1} which induce the same K -theory maps, then there exists $m > n$ such that $\phi_{n,k} \circ \phi$ and $\phi_{n,k} \circ \psi$ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F to within 80ϵ for all $k \geq m$.*

Lemma 5.4. *Let E and E' be two unital C^* -algebras in \mathcal{T} . Suppose that each connecting map in the two inductive limit systems satisfies the following: each partial map of a connecting map from $M_n(\mathcal{T}_0)$ vanishes on the ideal $M_n(\mathcal{K}) \subset M_n(\mathcal{T}_0)$. Suppose that $\eta : V_*(E) \rightarrow V_*(E')$ is an isomorphism such that $\eta([1_E]) = [1_{E'}]$, then there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that ϕ induces η .*

Proof. Write $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ and $E' = \varinjlim (E'_n, \psi_{n,n+1})$ where each E_n or E'_n is a finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. We will construct an approximate intertwining between these two sequences.

But first we intertwine V_* .

After passing to the subsequences and changing the notation, by 2.14, the isomorphism between $V_*(E)$ and $V_*(E')$ gives the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} V_*(E_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \updownarrow \\ V_*(E'_1) & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & V_*(E'). \end{array}$$

This diagram induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbf{K}(E_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{K}(E'_1) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E'_2) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{K}(E') \end{array}$$

(Lemma 2.14). Furthermore, this diagram gives the following commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} K_*(Q(E_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_*(Q(E_2)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_*(Q(E)) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \downarrow \\ K_*(Q(E'_1)) & \longrightarrow & K_*(Q(E'_2)) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & K_*(Q(E')) \end{array}$$

such that each map preserves the order.

By the existence Theorem 3.1, there are unital $*$ -homomorphisms α_k and β_k from E_k to E'_k and from E'_k to E_{k+1} that induce the above diagrams. Notice that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ E'_1 & \longrightarrow & E'_2 & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E' \end{array}$$

is not necessarily commutative. We will turn this diagram into an approximate intertwining diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E \\ \alpha_1 \downarrow \beta_1 \nearrow \alpha_2 \downarrow \beta_2 \nearrow & & & & & & \\ E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m_2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E' \end{array}$$

as follows.

First, some conventions. By the generators of $M_n(C(S^1))$, we mean the set $\{e_{ij}\}$ and the function z , where $\{e_{ij}\}$ is a matrix unit for $M_n(\mathbf{C})$ and $z \in C(S^1)$ which is the identity map on S^1 . Furthermore, we view $z \in e_{11}M_n(C(S^1))e_{11}$.

Let H_1 and H_2 be two finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Let ϕ from H_1 to H_2 be a $*$ -homomorphism. Then ϕ induces uniquely a $*$ -homomorphism from $Q(H_1)$ to $Q(H_2)$. We will denote this map by $Q(\phi)$. We will denote by π_i and π'_i the quotient maps from E_i to $Q(E_i)$ and from E'_i to $Q(E'_i)$, respectively.

Let $\{\epsilon_n\}$ and $\{\epsilon'_n\}$ be two sequences of decreasing positive numbers with finite summations. Let $\Delta_i = \{f_n^{(i)}\} \subset E_i$ and $\Delta'_i = \{f_n^{(i)'}\} \subset E'_i$ be dense for all i . Let $F_1 \subset E_1$ be a finite subset such that F_1 contains $f_1^{(1)}$ and $\pi_1(F_1) \subset Q(E_1)$ contains the generators of $Q(E_1)$. For $\epsilon_1 > 0$, by Theorem

5.2, there exists n_1 such that $\pi_{n_1}(\phi_{1,n_1}(F_1))$ is weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon_1/2$. We will denote $\phi_{1,n_1}(F_1) \subset E_{n_1}$ by F_1 again.

We want to find $m_1 > n_1$ and construct a unital $*$ -homomorphism from E_{n_1} to E'_{m_1} . The map we are going to construct takes the form $\psi_{k(n_1),m_1} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)} \circ \phi_{n,k(n_1)}$, where $k(n_1) > n_1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_1)} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & E'_{k(n_1)} \longrightarrow E'_{m_1}. \end{array}$$

We now specify the choice of $k(n_1)$ and m_1 . Let Γ_1 be a finite subset of E_{n_1} such that Γ_1 contains F_1 and $\pi_{n_1}(\Gamma_1)$ contains the generators of $Q(E_{n_1})$. For E_{n_1}, Γ_1 and $\epsilon_1/2$, there exists δ_1 to satisfy the conclusions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.10. Let $G_1 = \pi_{n_1}(\Gamma_1)$. By Theorem 5.2, there exists $k(n_1) > n_1$ such that $Q(\phi_{n_1,k(n_1)})(G_1)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\delta_1/160$. Recall that $\alpha_{k(n_1)}$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism from $E_{k(n_1)}$ to $E'_{k(n_1)}$. Let $F \subset E_{n_1}$ be a finite subset which contains the images of the first n_1 elements of $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_{(n_1-1)}$ and Δ_{n_1} . We also require that $\pi_{n_1}(F)$ contains the generators of $Q(E_{n_1})$ and the image of F_1 . By Theorem 5.2 again, there exists $m_1 > k(n_1)$ such that $\pi'_{m_1} \circ \psi_{k(n_1),m_1} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)} \circ \phi_{n_1,k(n_1)}(F) \subset Q(E'_{m_1})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon'_1/2$. We will denote $\psi_{k(n_1),m_1} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)} \circ \phi_{n_1,k(n_1)}(F)$ by F'_1 . We have a map $\psi_{k(n_1),m_1} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)} \circ \phi_{n_1,k(n_1)}$ from E_{n_1} to E'_{m_1} .

Next, we will construct a map from E'_{m_1} to E_{n_2} for some $n_2 > m_1$. The map will take the following form:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E_{k(m_1)+1} & \longrightarrow & E_l & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} \\ & \nearrow & & & & & \\ E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{k(m_1)} & & & & \end{array}$$

where $l > k(m_1) + 1$. Let Γ'_1 be a finite subset of E'_{m_1} such that Γ'_1 contains F'_1 and $\pi'_{m_1}(\Gamma'_1)$ contains the generators of $Q(E'_{m_1})$. For E'_{m_1}, Γ'_1 and $\epsilon'_1/2$, there exists δ'_1 to satisfy the conclusions (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.10. Let $k(m_1) > m_1$ so that $Q(\psi_{m_1,k(m_1)})(G'_1)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\delta'_1/160$. Here $G'_1 = \pi'_{m_1}(\Gamma'_1)$. Recall that there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism $\beta_{k(m_1)}$ from $E'_{k(m_1)}$ to $E'_{k(m_1)+1}$. By Theorem 5.3, there exists $l > k(m_1)$ such that $Q(\phi_{k(m_1),l})$ and $Q(\phi_{k(m_1)+1,l} \circ \beta_{k(m_1)} \circ \psi_{k(n_1),k(m_1)} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)})$ are approximately unitarily equivalent on $Q(\phi_{n_1,k(n_1)})(G_1)$ to within $\delta_1/2$.

We are now in the following situation. There is a not necessarily commutative diagram:

$$(\star) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_1)} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(m_1)+1} & \longrightarrow & E_l \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{k(m_1)} & & \end{array}$$

where the down map is $\psi_{k(n_1),m_1} \circ \alpha_{k(n_1)} \circ \phi_{n_1,k(n_1)}$ and the up map is $\beta_{k(m_1)}$. Here $\pi_{n_1}(F_1) \subset Q(E_{n_1})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon_1/2$, $\pi'_{m_1}(F'_1) \subset Q(E'_{m_1})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon'_1/2$ and, by 5.3, the following diagram is approximately commutative, up to an inner automorphism on $Q(E_l)$, on G_1 to within $\delta_1/2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} Q(E_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_{k(n_1)}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_{k(m_1)+1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_l) \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \\ & & Q(E'_{m_1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E'_{k(m_1)}) & & \end{array}$$

Denote by $\beta'_{k(m_1)}$ be the composition of a suitable inner automorphism $\text{Ad}(u)$ on $Q(E_l)$ with the composition of the map from $Q(E'_{k(m_1)})$ to $Q(E_{k(m_1)+1})$ with the map from $Q(E_{k(m_1)+1})$ to $Q(E_l)$ in the above diagram. We choose the inner automorphism so that the following diagram approximately commutative on G_1 within $\delta_1/2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Q(E_{n_1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_{k(n_1)}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_l) \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \beta'_{k(m_1)} \\ & & Q(E'_{m_1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E'_{k(m_1)}) \end{array}$$

If Φ is the map from $E'_{k(m_1)}$ to E_l obtained from the diagram marked with (\star) in this proof, then

$$\text{Ad}(u) \circ Q(\Phi) = \beta'_{k(m_1)}.$$

By the existence theorem (3.1), there is a map $\Psi : E'_{k(m_1)} \rightarrow E_l$ such that $Q(\Psi) = \beta'_{k(m_1)}$ and Ψ and Φ induces the same map on $V_*(E'_{k(m_1)})$. (The use of Ψ is to avoid to lift u .)

We now denote by α the composition of the maps from E_{n_1} to $E_{k(n_1)}$, from $E_{k(n_1)}$ to E'_{m_1} , from E'_{m_1} to $E'_{k(m_1)}$ and Ψ .

We want to show that there exists $n'_2 > l$ such that ϕ_{n_1,n'_2} and $\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \alpha$ are approximately unitarily equivalent on F_1 to within ϵ_1 . Note that $\phi_{n_1,l}$ and α induce the same map on $V_*(E_{n_1})$ and $\pi_{n_1}(F_1)$ is weakly approximately constant within $\epsilon_1/2$.

By conjugating a unitary, we may assume that $\phi_{n_1,l}$ and α agree on the central projections of E_{n_1} .

Let $H (= M_k(\mathcal{T}_r))$ be a summand of E_{n_1} . Then $\phi_{n_1,l}(1_H) = \alpha(1_H)$, by the above assumption, where 1_H is the central projection corresponding to the summand H . We also have that $\phi_{n_1,l}|_H$ and $\alpha|_H$ induce the same map on $V_*(H)$. Suppose that H' is a summand of E_l and P' is the corresponding central projection of E_l . Then $P'\phi_{n_1}|_H$ and $P'\alpha|_H$ induce the same map on $V_*(H)$.

Let $\gamma_1 = P'\phi_{n_1}|_H$ and $\gamma_2 = P'\alpha|_H$ be a pair of partial maps of $\phi_{n_1,l}$ and α , respectively, from H to a summand of E_l . Since γ_1 and γ_2 induce the same

map on $V(I(E_{n_1}))$, γ_1 and γ_2 will be both injective or both non-injective. In the case of non-injective, they both factor through $Q(H)$ via γ_{1Q} and $\gamma_{2Q} : Q(H) \rightarrow E_l$, respectively. Again, since γ_1 and γ_2 induce the same map on $V_*(E_{n_1})$, γ_{1Q} and γ_{2Q} both induce trivial K_1 -map or both induce non-trivial K_1 -map. (Notice that the K_1 -maps are always trivial when the second summand comes from a non-trivial extension. In the case that the second summand does come from a trivial extension, π_l induces an isomorphism on the K_1 -groups.) Finally, it is clear that $\pi_l \circ \gamma_{1Q}$ and $\pi_l \circ \gamma_{2Q}$ are both zero or both are non-zero.

We consider four cases.

Case 1: γ_1 and γ_2 are injective.

Since G_1 contains the generators of $Q(E_{n_1})$ and $\pi_{n_1}(F_1)$, it follows from (1) of the Theorem 4.10 that there exists a unitary $U_\gamma \in \gamma_1(1_H)E_l\gamma_1(1_H)$ such that γ_1 and $AdU_\gamma \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on F_11_H . For any $n'_2 > l$, define $W_\gamma = \phi_{l,n'_2}(U_\gamma)$. Then $\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1$ and $AdW_\gamma \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on F_11_H .

Case 2: γ_1 and γ_2 are not injective and γ_{1Q} and γ_{2Q} induce non-trivial K_1 -map.

First, γ_{1Q} and γ_{2Q} must be injective. (Here we note that if γ is a noninjective homomorphism from H to a C^* -algebra A , γ factor through $\gamma_Q : Q(H) \rightarrow A$. So γ_{iQ} are two homomorphisms from $M_k(C(S^1))$ to E_l , if $H = M_k(\mathcal{T}_r)$, $i = 1, 2$.) Apply (2) of Theorem 4.10 and the above argument, we conclude that, for any $n'_2 > l$ there exists a unitary $W_\gamma \in \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1(1_H)E_{n'_2}\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1(1_H)$ such that $\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1$ and $AdW_\gamma \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on F_11_H .

Case 3: γ_1 and γ_2 are not injective, and γ_{1Q} and γ_{2Q} induce trivial K_1 -map.

We claim that there are an integer $n_2(\gamma) > l$ and two homomorphisms ψ_1 and ψ_2 from $Q(E_{n_1})$ into $Q(E_{n_2(\gamma)})$ with finite dimensional ranges such that

$$\|\psi_1(f) - [\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)} \circ \gamma_1]_Q(f)\| < \epsilon_1/4 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\psi_2(f) - [\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)} \circ \gamma_2]_Q(f)\| < \epsilon_1/4$$

for every $f \in \pi_{n_1}(F_1)1_H$. We note that since γ_i is not injective, $\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)} \circ \gamma_i$ factors through $[\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)} \circ \gamma_i]_Q : Q(H) \rightarrow E_l$.

Now we prove the claim. We let $\{e_{ij}\}$ and z be the generators of $Q(H)$. Note that the C^* -algebra $(\phi_{l,\infty})_Q(e_{11})Q(E)(\phi_{l,\infty})_Q(e_{11})$ has real rank zero ([BP]). Since γ_{iQ} induces trivial map on $K_1(H)$, for any $\eta > 0$, by [Ln1], $[\phi_{l,\infty} \circ \gamma_i]_Q(z)$ is approximated by a unitary with finite spectrum, say $w_i \in (\phi_{l,\infty})_Q(e_{11})E(\phi_{l,\infty})_Q(e_{11})$, within $\eta/2$. Suppose that

$$w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \lambda_j^{(i)} p_j^{(i)},$$

where $\{\lambda_j^{(i)}\}_{j=1}^{m_i} \in S^1$ and $\{p_j^{(i)}\}$ are mutually orthogonal projections (with sum $(\phi_{l\infty})_Q(e_{11})$) in $(\phi_{l\infty})_Q(e_{11})E(\phi_{l\infty})_Q(e_{11})$, $i = 1, 2$. By A8 in [Eff], we may assume, with additional error of $\eta/2$,

$$w_i \in [\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)}]_Q(e_{11})E_{n_2(\gamma)}[\phi_{l,n_2(\gamma)}]_Q(e_{11})$$

for some $n_2(\gamma) > l$.

Define the homomorphism $\psi_i : Q(H) \rightarrow E_{n_2(H)}$ by sending e_{kj} to $\phi_{n_1,n_2(\gamma)}(e_{kj})$ and z to w_i , $i = 1, 2$. By choosing a sufficient small η , we can have inequalities in the claim.

Let $\{P_j\}$ be the (finite) set of central projections of $E_{n_2(\gamma)}$. By applying (1) of Theorem 4.11 to each $P_j\psi_i$, we obtain a unitary $U_\gamma \in \psi_1(1_H)E_{n_2(\gamma)}\psi_1(1_H)$ such that ψ_1 and $\text{Ad } U_\gamma \circ \psi_2$ are within $\epsilon_1/2$ on $\pi_{n_1}(F_1 1_H)$. For $f \in F_1 1_H$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\gamma_1(f) - \text{Ad } U_\gamma \circ \gamma_2(f)\| \\ &= \|\gamma_1(f) - \psi_1(f) + \psi_1(f) - \text{Ad } U_\gamma \gamma_2(f) - \text{Ad } U_\gamma \circ \psi_2(f) + \text{Ad } U_\gamma \circ \psi_2(f)\| \\ &< \epsilon/4 + \epsilon_1/2 + \epsilon_1/4 = \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $n'_2 \geq n_2(\gamma) > l$, let $W_\gamma = \phi_{n_2(\gamma),n'_2}(U_\gamma)$, we have $\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1$ and $\text{Ad } W_\gamma \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on $F_1 1_H$.

Case 4: $\pi_l \circ \gamma_{1Q}$ and $\pi_l \circ \gamma_{2Q}$ are trivial.

One applies (2) of Theorem 4.11. There exists a unitary $U_H \in \gamma_{1Q}(1_H)E_l\gamma_{1Q}(1_H)$ so that γ_1 and $\text{Ad } U_H \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on $F_1 1_H$. For any $n'_2 > l$, let $W_\gamma = \phi_{l,n'_2}(U_\gamma)$. Then $\phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_1$ and $\text{Ad } W_H \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \gamma_2$ are within ϵ_1 on $F_1 1_H$.

Now let $n'_2 = \max\{n_2(\gamma), l + 1\}$, where maximum is taking over all summands H of E_{n_1} and central projections P' of E_l . Define

$$W = \sum W_\gamma,$$

where the sum is taking over all of summands H of E_{n_1} and the central projections P' of E_l . W is a unitary in $E_{n'_2}$. From above, a direct and simple computation shows that ϕ_{n_1,n'_2} and $\text{Ad } W \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \alpha$ are within ϵ_1 on F_1 . We denote $\text{Ad } W \circ \phi_{l,n'_2} \circ \alpha$ by σ_1 .

Let $F_2 \subset E_{n'_2}$ be a finite subset consisting of the images of the first m_1 elements of $\Delta_{(i)}, \Delta_{(j)'}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n'_2$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, m'_1$ along all possible routes. We also require that $\pi_{n'_2}(F_2)$ contains the images of the generators of $Q(E'_{m_1})$. By Theorem 5.2, there exists $n_2 > n'_2$ such that $\pi_{n_2}(\phi_{n'_2,n_2}(F_2))$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon_2/2$. We will denote $\phi_{n'_2,n_2}(F_2) \subset E_{n_2}$ by F_2 again. We remark that F_2 contains the images of F_1 and F'_1 along all possible routes.

In summary, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_1)} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ & & E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{k(m_1)} \end{array}$$

- (1) approximately commutes on F_1 to within ϵ_1 ,
- (2) $\pi'_{m_1}(F'_1) \subset Q(E'_{m_1})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon'_1/2$,
- (3) $Q(\psi_{m_1, k(m_1)})(G'_1)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\delta'_1/160$, and
- (4) $\pi_{n_2}(F_2) \subset Q(E_{n_2})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon_2/2$.

Notice that the above diagram can be written as:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ E'_{m_1} & & \end{array}$$

Next, we are going to repeat the above process. We want to find $m_2 > m_1$ and a map from E_{n_2} to E'_{m_2} so that the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n_2} & & \\ \uparrow & \searrow & \\ E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m_2} \end{array}$$

commutes on F'_1 to within ϵ'_1 . The map will take the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_2)} \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & E'_{k(n_2)} \longrightarrow E'_{m_2} \end{array}$$

for some $k(n_2) > n_2$. Let $\Gamma_2 \subset E_{n_2}$ be a finite subset such that Γ_2 contains F_2 and $\pi_{n_2}(\Gamma_2)$ contains the generators of $Q(E_{n_2})$. Denote $\pi_{n_2}(\Gamma_2)$ by G_2 . For fixed E_{n_2}, Γ_2 and $\epsilon_2/2$, there exists δ_2 as in Theorem 4.10. Let $k(n_2) > n_2$ so that $Q(\phi_{n_2, k(n_2)})(G_2)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\delta_2/160$. Recall that $\alpha_{k(n_2)}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism from $E_{k(n_2)}$ to $E'_{k(n_2)}$. Now $Q(\psi_{m_1, k(n_2)})$ and $Q(\alpha_{k(n_2)} \circ \phi_{n_2, k(n_2)} \circ \eta'_1 \circ \psi_{m_1, k(m_1)})$ induce the same K-theory map. So, by 5.2, there exists $l > k(n_2)$ such that, up to an inner automorphism in $Q(E'_l)$, the diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & Q(E_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E_{k(n_2)}) & & \\ & & \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \\ Q(E'_{m_1}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E'_{k(m_1)}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E'_{k(n_2)}) & \longrightarrow & Q(E'_l) \end{array}$$

commutes on G'_1 to within $\delta'_1/2$. This is because that the image of $G'_1 \subset Q(E'_{k(m_1)})$ is already of approximately constant to within $\delta'_1/160$.

Denote by $\alpha'_{k(n_2)}$ the composition of a suitable inner automorphism $\text{Ad}(u')$ on $Q(E'_l)$ with the composition of the map from $Q(E_{k(n_2)})$ to $Q(E_{k(n_2)})$ with the map from $Q(E_{k(n_2)})$ to $Q(E_l)$ in the above diagram. We choose the inner automorphism so that the following diagram approximately commutative on G_1 within $\delta'_1/2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q(E_{n_2}) & \longrightarrow Q(E_{k(n_2)}) \\ & \uparrow & \downarrow \alpha'_{k(n_2)} \\ Q(E'_{m_1}) & \longrightarrow Q(E'_{k(m_1)}) & Q(E'_{k(n_2)}) \longrightarrow Q(E'_l). \end{array}$$

If Φ' is the map from $E_{k(n_2)}$ to E'_l obtained from the above diagram, then

$$\text{Ad}(u') \circ Q(\Phi') = \alpha'_{k(n_2)}.$$

By the existence Theorem (3.1), there is a map $\Psi' : E_{k(n_2)} \rightarrow E'_l$ such that $Q(\Psi') = \alpha'_{k(n_2)}$ and Ψ' and Φ' induces the same map on $V_*(E'_{k(n_2)})$.

By repeating the above argument, applying 4.10 and 4.11, we obtain an integer $m'_2 > l$ and a homomorphism from $E_{k(n_2)}$ into $E'_{m'_2}$ such that the following diagram is approximately commutative to within ϵ' on F'_1 :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_2)} \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ E'_{k(m_1)} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m'_2}. \end{array}$$

Let $F'_2 \subset E_{m'_2}$ consist of the images of F_1 , F'_1 , F_2 and the images of the first n_2 elements of $\Delta_{(1)}, \dots, \Delta_{(n_2)}$ and $\Delta_{(1)'}, \dots, \Delta_{(m_1)}'$ from all possible routes. We also require that $\pi_{m'_2}(F')$ contains the generators of $Q(E_{m'_2})$. Let $m_2 > m'_2$ such that $\pi'_{m_2} \circ \psi_{m'_2, m_2}(F')$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon'_2/2$. We will denote $\psi_{m'_2, m_2}(F')$ by F'_2 again. Hence we have a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_1)} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_2)} \\ & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ & & E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{k(m_1)} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m_2} \end{array}$$

such that

- (1) the first square commutes on F_1 to within ϵ_1 ,
- (2) the second square commutes on F'_1 to within ϵ'_1 ,
- (3) $Q(\phi_{n_2, k(n_2)})(G_2)$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\delta_2/160$,
- (4) $\pi_{n_2}(F_2) \subset Q(E_{n_2})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon_2/2$,
- (5) $\pi'_{m_2}(F'_2) \subset Q(E'_{m_2})$ is of weakly approximately constant to within $\epsilon'_2/2$.

Notice that if we combine these together and compress some of the algebras, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & E_{k(n_2)} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m_2} & = & E'_{m_2}. \end{array}$$

The first triangle is commutative on F_1 to within ϵ_1 and the second is commutative on F'_1 to within ϵ'_1 .

By repeating the above process, we get two subsequences $\{E_{n_k}\}$ and $\{E'_{m_k}\}$, two subsequences of finite sets $\{F_k \subset E_{n_k}\}$ and $\{F'_k \subset E'_{m_k}\}$ and the following not necessarily commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_{n_1} & \longrightarrow & E_{n_2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & & & \\ E'_{m_1} & \longrightarrow & E'_{m_2} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & E'. \end{array}$$

Here the up triangle in the k th square is commutative on F_k to within ϵ_k and the lower triangle is commutative on F'_k to within ϵ'_k . The images of the sets $\{F_k\}$ and $\{F'_k\}$ in E and E' are dense since they contain the images of all $\Delta_{(k)}$ and $\Delta'_{(i)}$. This approximately intertwining satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.2 [E12]. Hence it induces a $*$ -isomorphism from E to E' . Clearly, ϕ induces η . \square

5.5. For \mathcal{T}_1 -algebra, there is no trivial extension appears in the inductive limit systems $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$. By Corollary 2.11, a homomorphism from $V(E)$ to $V(E')$ extends uniquely to a homomorphism from $V_*(E)$ to $V_*(E')$. As a consequence of Lemma 5.4, we have the following:

Theorem. *Let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_{n,n+1})$ and $E' = \varinjlim (E'_n, \psi_{n,n+1})$ be two \mathcal{T}_1 -algebras. Suppose that $\eta : V(E) \rightarrow V(E')$ is an isomorphism such that $\eta([1_E]) = [1_{E'}]$. Then there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\phi : E \rightarrow E'$ such that ϕ induces η .*

5.6. Next, we will show that any AT -algebra can be written as an inductive limit of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras with the additional condition on the connecting maps. Namely, it satisfies the requirement imposed in Lemma 5.4.

Lemma. *For any subsequence $\{n_k\}$ of \mathbf{N} , there exists an increasing sequence $B_k \subset \mathcal{T}_0$ such that $\cup_k^\infty B_k$ is dense in \mathcal{T}_0 , where $B_k \cong M_{n_k} \oplus C^*(U_k)$, and where $U_k \in \mathcal{T}_0$ is a normal partial isometry with its essential spectrum of the whole circle S^1 .*

Proof. (Note that $\cup_{k=1}^\infty M_n(B_k)$ is dense in $M_n(\mathcal{T}_0)$.)

Proof. Fix a separable (infinite dimensional) Hilbert space H . Let $\{p_i\}$ be rank one projections in $B(H)$ with $\sum p_i = 1$. Then \mathcal{T}_0 is generated by \mathcal{K} , the compact operators, and a unitary

$$U = \sum \lambda_i p_i$$

where $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=k}^\infty$ is dense in S^1 for each k .

Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be a matrix unit for \mathcal{K} . Let M_{n_k} be the algebra generated by $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j \leq n_k}$. Denote $U_k = \sum_{i > n_k} \lambda_i p_i$. Then M_{n_k} is orthogonal to $C^*(U_k)$ and

$$B_k = M_{n_k} \oplus C^*(U_k) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_0.$$

We now show that $B_k \subset B_{k+1}$. Write

$$U_k = \sum_{n_k < i \leq n_{k+1}} \lambda_i p_i + U_{k+1}.$$

It is clear that U_k is then an element of B_{k+1} . $M_{n_k} \subset M_{n_{k+1}}$. So $B_k \hookrightarrow B_{k+1}$.

To show that $\mathcal{T}_0 = \varinjlim B_k$, we notice that $U \in B_k$ for all k and $\{v_{ij}\} \subset \bigcup B_k$. \square

Proposition 5.7. *Let $E = \varinjlim (E_n, \phi_n)$ be an inductive limit C^* -algebra where each E_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Then E is isomorphic to $E' = \varinjlim E'_n$ where each E'_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras and where each connecting map from E'_n to E'_{n+1} satisfies the following: If $M_k(\mathcal{T}_0)$ is a summand of E'_n , then the connecting map restricted to this block vanishes on $M_k(\mathcal{K})$.*

Proof. We construct $E' = \varinjlim E'_n$ in several steps.

Step 1. We first replace those blocks that are isomorphic to matrix algebras over \mathcal{T}_0 by direct sums of matrix algebras and matrix algebras over $C(S^1)$.

Take $n_k = k$ in Lemma 5.6. Let $B_k = M_k \oplus C^*(U_k)$. Then $\mathcal{T}_0 = \varinjlim B_k$.

For each E_n we can write $E_n = E_n^{(1)} \oplus E_n^{(2)}$ where $E_n^{(2)} = L_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$, L_n is a finite dimensional C^* -algebra and there is no summand in $E_n^{(1)}$ which is a matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_0 . Clearly,

$$E_n = E_n^{(1)} \oplus \varinjlim L_n \otimes B_k.$$

Let $f^{(n)} = \{f_k^{(n)}\}$ be dense in E_n and let $\epsilon_n = 1/2^n$. Let $G_1 = \{f_1^{(1)}\} \subset E_1$. There exists n_1 such that $\text{dist}(f_1^{(1)}, E^{(1)} \oplus L_1 \otimes B_{n_1}) < \epsilon_1$. Fix $E_1^0 = E_1^{(1)} \oplus B_{n_1}$. Let $h^{(1)} = \{h_i^{(1)}\}$ be dense in E_1^0 . Let H_1 contain $h_1^{(1)}$ and the generators of E_1^0 . H_1 is finite.

Denote by ϕ_1 the connecting map from E_1 to E_2 . Let p be the projection in E_2 corresponding to the summand $E_2^{(2)}$. By 2.4 (f), $\phi_1 \cdot p$ vanishes on $I(E_1^{(1)})$. Thus $\phi_1 \cdot p|_{E_1^{(1)} \oplus L_1 \otimes B_{n_1}}$ factors through $Q(E_1^{(1)}) \oplus L_1 \otimes B_{n_1}$, via, say $\bar{\psi}'_1$. Denote by q_1 the quotient map from E_1^0 to $Q(E_1^{(1)}) \oplus L_1 \otimes B_{n_1}$. By Lemma 4.2 [Eil2], there exists $n_2 > n_1$ and there exists a unital $*$ -homomorphism ψ'_1 from $Q(E_1^{(1)}) \oplus L_1 \otimes B_{n_1}$ to $E_2^0 = E_2^{(1)} \oplus L_2 \otimes B_{n_2}$ such that $\bar{\psi}'_1$ and ψ'_1 are within ϵ_1 on $q_1(H_1)$. Let $\psi_1 = \psi_1 \cdot (1 - p) \oplus \psi'_1 \circ q_1$, then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi_1} & E_2 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E_1^0 & \xrightarrow{\psi_1} & E_2^0 \end{array}$$

commutes on H_1 to within ϵ_1 . We may take n_2 large so that $E_2^{(1)} \oplus L_2 \otimes B_{n_2}$ contains $G_2 = \{\phi_1(G_1), \phi_1(f_2^{(1)}), f_1^{(2)}, f_2^{(2)}\}$ to within ϵ_2 .

Fix $E_2^0 = E_2^{(1)} \oplus B_{n_2}$. Let $h^{(2)} = \{h_i^{(2)}\}$ be dense in E_2^0 . Let H_2 contains

$$\{\psi_1(H_1), \psi_1(h_2^{(2)}), h_1^{(2)}, h_2^{(2)}\}$$

together with the generators of $q_2(E_2^{(1)}) \oplus B_{n_2}$ where q_2 is the quotient map from $E_2^{(1)}$ to $Q(E_2^{(1)})$. As above, there exists $n_3 > n_2$ and there exists a unital $*$ -homomorphism ψ_2 from E_2^0 to $E_3^0 = E_3^{(1)} \oplus B_{n_3}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_3 \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ E_2^0 & \longrightarrow & E_3^0 \end{array}$$

commutes on H_2 to within ϵ_2 and $\text{dist}(g, E_3^0) < \epsilon_3$ for every $g \in G_3$, where G_3 contains $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1(G_1), \phi_2(G_2), \phi_2 \circ \phi_1\{f_2^{(1)}, f_3^{(1)}\}, \phi_2\{f_2^{(2)}, f_3^{(2)}\}, f_1^{(3)}, f_2^{(3)}, f_3^{(3)}$ to within ϵ_3 .

Continuing this way, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} E_1 & \longrightarrow & E_2 & \longrightarrow & E_3 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow E \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ E_1^0 & \longrightarrow & E_2^0 & \longrightarrow & E_3^0 & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow E^0. \end{array}$$

The above is an one-sided approximately intertwining in the sense of 2.3 [Eil2]. Hence it induces a unital $*$ -homomorphism ψ from E^0 to E . Furthermore, ψ is surjective. This follows from the fact that the image of E^0 contains a dense set of E . To see that ψ is injective, we note that each vertical map in the above diagram is injective. Hence, the induced map is injective.

Step 2. Replace these finite dimensional summands in each E_n^0 by matrix algebras over circle algebras.

Write $E_n^0 = D_n \oplus L_n$ where L_n is finite dimensional and where D_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T}_k ($k > 0$) or $C(S^1)$. Let $j_n: L_n \hookrightarrow L_n \otimes C(S^1)$ be the canonical embedding and let $d_n: L_n \otimes C(S^1) \rightarrow L_n$ be evaluation at 1. Notice $d_n \circ j_n = 1$. Denote by $\psi_n: E_n^0 \rightarrow E_{n+1}^0$. Then $\beta_n = j_{n+1} \circ \psi_n \circ d_n$ is a unital $*$ -homomorphism from $D_n \oplus L_n \otimes C(S^1)$ to $D_{n+1} \oplus L_{n+1} \otimes C(S^1)$. Trivially, we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} D_n \oplus L_n & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & D_{n+1} \oplus L_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}} & D_{n+2} \oplus L_{n+2} \\ \uparrow d_n & & j_{n+1} \downarrow & & d_{n+2} \uparrow \\ D_n \oplus L_n \otimes C(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_n} & D_{n+1} \oplus L_{n+1} \otimes C(S^1) & \xrightarrow{\beta_{n+1}} & D_{n+2} \oplus L_{n+2} \otimes C(S^1) \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence

$$\lim_{\rightarrow} (D_n \oplus L_n \otimes C(S^1), \beta_n) = E.$$

Now E is an inductive limit of finite direct sums of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T}_k ($k > 0$) or $C(S^1)$. We write $D_n \oplus L_n \otimes C(S^1) = R_n \oplus K_n$ where $K_n = L'_n \otimes C(S^1)$ with L'_n finite dimensional and where R_n is a finite direct sum of matrix algebra over \mathcal{T}_k ($k > 0$).

Step 3. To replace K_n by $L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$ with desired condition on the connecting maps.

Let $E'_n = R_n \oplus L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$. Then we have trivial extension

$$0 \longrightarrow L'_n \otimes \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0 \longrightarrow K_n \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\pi_n: L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0 \longrightarrow K_n$ be the quotient map and let $i_n: K_n \longrightarrow L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$ be the splitting such that $\pi_n \circ i_n = 1$. Set $\pi'_n = id_{R_n} \oplus \pi_n$ and $i'_n = id_{R_n} \oplus i_n$. Then

$$E = \lim_{\rightarrow} (R_n \oplus L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0, i'_{n+1} \circ \beta_n \circ \pi'_n).$$

Now $E'_n = R_n \oplus L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0$ is a finite direct sum of matrix algebras over \mathcal{T} -algebras. Furthermore, $\alpha_n = i'_{n+1} \circ \beta_n \circ \pi'_n$ vanishes on $I(L'_n \otimes \mathcal{T}_0)$. \square

Remark 5.8. We would like to point out that a C^* -algebra $E \in \mathcal{T}$ is an AT-algebra if and only if the third variable in $V_*(E)$ is zero, i.e., $d([u]) = 0$ for every $[u] \in k(E)_+$. Write $0 \rightarrow I(E) \rightarrow E \rightarrow Q(E) \rightarrow 0$. Then the above statement follows immediately from Theorem 5 in [LR], since the map δ_0 there will be zero, if $d = 0$, and $\delta_1 = 0$ since E has real rank zero. Therefore E is an AF-algebra if and only if $k(E)_+ = \{0\}$, since $k(E)_+ = \{0\}$ implies that $d = 0$ and $K_1(E) = \{0\}$.

References

[Ar] W. Arveson, *Notes on extensions of C^* -algebras*, Duke J. Math., **44** (1977), 329-355.

- [BBEK] B. Blackadar, O. Bratteli, G.A. Elliott and A. Kumjian, *Reduction of real rank in inductive limits of C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann., **292** (1992), 240-247.
- [BEEK] O. Bratteli, G.A. Elliott, D.E. Evans and A. Kishimoto, *On classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero, III: The infinite case*, in preparation.
- [Bn] L.G. Brown, *The universal coefficient theorem for Ext and quasidiagonality*, Proc. International Conf. on Operator Algebras and Group Representations, Neptun, Romania, 1980.
- [BD] L.G. Brown and M. Dadarlat, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and quasidiagonality*, preprint.
- [BDF1] L.G. Brown, R. Douglas and P. Fillmore, *Unitary equivalence modulo the compact operators and extensions of C^* -algebras*, Proc. Conf. on Operator Theory, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., **345** (1973), 56-128.
- [BDF2] ———, *Extensions of C^* -algebras and K-homology*, Ann. of Math., **105** (1977), 265-324.
- [BP] L.G. Brown and G.K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Funct. Anal., **99** (1991), 131-149.
- [C] J. Cuntz, *K-theory for certain C^* -algebras*, Ann. Math., **113** (1981), 181-197.
- [D1] M. Dadarlat, *Reduction to dimension three of local spectra of real rank zero C^* -algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **460** (1995), 189-212.
- [D2] ———, *Approximately unitarily equivalent morphisms and inductive limit C^* -algebras*, K-Theory, **9** (1995), 117-137.
- [DL1] M. Dadarlat and T. Loring, *Extensions of certain real rank zero C^* -algebras*, preprint.
- [DL2] ———, *Classifying C^* -algebras via ordered, mod- p K-theory*, preprint.
- [Eff] E. Effros, *Dimensions and C^* -algebras* CBMS regional conf. Ser. in Math., no. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1-74.
- [Ell1] G.A. Elliott, *On the classification of inductive limits of sequences of semisimple finite-dimensional algebras*, J. Algebra, **38** (1976), 29-44.
- [Ell2] ———, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **443** (1993), 179-219.
- [Ell3] ———, *A classification of certain simple C^* -algebras*, pp. 373-385 in: Quantum and Non-Commutative Analysis (edited by H. Araki et al.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [Ell4] ———, *A classification of certain simple C^* -algebras, II*, Ann. of Math., to appear.
- [Ell5] ———, *Are amenable C^* -algebras classifiable?*, Representation Theory of Groups and Algebras, Contemporary Math., Vol. 145, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1993.
- [Ell6] ———, *Dimension groups with torsion*, International J. Math., **4** (1990), 361-380.
- [EE] G.A. Elliott and D.E. Evans, *The structure of irrational rotation C^* -algebras*, Ann. Math., **138** (1993), 477-501.
- [EES] G.A. Elliott, D.E. Evans and H. Su, *Classification of inductive limits of matrix algebras over the Toeplitz algebras*, preprint.
- [EG1] G.A. Elliott and G. Gong, *On inductive limits of matrix algebras over two-tori*, Amer. J. Math., **118** (1996), 263-290.

- [EG2] ———, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, II, Ann. of Math., to appear.
- [EGL] G.A. Elliott, G. Gong and L. Li, *On simple inductive limits of matrix algebras over higher dimensional spaces*, II, in preparation.
- [EGLP] G.A. Elliott, G. Gong, H. Lin and C. Pasnicu, *Abelian C^* -subalgebras of C^* -algebras of real rank zero and inductive limit C^* -algebras*, Duke Math. J., to appear.
- [ER] G.A. Elliott and M. Rørdam, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras*, II, Comment. Math. Helvetici, **70** (1995), 615-638.
- [G1] G. Gong, *Classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero and unsuspended E -equivalent types*, preprint.
- [G2] ———, *On inductive limits of matrix algebras over higher dimensional spaces*, part I, Math. Scand., to appear.
- [G3] ———, *On inductive limits of matrix algebras over higher dimensional spaces*, part II, Math. Scand., to appear.
- [KR] R.V. Kadison and J.R. Ringrose, *Derivations and automorphisms of operator algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys., **4** (1967), 32-63.
- [K] Kirchberg, in preparation.
- [Li] L. Li, *Classification of simple C^* -algebras: Inductive limits of matrix algebras over 1-dimensional spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Toronto.
- [Ln1] H. Lin, *Exponential rank and Brown-Pedersen's Conjectures*, J. Funct. Anal., **114** (1993), 1-11.
- [Ln2] ———, *Approximation by normal elements with finite spectra in C^* -algebras of real rank zero*, Pacific. J. Math., **173** (1996), 443-489.
- [Ln3] ———, *Almost commuting unitaries and classification of purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*, preprint.
- [Ln4] ———, *Almost commuting unitaries in purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*, Math. Ann., **303** (1995), 599-616.
- [Ln5] ———, *Classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero with zero K_1* , J. Operator Theory, **35** (1996), 147-178.
- [LP1] H. Lin and N.C. Phillips, *Classification of direct limits of even Cuntz-circle algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **118** (1995), no. 565.
- [LP2] ———, *Approximate unitarily equivalence of homomorphisms from \mathcal{O}_∞* , J. Reine Angew. Math., **464** (1995), 173-186.
- [LP3] ———, *Almost multiplicative morphisms and Cuntz-algebra \mathcal{O}_2* , J. International Math., **6** (1995) 625-643.
- [LR] H. Lin and M. Rørdam, *Extensions of inductive limits of circle algebras*, J. London Math. Soc., **51** (1995), 603-613.
- [Lr] T.A. Loring, *C^* -algebras generated by stable relations*, J. Funct. Anal., **112** (1993), 159-203.
- [Pd] G.K. Pedersen, *C^* -algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London/New York/San Francisco, 1979.
- [Ph1] N. C. Phillips, *Approximate unitarily equivalence of homomorphisms from odd Cuntz-algebras*, preprint.

- [Ph2] ———, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^* -algebras*, preliminary preprint.
- [Rr1] M. Rørdam, *Classification of inductive limits of Cuntz algebras*, J. Reine Angew. Math., **440** (1993), 175-200.
- [Rr2] ———, *Classification of Cuntz-Krieger algebras*, K-Theory, to appear.
- [Rr3] ———, *A short proof of Elliott's Theorem: $\mathcal{O}_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_2 \cong \mathcal{O}_2$* , C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, **XVI**, 31-36.
- [Rr4] ———, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., preprint.
- [Rr5] ———, *Classification of certain infinite simple C^* -algebras*, III, preprint.
- [RS] J. Rosenberg and C. Schochet, *The Künneth theorem and the universal coefficient theorem for Kasparov's generalized K-functor*, Duke Math. J., **55** (1987), 431-474.
- [Su1] H. Su, *On the classification of C^* -algebras of real rank zero: Inductive limits of matrix algebras over non-Hausdorff graphs*, Memoirs, Amer. Math. Soc., no. 547, Vol. 114.
- [Su2] ———, *Classification for certain real rank zero C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., to appear.
- [Tm] K. Thomsen, *On isomorphisms of inductive limits of C^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **113** (1991), 947-953.
- [V] D. Voiculescu, *A non-commutative Weyl-von Neumann Theorem*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl., **21** (1976), 97-113.
- [Zh1] S. Zhang, *C^* -algebras with real rank zero and the internal structure of their corona and multiplier algebras, Part III*, Canad. J. Math., **62** (1990), 159-190.
- [Zh2] ———, *K_1 -groups, quasidiagonality, and interpolation by multiplier projections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **325** (1991), 793-818.

Received February 5, 1996 and revised August 23, 1996. This research was partially supported by NSF grants DMS 93-01082 for the first author and a NSERC postdoctoral fellowship of Canada for the second author.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
EUGENE, OR 97403-1222
E-mail address: hlin@darkwing.uoregon.edu

AND
THE FIELDS INSTITUTE
TORONTO, CANADA M5T 3J1

NOTE: THE [REFERENCE](#) TO LEMMA 2.14 ON PAGE 127 APPEARED AS "COROLLARY 2.14" IN THE PRINTED VERSION.