

COVERING OF A HOLOMORPHICALLY CONVEX MANIFOLD CARRYING A POSITIVE LINE BUNDLE

SAÏD ASSERDA

Let M be a holomorphically convex manifold and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a holomorphic connected covering. If M carries a positive holomorphic line bundle L such that the cohomology class of π^*L in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ vanishes, then \tilde{M} is a Stein manifold.

A classical theorem of Siegel [S] asserts that a bounded domain in \mathbf{C}^n covering a compact complex manifold is a domain of holomorphy. In [Wa] Watanabe showed that if a complex manifold D covering a projective manifold M and satisfies $H^1(D, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$, then D is a Stein manifold with $H^2(D, \mathbf{Z}) = 0$, where \mathcal{O}^* is the sheaf of germs of nowhere-vanishing holomorphic functions and \mathbf{Z} is the additive group of integers. The purpose of this paper is to study the case where the base of covering is a holomorphically convex complex manifold carrying a positive holomorphic line bundle. Recall that a complex manifold M is holomorphically convex if, for every infinite subset S of M without limit points, there is a holomorphic function f on M which is unbounded on S . Our main result is the following:

Theorem. *Let M be a holomorphically convex complex manifold and $\pi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ is a holomorphic connected covering. If M carries a positive holomorphic line bundle L such that the cohomology class of π^*L in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ vanishes, then \tilde{M} is a Stein manifold.*

It is well known that there is an isomorphism between the class of holomorphic line bundles over a complex manifold X and the cohomology group $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$ (see [We], Lemma 4.4, p. 101). The cohomology class of a line bundle F is defined as the class in $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$ of a holomorphic cocycle $\{f_{ij}\}$ representing F . The vanishing of the cohomology class of π^*L in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ is necessary as shown later. Since a compact complex manifold is vacuously holomorphically convex, Watanabe's theorem is a consequence of our theorem. But in his proof, it is not clear that the strongly plurisubharmonic function ϕ ([Wa], p. 244) does not grow to $-\infty$ near the topological boundary of \tilde{M} . Also as remarked by him, the condition $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*) = 0$ cannot

be replaced by $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}) = 0$, where \mathcal{O} is the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions: Consider the case $M = \tilde{M} = \mathbf{P}_1(C)$ and π is the identity mapping.

Proof of theorem. As in [Wa] let $\{V_i\}$ be an open covering of M such that each V_i is a local coordinate neighborhood and is biholomorphic to a connected component $\pi^{-1}(V_i)$. Choosing a suitable refinement $\{U_i\}$ of $\{V_i\}$, we can represent the line bundle L by a system of transition functions $\{f_{ij}\}$ and find a Hermitian metric $\{a_j\}$ along the fibers of L which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) Each a_j is a C^∞ , real valued and positive function on U_j .
- (ii) If $U_j \cap U_k = \emptyset$, then we have $a_k = |f_{jk}|^2 a_j$.
- (iii) The function $-\log a_j$ is strongly plurisubharmonic on U_j i.e. the

$$(1,1)\text{-form } i\partial\bar{\partial} \log \frac{1}{a_j} \text{ is positive definite.}$$

The curvature of L is defined as $c(L) := i\partial\bar{\partial}(-\log a_j)$ on U_j . L is said to be *positive* if $c(L)$ is a positive definite $(1,1)$ -form on the convex tangent bundle TM .

The line bundle π^*L defined on \tilde{M} has $\{f_{ij} \circ \pi\}$ as transition functions and $\{a_j \circ \pi\}$ for Hermitian metric. Since $\{\pi^{-1}(U_j)\}$ is an open covering of \tilde{M} , $\{f_{ij} \circ \pi\}$ defines an element of the cohomology class of π^*L . By hypothesis $[\pi^*L] = 0$ in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$, then there an open covering Y_j of \tilde{M} such that the cohomology class of $[f_{ij} \circ \pi] = 0$ in $H^1(\{Y_j\}, \mathcal{O}^*)$. Taking a refinement of $\{U_j\}$ and $\{Y_j\}$, we may suppose that $\{U_j\} = \{Y_j\}$. Then there is a cochain $\{f_j\}$ of $C^0(\{\pi^{-1}(U_j)\}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ such that $f_{jk} \circ \pi = \frac{f_k}{f_j}$ on $\pi^{-1}(U_j) \cap \pi^{-1}(U_k)$. The holomorphic section of π^*L over \tilde{M} defined by $s = f_j$ on $\pi^*(U_j)$ is nowhere zero. We can define a C^∞ strongly Psh function on \tilde{M} in the following way:

$$\phi(x) := -\log(a_j \circ \pi(x) |f_j(x)|^2) = -\log \|s(x)\|_{\pi^*L}^2 \quad \text{for } x \in \pi^{-1}(U_j)$$

where $\|s\|_{\pi^*L}$ is the norm of s with respect to the Hermitian metric of π^*L induced by L .

Since M is holomorphically convex and L is positive, it is easy to see that M admits a complete Kähler metric g . Simply take

$$g := i\partial\bar{\partial}(\chi \circ \Psi) + c(L),$$

where $\Psi \in C^\infty(M)$ is an exhaustive Psh function (see [H], p. 117, Theorem 5.1.6) and $\chi : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\chi' > 0$, $\chi'' \geq 0$, and $\chi'(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ fast as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Since π is a connected covering, the pull back π^*g of g by π , define a complete Kähler metric \tilde{g} on \tilde{M} .

Now let S be an infinite subset without limit point in \tilde{M} . We must produce a holomorphic function f on \tilde{M} such that $|f|$ is unbounded on S . Since it suffices to consider any infinite subset of S , we may assume that S is actually equal to a sequence of points $\{x_\nu\}$.

Case 1. If $\{y_\nu\}$ has a limit point y in M , then we may assume $y_\nu \rightarrow y$. Let (U, ϕ) be a local coordinate neighborhood such that $\phi(y) = 0$, $\phi(U) = B(0, R) \subset \mathbf{C}^n$ and $\pi^{-1}(U) = \cup_j V_j$ with $V_j \cap V_k = \emptyset$ for every $j \neq k$ and $\pi_j := \pi|_{V_j} : V_j \rightarrow U$ is a biholomorphic map. Taking ν large enough, we may assume that $y_\nu \in U$ for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$. Since $x_\nu \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ there is a unique $j(\nu) \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $x_\nu \in V_{j(\nu)}$. If $I_\nu := \{\mu \in \mathbf{N}, j(\mu) = j(\nu)\}$ and $\nu_k := \sup\{\nu \in I_k\}$, then $x_{\nu_k} \in V_{\nu_k}$ and $V_{j(k)} \cap V_{j(k')} = \emptyset$ if $k \neq k'$. Since the set I_k is finite, the subsequence $\{z_k := x_{\nu_k}\}$ has not limit point in \tilde{M} . Set $W_k := V_{j(\nu_k)}$ and $\pi_k := \pi_{j(\nu_k)}$ and consider the biholomorphic map $\phi_k = \phi \circ \pi_k : W \rightarrow B(0, R)$. The map ϕ_k satisfies the following properties:

- (1) $\phi_k(z_k) = \phi(y_{\nu_k}) \forall k \in \mathbf{N}$; and
- (2) $\alpha \phi_k^* g_e \leq \tilde{g} \leq \beta \phi_k^* g_e$ on W_k where the constants α, β are independent of k and g_e is the Euclidean metric of \mathbf{C}^n .

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\phi_k(z_k)\| \leq \frac{R}{9}$. Hence $B(0, \frac{R}{9}) \subset \subset B(\phi_k(z_k), \frac{R}{9}) \subset \subset B(0, R)$ and by (2) we have

$$\alpha \|\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(z_k)\| \leq d_{\tilde{g}}(x, z_k) \leq \beta \|\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(z_k)\| \quad \forall x \in W_k.$$

Thus $X_k := \phi^{-1}(B(\phi_k(z_k), \frac{R}{4})) \subset B_{\tilde{g}}(z_k, \frac{\beta R}{4})$. Let λ be a test function in $B(0, \frac{R}{8})$ such that $\lambda = 1$ in $B(0, \frac{R}{9})$. Let $\Psi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ be the function Ψ defined by

$$\Psi(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\phi_k(x)) \log \|\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(z_k)\|^{2n} & \text{if } x \in X_k \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \tilde{M} \setminus \cup_k X_k. \end{cases}$$

Then Ψ is a smooth function on $\tilde{M} \setminus \{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. Thanks to (2) there is a constant $K > 0$ such that

$$i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi \geq -K\tilde{g} \text{ in a distributional sense on all of } \tilde{M}.$$

Put $\gamma_k = \sup_{X_k} \|s\|_{\pi^*L}^2$ and $\rho_k = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \gamma_j$. By passing to a subsequence, we may assume that $r(z_k) := d_{\tilde{g}}(z_k, x_o) \geq k + \rho_k$ for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ($x_o \in \tilde{M}$ is a fixed point).

Lemma ([N1, Lemma 1.1]). *There is a smooth and exhaustive function $\tau : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that*

- (i) $r \leq C_1\tau \leq C_2r$; and
- (ii) $i\partial\bar{\partial}\tau \geq -C_3\tilde{g}$, on $\pi^{-1}(U)$

where the constants may depend on U .

Define a smooth section t of π^*L^m on \tilde{M} by

$$t(x) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\phi_k(x))e^{r(z_k)} \bigotimes_1^m s(x) & \text{if } x \in X_k \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We obtain a smooth $\bar{\partial}$ -form of type $(0, 1)$ with values in π^*L^m and support contained in $\cup_{k=1}^\infty X_k$, by defining

$$\omega = \bar{\partial}t.$$

Since $\lambda = 1$ on $B(0, \frac{R}{8})$ and s is holomorphic, we conclude that ω vanishes on $\phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8})) \subset X_k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Moreover, we have $|\lambda \circ \phi_k| \leq \text{Const}$ on $X_k \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))$, and, because the map ϕ_k satisfies the property (2), we have $|\bar{\partial}(\lambda \circ \phi_k)| \leq \text{Const}$ on X_k , where the constants are independent of k . Therefore on the set $X_k \setminus \phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))$, hence on all X_k , we have

$$\|\omega\|_{e^{-\Psi}\pi^*L^m} \leq \text{Const } e^{2r(z_k)} \rho_k^{2m},$$

where the constant is independent of k . Also as mentioned above, ω vanishes on the complement $\tilde{M} \setminus \cup_k X_k$. Therefore, if we define a singular Hermitian metric in π^*L^m on \tilde{M} by

$$\|?\|_m^2 := e^{-(3C_1r + \Psi)} \|?\|_{\pi^*L^m}^2,$$

then $\|?\|_m$ is smooth on $\tilde{M} \setminus \{z_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} &= \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_{e^{\Psi}\pi^*L^m}^2 e^{-3C_1r} dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \int_{X_k} \|\omega\|_{e^{\Psi}\pi^*L^m}^2 e^{-3C_1r} dV_{\tilde{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \leq \text{Const} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \rho_k^{2m} e^{2r(z_k)} e^{-3C_1r} dV_{\tilde{g}}.$$

By the previous [Lemma](#), we have $r \leq C_1r$ on X_k . Therefore, since $X_k \subset B_{\tilde{g}}(z_k, \frac{\beta R}{4})$,

$$r(z_k) - \frac{\beta R}{4} \leq r(x) \leq C_1r(x)$$

for every $x \in X_k$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} &\leq \text{Const} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{2m} \int_{X_k} e^{2r(z_k) - 3r(z_k)} dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{2m} e^{-r(z_k)} \text{vol} \left(B_{g_e} \left(0, \frac{R}{4} \right) \right) \\ &\leq \text{Const} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{2m} e^{-\rho_k} e^{-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_k$. If $a < +\infty$ then

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \leq \text{Const} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k} < +\infty.$$

Now if $a = +\infty$, then

$$\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \leq \text{Const} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho_k^{2m} e^{-\rho_k} < +\infty.$$

Let f be a smooth $(0, 1)$ -form in \tilde{M} with values in π^*L . By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\left(\int_{\tilde{M}} |\langle f, \omega \rangle_m| dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^2 \leq \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \int_{\text{supp } t(\omega)} \|f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}.$$

There exist constants $c > 0$ and d such that $c(L) \geq c.g$ and $\text{Ricci}(g) \geq d.g$ on U . Since $c(\pi^*(L^m)) = m\pi^*(c(L))$, for m sufficiently large we have

$$\text{Ricci}(\tilde{g}) + i\partial\bar{\partial}\Psi + i3C_1\partial\bar{\partial} + m\pi^*c(L) \geq \tilde{g} \quad \text{on } \pi^{-1}(U).$$

Using the Bochner-Kodaira-Nakano equality in Kählerian geometry [D], we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\int_{\tilde{M}} |\langle f, \omega \rangle_m| dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^2 \\ &\leq \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \left(\int_{\text{supp } t(\omega)} \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\text{supp } t(\omega)} \|\bar{\partial} f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\partial}^*$ is the formal adjoint of $\bar{\partial}$ acting on $(0, 1)$ -forms in $L^2(\tilde{M}; (\pi^*L^m, \|\cdot\|_m))$ as an unbounded operator. Since the metric \tilde{g} is complete, the space of smooth $(0, 1)$ -forms with compact support on \tilde{M} and with values in π^*L^m is dense in $\text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$ with respect to the (singular) graph norm [D]:

$$\|f\| := \int_{\tilde{M}} \|f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\bar{\partial} f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}.$$

By density, $\forall f \in \text{Dom}(\bar{\partial}^*) \cap \text{Ker } \bar{\partial}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{M}} |\langle f, \omega \rangle_m| dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} + \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\bar{\partial} f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$, it suffices to consider the $\bar{\partial}$ -closed forms f . The above inequality become

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\tilde{M}} |\langle f, \omega \rangle_m| dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\tilde{M}} \|\bar{\partial}^* f\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \forall f \in \text{Dom } \bar{\partial}^*. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1.1 in [H], there exists a smooth section σ of π^*L^m on \tilde{M} such that

$$\bar{\partial}\sigma = \omega \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\sigma\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \leq \int_{\tilde{M}} \|\omega\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}}.$$

Therefore $\|\sigma\|_m^2$ is integrable on the neighborhood $\phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))$ of z_k , on which

$$\Psi(x) = n \log \|\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(z_k)\|^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \infty & > \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))} \|\sigma\|_m^2 dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & = \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))} \|\sigma\|_{\pi^*L^m}^2 e^{-3C_1 r} e^{-\Psi} dV_{\tilde{g}} \\ & = \int_{\phi_k^{-1}(B(0, \frac{R}{8}))} \frac{\|\sigma\|_{\pi^*L^m}^2 e^{-3C_1 r}}{\|\phi_k - \phi_k(z_k)\|^{2n}} dV_{\tilde{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

However $\|\sigma(x)\|_{\pi^*L^m}^2 e^{-3C_1 r(x)}$ is smooth while $\|\phi_k - \phi_k(z_k)\|^{-2n}$ is not locally integrable at z_k , since \tilde{M} has real dimension $2n$ and $\phi_k(z_k) = 0$. It follows that

$$\sigma(z_k) = 0$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If f is the holomorphic function defined on \tilde{M} in the following way:

$$f := \frac{\sigma}{\otimes_1^m s},$$

then $f(z_k) = e^{r(z_k)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that f is unbounded on S .

Case 2. If $\{y_\nu\}$ has no limit point in M , then there exists a holomorphic function f on M such that $|f(y_\nu)|$ is unbounded. Hence we may take π^*f as our desired holomorphic function on \tilde{M} .

Since \tilde{M} supports a smooth strongly plurisubharmonic function, then \tilde{M} is a Stein manifold.

As mentioned in the introduction, the condition $[\pi^*L] = 0$ in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ is necessary. We give here an example \tilde{M} of a covering of a smooth projective manifold M which is not holomorphically convex and for every positive line bundle L over M , the cohomology class of π^*L is not zero in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$. An example of M such that \tilde{M} is not holomorphically convex is given in [N2], Ex. 4, 5, p. 451. We will use it to verify the nonvanishing of the cohomology class. For the sake of complements, we reproduce the construction.

Suppose a and b are complex numbers such that the elements $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, $(a, 0)$ and $(0, b)$ form a basis for \mathbf{C}^2 over \mathbf{R} . Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbf{C}^2$ be the lattice generated by these elements over \mathbf{Z} , and let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the subgroup of Γ generated by $(1, 0)$, $(0, 1)$, (a, b) over \mathbf{Z} . Then \mathbf{C}^2/Γ is an Abelian variety which is bi-holomorphic to a product of 1-dimensional tori, and the map

$$\tilde{M} = \mathbf{C}^2/\tilde{\Gamma} \longrightarrow M = \mathbf{C}^2/\Gamma$$

is a covering map. If $1, a$ and b are linearly independent over \mathbf{Z} , then the only holomorphic functions on \tilde{M} are the constants.

Now let L be a positive holomorphic line bundle over M and suppose that the cohomology class of π^*L vanishes. Let s be the holomorphic section of π^*L without zeros as above. Since $\mathcal{O}(\tilde{M}) = \mathbf{C}$, every holomorphic section h of π^*L over \tilde{M} can be written as $h = c.s$ where $c \in \mathbf{C}$. By Corollary 4.3 in [N2], there exists a positive integer p and a holomorphic section σ of π^*L^p on \tilde{M} such that $\|\sigma\|$ is unbounded on any infinite subset without limit point in \tilde{M} . Therefore $\sigma = c. \otimes_1^p s$ which implies $\lim_{x \rightarrow \partial \tilde{M}} \|\sigma\| = +\infty$. Hence the strongly Psh function $\phi = -\log \|\sigma\|^2$ is bounded from above on \tilde{M} . Let $\pi_1 : \mathbf{C}^2 \rightarrow \tilde{M}$ be the universal covering, and define a strongly Psh function θ on \mathbf{C}^2 by

$$\theta := \phi \circ \pi_1.$$

Since \mathbf{C}^2 is parabolic, the only Psh function bounded from above are the constants. This implies that $\|\sigma\| = \text{constante}$ which contradicts the growth of s . Hence $[\pi^*L] \neq 0$ in $H^1(\tilde{M}, \mathcal{O}^*)$ for every positive line bundle L on M .

References

- [D] J.P. Demailly, *Estimations L^2 pour l'opérateur $\bar{\partial}$ d'un fibré holomorphe semipositif au dessus d'une variété Kählerienne complète*, Annales Sci. Ec. Norm. sup., **15** (1982), 457-511.

- [H] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North Holland, Third Edition, 1990.
- [N1] T. Nappier, *Covering spaces of families of compact Riemann surfaces*, Math. Annalen, **294** (1992), 523-549.
- [N2] ———, *Convexity properties of covering of smooth projective varieties*, Math. Annalen, **286** (1990), 433-479.
- [S] C. Siegel, *Analytic functions of several variables*, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, 1949.
- [Wa] K. Watanabe, *Covering of a projective algebraic manifold*, Pacific J. Math., **96** (1981), 243-246.
- [We] R.O. Wells, *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*, GTM, Springer, New York, 1980.

Received June 11, 1996. This research was supported by Moroccan Civil Service grant FSK-1.11.95-2.17033.

IBN TOFAIL UNIVERSITY
P.O. 135 KENITRA
MOROCCO