

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

WEAK PALEY–WIENER PROPERTY
FOR COMPLETELY SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

GAYATRI GARIMELLA

Volume 187 No. 1

January 1999

WEAK PALEY–WIENER PROPERTY FOR COMPLETELY SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

GAYATRI GARIMELLA

We prove a weak Paley–Wiener property for completely solvable Lie groups, i.e. if the group Fourier transform of a measurable, bounded and compactly supported function vanishes on a set of positive Plancherel measure then the function itself vanishes almost everywhere on the group.

1. Introduction.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{g}^* be the dual of \mathfrak{g} . The equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations \widehat{G} of G is parametrized by the coadjoint orbits \mathfrak{g}^*/G via the Kirillov-Bernat bijective map $K : \widehat{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*/G$. If $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ and $\ell \in K(\rho)$, then there exists an analytic subgroup H of G and a unitary character χ of H , such that $\ell|_{\mathfrak{h}} = Id_{\chi}$, where \mathfrak{h} is the Lie algebra of H . The induced representation $\rho = \text{Ind}_H^G \chi$ is irreducible. Moreover, K is a bijection. The image on \mathfrak{g}^*/G of a measure equivalent to Lebesgue measure on \mathfrak{g}^* gives a Plancherel measure on \widehat{G} .

Let ϕ be a bounded, measurable and compactly supported function on \mathbb{R}^n . By the classical Paley–Wiener theorem, the Fourier transform $\widehat{\phi}$ of ϕ extends to an entire function on \mathbb{C}^n . Using this we can conclude that if $\widehat{\phi}$ vanishes on a set of positive Plancherel measure which is nothing but the Lebesgue measure, then $\widehat{\phi}$ vanishes on the whole of \mathbb{R}^n . This in turn implies that $\phi = 0$ on \mathbb{R}^n .

In the same spirit, for a completely solvable Lie group we will think of the following as a weak Paley–Wiener property:

Theorem. *Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the unitary dual \widehat{G} . Let ϕ be a measurable, bounded, and compactly supported function (i.e $\phi \in L_c^\infty(G)$). Assume that there exists a subset $E \subset \widehat{G}$ with positive Plancherel measure such that $\widehat{\phi}_\rho = 0$ for all $\rho \in E$ where $\widehat{\phi}_\rho$ is the group Fourier transform of ϕ . Then $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G .*

In [GG1] we proved, the same theorem for nilpotent Lie groups, by induction on the dimension of G . To prove the above theorem, also by using

induction on the dimension of G , we need a description of the dual space \widehat{G} of G and an explicit Plancherel measure on \widehat{G} . Here, we use the results of B.N. Currey [C], which are generalizations of the results of L. Pukanszky [Pu] on nilpotent Lie groups concerning the Plancherel measure and the Plancherel formula.

2. Preliminaries.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . Let \mathfrak{g}^* be the dual of \mathfrak{g} . We fix a basis $\mathfrak{B} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ of \mathfrak{g} , such that \mathfrak{g}_j is spanned by the vectors $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_j\}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (0)$. As G is completely solvable, there exists a chain of ideals

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$$

of \mathfrak{g} , such that the dimension of \mathfrak{g}_i be i for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $\mathfrak{B}^* = \{X_1^*, \dots, X_n^*\}$ be the dual basis of \mathfrak{g}^* . We fix a Lebesgue measure dX on \mathfrak{g} , and a right Haar measure dg on G such that $d(\exp X) = j_G(X) dX$, where

$$j_G(X) = \left| \det \left(\frac{1 - e^{-adX}}{adX} \right) \right|.$$

Let Δ be the modular function such that for all $g' \in G$, $d(gg') = \Delta(g') dg$. Let O be a coadjoint orbit in \mathfrak{g}^* and $\ell \in O$. The bilinear form $B_\ell : (X, Y) \rightarrow \ell([X, Y])$ defines a skew-symmetric and nondegenerate bilinear form on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\ell$. As the map $X \rightarrow X.\ell$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\ell$ and the tangent space of O at ℓ , the bilinear form B_ℓ defines a nondegenerate 2-form ω_ℓ on this tangent space. If $2k$ is the dimension of O we note that

$$\beta_O = (2\pi)^{-k} (k!)^{-1} \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega \quad (k \text{ times})$$

the canonical measure on O . Lemma 3.2.2. in [DR], says us that there exists a nonzero rational function ψ on \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1} \psi(\ell)$, $g \in G$, and $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We fix one such ψ . There exists a unique measure m_ψ on \mathfrak{g}^*/G such that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \phi(\ell) |\psi(\ell)| d\ell = \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} \left(\int_O \phi(\ell) d\beta_O(\ell) \right) dm_\psi(O)$$

for all Borel functions ϕ on \mathfrak{g}^* .

B.N. Currey [C] gave an explicit description of the measure m_ψ with the help of the coadjoint orbits \mathfrak{g}^*/G . We recall the theorem proved by B.N. Currey in [C] which is the essential tool to prove our Paley–Wiener property:

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group. There exists a Zariski open subset U of \mathfrak{g}^* , a subset $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{2k}\}$ of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, a subset $M = \{j_{r_1} < j_{r_2} < \dots < j_{r_a}\}$ of J , for each j in M a real valued rational function q_j (non*

vanishing on U), and real analytic P_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ functions in the variables $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{2k}, \ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_n$ such that the following hold.

1) If a denotes the number of elements in M , for each $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$, the set

$$U_\epsilon = \{\ell \in U \mid \text{sign of } q_{j_{r_m}}(\ell) = \epsilon_m, 1 \leq m \leq a\}$$

is a non empty open subset in \mathfrak{g}^* .

2) Define $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ by $V = \prod R_r$, where $R_r =]0, \infty[$ if $j_r \in M$ and $R_r = \mathbb{R}$ otherwise. Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ and for $v \in V$, define $\epsilon v \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$ by $(\epsilon v)_j = \epsilon_m v_j$ if $j = j_{r_m} \in M$ and $(\epsilon v)_j = v_j$ otherwise. Then for each $\ell \in U_\epsilon$, the mapping $v \rightarrow \sum_j P_j(\epsilon v, \ell) X_j^*$ is a diffeomorphism of V with the coadjoint orbit of ℓ .

3) Define W_D as the subspace spanned by the vectors $\{X_i^* \mid i \notin J\}$ and W_M the subspace spanned by $\{X_j^* \mid j \in M\}$. Then the set

$$W = \{\ell \in (W_D \oplus W_M) \cap U \mid |q_j(\ell)| = 1, j \in M\}$$

is a cross-section for the coadjoint orbits U . For each $j \in M$ the rational function q_j is of the form $q_j(\ell) = \ell_j + p_j(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{j-1})$, where p_j is a rational function.

4) For each $\ell \in U$, let $\epsilon(\ell) \in \{1, -1\}^a$ such that $\ell \in U_{\epsilon(\ell)}$. Then the mapping $P : V \times W \rightarrow U$, defined by $P(v, \ell) = \sum_j P_j(\epsilon(\ell)v, \ell) X_j^*$, is a diffeomorphism.

B.N. Currey [C] proved that m_ψ is a Plancherel measure on W .

The idea is to compute the measure $\psi(\ell) d\ell$ in terms of product measures on $V \times W$ and then, using Lemma 1.3 of [C], we can read off m_ψ as a measure on W . We have to determine coordinates for W .

If the subset M of J is empty, then $W = W_D \cap U$ and the coordinates for W are obtained by identifying W_D with \mathbb{R}^{n-2k} , which is the parametrization of \mathfrak{g}^* in the nilpotent case. On the other hand, suppose that M is non empty, and a denotes the number of elements in M . From [C], for each $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$, there exists a non empty Zariski open subset U_ϵ of U and U is the disjoint union of the sets U_ϵ . Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ and set $W_\epsilon = W \cap U_\epsilon$. From [C], we have that

$$W_\epsilon = \{\ell \in (W_D \oplus W_M) \cap U \mid \text{for each } j = j_{r_m} \in M, \\ \ell_j = \epsilon_m - p_j(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{j-1})\}$$

where $j \in M$ and p_j is a rational nonsingular function on U .

Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$. Then from [C], there is a Zariski open subset Λ_ϵ of W_D and a rational function $p_\epsilon : \Lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow W_M$ such that $W_\epsilon = \text{graph}(p_\epsilon)$.

From [C], the projection of U_ϵ into W_D parallel to W_J defines a diffeomorphism π_ϵ of W_ϵ with Λ_ϵ .

Remark 2.2. If G is nilpotent, then M is empty, $U_\epsilon = U$, $p_\epsilon = 0$, and $\Lambda_\epsilon = W = U \cap W_D$ is an open subset in W_D .

Let $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ be the coadjoint orbit via $\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \Lambda_\epsilon$ and let $\beta_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ be the canonical measure on $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$. Identify W_D with \mathbb{R}^{n-2k} via the basis $\{X_i^* \mid i \notin J\}$ and let $d\lambda$ be the Lebesgue measure on W_D . If $W_D = (0)$ the measure $d\lambda$ is a point mass measure. This is the case for the “ $ax + b$ group” (see the example, paragraph 5).

Define $\Theta_\epsilon : V \times \Lambda_\epsilon \rightarrow U_\epsilon$ by $\Theta_\epsilon(v, \lambda) = P(v, \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))$. Then Θ_ϵ is a diffeomorphism.

From 2.8 of [C], for any integrable function F on \mathfrak{g}^*/G , we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} F(O) dm_\psi(O) = \sum_\epsilon \int_{\Lambda_\epsilon} F(O_{\lambda,\epsilon}) |\psi(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))| |Pf(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))| (2\pi)^{-2k} d\lambda$$

where $Pf(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))$ denotes the Pfaffian in $\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda)$.

Set $[\rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}] = K^{-1}(O_{\lambda,\epsilon})$ for $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_\epsilon$. For each nonzero rational function ψ on \mathfrak{g}^* satisfying $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ for $g \in G$ and $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, let $A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}$, denote the semi-invariant operator of weight Δ for the irreducible representation $\rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ corresponding to the restriction of ψ to $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ (this operator is constructed in [DR]).

In summary: Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group. Let $\{X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*\}$ be a Jordan-Hölder basis of \mathfrak{g}^* . Then, there is a finite collection of disjoint open subsets U_ϵ of \mathfrak{g}^* and there is a subspace W_D of \mathfrak{g}^* such that for each ϵ , U_ϵ is parametrized by a Zariski open subset Λ_ϵ of W_D , $\cup U_\epsilon$ is dense in \mathfrak{g}^* , and the complement of $\cup U_\epsilon$ has Plancherel measure zero. Let ψ be a non empty rational function on \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ for $g \in G$ and $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. For each ϵ , there is a rational function $r_{\psi,\epsilon}$ on W_D such that for any smooth compactly supported function ϕ on G , the function

$$\lambda \rightarrow \text{Tr}(A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2} \rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\phi) A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2}) |r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda)|$$

on Λ_ϵ is Lebesgue integrable. For any such ϕ we have

$$\phi(e) = \sum_\epsilon \int_{\Lambda_\epsilon} \text{Tr}(A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2} \rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\phi) A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2}) |r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda)| d\lambda$$

where $r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda) = \psi(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda)) Pf(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda)) (2\pi)^{-2k}$.

3. Group Fourier Transform.

We consider two cases:

First case: We suppose that $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ i.e. all the general position orbits are saturated with respect to \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} . We can choose a basis of \mathfrak{g} in which the first $n - 1$ vectors of the basis

$$\{X_1(\ell), \dots, X_r(\ell), \dots, X_m(\ell), \dots, X_{n-1}(\ell)\}$$

for $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ depends on ℓ , the $X_i(\ell)$ are in $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j}$ for certain j with $\ell_j = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_j}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_j | ad^* X \ell_j = 0\}$. As $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$, the last vector of the basis does not depend on ℓ . Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{W_\epsilon}(\ell) = \{X_1(\ell), \dots, X_r(\ell), \dots, X_m(\ell), \dots, X_{n-1}(\ell), X_n\}$$

be one such basis of \mathfrak{g} .

Remark that the index set J_1 for G_{n-1} is equal to $J \setminus \{n, j_1\}$ and that $M_1 = \{j_{r_2}, \dots, j_{r_{a_1}}\}$ is a subset of J_1 . For each $\epsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}^{a_1}$, the set U_{ϵ_1} is a nonempty open subset of \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* . Denote W_{D_1} the subspace spanned by $\{X_i^* | i \notin J_1\}$ in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* . Then, we have $W_{D_1} = W_D \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{j_1}^*$ and W_{M_1} is the subspace spanned by $\{X_j^* | j \in M_1\}$.

Set $W_{\epsilon_1} = W_1 \cap U_{\epsilon_1}$ where

$$W_1 = \{\ell_1 \in (W_{D_1} \oplus W_{M_1}) \cap U_1 \mid |q_j(\ell_1)| = 1, j \in M_1\}.$$

Now, by the corresponding theory for G_{n-1} we have a Zariski open subset Λ_{ϵ_1} of W_{D_1} and a rational function $p_{\epsilon_1} : \Lambda_{\epsilon_1} \rightarrow W_{M_1}$ such that $W_{\epsilon_1} = \text{graph}(p_{\epsilon_1})$.

Remark that $a_1 = a - 1$. In fact there is a case where $a_1 = a$. If we start with any chain of ideals $0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \dots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$, to avoid this case it suffices to choose a chain in such a manner that the chain passes through the nil-radical of \mathfrak{g} when \mathfrak{g} is non nilpotent. Also ϵ_1 is obtained by deleting an element from ϵ . Let Λ'_{ϵ_+} denote the projection of Λ_{ϵ_+} on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* , and Λ'_{ϵ_-} denote the projection of Λ_{ϵ_-} on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* .

The measure on W_{ϵ_1} is

$$d\mu_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) = \sum_{\epsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}^{a_1}} (2\pi)^{-(2k-2)} \psi_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) d\lambda_1$$

where $Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))^2 = \det(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)([X_i, X_j])_{i,j \in J_1})$ with $\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1) = \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*}$ and ψ_1 is a non empty rational function on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* such that we have $\psi_1(h \cdot \ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1} \psi_1(\ell_1)$. Remark that, $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell_{n-1}} = \mathfrak{g}^\ell \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{j_1}$, $[X_i, X_j] \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for i, j in J_1 , and $\ell([X_{j_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}]) = 0$.

Remark 3.1. For $\ell \in W_\epsilon$, let $A(\ell) = (\ell[X_i, X_j])_{i,j \in J}$ be the skew-symmetric matrix.

$$A(\ell) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots 0 & \dots \ell([X_n, X_{j_1}]) \\ 0 & & * \\ \vdots & A_{n-1}(\ell) & \vdots \\ \ell([X_{j_1}, X_n]) & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_{n-1}(\ell) = \ell([X_i, X_j])_{i,j \in J_1}$.

Then: $\det A(\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\ell([X_{j_1}, X_n])| (\det A_{n-1}(\ell))^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

That is, $Pf(\ell) = \ell([X_{j_1}, X_n]) Pf(\ell_{n-1})$ where $\ell_{n-1} = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$.

Lemma 3.2. *We suppose that $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$. Let ψ be a non empty rational function on \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\psi(x.\ell) = \Delta(x)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ and $x \in G$. Then:*

- i. $\psi(\ell) = \psi(\ell')$ for $\ell' \in \ell + \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^\perp$.
- ii. Let $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ and $\tilde{\ell}_1$ be an extension of ℓ_1 to \mathfrak{g}^* . By taking $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ we obtain a rational function ψ_1 on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* verifying $\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1)$ for $h \in G_{n-1}$ and $\ell_1 \in W_{\epsilon_1}$.

Proof. We have $G^\ell \subset G^{\ell_{n-1}}$ for $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ hence the stabilizer of $\ell_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ in G is also equal to $G^{\ell_{n-1}}$.

Let $\ell' = \ell + \gamma$ where $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^\perp$. Then $\ell' = a.\ell$ with $a \in G^{\ell_{n-1}}$, hence we have that $\psi(\ell') = \psi(a.\ell) = \Delta(a)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$. We have to verify that $\Delta(a) = 1$ if $a \in G^{\ell_{n-1}}$. But, $\Delta(a) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(a)$ since G_{n-1} is normal in G . Moreover, $G_{n-1}/G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}$ has an invariant measure, so we have $\Delta_{G_{n-1}}(a) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}}(a)$.

It suffices to see that $G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}$ is abelian since, the orbit of ℓ_1 is of maximal dimension (see [B2], Chapter II). Hence $\psi(\ell') = \psi(\ell)$ which allows us to define ψ_1 .

For all $h \in G_{n-1}$ and $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ we have

$$\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \psi(\widetilde{h.\ell_1}) = \psi(h.\tilde{\ell}_1) = \Delta_G(h)^{-1}\psi(\tilde{\ell}_1) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1).$$

□

We express the measure $d\mu_1$ on W_{ϵ_1} in terms of local coordinates on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* . From the above remark and the Lemma we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu_1 &= \sum_{\epsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}^{\alpha_1}} (2\pi)^{2k-2} \frac{1}{\psi_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))} d\lambda_1 \\ &= \left(\sum_{\epsilon'} (2\pi)^{2k-2} \frac{\pi_{\epsilon'}^{-1}(\lambda)([X_{j_1}, X_n])}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon'}^{-1}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{\psi(\pi_{\epsilon'}^{-1}(\lambda))} d\lambda \right) dX_{j_1}^* \end{aligned}$$

where ϵ' describes a part of $\{1, -1\}^\alpha$.

This measure $W_{\epsilon_1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ is a Plancherel measure on $\widehat{G_{n-1}}$, the unitary dual of G_{n-1} .

For $\ell \in W_\epsilon$, $\rho_\ell = \rho_{\lambda, \epsilon} = \text{Ind}_{G_{n-1}}^G \rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$ is an induced representation of G , where $\ell_{n-1} = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$ and $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}} = \rho_{\lambda_1, \epsilon_1}$ is a representation of G_{n-1} . Let $\mathcal{C}^\infty(G, \rho)$ be the set of $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(G)$ with compact support modulo G_{n-1} such that $f(hg) = (\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}(h))f(g)$ for all $h \in G_{n-1}$, $g \in G$.

For all $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$ and $\rho_\ell \in \widehat{G}$ such that $\ell \in W_\epsilon$, the group Fourier transform is defined by

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = \int_G \phi(g) \rho_\ell(g) dg.$$

Set $\ell^t = Ad^*(\exp(-tX))\ell$. Remark that

$$\rho_{\ell^t}(g) = \rho_{\ell}(\exp(tX).g.\exp(-tX)).$$

Choose $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$. For all s, t in \mathbb{R} , the action of $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$ on $f \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$ gives us

$$(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} f)(\exp(tX)) = \int_G \phi(g) \rho_{\ell}(g) f(\exp(tX)) dg.$$

As the induced representation acts by right translation on $f \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} f)(\exp(tX)) &= \int_G \phi(g) f(\exp(tX).g) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX)) f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(sX)) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX)) f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(-tX).\exp(tX).\exp(sX)) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX)) f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(-tX).\exp(t+s)X) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX)) \rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}(h) f(\exp(t+s)X) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi^s(h) \rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}(h) f(\exp(t+s)X) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s \right) f(\exp(t+s)X) ds \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi^s(h) = \phi(h.\exp(sX))$.

For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $f_{\alpha}(h.\exp(sX)) = e^{i\alpha s} f(h.\exp(sX))$. We have $f_{\alpha} \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$, since f is in $H_{\rho_{\ell}}$.

Let $\ker \rho_{\ell}$ denote the kernel of ρ_{ℓ} in $C^*(G)$, the C^* - algebra of the group G . If $\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell}$, then, from the above calculations, for all $f \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s f_{\alpha}(\exp(s+t)X) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha(s+t)} \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s f(\exp(s+t)X) ds \quad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Conversely, for all s and t in \mathbb{R} , if $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0$ we have $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$ which implies that $\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell}$. We have established an equivalence

$$\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell} \iff \left(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0 \quad \forall s, t \right).$$

Second case: If all the general position orbits are not saturated with respect to \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} , we can choose a basis of \mathfrak{g} in such a way that the last vector of the basis X_n does not depend on ℓ and $X_n(\ell) \in \mathfrak{g}^\ell$. Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{W_\epsilon}(\ell) = \{X_1(\ell), \dots, X_r(\ell), \dots, X_m(\ell), \dots, X_{n-1}(\ell), X_n(\ell)\}$$

be one such basis of \mathfrak{g} in which the $X_i(\ell)$ are in $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j}$ for certain j with $\ell_j = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_j}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$. Let ψ be a non empty rational function on \mathfrak{g}^* such that $\psi(x.\ell) = \Delta(x)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ and $x \in G$. Let $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ and $\tilde{\ell}_1$ be an extension of ℓ_1 to \mathfrak{g}^* . By letting $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ we obtain a rational function ψ_1 on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* satisfying $\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1)$ for all $h \in G_{n-1}$.*

Proof. For all $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\ell_\alpha = \ell + \alpha X_n^*$ and $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* \oplus \mathbb{R}X_n^*$. For all $h \in G_{n-1}$, we have $h.\ell_\alpha = h.\ell + \alpha X_n^*$ since $G.X_n^* = X_n^*$. By choosing $\alpha = 0$, we have $\ell_0 = \ell + 0X_n^*$ and $h.\ell_0 = h.\ell$. Hence, $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell}_1)$ and

$$\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \psi(h.\ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1}\psi(\ell_1) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1).$$

□

Remark that the set of indices J_1 for G_{n-1} is equal to J . In this case as $\mathfrak{g}^\ell = \mathfrak{g}^{\ell_{n-1}} + \mathbb{R}X_n$ we have $W_D = W_{D_1} + \mathbb{R}X_n$, where W_{D_1} is the subspace of \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* corresponding to W_D in \mathfrak{g}^* . Moreover, $\Lambda_\epsilon = \Lambda_{\epsilon_1} + \mathbb{R}X^*$. The Plancherel measure over \widehat{G} can be written as;

$$\begin{aligned} d\mu(\ell) &= \sum_{\epsilon} (2\pi)^{2k} \frac{1}{\psi(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda))} dX_1^* \cdots dX_{n-2k-1}^* dX_n^* \\ &= \left(\sum_{\epsilon_1} (2\pi)^{2k} \frac{1}{\psi_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))} dX_1^* \cdots dX_{n-2k-1}^* \right) dX_n^* \\ &= d\mu_1 \times dX_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

For $\ell = \pi_\epsilon^{-1}(\lambda) \in W_\epsilon$, and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we let $\ell_\alpha = \ell + \alpha X^*$. Hence, $\ell_\alpha(X) = \ell(X) + \alpha$ and $\rho_{\ell_\alpha} = \rho_\ell \otimes \chi_\alpha$ with $\chi_\alpha(h.\exp(sX)) = e^{i\alpha s}$ for all $h \in G_{n-1}$.

The restriction of ρ_{ℓ_α} to G_{n-1} is irreducible and equivalent to $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. For all $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho_\ell} = \mathbb{H}_{\rho_{\ell_\alpha}}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_\alpha}} \xi, \eta \rangle &= \int_G \langle \rho_{\ell_\alpha}(g) \xi, \eta \rangle \phi(g) dg \\
&= \int_G \langle \rho_\ell \otimes \chi_\alpha(g) \xi, \eta \rangle \phi(g) dg \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \langle \rho_\ell \otimes \chi_\alpha(\exp(sX).h) \xi, \eta \rangle \phi(\exp(sX).h) dh ds \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \langle e^{i\alpha s} \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \rho_{\ell_{n-1}}(h) \xi, \eta \rangle \phi(\exp(sX).h) dh ds \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \langle \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s \xi, \eta \rangle ds
\end{aligned}$$

where $\phi^s(h) = \phi(\exp(sX).h)$. Hence we have expressed $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_\alpha}}$ with the help of $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s$.

4. Weak Paley–Wiener Property.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group with the unitary dual \widehat{G} , and let ϕ be a bounded, measurable and compactly supported function (i.e. $\phi \in L_c^\infty(G)$). Assume that there is a subset $E \subset \widehat{G}$ with positive Plancherel measure such that $\widehat{\phi}_\rho = 0$ for all $\rho \in E$, where $\widehat{\phi}_\rho$ is the group Fourier transform of ϕ . Then $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G .*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the dimension n of G . The result is true if the dimension of G is one, since $G \cong \mathbb{R}$. Assume that the result is true for all groups of dimension $n-1$. We can assume that E is contained in W_ϵ (it suffices to take E as the finite union of $E \cap W_\epsilon$).

First case: $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$. By hypothesis, for all ρ_ℓ , such that $\ell \in E$ we have $0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell}$; we will show that $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G .

Notice that for all $\epsilon_1 \in \{-1, 1\}^{a_1}$, the associated set Λ_{ϵ_1} corresponds to two sets Λ_{ϵ_+} and Λ_{ϵ_-} , $\epsilon_\pm \in \{-1, 1\}^a$ in W_D . If Λ'_{ϵ_+} and Λ'_{ϵ_-} are the projections of Λ_{ϵ_+} and Λ_{ϵ_-} on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* such that $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_1} = (\exp \mathbb{R}X). \Lambda'_{\epsilon_+} \cup (\exp \mathbb{R}X). \Lambda'_{\epsilon_-}$ and $T_\ell = \{\exp tX.l_{n-1} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ are contained in the projection of Λ_{ϵ_+} or in Λ_{ϵ_-} , Λ'_{ϵ_1} is a Zariski open set in Λ_{ϵ_1} .

From paragraph 3 we have that

$$\phi \in \ker \rho_\ell \iff \left(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0 \ \forall s, t \right).$$

By hypothesis, $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = 0$ for all $\ell \in E$ and from the above equivalence we have

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0$$

for all s, t in \mathbb{R} . This relation tells us that a set A contained in $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+} \cup \Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$ has positive Plancherel measure if and only if the set $\cup_{\rho_\ell \in A} T_\ell$ has positive Plancherel measure in Λ_{ϵ_1} .

In applying this remark to the set E , we obtain

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0$$

for all $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$ in $E' \subset \widehat{G}_{n-1}$ with positive Plancherel measure.

By the induction hypothesis $\phi^s = 0$ almost everywhere on G_{n-1} , which implies that $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G by using Fubini's theorem.

Second case: $\mathfrak{g}^\ell \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$. Let $\phi \in \mathbf{C}_c^\infty(G)$. By hypothesis, for all ρ_ℓ , such that $\ell \in E$ we have $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = 0$; let us show that $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = 0$ for all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$.

Let $\ell \in E$. For all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell\alpha}} \xi, \eta \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \langle \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s \xi, \eta \rangle ds;$$

hence

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell\alpha}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s ds.$$

Set

$$\Psi(s) = \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell\alpha}} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(s) e^{i\alpha s} ds \\ &= \widehat{\Psi}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis, for all $\ell \in E$ we have $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = 0$. The above calculation tells us that there exists a set $E' \subset E$ with positive Plancherel measure such that $\widehat{\Psi}(\alpha) = 0$ for α belonging to a set of reals with positive Lebesgue measure and $\ell \in E'$. Hence $\Psi = 0$ almost everywhere; consequently we have $\Psi(s) = 0$ for almost every $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell\alpha}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \rho_\ell(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s ds$$

for all α in \mathbb{R} , which implies that $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s = 0$ for all ℓ_{n-1} in E_1 (path of E on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*) with positive Plancherel measure on \widehat{G}_{n-1} . By using the induction hypothesis $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}} = 0$ for almost all $\ell_{n-1} \in W'$ (path of W_ϵ on \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*). Hence, $0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell}$ for almost all $\ell \in W_\epsilon$ (from the above calculation of $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell\alpha}}$).

Hence $\widehat{\phi}_\rho = 0$ for almost all ρ relating with the Plancherel measure. By the Plancherel formula for completely solvable Lie groups, we have

$$\phi(e) = \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{\Lambda_{\epsilon}} \text{Tr}(A_{\psi, \lambda, \epsilon}^{-1/2} \rho_{\lambda, \epsilon}(\phi) A_{\psi, \lambda, \epsilon}^{-1/2}) |r_{\psi, \epsilon}(\lambda)| d\lambda$$

which implies that $\phi = 0$.

Now, we consider $\phi \in L_c^\infty(G)$. Let $\{f_n\}_n$ be an approximate identity in $C_c^\infty(G)$. For all integers n , $f_n * \phi \in C_c^\infty(G)$. Let $\rho \in E$. If $\widehat{\phi}_\rho$ vanishes, then $(\widehat{f_n * \phi})_\rho$ also vanishes. Hence by what precedes, $f_n * \phi = 0$ (for all integers n). But, $(f_n * \phi)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ϕ in $L^1(G)$, which implies that $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G . \square

5. Example: The $ax + b$ Group.

Consider the group

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We use the notation

$$(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Matrix multiplication gives:

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1 a_2, a_1 b_2 + b_1)$$

and the inverse

$$(a, b)^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -ba^{-1}).$$

Let $H = (1, b)$ be the derived group of G which is identified with \mathbb{R} . Let $y \in \mathbb{R}$, χ_y the character of H defined by $\chi_y((1, b)) = e^{iby}$.

Remark that $(a, b) = (1, b)(a, 0)$. Let $\rho_y = \text{Ind}_H^G \chi_y$ be the induced representation of G . This representation is realized in the space $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Recall that for all $y > 0$, ρ_y is equivalent to ρ_1 and we denote by ρ_+ the class of the representation ρ_1 . If $y < 0$, ρ_y is equivalent to ρ_{-1} ; we denote by ρ_- the equivalence class of this representation.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G is the set of matrices

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

In the basis

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have $[X, Y] = Y$. With the basis X and Y we have

$$\text{Ad}(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also in the dual basis $\{X^*, Y^*\}$

$$Ad^*(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ba^{-1} \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $\ell = \alpha X^* + \beta Y^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ the orbits of G in \mathfrak{g}^* are the upper half plane $\beta > 0$, the lower half plane $\beta < 0$ and the points $(\alpha, 0)$.

Let $\mathfrak{B} = \{X, Y\}$ be the basis of \mathfrak{g} defined above, and $\mathfrak{B}^* = \{X^*, Y^*\}$ the dual basis of \mathfrak{g}^* . There exists a set $J = \{j_1, j_2\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$ and $M = \{j_2\}$ a subset of J , so that $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, $V =]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$. We have $W_D = (0)$ and W_M is spanned by the vector $\{X_{j_2}^* \mid j_2 \in M\}$.

The Zariski open sets U_+ and U_- are the half planes of \mathfrak{g}^* defined above and $U = U_+ \cup U_-$. Here, $a = 1$ and $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$.

Since there are only two orbits, the set

$$W = \{\ell \in W_M \cap U \mid |q_{j_2}(\ell)| = 1, j_2 \in M\}$$

is a union of two points in \mathfrak{g}^* . We have $W_+ = W \cap U_+$ and $W_- = W \cap U_-$. Let $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$. In this case the Zariski open set is $\Lambda_\epsilon = \Lambda_+$ or $\Lambda_\epsilon = \Lambda_-$ of W_D , which reduces to a point.

In this particular case we can prove weak Paley–Wiener property by direct calculations.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$, $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+^*)$ and $(t, 0) \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$: then

$$\begin{aligned} (\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} f)(t) &= \int_G \phi((a, b)) \rho_\ell((a, b)) f(t) a^{-2} da db \\ &= \int_G \phi((a, b)) f((a, b)^{-1}(t, 0)) a^{-2} da db \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a, b)) f((a^{-1}t, -ba^{-1})) a^{-2} da db \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a, b)) f((a^{-1}t, 0)(1, -bt^{-1})) a^{-2} da db \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a, b)) \chi_y((1, -bt^{-1})) db \right) f((a^{-1}t, 0)) a^{-2} da \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^a(b) e^{-ibyt^{-1}} db \right) f((a^{-1}t, 0)) a^{-2} da \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^*} \widehat{\phi}_{\chi_{yt^{-1}}}^a f((a^{-1}t, 0)) a^{-2} da, \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi^a(b) = \phi((a, b))$.

Remark that $\phi^a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. By hypothesis we have $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_\ell} = 0$ for all $\ell \in E$. The above calculation implies that for all $a > 0$ we have $\widehat{\phi}_{\chi_{yt^{-1}}}^a = 0$ for almost all $t > 0$ and for fixed y .

As $\phi^a \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, $\widehat{\phi}_{\chi_{yt-1}}^a$ extends as an entire function over \mathbb{C} . $\widehat{\phi}_{\chi_{yt-1}}^a$ vanishes on a set in which the Plancherel measure $d\mu_1$ is positive hence by the classical Paley–Wiener theorem, we can conclude that $\phi^a = 0$, and then $\phi = 0$ almost everywhere on G .

Acknowledgement. This article is part of the author’s Ph.D thesis. The author is indebted to her advisor Professor Gérard Grélaud, for his help with this work.

References

- [B1] P. Bernat and al, *Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Ann. Sci. EC. Norm. Sup, 3ème série, **82** (1965).
- [B2] ———, *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Paris, Dunod, 1972.
- [C] B.N. Currey, *An explicit Plancherel formula for completely solvable Lie groups*, Michigan Math Journal, **38** (1991), 75-87.
- [DR] M. Duflo and M. Raïs, *Sur l’analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie résolubles*, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup., **9(4)** (1976), 107-144.
- [GG1] G. Garimella, *Un théorème de Paley–Wiener pour les groupes de Lie nilpotents*, Journal of Lie Theory, **5** (1995), 165-172.
- [GG] ———, *Théorèmes de Paley–Wiener. Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur les groupes de Lie nilpotents*, Ph.D thesis, Université de Poitiers, 1997.
- [Pu] L. Pukanszky, *On the characters and the Plancherel formula of nilpotent Lie groups*, J. Func. Anal., **1** (1967), 255-280.

Received July 21, 1997 and revised October 22, 1997.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE
 BANGALORE - 560059
 INDIA
E-mail address: gaya@ns.isibang.ac.in

