# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# WEAK PALEY–WIENER PROPERTY FOR COMPLETELY SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

GAYATRI GARIMELLA

Volume 187 No. 1

January 1999

# WEAK PALEY–WIENER PROPERTY FOR COMPLETELY SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS

Gayatri Garimella

We prove a weak Paley–Wiener property for completely solvable Lie groups, i.e. if the group Fourier transform of a measurable, bounded and compactly supported function vanishes on a set of positive Plancherel measure then the function itself vanishes almost everywhere on the group.

## 1. Introduction.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations  $\widehat{G}$  of G is parametrized by the coadjoint orbits  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  via the Kirillov-Bernat bijective map  $K: \widehat{G} \to \mathfrak{g}^*/G$ . If  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ and  $\ell \in K(\rho)$ , then there exists an analytic subgroup H of G and a unitary character  $\chi$  of H, such that  $\ell|_{\mathfrak{h}} = Id_{\chi}$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is the Lie algebra of H. The induced representation  $\rho = \operatorname{Ind}_{H}^{G} \chi$  is irreducible. Moreover, K is a bijection. The image on  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  of a measure equivalent to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  gives a Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be a bounded, measurable and compactly supported function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . By the classical Paley–Wiener theorem, the Fourier transform  $\hat{\phi}$  of  $\phi$  extends to an entire function on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Using this we can conclude that if  $\hat{\phi}$  vanishes on a set of positive Plancherel measure which is nothing but the Lebesgue measure, then  $\hat{\phi}$  vanishes on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This in turn implies that  $\phi = 0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the same spirit, for a completely solvable Lie group we will think of the following as a weak Paley–Wiener property:

**Theorem.** Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the unitary dual  $\hat{G}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a measurable, bounded, and compactly supported function (i.e  $\phi \in L_c^{\infty}(G)$ ). Assume that there exists a subset  $E \subset \hat{G}$  with positive Plancherel measure such that  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho} = 0$  for all  $\rho \in E$  where  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho}$  is the group Fourier transform of  $\phi$ . Then  $\phi = 0$  almost everywhere on G.

In  $[\mathbf{GG1}]$  we proved, the same theorem for nilpotent Lie groups, by induction on the dimension of G. To prove the above theorem, also by using

induction on the dimension of G, we need a description of the dual space  $\widehat{G}$  of G and an explicit Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$ . Here, we use the results of B.N. Currey [**C**], which are generalizations of the results of L. Pukanszky [**Pu**] on nilpotent Lie groups concerning the Plancherel measure and the Plancherel formula.

## 2. Preliminaries.

Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group, with the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We fix a basis  $\mathfrak{B} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , such that  $\mathfrak{g}_j$  is spanned by the vectors  $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_j\}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (0)$ . As G is completely solvable, there exists a chain of ideals

$$0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$$

of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , such that the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  be *i* for all  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}^* = \{X_1^*, \ldots, X_n^*\}$  be the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . We fix a Lebesgue measure dX on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and a right Haar measure dg on G such that  $d(\exp X) = j_G(X)dX$ , where

$$j_G(X) = \left| \det\left(\frac{1 - e^{-adX}}{adX}\right) \right|$$

Let  $\Delta$  be the modular function such that for all  $g' \in G$ ,  $d(gg') = \Delta(g')dg$ . Let O be a coadjoint orbit in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\ell \in O$ . The bilinear form  $B_\ell : (X, Y) \to \ell([X, Y])$  defines a skew-symmetric and nondegenerate bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\ell$ . As the map  $X \to X.\ell$  induces an isomorphism between  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^\ell$  and the tangent space of O at  $\ell$ , the bilinear form  $B_\ell$  defines a nondegenerate 2-form  $\omega_\ell$  on this tangent space. If 2k is the dimension of O we note that

$$\beta_O = (2\pi)^{-k} (k!)^{-1} \omega \wedge \dots \wedge \omega \qquad (k \text{ times})$$

the canonical measure on O. Lemma 3.2.2. in [**DR**], says us that there exists a nonzero rational function  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . We fix one such  $\psi$ . There exists a unique measure  $m_{\psi}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$  such that

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \phi(\ell) |\psi(\ell)| d\ell = \int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} \left( \int_O \phi(\ell) d\beta_O(\ell) \right) dm_{\psi}(O)$$

for all Borel functions  $\phi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

B.N. Currey [C] gave an explicit description of the measure  $m_{\psi}$  with the help of the coadjoint orbits  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ . We recall the theorem proved by B.N. Currey in [C] which is the essential tool to prove our Paley–Wiener property:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group. There exists a Zariski open subset U of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , a subset  $J = \{j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_{2k}\}$  of  $\{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ , a subset  $M = \{j_{r_1} < j_{r_2} < \cdots < j_{r_a}\}$  of J, for each j in M a real valued rational function  $q_j$  (non vanishing on U), and real analytic  $P_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  functions in the variables  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{2k}, \ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_n$  such that the following hold.

1) If a denotes the number of elements in M, for each  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ , the set

$$U_{\epsilon} = \{\ell \in U \mid sign of q_{j_{r_m}}(\ell) = \epsilon_m, 1 \le m \le a\}$$

is a non empty open subset in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

2) Define  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{2k}$  by  $V = \prod R_r$ , where  $R_r = ]0, \infty[$  if  $j_r \in M$  and  $R_r = \mathbb{R}$ otherwise. Let  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$  and for  $v \in V$ , define  $\epsilon v \in \mathbb{R}^{2k}$  by  $(\epsilon v)_j = \epsilon_m v_j$ if  $j = j_{r_m} \in M$  and  $(\epsilon v)_j = v_j$  otherwise. Then for each  $\ell \in U_{\epsilon}$ , the mapping  $v \to \sum_j P_j(\epsilon v, \ell) X_j^*$  is a diffeomorphism of V with the coadjoint orbit of  $\ell$ .

3) Define  $W_D$  as the subspace spanned by the vectors  $\{X_i^* \mid i \notin J\}$  and  $W_M$  the subspace spanned by  $\{X_i^* \mid j \in M\}$ . Then the set

$$W = \{ \ell \in (W_D \oplus W_M) \cap U \mid |q_j(\ell)| = 1, j \in M \}$$

is a cross-section for the coadjoint orbits U. For each  $j \in M$  the rational function  $q_j$  is of the form  $q_j(\ell) = \ell_j + p_j(\ell_1, \ell_2, \dots, \ell_{j-1})$ , where  $p_j$  is a rational function.

4) For each  $\ell \in U$ , let  $\epsilon(\ell) \in \{1, -1\}^a$  such that  $\ell \in U_{\epsilon(\ell)}$ . Then the mapping  $P: V \times W \to U$ , defined by  $P(v, \ell) = \sum_j P_j(\epsilon(\ell)v, \ell) X_j^*$ , is a diffeomorphism.

B.N. Currey [C] proved that  $m_{\psi}$  is a Plancherel measure on W.

The idea is to compute the measure  $\psi(\ell)dl$  in terms of product measures on  $V \times W$  and then, using Lemma 1.3 of [C], we can read off  $m_{\psi}$  as a measure on W. We have to determine coordinates for W.

If the subset M of J is empty, then  $W = W_D \cap U$  and the coordinates for W are obtained by identifying  $W_D$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2k}$ , which is the parametrization of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  in the nilpotent case. On the other hand, suppose that M is non empty, and a denotes the number of elements in M. From  $[\mathbf{C}]$ , for each  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ , there exists a non empty Zariski open subset  $U_{\epsilon}$  of U and U is the disjoint union of the sets  $U_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$  and set  $W_{\epsilon} = W \cap U_{\epsilon}$ . From  $[\mathbf{C}]$ , we have that

$$W_{\epsilon} = \{ \ell \in (W_D \oplus W_M) \cap U \mid \text{for each} \quad j = j_{r_m} \in M, \\ \ell_j = \epsilon_m - p_j(\ell_1, \ell_2, \cdots, \ell_{j-1}) \}$$

where  $j \in M$  and  $p_j$  is a rational nonsingular function on U.

Let  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$ . Then from [C], there is a Zariski open subset  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}$  of  $W_D$ and a rational function  $p_{\epsilon} : \Lambda_{\epsilon} \to W_M$  such that  $W_{\epsilon} = \operatorname{graph}(p_{\epsilon})$ .

From [C], the projection of  $U_{\epsilon}$  into  $W_D$  parallel to  $W_J$  defines a diffeomorphism  $\pi_{\epsilon}$  of  $W_{\epsilon}$  with  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}$ .

**Remark 2.2.** If G is nilpotent, then M is empty,  $U_{\epsilon} = U$ ,  $p_{\epsilon} = 0$ , and  $\Lambda_{\epsilon} = W = U \cap W_D$  is a open subset in  $W_D$ .

Let  $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the coadjoint orbit via  $\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}$  and let  $\beta_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  be the canonical measure on  $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$ . Identify  $W_D$  with  $\mathbb{R}^{n-2k}$  via the basis  $\{X_i^* \mid i \notin J\}$  and let  $d\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $W_D$ . If  $W_D = (0)$  the measure  $d\lambda$  is a point mass measure. This is the case for the "ax + b group" (see the example, paragraph 5).

Define  $\Theta_{\epsilon}: V \times \Lambda_{\epsilon} \to U_{\epsilon}$  by  $\Theta_{\epsilon}(v, \lambda) = P(v, \pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))$ . Then  $\Theta_{\epsilon}$  is a diffeomorphism.

From 2.8 of [C], for any integrable function F on  $\mathfrak{g}^*/G$ , we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{g}^*/G} F(O) dm_{\psi}(O) = \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{\Lambda_{\epsilon}} F(O_{\lambda,\epsilon}) |\psi(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))| |Pf(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))| (2\pi)^{-2k} d\lambda$$

where  $Pf(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))$  denotes the Pffafian in  $\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)$ .

Set  $[\rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}] = K^{-1}(O_{\lambda,\epsilon})$  for  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^a$  and  $\lambda \in \Lambda_{\epsilon}$ . For each nonzero rational function  $\psi$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  satisfying  $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , let  $A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}$ , denote the semi-invariant operator of weight  $\Delta$  for the irreducible representation  $\rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  corresponding to the restriction of  $\psi$  to  $O_{\lambda,\epsilon}$  (this operator is constructed in [**DR**]).

In summary: Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group. Let  $\{X_1^*, X_2^*, \dots, X_n^*\}$  be a Jordan-Hölder basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Then, there is a finite collection of disjoint open subsets  $U_{\epsilon}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  and there is a subspace  $W_D$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that for each  $\epsilon$ ,  $U_{\epsilon}$  is parametrized by a Zariski open subset  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}$  of  $W_D$ ,  $\cup U_{\epsilon}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , and the complement of  $\cup U_{\epsilon}$  has Plancherel measure zero. Let  $\psi$  be a non empty rational function on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\psi(g.\ell) = \Delta(g)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$  for  $g \in G$  and  $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ . For each  $\epsilon$ , there is a rational function  $r_{\psi,\epsilon}$  on  $W_D$  such that for any smooth compactly supported function  $\phi$  on G, the function

$$\lambda \to \operatorname{Tr}(A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2}\rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\phi)A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2})|r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda)|$$

on  $\Lambda_{\epsilon}$  is Lebesgue integrable. For any such  $\phi$  we have

$$\phi(e) = \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{\Lambda_{\epsilon}} \operatorname{Tr}(A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2} \rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\phi) A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2}) |r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda)| d\lambda$$

where  $r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda) = \psi(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)) P f(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)) (2\pi)^{-2k}$ .

## 3. Group Fourier Transform.

We consider two cases:

First case: We suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  i.e. all the general position orbits are saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ . We can choose a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in which the first n-1 vectors of the basis

$$\{X_1(\ell),\ldots,X_r(\ell),\ldots,X_m(\ell),\ldots,X_{n-1}(\ell)\}\$$

for  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  depends on  $\ell$ , the  $X_i(\ell)$  are in  $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j}$  for certain j with  $\ell_j = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_j}$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_j | ad^* X.\ell_j = 0\}$ . As  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ , the last vector of the basis does not depend on  $\ell$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{W_{\epsilon}}(\ell) = \{X_1(\ell), \dots, X_r(\ell), \dots, X_m(\ell), \dots, X_{n-1}(\ell), X_n\}$$

be one such basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Remark that the index set  $J_1$  for  $G_{n-1}$  is equal to  $J \setminus \{n, j_1\}$  and that  $M_1 = \{j_{r_2}, \cdots, j_{r_{a_1}}\}$  is a subset of  $J_1$ . For each  $\epsilon_1 \in \{1, -1\}^{a_1}$ , the set  $U_{\epsilon_1}$  is a nonempty open subset of  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ . Denote  $W_{D_1}$  the subspace spanned by  $\{X_i^* \mid i \notin J_1\}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ . Then, we have  $W_{D_1} = W_D \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{j_1}^*$  and  $W_{M_1}$  is the subspace spanned by  $\{X_j^* \mid j \in M_1\}$ .

Set  $W_{\epsilon_1} = W_1 \cap U_{\epsilon_1}$  where

$$W_1 = \{\ell_1 \in (W_{D_1} \oplus W_{M_1}) \cap U_1 \mid |q_j(\ell_1)| = 1, j \in M_1\}.$$

Now, by the corresponding theory for  $G_{n-1}$  we have a Zariski open subset  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_1}$ of  $W_{D_1}$  and a rational function  $p_{\epsilon_1} : \Lambda_{\epsilon_1} \to W_{M_1}$  such that  $W_{\epsilon_1} = graph(p_{\epsilon_1})$ .

Remark that  $a_1 = a - 1$ . In fact there is a case where  $a_1 = a$ . If we start with any chain of ideals  $0 = \mathfrak{g}_0 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_i \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_n = \mathfrak{g}$ , to avoid this case it suffices to choose a chain in such a manner that the chain passes through the nil-radical of  $\mathfrak{g}$  when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is non nilpotent. Also  $\epsilon_1$  is obtained by deleting an element from  $\epsilon$ . Let  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_+}$  denote the projection of  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ , and  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_-}$  denote the projection of  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ .

The measure on  $W_{\epsilon_1}$  is

$$d\mu_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) = \sum_{\epsilon_1 \in \{1,-1\}^{a_1}} (2\pi)^{-(2k-2)} \psi_1(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)) d\lambda_1$$

where  $Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1))^2 = \det(\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1)([X_i, X_j])_{i,j\in J_1})$  with  $\pi_{\epsilon_1}^{-1}(\lambda_1) = \pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*}$  and  $\psi_1$  is a non empty rational function on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  such that we have  $\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1)$ . Remark that,  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell_{n-1}} = \mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{j_1}$ ,  $[X_i, X_j] \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for i, j in  $J_1$ , and  $\ell([X_{j_1}, \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}]) = 0$ .

**Remark 3.1.** For  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ , let  $A(\ell) = (\ell[X_i, X_j])_{i,j \in J}$  be the skew-symmetric matrix.

$$A(\ell) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & \cdots & \ell([X_n, X_{j_1}]) \\ 0 & & * \\ \vdots & A_{n-1}(\ell) & \vdots \\ \ell([X_{j_1}, X_n]) & * & * \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{n-1}(\ell) = \ell([X_i, X_j])_{i,j \in J_1}$ .

Then: det 
$$A(\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}} = |\ell([X_{j_1}, X_n])| (\det A_{n-1}(\ell)^{\frac{1}{2}}).$$
  
That is,  $Pf(\ell) = \ell([X_{j_1}, X_n]) Pf(\ell_{n-1})$  where  $\ell_{n-1} = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}.$ 

**Lemma 3.2.** We suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a non empty rational function on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\psi(x.\ell) = \Delta(x)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  and  $x \in G$ . Then:

i.  $\psi(\ell) = \psi(\ell')$  for  $\ell' \in \ell + \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^{\perp}$ .

ii. Let  $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  and  $\tilde{\ell_1}$  be an extension of  $\ell_1$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . By taking  $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell_1})$  we obtain a rational function  $\psi_1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  verifying  $\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1)$  for  $h \in G_{n-1}$  and  $\ell_1 \in W_{\epsilon_1}$ .

*Proof.* We have  $G^{\ell} \subset G^{\ell_{n-1}}$  for  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  hence the stabilizer of  $\ell_{n-1} \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ in G is also equal to  $G^{\ell_{n-1}}$ .

Let  $\ell' = \ell + \gamma$  where  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^{\perp}$ . Then  $\ell' = a.\ell$  with  $a \in G^{\ell_{n-1}}$ , hence we have that  $\psi(\ell') = \psi(a.\ell) = \Delta(a)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$ . We have to verify that  $\Delta(a) = 1$ if  $a \in G^{\ell_{n-1}}$ . But,  $\Delta(a) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(a)$  since  $G_{n-1}$  is normal in G. Moreover,  $G_{n-1}/G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}$  has an invariant measure, so we have  $\Delta_{G_{n-1}}(a) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}}(a)$ . It suffices to see that  $G_{n-1}^{\ell_{n-1}}$  is abelian since, the orbit of  $\ell_1$  is of maximal dimension (see [**B2**], Chapter II). Hence  $\psi(\ell') = \psi(\ell)$  which allows us to

For all  $h \in G_{n-1}$  and  $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  we have

$$\psi_1(h,\ell_1) = \psi(\widetilde{h,\ell_1}) = \psi(h,\widetilde{\ell_1}) = \Delta_G(h)^{-1}\psi(\widetilde{\ell_1}) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1).$$

We express the measure  $d\mu_1$  on  $W_{\epsilon_1}$  in terms of local coordinates on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ . From the above remark and the Lemma we have that

$$d\mu_{1} = \sum_{\epsilon_{1} \in \{1,-1\}^{a_{1}}} (2\pi)^{2k-2} \frac{1}{\psi_{1}(\pi_{\epsilon_{1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{1}))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_{1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{1}))} d\lambda_{1}$$
$$= \left(\sum_{\epsilon'} (2\pi)^{2k-2} \frac{\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda)([X_{j_{1}}, X_{n}])}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{\psi(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))} d\lambda\right) dX_{j_{1}}^{*}$$

where  $\epsilon'$  describes a part of  $\{1, -1\}^a$ .

This measure  $W_{\epsilon_1} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  is a Plancherel measure on  $G_{n-1}$ , the unitary dual of  $G_{n-1}$ .

For  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ ,  $\rho_{\ell} = \rho_{\lambda,\epsilon} = \operatorname{Ind}_{G_{n-1}}^{G} \rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$  is an induced representation of G, where  $\ell_{n-1} = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}}$  and  $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}} = \rho_{\lambda_1,\epsilon_1}$  is a representation of  $G_{n-1}$ . Let  $C^{\infty}(G,\rho)$  be the set of  $f \in C^{\infty}(G)$  with compact support modulo  $G_{n-1}$  such that  $f(hg) = (\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}(h))f(g)$  for all  $h \in G_{n-1}, g \in G$ .

For all  $\phi \in \mathsf{C}^{\infty}_{c}(G)$  and  $\rho_{\ell} \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ , the group Fourier transform is defined by

$$\widehat{\phi}_{
ho_{\ell}} = \int_{G} \phi(g) \rho_{\ell}(g) dg.$$

define  $\psi_1$ .

Set  $\ell^t = Ad^*(\exp(-tX))\ell$ . Remark that

$$\rho_{\ell^t}(g) = \rho_{\ell}(\exp(tX).g.\exp(-tX)).$$

Choose  $X \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ . For all s, t in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the action of  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$  on  $f \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$  gives us

$$(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}}f)(\exp(tX)) = \int_{G} \phi(g)\rho_{\ell}(g)f(\exp(tX))dg$$

As the induced representation acts by right translation on  $f \in H_{\rho_{\ell}}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} (\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}}f)(\exp(tX)) &= \int_{G} \phi(g)f(\exp(tX).g)dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX))f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(sX))dhds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX))f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(-tX).\exp(tX).\exp(sX))dhds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX))f(\exp(tX).h.\exp(-tX).\exp(t+s)X)dhds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi(h.\exp(sX))\rho_{(\ell^{t})_{n-1}}(h)f(\exp(t+s)X)dhds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \phi^{s}(h)\rho_{(\ell^{t})_{n-1}}(h)f(\exp(t+s)X)dhds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^{t})_{n-1}}}^{s}\right)f(\exp(t+s)X)ds \end{split}$$

where  $\phi^s(h) = \phi(h. \exp(sX))$ .

For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we set  $f_{\alpha}(h. \exp(sX)) = e^{i\alpha s} f(h. \exp(sX))$ . We have  $f_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho_{\ell}}$ , since f is in  $\mathbb{H}_{\rho_{\ell}}$ .

Let ker  $\rho_{\ell}$  denote the kernal of  $\rho_{\ell}$  in  $C^*(G)$ , the  $C^*$ - algebra of the group G. If  $\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell}$ , then, from the above calculations, for all  $f \in \mathbb{H}_{\rho_{\ell}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s f_\alpha(\exp(s+t)X) ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha(s+t)} \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s f(\exp(s+t)X) ds \qquad \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell^t}}^s = 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Conversely, for all s and t in  $\mathbb{R}$ , if  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell^t}}^s = 0$  we have  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$  which implies that  $\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell}$ . We have established an equivalence

$$\phi \in \ker \rho_\ell \Longleftrightarrow \left(\widehat{\phi}^s_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}} = 0 \ \forall s, t\right).$$

Second case: If all the general position orbits are not saturated with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$ , we can choose a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in such a way that the last vector of the basis  $X_n$  does not depend on  $\ell$  and  $X_n(\ell) \in \mathfrak{g}^{\ell}$ . Let

$$\mathfrak{B}_{W_{\epsilon}}(\ell) = \{X_1(\ell), \dots, X_r(\ell), \dots, X_m(\ell), \dots, X_{n-1}(\ell), X_n(\ell)\}$$

be one such basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in which the  $X_i(\ell)$  are in  $\mathfrak{g}_j^{\ell_j}$  for certain j with  $\ell_j = \ell|_{\mathfrak{g}_j}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\psi$  be a non empty rational function on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that  $\psi(x.\ell) = \Delta(x)^{-1}\psi(\ell)$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  and  $x \in G$ . Let  $\ell_1 \in \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  and  $\tilde{\ell}_1$  be an extension of  $\ell_1$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . By letting  $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell}_1)$  we obtain a rational function  $\psi_1$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  satisfying  $\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1)$  for all  $h \in G_{n-1}$ .

*Proof.* For all  $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have  $\ell_{\alpha} = \ell + \alpha X_n^*$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^* \oplus \mathbb{R} X_n^*$ . For all  $h \in G_{n-1}$ , we have  $h.\ell_{\alpha} = h.\ell + \alpha X_n^*$  since  $G.X_n^* = X_n^*$ . By choosing  $\alpha = 0$ , we have  $\ell_0 = \ell + 0X_n^*$  and  $h.\ell_0 = h.\ell$ . Hence,  $\psi_1(\ell_1) = \psi(\tilde{\ell}_1)$  and

$$\psi_1(h.\ell_1) = \psi(h.\ell_1) = \Delta(h)^{-1}\psi(\ell_1) = \Delta_{G_{n-1}}(h)^{-1}\psi_1(\ell_1).$$

П

Remark that the set of indices  $J_1$  for  $G_{n-1}$  is equal to J. In this case as  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} = \mathfrak{g}^{\ell_{n-1}} + \mathbb{R}X_n$  we have  $W_D = W_{D_1} + \mathbb{R}X_n$ , where  $W_{D_1}$  is the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$  corresponding to  $W_D$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . Moreover,  $\Lambda_{\epsilon} = \Lambda_{\epsilon_1} + \mathbb{R}X^*$ . The Plancherel measure over  $\widehat{G}$  can be written as;

$$d\mu(\ell) = \sum_{\epsilon} (2\pi)^{2k} \frac{1}{\psi(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda))} dX_{1}^{*} \cdots dX_{n-2k-1}^{*} dX_{n}^{*}$$
  
=  $\left(\sum_{\epsilon_{1}} (2\pi)^{2k} \frac{1}{\psi_{1}(\pi_{\epsilon_{1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{1}))} \frac{1}{Pf(\pi_{\epsilon_{1}}^{-1}(\lambda_{1}))} dX_{1}^{*} \cdots dX_{n-2k-1}^{*}\right) dX_{n}^{*}$   
=  $d\mu_{1} \times dX_{n}^{*}$ .

For  $\ell = \pi_{\epsilon}^{-1}(\lambda) \in W_{\epsilon}$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we let  $\ell_{\alpha} = \ell + \alpha X^*$ . Hence,  $\ell_{\alpha}(X) = \ell(X) + \alpha$  and  $\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}} = \rho_{\ell} \otimes \chi_{\alpha}$  with  $\chi_{\alpha}(h. \exp(sX)) = e^{i\alpha s}$  for all  $h \in G_{n-1}$ .

The restriction of  $\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}$  to  $G_{n-1}$  is irreducible and equivalent to  $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . For all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbf{H}_{\rho_{\ell}} = \mathbf{H}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}}$  we have

$$\begin{split} &\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}} \xi, \eta \rangle = \int_{G} \langle \rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}(g)\xi, \eta \rangle \phi(g) dg \\ &= \int_{G} \langle \rho_{\ell} \otimes \chi_{\alpha}(g)\xi, \eta \rangle \phi(g) dg \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \langle \rho_{\ell} \otimes \chi_{\alpha}(\exp(sX).h)\xi, \eta \rangle \phi(\exp(sX).h) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{G_{n-1}} \langle e^{i\alpha s} \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX)) \rho_{\ell_{n-1}}(h)\xi, \eta \rangle \phi(\exp(sX).h) dh ds \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \langle \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^{s}\xi, \eta \rangle ds \end{split}$$

where  $\phi^s(h) = \phi(\exp(sX).h)$ . Hence we have expressed  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}}$  with the help of  $\widehat{\phi}^s_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}$ .

#### 4. Weak Paley–Wiener Property.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let G be a connected, simply connected, and completely solvable Lie group with the unitairy dual  $\hat{G}$ , and let  $\phi$  be a bounded, measurable and compactly supported function (i.e.  $\phi \in L^{\infty}_{c}(G)$ ). Assume that there is a subset  $E \subset \hat{G}$  with positive Plancherel measure such that  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho} = 0$  for all  $\rho \in E$ , where  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho}$  is the group Fourier transform of  $\phi$ . Then  $\phi = 0$  almost every where on G.

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on the dimension n of G. The result is true if the dimension of G is one, since  $G \cong \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that the result is true for all groups of dimension n-1. We can assume that E is contained in  $W_{\epsilon}$  (it suffices to take E as the finite union of  $E \cap W_{\epsilon}$ ).

First case:  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\phi \in \mathsf{C}^{\infty}_{c}(G)$ . By hypothesis, for all  $\rho_{\ell}$ , such that  $\ell \in E$  we have  $0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}}$ ; we will show that  $\phi = 0$  almost every where on G.

Notice that for all  $\epsilon_1 \in \{-1, 1\}^{a_1}$ , the associated set  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_1}$  corresponds to two sets  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+}$  and  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$ ,  $\epsilon_{\pm} \in \{-1, 1\}^a$  in  $W_D$ . If  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_+}$  and  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_-}$  are the projections of  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+}$  and  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*_{n-1}$  such that  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_1} = (\exp \mathbb{R}X) \cdot \Lambda'_{\epsilon_+} \cup (\exp \mathbb{R}X) \cdot \Lambda'_{\epsilon_-}$ and  $T_{\ell} = \{\exp tX \cdot \ell_{n-1} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  are contained in the projection of  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+}$  or in  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$ ,  $\Lambda'_{\epsilon_1}$  is a Zariski open set in  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_1}$ .

From paragraph 3 we have that

$$\phi \in \ker \rho_{\ell} \Longleftrightarrow \left(\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}}^s = 0 \ \forall s, t\right).$$

By hypothesis,  $\widehat{\phi_{\rho_\ell}} = 0$  for all  $\ell \in E$  and from the above equivalence we have

$$\widehat{\phi}^s_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}} = 0$$

for all s, t in  $\mathbb{R}$ . This relation tells us that a set A contained in  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_+} \cup \Lambda_{\epsilon_-}$ has positive Plancherel measure if and only if the set  $\cup_{\rho_\ell \in A} T_\ell$  has positive Plancherel measure in  $\Lambda_{\epsilon_1}$ .

In applying this remark to the set E, we obtain

$$\widehat{\phi}^s_{\rho_{(\ell^t)_{n-1}}} = 0$$

for all  $\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}$  in  $E' \subset \widehat{G}_{n-1}$  with positive Plancherel measure.

By the induction hypothesis  $\phi^s = 0$  almost everywhere on  $G_{n-1}$ , which implies that  $\phi = 0$  almost everywhere on G by using Fubini's theorem.

Second case:  $\mathfrak{g}^{\ell} \not\subset \mathfrak{g}_{n-1}$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ . Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ . By hypothesis, for all  $\rho_{\ell}$ , such that  $\ell \in E$  we have  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$ ; let us show that  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$  for all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$ .

Let  $\ell \in E$ . For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$\langle \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}} \xi, \eta \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \langle \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s \xi, \eta \rangle ds;$$

hence

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s ds.$$

Set

$$\Psi(s) = \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX))\widehat{\phi}^s_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}$$

Hence

$$\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Psi(s) e^{i\alpha s} ds$$
$$= \widehat{\Psi}(\alpha).$$

By hypothesis, for all  $\ell \in E$  we have  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$ . The above calculation tells us that there exists a set  $E' \subset E$  with positive Plancherel measure such that  $\widehat{\Psi}(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\alpha$  belonging to a set of reals with positive Lebesgue measure and  $\ell \in E'$ . Hence  $\Psi = 0$  almost everywhere; consequently we have  $\Psi(s) = 0$  for almost every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence

$$0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\alpha s} \rho_{\ell}(\exp(sX)) \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s ds$$

for all  $\alpha$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , which implies that  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}}^s = 0$  for all  $\ell_{n-1}$  in  $E_1$  (path of E on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ ) with positive Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G_{n-1}}$ . By using the induction hypothesis  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{n-1}}} = 0$  for almost all  $\ell_{n-1} \in W'$  (path of  $W_{\epsilon}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_{n-1}^*$ ). Hence,  $0 = \widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}}$  for almost all  $\ell \in W_{\epsilon}$  (from the above calculation of  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell_{\alpha}}}$ ).

Hence  $\hat{\phi}_{\rho} = 0$  for almost all  $\rho$  relating with the Plancherel measure. By the Plancherel formula for completely solvable Lie groups, we have

$$\phi(e) = \sum_{\epsilon} \int_{\Lambda_{\epsilon}} Tr(A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2} \rho_{\lambda,\epsilon}(\phi) A_{\psi,\lambda,\epsilon}^{-1/2}) |r_{\psi,\epsilon}(\lambda)| d\lambda$$

which implies that  $\phi = 0$ .

Now, we consider  $\phi \in L_c^{\infty}(G)$ . Let  $\{f_n\}_n$  be an approximate identity in  $C_c^{\infty}(G)$ . For all integers  $n, f_n * \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ . Let  $\rho \in E$ . If  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho}$  vanishes, then  $(\widehat{f_n} * \phi)_{\rho}$  also vanishes. Hence by what precedes,  $f_n * \phi = 0$  (for all integers n). But,  $(f_n * \phi)_{n \in N}$  converges to  $\phi$  in  $L^1(G)$ , which implies that  $\phi = 0$  almost everywhere on G.

#### 5. Example: The ax + b Group.

Consider the group

$$G = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

We use the notation

$$(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Matrix multiplication gives:

$$(a_1, b_1)(a_2, b_2) = (a_1a_2, a_1b_2 + b_1)$$

and the inverse

$$(a,b)^{-1} = (a^{-1}, -ba^{-1}).$$

Let H = (1, b) be the derived group of G which is identified with  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $y \in \mathbb{R}, \chi_y$  the character of H defined by  $\chi_y((1, b)) = e^{iby}$ .

Remark that (a, b) = (1, b)(a, 0). Let  $\rho_y = \operatorname{Ind}_H^G \chi_y$  be the induced representation of G. This representation is realized in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Recall that for all y > 0,  $\rho_y$  is equivalent to  $\rho_1$  and we denote by  $\rho_+$  the class of the representation  $\rho_1$ . If y < 0,  $\rho_y$  is equivalent to  $\rho_{-1}$ ; we denote by  $\rho_-$  the equivalence class of this representation.

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of G is the set of matrices

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \right\}.$$

In the basis

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we have [X, Y] = Y. With the basis X and Y we have

$$Ad(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Also in the dual basis  $\{X^*, Y^*\}$ 

$$Ad^*(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & ba^{-1} \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $\ell = \alpha X^* + \beta Y^* \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  the orbits of G in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  are the upper half plane  $\beta > 0$ , the lower half plane  $\beta < 0$  and the points  $(\alpha, 0)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B} = \{X, Y\}$  be the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  defined above, and  $\mathfrak{B}^* = \{X^*, Y^*\}$  the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . There exists a set  $J = \{j_1, j_2\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$  and  $M = \{j_2\}$  a subset of J, so that  $V \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $V = ]0, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}$ . We have  $W_D = (0)$  and  $W_M$  is spanned by the vector  $\{X_{j_2}^* \mid j_2 \in M\}$ .

The Zariski open sets  $U_{+}^{2}$  and  $U_{-}$  are the half planes of  $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$  defined above and  $U = U_{+} \cup U_{-}$ . Here, a = 1 and  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ .

Since there are only two orbits, the set

$$W = \{\ell \in W_M \cap U \mid |q_{j_2}(\ell)| = 1, j_2 \in M\}$$

is a union of two points in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . We have  $W_+ = W \cap U_+$  and  $W_- = W \cap U_-$ . Let  $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ . In this case the Zariski open set is  $\Lambda_{\epsilon} = \Lambda_+$  or  $\Lambda_{\epsilon} = \Lambda_-$  of  $W_D$ , which reduces to a point.

In this particular case we can prove weak Paley–Wiener property by direct calculations.

Let  $\phi \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ ,  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^*_+)$  and  $(t, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ : then

$$\begin{split} (\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}}f)(t) &= \int_{G} \phi((a,b))\rho_{\ell}((a,b))f(t)a^{-2}dadb \\ &= \int_{G} \phi((a,b))f((a,b)^{-1}(t,0))a^{-2}dadb \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a,b))f((a^{-1}t,-ba^{-1}))a^{-2}dadb \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a,b))f((a^{-1}t,0)(1,-bt^{-1}))a^{-2}dadb \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi((a,b))\chi_{y}((1,-bt^{-1}))db \right) f((a^{-1}t,0))a^{-2}da \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi^{a}(b)e^{-ibyt^{-1}}db \right) f((a^{-1}t,0))a^{-2}da \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{*}_{+}} \widehat{\phi}^{a}_{\chi_{yt^{-1}}}f((a^{-1}t,0))a^{-2}da, \end{split}$$

where  $\phi^a(b) = \phi((a, b))$ .

Remark that  $\phi^a \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ . By hypothesis we have  $\widehat{\phi}_{\rho_{\ell}} = 0$  for all  $\ell \in E$ . The above calculation implies that for all a > 0 we have  $\widehat{\phi}^a_{\chi_{yt-1}} = 0$  for almost all t > 0 and for fixed y. As  $\phi^a \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\widehat{\phi}^a_{\chi_{yt-1}}$  extends as an entire function over  $\mathbb{C}$ .  $\widehat{\phi}^a_{\chi_{yt-1}}$  vanishes on a set in which the Plancherel measure  $d\mu_1$  is positive hence by the classical Paley–Wiener theorem, we can conclude that  $\phi^a = 0$ , and then  $\phi = 0$  almost everywhere on G.

Acknowledgement. This article is part of the author's Ph.D thesis. The author is indebted to her advisor Professor Gérard Grélaud, for his help with this work.

### References

- [B1] P. Bernat and al, Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie résolubles, Ann. Sci. EC. Norm. Sup, 3ème série, 82 (1965).
- [B2] \_\_\_\_\_, Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles, Paris, Dunod, 1972.
- [C] B.N. Currey, An explicit Plancherel formula for completely solvable Lie groups, Michigan Math Journal, 38 (1991), 75-87.
- [DR] M. Duflo and M. Raïs, Sur l'analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie résolubles, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Sup., 9(4) (1976), 107-144.
- [GG1] G. Garimella, Un théorème de Paley-Wiener pour les groupes de Lie nilpotents, Journal of Lie Theory, 5 (1995), 165-172.
- [GG] \_\_\_\_\_, Théorèmes de Paley-Wiener. Opérateurs différentiels invariants sur les groupes de Lie nilpotents, Ph.D thesis, Université de Poitiers, 1997.
- [Pu] L. Pukanszky, On the characters and the Plancherel formula of nilpotent Lie groups, J. Func. Anal., 1 (1967), 255-280.

Received July 21, 1997 and revised October 22, 1997.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE BANGALORE - 560059 INDIA *E-mail address*: gaya@ns.isibang.ac.in