Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON DEGENERATE SECANT VARIETIES WHOSE GAUSS MAPS HAVE THE LARGEST IMAGES

Masahiro Ohno

Volume 187 No. 1

January 1999

ON DEGENERATE SECANT VARIETIES WHOSE GAUSS MAPS HAVE THE LARGEST IMAGES

Masahiro Ohno

We propose a class of projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties, which class is wider than that of Scorza varieties, and study some properties of this class of manifolds. For example, we show that there is a strong restriction on dimensions of manifolds in this class. We also give classifications of such manifolds of low dimensions.

0. Introduction.

Let X be an n-dimensional nondegenerate (i.e., not contained in a hyperplane) projective manifold in \mathbf{P}^N over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Let Sec X denote the secant variety of X in \mathbf{P}^N . It is well known that dim Sec $X \leq \min\{2n+1, N\}$. If dim Sec $X < \min\{2n+1, N\}$, Sec X is said to be degenerate (see [L]). If Sec X is degenerate, we define the secant defect of X to be the integer $\delta = 2n + 1 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ (see [L-V, § 1f] or [Z, Chap. 5, §1]). The Linear Normality Theorem ([Z, Chap. 2, Corollary 2.17]) implies that if Sec X is degenerate then dim Sec X has a lower bound, that is, dim Sec $X \geq (3n+2)/2$. If equality holds, X is called a Severi variety. Severi varieties were classified finally by F.L. Zak into only four manifolds up to projective equivalence ([Z, Chap. 4, Theorem 4.7]). After Zak's complete classification of Severi varieties, R. Lazarsfeld and A. Van de Ven proposed the following problem in [L-V, § 1f], observing that the secant defects of Severi varieties are less than or equal to eight.

Problem. Do there exist *smooth* projective varieties with arbitrarily large secant defect?

Zak also generalized the class of Severi varieties to a class of manifolds, named Scorza varieties, and classified Scorza varieties [**Z**, Chap. 6]. However the secant defects of Scorza varieties are also less than or equal to eight, and it is not yet known whether there exists a manifold with $\delta \geq 9$ (see Examples 1-9 in Section 1 and [**L**, Example 2.3]). In this article, we propose a new class of projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties, which class is wider than the class of Scorza varieties, and investigate some properties of this class of manifolds. In particular we show that there is a strong restriction on dimension of the manifold X in this class with large δ , and we give a classification of such manifolds of low dimension. Suppose that Sec X is degenerate. Let $\varepsilon = 2 \dim \text{Sec } X - 3n - 2$. Then $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and X is a Severi variety if and only if $\varepsilon = 0$. Let Sm(Sec X) denote the smooth locus of Sec X, and let $\gamma : \text{Sm}(\text{Sec } X) \to G(\dim \text{Sec } X, \mathbf{P}^N)$ be the Gauss map $u \mapsto T_u \text{Sec } X$ of Sm(Sec X). We have the following proposition concerning the dimension of the image of γ .

Proposition 0.1. dim $\text{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \text{Sec } X - n - 1 - c)$ for some integer c $(0 \le c \le \varepsilon)$.

For a Severi variety X, the invariant c in Proposition 0.1 is obviously zero, and not only Severi varieties but also Scorza varieties satisfy the condition c = 0 (see [Z, Chap. 6, (1.4.11)]). Moreover the condition c = 0 is satisfied by other manifolds such as general hyperplane sections of any of Scorza varieties (see Examples 1-4 and 7 in Section 1). Furthermore, to the best of my knowledge, all examples of c > 0 are constructed from those of c = 0(see Examples 8 and 9 Section 1). Thus we study projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties whose Gauss maps have the largest images, i.e., c = 0, in this article.

The main results of this article are the following.

Theorem 0.2. Suppose that $\operatorname{Sec} X$ is degenerate and that $\dim \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1)$. Then

1) X is rationally connected.

2) If dim Sec $X \leq (2n-2)$, then dim Sec $X \equiv n+1 \pmod{2}$, i.e., $n+\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and X is a Fano manifold with $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X((-3n+\varepsilon)/4)$. 3) If dim Sec $X \leq (2n-4)$, then dim Sec $X \equiv n+1 \pmod{4}$. 4) The possible values of n are (4-1) a) 4,6,10,14, or b) $2^m - 2 \pmod{7}$, $2^m \cdot 3 - 2 \pmod{5}$ if $\varepsilon = 2$; (4-2) a) 5,7,9,13, or b) $21, 2^m - 3 \pmod{27}$, $2^m \cdot 3 - 3 \pmod{25}$ if $\varepsilon = 3$; (4-3) a) 6,8,12, or b) $20, 28, 2^m - 4 \pmod{27}$, $2^m \cdot 3 - 4 \pmod{25}$ if $\varepsilon = 4$; (4-4) a) 7,9,11, or b) $19, 27, 2^m - 5 \pmod{27}$, $2^m \cdot 3 - 5 \pmod{25}$ if $\varepsilon = 5$.

This theorem can be seen as a generalization of the famous result that if $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e., X is a Severi variety then X is a Fano manifold and the possible values of n are 2, 4, 8 and 16. In [**Oh**, Theorem 0.2], it is shown that if $\varepsilon = 1$ and dim Im(γ) = 2(dim Sec X - n - 1) then the possible values of n are 3, 5, 7, 15, $2^m - 1$ ($m \ge 7$), or $2^m \cdot 3 - 1$ ($m \ge 5$). However it is unknown whether there exists a manifold X of dim Sec $X \le 2n - 4$ and $n \ge 17$. Note also that all known examples satisfying the condition dim Im(γ) = 2(dim Sec X - n - 1) are not only rationally connected but also Fano.

Theorem 0.3. Suppose that Sec X is degenerate and of dimension 2n - 1 and that the image of the Gauss map γ has dimension 2(n-2).

If n = 6, then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is one of the following.

1) $(\mathbf{P}^l \times \mathbf{P}^{6-l}, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$ (l = 2, 3);

2) $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is a linear section of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^5)$ embedded in \mathbf{P}^{14} via the Plücker

embedding a section which is cut out by codimension 2 linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{14} .

If Sec X is degenerate and of dimension 2n - 1, then $n \ge 4$ by the Linear Normality Theorem. If n = 4, then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is the 4-dimensional Severi variety $(\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$. In **[Oh**, Theorem 0.3], it is shown that if n = 5 and dim Im $(\gamma) = 6$ then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Theorem 0.4. Suppose that Sec X is degenerate and of dimension 2n and that dim $Im(\gamma) = 2(n-1)$.

If n = 4, then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is one of the following.

1) $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^l}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E})), \text{ where } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (4-l)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2) \ (l = 2, 3, 4);$

2) $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E})), \text{ where } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2}.$

If n = 5, then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is one of the following.

1) $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^{l}}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E})), \text{ where } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (5-l)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2) \ (l = 2, 3, 4, 5);$

2) $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^l}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E})), \text{ where } \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (6-2l)} \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^l} \ (l=2,3);$

3) $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is a linear section of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^5) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ a section cut out by codimension 3 linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{14} ;

4) $(\Sigma_{10}, \mathcal{O}(1))$, where Σ_{10} is the adjoint manifold of the simple algebraic group of exceptional type G_2 and $\mathcal{O}(1)$ is the fundamental line bundle on it. (In other words Σ_{10} is the 5-dimensional Mukai manifold of genus 10 ([**Mu**]).)

If Sec X is degenerate and of dimension 2n, then $n \geq 2$ by the Linear Normality Theorem. If n = 2, then F. Severi determined $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ to be $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(2))$. If n = 3, then T. Fujita ([**F**, Theorem (2.1)]) showed that $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is one of the following: $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^l}(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3-l} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)), H(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)))$ where (l = 2, 3), or $(\mathbf{P}(T_{\mathbf{P}^2}), H(T_{\mathbf{P}^2}))$.

The contents of this article are as follows. In Section 1, we collect examples and basic facts concerning degenerate secant varieties and give a proof of Proposition 0.1. In Section 2, we investigate projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties whose Gauss maps have the largest images and show Theorem 0.2. In Section 3, we study projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties of dimension 2n - 1 and give a proof of Theorem 0.3. Finally in Section 4 we investigate the case that dim Sec X = 2n and we prove Theorem 0.4.

Acknowledgements. The author expresses gratitude to Professors Takao Fujita and Hajime Kaji for their kind advice and encouragement, and to the referee for his valuable suggestions.

Notation and conventions.

We work over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. We follow the notation and terminology of $[\mathbf{H}]$. We use the word *manifold* to mean a smooth variety. For a manifold X, we denote by K_X the canonical divisor of X and by $\kappa(X)$ the Kodaira dimension of X. We use the word *point* to mean a closed point and the word *line* to mean a smooth rational curve of degree 1. By a *secant* line of a subvariety X of \mathbf{P}^N , we mean a line joining two distinct points of X. The embedded tangent space $T_x X$ of a subvariety X of \mathbf{P}^N at a point $x \in X$ is the unique linear subspace $L \subseteq \mathbf{P}^N$ such that $x \in L$ and there is an equality of Zariski tangent space $T(X)_x = T(L)_x$ as linear subspace of $T(\mathbf{P}^N)_x$. For a closed subvariety X of \mathbf{P}^N , we call the secant variety of X the closure of the union of all secant lines and denote it by $\operatorname{Sec} X$, and we call the tangent variety of X the union of all embedded tangent spaces and denote it by Tan X. We denote by $G(r, \mathbf{P}^N)$ the Grassmannian of r-planes in \mathbf{P}^N . Given two distinct points x, y on \mathbf{P}^N , let x * y denote the line joining them. For subsets X, Y of \mathbf{P}^N , let X * Y be the closure of the union of all lines x * y joining two distinct points $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. We use the words "locally free sheaf" and "vector bundle" interchangeably if no confusion seems likely to result. For a vector bundle Eof rank e+1 on a variety X, we define the *i*-th Segre class $s_i(E)$ of E by the formula $s_i(E) \cap \alpha = p_*(c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E^{\vee})}(1))^{e+i} \cap p^*\alpha)$ where α is a k-dimensional cycle modulo rational equivalence and $p: \mathbf{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ is the projection. We also define the total Segre class s(E) to be $1 + s_1(E) + s_2(E) + \cdots$. The total Chern class $c(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + \cdots$ is defined by the formula c(E)s(E) = 1. These definitions of $s_i(E)$ and $c_i(E)$ are the same as those of [F1]. By abuse of notation, we simply write $s_n(E)$ for deg $s_n(E)$ when $n = \dim X$. We denote also by H(E) the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(E)}(1)$ on $\mathbf{P}(E)$. For a scheme X over a scheme Y and a line bundle L on X, we denote by $P^1_{X/Y}(L)$ the bundle of principal parts of L of first order on X over Y. For a linear system Λ , Bs Λ denotes the base locus of Λ . Let [r]denote the greatest integer not greater than r for a real number r.

1. Preliminaries and Proof of Proposition 0.1.

Let X be an n-dimensional nondegenerate closed submanifold in \mathbf{P}^N . Let B be the blowing-up of $X \times X$ along the diagonal Δ , and let $S_0 = \{(x, y, u) \in (X \times X \setminus \Delta) \times \mathbf{P}^N | x, y, \text{ and } u \text{ are collinear}\}$. Since $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$ is the exceptional divisor of B, we can identify $X \times X \setminus \Delta$ with $B \setminus \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$. Thus S_0 can be identified with a closed submanifold of $(B \setminus \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) \times \mathbf{P}^N$. We define S to be the closure of S_0 in $B \times \mathbf{P}^N$. We call S the complete secant bundle of X. Let $p : S \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbf{P}^N \to B$ be the first projection and $\sigma : S \hookrightarrow B \times \mathbf{P}^N \to \mathbf{P}^N$ the second projection. Then $\operatorname{Sec} X = \operatorname{Im}(\sigma)$. For a point $u \in$ Sec X, let $\Sigma_u = \sigma(p^{-1}(p(\sigma^{-1}(u)))), Q_u = \Sigma_u \cap X$, and $\theta_u = \{x \in X | u \in T_x X\}$. We call Σ_u the secant cone, Q_u the secant locus, and θ_u the tangent locus, with respect to u. Let $\operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X)$ denote the smooth locus of $\operatorname{Sec} X$. Let $\gamma : \operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X) \to G(\dim \operatorname{Sec} X, \mathbf{P}^N)$ be the Gauss map $u \mapsto T_u \operatorname{Sec} X$ of $\operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X)$. For a point $u \in \operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X), C_u$ denotes the closure of $\gamma^{-1}(\gamma(u))$ in $\operatorname{Sec} X$. Thus

$$C_u = \overline{\{v \in \operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X) | T_v \operatorname{Sec} X = T_u \operatorname{Sec} X\}}$$

and C_u is called the contact locus of $\operatorname{Sec} X$ with $T_u \operatorname{Sec} X$. We fix and will use these notations in the following four sections.

We first observe that $\Sigma_u = u * Q_u$ and that C_u is a linear subspace in \mathbf{P}^N for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ (see, for example, [Z, Chap. 1, Theorem 2.3 c)]). We also have $\Sigma_u \subseteq C_u$ for any general point $u \in$ $\operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X)$ (see, for example, [F, (3.6)] or [Oh, Corollary 1.2]). Let $D'_u =$ $\overline{\bigcup_{v \in C_u: \text{ general}} Q_v}$. Then $C_u = \operatorname{Sec} D'_u$ and $1 + 2 \dim D'_u = \dim Q_u + \dim C_u$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ (see [Oh, Lemma 1.4]). Let $X_u = \{x \in X | T_x X \subseteq T_u \operatorname{Sec} X\}$ for a point $u \in \operatorname{Sm}(\operatorname{Sec} X)$. Then $D'_u \subseteq X_u$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ by [Oh, Corollary 1.3].

Lemma 1.1. For a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$, we have

1) dim $Q_u = 2n + 1 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$;

2) dim $\Sigma_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$;

3) dim $X_u \leq \dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1$ if $\operatorname{Sec} X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$.

Proof. Note that $\sigma^{-1}(u) \to p_i \circ p(\sigma^{-1}(u))$ is finite for a point $u \in \text{Sec } X \setminus X$ where $p_i : B \to X$ is the *i*-th projection (i = 1, 2). Thus we have dim $Q_u = \dim \sigma^{-1}(u)$ for a point $u \in \text{Sec } X \setminus X$ since $Q_u = p_i \circ p(\sigma^{-1}(u))$. Hence we have 1). Since dim $\Sigma_u = \dim Q_u + 1$, we have 2). Suppose that $\text{Sec } X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$. Then $T_u \text{Sec } X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$. Let $T(X_u, X) = \bigcup_{x \in X_u} T_x X$. By the definition of X_u , we obtain $T(X_u, X) \subseteq T_u \text{Sec } X$. Let $S(X_u, X) = X_u * X$. Then $X \subseteq S(X_u, X)$. Note also that X is not contained in $T_u \text{Sec } X$ since X is nondegenerate in \mathbf{P}^N . Therefore we get dim $S(X_u, X) = \dim X_u + n + 1$ by [Z, Chap. 1, Theorem 1.4]. Hence we have 3) since dim $S(X_u, X) \leq$ dim Sec X.

Here let us recall the definition of degeneration of a secant or tangent variety (see $[\mathbf{L}]$).

Definition 1.2. Sec X is said to be degenerate if dim Sec $X \leq 2n$ and Sec $X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$. Likewise Tan X is said to be degenerate if dim Tan $X \leq 2n-1$ and Tan $X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$.

Now we give a proof of Proposition 0.1.

Proof of Proposition 0.1. Let u be a general point of Sec X. Note first that showing that dim $\text{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \text{Sec } X - n - 1 - c)$ is equivalent to

showing that $\dim C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X + 2c$. Since $\Sigma_u \subseteq C_u$, we have $2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X = \dim \Sigma_u \leq \dim C_u$ by Lemma 1.1 2). On the other hand, $\dim C_u = 1 + 2 \dim D'_u - \dim Q_u = 2 \dim D'_u + (2 - n + \varepsilon)/2$ by Lemma 1.1 1) and $2 \dim D'_u + (2 - n + \varepsilon)/2 \leq 2 \dim X_u + (2 - n + \varepsilon)/2 \leq 2 (\dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1) + ((2 - n + \varepsilon)/2)$ by Lemma 1.1 3). Thus $\dim C_u \leq 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X + 2\varepsilon$. Hence we have $\dim C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X + 2\varepsilon$ for some integer c $(0 \leq c \leq \varepsilon)$ because $\dim C_u$ depends only on $2 \dim D'_u$. Therefore we have proved Proposition 0.1.

Remark 1.3. To the best of my knowledge, all examples of linearly normal manifolds $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ with degenerate secant varieties satisfy a condition that $\dim \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) \geq n$ (see Examples below). It is an unsolved problem whether there exist manifolds of $\dim \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) < n$ or not.

In order to see examples of projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties, we state some propositions. First the following two propositions are fundamental.

Proposition 1.4. Let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a hyperplane such that $X \cap H$ is nonsingular. If dim Sec $X \leq 2n$, then $(\text{Sec } X) \cap H = \text{Sec}(X \cap H)$.

Proof. The inclusion (Sec X) ∩ H ⊇ Sec(X ∩ H) is obvious. Let $u \in ((\operatorname{Sec} X) ∩ H) \setminus (X ∩ H)$. Since dim Sec $X \leq 2n$, Q_u is positive dimensional. Therefore $Q_u ∩ H \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in Q_u ∩ H$. Then x lies on either a secant line x * y ($y \in X, y \neq x$) of X or a tangent line l_x of X at x either of which passes through u, since X is regular. If $u \in x * y$, then $x \in X ∩ H$ and $y \in Q_u ∩ (u * x) \subseteq X ∩ H$. Therefore $u \in \operatorname{Sec}(X ∩ H)$. If $u \in l_x$, then $l_x = u * x \subseteq (T_x X) ∩ H = T_x(X ∩ H)$ since X ∩ H is nonsingular. Thus l_x is a tangent line of X ∩ H at x. Hence $u \in \operatorname{Tan}(X ∩ H) \subseteq \operatorname{Sec}(X ∩ H)$. □

Proposition 1.5. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety (not necessarily smooth) of dimension n and let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general hyperplane. If dim Sec Y = 2n + 1, then dim Sec $(Y \cap H) = 2n - 1$.

Proof. Let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general hyperplane, and let $x, y \in Y \cap H$ be a general point. Then neither $T_x Y$ nor $T_y Y$ is contained in H. Since dim Sec Y = 2n + 1, we have $T_x Y \cap T_y Y = \emptyset$ by Terracini's lemma (see, for example, [**F-R**, Lemma 2.1]). Therefore $T_x(Y \cap H) \cap T_y(Y \cap H) = \emptyset$, or equivalently dim $T_x(Y \cap H) * T_y(Y \cap H) = 2n - 1$. Hence again by Terracini's lemma we obtain dim Sec $(Y \cap H) = 2n - 1$.

Terracini's lemma also implies the following proposition.

Proposition 1.6. Let $Y \subseteq \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety (not necessarily smooth) of dimension n and let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$.

1) If dim Sec $Y \leq 2n - 1$, then Sec $(Y \cap H) = \text{Sec } Y$.

2) If dim Sec $Y \ge 2n$, then dim Sec $(Y \cap H) = 2n - 1$.

Proof. Let X be the smooth locus of Y and let $\delta = 2n + 1 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} Y$. Then by Terracini's lemma we have $\delta = 1 + \dim T_p X \cap T_q X$ for general two points p, q of X, where we set $\dim \emptyset = -1$. If $T_p X \cap T_q X = \emptyset$ for general two points p, q of X, then the statement obviously holds. Thus we assume in the following that $T_p X \cap T_q X \neq \emptyset$ for general two points p, q of X. Let U be the open subset of $X \times X \setminus \Delta_X$ which consists of points (p, q) such that $\delta = 1 + \dim T_p X \cap T_q X$. Let

$$A = \{ (H, p, q) \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(d)| \times U | p, q \in H \},$$

$$B_1 = \{ (H, p, q) \in A | T_p X \cap T_q X \subseteq T_p H \},$$

$$B_2 = \{ (H, p, q) \in A | T_p X \cap T_q X \subseteq T_q H \}$$

and

 $C = \{ (H, p, q) \in A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2) | T_p X \cap T_q X \cap T_p H = T_p X \cap T_q X \cap T_q H \}.$

Then A is irreducible, B_1 and B_2 are closed in A and C is closed in $A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$.

Since A is irreducible, $B_1 \cup B_2$ is a proper subset of A if B_1 and B_2 are proper subsets of A. We claim that B_1 and B_2 are proper subsets of A. Let $(p,q) \in U$. The image of the rational map $H \mapsto T_pH$ from $|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(d) - p - q|$ to the dual projective space $\check{\mathbf{P}}^N$ is the hyperplane \check{p} corresponding to the point p since $d \geq 2$. Note that $\{p\} \neq T_pX \cap T_qX$ for a general point $(p,q) \in U$. Indeed, it is obvious if X is linear since $n \geq 1$; thus assume that X is not linear. Then the subset of U consisting of points (p,q) such that $\{p\} = T_pX \cap T_qX$ is contained in the subset which consists of points $(p,q) \in U$ such that $p \in T_qX$, and this subset is a proper closed subset of U since X is not linear. Note also that the linear subset $(T_pX \cap T_qX)^{\vee}$ in $\check{\mathbf{P}}^N$ which is dual to $T_pX \cap T_qX$ is a proper subset of $\check{\mathbf{P}}^N$ because $T_pX \cap T_qX \neq \emptyset$. Thus \check{p} is not contained in $(T_pX \cap T_qX)^{\vee}$. Hence, for a general point $(p,q) \in U$ and a general member $H \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(d) - p - q|$, T_pH does not contain $T_pX \cap T_qX$. Therefore B_1 is a proper subset of A. Similar argument shows that B_2 is a proper subset of A.

Suppose that $\delta = 1$. Then we have $T_pX \cap T_qX \cap T_pH \cap T_qH = \emptyset$ for a point $(H, p, q) \in A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$. Moreover for a general point $(H, p, q) \in A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$, $X \cap H$ is nonsingular, $T_p(X \cap H) = T_pX \cap T_pH$ and $T_q(X \cap H) = T_qX \cap T_qH$. Hence dim Sec $(X \cap H) = 2n - 1$ and this completes the proof of 2) of the proposition.

Suppose that $\delta \geq 2$. Thus we have dim $T_p X \cap T_q X \geq 1$ for a point $(p,q) \in U$.

We claim that C is a proper subset of $A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$. Let f be the rational map $H \mapsto (T_pH, T_qH)$ from $(p,q) \times |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(d) - p - q|$ to $\check{\mathbf{P}}^N \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^N$. The image of f is $\check{p} \times \check{q}$ since $d \geq 2$. Let $(\check{p} \times \check{q})^\circ$ be the set consisting of points $(F,G) \in \check{p} \times \check{q}$ such that neither F nor G contains $T_pX \cap T_qX$. Then the image of $A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2) \cap (p,q) \times |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(d) - p - q|$ is contained in $(\check{p} \times \check{q})^\circ$. Note that the closed subset in $(\check{p} \times \check{q})^{\circ}$ consisting of points (F, G) such that $T_p X \cap T_q X \cap F = T_p X \cap T_q X \cap G$ is a proper subset of $(\check{p} \times \check{q})^{\circ}$ because $\dim T_p X \cap T_q X \ge 1$. Therefore C is a proper subset of $A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2)$.

Hence we have dim $T_pX \cap T_qX \cap T_pH \cap T_qH = \dim T_pX \cap T_qX - 2$ and $X \cap H$ is nonsingular for a general point $(H, p, q) \in A \setminus (B_1 \cup B_2 \cup C)$. Therefore dim Sec $(X \cap H) = \dim \text{Sec} X$.

The following proposition is useful when one computes the dimension of the image of the Gauss map of the secant variety of a hyperplane section of some known manifold.

Proposition 1.7. Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a projective variety of dimension m. For a regular point u of Y, let $C_{u,Y}$ denote the contact locus of Y with T_uY . Then for a general hyperplane $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ and a general point $u \in Y \cap H$, $C_{u,Y} \cap H = C_{u,Y \cap H}$.

Proof. The inclusion $C_{u,Y} \cap H \subseteq C_{u,Y \cap H}$ is easy.

In order to prove the other inclusion, we claim the following. Let H be a general hyperplane of \mathbf{P}^N and u a general regular point of $Y \cap H$. If v is a regular point of $Y \cap H$ such that $\dim T_u Y \cap T_v Y = m - 1$ and u, $v \in T_u Y \cap T_v Y$, then $T_u Y \cap T_v Y$ is not contained in H.

The other inclusion is straightforward from this claim. Indeed let v be a regular point of $Y \cap H$ such that $T_u(Y \cap H) = T_v(Y \cap H)$. Then v is a regular point of Y and $(T_uY) \cap H = (T_vY) \cap H$. Hence $(T_uY) \cap H = (T_uY) \cap$ $(T_vY) \cap H \subseteq T_uY \cap T_vY$. If $T_uY \neq T_vY$, then $T_uY \cap T_vY = T_uY \cap H \subset H$ and this contradicts the claim. Therefore $T_uY = T_vY$.

Now we prove the claim. We may assume that Y is not linear because, otherwise, the proposition is obvious. Let

 $\mathcal{Y} = \{(u, v) \in Y_{\text{reg}} \times Y_{\text{reg}} | \dim T_u Y \cap T_v Y = m - 1 \text{ and } u, v \in T_u Y \cap T_v Y \}.$ Then dim $\mathcal{Y} \leq 2m - 1$. Let

$$\mathcal{Z} = \{ ((u, v), H) \in \mathcal{Y} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^N | T_u Y \cap T_v Y \subseteq H$$

and neither $T_u Y$ nor $T_v Y$ is contained in $H \}.$

Since dim $T_u Y \cap T_v Y = m-1$, we get dim $\mathcal{Z} = \dim \mathcal{Y} + N - m \leq m + N - 1$. Let

 $\mathcal{W} = \{(u, H) \in Y_{\text{reg}} \times \check{\mathbf{P}}^N | u \in H \text{ and } T_u Y \text{ is not contained in } H\}.$

Then dim $\mathcal{W} = m + N - 1$. Let $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{W}$ be the natural projection. Our claim is to say that f is not dominant. Suppose to the contrary that f is dominant. Then f is quasi-finite. Note that a general fiber of f is a dense subset of $C_{u,Y\cap H} \setminus (C_{u,Y} \cap H)$. Note also that $C_{u,Y\cap H}$ is irreducible since char.k = 0. Hence dim $C_{u,Y\cap H} = 0$. On the other hand, $C_{u,Y} \cap H$ is not empty, so that dim $C_{u,Y\cap H} \ge 1$. This is a contradiction. This completes the proof of the claim.

Here we give examples of projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties, and see the value of the invariant c in Proposition 0.1 for each example.

Example 1. Let $X = \mathbf{P}^l \times \mathbf{P}^{n-l}$ embedded by the Segre embedding in $\mathbf{P}^{(l+1)(n-l+1)-1}$ where $2 \leq l \leq [n/2]$. Then dim Sec X = 2n-1 and Sec X is degenerate. In this case dim $C_u = 2n+2$ – dim Sec X for any general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. These facts are shown by Terracini's lemma ([**F-R**, Lemma 2.1]). If l = [n/2], then X is a Scorza variety.

Example 2. Let $X = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^{l}}(\mathcal{E})$ embedded in $\mathbf{P}(H^{0}(\mathcal{E}))$ by the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$ of X where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n+1-2l)} \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^{l}}$ and $2 \leq l \leq [(n+1)/2]$. Then dim Sec X = 2n and Sec X is degenerate. In this case dim $C_{u} = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. One can see these facts by Propositions 1.4 and 1.7 because X is a smooth hyperplane section of the Segre manifold in Example 1.

Example 3. Let $X = \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^{l}}(\mathcal{E})$ embedded in $\mathbf{P}(H^{0}(\mathcal{E}))$ by the tautological line bundle $H(\mathcal{E})$ of X where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-l)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ and $2 \leq l \leq n$. Then dim Sec X = 2n and Sec X is degenerate. In this case dim $C_{u} = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. These facts are shown by Terracini's lemma. If l = n, then X is a Scorza variety.

Example 4. Let G be a simple algebraic group of rank ≥ 2 and g its Lie algebra. Let X be the closed orbit of the action on $\mathbf{P}_*(g)$ by G action induced by the adjoint representation $G \rightarrow GL(g)$. We call X the adjoint manifold of G in $\mathbf{P}_*(g)$. Then Sec X is degenerate and 2n-dimensional, and dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ by $[\mathbf{K}-\mathbf{O}-\mathbf{Y}]$.

Example 5. Let $X = G(1, \mathbf{P}^{(n+2)/2})$ in \mathbf{P}^N via the Plücker embedding where n is an even integer ≥ 8 . Then dim Sec X = 2n - 3 and Sec X is degenerate. In this case dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. As above, Terracini's lemma shows these facts. In this case, Xis a Scorza variety.

Example 6. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^{26}$ be the 16-dimensional Severi variety (see [**Z**, Chap. 3, 2.5.E)] or [**L**-**V**, § 1b]). Then dim Sec X = 25 = 2n - 7 and Sec X is degenerate. In this case dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ by Proposition 0.1 since X is a Severi variety.

In the following examples, let c(X) denote the invariant c in Proposition 0.1 for a projective manifold $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$, and let $\delta(X)$ denote the secant defect $2n + 1 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ of X.

Example 7. Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{N+1}$ be an (n + 1)-dimensional projective manifold with degenerate secant variety of dim Sec $Y \leq 2(n + 1) - 1$. Let $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general smooth hyperplane section of $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{N+1}$. Then dim Sec $X = \dim \operatorname{Sec} Y - 1 \leq 2n$ (i.e., $\delta(X) = \delta(Y) - 1$) by Proposition 1.4 and Sec X is also degenerate. We have also c(X) = c(Y) by Proposition 1.7.

Example 8. Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be an (n + 1)-dimensional projective manifold with degenerate secant variety of dim Sec $Y \leq 2(n + 1) - 2$. Let $H \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ be a general hypersurface of degree $d \geq 2$, and let $X = Y \cap H$. Then $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is an *n*-dimensional nondegenerate projective manifold with degenerate secant variety Sec X equal to Sec Y by Proposition 1.6 1). Hence $\delta(X) = \delta(Y) - 2$ and c(X) = c(Y) + 1 > 0.

Example 9. Let $Y \subset \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$ be an *n*-dimensional projective manifold with degenerate secant variety of dim Sec $Y \leq 2n-1$. Embed \mathbf{P}^{N-1} in \mathbf{P}^N as a hyperplane and let $p \in \mathbf{P}^N \setminus \mathbf{P}^{N-1}$. Let $C_p(Y)$ denote the cone over Y with vertex p. Let X be a smooth intersection of $C_p(Y)$ and a general hypersurface of \mathbf{P}^N of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is an *n*-dimensional nondegenerate projective manifold with degenerate secant variety Sec X equal to $C_p(\operatorname{Sec} Y)$ by Proposition 1.6 1). Hence $\delta(X) = \delta(Y) - 1$ and c(X) = c(Y) + 1 > 0.

2. Proof of Theorem 0.2.

In this section, we investigate *n*-dimensional projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties under an additional condition that $\dim \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1)$, and prove Theorem 0.2.

Let X be an n-dimensional nondegenerate projective manifold in \mathbf{P}^N with degenerate secant variety Sec X in this section, unless otherwise stated. Note that the condition that dim $\operatorname{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1)$ is equivalent to the condition that dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. We begin with the following geometric observation.

Proposition 2.1. Assume that dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. Then the secant cone Σ_u is a linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^N of dimension $2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. Moreover the secant locus Q_u is a smooth hyperquadric in Σ_u , and the tangent locus θ_u is a smooth hyperplane section of Q_u for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$.

Proof. The first statement follows immediately from Lemma 1.1 2) and the fact that $\Sigma_u \subseteq C_u$. For a proof of the second statement, note first that a linear subspace Σ_u contains Q_u as a hypersurface. Second note that X is not a hypersurface in \mathbf{P}^N because $\operatorname{Sec} X$ is degenerate, so that the trisecant lemma $[\mathbf{F}, (1.6)]$ shows that Q_u is a hyperquadric in Σ_u . For the rest of the

second statement, refer to the proof of Theorem 3 in [F-R, p. 964, l.15 - p. 967, l.7], and make obvious adjustments.

Corollary 2.2. If dim Sec $X \leq 2n$ and dim Im $(\gamma) = 2(\dim \text{Sec } X - n - 1)$, then X is rationally connected. In particular $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ and $h^i(\mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ for all i > 0.

Proof. For the definition of rational connectedness, see [Ko-Mi-Mo, (2.2)]. If dim Sec $X \leq 2n$, then dim $Q_u \geq 1$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$ by Lemma 1.1 1). Thus general two points can be joined by Q_u which is quadric by the proposition above. Hence X is rationally connected. The rest of the assertion follows immediately from [M-M, Theorem 1] and [Ko-Mi-Mo, (2.5.2)].

Remark 2.3. So far as I know, all examples of projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties which satisfy the condition $\dim \operatorname{Im}(\gamma) = 2(\dim \operatorname{Sec} X - n - 1)$ are not only rationally connected but also Fano. It is an unsolved problem whether such manifolds are always Fano or not.

The following proposition is a generalization of [F, Lemma (2.3)], where n = 3, to arbitrary dimension n.

Proposition 2.4. Assume that dim $C_u = 2n + 2$ - dim Sec X for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. If dim Sec X = 2n, then $K_X Q_u = -n - 1$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$.

Proof. We will use the notation introduced in §1 before Lemma 1.1. First of all, let us recall another construction of the complete secant bundle S of X (see $[\mathbf{F}, (1.2)]$). Let $p_i : B \to X$ be the *i*-th projection (i = 1, 2). Let Ldenote $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and let $\varphi : \mathcal{O}_X \otimes H^0(L) \to L$ be the natural surjection. Put $V = p_1^*L \oplus p_2^*L$ and let $\Phi = (p_1^*\varphi, p_2^*\varphi) : \mathcal{O}_B \otimes H^0(L) \to V$ the morphism induced from $p_1^*\varphi$ and $p_2^*\varphi$. Let $W = \mathbf{P}(V)$, and let $f : W \to B$ be the projection. Then $f^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$. We define homogeneous coordinates $(e_1; e_2)$ of \mathbf{P}^1 of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$ by the formula $V = p_1^*Le_1 \oplus p_2^*Le_2$. Let $\mathcal{O}_W(1)$ denote $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(V)}(1)$. The composite of $f^*\Phi : \mathcal{O}_W \otimes H^0(L) \to f^*V$ and $f^*V \to \mathcal{O}_W(1)$ defines a linear system Λ on W. We have $\mathrm{Bs}\Lambda = \{(1; -1)\} \times$ $\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X) \subset f^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X))$. Let $g : W' \to W$ be the blowing-up along $\mathrm{Bs}\Lambda$. Let Ebe the exceptional divisor of g, and D the proper transform of $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$ along g. Then D is contractible, and we obtain a morphism $\tau : W' \to S$ such that τ is the blowing-up of S along $\tau(D)$ and $D = \tau^{-1}(\tau(D))$. We also have $\tau^*\sigma^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1) = g^*\mathcal{O}_W(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)$.

Let $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$ be a general point. Since Q_u is a smooth quadric by Proposition 2.1, we have $\sigma^{-1}(u) \cong Q_u$ via $p_i \circ p$. Thus we also have $\sigma^{-1}(u) \cap p^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) \cong \theta_u$ via $p_i \circ p$, and hence we have $p^* \mathcal{O}_B(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X))|_{\sigma^{-1}(u)} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(1)$ by Proposition 2.1. Since $\tau(D)$ is a section of $p^{-1}(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) \to \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)$ and, for any point $[t_x] \in \mathbf{P}(\Omega_X), p^{-1}([t_x]) \cap \tau(D)$ corresponds to the tangent point $x \in X$ in the tangent line t_x , we get $D \cap \tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u)) = \emptyset$

and $\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u)) \cong \sigma^{-1}(u)$. Since $\mathcal{O} = \sigma^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1)|_{\sigma^{-1}(u)} \cong g^* \mathcal{O}_W(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(-E)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$, we have $\mathcal{O}(E)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))} = g^* \mathcal{O}_W(1)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$. Thus

$$\mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(1) \cong p^* \mathcal{O}_B(\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X))|_{\sigma^{-1}(u)}$$
$$\cong (E+D)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$$
$$= E|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$$
$$= g^* \mathcal{O}_W(1)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}.$$

Note that $K_S|_{\sigma^{-1}(u)} = K_{\sigma^{-1}(u)} \cong K_{Q_u}$ by generic smoothness. Therefore we have

$$g^{*}K_{W}|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))} = (K_{W'} - E)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$$

= $(\tau^{*}K_{S} + D - E)|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$
 $\cong \mathcal{O}(K_{Q_{u}}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_{u}}(-1)$
= $\mathcal{O}_{Q_{u}}(-\dim Q_{u} - 1)$

and

$$g^*K_W|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$$

$$= g^*(\mathcal{O}_W(-2) \otimes f^*(\mathcal{O}(p_1^*K_X + p_2^*K_X + (n-1)\mathbf{P}(\Omega_X)) \otimes p_1^*L \otimes p_2^*L))|_{\tau^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(u))}$$

$$\cong \mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(-2) \otimes \mathcal{O}(K_X)|_{p_1(p(\sigma^{-1}(u)))} \otimes \iota^*(\mathcal{O}(K_X)|_{p_2(p(\sigma^{-1}(u)))}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_u}((n-1)+1+1)$$

$$= \mathcal{O}(K_X|_{Q_u}) \otimes \iota^*\mathcal{O}(K_X|_{Q_u}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(n-1)$$

where $\iota: Q_u = p_1(p(\sigma^{-1}(u))) \rightarrow p(\sigma^{-1}(u)) \rightarrow p_2(p(\sigma^{-1}(u))) = Q_u$ is an automorphism of Q_u . Hence we get

$$\mathcal{O}(K_X|_{Q_u} + \iota^*(K_X|_{Q_u})) \cong \mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(-\dim Q_u - n).$$

If dim Sec X = 2n, then dim $Q_u = 1$ and $\mathcal{O}(K_X|_{Q_u}) \cong \mathcal{O}(\iota^*(K_X|_{Q_u}))$, and we have deg $\mathcal{O}_{Q_u}(1) = 2$ by Proposition 2.1. Therefore $K_X \cdot Q_u = -n-1$. \Box

We will recall some results of H. Tango $[\mathbf{T}]$ in order to prove Theorem 0.2 (2) - 4) according to the idea of Fujita-Roberts-Tango.

Definition 2.5. For each integer m, we define a sequence

$$1 = f_0(m), f_1(m), f_2(m), \cdots, f_r(m), \cdots$$

of rational numbers by the following equation in the formal power series ring $\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ with coefficients in the field \mathbf{Q} of rational numbers.

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} f_i(m)t^i\right) \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} f_i(m)(1-t)^{-i}t^i\right) = (1-t)^{-m}$$

We also define a sequence

$$l = a_0(m), a_1(m), a_2(m), \cdots, a_r(m), \cdots$$

in \mathbf{Q} by the following equation in $\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$.

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i(m)t^i\right) \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} a_i(m)(1-t)^{2m-i}t^i\right) = (1-t)^m(1-2t)^{-1}.$$

Lemma 2.6. (1) If l is an odd positive integer, then $f_{2^{m+1}-2}(2^m l)$ is not an integer for each $m \ge 1$.

(2) $a_j(m) = \sum_{i=0}^j f_i(m)$ for all $j \ge 0$. (3) $(\sum_{i\ge 0} (-1)^i a_i(m) t^i)^{-1} = \sum_{i\ge 0} (f_i(m) + f_{i-1}(m)) t^i$ where $f_{-1}(m) = 0$.

For a proof, see $[\mathbf{T}, \text{Lemmas } 2, 3, \text{ and } 4]$.

Let $E = P_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$, where $P_X^1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is the bundle of the principal parts of $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ of first order, and let $\Theta = \mathbf{P}(E)$. Let us denote by $f : \Theta \to X$ and by $g : \Theta \to \operatorname{Tan} X$ the morphisms induced from the projections $\Theta \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{P}^N \to X$ and $\Theta \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbf{P}^N \to \mathbf{P}^N$ respectively. Let $\beta_u : \theta_u \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion where u is any point on $\operatorname{Tan} X$.

Lemma 2.7. Let X be a closed submanifold in \mathbf{P}^N over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic 0. Then

$$c(\Omega^1_{\theta_u}) = c(\beta_u^* E \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\theta_u}(-1))c(\beta_u^* E)$$

for any general point $u \in \operatorname{Tan} X$.

For a proof, see [**T**, Lemma 6].

In the rest of this section, let $\varepsilon = 2 \dim \text{Sec } X - 3n - 2$.

Proposition 2.8. Let u be a general point in Sec X. Suppose that dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$. Then (1) $c_i(\beta_u^* E) = a_i((n + \varepsilon)/2)h_u^i$ for all i $(0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/2)$, where $h_u = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\theta_u}(1))$. (2) $c_i(\beta_u^* N_{X/\mathbf{P}^n}(-1)) = (f_i((n + \varepsilon)/2) + f_{i-1}((n + \varepsilon)/2))h_u^i$ for all i $(0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/2)$. (3) $f_i((n + \varepsilon)/2)$ is an integer for all i $(0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/4)$. (4) $f_i((n + \varepsilon)/2) + f_{i-1}((n + \varepsilon)/2) \ge 0$ for all i $(0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/2)$.

Proof. Put $F = \theta_u$, $h = h_u$, $\beta = \beta_u$, and $c_i = c_i(\beta_u^* E)$.

(1) Since $2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X = (n - \varepsilon + 2)/2$, F is a smooth quadric hypersurface of a linear space $\mathbf{P}^{(n-\varepsilon)/2}$ by Proposition 2.1. Thus $c(\Omega_F) = (1-h)^{(n-\varepsilon+2)/2}(1-2h)^{-1}$. Since $c(\beta^*E)c(\beta^*E \otimes \mathcal{O}_F(-1)) = c(\Omega_F)$ by

Lemma 2.7, we have

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} c_i\right) \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} c_i(1-h)^{n+1-i}\right) = (1-h)^{(n-\varepsilon+2)/2}(1-2h)^{-1}.$$

Put $m = (n + \varepsilon)/2$. Then $n + \varepsilon = 2m$. Multiplying the formula above by $(1 - h)^{\varepsilon - 1}$, we get

$$\left(\sum_{i\geq 0} c_i\right) \left(\sum_{i\geq 0} c_i(1-h)^{2m-i}\right) = (1-h)^m (1-2h)^{-1}.$$

Thus, by virtue of the definition of $a_i(m)$, we have $c_i = a_i((n+\varepsilon)/2)h^i$ for $0 \le i \le \dim F$.

(2) It follows from (1) that $c(\beta^* E^{\vee}) = \sum_{i\geq 0} (-1)^i a_i ((n+\varepsilon)/2) h^i$. Recall that there is the following natural exact sequence of vector bundles.

$$0 \to \beta^* E^{\vee} \to \mathcal{O}_F \otimes H^0(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^N}(1))^{\vee} \to \beta^* N_{X/\mathbf{P}^N}(-1) \to 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.6 (3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c(\beta^* N_{X/\mathbf{P}^N}(-1)) &= c(\beta^* E^{\vee})^{-1} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\dim F} (-1)^i a_i ((n+\varepsilon)/2) h^i\right)^{-1} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\dim F} (f_i((n+\varepsilon)/2) + f_{i-1}((n+\varepsilon)/2)) h^i. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let *i* be an integer such that $0 \le 2i \le \dim F$. Then $0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/4$. Since the intersection number $c_i^2 h^{\dim F - 2i} = 2a_i((n + \varepsilon)/2)^2$ is an integer, $a_i((n + \varepsilon)/2)$ must be an integer. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.6 (2) that $f_i((n + \varepsilon)/2)$ is an integer for each i $(0 \le i \le (n - \varepsilon - 2)/4)$.

(4) Since $\beta^* N_{X/\mathbf{P}^N}(-1)$ is a spanned vector bundle, $c_i(\beta^* N_{X/\mathbf{P}^N}(-1))$ is numerically non-negative for each i $(0 \le i \le \dim F)$. Therefore, by (2), $f_i((n+\varepsilon)/2) + f_{i-1}((n+\varepsilon)/2) \ge 0$ for each i $(0 \le i \le (n-\varepsilon-2)/2)$. \Box

Proposition 2.9. Assume that dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \text{Sec } X$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$.

(1) If dim Sec X = 2n - 1 (i.e., $\varepsilon = n - 4$), then $K_X \cdot \theta_u = -n - 2$.

(2) If dim Sec $X \leq 2n-2$ (i.e., $\varepsilon \leq n-6$), then $n+1 \equiv \dim \operatorname{Sec} X \pmod{2}$ (i.e., $n+\varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$) and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X((-3n+\varepsilon)/4)$. In particular X is a Fano manifold.

(3) If dim Sec X = 2n - 2 (*i.e.*, $\varepsilon = n - 6$), then *n* is odd and $c_2(\Omega_X)|_{\theta_u} = (n+5)(n+1)/4$.

(4) If dim Sec
$$X = 2n - 3$$
 (i.e., $\varepsilon = n - 8$), then n is even and

$$c_2(\Omega_X)|_{\theta_u} = (1/32)(9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 32 + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\theta_u}(1))^2.$$

(5) If dim Sec $X \le 2n-4$ (i.e., $\varepsilon \le n-10$), then $n+1 \equiv \dim \text{Sec } X \pmod{4}$ (i.e., $n + \varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$) and

$$c_2(\Omega_X) = (1/32)(9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 32 + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^2.$$

Proof. First we have $a_1(m) = (m+2)/2$ and $a_2(m) = (m^2 + 4m + 8)/8$. Therefore $a_1((n+\varepsilon)/2) = (n+4+\varepsilon)/4$ and $a_2((n+\varepsilon)/2) = (n^2 + (8+2\varepsilon)n + 32+8\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2)/32$. Let $h = c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\theta_u}(1))$. Note that $c_1(\beta^*E) = K_X|_{\theta_u} + (n+1)h$ and that $c_2(\beta^*E) = (1/2)n(n+1)h^2 + nK_X|_{\theta_u} \cdot h + c_2(\Omega_X)|_{\theta_u}$. Hence it follows from Proposition 2.8 (1) that

$$K_X|_{\theta_u} = (1/4)(-3n+\varepsilon)h$$

and that

$$c_2(\Omega_X)|_{\theta_u} = (1/32)(9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 32 + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)h^2.$$

If $\varepsilon = n - 4$, then the above formula shows that $K_X.\theta_u = -n - 2$ since h = 2 in this case. For the case $0 \le \varepsilon \le n - 6$, we first observe that we can embed X by the linear projection into $\mathbf{P}^{N'}$ where $N' = \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$. Then $\dim X - \operatorname{codim}(X, \mathbf{P}^{N'}) = n - (N' - n) = \dim \theta_u = (n - 2 - \varepsilon)/2$. Thus if $\varepsilon \le n - 6$ then $\dim X - \operatorname{codim}(X, \mathbf{P}^{N'}) \ge 2$, so that $\operatorname{Pic} \mathbf{P}^{N'} \cong \operatorname{Pic} X$ by [**O**, Corollary 4.10]. Therefore we have $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(t)$ for some integer t. Hence the above formula shows that $t = (-3n + \varepsilon)/4$ since $\operatorname{Pic} \theta_u$ is torsion free. Thus we have $-3n + \varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, that is, $n + \varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and $K_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X((-3n + \varepsilon)/4)$.

If $\varepsilon = n - 6$, then dim $\theta_u = 2$ and $h^2 = 2$. Thus the above formula gives the desired equality $c_2(\Omega_X)|_{\theta_u} = (n+5)(n+1)/4$.

For the case $\varepsilon \leq n-10$, we have dim $X - \operatorname{codim}(X, \mathbf{P}^{N'}) = (n-3)/2 \geq 4$. Thus the natural maps $H^i(\mathbf{P}^{N'}, \mathbf{Z}) \to H^i(X, \mathbf{Z})$ are isomorphisms for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, 4$ (see [La]). It is well known that there is a theory of Chern classes with values in the cohomology ring $H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$. It follows that $c_2(\Omega_X) = tc_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^2$ for some integer t. Note that h^2 is not a torsion element since $h^{\dim \theta_u} = \deg \theta_u = 2$. Hence the above formula yields an equality $t = (9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 32 + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)/32$. Thus $0 \equiv 9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2 \equiv 9(n+\varepsilon)^2$ (mod 2⁵) since $n + \varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Therefore we have $n + \varepsilon \equiv 0 \pmod{2^3}$ and $c_2(\Omega_X) = (1/32)(9n^2 - (8+6\varepsilon)n + 32 + 8\varepsilon + \varepsilon^2)c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^2$.

Remark 2.10. In the proposition above, only known examples of the case (5) are complete intersections of the E_6 -manifold $E^{16} \subset \mathbf{P}^{26}$ and a codimension m general linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{26} (m = 0, 1, 2, 3).

Proposition 2.11. Suppose that dim $C_u = 2n+2-\dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. Assume that $\varepsilon \leq n-6$. Let m be an integer ≥ 2 , and l an odd, positive integer such that $n + \varepsilon = 2^m l$. Then $\varepsilon \geq 2^{m-1}(l-4) + 4$. Moreover possible values of n are

(1) a) 10,14, or b) $2^m - 2 \ (m \ge 7), \ 2^m \cdot 3 - 2 \ (m \ge 5)$ if $\varepsilon = 2$;

(2) a) 9,13, or b) $21, 2^m - 3$ $(m \ge 7), 2^m \cdot 3 - 3$ $(m \ge 5)$ if $\varepsilon = 3$; (3) a) 12, or b) $20, 28, 2^m - 4$ $(m \ge 7), 2^m \cdot 3 - 4$ $(m \ge 5)$ if $\varepsilon = 4$; (4) a) 11, or b) $19, 27, 2^m - 5$ $(m \ge 7), 2^m \cdot 3 - 5$ $(m \ge 5)$ if $\varepsilon = 5$.

Proof. Recall that $f_{2^m-2}(2^{m-1} \cdot l)$ is not an integer by Lemma 2.6 (1). Thus Proposition 2.8 (3) implies that an equality $(n + \varepsilon)/2 = 2^{m-1} \cdot l$ is not compatible with an inequality $2^m - 2 \leq (n - 2 - \varepsilon)/4$. This means that $\varepsilon \geq 2^{m-1}(l-4) + 4$ if $n + \varepsilon = 2^m l$. Therefore $n = 2^m - \varepsilon$ or $2^m \cdot 3 - \varepsilon$ if $\varepsilon \leq 5$.

If $\varepsilon = 2$, then $n \ge \varepsilon + 6 = 8$. Moreover we have $n \ge 10$ since $n + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ by Proposition 2.9 (2). For n = 10, there exists an example, which is the Grassmann manifold $G(1, \mathbf{P}^6)$ embedded in \mathbf{P}^{20} via the Plücker embedding. If $n \ge \varepsilon + 10 = 12$, then $n + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ by Proposition 2.9 (5). A codimension 2 linear section of the E_6 -variety is an example for the case n = 14. If $n \ge 22$, then $n = 2^m - 2 \pmod{2}$ or $2^m \cdot 3 - 2 \pmod{2}$. Suppose that $n = 2^5 - 2$. Then we have $f_i(16) + f_{i-1}(16) \ge 0$ for all $i \ (0 \le i \le 13)$ by Proposition 2.8 (4). However this is a contradiction since $f_{12}(16) = -528$ and $f_{11}(16) = 156$ by [**T**, p. 10, Table]. Similarly we get $n \ne 2^6 - 2$ since $f_{17}(32) + f_{16}(32) < 0$, $n \ne 2^3 \cdot 3 - 2$ since $f_9(12) + f_8(12) < 0$, and $n \ne 2^4 \cdot 3 - 2$ since $f_{17}(24) + f_{16}(24) < 0$ by [**T**, p. 10, Table].

If $\varepsilon = 3$, then $n \ge \varepsilon + 6 = 9$. By Proposition 2.9 (2) we have $n + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. For n = 9, we have an example, that is, a smooth hyperplane section of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^6) \subset \mathbf{P}^{20}$. As above, a codimension 3 linear section of the E_6 -variety is an example for the case n = 13. If $n \ge 13$, then $n + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ by Proposition 2.9 (5). If $n \ge 21$, then $n = 2^m - 3 \pmod{m \ge 5}$ or $2^m \cdot 3 - 3 \pmod{m \ge 3}$. Since $f_{12}(16) + f_{11}(16) < 0$, we know that $n \ne 29$. By the same argument, we know that $n \ne 61$ and that $n \ne 45$.

Finally for $\varepsilon = 4$ and 5 we obtain the result by the same argument as above.

Remark 2.12. In the proposition above, no examples are known for the case b). Moreover we cannot eliminate the cases $(\varepsilon, n) = (3, 21), (4, 20), (4, 28), (5, 19), \text{ and } (5, 27)$ by Tango's argument unlike the cases that $\varepsilon = 1$ or 2. Note also that if there exists a projective manifold $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ of $(\varepsilon, n) = (3, 21)$ then the secant defect $\delta = 2n + 1 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X$ of this manifold is nine.

Now a proof of Theorem 0.2 follows immediately from Corollary 2.2, Proposition 2.9, and Proposition 2.11 if we take account of the case $\varepsilon \ge n-4$.

3. The case that dim Sec X = 2n - 1.

In this section, let X be an n-dimensional nondegenerate projective manifold in \mathbf{P}^N with degenerate secant variety Sec X of dimension 2n - 1, and let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Then $|K_X + (n-1)L|$ is base point free by [**Oh**, Theorem 3.5]. Let $\phi: X \to \mathbf{P}(H^0(K_X + (n-1)L))$ be the adjunction map, and let $\phi = s \circ r$ $(r: X \to Y, s: Y \to \mathbf{P}(H^0(K_X + (n-1)L)))$ be the Stein factorization of ϕ .

Proposition 3.1. If $n \ge 5$, then there are the following possibilities.

(1): Y is a smooth projective surface, $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ over Y for some vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank n - 1 on Y, $K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample, and

$$2L^{n} + nK_{Y}c_{1}(\mathcal{E}) + c_{1}(\mathcal{E})^{2} + \frac{(n^{2} - 1)}{6}(K_{Y}^{2} - c_{2}(T_{Y})) = 0;$$

(2): Y is smooth and n-dimensional, r is the blowing-up at a finite point set of Y, $L = r^*M - \Sigma E_i$ (E_i : exceptional divisors) for some ample line bundle M on Y, $K_Y + (n-1)M$ is very ample, and $K_Y + (n-2)M$ is nef.

Proof. If $n \ge 5$, then dim Y = 2 or n by [**Oh**, Theorem 3.5]. If dim Y = 2, then we obtain (1) by [**Oh**, Theorem 3.5] except the assertion that $K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample. The assertion that $K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample follows from [**L-M**, Theorem B and Theorem C] since $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E} = n - 1 \ge 4$. If dim Y = n, then we have (2) by [**S-V**, (0.3) and (2.1)] except the assertion that $K_Y + (n-2)M$ is nef. Finally this assertion follows from [**Fb**, (11.8)].

Proposition 3.2. Suppose that $n \geq 5$ and that the case (1) of Proposition 3.1 holds. Assume that dim $C_u = 2n + 2 - \dim \operatorname{Sec} X = 3$ for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$. Then $(Y, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)})$, i.e., $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^{n-2}, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Proof. Since $L|_{\theta_u} = 2$ by Proposition 2.1, we have $(K_X + (n-1)L)|_{\theta_u} = n-4$ by Proposition 2.9 (1). Since $(K_X + (n-1)L)|_{\theta_u} = n-4 > 0$, dim $r(\theta_u) = 1$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. Furthermore, since θ_u 's $(u \in \text{Sec } X$: general) are algebraically equivalent, so are $r_*(\theta_u)$'s $(u \in \text{Sec } X$: general) by [F1, Proposition 10.3]. Moreover general two points x, y on X can be joined by Q_u , and so by its smooth hyperplane section θ_u for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. Hence there exist two general points u, v on Sec X such that $r(\theta_u) \cap r(\theta_v) \neq$ \emptyset and $r(\theta_u) \neq r(\theta_v)$. Thus $r(\theta_u)^2 \geq 1$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. Since $(K_X + (n-1)L)|_{\theta_u} = n-4$, we have $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))|_{r(\theta_u)} \leq n-4$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$. Therefore the Hodge index theorem implies $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 r(\theta_u)^2 \leq (n-4)^2$. Hence we have $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \leq (n-4)^2$.

Since Y is rational by Corollary 2.2 and dim Sec X = 2n - 1, Y is \mathbf{P}^2 and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(n \pm 1)$ by [I-T, Proposition 4]. Because $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \leq (n-4)^2$, we have $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(n-1)$. Hence $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)}$ by the ampleness of \mathcal{E} . \Box

Now we give a proof of Theorem 0.3.

Proof of Theorem 0.3. By Proposition 3.1, dim Y = 2 or 6. If dim Y = 2, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$ by Proposition 3.2.

Suppose that dim Y = 6. Let M be as in Proposition 3.1 (2). Since n = 6, we have $2 = (K_X + 5L)|_{\theta_u} = (K_Y + 5M)|_{r(\theta_u)}$. Thus $(K_Y + 4M)|_{r(\theta_u)} = 0$ because $M|_{r(\theta_y)} \geq 2$ and $K_Y + 4M$ is nef. On the other hand, there exists a positive integer m such that Bs $|m(K_Y + 4M)| = \emptyset$ by the Base Point Free Theorem ([K-M-M, Theorem 3-1-1]). Let $\varphi: Y \to Z$ be the morphism onto a normal projective variety Z with connected fibers obtained from the Stein factorization of the morphism defined by $|m(K_Y + 4M)|$. Then there exists an ample divisor A on Z such that $K_Y + 4M \cong \varphi^* A$ by the Contraction Theorem ([K-M-M, Theorem 3-2-1]). Noting that general two points can be joined by $r(\theta_u)$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$, we know that Z is a point since $(K_Y + 4M)|_{r(\theta_n)} = 0$. Therefore A = 0 and $K_Y + 4M = 0$. Hence Y is a Fano manifold, and for each point $y \in Y$ there is a rational curve l on Y such that $y \in l$ and $-K_Y|_l \leq 7$ by [Mo]. Thus $M|_l = 1$. This implies that r is an isomorphism because of the ampleness of L. Therefore X is a 6-dimensional Fano manifold of coindex 3, and we have $h^0(L) = \dim X + q(X,L) - 1$ where g(X, L) is the sectional genus of the polarized manifold (X, L) (see, for example, [Ko, Chap. V, 1.12.6 Exercise]). Furthermore X satisfies the assumption (ES) in [Mu] since L is very ample. We also have $h^0(L) \ge 13$ because Sec $X \neq \mathbf{P}^{N}$ and dim Sec X = 11. Hence $g(X, L) \geq 8$. Therefore $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is one of a Segre variety $\mathbf{P}^3 \times \mathbf{P}^3 \subset \mathbf{P}^{15}$, a linear section of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^5) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ by codimension 2 linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{14} , or $\Sigma_9 \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ by [Mu, Theorem 2 and Theorem 7]. However $\operatorname{Sec} \Sigma_9 = \mathbf{P}^{13}$ by [K], which contradicts the assumption that $\dim \sec X = 11$. On the other hand, the Segre manifold satisfies this assumption and the condition that $\dim C_u = 3$, and the linear section of the Grassmann manifold satisfies the assumption that dim Sec X = 11 by Proposition 1.4.

Remark 3.3. A linear section of the Grassmann manifold cut out by codimension 2 general linear subspace satisfies the condition that dim $C_u = 3$ by Proposition 1.7. However it is uncertain whether every smooth linear section satisfies the condition or not.

4. The case that dim $\operatorname{Sec} X = 2n$.

In this section we investigate n-dimensional projective manifolds with degenerate secant varieties of dimension 2n. First of all, we state a couple of Lemmas needed later.

Lemma 4.1. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional projective manifold. Then dim Sec $X \leq 2n$ if and only if

$$(\deg X)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^n {\binom{2n+1}{j}} c_1(\mathcal{O}_X(1))^j s_{n-j}(T_X) \cap [X] = 0.$$

For a proof, see $[\mathbf{F}, (1.5) \text{ and } (1.7)]$ or $[\mathbf{Ho}]$.

Lemma 4.2. Let $X \subseteq \mathbf{P}^N$ be an n-dimensional projective manifold, $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$, and assume that $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}_Y(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ for some locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank n - m + 1 on an m-dimensional projective manifold Y. Then

$$(L^{n})^{2} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} {\binom{2n+1}{j}} c_{1}(L)^{j} s_{n-j}(T_{X}) \cap [X]$$

$$= (s_{m}(\check{\mathcal{E}}))^{2} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{p=0}^{n-j} \sum_{l=0}^{p} {\binom{2n+1}{j}} {\binom{-n+m-l-1}{p-l}}$$

$$\cdot s_{j+p-l-n+m}(\check{\mathcal{E}}) s_{l}(\check{\mathcal{E}}) s_{n-j-p}(T_{Y})$$

$$\begin{cases} c_{1}(\mathcal{E})^{2} - (2n+1)c_{1}(\mathcal{E}) - n(n+1)(g(Y)-1) & \text{if } m = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (L^{2})^{2} - (n^{2}+n+1)L^{2} \\ -(1/6)(2n+1)(n+1)nc_{1}(\mathcal{E})c_{1}(K_{Y}) \\ -\binom{n+2}{4}(c_{1}(K_{Y})^{2} - c_{2}(T_{Y})) - \binom{n+1}{2}c_{1}(\mathcal{E})^{2} & \text{if } m = 2. \end{cases}$$

If $Y = \mathbf{P}^1$, then dim Sec $X \leq 2n$ if and only if $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus n}$ or $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus (n-1)} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$, and if $Y = \mathbf{P}^1$ and dim Sec $X \leq 2n$, then Sec $X = \mathbf{P}(H^0(L))$.

Proof. We obtain these results by calculation and by Lemma 4.1.

We get the following lemma by calculation.

Lemma 4.3. Let C be a smooth complete curve of genus g and \mathcal{E} a vector bundle of rank n on C. Let X be a smooth irreducible effective Cartier divisor of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(X) \cong H(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \pi^* M$ for some line bundle M of degree m on C, where $\pi : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to C$ is the projection. Let $L = H(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$ and $d = L^n$. Then

$$d^{2} - \sum_{j=0}^{n} {\binom{2n+1}{j}} c_{1}(L)^{j} s_{n-j}(T_{X}) \cap [X] = d^{2} - 4nd - m - 4n^{2}(g-1).$$

In the rest of this section, let X be an *n*-dimensional nondegenerate projective manifold in \mathbf{P}^N with degenerate secant variety Sec X of dimension 2n, and let $L = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$.

Proposition 4.4. If dim $C_u = 2n + 2$ - dim Sec X = 2 for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$, then we have Bs $|K_X + (n-1)L| = \emptyset$ for all $n \ge 3$.

Proof. If Bs $|K_X + (n-1)L| \neq \emptyset$, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}_C(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ for some vector bundle \mathcal{E} of rank n on a smooth curve C by $[\mathbf{S-V}, (0.1)]$ since Sec $X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$ and $n \geq 3$. Because X is rationally connected by Proposition 2.2, so is C, and hence $C = \mathbf{P}^1$. Therefore Sec $X = \mathbf{P}(H^0(L))$ by Lemma 4.2, which contradicts the hypothesis that Sec $X \neq \mathbf{P}^N$.

Remark 4.5. If n = 3, then $K_X + 2L = 0$ without the hypothesis that dim $C_u = 2$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$ by $[\mathbf{F}, (2.8)]$. However it is unsolved whether $|K_X + (n-1)L|$ is base point free or not for all $n \ge 4$ without the hypothesis that dim $C_u = 2$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$.

In the following, we always assume that dim $C_u = 2$ for a general point $u \in \text{Sec } X$ and that $n \geq 4$, and let $\phi : X \to \mathbf{P}(H^0(K_X + (n-1)L))$ be the adjunction map, and let $\phi = s \circ r \ (r : X \to Y, s : Y \to \mathbf{P}(H^0(K_X + (n-1)L)))$ be the Stein factorization of ϕ .

Theorem 4.6. Under the assumptions above, there are the following possibilities.

(1): Y is a smooth rational surface, s is a closed immersion induced by $|K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E})|, (K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \leq (n-3)^2, (X,L) \cong (\mathbf{P}_Y(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ for some vector bundle of rank n-1 on Y, and

$$(L^{2})^{2} - (n^{2} + n + 1)L^{2} - (1/6)(2n + 1)(n + 1)nK_{Y}c_{1}(\mathcal{E}) - \binom{n+2}{4}(K_{Y}^{2} - c_{2}(T_{Y})) - \binom{n+1}{2}c_{1}(\mathcal{E})^{2} = 0.$$

Furthermore $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \geq 5$ unless $(Y, \mathcal{E}) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus l} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2))$ or $(\mathbf{P}^2, \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus l-1} \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2})$ where l = 2 or 3;

(2): Y is an n-dimensional rationally connected manifold, r is the blowing-up of Y at a finite point set, $L = r^*M - \Sigma E_i$ (E_i : exceptional divisors) for some ample line bundle M on Y, and $K_Y + (n-1)M$ is very ample. Moreover $(K_Y + (n-2)M)|_{r(Q_u)} \leq n-5$ for a general point $u \in \operatorname{Sec} X$, $K_Y + (n-2)M$ is nef if $n \geq 5$, and $(Y, M) \cong (\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(2))$ if n = 4.

Proof. First note that Y is rationally connected because so is X by Proposition 2.2. Since $(K_X + (n-1)L)|_{Q_u} = n-3 \ge 1$ by Proposition 2.4, we have dim $\phi(X) \ge 1$. Assume that dim Y = 1. Then r is a quadric fibration over Y by [S-V, (0.2)] and a contraction morphism of an extremal ray by [B-S-W, Theorem (3.2.6)]. Therefore we can show, by the same argument as that in [Fb, p. 100, l.10-l.27], that there exist a locally free sheaf \mathcal{E} of rank n+1 on Y and a line bundle M on Y such that X is a Cartier divisor of $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$, that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(X) \cong H(\mathcal{E})^{\otimes 2} \otimes \pi^* M$, and that $L \cong H(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X$, where $\pi : \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \to Y$ is the projection and $r = \pi|_X$. Since Y is rationally connected, Y is a smooth rational curve. Let $d = L^n$, $e = \deg c_1(\mathcal{E})$, and $m = \deg M$. Then we have $n-3 = (K_X + (n-1)L)|_{Q_u} = (K_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})} + (n+1)H(\mathcal{E}) + \pi^*M)|_{Q_u} = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(e+m-2))|_{Q_u}$, and hence $e+m \le n-1$. On the other hand, $(L^n)^2 - \sum_{j=0}^n {2n+1 \choose j} c_1(L)^j s_{n-j}(T_X) \cap [X] = (d-2n)^2 - m$ by Lemma 4.3, and therefore dim Sec X = 2n implies that $(d-2n)^2 = m$. Let m' be a nonnegative integer such that $m = (m')^2$. Then we have $e = n - (m'(m' \mp 1)/2)$

because d = 2e + m. It follows from $e + m \le n - 1$ that $m'^2 \pm m' + 2 \le 0$, which is however a contradiction. Hence dim $Y \ge 2$.

If dim Y = 2, then Y is a smooth projective surface and $(X, L) \cong$ $(\mathbf{P}_Y(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$ for some vector bundle of rank n-1 on Y by [S-V, (0.2)]. Furthermore $K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample by [L-M, Theorem B and Theorem C] because $H(\mathcal{E})$ is very ample, so that s is a closed immersion. Note also that Y is rational since dim Y = 2. For general three points $x, y, z \in X$, there exist two points $u, v \in \text{Sec } X$ such that $x, y \in Q_u$ and $y, z \in Q_v$ and u, v are in general position. Since Q_u and Q_v are algebraically equivalent, so is $r_*(Q_u)$ and $r_*(Q_v)$. Since $r(y) \in r(Q_u) \cap r(Q_v)$ and $r(Q_u) \neq r(Q_v)$, we get $r(Q_u)^2 \ge 1$. We also have $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 r(Q_u)^2 \le ((K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))|_{r(Q_u)})^2 \le (n-3)^2$ by the Hodge index theorem. Therefore $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \leq (n-3)^2$. If $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 = 1$, then $Y = \mathbf{P}^2$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(4)$. If the rank of \mathcal{E} is 3, then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ by **[E1]**. In this case, dim Sec X = 8and $h^0(L) \ge 11$. The condition that dim $C_u = 2$ is also satisfied. If the rank of \mathcal{E} is 4, then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 4}$. Hence $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^3, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, which, however, does not satisfy the condition that dim $\operatorname{Sec} X = 10$. If $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 \ge 2$, then $\operatorname{rk} \mathcal{E} \ge 4$ since $(n-3)^2 \ge 2$. If $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 = 2$, then $Y = \mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$ and $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(3) \otimes \mathcal{O}(3)$. This contradicts the ampleness of \mathcal{E} . If $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 = 3$, then Y is either a cubic surface in \mathbf{P}^3 or $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2))$ by [*]. If Y is cubic, then $c_1(\mathcal{E})|_l = 2$ for every l, one of the 27 lines on Y, which is a contradiction. For the scroll we have $c_1(\mathcal{E})|_f = 3$ where f is any fiber of the scroll, and this also contradicts the ampleness of \mathcal{E} . Suppose that $(K_Y + c_1(\mathcal{E}))^2 = 4$. Then Y is either a del Pezzo surface of degree 4, a scroll $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(3))$, a scroll $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1}(2))$, or a Veronese surface $\mathbf{P}^2 \subset \mathbf{P}^5$ by $[\mathbf{S}]$ since $\kappa(Y) = -\infty$. If Y is a del Pezzo surface, then $c_1(\mathcal{E})|_l = 2$ for any exceptional divisor l of Y, which is a contradiction. For the scrolls we have $c_1(\mathcal{E})|_f = 3$ where f is any fiber of the projection $Y \to \mathbf{P}^1$, and this is also a contradiction. If Y is a Veronese surface, we obtain $c_1(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}(5)$. If \mathcal{E} is an ample vector bundle of rank 4, we have $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ by [**E2**, Theorem 5.1]. For both bundles, we have dim Sec X = 10 and $h^0(L) \ge 14$. The condition that dim $C_u = 2$ is also satisfied. If the rank of \mathcal{E} is 5, then $\mathcal{E} \cong \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 5}$. Hence $(X,L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^2 \times \mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1)),$ which however does not satisfy the condition that dim Sec X = 12. The rest of the assertion in the case dim Y = 2follows from Lemma 4.2.

If dim Y > 2, then dim Y = n by [S-V, (0.2)]. We also know that Y is smooth, that r is the blowing-up of Y at a finite point set, and that $L = r^*M - \Sigma E_i$ (E_i : exceptional divisors) for some ample line bundle M on Y by [S-V, (0.3)]. Moreover $K_Y + (n-1)M$ is very ample by [S-V, Theorem (2.1)]. Since $n-3 = (K_X + (n-1)L)|_{Q_u} = (K_Y + (n-1)M)|_{r(Q_u)}$ and $M|_{r(Q_u)} \ge L|_{Q_u} = 2$, we obtain $(K_Y + (n-2)M)|_{r(Q_u)} \le n-5$. If $n \ge 5$, then we know that $K_Y + (n-2)M$ is nef by [Fb, (11.8)], taking account of

the fact that $K_Y + (n-1)M$ is ample. If n = 4, then $(K_Y + 2M)|_{r(Q_u)} \leq -1$ and hence $K_Y + 2M$ is not nef. Therefore $(Y, M) \cong (\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(2))$ by [**Fb**, (11.8)] because $K_Y + 3M$ is ample. \Box

Now we give a proof of Theorem 0.4.

Proof of Theorem 0.4. Suppose first that n = 4. Then Theorem 4.6 implies that dim Y = 2 or 4. If dim Y = 2, then (X, L) is isomorphic to $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$, where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ by Theorem 4.6 (1). If dim Y = 4, then $(Y, M) \cong (\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(2))$ by Theorem 4.6 (2). If r is an isomorphism, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^4, \mathcal{O}(2))$. If r is not an isomorphism, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)), H(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)))$. These polarized manifolds satisfy the assumptions that dim Sec X = 8 and that dim $C_u = 2$.

Suppose in the following that n = 5. Then Theorem 4.6 shows that $\dim Y = 2$ or 5. If $\dim Y = 2$, then $(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1)) \cong (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^2}(\mathcal{E}), H(\mathcal{E}))$, where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 3} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)$ or $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus T_{\mathbf{P}^2}$ by Theorem 4.6 (1). These two polarized manifolds satisfy the hypotheses that $\dim \operatorname{Sec} X = 10$ and that $\dim C_u = 2$.

Let us consider the case (2) of Theorem 4.6. Now we know that $K_Y + 3M$ is nef and therefore $(K_Y + 3M)|_{r(Q_u)} = 0$. Since general two points can be joined by $r(Q_u)$, this implies that $K_Y + 3M = 0$ by [K-M-M, Theorem 3-1-1 and Theorem 3-2-1]. If M is not the fundamental line bundle, then $(Y, M) \cong (\mathbf{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(2))$. If r is an isomorphism, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}^5, \mathcal{O}(2))$. If ris not an isomorphism, then $(X, L) \cong (\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^4}(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)), H(\mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)))$. These two polarized manifolds satisfy the hypotheses that dim Sec X = 10and that dim $C_u = 2$.

Assume that M is the fundamental line bundle of Y. Then Y is a Fano manifold of coindex 3 and M is very ample because $K_Y + 4M$ is very ample, so that (Y, M) satisfies the hypothesis (ES) of $[\mathbf{Mu}]$. If $B_2(Y) \geq 2$, then (Y, M) is one of $(\mathbf{P}^2 \times Q^3, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$, $(\mathbf{P}(T_{\mathbf{P}^3}), H(T_{\mathbf{P}^3}))$, or $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)), H(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)))$ by $[\mathbf{Mu}$, Theorem 7]. Thus for every point $y \in Y$ there exists a line passing through y, which implies that r is an isomorphism by the ampleness of L. Since the secant variety of the manifold $(\mathbf{P}^2 \times Q^3, \mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \mathcal{O}(1))$ is 11-dimensional by $[\mathbf{Z}, \text{Chap. 3}, \text{Theorem 1.6}], (X, L)$ is either $(\mathbf{P}(T_{\mathbf{P}^3}), H(T_{\mathbf{P}^3}))$ or $(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{P}^3}(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)), H(\mathcal{O}(1)^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathcal{O}(2)))$. These polarized manifolds satisfy the hypothesis that dim $C_u = 2$ and the condition that dim Sec X = 10.

Next let us consider the case that $B_2(Y) = 1$. Recall that $h^0(M) = \dim Y + g(Y, M) - 1$ for a pair of a Fano manifold Y of coindex 3 and the fundamental line bundle M on Y, where g(Y, M) denotes the sectional genus of the polarized manifold (Y, M) (see, for example, [Ko, Chap. V, 1.12.6 Exercise]). Hence $g(Y, M) + 4 = h^0(M) \ge h^0(L) \ge \dim \operatorname{Sec} X + 2 = 12$.

Suppose that r is an isomorphism. Then we get $g(X, L) \ge 8$. Thus $X \subset \mathbf{P}^N$ is either a complete intersection of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^5) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ and a codimension

3 linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{14} or the G_2 adjoint manifold $\Sigma_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ by [**Mu**, Theorem 2], because $\operatorname{Sec} \Sigma_9 = \mathbf{P}^{13}$ by [**K**] and therefore the dimension of the secant variety of a general hyperplane section of Σ_9 is 11 by Proposition 1.5 and all smooth hyperplane sections of Σ_9 are isomorphic. A smooth complete intersection of $G(1, \mathbf{P}^5) \subset \mathbf{P}^{14}$ and a codimension 3 linear subspace of \mathbf{P}^{14} satisfies the condition that dim $\operatorname{Sec} X = 10$ by Proposition 1.4. The G_2 adjoint manifold $\Sigma_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ satisfies the assumptions that dim $\operatorname{Sec} X = 10$ and that dim $C_u = 2$ by [**K-O-Y**].

Suppose that r is not an isomorphism. Then $h^0(M) \ge h^0(L) + 1$ so that $g(Y, M) \geq 9$. Therefore Y is either $\Sigma_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$ or a hyperplane section of $\Sigma_9 \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$. For each point $y \in \Sigma_9$, Σ_9 contains a rational curve C passing through y such that $-K|_C < 7$ by [Mo]. Since the index of the Fano manifold Σ_9 is four, we have $-K|_C = 4$, and hence C is a line in Σ_9 with respect to the very ample line bundle M on Σ_9 . Let $f: \mathbf{P}^1 = C \hookrightarrow \Sigma_9$ be the inclusion of C and let f(0) = y. Denote by ι the restriction of f to $\{0\}$. Then $\dim_{[f]} \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{P}^1, \Sigma_9; \iota) \geq -K|_C = 4$. On the other hand, we have dim Aut($\mathbf{P}^1; 0$) = 2. Thus Σ_9 contains a closed cone of dimension ≥ 3 with vertex y. A hyperplane section Y of Σ_9 therefore contains a line passing through y for each point $y \in Y$. This contradicts the ampleness of L. For the G_2 adjoint variety $\Sigma_{10} \subset \mathbf{P}^{13}$, it follows from **Ko**, Chap. V, Theorem 1.15] that there exists a line C (i.e., $M|_C = 1$) on Σ_{10} . Hence for every point $y \in \Sigma_{10}$ there exists a line passing through y on Σ_{10} , which contradicts the ampleness of L.

Remark 4.7. A linear section of the Grassmann manifold cut out by codimension 3 general linear subspace satisfies the condition that dim $C_u = 2$ by Proposition 1.7. However it is uncertain whether every smooth linear section satisfies the condition or not.

References

[B-S-W]	M.C. Beltrametti, A.J. Sommese and J.A. Wiśniewski, <i>Results on varieties with many lines and their applications to adjunction theory</i> , in Lecture Notes in Math., 1507 , (eds. K. Hulek et al.), Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1992), 16-38.
[E1]	G. Elencwajg, Les fibrés uniformes de rang 3 sur $P_2(C)$ sont homogènes, Math. Ann., 231 (1978), 217-227.
[E2]	G. Elencwajg, Fibrés uniformes de rang élevé sur \mathbf{P}_2 , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), $31(4)$ (1981), 89-114.
[F]	 T. Fujita, Projective threefolds with small secant varieties, Sci. Papers Coll. Gen. Educ., Univ. Tokyo, 32(1) (1982), 33-46.
[Fb]	T. Fujita, <i>Classification theory of polarized varieties</i> , London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 155 , Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
[F-R]	T. Fujita and J. Roberts, Varieties with small secant varieties: The extremal case, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 953-976.

174	MASAHIRO OHNO
[F1]	W. Fulton, <i>Intersection theory</i> , Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., (3), Folge Band 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
[H]	R. Hartshorne, <i>Algebraic geometry</i> , Graduate Texts in Math., 52 , Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.
[Ho]	A. Holme, <i>Embedding obstruction for smooth projective varieties</i> , I, in Advances in Math. Supplementary Studies, 5 (ed. Gian-Carlo Rota), Academic Press, Inc., (1979), 39-67.
[I-T]	P. Ionescu and M. Toma, Boundedness for some special families of embedded manifolds, in Contemp. Math., 162 , Amer. Math. Soc., (1994), 215-225.
[K]	H. Kaji, <i>Homogeneous projective varieties with degenerate secants</i> , to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
[K-O-Y]	H. Kaji, M. Ohno and O. Yasukura, $Adjoint\ varieties\ and\ their\ secant\ varieties, to appear in Indag. Math. (N.S.)$
[K-M-M]	Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, <i>Introduction to the mimimal model problem</i> , in Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 10 (ed. T. Oda), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, (1987), 283-360.
[Ko]	J. Kollár, <i>Rational curves on algebraic varieties</i> , Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb. (3), Folge Band 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
[Ko-Mi-Mo]	J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, <i>Rationally connected varieties</i> , J. Algebraic Geom., 1 (1992), 429-448.
[L]	J.M. Landsberg, On degenerate secant and tangential varieties and local differential geometry, Duke Math. J., $85(3)$ (1996), 605-634.
[L-M]	A. Lanteri and H. Maeda, Adjoint bundles of ample and spanned vector bundles on algebraic surfaces, J. Reine Angew. Math., 433 (1992), 181-199.
[La]	M.E. Larsen, On the topology of complex projective manifolds, Invent. Math., 19 (1973), 251-260.
[L-V]	R. Lazarsfeld and A. Van de Ven, <i>Topics in the geometry of projective space, recent work of F.L. Zak</i> , DMV Sem. Band 4, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1984.
[M-M]	Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, A numerical criterion for uniruledness, Ann. of Math., 124(2) (1986), 65-69.
[Mo]	S. Mori, Projective manifolds with ample tangent bundles, Ann. of Math., $\mathbf{110(2)}~(1979),~593\text{-}606.$
[Mu]	S. Mukai, Biregular classification of Fano 3-folds and Fano manifolds of coindex 3, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 86 (1989), 3000-3002.
[O]	A. Ogus, On the formal neighborhood of a subvariety of projective space, Amer. J. Math., 97 (1975), 1085-1107.
[Oh]	M. Ohno, On odd dimensional projective manifolds with smallest secant varieties, Math. Z., ${\bf 226}$ (1997), 483-498.
[S-V]	A.J. Sommese and A. Van de Ven, On the adjunction mapping, Math. Ann., 278 (1987), 593-603.
[S]	H.P.F. Swinnerton-Dyer, An enumeration of all varieties of degree 4, Amer. J. Math., 95 (1973), 403-418.
[T]	H. Tango, Remark on varieties with small secant varieties, Bull. Kyoto Univ. Ed. Ser. B, 60 (1982), 1-10.

- [Z] F.L. Zak, Tangents and secants of algebraic varieties, Transl. Math. Monographs, 127, Amer. Math. Soc., 1993.
- [*] ***, Correspondence, Amer. J. Math., **79** (1957), 951-952.

Received February 3, 1997 and revised November 18, 1997. Partially supported by Waseda University Grant for Special Research Projects, 96A-136.

WASEDA UNVERSITY TOKYO, 169 JAPAN *E-mail address*: mohno@mn.waseda.ac.jp