

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

DUAL SPACES AND ISOMORPHISMS OF SOME
DIFFERENTIAL BANACH *-ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS

EDWARD KISSIN AND VICTOR S. SHULMAN

DUAL SPACES AND ISOMORPHISMS OF SOME DIFFERENTIAL BANACH *-ALGEBRAS OF OPERATORS

EDWARD KISSIN AND VICTOR S. SHULMAN

The paper continues the study of differential Banach *-algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathcal{F}_S of operators associated with symmetric operators S on Hilbert spaces H . The algebra \mathcal{A}_S is the domain of the largest *-derivation δ_S of $B(H)$ implemented by S and the algebra \mathcal{F}_S is the closure of the set of all finite rank operators in \mathcal{A}_S with respect to the norm $\|A\| = \|A\| + \|\delta_S(A)\|$. When S is selfadjoint, \mathcal{F}_S is the domain of the largest *-derivation of the algebra $C(H)$ implemented by S . If S is bounded, $\mathcal{F}_S = C(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S = B(H)$, so \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S . For unbounded selfadjoint operators S the paper establishes the full analogy with the bounded case: \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S . The paper also classifies the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathcal{F}_S up to isometrical *-isomorphism and obtains some partial results about bounded but not necessarily isometrical *-isomorphisms of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S .

1. Introduction and preliminaries.

Extensive development of non-commutative geometry requires elaborating of the theory of differential Banach *-algebras, that is, dense *-subalgebras of C^* -algebras whose properties in many respects are analogous to the properties of algebras of differentiable functions.

Blackadar and Cuntz [2] and the authors [12] introduced and studied various classes of differential Banach *-algebras; the most interesting class consists of \mathbf{D} -algebras, that is, dense *-subalgebras \mathcal{A} of C^* -algebras $(\mathfrak{U}, \|\cdot\|)$ which, in turn, are Banach *-algebras with respect to another norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_1$ on \mathcal{A} satisfy the inequality:

$$(1.1) \quad \|xy\| \leq D(\|x\| \|y\|_1 + \|x\|_1 \|y\|), \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathcal{A},$$

for some $D > 0$. This class contains, for example, the domains $D(\delta)$ of closed unbounded *-derivations δ of C^* -algebras \mathfrak{U} where the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ on $D(\delta)$ is defined, as usual, by the formula

$$\|A\|_1 = \|A\| + \|\delta(A)\|, \quad \text{for } A \in D(\delta).$$

Much work has been done on the investigation of properties of the differential Banach $*$ -algebras (see Blackadar and Cuntz [2] and Kissin and Shulman [12, 13]) and the algebras $D(\delta)$ in particular (see, for example, Bratteli and Robinson [3] and Sakai [16]).

In many cases closed $*$ -derivations of C^* -algebras \mathfrak{U} of operators on Hilbert spaces are implemented by closed symmetric operators. In particular, Bratteli and Robinson [3] showed that if \mathfrak{U} contains the ideal of all compact operators then any closed $*$ -derivation of \mathfrak{U} is implemented by a symmetric operator.

Any closed symmetric operator S on a Hilbert space H implements closed $*$ -derivations of various C^* -algebras of operators on H . Among all these derivations there is the largest one - δ_S with domain $D(\delta_S)$ (which we denote by \mathcal{A}_S) containing the domains of all derivations implemented by S :

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \left\{ A \in B(H) : AD(S) \subseteq D(S), A^*D(S) \subseteq D(S) \text{ and } \right. \\ \left. (SA - AS)|_{D(S)} \text{ extends to a bounded operator } A_S \right\} \\ \text{and } \delta_S(A) = i \text{ Closure}(SA - AS), \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{A}_S.$$

The closure of \mathcal{A}_S with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|$ in $B(H)$ is the enveloping C^* -algebra which we denote by \mathfrak{U}_S .

The algebra \mathcal{A}_S is a unital Banach $*$ -algebra with respect to the norm

$$(1.2) \quad \|A\|_S = \|A\| + \|A_S\|.$$

If S implements a $*$ -derivation δ of a C^* -algebra \mathfrak{U} of operators on H then

$$D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S, \quad \mathfrak{U} \subseteq \mathfrak{U}_S \text{ and } \delta = \delta_S|_{\mathfrak{U}}.$$

By $C(H)$ we denote the algebra of all compact operators on H . The $*$ -algebras

$$\mathcal{K}_S = \mathcal{A}_S \cap C(H) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{J}_S = \{A \in \mathcal{K}_S : \delta_S(A) \in C(H)\}$$

are dense in $C(H)$ and are the domains of the largest closed $*$ -derivations from $C(H)$ into $B(H)$ and $C(H)$, respectively, implemented by S .

By \mathcal{F}_S we denote the closure with respect to the norm $\| \cdot \|_S$ of the subalgebra of all finite rank operators in \mathcal{A}_S .

It was shown in [13] that $(\mathcal{K}_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{J}_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$ are semisimple Banach $*$ -algebras, that $(\mathcal{F}_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$ is a simple Banach $*$ -algebra and

$$\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{J}_S \subseteq \mathcal{K}_S \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S.$$

Furthermore, \mathcal{F}_S , \mathcal{J}_S and \mathcal{K}_S are closed two-sided ideals of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$ and \mathcal{F}_S is contained in any closed two-sided ideal of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \| \cdot \|_S)$. The relation between the ideals \mathcal{F}_S , \mathcal{J}_S and \mathcal{K}_S and the question of how the properties of the operator S are reflected in the structure of \mathcal{K}_S , \mathcal{J}_S and \mathcal{F}_S were investigated in [13]. In particular, it was established that $(\mathcal{K}_S)^2 = (\mathcal{J}_S)^2 = \mathcal{F}_S$, for all

symmetric S , and that the ideals \mathcal{J}_S and \mathcal{F}_S have a bounded approximate identity if and only if S is selfadjoint. For selfadjoint S , it was also proved that $\mathcal{K}_S \neq \mathcal{J}_S = \mathcal{F}_S$.

In spite of the fact that the structure of the algebras $\mathcal{F}_S, \mathcal{J}_S, \mathcal{K}_S, \mathcal{A}_S$ and \mathfrak{U}_S is comparatively simple, many important questions still remain open. In Section 2 we mainly study the structure of the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S in the case when S is a selfadjoint operator. However, we also consider the case when S is a symmetric operator with at least one finite deficiency index and show that the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S contain closed ideals of finite codimension.

If S is a bounded symmetric operator on H then $\mathcal{F}_S = C(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S = B(H)$, so \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S . In Section 3 we investigate the structure of the dual and the second dual spaces of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S for unbounded symmetric operators S . In the case when S is selfadjoint we establish the full analogy with the bounded case: The algebra \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S .

In Section 4 we study the problem of classification of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{A}_S up to *-isomorphism. For isometrical *-isomorphism this problem is completely solved in Theorem 4.4. For bounded but not necessarily isometrical *-isomorphism we obtain some interesting partial results in the case when S is selfadjoint.

2. Structure of the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and the enveloping C^* -algebras \mathfrak{U}_S .

The main purpose of this section is to study the structure of the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S in the case when S is a selfadjoint operator. However, we start the section by considering the case when S is a symmetric operator with at least one finite deficiency index. Making use of the existence of a J -symmetric representation of \mathcal{A}_S on the deficiency space of S , we will show that the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S contain closed ideals of finite codimension.

Let S be symmetric, S^* be the adjoint operator, let $N_-(S)$ and $N_+(S)$ be the deficiency spaces of S and

$$n_{\pm}(S) = \dim(N_{\pm}(S))$$

be the deficiency indices of S . It is well known that $D(S^*)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product

$$\langle x, y \rangle = (x, y) + (S^*x, S^*y), \quad \text{for } x, y \in D(S^*),$$

and it is the orthogonal sum of the closed subspaces $D(S), N_-(S)$ and $N_+(S)$:

$$D(S^*) = D(S)_{\langle + \rangle} N_-(S)_{\langle + \rangle} N_+(S).$$

Set $N(S) = N_-(S) \langle + \rangle N_+(S)$ and let Q be the projection on $N(S)$ in $D(S^*)$. It was shown in [7] and [8] that

$$[x, y] = i(x, S^*y) - i(S^*x, y), \quad \text{for } x, y \in N(S),$$

is an indefinite non-degenerate sesquilinear form on $N(S)$, that

$$\pi_S(A) = QA|_{N(S)}, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{A}_S,$$

is a bounded representation of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ on $N(S)$ and that it is *J-symmetric*:

$$[\pi_S(A)x, y] = [x, \pi_S(A^*)y], \quad \text{for } x, y \in N(S).$$

A subspace L in $N(S)$ is *neutral* if

$$[x, y] = 0, \quad \text{for all } x, y \in L.$$

The operator S is *well-behaved* if the representation π_S has no neutral invariant subspace.

Let $\kappa_S = \min(n_-(S), n_+(S))$ and assume that $0 < \kappa_S < \infty$. It was proved in [10] that the representation π_S has a κ_S -dimensional subrepresentation σ . Let ρ be an irreducible subrepresentation of σ . It was shown in [11] that ρ is bounded with respect to the operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ in \mathcal{A}_S and, therefore, extends to a bounded *-representation of the enveloping C^* -algebra \mathfrak{U}_S . If S is well-behaved, it follows from Theorem 28.13 [14] that $\mathcal{K}_S \subseteq \text{Ker}(\rho)$. This yields

Theorem 2.1. *Let S be a symmetric unbounded operator and $0 < \kappa_S < \infty$.*

- (i) *There exists a closed two-sided ideal J in the Banach *-algebra $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ such that the quotient algebra \mathcal{A}_S/J is isomorphic to the full matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ with $0 < n \leq \kappa_S$.*
- (ii) *The uniform closure \bar{J} of J in \mathfrak{U}_S is a closed two-sided ideal and the quotient algebra \mathfrak{U}_S/\bar{J} is isomorphic to the full matrix algebra $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.*
- (iii) *If S is well-behaved then $\mathcal{K}_S \subseteq J$ and $C(H) \subseteq \bar{J}$.*

Example 2.2. Let $H = L^2(0, 1)$ and $S = i \frac{d}{dt}$ with domain $D(S)$ consisting of all absolutely continuous functions h such that $h' \in L^2(0, 1)$ and $h(0) = h(1) = 0$. Then S is a symmetric operator and $n_-(S) = n_+(S) = 1$.

It was proved in [9] that S is well-behaved. Therefore it follows from Theorem 2.1 that there exists a closed two-sided ideal J in $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ containing \mathcal{K}_S such that $\dim(\mathcal{A}_S/J) = 1$ and that the uniform closure of J in \mathfrak{U}_S is an ideal of codimension 1. □

Let S be the same as in Example 2.2 and let $\text{Lip}(0, 1)$ be the algebra of all functions on $[0, 1]$ satisfying a Lipschitz condition: $|g(t) - g(s)| \leq K_g|t - s|$ for some $K_g > 0$ and all $t, s \in [0, 1]$. For $g \in \text{Lip}(0, 1)$, denote by M_g the operator of multiplication by g on $L^2(0, 1)$ and set $\mathcal{B} = \{M_g : g \in \text{Lip}(0, 1)\}$. Then $M_g D(S) \subseteq D(S)$, $(M_g)^* D(S) = M_{\bar{g}} D(S) \subseteq D(S)$ and

$SM_g - M_gS$ extends to the operator $iM_{g'}$ which is bounded, since g' is essentially bounded on $[0, 1]$. Thus $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}_S$.

(The authors are grateful to the referee of the paper for pointing out an error in the definition of the algebra \mathcal{B} in the first version of the paper.)

Problem 2.3. Is $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{K}_S$?

The assumption that a symmetric operator S is selfadjoint makes the task of studying the structure of the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S easier. First of all, the structure of the ideals \mathcal{K}_S , \mathcal{J}_S and \mathcal{F}_S is simpler. While for arbitrary symmetric operators S it is only known (see [13]) that $\overline{(\mathcal{K}_S)^2} = \overline{(\mathcal{J}_S)^2} = \mathcal{F}_S$, where the closure is taken with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_S$, for selfadjoint operators S it was shown in [13] that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{J}_S \neq \mathcal{K}_S$. Secondly, in the selfadjoint case we can employ the Spectral Theorem to establish the structure of \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S .

Let

$$S = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda dE_S(\lambda)$$

be the spectral decomposition of S . For every integer n , set

$$(2.1) \quad P_S(n) = E_S(n+1) - E_S(n) \quad \text{and} \quad [S] = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} nP_S(n).$$

Then $[S]$ is a selfadjoint operator, $\text{Sp}([S]) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and the operator $S - [S]$ is bounded. Therefore it follows that

$$\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{A}_{[S]}, \quad \mathcal{K}_S = \mathcal{K}_{[S]} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_{[S]}$$

and the norms $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|\cdot\|_{[S]}$ are equivalent on \mathcal{A}_S . This reduces the problem of the description of the structure of the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathfrak{U}_S to the case when $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$.

We denote by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ the set of all selfadjoint operators S on H such that $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and set

$$(2.2) \quad H_S(n) = P_S(n)H, \quad \text{for } n \in \text{Sp}(S).$$

Then

$$(2.3) \quad H = \sum_{n \in \text{Sp}(S)} \oplus H_S(n).$$

We omit the proof of the following simple result.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $S, T \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. If there exists a one-to-one mapping φ from $\text{Sp}(T)$ onto $\text{Sp}(S)$ such that $\dim(H_T(n)) = \dim(H_S(\varphi(n)))$, for $n \in \text{Sp}(T)$, and*

$$\sup_{n \in \text{Sp}(T)} |\varphi(n) - n| < \infty$$

then there exists a unitary operator U such that $\mathcal{A}_T = U\mathcal{A}_S U^$.*

Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_Z$. Every operator A in $B(H)$ has a block-matrix form $A = (A_{ij})$, $i, j \in \text{Sp}(S)$, with respect to decomposition (2.3). We denote by \mathcal{D}_S the C^* -algebra of all block-diagonal operators $A = (A_{ij})$ in $B(H)$, that is, $A_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$. By \mathcal{R} we denote the subalgebra of all operators $A = (A_{ij})$ in $B(H)$ with only finite number of non-zero entries A_{ij} . Then, clearly,

$$\mathcal{D}_S \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{R}_S \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S.$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$ be the closure of \mathcal{R}_S in $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and let $C_S(H)$ be the uniform closure of \mathcal{R}_S in $B(H)$.

Lemma 2.5. $\mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$ is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{U}_S and $\mathcal{D}_S + \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$ is a closed $*$ -subalgebra of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{L} be the uniform closure of $\mathcal{D}_S + \mathcal{R}_S$ in $B(H)$. Then \mathcal{L} is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{U}_S . Since \mathcal{R}_S is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $\mathcal{D}_S + \mathcal{R}_S$, the C^* -algebra $C_S(H)$ is a two-sided ideal of \mathcal{L} . Therefore it follows from Corollary 1.8.4 [4] that $\mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$ is a C^* -algebra, so $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$.

For $A \in B(H)$, set

$$\phi(A) = \sum_{n \in \text{Sp}(S)} P_S(n)AP_S(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{A} = A - \phi(A).$$

Then ϕ is a conditional expectation from $B(H)$ onto \mathcal{D}_S and

$$(2.4) \quad \|\phi(A)\| \leq \|A\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\tilde{A}\| \leq 2\|A\|.$$

If $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$ then $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and $\text{Closure}(SA - AS) = \text{Closure}(S\tilde{A} - \tilde{A}S)$.

Assume that $\{A_n\}$ converge to A in \mathcal{A}_S with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$. Then

$$\|A - A_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\text{Closure}(S(A - A_n) - (A - A_n)S)\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and therefore, by (1.2) and (2.4),

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_n\|_S &= \|\tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_n\| + \|\text{Closure}(S(\tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_n) - (\tilde{A} - \tilde{A}_n)S)\| \\ &\leq 2\|A - A_n\| + \|\text{Closure}(S(A - A_n) - (A - A_n)S)\| \rightarrow 0, \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence \tilde{A}_n converge to \tilde{A} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$.

Suppose now that $B \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$. Then there are $\{B_n\}$ in \mathcal{R}_S converging to B with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$. It follows from (2.5) that \tilde{B}_n converge to \tilde{B} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$ and, since \tilde{B}_n belong to \mathcal{R}_S , we obtain that $\tilde{B} \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$.

Finally, let $C_n = A_n + B_n$ converge to C in \mathcal{A}_S with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$ where $A_n \in \mathcal{D}_S$ and $B_n \in \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$. Then $\tilde{C}_n = \tilde{B}_n$ and, by (2.5), \tilde{B}_n converge to \tilde{C} with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$. Since, by the above argument, all \tilde{B}_n belong to $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$, the operator \tilde{C} also belong to $\overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$. Hence $C \in \mathcal{D}_S + \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$ and $\mathcal{D}_S + \overline{\mathcal{R}}_S$ is a closed $*$ -subalgebra of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$. \square

Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. We number the elements of $\text{Sp}(S)$ in such a way that $\text{Sp}(S) = \{n_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an increasing sequence,

$$0 \leq n_i, \text{ for } 0 \leq i, \text{ and } 0 > n_i, \text{ for } 0 > i.$$

Then $|i| \leq |n_i|$ and, depending on S , the set I is either the set \mathbb{Z} of all integers, or the set of all integers from $-\infty$ to some m , or from m to ∞ . We consider the case when $I = \mathbb{Z}$. Two other cases can be considered similarly.

Set

$$\rho_S(k) = \left(\inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |n_{i+k} - n_i| \right)^{-1}, \text{ for } k \neq 0, \text{ and } \rho_S(0) = 0.$$

Since $\inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |n_{i+k} - n_i| \geq |k|$,

$$0 < \rho_S(k) \leq \frac{1}{|k|}, \text{ for } k \neq 0.$$

Proposition 2.6. *If*

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{|i| \rightarrow \infty} (n_{i+1} - n_i) = \infty$$

$$(2.7) \quad \text{and } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(k) \text{ converges}$$

then $\mathfrak{U}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$.

Proof. Let $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}_S$, where A_{ij} are bounded operators from $H_S(n_j)$ into $H_S(n_i)$. Then the operator

$$B = SA - AS = (B_{ij}), \text{ where } B_{ij} = (n_i - n_j)A_{ij},$$

is bounded. Set $b = \|B\|$. Since $\|B_{ij}\| \leq \|B\|$, for all $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(2.8) \quad \|A_{ij}\| \leq \frac{b}{|n_i - n_j|}, \text{ for } i \neq j.$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0$ and $m > 0$, let

$$G_{ij}^{km} = A_{ij}, \text{ if } j = i + k \text{ and } -m \leq i \leq m, \text{ and } G_{ij}^{km} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Then the operator $G^{km} = (G_{ij}^{km})$ belongs to \mathcal{R}_S . Taking into account (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain that the operators G^{km} converge uniformly in $B(H)$ to a bounded operator $G^k = (G_{ij}^k)$, as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$G_{ij}^k = A_{ij}, \text{ if } j = i + k, \text{ and } G_{ij}^k = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Therefore $G^k \in C_S(H)$ and, by (2.8),

$$\|G^k\| = \sup_i \|A_{ii+k}\| \leq b\rho_S(k).$$

It follows from (2.7) that the operator $G = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus 0} G^k$ belongs to $C_S(H)$. Since $A - G \in \mathcal{D}_S$, we obtain that $A \in \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$, so that $\mathcal{A}_S \subseteq \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that $\mathfrak{U}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$. \square

Corollary 2.7. *If there are $a > 0$, $c > 0$ and an integer N such that*

$$c|i|^a \leq n_{i+1} - n_i \quad \text{for } N \leq |i|$$

then $\mathfrak{U}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + C_S(H)$.

Proof. Condition (2.6), clearly, holds. Let $k > 4N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_S(k)^{-1} &= \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} |n_{i+k} - n_i| = \inf_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{p=1}^k (n_{i+p} - n_{i+p-1}) \\ &\geq c \sum_{m=N}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} m^a \geq \frac{c}{a+1} \left(\left[\frac{k}{2} \right]^{a+1} - (N-1)^{a+1} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{c}{a+1} \left(\frac{k}{4} \right)^{a+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $k < -2N$ then $\rho_S(k)^{-1} \geq \frac{c}{a+1} \left(\frac{|k|}{4} \right)^{a+1}$. Therefore condition (2.7) also holds and the result follows from Proposition 2.6. □

Suppose now that $\dim(H_S(n)) = \infty$ for all $n \in \text{Sp}(S)$ and let $n_0 \in \text{Sp}(S)$. Set $K = H_S(n_0)$. Then there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} with $\dim(\mathcal{H}) = \infty$ such that the C^* -algebra $C_S(H)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product $B(K) \otimes C(\mathcal{H})$ where $C(\mathcal{H})$ is the C^* -algebra of all compact operators on \mathcal{H} . Choosing a basis $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in \mathcal{H} , we obtain that the algebra \mathcal{D}_S is isomorphic to the von Neumann algebra tensor product $B(K) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L}$ of $B(K)$ and the W^* -algebra \mathcal{L} of all operators on \mathcal{H} diagonal with respect to $\{e_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$. From this and from Proposition 2.6 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.8. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $\dim(H_S(n)) = \infty$ for all $n \in \text{Sp}(S)$ and conditions (2.6) and (2.7) hold then there exist Hilbert spaces K and \mathcal{H} such that \mathfrak{U}_S is isomorphic to $B(K) \overline{\otimes} \mathcal{L} + B(K) \otimes C(\mathcal{H})$, where \mathcal{L} is the W^* -algebra of all operators on \mathcal{H} diagonal with respect to some basis.*

Assume now that $\dim(H_S(n)) < \infty$ for all $n \in \text{Sp}(S)$. Then $C_S(H)$ coincides with the algebra $C(H)$ of all compact operators on H . Taking into account the definition of the ideal \mathcal{K}_S and applying Proposition 2.6 we obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.9. *Let $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $\dim(H_S(n)) < \infty$ for all $n \in \text{Sp}(S)$. If conditions (2.6) and (2.7) hold then $\mathfrak{U}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + C(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + \mathcal{K}_S$.*

Example 2.10. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis in H and let

$$S e_i = \text{sgn}(i) |i|^{1+a} e_i, \quad \text{where } a > 0.$$

Then $S \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and $n_i = \text{sgn}(i) |i|^{1+a}$, so that

$$\lim_{|i| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_{i+1} - n_i}{\text{sgn}(i) |i|^a} = 1 + a.$$

Therefore, by Corollaries 2.7 and 2.9, $\mathfrak{U}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + C(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S = \mathcal{D}_S + \mathcal{K}_S$ where \mathcal{D}_S is the algebra of all operators diagonal with respect to $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$. Thus the quotient algebra $\mathcal{A}_S/\mathcal{K}_S$ is isomorphic to the commutative C^* -algebra $\mathcal{D}_S/\mathfrak{L}$ where \mathfrak{L} is the algebra of all compact diagonal operators on H . □

Let $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$ be an orthonormal basis in H and let

$$S e_i = i e_i \quad \text{and} \quad U e_i = e_{i+1}, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then $S \in \mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and U is the shift operator. We have that

$$UD(S) \subseteq D(S), \quad U^*D(S) \subseteq D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad (SU - US)|_{D(S)} \text{ extends to } U,$$

so that $U \in \mathcal{A}_S$. Hence \mathfrak{U}_S contains the C^* -algebra $C(\mathcal{D}_S, U)$ generated by U and by the commutative algebra \mathcal{D}_S of all operators diagonal with respect to $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$.

Problem 2.11. Is $\mathfrak{U}_S = C(\mathcal{D}_S, U)$?

3. Dual and second dual spaces of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S .

Let S be a closed symmetric operator. Recall that \mathcal{F}_S is the closure with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ (see (1.2)) of the subalgebra of all finite rank operators in \mathcal{A}_S . If S is a bounded symmetric operator on H , it follows that $\mathcal{F}_S = C(H)$ and $\mathcal{A}_S = B(H)$, so that \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S . In this section we study the structure of the dual and the second dual spaces of the algebra \mathcal{F}_S for unbounded symmetric operators S . In the case when S is selfadjoint we establish the full analogy with the bounded case: The algebra \mathcal{A}_S is isometrically isomorphic to the second dual of \mathcal{F}_S .

By $T(H)$ we denote the Banach $*$ -algebra of trace class operators on H with the norm

$$|A| = \sum_{i=1}^\infty s_i(A) = \text{Tr} \left((A^*A)^{1/2} \right),$$

where $\{s_i(A)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is the set of all eigenvalues of the positive compact operator $(A^*A)^{1/2}$.

It is well known that $T(H)$ can be identified with the dual space of the algebra $C(H)$: For any $T \in T(H)$,

$$F_T(A) = \text{Tr}(AT), \quad A \in C(H),$$

is a bounded linear functional on $C(H)$ and $\|F_T\| = |T|$; and that $B(H)$ can be identified with the dual space of $T(H)$: For any $B \in B(H)$,

$$\theta_B(T) = \text{Tr}(BT), \quad T \in T(H),$$

is a bounded linear functional on $T(H)$ and $\|\theta\| = \|B\|$.

Set $\widehat{B}(H) = B(H) \oplus B(H)$ and $\widehat{C}(H) = C(H) \oplus C(H)$. Then $\widehat{B}(H)$ and $\widehat{C}(H)$ are Banach spaces with the norm

$$\|A \oplus B\| = \|A\| + \|B\|.$$

Set $\widehat{T}(H) = T(H) \oplus T(H)$. It is a Banach space with the norm

$$|R \oplus T| = \max(|R|, |T|), \quad T, R \in T(H),$$

and it can be identified with the dual space of $\widehat{C}(H)$: For $R, T \in T(H)$,

$$(3.1) \quad F_{R \oplus T}(A \oplus B) = \text{Tr}(AR) + \text{Tr}(BT), \quad A \oplus B \in \widehat{C}(H),$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\widehat{C}(H)$ and $\|F_{R \oplus T}\| = |R \oplus T|$. Similarly, $\widehat{B}(H)$ can be identified with the dual space of $\widehat{T}(H)$: For $A, B \in B(H)$,

$$(3.2) \quad \theta_{A \oplus B}(R \oplus T) = \text{Tr}(AR) + \text{Tr}(BT), \quad R \oplus T \in \widehat{T}(H),$$

is a bounded linear functional on $\widehat{T}(H)$ and $\|\theta_{A \oplus B}\| = \|A \oplus B\|$.

Set

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S = \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{A}_S\} \quad \text{and} \quad \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S = \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{F}_S\},$$

where $A_S = \text{Closure}(SA - AS)$. Then $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$, $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ are isometrically isomorphic, since

$$\|A\|_S = \|A\| + \|A_S\| = \|A \oplus A_S\|.$$

Therefore $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{B}(H)$ and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{C}(H)$, since $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ implies $A_S \in C(H)$.

Set

$$\mathfrak{T}_S = \left\{ T \in T(H) : TD(S) \subseteq D(S^*), T^*D(S) \subseteq D(S^*) \text{ and the operator } (S^*T - TS)|_{D(S)} \text{ extends to a bounded trace class operator } \mathbb{T} \right\}.$$

If $T \in \mathfrak{T}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_S$ then $\mathbb{T}_S = T_S$. In particular, if S is selfadjoint then $\mathbb{T}_S = T_S$ for all $T \in \mathfrak{T}_S$. Clearly, \mathfrak{T}_S is a linear subspace in $T(H)$ and

$$\check{\mathfrak{T}}_S = \{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T : T \in \mathfrak{T}_S\}$$

is a linear subspace in $\widehat{T}(H)$. For $T \in \mathfrak{T}_S$ and $z, u \in D(S)$,

$$-((\mathbb{T}_S)^*z, u) = -(z, \mathbb{T}_S u) = -(z, (S^*T - TS)u) = ((S^*T^* - T^*S)z, u),$$

so that

$$(3.3) \quad -(\mathbb{T}_S)^*|_{D(S)} = (S^*T^* - T^*S)|_{D(S)} = (\mathbb{T}^*)_S|_{D(S)}.$$

Therefore $T^* \in \mathfrak{T}_S$.

For $x, y \in H$, the rank one operator $x \otimes y$ on H is defined by the formula

$$(3.4) \quad (x \otimes y)z = (z, x)y.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x \otimes y\| &= \|x\| \|y\|, \\ (x \otimes y)^* &= y \otimes x, \quad (x \otimes y)(u \otimes v) = (v, x)(u \otimes y), \\ R(x \otimes y) &= x \otimes Ry, \quad \text{and } (x \otimes y)R \text{ extends to } (R^*x) \otimes y, \end{aligned}$$

if R is a densely defined operator, $y \in D(R)$ and $x \in D(R^*)$. Let $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be a basis in H . Then

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(x \otimes y) &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty ((x \otimes y)e_j, e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty (e_j, x)(y, e_j) \\ &= \left(y, \sum_{j=1}^\infty (x, e_j)e_j \right) = (y, x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x, y \in D(S^*)$ and $T = x \otimes y$. By (3.4) and (3.5),

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} Tz &= (z, x)y \in D(S^*) \\ T^*z &= (y \otimes x)z = (z, y)x \in D(S^*), \quad \text{for } z \in H, \\ \text{and } \mathbb{T}_S &= S^*T - TS = x \otimes S^*y - (S^*x) \otimes y \in T(H), \end{aligned}$$

so that $T \in \mathfrak{T}_S$. By Φ_S we denote the set of all linear combinations of the operators $x \otimes y$, for $x, y \in D(S^*)$. Clearly, $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{T}_S$ and

$$\check{\Phi}_S = \{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T : T \in \Phi_S\}$$

is a linear subspace of $\check{\mathfrak{T}}_S$.

Let X^* be the dual space of a Banach space X and Y be a linear subspace of X . The *annihilator*

$$Y^\perp = \{F \in X^* : F(y) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in Y\}$$

of Y in X^* is a closed subspace of X^* and from the general theory of Banach spaces (see [5] II.4.18 and [15] III, Problem 30) we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The dual space Y^* of a closed subspace Y of X is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space X^*/Y^\perp and the second dual Y^{**} of Y is isometrically isomorphic to $Y^{\perp\perp}$ where*

$$Y^{\perp\perp} = \{\theta \in X^{**} : \theta(F) = 0, \text{ for all } F \in Y^\perp\}.$$

Since $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S \subseteq \widehat{C}(H)$, the annihilator $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp$ is a closed subspace of the dual space $\widehat{C}(H)^* = \widehat{T}(H)$ and, since $\check{\Phi}_S \subseteq \check{\mathfrak{T}}_S \subseteq \widehat{T}(H)$, the annihilator $(\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp$ is a closed subspace of the dual space $\widehat{T}(H)^* = \widehat{B}(H)$.

Theorem 3.2. (i) $\check{\mathfrak{T}}_S$ is a closed subspace in $\widehat{T}(H)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp = \check{\mathfrak{T}}_S$.
 (ii) $(\check{\mathfrak{T}}_S)^\perp \subseteq (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp = \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{A}_S \text{ and } AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)\} \subseteq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$.

Proof. Let $\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T \in \check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$ and $x, y \in D(S)$. Then $A = x \otimes y \in \mathcal{F}_S$ and, by (3.3) and (3.5),

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} A_S &= S(x \otimes y) - (x \otimes y)S = x \otimes Sy - (Sx) \otimes y, \\ A_S T &= (x \otimes Sy)T - ((Sx) \otimes y)T = (T^*x) \otimes Sy - (T^*Sx) \otimes y, \\ A\mathbb{T}_S &= (x \otimes y)\mathbb{T}_S = ((\mathbb{T}_S)^*x) \otimes y = ((T^*S - S^*T^*)x) \otimes y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.1), (3.6) and (3.8),

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T}(A \oplus A_S) &= \text{Tr}(A\mathbb{T}_S) + \text{Tr}(A_S T) \\ &= (y, (T^*S - S^*T^*)x) + (Sy, T^*x) - (y, T^*Sx) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 3.1 [13] that any finite rank operator A in \mathcal{F}_S has the form $A = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ where $x_i, y_i \in D(S)$. Hence $F_{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T}(A \oplus A_S) = 0$ for any finite rank operator $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Since, by definition of \mathcal{F}_S , finite rank operators are dense in $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and since $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ are isometrically isomorphic, the operators $A \oplus A_S$, where A are finite rank operators, are dense in $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S$. Since $F_{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T}$ is continuous on $\widehat{C}(H)$, $F_{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T}(A \oplus A_S) = 0$, for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Therefore $F_{\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T} \in (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp$, so that $\check{\mathfrak{X}}_S \subseteq (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp$.

Conversely, let $R \oplus T \in (\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp \subseteq \widehat{T}(H)$ and let $A = x \otimes y \in \mathcal{F}_S$, where $x, y \in D(S)$. From (3.1), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.8) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F_{R \oplus T}(A \oplus A_S) = \text{Tr}(AR) + \text{Tr}(A_S T) \\ &= \text{Tr}((R^*x) \otimes y) + \text{Tr}[(T^*x) \otimes Sy - (T^*Sx) \otimes y] \\ &= (y, R^*x) + (Sy, T^*x) - (y, T^*Sx). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(Sy, T^*x) = (y, (T^*S - R^*)x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D(S).$$

Therefore $T^*x \in D(S^*)$ and $S^*T^*x = (T^*S - R^*)x$. Thus $T^*D(S) \subseteq D(S^*)$ and

$$(Sx, Ty) = (T^*Sx, y) = (S^*T^*x, y) + (R^*x, y) = (x, TSy) + (x, Ry).$$

From this it follows that $Ty \in D(S^*)$ and $S^*Ty = TSy + Ry$. Hence

$$TD(S) \subseteq D(S^*) \quad \text{and} \quad R|_{D(S)} = S^*T|_{D(S)} - TS|_{D(S)}.$$

Therefore $T \in \mathfrak{X}_S$ and $R = \mathbb{T}_S$. Thus $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp \subseteq \check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$, so that $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^\perp = \check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$. From this we also obtain that $\check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{T}(H)$. Part (i) is proved.

Since $\check{\Phi}_S \subseteq \check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$, we have $(\check{\mathfrak{X}}_S)^\perp \subseteq (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp$. Let now $A \oplus A_S \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$ and $AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)$. It was shown in Lemma 3.1 [13] that

$$A_S|_{D(S^*)} = (S^*A - AS^*)|_{D(S^*)}.$$

For $x, y \in D(S^*)$, the operator $T = x \otimes y$ belongs to Φ_S and, taking the above equality into account, we obtain from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} A_S T &= x \otimes A_S y = x \otimes (S^* A - A S^*) y \quad \text{and} \\ A \mathbb{T}_S &= A(x \otimes S^* y - (S^* x) \otimes y) = x \otimes A S^* y - (S^* x) \otimes A y. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (3.2) and (3.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{A \oplus A_S}(\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T) &= \text{Tr}(A \mathbb{T}_S) + \text{Tr}(A_S T) \\ &= (A S^* y, x) - (A y, S^* x) + (S^* A y, x) - (A S^* y, x) \\ &= (S^* A y, x) - (A y, S^* x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)$, it follows that $S^* A y = S A y$ and $(A y, S^* x) = (S A y, x)$. Hence $\theta_{A \oplus A_S}(\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T) = 0$ and, by linearity, it holds for all $T \in \Phi_S$. Therefore

$$(3.9) \quad \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{A}_S \text{ and } AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)\} \subseteq (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp.$$

Conversely, let $A \oplus B \in (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp$. Then, for every $x, y \in D(S^*)$, $T = x \otimes y \in \Phi_S$ and

$$\theta_{A \oplus B}(\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T) = \text{Tr}(A \mathbb{T}_S) + \text{Tr}(B T) = 0.$$

By (3.5), $B T = x \otimes B y$ and, as above, $A \mathbb{T}_S = x \otimes A S^* y - (S^* x) \otimes A y$. Hence, by (3.6),

$$0 = (A S^* y, x) - (A y, S^* x) + (B y, x).$$

Thus

$$(A y, S^* x) = (A S^* y, x) + (B y, x), \quad \text{for all } x, y \in D(S^*).$$

Therefore $A y \in D(S^{**})$ and $S^{**} A y = A S^* y + B y$. Since S is closed, $S^{**} = S$ and we obtain that

$$(3.10) \quad AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad B|_{D(S^*)} = (S A - A S^*)|_{D(S^*)}.$$

Restricting (3.10) to $D(S)$, we have

$$AD(S) \subseteq D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad B|_{D(S)} = (S A - A S)|_{D(S)}.$$

Making use of (3.10), we obtain that for $z \in D(S)$ and $u \in D(S^*)$,

$$(A^* z, S^* u) = (z, A S^* u) = (z, S A u) - (z, B u) = (A^* S z, u) - (B^* z, u).$$

Therefore $A^* z \in D(S^{**})$. Since $S^{**} = S$, we have $A^* D(S) \subseteq D(S)$. Thus $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and $B = A_S$, so $A \oplus B = A \oplus A_S \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$. Taking into account that $AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)$, we obtain that

$$(\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp \subseteq \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{A}_S \text{ and } AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)\}.$$

Combining this with (3.9), we complete the proof of the theorem. □

Since the Banach spaces $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ and the Banach spaces $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ are isometrically isomorphic and since $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{C}(H)$, Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 yield:

Corollary 3.3. *The dual space of the Banach $*$ -algebra $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space $\widehat{T}(H)/\check{\mathfrak{I}}_S$ and the second dual space of $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a closed subspace of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$.*

The following example shows that if S is not selfadjoint then, generally speaking, $(\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp \neq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$, so that $(\mathcal{F}_S)^{\perp\perp} \neq \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$ and the second dual space of $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to a proper subspace of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$.

Example 3.4. Let, as in Example 2.2, $H = L^2(0, 1)$ and the operator $S = i \frac{d}{dt}$ with domain $D(S) = \{h(t) : h, h' \in L_2(0, 1) \text{ and } h(0) = h(1) = 0\}$. Then S is a symmetric operator, non-selfadjoint and

$$D(S^*) = \{h(t) : h, h' \in L^2(0, 1)\}.$$

Let $g(t)$ be a differentiable function on $[0, 1]$ such that $g(0) \neq 0$ and let M_g be the bounded operator of multiplication by $g(t)$ on H . Then $M_g \in \mathcal{A}_S$. If $h(t) \in D(S^*)$ and $h(0) \neq 0$ then $(M_g h)(0) = g(0)h(0) \neq 0$, so that $M_g h \notin D(S)$. Thus $M_g \oplus (M_g)_S \notin \{A \oplus A_S : A \in \mathcal{A}_S \text{ and } AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S)\}$. Hence $(\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp \neq \mathcal{A}_S$.

Assume now that S is selfadjoint. Then $D(S^*) = D(S)$, $\mathbb{T}_S = T_S$, for $T \in \mathfrak{I}_S$, and

$$\mathfrak{I}_S = \{T \in T(H) \cap \mathcal{A}_S : T_S \in T(H)\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S.$$

It is well known (see, for example, [5] and [6]) that the algebra $T(H)$ is a two-sided ideal of $B(H)$ and if $A \in B(H)$ and $B \in T(H)$ then

$$(3.11) \quad |AB| \leq \|A\| |B|, \quad |B^*| = |B| \quad \text{and} \quad \|B\| \leq |B|.$$

We consider now two equivalent norms on \mathfrak{I}_S :

$$|T|_1 = |T| + |T_S| \quad \text{and} \quad |T|_2 = \max(|T|, |T_S|), \quad \text{for } T \in \mathfrak{I}_S.$$

Since

$$\mathbb{T}_S = T \quad \text{and} \quad |T|_2 = \max(|T|, |T_S|) = |\mathbb{T}_S \oplus T|, \quad \text{for } T \in \mathfrak{I}_S,$$

$(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\check{\mathfrak{I}}_S$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let S be selfadjoint. Then:*

- (i) $\mathfrak{I}_S \subset \mathcal{F}_S$ and $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is a two-sided Banach \mathcal{A}_S -module;
- (ii) $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ is a Banach $*$ -algebra and a \mathbf{D} -subalgebra of $C(H)$ (see (1.1)) with $D = 1$.

Proof. It was shown in [13] that if S is selfadjoint then \mathcal{F}_S coincides with the algebra $\mathcal{J}_S = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_S : A \text{ and } A_S \text{ belong to } C(H)\}$. Since $\mathfrak{I}_S \subset \mathcal{J}_S$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{I}_S \subset \mathcal{F}_S$.

In Theorem 3.2(i) it was shown that $\check{\mathfrak{I}}_S$ is a closed subspace of $\widehat{T}(H)$. Since $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $\check{\mathfrak{I}}_S$, it is a Banach space.

Since the norms $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ are equivalent, $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ is also a Banach space.

For $A, B \in \mathcal{A}_S$,

$$\begin{aligned} (AB)_S|_{D(S)} &= (SAB - ABS)|_{D(S)} \\ &= [(SA - AS)B + A(SB - BS)]|_{D(S)} = (A_S B + AB_S)|_{D(S)}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(3.12) \quad (AB)_S = A_S B + AB_S.$$

Let $T \in \mathfrak{I}_S$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}_S$. Then $T, T_S \in T(H)$. Since $\mathfrak{I}_S \subset \mathcal{A}_S$ and $T(H)$ is a two-sided ideal of $B(H)$, it follows that $AT \in T(H) \cap \mathcal{A}_S$ and, by (3.12),

$$(AT)_S = A_S T + AT_S \in T(H).$$

Therefore $AT \in \mathfrak{I}_S$. Making use of (3.11), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |AT|_2 &= \max(|AT|, |(AT)_S|) \leq \max(\|A\| |T|, \|A_S\| |T| + \|A\| |T_S|) \\ &\leq (\|A\| + \|A_S\|) \max(|T|, |T_S|) = \|A\|_S |T|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $TA \in \mathfrak{I}_S$ and $|TA|_2 \leq \|A\|_S |T|_2$. Thus $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is a two-sided Banach \mathcal{A}_S -module. Part (i) is proved.

From (i) and from the fact that $\mathfrak{I}_S \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S$, we have that \mathfrak{I}_S is an algebra. We also have that $T^* \in \mathfrak{I}_S$ and, since $\mathbb{T}_S = T_S$, it follows from (3.3) that $(T^*)_S = -(T_S)^* \in T(H)$. Taking this and (3.11) into account, we obtain that

$$|T^*|_1 = |T^*| + |(T^*)_S| = |T^*| + |-(T_S)^*| = |T| + |T_S| = |T|_1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |TR|_1 &= |TR| + |(TR)_S| = |TR| + |T_S R + TR_S| \\ &\leq \|T\| |R| + |T_S| \|R\| + \|T\| |R_S| \\ &\leq |T| |R| + |T_S| |R| + |T| |R_S| \leq |T|_1 |R|_1, \end{aligned}$$

for $T, R \in \mathfrak{I}_S$. Hence $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ is a Banach *-algebra.

Clearly, \mathfrak{I}_S is dense in $C(H)$. For $T, R \in \mathfrak{I}_S$, it follows from (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} |TR|_1 &= |TR| + |(TR)_S| = |TR| + |T_S R + TR_S| \\ &\leq \|T\| |R| + |T_S| \|R\| + \|T\| |R_S| \\ &\leq \|T\|(|R| + |R_S|) + (|T| + |T_S|)\|R\| \\ &= \|T\| |R|_1 + |T|_1 \|R\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(\mathfrak{I}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ is a **D**-subalgebra of $C(H)$ with the constant $D = 1$. □

If S is selfadjoint, it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $(\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$ and

$$\left(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S\right)^{\perp\perp} = (\check{\mathfrak{I}}_S)^\perp \subseteq (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S.$$

In order to prove that $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^{\perp\perp} = \widehat{A}_S$ it suffices to show that $\check{\Phi}_S$ is dense in $\check{\mathfrak{X}}_S$. For this we need the following lemma which is a partial case of the general result obtained by Gohberg and Krein [6, Theorem 6.3] for symmetrically normable ideals.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $T \in T(H)$ and let Q_n be finite rank projections which converge to $\mathbf{1}_H$ in the strong operator topology. Then*

$$|T - Q_n T| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |T - T Q_n| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $A = x \otimes y$, $x, y \in H$. By (3.5), $A^* A = \|y\|^2(x \otimes x)$ and the operator $(A^* A)^{1/2} = \frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}(x \otimes x)$ has only one non-zero eigenvalue $\lambda = \|x\| \|y\|$. Hence

$$(3.13) \quad |x \otimes y| = |A| = \text{Tr}(A^* A)^{1/2} = \lambda = \|x\| \|y\|.$$

If $T = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \otimes y_i$ is a finite rank operator then, by (3.5) and (3.13),

$$\begin{aligned} |T - Q_n T| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \otimes (y_i - Q_n y_i) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |x_i \otimes (y_i - Q_n y_i)| \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\| \|y_i - Q_n y_i\| \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For any T in $T(H)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a finite rank operator T_ε such that $|T - T_\varepsilon| < \varepsilon$. Making use of the inequality (3.11), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |T - Q_n T| &\leq |T - T_\varepsilon| + |T_\varepsilon - Q_n T_\varepsilon| + |Q_n(T - T_\varepsilon)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon + |T_\varepsilon - Q_n T_\varepsilon| + \|Q_n\| |T - T_\varepsilon| \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon + |T_\varepsilon - Q_n T_\varepsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

Since T_ε is a finite rank operator, by the above argument, there is n_ε such that $|T_\varepsilon - Q_n T_\varepsilon| \leq \varepsilon$, for $n > n_\varepsilon$. Hence $|T - Q_n T| \leq 3\varepsilon$ and $|T - Q_n T| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, one can prove that $|T - T Q_n| \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *Let S be selfadjoint. Then Φ_S is dense in $(\mathfrak{X}_S, |\cdot|_1)$.*

Proof. Let $[S]$ be the selfadjoint operator constructed in Section 2. Then $D(S) = D([S])$, so that $\Phi_S = \Phi_{[S]}$. Since $B = S - [S]$ is a bounded operator, $BT - TB \in T(H)$, for $T \in T(H)$. Therefore, taking into account that

$$(ST - TS)_{D(S)} = ([S]T - T[S])_{D(S)} + (BT - TB)_{D(S)},$$

we conclude that $\mathfrak{X}_S = \mathfrak{X}_{[S]}$ and $T_S = T_{[S]} + BT - TB$.

Making use of (3.11), we obtain that for any $T \in \mathfrak{X}_S$,

$$\begin{aligned} |T| + |T_S| &= |T| + |T_{[S]} + BT - TB| \\ &\leq |T| + |T_{[S]}| + 2\|B\| |T| \\ &\leq (1 + 2\|B\|) (|T| + |T_{[S]}|). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $|T| + |T_{[S]}| \leq (1 + 2\|B\|)(|T| + |T_S|)$. Thus the norms $|\cdot|_1$ generated by the operators S and $[S]$ on \mathfrak{A}_S are equivalent. Hence to obtain the proof we only have to show that $\Phi_{[S]}$ is dense in $(\mathfrak{A}_{[S]}, |\cdot|_1)$.

In every subspace $H_S(n)$ (see (2.2)) we choose an increasing sequence of finite-dimensional projections $\{Q_n^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ converging to the projection $P_S(n)$ (see (2.1)) in the strong operator topology as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Set

$$Q^k = \sum_{n=-k}^k \oplus Q_n^k.$$

Then Q^k are finite-dimensional projections commuting with $[S]$. Hence $Q^k \in \Phi_{[S]}$. The projections Q^k converge to $\mathbf{1}_H$ in the strong operator topology. Let $T \in \mathfrak{A}_{[S]}$. Then $Q_n T \in \Phi_{[S]}$ and

$$[S]Q^k T - Q^k T[S] = Q^k [S]T - Q^k T[S] = Q^k ([S]T - T[S]) = Q^k T_{[S]}.$$

Therefore $(Q^k T)_{[S]} = Q^k T_{[S]}$.

Since $T, T_{[S]} \in T(H)$, we obtain from Lemma 3.6 that

$$|T - Q^k T| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |T_{[S]} - (Q^k T)_{[S]}| = |T_{[S]} - Q^k T_{[S]}| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence

$$|T - Q^k T|_1 = |T - Q^k T| + |T_{[S]} - (Q^k T)_{[S]}| \rightarrow 0$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, so that $\Phi_{[S]}$ is dense in $(\mathfrak{A}_{[S]}, |\cdot|_1)$. □

Corollary 3.8. *Let S be a selfadjoint operator. Then:*

- (i) *the Banach *-algebra $(\mathfrak{A}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ is simple;*
- (ii) $(\check{\mathfrak{A}}_S)^\perp = (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$;
- (iii) *the dual space of $(\mathfrak{A}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the quotient space $\widehat{B}(H)/\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$.*

Proof. Let I be a closed two-sided ideal of $(\mathfrak{A}_S, |\cdot|_1)$ and $0 \neq T \in I$. Since $D(S)$ is dense in H , there is $x \in D(S)$ such that $Tx \neq 0$. Since S is selfadjoint, it follows from the definition of \mathfrak{A}_S that $Tx \in D(S)$. From this and from the discussion before Lemma 3.1 we obtain that the rank one operators $y \otimes x$ and $Tx \otimes z$ belong to \mathfrak{A}_S for any $y, z \in D(S)$. By (3.5), $T(y \otimes x) = (y \otimes Tx) \in I$ and

$$(Tx \otimes z)(y \otimes Tx) = \|Tx\|^2(y \otimes z) \in I.$$

Thus $y \otimes z \in I$ and, therefore, $\Phi_S \subseteq I$. Since I is closed, we obtain from Proposition 3.7 that $I = \mathfrak{A}_S$. Part (i) is proved.

Since the norms $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ are equivalent on \mathfrak{A}_S , it follows from Proposition 3.7 that Φ_S is dense in $(\mathfrak{A}_S, |\cdot|_2)$. Taking into account that $(\mathfrak{A}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the closed subspace $\check{\mathfrak{A}}_S$ of $\widehat{T}(H)$,

we obtain that the linear subspace $\check{\Phi}_S$ is dense in $\check{\mathfrak{L}}_S$. From this and from Theorem 3.2(ii) we obtain $(\check{\mathfrak{L}}_S)^\perp = (\check{\Phi}_S)^\perp = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$. Part (ii) is proved.

The dual space of $(\mathfrak{L}_S, |\cdot|_2)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the dual space of the closed subspace $\check{\mathfrak{L}}_S$ of $\widehat{T}(H)$. Since $\widehat{T}(H)^* = \widehat{B}(H)$, part (iii) follows from (ii) and from Lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.9. *If S is a selfadjoint operator then $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^{\perp\perp} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$ and the second dual space of the algebra $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to the algebra $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$.*

Proof. Combining Theorem 3.2(i) and Corollary 3.8(ii) yields $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S)^{\perp\perp} = \widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S$. Therefore it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the second dual space of $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$. Taking into account that $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$ and that $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ is isometrically isomorphic to $(\widehat{\mathcal{A}}_S, \|\cdot\|)$, we complete the proof. \square

4. Isomorphism of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{A}_S .

In this section we study the problem of classification of the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{A}_S up to $*$ -isomorphism. For isometrical $*$ -isomorphism this problem is completely solved in Theorem 4.4. As far as bounded but not necessarily isometrical $*$ -isomorphism is concerned, we have obtained some partial results in Theorems 4.6 and 4.8 for the case when S is selfadjoint.

Banach $*$ -algebras $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ are $*$ -isomorphic if there is a bounded $*$ -isomorphism φ from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . They are isometrically $*$ -isomorphic if, in addition, $\|\varphi(A)\|_{\mathcal{B}} = \|A\|_{\mathcal{A}}$, for $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Let $(\mathcal{A}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}})$ be Banach $*$ -algebras of operators on Hilbert spaces H and \mathcal{H} (the norms $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}}$ do not, generally speaking, coincide with the operator norms in $B(H)$ and $B(\mathcal{H})$) and let φ be a bounded $*$ -isomorphism from \mathcal{A} onto \mathcal{B} . An isometry operator U from H into \mathcal{H} implements φ if

$$\varphi(A) = UAU^*, \quad A \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let R and T be symmetric operators on \mathcal{H} , S be a symmetric operators on H , U be an isometry operator from \mathcal{H} onto H and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

- (i) *If $\mathcal{F}_R = \mathcal{F}_T$ then the norms $\|\cdot\|_R$ and $\|\cdot\|_T$ on this algebra are equivalent, so that the Banach $*$ -algebras $(\mathcal{F}_R, \|\cdot\|_R)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ are $*$ -isomorphic.*
- (ii) *If $R = \pm T + t\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$ then $\mathcal{F}_R = \mathcal{F}_T$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_R$ and $\|\cdot\|_T$ coincide.*
- (iii) *If $S = \lambda UTU^* + B$, where $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and B is a bounded selfadjoint operator, then $A \rightarrow UAU^*$ is a bounded $*$ -isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ onto $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$. If $\lambda = \pm 1$ and $B = t\mathbf{1}_H$ then $A \rightarrow UAU^*$ is an isometric $*$ -isomorphism.*

The same results hold for the algebras \mathcal{A}_S .

Proof. By Proposition 3.2 [13], the algebras \mathcal{F}_R and \mathcal{F}_T are semisimple. Hence if $\mathcal{F}_R = \mathcal{F}_T$ then it follows from Johnson’s uniqueness of norm theorem that the norms $\|\cdot\|_R$ and $\|\cdot\|_T$ on this algebra are equivalent. Therefore the identity mapping is a bounded *-isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}_R, \|\cdot\|_R)$ onto $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$.

Let $R = \pm T + t\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $D(R) = D(T)$ and $A_T = A_R$ for any $A \in \mathcal{A}_T$. Hence $\|A\|_R = \|A\|_T$ and $\mathcal{A}_R = \mathcal{A}_T$. The sets of finite rank operators in the algebras \mathcal{F}_R and \mathcal{F}_T coincide and, since these algebras are the closures of these sets with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_T$, we obtain that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$.

If $S = \lambda UTU^* + B$ then $D(S) = UD(T)$ and, for $A \in \mathcal{A}_T$,

$$UAU^*D(S) = UAD(T) \subseteq UD(T) = D(S) \quad \text{and}$$

$$SUAU^* - UAU^*S = \lambda U(TA - AT)U^* + (BA - AB),$$

so that $UAU^* \in \mathcal{A}_S$ and $(UAU^*)_S = \lambda UA_TU^* + (BA - AB)$. Thus $\mathcal{A}_S = U\mathcal{A}_TU^*$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|UAU^*\|_S &= \|UAU^*\| + \|(UAU^*)_S\| = \|A\| + \|\lambda UA_TU^* + (BA - AB)\| \\ &\leq \|A\| + \lambda\|A\| + 2\|B\| \|A\| \leq \max(\lambda, 1 + 2\|B\|)\|A\|_T, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\psi(A) = UAU^*$ is a bounded *-isomorphism from $(\mathcal{A}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ onto $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$. If A is a finite rank operator in \mathcal{A}_T then UAU^* is a finite rank operator in \mathcal{A}_S . Therefore $\mathcal{F}_S = \psi(\mathcal{F}_T)$. □

Let S be a symmetric operator with domain $D(S)$. It was shown in Lemma 3.1 [13] that a finite rank operator A belongs to \mathcal{F}_S if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad A = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i, \quad \text{where } x_i, y_i \in D(S).$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let S and T be symmetric operators on H and \mathcal{H} and let \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{C} be closed *-subalgebras of $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$, respectively, such that $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{F}_T \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Let ψ be a bounded *-isomorphism from \mathcal{C} onto \mathcal{B} and let $\varphi = \psi|_{\mathcal{F}_T}$. Then:*

- (i) φ is a bounded *-isomorphism of $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ onto $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$;
- (ii) there is an isometry operator U from \mathcal{H} onto H implementing ψ :

$$\psi(A) = UAU^*, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{C},$$

and $D(S) = UD(T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_{UTU^*} = \mathcal{F}_S$.

Proof. For $x, y \in D(T)$, $x \neq 0$, $y \neq 0$, set $Y = \varphi(x \otimes y)$. If Y is not a rank one operator, there are $z, u \in D(S)$ such that $Yz \neq 0$, $Yu \neq 0$ and $Yz \perp Yu$. Since $Y \in \mathcal{A}_S$, we have that $Yz, Yu \in D(S)$, so that $Yz \otimes z \in \mathcal{F}_S$ and $u \otimes Yu \in \mathcal{F}_S$. By (3.5)

$$(4.2) \quad (Yz \otimes z)(u \otimes Yu) = (Yu, Yz)(u \otimes z) = 0.$$

Since $(z \otimes z)^* = z \otimes z$ and φ is a *-isomorphism, it follows from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)x) \otimes y &= (x \otimes y) [\varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)]^* \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(Y)\varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z) = \varphi^{-1}(z \otimes Yz) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)x \neq 0$. Similarly, $\varphi^{-1}(u \otimes u)x \neq 0$. From this and from (3.5) and (4.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \varphi^{-1}((Yz \otimes z)(u \otimes Yu)) = \varphi^{-1}((z \otimes z)Y^*Y(u \otimes u)) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)\varphi^{-1}(Y^*)\varphi^{-1}(Y)\varphi^{-1}(u \otimes u) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)(y \otimes x)(x \otimes y)\varphi^{-1}(u \otimes u) \\ &= \varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)\|y\|^2(x \otimes x)\varphi^{-1}(u \otimes u) \\ &= \|y\|^2([\varphi^{-1}(u \otimes u)x] \otimes [\varphi^{-1}(z \otimes z)x]) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction shows that Y is a rank one operator. Hence $Y \in \mathcal{F}_S$ and, by (4.1), φ maps all finite rank operators in \mathcal{F}_T into finite rank operators in \mathcal{F}_S . Since φ is bounded $\varphi(\mathcal{F}_T) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S$. Similarly, $\varphi^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_S) \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T$, so that φ is a bounded *-isomorphism from \mathcal{F}_T onto \mathcal{F} . Part (i) is proved.

Fix $x_0 \in D(T)$, $\|x_0\| = 1$. Since $x_0 \otimes x_0$ is a projection, $\varphi(x_0 \otimes x_0)$ is a one-dimensional projection in \mathcal{F}_S . By (4.1), we can choose ξ_0 in $D(S)$, $\|\xi_0\| = 1$, such that $\varphi(x_0 \otimes x_0) = \xi_0 \otimes \xi_0$. Let $y \in D(T)$. Making use of the equality $x_0 \otimes y = (x_0 \otimes y)(x_0 \otimes x_0)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_0 \otimes y) &= \varphi(x_0 \otimes y)\varphi(x_0 \otimes x_0) \\ &= \varphi(x_0 \otimes y)(\xi_0 \otimes \xi_0) = \xi_0 \otimes \varphi(x_0 \otimes y)\xi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varphi(x_0 \otimes y) \in \mathcal{F}_S$, it follows from (4.1) that $\varphi(x_0 \otimes y)\xi_0$ belongs to $D(S)$.

Now $U : y \in D(T) \rightarrow \varphi(x_0 \otimes y)\xi_0$ is a linear mapping from $D(T)$ into $D(S)$ and $\varphi(x_0 \otimes y) = \xi_0 \otimes Uy$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((y \otimes x_0)(x_0 \otimes y)) &= \|y\|^2\varphi(x_0 \otimes x_0) = \|y\|^2(\xi_0 \otimes \xi_0) \\ &= \varphi((x_0 \otimes y)^*)\varphi(x_0 \otimes y) \\ &= (Uy \otimes \xi_0)(\xi_0 \otimes Uy) = \|Uy\|^2(\xi_0 \otimes \xi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\|Uy\|^2 = \|y\|^2$, for $y \in D(T)$, and U extends to an isometry operator from \mathcal{H} into H which we also denote by U . We have that, for $x, y \in D(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad \varphi(x \otimes y) &= \varphi((x_0 \otimes y)(x \otimes x_0)) = (\xi_0 \otimes Uy)(\xi_0 \otimes Ux)^* \\ &= Ux \otimes Uy = U(x \otimes y)U^*. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, there is an isometry operator V which maps $D(S)$ into $D(T)$ such that $\varphi^{-1}(\xi \otimes \eta) = V\xi \otimes V\eta$, for $\xi, \eta \in D(S)$. Hence

$$\xi \otimes \eta = \varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\xi \otimes \eta)) = \varphi(V\xi \otimes V\eta) = UV\xi \otimes UV\eta.$$

Thus $UV\xi = \lambda(\xi)\xi$ where λ is a function on $D(S)$ such that $|\lambda(\xi)| = 1$. Hence $UD(T) = D(S)$. Since $D(S)$ is dense in H and U is an isometry operator, we have $UH = H$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{C}$ and set $R = U^*\psi(A)U$. Then $x \otimes y \in \mathcal{F}_T$, for any $x, y \in D(T)$, and, since \mathcal{F}_T is an ideal of \mathcal{A}_T , we have $A(x \otimes y) = x \otimes Ay \in \mathcal{F}_T$. By (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} R(x \otimes y) &= U^*\psi(A)U(x \otimes y) = U^*\psi(A)U(x \otimes y)U^*U \\ &= U^*\psi(A)\varphi(x \otimes y)U = U^*\psi(A)\psi(x \otimes y)U \\ &= U^*\psi(A(x \otimes y))U = U^*\varphi(x \otimes Ay)U = x \otimes Ay. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R(x \otimes y) = x \otimes Ry = x \otimes Ay$, so that $Ry = Ay$. Thus $R = A$ and

$$\psi(A) = UAU^*.$$

The operator $F = UTU^*$ is symmetric and $D(F) = UD(T) = D(S)$. By Lemma 4.1, $\mathcal{F}_F = U\mathcal{F}_T U^*$ and $A \rightarrow UAU^*$ is an isometric *-isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ onto $(\mathcal{F}_F, \|\cdot\|_F)$. Hence

$$\varphi(U^*BU) = U(U^*BU)U^* = B, \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{F}_F,$$

is a bounded *-isomorphism from \mathcal{F}_F onto \mathcal{F}_S . Therefore $\mathcal{F}_F = \mathcal{F}_S$. □

It was shown in Theorem 3.4 [13] that the algebra $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ has a bounded approximate identity if and only if S is selfadjoint. Making use of this and of Theorem 4.2, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.3. *If the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T are *-isomorphic or the algebras \mathcal{A}_S and \mathcal{A}_T are *-isomorphic then the operators S and T are either selfadjoint or non-selfadjoint at the same time.*

Apart from the sufficient conditions of Lemma 4.1 and the necessary conditions of Corollary 4.3 for two algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T to be *-isomorphic we do not know any other sufficient or necessary condition in the case when S and T are arbitrary symmetric operators. Later, in Theorem 4.6 and Corollary 4.8 we consider a particular case when the operators S and T are selfadjoint.

It follows from Theorem 4.2 that if \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T are *-isomorphic, they are unitary isomorphic. This, however, does not necessarily imply that they are isometrically isomorphic. In the following theorem we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T to be *isometrically* *-isomorphic.

Theorem 4.4. *The algebras $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ are isometrically *-isomorphic if and only if there are $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and an isometry operator U such that $S - \lambda\mathbf{1}_H = \pm UTU^*$. The same result holds for $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 4.1 it follows that the conditions of the theorem are sufficient. From Theorem 4.2 it follows that if these conditions are necessary for the algebras $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ to be isometrically *-isomorphic, they are also necessary for the algebras $(\mathcal{A}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and $(\mathcal{A}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$.

Let φ be an isometric *-isomorphism from $(\mathcal{F}_T, \|\cdot\|_T)$ onto $(\mathcal{F}_S, \|\cdot\|_S)$ and let U be the isometry operator as in Theorem 4.2 which implements φ :

$$\varphi(A) = UAU^*, \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{F}_T.$$

Set $F = UTU^*$. Then F is a symmetric operator on H , $D(S) = D(F) = UD(T)$ and $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_F$. Since φ is isometric, the norms $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ coincide.

We will show that there is $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that either $S - \lambda\mathbf{1}_H = F$ or $S - \lambda\mathbf{1}_H = -F$.

Step 1. Suppose that $z \in D(S)$ is not an eigenvector of S and $\|z\| = 1$. Set

$$s = (Sz, z), \quad t = (Fz, z), \quad R = S - s\mathbf{1}_H \quad \text{and} \quad G = F - t\mathbf{1}_H.$$

Since S and F are symmetric, $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, the operators R and G are symmetric and

$$(4.4) \quad D(R) = D(G), \quad Rz \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (Rz, z) = (Gz, z) = 0.$$

Set $D = D(R) = D(G)$. Since $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_F$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ coincide, it follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\mathcal{F}_R = \mathcal{F}_G$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_R$ and $\|\cdot\|_G$ coincide.

Taking into account that R and G are symmetric, we obtain from (3.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y \otimes x\|_R &= \|y \otimes x\| + \|y \otimes Rx - (Ry) \otimes x\| = \|y \otimes x\|_G \\ &= \|y \otimes x\| + \|y \otimes Gx - (Gy) \otimes x\|, \end{aligned}$$

for $x, y \in D$. Therefore

$$(4.5) \quad \|y \otimes Rx - (Ry) \otimes x\| = \|y \otimes Gx - (Gy) \otimes x\|.$$

Represent the elements Rx and Gx in the form

$$(4.6) \quad Rx = \alpha(x)x + x_R \quad \text{and} \quad Gx = \beta(x)x + x_G,$$

where x_R and x_G are orthogonal to x . Then

$$\alpha(x)\|x\|^2 = (Rx, x) = (x, Rx) = \overline{\alpha(x)}\|x\|^2.$$

Thus $\alpha(x)$ is real, for $x \in D$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} x \otimes Rx - (Rx) \otimes x &= \alpha(x)(x \otimes x) + x \otimes x_R - \alpha(x)(x \otimes x) - x_R \otimes x \\ &= x \otimes x_R - x_R \otimes x. \end{aligned}$$

Since x and x_R are orthogonal, any $u \in H$ can be represented in the form $u = \nu x + \tau x_R + \tilde{u}$, where $\nu, \tau \in \mathbb{C}$ and \tilde{u} is orthogonal to x and x_R . Therefore

$$\|u\| = |\nu|^2\|x\|^2 + |\tau|^2\|x\|^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|^2$$

and, by (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x \otimes x_R + x_R \otimes x)u\|^2 &= \|(u, x)x_R + (u, x_R)x\|^2 \\ &= \|\nu \|x\|^2 x_R + \tau \|x_R\|^2 x\|^2 \\ &= |\nu|^2 \|x\|^4 \|x_R\|^2 + |\tau|^2 \|x_R\|^4 \|x\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|x_R\|^2 (|\nu|^2 \|x\|^2 + |\tau|^2 \|x_R\|^2). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|x \otimes Rx - (Rx) \otimes x\|^2 = \|x \otimes x_R - x_R \otimes x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \|x_R\|^2.$$

Similarly, $\|x \otimes Gx - (Gx) \otimes x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \|x_G\|^2$ and it follows from (4.5) that

$$\|x_R\| = \|x_G\|, \quad \text{for } x \in D.$$

Therefore we obtain from (4.6) that for $x \in D$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|^2 \|Rx\|^2 - |(Rx, x)|^2 &= \|x\|^2 (|\alpha(x)|^2 \|x\|^2 + \|x_R\|^2) - |\alpha(x)|^2 \|x\|^4 \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|x_R\|^2 = \|x\|^2 \|x_G\|^2 \\ &= \|x\|^2 \|Gx\|^2 - |(Gx, x)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(4.7) \quad \|x\|^2 (\|Rx\|^2 - \|Gx\|^2) = |(Rx, x)|^2 - |(Gx, x)|^2.$$

In particular, it follows from (4.4), (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$(4.8) \quad Rz = z_R, \quad Gz = z_G \quad \text{and} \quad \|Rz\| = \|Gz\|.$$

Step 2. Set $D_{\frac{1}{Z}} = \{y \in D : y \text{ is orthogonal to } z\}$. Let $y \in D_{\frac{1}{Z}}$ and $x = y + \mu z$, $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\|x\|^2 = \|y\|^2 + \|\mu z\|^2 = \|y\|^2 + |\mu|^2$ and, by (4.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \|Rx\|^2 - \|Gx\|^2 &= \|Ry\|^2 + \|\mu Rz\|^2 + 2\text{Re}[\mu(Rz, Ry)] \\ &\quad - \|Gy\|^2 - \|\mu Gz\|^2 - 2\text{Re}[\mu(Gz, Gy)] \\ &= A + 2\text{Re}(\mu B), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A = \|Ry\|^2 - \|Gy\|^2 \quad \text{and} \quad B = (Rz, Ry) - (Gz, Gy).$$

Since R is symmetric, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} (Rx, x) &= (Ry, y) + (\mu Rz, y) + (Ry, \mu z) + (\mu Rz, \mu z) \\ &= (Ry, y) + 2\text{Re}[\mu(Rz, y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $(Gx, x) = (Gy, y) + 2\text{Re}[\mu(Gz, y)]$.

Let $\mu = re^{i\psi}$. Substituting all this in (4.7), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (4.9) \quad &(\|y\|^2 + r^2)[A + 2r\text{Re}(e^{i\psi} B)] \\ &= \{(Ry, y) + 2r\text{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Rz, y)]\}^2 - \{(Gy, y) + 2r\text{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Gz, y)]\}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$C = (Ry, y)\operatorname{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Rz, y)] - (Gy, y)\operatorname{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Gz, y)] \quad \text{and}$$

$$E = \{\operatorname{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Rz, y)]\}^2 - \{\operatorname{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Gz, y)]\}^2.$$

Since R and G are symmetric, (Ry, y) and (Gy, y) are real. Hence

$$C = \operatorname{Re}\{e^{i\psi}[(Ry, y)(Rz, y) - (Gy, y)(Gz, y)]\}.$$

Comparing the coefficients of the same powers of r in (4.9), we obtain that

$$\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\psi}B) = 0, \quad A = 4E \quad \text{and} \quad C = 0.$$

Taking into account that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\psi}K) = 0$, for $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$, implies $K = 0$, we obtain that $C = 0$ implies

$$(4.10) \quad (Ry, y)(Rz, y) - (Gy, y)(Gz, y) = 0.$$

Set $(Rz, y) = ae^{ib}$ and $(Gz, y) = ce^{id}$. Then

$$E = a^2 \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i(\psi+b)} \right) \right]^2 - c^2 \left[\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{i(\psi+d)} \right) \right]^2$$

$$= a^2 \cos^2(\psi + b) - c^2 \cos^2(\psi + d).$$

Since $A = 4E$ and since A does not depend on ψ , neither does E . Hence $a^2 = c^2$ and $d = b$ or $d = b + \pi$. Since $a \geq 0$ and $c \geq 0$, $a = c$. Thus

$$(4.11) \quad (Rz, y) = \pm(Gz, y), \quad \text{for } y \in D_{\frac{1}{Z}}^{\perp}.$$

Since D is dense in \mathcal{H} , $D_{\frac{1}{Z}}^{\perp}$ is dense in the subspace $\{\mathbb{C}z\}^{\perp}$. Hence (4.11) holds for all $y \in \{\mathbb{C}z\}^{\perp}$. From (4.9) it follows that $Rz = z_R \in \{\mathbb{C}z\}^{\perp}$. Substituting Rz for y in (4.11), we obtain $\|Rz\| = (Rz, Rz) = \pm(Gz, Rz)$. Let $Gz = \nu Rz + u$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$ and u is orthogonal to Rz . Then

$$\|Rz\|^2 = \pm(Gz, Rz) = \pm\nu\|Rz\|^2.$$

Since $Rz \neq 0$ (see (4.4)), $\nu = \pm 1$. Taking (4.9) into account, we obtain

$$\|Rz\|^2 = \|Gz\|^2 = (\nu Rz + u, \nu Rz + u)$$

$$= |\nu|^2\|Rz\|^2 + \|u\|^2 = \|Rz\|^2 + \|u\|^2.$$

Hence $u = 0$ and either $Rz = Gz$ or $Rz = -Gz$.

Step 3. Let $Rz = Gz$. Set $W = R - G$. Then W is symmetric, $Wz = 0$ and it follows from (4.10) that

$$[(Ry, y) - (Gy, y)](Rz, y) = (Wy, y)(Rz, y) = 0, \quad \text{for } y \in D_{\frac{1}{Z}}^{\perp}.$$

Any $x \in D$ can be represented in the form $x = y + \mu z$ where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$ and $y \in D_{\frac{1}{Z}}^{\perp}$. Then $Wx = Wy$ and, since $(Rz, z) = 0$, we have $(Rz, x) = (Rz, y)$. Since $Wz = 0$,

$$(Wx, x)(Rz, x) = (Wy, y + \mu z)(Rz, y)$$

$$= [(Wy, y) + (y, \mu Wz)](Rz, y) = (Wy, y)(Rz, y) = 0.$$

Therefore

$$(4.12) \quad (Wx, x)(Rz, x) = 0, \quad \text{for } x \in D.$$

Let $X = \{x \in H : (Rz, x) = 0\}$ be the orthogonal complement of the subspace $\{\mathbb{C}Rz\}$ in H . By (4.4), $Rz \neq 0$, so X has codimension 1. Set $\mathcal{D} = \{x \in D : x \notin X\}$. Since D is dense in H , \mathcal{D} is also dense in H . For $x \in \mathcal{D}$, we have $(Rz, x) \neq 0$. Hence, by (4.12),

$$(Wx, x) = 0.$$

If $x, y \in \mathcal{D}$, there is $r > 0$ such that $x + re^{i\psi}y \in \mathcal{D}$, for all $0 \leq \psi < 2\pi$. Taking into account that W is symmetric, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (W(x + re^{i\psi}y), x + re^{i\psi}y) = (Wx, x) + 2r\text{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Wy, x)] + r^2(Wy, y) \\ &= 2r\text{Re}[e^{i\psi}(Wy, x)]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(Wy, x) = 0$. Since \mathcal{D} is dense in H , we have $Wy = 0$, for $y \in \mathcal{D}$.

Let $u \in D \cap X$, so that $(Rz, u) = 0$. For $y \in \mathcal{D}$, $(Rz, y + u) = (Rz, y) \neq 0$. Hence $y + u \in \mathcal{D}$ and $0 = W(y + u) = Wy + Wu = Wu$. Thus $Wx = 0$, for all $x \in D$, so that $R = G$. Hence $S - s\mathbf{1}_H = F - t\mathbf{1}_H$. Setting $\lambda = s - t$, we obtain that

$$S - \lambda\mathbf{1}_H = F = UTU^*.$$

Similarly, in the case when $Rz = -Gz$ we obtain that $S - \lambda\mathbf{1}_H = -F = -UTU^*$ which concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

In the rest of this section we study conditions for the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T to be *-isomorphic but not necessarily isometrically *-isomorphic in the case when S and T are selfadjoint operators. Taking Theorem 4.2(ii) into account, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$ and $D(S) = D(T)$.

In Example 4.7 we show that the coincidence of the domains of selfadjoint operators S and T even in the case when $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Sp}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and S and T have the same sets of eigenvectors is not sufficient for $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$. In other words, the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T may be the closures of the same set of finite rank operators and, nevertheless, be non-isomorphic. Necessary and sufficient conditions for these algebras to be *-isomorphic will be obtained in Theorem 4.6.

Let \mathfrak{H} be a Hilbert space with an orthogonal basis $\{e_i\}_{i=-\infty}^\infty$. Every operator T in $B(\mathfrak{H})$ has a matrix representation $T = (t_{ij})$, $-\infty < i, j < \infty$, where $t_{ij} = (Te_j, e_i)$. A matrix $M = (m_{ij})$, $-\infty < i, j < \infty$, is called a *Schur multiplier*, if, for any $T = (t_{ij}) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, the matrix $M \circ T = (m_{ij}t_{ij})$ belongs to $B(\mathfrak{H})$. Then $T \rightarrow M \circ T$ is a bounded map of $B(\mathfrak{H})$ into itself; it will also be denoted by M and its norm by $|M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})}$.

Let $H = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus H_i$ be an orthogonal sum of Hilbert spaces H_i . Every operator A in $B(H)$ has a block-matrix representation $A = (A_{ij})$, $-\infty < i, j < \infty$, where A_{ij} are bounded operators from H_j into H_i .

Lemma 4.5. *Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a Schur multiplier on \mathfrak{H} . It defines a bounded operator \mathcal{M} on $B(H)$ by the formula*

$$\mathcal{M} \times A = (m_{ij}A_{ij}), \quad \text{where } A = (A_{ij}) \in B(H),$$

and $|\mathcal{M}|_{B(H)} = |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})}$.

Proof. Let $G = \{g_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $F = \{f_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$ be sequences of elements such that $g_j, f_j \in H_j$ and $\|g_j\| = \|f_j\| = 1$. For $A = (A_{ij}) \in B(H)$, let $T^{G,F}(A) = (a_{ij}^{G,F})$, $-\infty < i, j < \infty$, be the matrix such that

$$(4.13) \quad a_{ij}^{G,F} = (A_{ij}g_j, f_i) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $\alpha = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_j e_j \in \mathfrak{H}$ and $\beta = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus \beta_j e_j \in \mathfrak{H}$, set

$$x_{\alpha}^G = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus \alpha_j g_j \quad \text{and} \quad y_{\beta}^F = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus \beta_j f_j.$$

Then $x_{\alpha}^G, y_{\beta}^F \in H$, $\|x_{\alpha}^G\| = \|\alpha\|$, $\|y_{\beta}^F\| = \|\beta\|$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax_{\alpha}^G, y_{\beta}^F) &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_i (A_{ij}g_j, f_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \alpha_j \bar{\beta}_i a_{ij}^{G,F} = (T^{G,F}(A)\alpha, \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $T^{G,F}(A) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A\| &= \sup_{\alpha, \beta, G, F} \frac{|(Ax_{\alpha}^G, y_{\beta}^F)|}{\|x_{\alpha}^G\| \|y_{\beta}^F\|} \\ &= \sup_{G, F} \left(\sup_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{|(T^{G,F}(A)\alpha, \beta)|}{\|\alpha\| \|\beta\|} \right) = \sup_{G, F} \|T^{G,F}(A)\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (4.13) that $T^{G,F}(\mathcal{M} \times A) = M \circ T^{G,F}(A)$. Since M is a Schur multiplier, $M \circ T^{G,F}(A) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and, by (4.14),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{M} \times A\| &= \sup_{G, F} \|T^{G,F}(\mathcal{M} \times A)\| = \sup_{G, F} \|M \circ T^{G,F}(A)\| \\ &\leq \sup_{G, F} |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})} \|T^{G,F}(A)\| = |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})} \sup_{G, F} \|T^{G,F}(A)\| \\ &= |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})} \|A\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $|\mathcal{M}|_{B(H)} \leq |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $|M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})} \leq |\mathcal{M}|_{B(H)}$. Thus $|\mathcal{M}|_{B(H)} = |M|_{B(\mathfrak{H})}$. \square

Let S and T be selfadjoint operators on H and assume that $\text{Sp}(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, $\text{Sp}(T) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and that

$$H = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \oplus H_i \quad \text{where } S|_{H_i} = s_i \mathbf{1}_{H_i}, \quad T|_{H_i} = t_i \mathbf{1}_{H_i},$$

$$s_i \neq s_j \quad \text{and} \quad t_i \neq t_j \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

Set

$$M = (m_{ij}) \quad \text{where } m_{ij} = \frac{s_i - s_j}{t_i - t_j}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \text{and } m_{ii} = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = (n_{ij}) \quad \text{where } n_{ij} = \frac{t_i - t_j}{s_i - s_j}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \text{and } n_{ii} = 0.$$

Theorem 4.6. $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$ if and only if M and N are Schur multipliers.

Proof. In every H_i we choose a non-decreasing sequence of finite-dimensional projections $\{Q_i^p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ which converge to $\mathbf{1}_{H_i}$ in the strong operator topology as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Set $Q_p = \sum_{i=-p}^p \oplus Q_i^p$. The finite-dimensional projections Q_p commute with S and T , belong to $\mathcal{F}_S \cap \mathcal{F}_T$ and converge to $\mathbf{1}_H$ in the strong operator topology. Therefore $\|Q_p\|_S = \|Q_p\|_T = \|Q_p\| = 1$.

For any $A = (A_{ij}) \in \mathcal{A}_S \cap \mathcal{A}_T$,

$$A_S = SA - AS = (A_{ij}^S) \quad \text{and} \quad A_T = TA - AT = (A_{ij}^T),$$

where $A_{ij}^S = (s_i - s_j)A_{ij}$ and $A_{ij}^T = (t_i - t_j)A_{ij}$. Set $B = A_T$. Then $A_S = \mathcal{M} \times B$,

$$(4.15) \quad \|A\|_S = \|A\| + \|A_S\| = \|A\| + \|\mathcal{M} \times B\| \quad \text{and}$$

$$\|A\|_T = \|A\| + \|A_T\| = \|A\| + \|B\|.$$

We assume now that M and N are Schur multipliers and show that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$. By Lemma 4.5 and (4.15),

$$(4.16) \quad \|A\|_S \leq \|A\| + |M| \|B\|$$

$$\leq \|A\| + |M| (\|A\|_T - \|A\|) \leq (|M| + 1) \|A\|_T.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.17) \quad \|A\|_T \leq (|N| + 1) \|A\|_S.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$. Then $Q_p A \in \mathcal{F}_S$ and, since Q_p commute with S ,

$$(Q_p A)_S = \text{Closure}(SQ_p A - Q_p AS) = \text{Closure } Q_p(SA - AS) = Q_p A_S.$$

Since A and A_S are compact and since Q_p converge to $\mathbf{1}_H$ in the strong operator topology,

$$\|A - Q_p A\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|A_S - (Q_p A)_S\| = \|A_S - Q_p A_S\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } p \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence $\|A - Q_p A\|_S \rightarrow 0$, so that $\{Q_p\}$ is a bounded approximate identity in \mathcal{F}_S . Similarly, it is a bounded approximate identity in \mathcal{F}_T .

Let $A \in \mathcal{F}_S$. For any p , $Q_p T = Q_p T Q_p = T Q_p$ is a finite rank operator. Hence

$$(Q_p A Q_p)_T = T(Q_p A Q_p) - (Q_p A Q_p)T = (T Q_p)A Q_p - Q_p A(Q_p T)$$

is a finite rank operator. Therefore $Q_p A Q_p \in \mathcal{F}_S \cap \mathcal{F}_T$ and, by (4.17),

$$\|Q_{p+k} A Q_{p+k} - Q_p A Q_p\|_T \leq (|N| + 1) \|Q_{p+k} A Q_{p+k} - Q_p A Q_p\|_S.$$

Since $\{Q_p\}$ is a bounded approximate identity in \mathcal{F}_S , the operators $Q_p A Q_p$ converge to A with respect to $\|\cdot\|_S$. From the above inequality it follows that $\{Q_p A Q_p\}$ is a fundamental sequence with respect to $\|\cdot\|_T$. Hence there is $A_1 \in \mathcal{F}_T$ such that $\|A_1 - Q_p A Q_p\|_T \rightarrow 0$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\|A - Q_p A Q_p\| \leq \|A - Q_p A Q_p\|_S \rightarrow 0$ and $\|A_1 - Q_p A Q_p\| \leq \|A_1 - Q_p A Q_p\|_T \rightarrow 0$, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain that $A = A_1$, so $\mathcal{F}_S \subseteq \mathcal{F}_T$. Similarly, $\mathcal{F}_T \subseteq \mathcal{F}_S$. Thus we conclude that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$.

Suppose now that $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$. Choose elements $e_i \in H_i$ such that $\|e_i\| = 1$ and let \mathfrak{H} be the subspace of H generated by all e_i , $-\infty < i < \infty$. Then \mathfrak{H} is invariant for S and T , $S e_i = s_i e_i$ and $T e_i = t_i e_i$. By $S_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $T_{\mathfrak{H}}$ we denote the restrictions of S and T to \mathfrak{H} . Since $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$,

$$\mathcal{F}_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}} = \mathcal{F}_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}.$$

We shall show now that M and N are Schur multipliers on \mathfrak{H} .

The function $f(t) = i(\pi - t)$ on $[0, 2\pi]$ has Fourier coefficients $c_0 = 0$ and $c_n = \frac{1}{n}$, for $n = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with an orthonormal basis $\{h_k\}_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $R = (r_{kl})$, $-\infty < k, l < \infty$, be a *Toeplitz* matrix such that $r_{kk} = 0$ and $r_{kl} = c_{k-l} = \frac{1}{k-l}$, $k \neq l$. Then $R \in B(\mathcal{H})$ and it follows from Theorem 8.1 [1] that R is a Schur multiplier and $|R| = \sup |f(t)| = \pi$.

Identifying e_i in \mathfrak{H} with h_{t_i} in \mathcal{H} , we can consider \mathfrak{H} as a subspace of \mathcal{H} . For $B = (b_{km}) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, where $b_{km} = (B e_m, e_k)$, let $\tilde{B} = (\tilde{b}_{ij}) \in B(\mathcal{H})$ be such that $\tilde{B}|_{\mathfrak{H}} = B$ and $\tilde{B}|_{\mathfrak{H}^\perp} = 0$. Then $\|\tilde{B}\| = \|B\|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b}_{t_k t_m} &= \left(\tilde{B} h_{t_m}, h_{t_k} \right) = (B e_m, e_k) = b_{km}, \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{b}_{ij} &= \left(\tilde{B} h_j, h_i \right) = 0 \quad \text{if either } i \neq t_k \text{ or } j \neq t_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since R is a Schur multiplier, the operator $\tilde{C} = (\tilde{c}_{ij}) = R \circ \tilde{B}$ belongs to $B(\mathcal{H})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{t_k t_m} &= r_{t_k t_m} \tilde{b}_{t_k t_m} = (t_k - t_m)^{-1} b_{km}, \quad \text{if } k \neq m, \quad \text{and} \\ \tilde{c}_{ij} &= 0 \quad \text{if either } i \neq t_k \text{ or } j \neq t_m \text{ or } i = j = t_k. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $C = \tilde{C}|_{\mathfrak{H}}$, we obtain that $C = (c_{km}) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, where

$$c_{km} = \tilde{c}_{t_k t_m} = (t_k - t_m)^{-1} b_{km}, \quad \text{if } k \neq m, \quad \text{and } c_{kk} = 0,$$

that $\|\tilde{C}\| = \|C\|$ and that $C = W \circ B$, where $W = (w_{km})$ is a matrix such that

$$w_{km} = (t_k - t_m)^{-1}, \quad k \neq m, \quad \text{and} \quad w_{kk} = 0.$$

From this it follows that W is a Schur multiplier on \mathfrak{H} and

$$\|W \circ B\| = \|C\| = \|\tilde{C}\| = \|R \circ \tilde{B}\| \leq |R| \|\tilde{B}\| = |R| \|B\|.$$

Thus $|W| \leq |R| = \pi$.

Let P_n be the orthoprojections in \mathfrak{H} on the subspaces $\sum_{j=-n}^n \oplus \{\mathbb{C}e_j\}$. Then P_n are finite rank operators commuting with operators $S_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $T_{\mathfrak{H}}$ and $P_n\mathfrak{H} \subseteq D(S_{\mathfrak{H}})$. Hence $P_n \in \mathcal{F}_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}$. For every $B \in B(\mathfrak{H})$, P_nBP_n are finite rank operators preserving $D(S_{\mathfrak{H}})$ and their adjoints $P_nB^*P_n$ also preserve $D(S_{\mathfrak{H}})$. Therefore

$$(4.18) \quad P_nBP_n \in \mathcal{F}_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}.$$

Any $B = (b_{km}) \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ can be represented in the form $B = B_d + B_0$, where B_d is the diagonal operator such that $(B_d) = b_{kk}$. Then

$$(4.19) \quad \|B_d\| \leq \|B\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|B_0\| = \|B - B_d\| \leq 2\|B\|.$$

We have that

$$(4.20) \quad M \circ (P_nBP_n) = P_n(M \circ B)P_n.$$

Since $m_{kk} = 0$ in the matrix $M = (m_{km})$,

$$(4.21) \quad M \circ (P_nBP_n) = M \circ (P_nB_0P_n).$$

Set $A = W \circ B$. Since W is a Schur multiplier, $A \in B(\mathfrak{H})$ and, by (4.18), $P_nAP_n \in \mathcal{F}_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}$. It is easy to check that

$$(4.22) \quad P_nB_0P_n = T_{\mathfrak{H}}(P_nAP_n) - (P_nAP_n)T_{\mathfrak{H}} = (P_nAP_n)_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}, \quad \text{and} \\ M \circ (P_nB_0P_n) = S_{\mathfrak{H}}(P_nAP_n) - (P_nAP_n)S_{\mathfrak{H}} = (P_nAP_n)_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}} = \mathcal{F}_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}$, it follows from Lemma 4.1(i) that the norms $\|\cdot\|_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}$ are equivalent. Therefore there exists $D > 0$ such that $\|P_nAP_n\|_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq D\|P_nAP_n\|_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}$. Hence we obtain from (4.19), (4.21) and (4.22) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M \circ (P_nBP_n)\| &= \|M \circ (P_nB_0P_n)\| = \|(P_nAP_n)_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}}\| \\ &\leq \|P_nAP_n\|_{S_{\mathfrak{H}}} \leq D\|P_nAP_n\|_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}} \\ &= D(\|P_nAP_n\| + \|(P_nAP_n)_{T_{\mathfrak{H}}}\|) \\ &\leq D(\|A\| + \|P_nB_0P_n\|) \leq D(\|A\| + \|B_0\|) \\ &= D(\|W \circ B\| + \|B_0\|) \leq D(|R| \|B\| + 2\|B\|) = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all operators $M \circ (P_nBP_n)$, $1 \leq n < \infty$, lie in the ball \mathbf{B}_ρ of $B(\mathfrak{H})$ of radius ρ . Compactness of \mathbf{B}_ρ in the weak operator topology implies that the

sequence $\{M \circ (P_n B P_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has a cluster point $K \in B(\mathfrak{H})$. Therefore there is a subsequence $\{M \circ (P_{n_j} B P_{n_j})\}$ such that for all e_k and e_m ,

$$(K e_k, e_m) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (M \circ (P_{n_j} B P_{n_j}) e_k, e_m).$$

If $n_j \geq \max(|k|, |m|)$ then $P_{n_j} e_k = e_k$ and $P_{n_j} e_m = e_m$ and, by (4.20),

$$(M \circ (P_{n_j} B P_{n_j}) e_k, e_m) = (P_{n_j} (M \circ B) P_{n_j} e_k, e_m) = (M \circ B e_k, e_m).$$

Hence $(K e_k, e_m) = ((M \circ B) e_k, e_m)$, $-\infty < k, m < \infty$. Thus $K = M \circ B$, so M is a Schur multiplier. Similarly, we obtain that N is also a Schur multiplier. □

Example 4.7. Let

$$s_i = i \quad \text{and} \quad t_i = (-1)^i i$$

in Theorem 4.6. If $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_T$ then, by Theorem 4.6, M is a Schur multiplier and we have that $|m_{ij}| \leq |M|$ for all i and j . Let $i = 2k$ and $j = -2k + 1$. Then $s_i = t_i = 2k$ and $s_j = -t_j = -2k + 1$. Hence

$$m_{ij} = \frac{s_i - s_j}{t_i - t_j} = 4k - 1 \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

This shows that M is not a Schur multiplier and, therefore, $\mathcal{F}_S \neq \mathcal{F}_T$.

Making use of Theorem 4.6, we obtain the following result of a more general character.

Theorem 4.8. *Let S and T be selfadjoint operators on H and \mathcal{H} respectively. If there exists a bijection φ of \mathbb{Z} onto \mathbb{Z} such that*

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_T(\varphi(i))) = \dim(H_S(i)), \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(see (2.2) for definition of $\mathcal{H}_T(i)$ and $H_S(i)$) and if

$$M = (m_{ij}) \quad \text{where} \quad m_{ij} = \frac{\varphi(i) - \varphi(j)}{i - j}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \text{and } m_{ij} = 0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$N = (n_{ij}) \quad \text{where} \quad n_{ij} = \frac{i - j}{\varphi(i) - \varphi(j)}, \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad \text{and } n_{ij} = 0$$

are Schur multipliers then the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T are $*$ -isomorphic.

Proof. Consider the operators $[S]$ and $[T]$ (see (2.1)) and the corresponding decompositions

$$H = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \oplus H_S(i) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \oplus \mathcal{H}_T(i)$$

where $H_S(i) = P_S(i)H$ and $\mathcal{H}_T(i) = P_T(i)\mathcal{H}$ (see (2.3)). The operators $S - [S]$ and $T - [T]$ are bounded, so $\mathcal{F}_S = \mathcal{F}_{[S]}$ and $\mathcal{F}_T = \mathcal{F}_{[T]}$.

Consider the selfadjoint operator R on H such that all subspaces $H_S(i)$ are invariant for R and $R|_{H_S(i)} = \varphi(i)\mathbf{1}_{H_S(i)}$. Since M and N are Schur multipliers, it follows from Theorem 4.6 that $\mathcal{F}_R = \mathcal{F}_{[S]}$.

On the other hand, since $\dim(\mathcal{H}_T(\varphi(i))) = \dim(H_S(i))$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists an isometry operator U from H onto \mathcal{H} which maps $H_S(i)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_T(\varphi(i))$. Then $U^*[T]U = R$. By Lemma 4.1, the algebras \mathcal{F}_R and $\mathcal{F}_{[T]}$ are *-isomorphic. Hence the algebras \mathcal{F}_S and \mathcal{F}_T are *-isomorphic. \square

References

- [1] G. Bennett, *Schur multipliers*, Duke Math. J., **44** (1977), 603-639.
- [2] B. Blackadar and J. Cuntz, *Differential Banach algebra norms and smooth subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, J. Oper. Theory, **26** (1991), 255-282.
- [3] O. Bratteli and D.W. Robinson, *Unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras*, I, Comm. Math. Phys., **42** (1975), 253-268.
- [4] J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algèbres et leurs Représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [5] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, Vol. I+II, Interscience Inc., New York, 1988.
- [6] I.Ts. Gohberg and M.G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces*, Nauka, Moscow, 1965.
- [7] P.E.T. Jorgensen and G.L. Price, *Index theory and second quantization of boundary value problems*, J. Funct. Anal., **104** (1992), 243-290.
- [8] E. Kissin, *Symmetric operator extensions of unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **81** (1988), 38-53.
- [9] ———, *Indices of unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math., **152** (1992), 125-150.
- [10] E. Kissin, A.I. Loginov and V.S. Shulman, *Derivations of C^* -algebras and almost Hermitian representations on Π_k -spaces*, Pacific J. Math., **174** (1996), 411-430.
- [11] E. Kissin and V.S. Shulman, *Dense Q -subalgebras of Banach and C^* -algebras and unbounded derivations of Banach and C^* -algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **36** (1993), 261-276.
- [12] ———, *Differential properties of some dense subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc., **37** (1994), 399-422.
- [13] ———, *Differential Banach *-algebras of compact operators associated with symmetric operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **156** (1998), 1-29.
- [14] ———, *Representations on Krein Spaces and Derivations of C^* -algebras*, Addison Wesley Longman, London, 1997.
- [15] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Academic Press, New York London, 1972.

[16] S. Sakai, *Operator Algebras in Dynamical Systems*, CUP, Cambridge, 1991.

Received December 10, 1997 and revised September 16, 1998.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH LONDON
GREAT BRITAIN
E-mail address: 11kissine@unl.ac.uk

POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF VOLOGDA
VOLOGDA
RUSSIA