# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# SPECIAL VALUES OF KOECHER–MAASS SERIES OF SIEGEL CUSP FORMS

YoungJu Choie and Winfried Kohnen

Volume 198 No. 2 April 2001

# SPECIAL VALUES OF KOECHER–MAASS SERIES OF SIEGEL CUSP FORMS

YoungJu Choie and Winfried Kohnen

A certain finiteness result for special values of character twists of Koecher-Maass series attached to Siegel cusp of genus g is proved.

### 1. Introduction.

Let f be an elliptic cusp form of even integral weight k on  $\Gamma_1 := SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo a positive integer N and denote by  $L(f,\chi,s)$  ( $s \in \mathbf{C}$ ) the Hecke L-function of f twisted with  $\chi$ , defined by analytic continuation of the series

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \chi(n) a(n) n^{-s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0; \ a(n) = n \text{-th Fourier coefficient of } f).$$

Let  $g(\chi)$  be the Gauss sum attached to  $\chi$ . As is well-known, there exists a **Z**-module  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  (depending only on f) of finite rank such that all the special values

$$i^{s+1}(2\pi)^{-s}g(\overline{\chi})L(f,\chi,s)$$

$$(s \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \le s \le k - 1;$$

 $\chi$  a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N, N \in \mathbf{N}$ )

lie in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[\overline{\chi}]$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}[\overline{\chi}]$  is the **Z**-module obtained from **Z** by adjoining the values of  $\overline{\chi}$ . In fact, if f is a Hecke eigenform, one has  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} M_f \leq 2$  [1, 7, 8, 10].

The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of the above result to the case of a Siegel cusp form f, where now  $L(f, \chi, s)$  is replaced by an appropriate  $\chi$ -twist of the Koecher-Maass series attached to f.

More precisely, let f be a cusp form of even integral weight  $k \geq g+1$  w.r.t. the Siegel modular group  $\Gamma_g := Sp_g(\mathbf{Z})$  of genus g and write a(T) (T a positive definite half-integral matrix of size g) for its Fourier coefficients. For  $\chi$  as above we set

(1) 
$$L(f,\chi,s) := \sum_{\{T>0\}/GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{\chi(\operatorname{tr} T)a(T)}{\epsilon_N(T)(\det T)^s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where the summation extends over all positive definite half-integral (g,g)matrices T modulo the action  $T \mapsto T[U] := U^t T U$  of the group  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) := \{U \in GL_g(\mathbf{Z}) \mid U \equiv E_g \pmod{N}\}$  and  $\epsilon_N(T) := \#\{U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid T[U] = T\}$  is the order of the corresponding unit group of T (note that  $\epsilon_N(T) = 1$  whenever N > 2 by a classical result of Minkowski). Furthermore,  $\operatorname{tr} T$  denotes the trace of T. Note that  $\chi(\operatorname{tr} T)$  depends only on the  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ class of T.

In §2 (Thm. 1) we shall prove that the series  $L(f, \chi, s)$  have holomorphic continuations to  $\mathbf{C}$  and satisfy functional equations under  $s \mapsto k - s$ . The proof is fairly standard and follows the same pattern as in [6] for the case N = 1 (compare also [5]) and [9, §3.6] for g = 1.

The main result of the paper (Thm. 2) which will be proved in §3, states that all the special values

$$i^{gs+\frac{g(g+1)}{2}}\pi^{\frac{g(g-1)}{4}+[\frac{g}{2}]}\,(2\pi)^{-gs}\,g(\overline{\chi})\,L(f,\chi,s)$$

$$\left(s \in \mathbf{N}, \ \frac{g+1}{2} \le s \le k - \frac{g+1}{2};\right)$$

 $\chi$  a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N, N \in \mathbf{N}$ 

are contained in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[\overline{\chi}]$  where  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  is a finite **Z**-module depending only on f. Its rank is bounded by the rank of a certain singular relative homology group of a toroidal compactification of a quotient space of  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is the Siegel upper half-space of genus g and w := k - (g+1). See §3 for details.

For the proof one represents the functions  $L(f,\chi,s)$  (similar as in the case g=1) as finite linear combinations of integrals of certain differential forms attached to f along certain  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ -dimensional real subcycles of  $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ . Our assertion then can be deduced if we use results of Hatada given in [2, 3]. More precisely, in [2] it is shown that the space of cusp forms of weight  $k \geq g+1$  w.r.t. a torsion-free congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$  is canonically isomorphic to the space of holomorphic differential forms of highest degree on a compactification of  $\Gamma \propto \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ , and in [3] using [2] a certain finiteness statement for a certain family of integrals of Siegel cusp forms is derived. (Actually, as we think, some of the assertions of [3] have to be slightly modified, for complete correctness' purposes; cf. §3.)

Inspecting the proof of Thm. 2, it is quite suggestive or even more or less clear that a similar finiteness statement as given there can be proved for special values of Dirichlet series of a much more general type. In fact, such a result essentially seems to be true for finite linear combinations of all the

partial series

$$\sum_{\{T>0\}/GL_q^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TS)} a(T)}{\epsilon^{(S)}(T) (\det T)^s} \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where S is any rational symmetric matrix of size g,  $GL_g^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})$  is the subgroup  $\{U \in GL_g(\mathbf{Z}) \mid S[U^t] \equiv S \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}\}$  and  $\epsilon^{(S)}(T) := \#\{U \in GL_g^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid T[U] = T\}$ . However, we do not want to pursue this point further.

We finally remark that in [4] the Koecher-Maass series of a Siegel-Eisenstein series of genus g is explicitly expressed in terms of "elementary" zeta functions. In particular, if g is odd it is shown to be a sum of products of Riemann zeta functions. It would be interesting to investigate if a similar statement as given in Thm. 2 would also hold in this case. In fact, it is suggestive that such an assertion can be derived directly from the explicit formulas given in [4].

One can also ask similar questions in the case of a Klingen-Siegel-Eisenstein series.

**Notations.** If A and B are complex matrices of appropriate sizes, we put  $A[B] := B^t A B$ . We simply write  $E = E_g$  resp.  $0 = 0_g$  for the unit resp. zero matrix of size g if there is no confusion.

We often write elements of the group  $GSp_g^+(\mathbf{R}) \subset GL_{2g}(\mathbf{R})$  consisting of real symplectic similitudes of size 2g with positive scale in the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ , understanding that A, B, C and D are real (g, g)-matrices.

If  $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{(g,g)}$ , we write Y > 0 if Y is symmetric and positive definite. The group  $GL_g(\mathbf{R})$  operates on  $\mathcal{P}_g := \{Y \in \mathbf{R}^{(g,g)} \mid Y > 0\}$  in the usual way from the right by  $Y \mapsto Y[U]$ .

If f(Z) is a complex-valued function on  $\mathcal{H}_g$ , k a positive integer and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in GSp_g^+(\mathbf{R})$ , we set

$$(f|_{k}\gamma)(Z) := \det(CZ + D)^{-k} f((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1})$$
  $(Z \in \mathcal{H}_q).$ 

We often write  $f|\gamma$  instead of  $f|_k\gamma$  if there is no misunderstanding.

If k is a positive integer,  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  and  $\chi$  is a character of  $\Gamma$  of finite order, we denote by  $S_k(\Gamma,\chi)$  the space of Siegel cusp forms of weight k and character  $\chi$  w.r.t.  $\Gamma$ . If  $\chi = 1$  we simply write  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

## 2. Character twists of Koecher-Maass series.

For N a natural number we define

$$\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g \, | \, C \equiv 0 \pmod{N^2}, \\ D \equiv \lambda E \pmod{N} \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

(note that  $\lambda$  must necessarily satisfy  $(\lambda, N) = 1$ ).

It is easy to see that  $\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$ . If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character modulo N, we extend  $\chi$  to a character of  $\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$  by putting  $\chi(\gamma) := \chi(\lambda)$  if  $\gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \lambda E \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$  with Fourier coefficients a(T) (T > 0 half-integral). Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo N. Then the function

$$f_{\chi}(Z) := \sum_{T > 0} \chi(\operatorname{tr} T) a(T) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr} (TZ)}$$
  $(Z \in \mathcal{H}_g)$ 

belongs to  $S_k(\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2),\chi^2)$ .

Proof. Let

$$g(\overline{\chi}) := \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) e^{2\pi i \nu/N}$$

be the Gauss sum attached to  $\overline{\chi}$ . Since

$$\sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(T) \frac{\nu}{N}} = \chi(\operatorname{tr} T) g(\overline{\chi}),$$

we obtain

(2) 
$$f_{\chi} = \frac{1}{g(\overline{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) f|\alpha_{\nu},$$

where

$$\alpha_{\nu} := \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N}E \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \qquad (\nu \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let 
$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$$
 and put

$$A' := A + \frac{\nu}{N}C,$$
 
$$B' := B + \frac{\nu}{N}(E - AD^t)D - \frac{\nu^2}{N^2}CD^tD,$$
 
$$D' := D - \frac{\nu}{N}CD^tD.$$

Then A', B' and D' are integral matrices, one has  $D' \equiv D \pmod{N}$  and

$$\alpha_{\nu}\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N}D^tD \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix};$$

in particular  $\begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$ , and it follows that

$$f_{\chi}|\gamma = \frac{1}{g(\overline{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) f | \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N} D^t D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \chi(\lambda^2) \cdot \frac{1}{g(\overline{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) f | \alpha_{\nu} \qquad (D \equiv \lambda E \pmod{N})$$

$$= \chi^2(\gamma) f.$$

This proves the claim.

**Lemma 2.** Let the notations be as in Lemma 1 and put

$$W_{N^2} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ N^2 E & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$f_{\chi}|W_{N^2} = g(\chi)^2 N^{-gk-1} f_{\overline{\chi}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $(\nu, N) = 1$  determine  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $\lambda N - \mu \nu = 1$ . Then

$$\alpha_{\nu}W_{N^2} = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} NE & -\mu E \\ -\nu E & \lambda E \end{pmatrix} \alpha_{\mu}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} g(\overline{\chi}) \cdot f_{\chi} | W_{N^2} &= N^{-gk} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}, (\nu, N) = 1} \overline{\chi}(\nu) f | \alpha_{\mu} \\ &= \chi(-1) N^{-gk} \sum_{\mu \pmod{N}, (\mu, N) = 1} \chi(\mu) f | \alpha_{\mu} \\ &= \chi(-1) g(\chi) N^{-gk} f_{\overline{\chi}}. \end{split}$$

Since  $g(\chi)g(\overline{\chi}) = \chi(-1)N$ , we obtain our claim.

**Theorem 1.** Let k be even and let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$ . Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo N and define  $L(f, \chi, s)$  (Re  $(s) \gg 0$ ) by (1). Let

$$\gamma_g(s) := (2\pi)^{-gs} \prod_{\nu=1}^g \pi^{(\nu-1)/2} \Gamma\left(s - \frac{\nu-1}{2}\right) \qquad (s \in \mathbf{C})$$

and set

$$L^*(f,\chi,s) := N^{gs} \gamma_g(s) L(f,\chi,s) \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$

Then  $L^*(f,\chi,s)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and the functional equation

$$L^*(f, \chi, k - s) = (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}} g(\chi)^2 \frac{1}{N} L^*(f, \overline{\chi}, s)$$

holds, where  $g(\chi)$  is the Gauss sum attached to  $\chi$ .

Proof. Since

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \right\} \subset \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$$

and k is even, the function  $f_{\chi}(iY)$  (Y > 0) is invariant under  $Y \mapsto Y[U]$   $(U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}))$ . Hence it follows in the usual way that

(3) 
$$L^*(f,\chi,s) = \frac{1}{2} N^{gs} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N}} f_{\chi}(iY) (\det Y)^s dv \qquad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}$  is any fundamental domain for the action of  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  on  $\mathcal{P}_g$  and  $dv = (\det Y)^{-(g+1)/2} dY$  is the  $GL_g(\mathbf{R})$ -invariant volume element on  $\mathcal{P}_g$ .

We fix a set of representatives  $U_1, \ldots, U_r$  for  $GL_g(\mathbf{Z})/GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  and now take

(4) 
$$\mathcal{F}_{g,N} = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^{r} \mathcal{R}_g[U_{\nu}],$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_g$  is Minkowski's fundamental domain for the action of  $GL_g(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Since  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is closed under transposition, also  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1}$  is a fundamental domain for  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ .

We let

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,+}:=\{Y\in\mathcal{P}_g\,|\det Y\geq N^{-g}\},\quad \mathcal{P}_{g,-}:=\{Y\in\mathcal{P}_g\,|\det Y\leq N^{-g}\},$$

write

$$\mathcal{F}_{q,N} = (\mathcal{F}_{q,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{q,+}) \cup (\mathcal{F}_{q,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{q,-})$$

and observe that  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,-}$  under the map  $Y \mapsto (N^2Y)^{-1}$  is transformed bijectively onto  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$ . We also observe that both  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$  are fundamental domains for the induced action of  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{g,+}$ , the integral in (3) is absolutely convergent and the integrand is invariant under  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Therefore, since by Lemma 2

$$f_X(i(N^2Y)^{-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}}g(\chi)^2 N^{gk-1} (\det Y)^k f_{\overline{\chi}}(iY),$$

we conclude that

(5) 
$$L^{*}(f,\chi,s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}} \left( f_{\chi}(iY) (N^{g} \det Y)^{s} + (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}} g(\chi)^{2} N^{-1} f_{\overline{\chi}}(iY) (N^{g} \det Y)^{k-s} \right) dv.$$

Standard arguments and estimates taking into account (4) and properties of  $\mathcal{R}_g$  (compare e.g., [5, Chap. VI]) now show that the integral on the right of (5) is (absolutely) convergent for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and represents a holomorphic function of s.

Since

$$g(\chi)g(\overline{\chi}) = \chi(-1)N,$$

we also easily see the claimed functional equation. This concludes the proof of the Theorem.

# 3. Special values.

In this section we shall prove:

**Theorem 2.** Let k be even,  $k \geq g+1$  and let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$ . If  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo N, define  $L(f,\chi,s)$   $(s \in \mathbf{C})$  by holomorphic continuation of the series (1) (Theorem 1). Let  $g(\overline{\chi})$  be the Gauss sum attached to  $\overline{\chi}$  and let  $\mathbf{Z}[\overline{\chi}]$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module obtained from  $\mathbf{Z}$  by adjoining the values of  $\overline{\chi}$ .

Then there exists a **Z**-module  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  depending only on f of finite rank such that all the special values

$$i^{gs + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{g(g-1)}{4} + [\frac{g}{2}]} (2\pi)^{-gs} g(\overline{\chi}) L(f, \chi, s)$$

where  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\frac{g+1}{2} \leq s \leq k - \frac{g+1}{2}$  and  $\chi$  runs over all primitive Dirichlet characters modulo all positive integers N, are contained in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\overline{\chi}]$ .

*Proof.* From (2) and (3) and the proof of Theorem 1 we find that (6)

$$g(\overline{\chi})\gamma_g(s)L(f,\chi,s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \overline{\chi}(\nu) \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N}} f\left(iY + \frac{\nu}{N}E\right) (\det Y)^{s - \frac{g+1}{2}} dY$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Note that the individual integrands on the right of (6) are  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ invariant since f(Z) is invariant under  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \right\}$ and under translations. Let  $w \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $w \geq 0$  and  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \propto \mathbf{R}^{2gw}$  be the semi-direct product of  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathbf{R}^{2gw} \cong (\mathbf{R}^{2g})^w$  with multiplication given by

$$(\gamma, \lambda)(\gamma', \lambda') = (\gamma \gamma', \lambda {\gamma'}^{\uparrow} + \lambda')$$

where by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{\uparrow}$  we denote the diagonal embedding of  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R})$  into  $GL_{2gw}(\mathbf{R})$ .

The group  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \propto \mathbf{R}^{2gw}$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  (with  $\mathbf{C}^{gw} \cong (\mathbf{C}^g)^w$ ) from the left by

$$(\gamma, \lambda) \circ (Z, (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_w))$$

$$= \left( (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \left( \zeta_1 + (\mu_1, \nu_1) \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ E_g \end{pmatrix} (CZ + D)^{-1}, \dots, \zeta_w + (\mu_w, \nu_w) \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ E_g \end{pmatrix} (CZ + D)^{-1} \right) \right)$$

where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\lambda = ((\mu_1, \nu_1), \dots, (\mu_w, \nu_w))$  with  $\mu_j, \nu_j \in \mathbf{R}^g$  for all j. The discrete subgroup  $\Gamma_g \propto \mathbf{Z}^{2gw}$  acts properly discontinuously.

Let  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$  be any congruence subgroup acting without fixed points on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (e.g., the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_g(\ell)$  with  $\ell \geq 3$ ) and view f as an element of  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

Put w := k - (g + 1). It was shown in [2] that the map

$$h(Z) \mapsto h(Z)dZd\zeta$$

gives an isomorphism between  $S_k(\Gamma)$  and the space of holomorphic differential forms of degree  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$  of (any) non-singular compactification of the quotient space  $\Gamma \propto \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ .

Using toroidal compactifications, in [3] from this a certain finiteness statement for certain cycle integrals attached to h was derived which we now want to describe in the special case we need.

Let S be a given rational symmetric matrix of size g and let n be an integer with  $0 \le n \le w$ . Define

$$T_g(S;n) := \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_g} \{ S + iY \}$$

$$\times \left( (\mathbf{R}^g)^{w-n} \times \{ (\mu_1 iY, \dots, \mu_n iY) \mid \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g \} \right)$$

$$\subset \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}.$$

Then  $T_g(S; n)$  is a real submanifold of  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  of dimension  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$ .

(In the notation of [3, §6] we have taken  $a_1 = a_2 = \ldots = a_{w-n} \in \{g+1,\ldots,2g\}$  and  $a_{w-n+1} = \ldots a_w \in \{1,\ldots,g\}$ . Also note that in the definition of  $T_g(a_1,\ldots,a_w;X)$  in [3, p. 401] we have replaced the "Z" in  $W(a_1,\ldots,a_w)[Z]$  by "iY". We think that this is the correct definition, since otherwise the corresponding integrals in [3, Lemma 6.2 and Thm. 5] in general would not be convergent.)

Put

$$\mathcal{U}_g := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_g(\mathbf{R}) \right\} \subset Sp_g(\mathbf{R}),$$

$$V_{g,n} := \{ (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n}, (\mu_1, 0), \dots, (\mu_n, 0)) \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n} \in \mathbf{R}^{2g}, \, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g \}$$

and

$$H_{g,n} := \mathcal{U}_g \propto V_{g,n} \subset Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \propto \mathbf{R}^{2gw}.$$

Let

$$\alpha^{(S)} := \begin{pmatrix} E & S \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then one easily checks that the conjugate subgroup

$$H_{q,n}^{(S)} := (\alpha^{(S)}, 0) \cdot H_{g,n} \cdot (\alpha^{(S)}, 0)^{-1}$$

leaves  $T_g(S; n)$  stable.

Note that  $H_{g,n}^{(S)}$  consists of all pairs

$$\left(\begin{pmatrix} U & S(U^t)^{-1} - US \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \left(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n}, (\mu_1, -\mu_1 S), \dots, (\mu_n, -\mu_n S)\right)\right)$$

with  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{w-n} \in \mathbf{R}^{2g}$  and  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g$ .

Let

$$H_{q,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} := H_{q,n}^{(S)} \cap \Gamma \propto \mathbf{Z}^{2gw}.$$

Write  $M := \Gamma \propto \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  and denote by  $\overline{M}$  a fixed toroidal compactification of M. Let  $\partial M = \overline{M} \backslash M$ . Then according to [3, Lemma 6.1] the closure of the image of  $H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} \backslash T_g(S;n)$  in  $\overline{M}$  w.r.t. the usual complex topology is the support of a singular relative  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$ -cycle with integral coefficients w.r.t.  $(\overline{M}, \partial M)$ .

Since  $H_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2}+gw}(\overline{M},\partial M,\mathbf{Z})$  is of finite rank, one concludes that for any given  $h \in S_k(\Gamma)$  all the numbers

$$\int_{H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} \backslash T_g(S;n)} h(Z) dZ d\zeta \qquad (S \in \mathbf{Q}^{(g,g)}, S = S^t)$$

are contained in a finite **Z**-module (depending only on h) whose rank is bounded by the rank of the above cohomology group ([3, Thm. 5], compare our above remark).

On the other hand (compare [3, Lemma 6.2]) one has the equality

(7) 
$$\int_{H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)}\backslash T_g(S;n)} h(Z)dZd\zeta$$

$$= \int_{\alpha^{(S)}\cdot \mathcal{U}_g\cdot(\alpha^{(S)})^{-1}\cap\Gamma\backslash\{S+iY\mid Y\in\mathcal{P}_g\}} h(Z)\det(Z-S)^n dZ.$$

In particular, now take  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g(\ell)$  with some fixed  $\ell \geq 3$ . Then the integral on the right of (7) is equal to

$$i^{gn+\frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{P}_g/GL_{a,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})} h(S+iY) (\det Y)^n dY,$$

where

$$GL_{g,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z}) := \{ U \in GL_{g,\ell}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid S[U^t] \equiv S \pmod{\ell \mathbf{Z}} \}.$$

Let  $S = \frac{\nu}{N}E$  with  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$  (so  $\alpha^{(S)} = \alpha_{\nu}$  in the notation of §2). Then we see that  $GL_{g,\ell N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is contained in  $GL_{g,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})$ . Since the index of  $GL_{g,\ell N}(\mathbf{Z})$  in  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is bounded by a number depending only on  $\ell$ , the assertion of Thm. 2 now follows taking into account (6) and the fact that  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+\nu) \in \mathbf{Q}\sqrt{\pi}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2 \dots$ 

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank E. Freitag, T. Oda, R. Scharlau and H. Yoshida for valuable conversations.

### References

- M. Eichler, Eine Verallgemeinerung der abelschen Integrale, Math. Ann., 67 (1957), 267-298.
- [2] K. Hatada, Siegel cusp forms as holomorphic differential forms on certain compact varieties, Math. Ann., **262** (1983), 503-509.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Homology Groups, Differential Forms and Hecke Rings on Siegel Modular Varieties, in 'Topics in Mathematical Analysis' (ed. Th.M. Rassias), World Scientific Publ. Co., (1989), 371-409.
- [4] T. Ibukiyama and H. Katsurada, An explicit form of Koecher-Maass series of Siegel-Eisenstein series, preprint 2000.
- [5] H. Klingen, Introductory Lectures on Siegel Modular Forms, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] H. Maass, Siegel's modular forms and Dirichlet series, Lect. Not. in Mathematics, 216, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [7] J. Manin, Periods of parabolic forms and p-adic Hecke series, Math. Sb., 21 (1973), 371-393.
- [8] G. Shimura, Sur les intégrales attachées aux formes automorphes, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 11(4) (1959), 291-311.

- [9] G. Shimura, Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Iwanami Shoten Publ. and Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- [10] V.V. Šokurov, Shimura integrals of cusp forms, Math. USSR Izvestija, 16(3) (1981), 603-646.

Received June 15, 1999. The first author was partially supported by KOSEF 98-0701-01-01-3 and POSTECH fund in the program year of 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
POHANG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLGY
POHANG 790-784
KOREA

E-mail address: yjc@yjc.postech.ac.kr

UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, INF 288, D-69120 HEIDELBERG GERMANY

 $\hbox{\it E-mail address}: \ winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de$