

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**NOVIKOV-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR VECTOR FIELDS
WITH NON-ISOLATED ZERO POINTS**

HUITAO FENG AND ENLI GUO

NOVIKOV-TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR VECTOR FIELDS WITH NON-ISOLATED ZERO POINTS

HUITAO FENG AND ENLI GUO

In this paper we prove some Novikov-type inequalities for vector fields with non-isolated zero points, which generalize some results of Shubin, 1996. As a consequence, we obtain an analytic proof of Hopf index theorem for vector fields which are nondegenerate in the sense of Bott.

1. Introduction.

In the article [5], Shubin presented a detailed treatment of the Novikov inequalities for vector fields with isolated zero points, to which Novikov sketched a proof in the appendix to [4]. As a consequence, a direct analytic proof of the Hopf index theorem for these vector fields is given. On the other hand, Braverman and Farber [2] obtained some Novikov-type inequalities for closed 1-forms with non-isolated zero points. In [3], we extended some results of Shubin [5] to a transversal section of a general oriented real vector bundle with the same dimension as its base manifold by constructing a super-twisted Dirac operator.

In this paper, we study the case of vector fields with non-isolated zero points. More precisely, let X be a closed, oriented and connected Riemannian manifold of dimension n and let v be a vector field on X . Set

$$Y = \{y \in X \mid v(y) = 0\}.$$

Then Y can be expressed as a finite disjoint union of closed and connected subsets Y_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$. In this paper we assume that v satisfies the following conditions for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, m$:

(C.1) Y_k is a submanifold of X of dimension l_k . In this case, the Lie derivative

$$\mathcal{L}_v : TX|_{Y_k} \rightarrow TX|_{Y_k}$$

is a homomorphism with kernel TY_k .

(C.2)

$$L_{v,k} = P^{N_k} \mathcal{L}_v P^{N_k} : N_k \rightarrow N_k$$

is an isomorphism, where N_k is the normal bundle of TY_k in $TX|_{Y_k}$ with respect to the induced Euclidean inner product on $TX|_Y$ from that on TX and P^{N_k} denotes the orthogonal projection from $TX|_{Y_k}$ to N_k .

(C.3) For any $Z \in N_k$,

$$P^{TY_k} \mathcal{L}_v(Z) = 0,$$

where P^{TY_k} denotes the orthogonal projection from $TX|_{Y_k}$ to TY_k .

In this paper, by using Witten's deformation idea (cf. [7]) and Bismut-Lebeau's technique (cf. [1]), we establish certain Novikov-type inequalities for vector fields verifying conditions (C.1)-(C.3) (Theorem 4.1). As a consequence, we obtain an analytic proof of Hopf index theorem (Theorem 4.2) for vector fields which are nondegenerate in the sense of Bott (in this case the condition (C.3) need not be used). Our result may be seen as a generalization of Shubin [5] and an analogue of Braverman and Farber [2] in the case of vector fields. The key step in our approach is that on each Y_k we can define an index $\text{ind}(v, Y_k)$ and a line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{Y_k}(v)$ by using the result of Shubin [5]. This line bundle has also played a role in the paper of Zhang [8] on his counting formula for the real Kervaire semi-characteristic.

2. A deformed de Rham-Hodge operator D_T^X .

In this section, we will define a deformed de Rham-Hodge operator D_T^X by a vector field v with the conditions (C.1)-(C.3) and discuss the local behavior of D_T^X near the zero points set Y of v as $T \rightarrow \infty$. To do this, we need to study the geometry of the submanifold Y and introduce some related differential operators. Especially, we will define a line bundle on Y through the behavior of v near Y , which is crucial to our problem.

Let g^{TX} be the Riemannian metric on X and ∇^{TX} be the associated Levi-Civita connection. We have the standard de Rham-Hodge operator

$$(1) \quad D^X = d + \delta : \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X)),$$

which is a first order self-adjoint elliptic operator. Set

$$(2) \quad D_{\pm}^X : \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{even/odd}}(T^*X)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{odd/even}}(T^*X)).$$

We have

$$\text{ind } D_{+}^X = \chi(X),$$

where $\chi(X)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of X .

For any vector field v , define in this paper

$$(3) \quad c(v) = \varepsilon(v) - \iota(v), \quad \hat{c}(v) = \varepsilon(v) + \iota(v),$$

where ε and ι are the standard exterior and interior multiplications on $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$, respectively. Then for a vector field v on X with the conditions (C.1)-(C.3), we can define a deformed de Rham-Hodge operator

$$(4) \quad D_T^X = D^X + T\hat{c}(v) : \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X)).$$

Set

$$(5) \quad D_{T,\pm}^X = D_{\pm}^X + T\hat{c}(v) : \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{even/odd}}(T^*X)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{odd/even}}(T^*X)),$$

$$(6) \quad b_{\pm}(v, T) = \ker \dim D_{T, \pm}^X.$$

We have

$$b_+(v, T) - b_-(v, T) = \chi(X).$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any open neighborhood \mathcal{U} of Y , there exist constants $a > 0$, $b > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $s \in \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*X))$ with $\text{Supp } s \subset X \setminus \mathcal{U}$ and any $T \geq T_0$, we have the following estimate for Sobolev norms,*

$$(7) \quad \|D_T^X s\|_0^2 \geq a(\|s\|_1^2 + (T - b)\|s\|_0^2).$$

Proof. Since D_T^X is formally self-adjoint and

$$(D_T^X)^2 = (D^X)^2 + T[D^X, \hat{c}(v)] + T^2|v|^2,$$

we have

$$\|D_T^X s\|_0^2 = \|D^X s\|_0^2 + T\langle [D^X, \hat{c}(v)]s, s \rangle + T^2\langle |v|^2 s, s \rangle.$$

Since $[D^X, \hat{c}(v)]$ is a zero order operator, which can be verified easily, and $v \neq 0$ on $X \setminus \mathcal{U}$, there exist constants $\tilde{a} > 0, \tilde{b} > 0$, such that for $T > 0$ we have

$$\|D_T^X s\|_0^2 \geq \|D^X s\|_0^2 + \tilde{a}T^2\|s\|_0^2 - \tilde{b}T\|s\|_0^2.$$

By Garding's inequality, there exist constants $a > 0, \tilde{c} > 0$ such that

$$\|D^X s\|_0^2 \geq a\|s\|_1^2 - \tilde{c}\|s\|_0^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_T^X s\|_0^2 &\geq a\|s\|_1^2 + (\tilde{a}T^2 - \tilde{b}T - \tilde{c})\|s\|_0^2 \\ &\geq a(\|s\|_1^2 + (T - b)\|s\|_0^2) \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $b > 0, T_0 > 0$ and any $T \geq T_0$. □

By Lemma 2.1, we can localize our problem to a sufficiently small neighborhood of Y . For simplicity, we always write Y instead of Y_k and assume $\dim Y = l$. We have the following orthogonal decomposition

$$TX|_Y = TY \oplus N,$$

where N denotes the normal bundle of TY in $TX|_Y$. Denote the projection $N \rightarrow Y$ by π and the orthogonal projection $TX|_Y \rightarrow TY$ (resp. $TX|_Y \rightarrow N$) by P^{TY} (resp. P^N). Let $\nabla^{TX|_Y}$ denote the restriction of ∇^{TX} to $TX|_Y$. Set

$$(8) \quad \nabla^{TY} = P^{TY} \nabla^{TX|_Y} P^{TY}, \quad \nabla^N = P^N \nabla^{TX|_Y} P^N, \quad \nabla^{TX|_Y, \oplus} = \nabla^{TY} \oplus \nabla^N.$$

Set

$$(9) \quad A = \nabla^{TX|_Y} - \nabla^{TX|_Y, \oplus},$$

which is the second fundamental form of Y . In this paper we always use the notation

$$(10) \quad \{e_1, \dots, e_l, f_{l+1}, \dots, f_n\}$$

to denote a local orthonormal frame for $TX|_Y$ with $\{e_1, \dots, e_l\}$ being an orthonormal frame for TY and $\{f_{l+1}, \dots, f_n\}$ an orthonormal frame for N .

Following Shubin [5] and Zhang [8], we can define a line bundle on Y through the vector field v . Set

$$(11) \quad c_{\alpha,\beta} = \langle L_v f_\alpha, f_\beta \rangle, \quad C = \{c_{\alpha,\beta}\}, \quad |C| = \sqrt{C^t C}.$$

Then

$$(12) \quad \widehat{L}_v = \text{tr } |C| + \sum_{\alpha,\beta=l+1}^n c_{\alpha,\beta} c(f_\beta) \hat{c}(f_\alpha) : \Lambda^*(N^*) \rightarrow \Lambda^*(N^*),$$

is a well-defined bundle homomorphism. Set

$$(13) \quad \text{ind } (v, Y) = \text{sign det } C, \quad o_Y(v) = \ker \widehat{L}_v.$$

Clearly, $\text{ind } (v, Y)$ and $o_Y(v)$ are also well-defined. The following lemma is an analogue of Proposition 2.21 in [5] and the (2.12), (2.13), (2.13)' in [8, Sect. 2.b].

Lemma 2.2. *$o_Y(v)$ is a one dimensional subbundle of $\Lambda^*(N^*)$ over Y . Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} o_Y(v) &\subset \Lambda^{\text{even}}(N^*), & \text{if } \text{ind } (v, Y) &= 1, \\ o_Y(v) &\subset \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(N^*), & \text{if } \text{ind } (v, Y) &= -1. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)$ is a bundle twisted by an Euclidean flat line bundle $o_Y(v)$. Let ∇ be the Euclidean connection on $o_Y(v)$ induced by the orthogonal projection from $\Lambda^*(N^*)$ to $o_Y(v)$. Clearly, for any local orthonormal section ρ of $o_Y(v)$, we have $\nabla \rho = 0$. We can define a twisted de Rham-Hodge operator by

$$(14) \quad D^Y = \sum_{i=1}^l c(e_i) \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_i}^Y : \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)),$$

where $\widetilde{\nabla}^Y = \nabla^{TY} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla$. Set

$$D_{\pm}^Y = D^Y : \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{even/odd}}(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{odd/even}}(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)).$$

Then D_{-}^Y is the formal adjoint of D_{+}^Y . By Hodge theory, we have

$$(15) \quad \text{ind } D_{+}^Y = \chi(Y) = \dim H^{\text{even}}(Y, o_Y(v)) - \dim H^{\text{odd}}(Y, o_Y(v)).$$

To have a good understanding about the local behavior of the operator D_T^X near Y , we need study the geometry of Y and the Taylor expansion of v near Y .

For $y \in Y$ and $Z \in N_y$, let

$$(16) \quad t \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow x_t = \exp_y^X(tZ) \in X,$$

be the geodesic in X such that $x_0 = y$, $dx/dt|_{t=0} = Z$. For $\epsilon > 0$, set

$$(17) \quad B_\epsilon = \{Z \in N \mid |Z| < \epsilon\}.$$

Since X and Y are compact, there exists an $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$, the map $(y, Z) \in N \rightarrow \exp_y^X(Z) \in X$ is a diffeomorphism from B_ϵ to a tubular neighborhood \mathcal{U}_ϵ of Y in X . From now on, we will identify B_ϵ with \mathcal{U}_ϵ and use the notation $x = (y, Z)$ instead of $x = \exp_y^X(Z)$.

Let $d\sigma_X$, $d\sigma_Y$ and $d\sigma_{N_y}$ be the volume elements of X , Y , and fiber N_y at y , respectively. Let $k(y, Z)$ be the smooth positive function defined on B_{ϵ_0} by the equation

$$(18) \quad d\sigma_X(y, Z) = k(y, Z)d\sigma_Y(y)d\sigma_{N_y}(Z).$$

The function k has a positive lower bound on $\mathcal{U}_{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2}}$. Clearly if $y \in Y$, then $k(y) = 1$. Let d^N denote the exterior differential along the fibres of N . Then for any $y \in Y, Z \in N_y$, we have the following formula from [1, Sect. 8, (8.25)]

$$(19) \quad d^N k(Z) = - \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^l A(e_i)e_i, Z \right\rangle.$$

Let \mathbf{E} (resp. \mathbf{E}) be the set of smooth sections of $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*X|_Y)$ (resp. $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$). If $s_1, s_2 \in \mathbf{E}$ have compact supports, we define (cf. [1, Definition 8.15])

$$(20) \quad \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \int_Y \left(\int_{N_y} \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle(y, Z) d\sigma_{N_y}(Z) \right) d\sigma_Y(y).$$

By the trivialization of $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$ on \mathcal{U}_ϵ along the geodesics (16), if $s \in \mathbf{E}$ has compact support in B_{ϵ_0} , we can consider s as a smooth section of $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$ with compact support in B_{ϵ_0} . Let \mathbf{E}_ϵ (resp. \mathbf{E}_ϵ) be the set of smooth sections of $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$ (resp. $\pi^*(\Lambda^*(T^*X|_Y))$) with compact support in B_ϵ . One verifies easily that $k^{\frac{1}{2}}D^X k^{\frac{-1}{2}}$ acts as a formal self-adjoint operator on \mathbf{E}_ϵ with respect to the inner product (20).

Using the connection ∇^N , we can split the tangent bundle TN as

$$TN = T^H \oplus T^V N,$$

where $T^H N$ is the horizontal vector bundle and $T^V N$ is the vertical vector bundle which can be identified with N naturally. Let e_i^H denote the

horizontal lifting of e_i . By the frame (10) we define

$$(21) \quad D^H = \sum_{i=1}^l c(e_i) \pi^* \nabla_{e_i^H}^{TX|_Y, \oplus} : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E},$$

$$(22) \quad D^N = \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n c(f_\alpha) \pi^* \nabla_{f_\alpha}^{TX|_Y, \oplus} : \mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{E}.$$

Clearly, the definitions of D^H , D^N are independent of the choice of the frames (10), so D^H , D^N are two well-defined first order differential operators acting on \mathbf{E} . Moreover, the operator D^N acts along the fibres N_y , and that D^H , D^N and $D^H + D^N$ are self-adjoint with respect to the L^2 inner product (20). Particularly, the operator $D^H + D^N$ is also a self-adjoint elliptic operator. (cf. [1, Sect. 8.h]).

By the parallel transport of the frame (10) along the geodesics (16), we get a local orthonormal frame for $TX|_{\mathcal{U}_\epsilon}$

$$\{e_1^\tau, \dots, e_l^\tau, f_{l+1}^\tau, \dots, f_n^\tau\}.$$

Let (z_{l+1}, \dots, z_n) be the coordinate on the fibre N_y with respect to $\{f_{l+1}, \dots, f_n\}$. Then the vector field v has an expression near Y :

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^l v_i e_i^\tau + \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n v_\alpha f_\alpha^\tau.$$

Set

$$(23) \quad v_Y = \sum_{i=1}^l v_i e_i^\tau, \quad v_N = \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n v_\alpha f_\alpha^\tau.$$

Clearly, the definitions of v_Y and v_N are independent of the choice of the frame (10). Set

$$(24) \quad v_{Y,1}(y, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \frac{\partial v_i}{\partial z_\alpha}(y) z_\alpha e_i^\tau(y, Z),$$

$$(25) \quad v_{Y,2}(y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\alpha, \beta=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial z_\alpha \partial z_\beta}(y) z_\alpha z_\beta e_i^\tau(y, Z),$$

$$(26) \quad v_{N,1}(y, Z) = \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \sum_{\beta=l+1}^n \frac{\partial v_\alpha}{\partial z_\beta}(y) z_\beta f_\alpha^\tau(y, Z),$$

$$(27) \quad v_{N,2}(y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \sum_{\beta, \gamma=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v_\alpha}{\partial z_\beta \partial z_\gamma}(y) z_\beta z_\gamma f_\alpha^\tau(y, Z).$$

One also verifies easily that the definitions of $v_{Y,1}$, $v_{Y,2}$, $v_{N,1}$, $v_{N,2}$ are independent of the choices of the frames (10). By the condition (C.3), we have $v_{Y,1} = 0$ easily and

$$(28) \quad v(y, Z) = v_{Y,2} + v_{N,1} + v_{N,2} + O(|Z|^3).$$

By the definition (11) of $c_{\alpha,\beta}$, we have

$$v_{N,1}(y, Z) = \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \sum_{\beta=l+1}^n c_{\alpha,\beta}(y) z_{\beta} f_{\alpha}^{\tau}(y, Z).$$

We can also define a vector field \bar{v}_Y on Y by

$$(29) \quad \bar{v}_Y = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{f_{\alpha}}^{TX|_Y} C^{-t} C^{-1} \left(\nabla_{f_{\alpha}^{\tau}}^{TX} \right)^t v_Y,$$

where

$$\nabla_{f_{\alpha}}^{TX|_Y} = (\nabla_{f_{l+1}}^{TX|_Y}, \dots, \nabla_{f_n}^{TX|_Y}),$$

and $\left(\nabla_{f_{\alpha}^{\tau}}^{TX} \right)^t$ is the transpose of the formal matrix $(\nabla_{f_{l+1}^{\tau}}^{TX}, \dots, \nabla_{f_n^{\tau}}^{TX})$. One sees easily that \bar{v}_Y is independent of the choice of frames (10). Therefore, the vector field $\bar{v}_Y \in \Gamma(TY)$ is well-defined. Let

$$(30) \quad s_{l+1}(y), s_{l+2}(y), \dots, s_n(y)$$

be the eigenvalues of $|C(y)|$. For a suitable orthonormal frame of N , we have

$$(31) \quad |C(y)| = \text{diag}(s_{l+1}, \dots, s_n), \quad \text{with } s_i > 0.$$

Then the vector field \bar{v}_Y can be expressed locally as

$$(32) \quad \bar{v}_Y = \sum_{i=1}^l \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \frac{1}{s_{\alpha}^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial z_{\alpha}^2} e_i.$$

Now we can define some deformed operators as following

$$(33) \quad D_v^Y = D^Y + \hat{c}(\bar{v}_Y) : \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)),$$

$$(34) \quad D_T^H = D^H + T\hat{c}(v_{Y,2}),$$

$$(35) \quad D_T^N = D^N + T\hat{c}(v_{N,1}).$$

Set

$$(36) \quad D_{v,\pm}^Y = D_{\pm}^Y + \hat{c}(\bar{v}_Y) : \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{even/odd}}(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{odd/even}}(T^*Y) \otimes o_Y(v)),$$

$$(37) \quad b_{\pm}(v, Y) = \dim \ker D_{v,\pm}^Y.$$

Then we have $\chi(Y) = b_+(v, Y) - b_-(v, Y)$.

Note that D_T^N is actually a deformed de Rham-Hodge operator acting fibre-wisely on $\Gamma(\pi^*\Lambda^*(N^*))$. An easy computation shows that

$$(38) \quad (D_T^N)^2 = - \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \left(\pi \nabla_{f_\alpha}^{TX|Y, \oplus} \right)^2 + T^2 \langle |C|Z, |C|Z \rangle - T \operatorname{tr} |C| + T \widehat{L}_v.$$

By the spectral theory of harmonic oscillators, we get the following lemma which is an analogue of Corollary 2.22 of Shubin [5] and Lemma 2.3 of Zhang [8]:

Lemma 2.3. *Take $T > 0$. Then for any $y \in Y$, the operator $(D_T^N)^2$ acting on $\Gamma(\Lambda^*(N_y^*))$ over N_y is nonnegative with kernel being one dimensional and generated by*

$$(39) \quad \exp \left(-\frac{T}{2} \langle |C|Z, |C|Z \rangle \right) \rho(y),$$

where $\rho(y)$ is a generator of $\ker \widehat{L}_v(y)$ with unit length, $\rho(y) \in \Lambda^{\text{even}}(N_y^*)$ if $\det C(y) > 0$, and $\rho(y) \in \Lambda^{\text{odd}}(N_y^*)$ if $\det C(y) < 0$. Furthermore, the nonzero eigenvalues of $(D_T^N)^2$ are all $\geq TA$ for some positive constant A which can be chosen to be independent of y .

Now similar to Theorem 8.18 in [1], we can give the following lemma which describes the local behavior of D_T^X as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma 2.4. *As $T \rightarrow \infty$, we have the following asymptotic formula on \mathbf{E}_ϵ :*

$$(40) \quad k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} = D_T^H + D_T^N + T \hat{c}(v_{N,2}) + Q + R_T,$$

where

$$(41) \quad Q = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^l \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n \langle A(e_i)e_j, f_\alpha \rangle c(e_i) \hat{c}(e_j) \hat{c}(f_\alpha),$$

$$(42) \quad R_T = O(|Z| \partial^H + |Z|^2 \partial^N + |Z| + T|Z^3|),$$

and ∂^H, ∂^N represent horizontal and vertical differential operators, respectively.

Proof. Note that near Y we have

$$D_T^X = \sum_{i=1}^l c(e_i) \nabla_{e_i}^{TX} + \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n c(f_\alpha) \nabla_{f_\alpha}^{TX} + T \hat{c}(v).$$

Similar to the proof of Theorem 8.18 in [1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} &= D_T^H + D_T^N + T\hat{c}(v_{N,2}) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n d^N k(f_\alpha) c(f_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^l c(e_i) \pi^* A(e_i^H) + R_T. \end{aligned}$$

By an easy computation and (19), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^l c(e_i) \pi^* A(e_i^H) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n d^N k(f_\alpha) c(f_\alpha) + Q.$$

Hence we have

$$k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} = D_T^H + D_T^N + T\hat{c}(v_{N,2}) + Q + R_T.$$

□

3. Various estimates on the $D_{T,j}$'s as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

In this section, we will give a suitable decomposition of D_T^X as $\sum_{j=1}^4 D_{T,j}$ and establish some estimates about $D_{T,j}$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$ by using Bismut-Lebeau's techniques ([1, Sect. 9]).

For any $\mu \geq 0$, let E^μ (resp. \mathbf{E}^μ , resp. F^μ) be the set of sections of $\Lambda^*(T^*X)$ on X (resp. of $\pi^*\Lambda^*(T^*X|_Y)$ on the total space of N , resp. of $\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(v)$ on Y) which lie in the μ -th Sobolev spaces. Let $\| \cdot \|_{E^\mu}$ (resp. $\| \cdot \|_{\mathbf{E}^\mu}$, resp. $\| \cdot \|_{F^\mu}$) be the Sobolev norm on E^μ (resp. \mathbf{E}^μ , resp. F^μ).

Let $\gamma : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a smooth even function with $\gamma(a) = 1$ if $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\gamma(a) = 0$ if $|a| \geq 1$. Set

$$(43) \quad \gamma_\epsilon(y, Z) = \gamma\left(\frac{|Z|}{\epsilon}\right)$$

for any $y \in Y$, $Z \in N_y$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$, where ϵ_0 is chosen as in Section 2. When there is no confusion, we denote it by $\gamma_\epsilon(Z)$.

For any $T > 0$ and $y \in Y$, set

$$(44) \quad \alpha_T(y) = \int_{N_y} \gamma_\epsilon^2(Z) |\det C(y)| \exp(-T \langle |C(y)|Z, |C(y)|Z \rangle) d\sigma_{N_y}(Z),$$

$$(45) \quad G_T(y, Z) = \alpha_T^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y) \gamma_\epsilon(Z) \sqrt{\det |C(y)|} \exp\left(-\frac{T}{2} \langle |C(y)|Z, |C(y)|Z \rangle\right).$$

For $\mu \geq 0, T > 0$, define linear maps $I_T : F^\mu \rightarrow \mathbf{E}^\mu$ and $J_T : F^\mu \rightarrow E^\mu$ by

$$(46) \quad I_T u = G_T \pi^* u, \quad J_T u = k^{-1/2} I_T u$$

for any $u \in F^\mu$. It is easy to see that I_T, J_T are isometries from F^0 onto their images. For $\mu \geq 0, T > 0$, let \mathbf{E}_T^μ (resp. E^μ) be the image of F^μ in \mathbf{E}^μ

(resp. E^μ) under I_T (resp. J_T) and let $\mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}$ (resp. $E_T^{0,\perp}$) be the orthogonal complement of \mathbf{E}_T^0 (resp. E_T^0) in \mathbf{E}^0 (resp. E^0) and let p_T, p_T^\perp (resp. $\bar{p}_T, \bar{p}_T^\perp$) be the orthogonal projection operators from \mathbf{E}^0 (resp. E^0) onto $\mathbf{E}_T^0, \mathbf{E}_T^{0,\perp}$ (resp. $E_T^0, E_T^{0,\perp}$), respectively. Set

$$(47) \quad E^{\mu,\perp} = E^\mu \cap E_T^{0,\perp}.$$

Then E^0 splits orthogonally into

$$(48) \quad E^0 = E_T^0 \oplus E_T^{0,\perp}.$$

Since the map $s \in \mathbf{E}^0 \rightarrow k^{-1/2}s \in E^0$ is an isometry, we see that the map $s \rightarrow k^{-1/2}s$ identifies the Hilbert space \mathbf{E}_T^0 and E_T^0 . According to the decomposition (48) we set:

$$(49) \quad D_{T,1} = \bar{p}_T D_T^X \bar{p}_T, \quad D_{T,2} = \bar{p}_T D_T^X \bar{p}_T^\perp, \quad D_{T,3} = \bar{p}_T^\perp D_T^X \bar{p}_T, \quad D_{T,4} = \bar{p}_T^\perp D_T^X \bar{p}_T^\perp.$$

Then

$$(50) \quad D_T^X = D_{T,1} + D_{T,2} + D_{T,3} + D_{T,4}.$$

Let \mathbf{q} denote the orthogonal projection from $\pi^* \Lambda^*(T^*X|_Y)$ on $\pi^*(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(v))$.

In the following we will estimate $D_{T,j}$. Similar to Theorem 9.8 in [1], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *The following formula holds on $\Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(v))$ as $T \rightarrow +\infty$*

$$(51) \quad J_T^{-1} D_{T,1} J_T = D_v^Y + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right),$$

where $O(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}})$ is a first order differential operator with smooth coefficients dominated by C/\sqrt{T} .

Proof. For any $u \in \mathbf{F} = \Gamma(\Lambda^*(T^*Y) \otimes \mathcal{O}_Y(v))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_T^{-1} D_{T,1} J_T u &= I_T^{-1} p_T k^{1/2} D_T^X k^{-1/2} p_T I_T u \\ &= I_T^{-1} p_T (D_T^H + D_T^N + T\hat{c}(v_{N,2}) + Q + R_T) G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u. \end{aligned}$$

The only different term here from the proof of Theorem 9.8 in [1] in computations is

$$\begin{aligned} I_T^{-1} p_T D_T^H (G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u) \\ = I_T^{-1} p_T D^H G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u + T I_T^{-1} p_T \hat{c}(v_{Y,2}) G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to [1, Theorem 9.8], we have

$$(52) \quad I_T^{-1} p_T D^H G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u = D^Y u.$$

By the definitions of p_T , $G_T(y, Z)$ and $\hat{c}(v_{Y,2})$, we have

$$I_T^{-1} p_T \hat{c}(v) \pi^* u = \frac{1}{2} I_T^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^l G_T(y, Z) \pi^* (\hat{c}(e_i) u) I_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{\alpha_T} \int_{N_y} \gamma_\epsilon^2(|Z'|) \det |C(y)| \exp(-T \langle |C(y)| Z', |C(y)| Z' \rangle) \\ &\quad \sum_{\mu, \nu=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial z'_\mu \partial z'_\nu}(y) z'_\mu z'_\nu d\sigma_{N_y}(Z'). \end{aligned}$$

By (31) and the symmetry of the integral I_1 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{\alpha_T} \prod_{\beta=l+1}^n s_\beta \int_{N_y} \gamma_\epsilon^2(|Z|) \exp\left(-T \sum_{\alpha=l+1}^n s_\alpha^2 z_\alpha^2\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\lambda=l+1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial z_\lambda^2}(y) z_\lambda^2 dz_{l+1} \cdots dz_n. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\bar{z}_\alpha = s_\alpha z_\alpha$. We find that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2T} \frac{1}{\alpha_T} \sum_{\lambda=l+1}^n \frac{1}{s_\lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial \bar{z}_\lambda^2}(y) \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{N_y} \gamma_\epsilon^2 e^{-T|\bar{Z}|^2} d\sigma_{N_y} + \int_{N_y} e^{-T|\bar{Z}|^2} \frac{\partial \gamma_\epsilon^2}{\partial \bar{z}_\lambda} \bar{z}_\lambda d\sigma_{N_y} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\alpha_T} \int_{N_y} \gamma_\epsilon^2 e^{-T|\bar{Z}|^2} d\sigma_{N_y} = 1, \\ &\frac{1}{2T} \frac{1}{\alpha_T} \sum_{\lambda=l+1}^n \frac{1}{s_\lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial \bar{z}_\lambda^2}(y) \int_{N_y} \exp(-T|\bar{Z}|^2) \frac{\partial \gamma_\epsilon^2}{\partial \bar{z}_\lambda} \bar{z}_\lambda d\sigma_{N_y} = O\left(\frac{1}{T^{3/2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (53) \quad &I_T^{-1} p_T \hat{c}(v_{Y,2})(G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u) \\ &= \frac{1}{4T} \sum_{i=1}^l \hat{c} \left(\sum_{\lambda=l+1}^n \frac{1}{s_\lambda^2} \frac{\partial^2 v_i}{\partial \bar{z}_\lambda^2}(y) e_i \right) u + O\left(\frac{1}{T^{3/2}}\right) u. \end{aligned}$$

Combine (52) and (53) and by the definition (33) of D_v^Y , we have

$$I_T^{-1} p_T \hat{c}(v_{Y,2})(G_T(y, Z) \pi^* u) = D_v^Y u + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{T}}\right) u.$$

□

Similar to the proofs of Theorem 9.10, Theorem 9.11 and Theorem 9.14 in [1, Sect. 9], we can prove the following lemma without any new difficulties.

Lemma 3.2. *There exists $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$ and $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, $s \in E_T^{1,\perp}$ and $s' \in E_T^1$, we have*

$$(54) \quad \|D_{T,2}s\|_{E^0} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|s\|_{E^1}}{\sqrt{T}} + \|s\|_{E^0} \right)$$

$$(55) \quad \|D_{T,3}s'\|_{E^0} \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\|s'\|_{E^1}}{\sqrt{T}} + \|s'\|_{E^0} \right)$$

$$(56) \quad \|D_{T,4}s\|_{E^0} \geq C_2(\|s\|_{E^1} + \sqrt{T}\|s\|_{E^0}).$$

4. The proofs of the main results.

In this section, we will prove the main results in this paper by using the techniques of Bismut and Lebeau (cf. [1, Sect. 9.c)-f)).

Denote the spectrum of D_v^Y by $\text{Spec}(D_v^Y)$. Choose $c > 0$ such that

$$(57) \quad \text{Spec}(D_v^Y) \cap [-2c, 2c] = \{0\}.$$

Let $E_c(T)$ denote the direct sum of the eigenspaces of D_T^X with eigenvalues lying in $[-c, c]$. Then $E_c(T)$ is a finite dimensional subspace of E^0 . Using the estimates for $D_{T,j}$ in Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and proceeding as in ([1, pp. 117-125]) (also compare with Tian-Zhang [6, Sect. 4, Lemma 4.6, 4.7]), we have the following:

Proposition 4.1. *There exists $T_0 > 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, we have*

$$(58) \quad \dim E_c(T) = \dim \ker D_v^Y.$$

Set

$$(59) \quad E_{c,+}(T) = E_c(T) \cap \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{even}}(T^*X)),$$

$$(60) \quad E_{c,-}(T) = E_c(T) \cap \Gamma(\Lambda^{\text{odd}}(T^*X)).$$

Then by the definition (37) of $b_{\pm}(v, Y_k)$, we have

$$(61) \quad \dim E_{c,\pm}(T) = \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=1} b_{\pm}(v, Y_k) + \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=-1} b_{\mp}(v, Y_k),$$

for $c > 0$ and $T \geq T_0 > 0$ in Proposition 4.1.

Now we get the following Novikov-type inequalities for vector fields v with the conditions (C.1)-(C.3).

Theorem 4.1 (Novikov-type inequalities). *There exists $T_0 \geq 0$ such that for any $T \geq T_0$, the following inequalities hold*

$$(62) \quad b_{\pm}(v, T) \leq \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=1} b_{\pm}(v, Y_k) + \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=-1} b_{\mp}(v, Y_k).$$

Proof. Since

$$b_{\pm}(v, T) = \dim \ker D_{T, \pm}^X \leq \dim E_{c, \pm},$$

we get (62) by (61). □

Let $v \in \Gamma(TX)$ be a vector field which is nondegenerate in the sense of Bott. We can deform v near its zero points set Y such that Y , $\text{ind}(v, Y)$ and $o_Y(v)$ are unchanged under the deformation and the resulting v satisfies the conditions (C.1)-(C.3). So we can prove the following Hopf index theorem analytically.

Theorem 4.2 (Hopf index theorem). *Let v be a nondegenerate vector field in the sense of Bott. Then we have*

$$(63) \quad \chi(X) = \sum_{k=1}^m \text{ind}(v, Y_k) \chi(Y_k).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(X) &= \text{ind } D_{T, +}^X \\ &= \text{ind } \{D_T^X : E_{c, +}(T) \rightarrow E_{c, -}(T)\} \\ &= \dim E_{c, +}(T) - \dim E_{c, -}(T) \\ &= \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=1} (b_+(v, Y_k) - b_-(v, Y_k)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=-1} (b_-(v, Y_k) - b_+(v, Y_k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^m \text{ind}(v, Y_k) \chi(Y_k). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.1. In the case of $v_{Y,2} = 0$, the Novikov-type inequalities (62) take the forms:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\pm}(v, T) &\leq \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=1} \dim H^{\text{even/odd}}(Y_k, o_{Y_k}(v)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\text{ind}(v, Y_k)=-1} \dim H^{\text{odd/even}}(Y_k, o_{Y_k}(v)). \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professor Weiping Zhang for very helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] J.-M. Bismut and G. Lebeau, *Complex immersions and Quillen metrics*, Publ. Math. IHES., **74** (1991), 80-125, [MR 94a:58205](#), [Zbl 784.32010](#).
- [2] M. Braverman and M. Farber, *Novikov type inequalities for differential forms with non-isolated zeros*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., **122** (1997), 357-375, [MR 99b:58220](#), [Zbl 894.58012](#).
- [3] H. Feng and E. Guo, *A super-twisted Dirac operator and Novikov inequalities*, Science in China (series A), **5** (2000), 470-480, [CMP 1 775 757](#).
- [4] S.P. Novikov and M.A. Shubin, *Morse inequalities and von Neumann H_2 -factors*, Soviet Math. Dokl., **34**(1) (1987), 79-82, [MR 88c:58065](#), [Zbl 647.46049](#).
- [5] M. Shubin, *Novikov inequalities for vector fields*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminar, 1993-1995, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1996), 243-274, [MR 97h:58150](#), [Zbl 868.58006](#).
- [6] Y. Tian and W. Zhang, *Quantization formula for symplectic manifolds with boundary*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **9** (1999), 596-640, [CMP 1 708 436](#).
- [7] E. Witten, *Supersymmetry and Morse theory*, J. Diff. Geom., **17** (1982), 661-692, [MR 84b:58111](#), [Zbl 499.53056](#).
- [8] W. Zhang, *A counting formula for the Kervaire semi-characteristic*, Topology, **39** (2000), 643-655, [MR 2000m:57040](#).

Received December 12, 1999 and revised May 3, 2000. This work was partially supported by the NNSF of China and the Qiu Shi Foundation.

NANKAI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
NANKAI UNIVERSITY
TIANJIN 300071
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA
E-mail address: htfeng@nankai.edu.cn

THE COLLEGE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS
BEIJING POLYTECHNIC UNIVERSITY
BEIJING, 100000
PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA