Pacific Journal of Mathematics

THE ESSENTIAL NORMS AND SPECTRA OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON H^{∞}

Lixin Zheng

Volume 203 No. 2 April 2002

THE ESSENTIAL NORMS AND SPECTRA OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON H^∞

Lixin Zheng

This paper gives a complete characterization of the spectra of composition operators acting on H^{∞} in the case that the symbol φ has an interior fixed point. This is done after it proves that the essential norm of a composition operator acting on H^{∞} is either 1 or 0.

1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper, $\mathbb D$ denotes the unit disk $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, φ denotes an analytic self-map of \mathbb{D} , C_{φ} is the composition operator defined by $C_{\varphi}(f)$ = $f \circ \varphi$, $||C_{\varphi}||_e$ and ρ_e represent the essential norm and essential spectral radius [of](#page-8-0) C_{φ} respectively, and $H(\mathbb{D})$ is the space of analytic functions on \mathbb{D} .

The essential norm of an operator is the distance from the operator to the space of compact operators. The essential norm of composition operator acting on H^2 , the [Hardy](#page-8-1) space of analytic functions f on $\mathbb D$ such that $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 d\theta < \infty$, was given by J. H. Shapiro in terms of the Nevanlinna counting function [Sh1[\]. Th](#page-8-2)e spectrum of composition operator on H^2 has also been studied extensively. Kamowitz was the first to investigate spectrum of composition operator whose symbol is not an inner function and has a fixed point in the disk. He proved in [**Kam**] that the spectrum of C_φ on H^2 is the set $\{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq \rho_e\} \cup {\{\varphi'(a)^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \cup \{1\}$ if φ is analytic in a neighborhood of D, not an inner function and has an interior fixed point a. Then Cowen and MacCluer proved in [CM1] the same conclusion in the case that φ is univalent, not an automorphism and has an interior fixed point. But a complete understanding of the spectrum of C_{φ} on H^2 is still [la](#page-2-0)cking. While much attention has been devoted to the study of H^2 , the behavior of C_{φ} acting on H^{∞} , the space of bounded analytic functions on D, has barely been discussed. It is the purpose of this paper to investigate some properties of C_{φ} acting on H^{∞} .

There are two main results of this paper. One of the results, which is stated as Theorem 1, is that the essential norm of C_{φ} acting on H^{∞} is either 1 or 0. This leads to the corollary that the essential spectral radius of C_{φ} on H^{∞} is also 1 or 0. Then the two theorems described in the previous paragraph about the spectrum of C_{φ} on H^2 , together with this corollary,

suggest that if φ has an interior fixed point a, the spectrum of C_{φ} on H^{∞} is \overline{D} or the sequence $\{0, 1, \varphi'(a)^n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$. This is the second main result, stated and proved below as Theorem 4. But unlike the theorems about the spectrum of C_{φ} acting on H^2 previously referred to, this does not require φ to be univalent or analytic in a neighborhood of \mathbb{D} . It only requires φ to have an interior fixed point.

2. Essential norm.

The essential norm of C_{φ} on H^{∞} is defined to be

 $||C_{\varphi}||_e = \inf{||C_{\varphi} - K|| : K \text{ is compact operator on } H^{\infty}$.

Clearly C_{φ} is compact if and only if its essential norm is zero.

Th[e nex](#page-8-3)t result shows that there is only one other possible value for the essential norm of a composition operator on H^{∞} .

Theorem 1. If C_{φ} is not compact on H^{∞} , then its essential norm is 1.

In order to prove this theorem, we need the following lemma, which was first proved by Schwartz [Sch].

Lemma 2. C_{φ} is compact on H^{∞} if and only if $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ is relatively compact in D.

Proof of Theorem 1. We know that C_{φ} C_{φ} is compact if and only if its essential norm is 0. The main argument here is that the essential norm must be 1 if C_{φ} is not compact. Since $||C_{\varphi}(f)||_{\infty} = \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f \circ \varphi(z)| \leq \sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |f(z)| =$ $||f||_{\infty}$, $||C_{\varphi}|| \leq 1$, and hence $||C_{\varphi}||_{e} \leq 1$. It suffices to prove that $||C_{\varphi}||_{e} \geq 1$ if C_{φ} is not compact on H^{∞} .

Now assume C_{φ} is not compact on H^{∞} . By Lemma 2, $\sup_{z\in\mathbb{D}} |\varphi(z)| = 1$. There exists a sequence ${a_k}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{D}$ such that $\varphi(a_k) \to e^{i\beta}$ as $k \to \infty$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Without loss of generality, let's assume $e^{i\beta} = 1$. Let $\{r_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a nonnegative sequence increasing to 1, and

$$
\psi_n(z) = \frac{z - r_n}{1 - r_n z}.
$$

Then $\|\psi_n\|_{\infty} = 1$, ψ_n fixes 1 and −1 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\psi_n(z) \to -1$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Let K be a compact operator on H^{∞} . We want to show that $||C_{\varphi} - K|| \ge 1$. Since K is compact and $||\psi_n||_{\infty} = 1$, there is a subsequence $\{\psi_{n_j}\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and an $f \in H^{\infty}$ such that $\lim_{j\to\infty} ||K\psi_{n_j} - f||_{\infty} = 0$. For $||C_{\varphi} - K|| \ge 1$ to be true, it is enough to prove that $\limsup_{j \to \infty} ||(C_{\varphi} K(\psi_{n_j})\|_{\infty}\geq 1$. But

$$
||(C_{\varphi} - K)(\psi_{n_j})||_{\infty} \ge ||C_{\varphi}(\psi_{n_j}) - f||_{\infty} - ||K\psi_{n_j} - f||_{\infty},
$$

which implies

$$
\limsup_{j \to \infty} ||(C_{\varphi} - K)(\psi_{n_j})||_{\infty} \ge \limsup_{j \to \infty} ||C_{\varphi}(\psi_{n_j}) - f||_{\infty}.
$$

It suffices to prove that $\limsup_{j\to\infty} ||C_{\varphi}(\psi_{n_j}) - f||_{\infty} \geq 1.$

The fact that $\psi_n(z) \to -1$ as $n \to \infty$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ implies that $\psi_{n_j} \circ$ $\varphi(z) \to -1$ as $j \to \infty$, and hence $\lim_{j \to \infty} |\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi(z) - f(z)| = |-1 - f(z)|$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If there is $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|-1-f(z_0)| \geq 1$, we have $\|\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi - f\|_{\infty} \ge |\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi(z_0) - f(z_0)| \to |-1 - f(z_0)| \ge 1$, which implies $\limsup_{j\to\infty} ||\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi - f||_{\infty} \ge 1$ as desired. Otherwise, $|-1-f(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then by the triangle inequality $|1-f(z)| > 1$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Consider the sequence ${a_k}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathbb{D}$ which was obtained at the beginning of the proof. We have $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi(a_k) = 1$ and $\{f(a_k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded since $f \in H^{\infty}$. Then there is a subsequence $\{f(a_{k_j})\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ converging to some $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$. By reindexing we may assume, without loss of generality that, $\lim_{k\to\infty} f(a_k) = \omega$. Then by our assumption on f, $|1-\omega| = \lim_{k\to\infty} |1-f(a_k)| \geq 1$. Since ψ_n is continuous, $\psi_n(1) = 1$ $\psi_n(1) = 1$ $\psi_n(1) = 1$ and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \varphi(a_k) = 1$, it follows that $\lim_{k\to\infty} |\psi_n \circ$ $\varphi(a_k) - f(a_k)| = |1 - \omega| \ge 1$ for all n. Then $\|\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi - f\|_{\infty} \ge \lim_{k \to \infty} |\psi_{n_j} \circ$ $\varphi(a_k) - f(a_k)| \ge 1$ for all j. Hence $\limsup_{j \to \infty} ||\psi_{n_j} \circ \varphi - f||_{\infty} \ge 1$ as desired. If $e^{i\beta} \neq 1$, let $\Psi_n(z) = e^{i\beta} \psi_n(e^{-i\beta}z)$. The same proof holds with ψ_n replaced by Ψ_n , and the boundary points 1 and -1 replaced by $e^{i\beta}$ and $-e^{i\beta}$ respectively. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

For the rest of this paper, φ_n will denote the n^{th} iterate of φ , i.e., $\varphi_1 = \varphi$ and $\varphi_n = \varphi \circ \varphi_{n-1}$ for $n > 1$.

Definition ($[CM2, p. 150]$). If T is a bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space, then the spectrum of the equivalence class in the Calkin algebra that contains T is called the essential spec[tr](#page-2-0)um of T.

Corollary 3. The essential spectral radius of C_φ on H^∞ is either 1 or 0. If C_{φ_n} (= C_{φ}^n) is compact for some $n \geq 1$, then $\rho_e(C_{\varphi}) = 0$. Otherwise $\rho_e(C_\varphi)=1.$

Proof. The conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 1 and the formula that $\rho_e(C_\varphi) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\|C^n_\varphi\|_e)^{1/n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\|C_{\varphi_n}\|_e)^{1/n}.$

3. Spectrum.

For C_{φ} acting on H^{∞} , the spectrum $\sigma(C_{\varphi})$ is contained in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. This is because the norm of C_{φ} acting on H^{∞} is always 1, which implies the spectral radius $\rho(C_{\varphi}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} ||C_{\varphi_n}||^{1/n} = 1.$

Theorem 4. If φ is not a constant, not an automorphism, and $\varphi(a) = a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{D}$, then

$$
\sigma(C_{\varphi}) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \text{ if } ||\varphi_n||_{\infty} = 1 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

and

$$
\sigma(C_{\varphi}) = \{ \varphi'(a)^k : k = 1, 2, \dots \} \cup \{0, 1\}, \text{ if } ||\varphi_n||_{\infty} < 1 \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}.
$$

The proof of Theorem 4 will be given after some lemmas. Some ideas and approaches used in the proof are suggested by the work of Kamowitz in [Kam], and that of Cowen and MacCluer in [CM1].

The following lemma, Lemma 5, follows immediately from Koenigs' Theorem κ ([se](#page-3-0)e also κ). Chapter 6.), if we can show that the Koenigs' function ξ of φ is in H^{∞} under the assumption of the Lemma. Since $\xi \circ \varphi = \varphi'(a)\xi$, which implies $\xi \circ \varphi_n = \varphi'(a)^n \xi$, and hence $\xi = \varphi'(a)^{-n}\xi(\varphi_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that ξ is in H^{∞} under the assumption that $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty}$ < 1 for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 5. For φ as in Theorem 4, suppose $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} < 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\varphi'(a) \neq 0$, then $\{\varphi'(a)^n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is the set of eigenvalues of C_{φ} on H^{∞} . If $\varphi'(a) = 0$, the only eigenvalue of C_{φ} is 1.

Lemma 6. Let φ be the same as in Theorem 4 and suppose $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} < 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for C_{φ} on H^{∞} ,

$$
\sigma(C_{\varphi}) = \{ \varphi'(a)^k : k = 1, 2, \dots \} \cup \{0, 1\}.
$$

Proof. Under the hypothesis that $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} < 1$, $C_{\varphi_n} = C_{\varphi}^n$ is compact, which implies that C_{φ} is not invertible and hence 0 is in the spectrum. Also, since C^n_{φ} is compact, C_{φ} is a Riesz operator. So its nonzero spectrum consists of eigenvalues $[K\ddot{o}n, p. 19-21]$, and the result follows from Lemma 5.

Lemma 7. Suppose $\varphi(0) = 0$. Then $H_m = z^m H^{\infty}$ is an invariant subspace of C_{φ} and $\sigma(C_m) \subset \sigma(C_{\varphi})$ where $C_m = C_{\varphi}|_{H_m}$.

Proof. It's easy to see that H_m is invariant under C_φ . Since $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi(z) = z\phi(z)$ for some $\phi \in H^{\infty}$. Then if $f \in H^{\infty}$, $C_{\varphi}(z^m f) = \varphi^m(f \circ \varphi) \in$ H_m .

Suppose λ is in the spectrum of C_m . If λ is an eigenvalue of C_m , it must be an eigenvalue of C_{φ} and hence in the spectrum of C_{φ} . If λ is not an eigenvalue of C_m , then $C_m - \lambda I$ is one-one. But it is not invertible, and hence not onto. So there exists $f \in H_m$ with $f \notin (C_m-\lambda I)(H_m)$. If we can show that $C_{\varphi}-\lambda I$ on H^{∞} is not onto, then it will follow that $\lambda \in \sigma(C_{\varphi})$ and the conclusion holds. Suppose to the contrary $C_{\varphi} - \lambda I$ is onto. Then $f \in (C_{\varphi} - \lambda I)(H^{\infty})$ and there is $g \in H^{\infty}$ with $g \notin H_m$ such that $(C_{\varphi} - \lambda I)g = f$. Let $g = g_1 + g_2$, where $g_1 \in \text{span}(1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{m-1})$ and $g_2 \in H_m$. We have $g_1 \neq 0$ since $g \notin H_m$. Let $f_1 = (C_\varphi - \lambda I)g_1 = f - (\overline{C_\varphi} - \lambda I)g_2$. Then $f_1 \in H_m$ since $f, g_2 \in H_m$.

Also by the assumption that $C_{\varphi} - \lambda I$ is onto, for each function z^i , $i =$ $1, 2, \ldots, m-1$, there exists $h_i \in H^\infty$ such that $(C_\varphi - \lambda I)h_i(z) = z^i$. Let $h_i = k_i + l_i$ where $k_i \in \text{span}(1, z, z^2, \dots, z^{m-1})$ and $l_i \in H_m$. The next

step is to show that $g_1, k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}$ are linearly independent. Suppose $\beta g_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i k_i = 0$ for some β and $\alpha_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$. Then $\beta g_1 + \beta g_2$ $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i h_i = (\beta g_1 + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i k_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i l_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i l_i \in H_m$. So $(\hat{C}_{\varphi} - \lambda I)(\beta g_1(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i h_i(z)) = \beta f_1(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \alpha_i z^i \in H_m$. Since $f_1 \in H_m$, we have $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., m - 1$. Then it follows that $\beta = 0$. So $g_1, k_0, k_1, \ldots, k_{m-1}$ are linearly independent. But this is impossible since ${g_1, k_0, k_1, ..., k_{m-1}} \subset \text{span}(1, z, ..., z^{m-1}),$ which is only m dimensional. So $C_{\varphi} - \lambda I$ is not onto, and hence λ is in the spectrum of C_{φ} .

Definition. We say the sequence of points $\{z_k\}_{k=K}^M$ is an iteration sequence for φ if $\varphi(z_k) = z_{k+1}$ for $K \leq k < M$ where $K \geq -\infty$ and $M \leq \infty$.

Lemma 8 ([CM2, p. 292, Lemma 7.34[\]\)](#page-5-0). If φ is not an automorphism and $\varphi(0) = 0$, then given $0 < r < 1$, there exists M_r with $1 \leq M_r < \infty$ such that if $\{z_k\}_{-K}^{\infty}$ is an iteration sequence with $|z_l| \geq r$ for some $l \geq 0$ and if $\{w_k\}_{-K}^l$ is arbitrary, there is $h \in H^\infty$ such that $h(z_k) = w_k$ for $-K \leq k \leq l$ and $||h||_{\infty} \leq M_r \sup\{|w_k|:-K\leq k\leq l\}.$ $||h||_{\infty} \leq M_r \sup\{|w_k|:-K\leq k\leq l\}.$ $||h||_{\infty} \leq M_r \sup\{|w_k|:-K\leq k\leq l\}.$

Lemma 9 ([CM2, p. 293, Lemma 7.35]). For φ in Lemma 8 and $\{z_k\}$ any iteration sequence, there exists $c < 1$ such that $|z_{k+1}|/|z_k| \leq c$ whenever $|z_k| \le 0.5$.

Proof of Theorem 4. The statement in the case that $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} < 1$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is the result of Lemma 6. Now suppose $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ N. W[e](#page-4-2) want to prove $\sigma(C_{\varphi}) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. If the interior fixed point $a \neq 0$, let $\tau(z) = (a - z)/(1 - \bar{a}z)$ and $\psi = \tau \circ \varphi \circ \tau$. Then $\tau^{-1} = \tau$, $\psi(0) = 0$ and $C_{\psi} = C_{\tau} \circ C_{\varphi} \circ C_{\tau} = C_{\tau} \circ C_{\varphi} \circ C_{\tau}^{-1}$. C_{φ} and C_{ψ} are similar and hence have the same spectrum. So without loss of generality, we can assume $\varphi(0) = 0$.

Since $\sigma(C_{\varphi}) \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $\sigma(C_{\varphi})$ is closed, it suffices to prove $\mathbb{D} - \{0\} \subset \sigma(C_{\varphi})$. Let $0 \neq \lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, $H_m = z^m H^{\infty}$, and $C_m = C_{\varphi}|_{H_m}$. By Lemma 7, it suffices to prove that λ is in the spectrum of C_m for some positive integer m. Since $C_m - \lambda I$ is not onto if and only if $(C_m - \lambda I)^*$ is not bounded from below, it is enough to find a positive integer m with $(C_m - \lambda I)^*$ not bounded from below.

Let M be the constant M_r in Lemma 8 corresponding to r=0.25 and suppose we have an iteration sequence ${z_k}_{-K}^{\infty}$ with $K \geq 0$ and $|z_0| > 0.5$ (this sequence will be determined later on). Let $n = \max\{k : |z_k| \ge 0.25\}$. Then $n \geq 0$ and $|z_k| < 0.25 < 0.5$ for all $k \geq n+1$. By Lemma 9, there is a number $c_1 < 1$ so that $|z_{k+1}| \leq c_1 |z_k|$ whenever $k \geq n+1$. If $z_n \leq 0.5$, the inequality also holds for $k = n$. If $|z_n| > 0.5$, since $|z_{n+1}| < 0.25$, we have $|z_{n+1}| < 0.5|z_n|$. Let $c = \max\{c_1, 0.5\}$. Then $|z_{k+1}| \leq c|z_k|$ for all $k \geq n$. It follows that $|z_k| \leq c^{k-n} |z_n|$ whenever $k \geq n$. Since $c < 1$, there exists a positive integer m such that $c^m/|\lambda| < 1/(2M+1) < 1$. For this m, $(C_m-\lambda I)^*$ is not bounded from below. To see that, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and we will construct a bounded linear functional L_{λ} on H_m with $||(C_m - \lambda I)^* L_{\lambda}||/||L_{\lambda}|| < \varepsilon$.

Let's define L_{λ} by $L_{\lambda}(f) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} f(z_k)$ for $f \in H_m$. We will see that L_{λ} is well-defined and indeed it is bounded.

For
$$
f \in H_m
$$
, $z^{-m}f(z)$ is analytic and $||f||_{\infty} = ||z^{-m}f(z)||_{\infty}$. So

$$
|f(z_k)| = |z_k|^m |z_k^{-m} f(z_k)| \le |z_k|^m \|z^{-m} f(z)\|_{\infty} = |z_k|^m \|f\|_{\infty}.
$$

Then

$$
(1) \qquad \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-k} |f(z_k)| \leq ||f||_{\infty} \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m
$$

$$
= ||f||_{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-K}^{n} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m \right).
$$

For $k > n$, $|z_k| \leq c^{k-n} |z_n|$ and so $|z_k|^m \leq (c^m)^{k-n} |z_n|^m$. We see that (2) \sum^{∞} $k=n+1$ $|\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m \leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty$ $k=n+1$ $(c^m)^{k-n}|z_n|^m$ $\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{k-n}|\lambda|^m} = \frac{|z_n|^m}{|\lambda|^n}$ $rac{z_n|^m}{|\lambda|^n} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ $k=n+1$ $\int c^m$ $|\lambda|$ $\bigg)^{k-n} < \infty.$

It follows that $\sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} f(z_k)$ converges. Hence L_{λ} is well-defined and by (1) it is bounded.

Now let's estimate $\frac{\|(C_m-\lambda I)^*L_\lambda\|}{\|L_\lambda\|}$ $\frac{-\lambda I)^{\top} L_{\lambda} \parallel}{\Vert L_{\lambda} \Vert}$. For $f \in H_m$,

$$
\langle f, (C_m - \lambda I)^* L_\lambda \rangle = \langle (C_m - \lambda I) f, L_\lambda \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \langle f \circ \varphi - \lambda f, L_\lambda \rangle
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} (f \circ \varphi(z_k) - \lambda f(z_k))
$$

\n
$$
= \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} (\lambda^{-k} f(z_{k+1}) - \lambda^{-(k-1)} f(z_k))
$$

\n
$$
= -\lambda^{K+1} f(z_{-K}).
$$

Then

$$
||(C_m - \lambda I)^* L_{\lambda}|| = \sup_{0 \neq f \in H_m} \frac{|\langle f, (C_m - \lambda I)^* L_{\lambda} \rangle|}{||f||_{\infty}}
$$

=
$$
\sup_{0 \neq f \in H_m} \frac{|\lambda|^{K+1} |f(z_{-K})|}{||f||_{\infty}}
$$

$$
\leq |\lambda|^{K+1}.
$$

We also need a lower bound for $||L_\lambda||$. If we apply Lemma 8 to the iteration sequence $\{z_k\}_{-K}^{\infty}$ with r=0.25, we can find a function $h \in H^{\infty}$ with $||h||_{\infty} \le$ $M, |h(z_k)| = 1$ and $\lambda^{-k} z_k^m h(z_k) > 0$ for $-K \leq k \leq n$. Let $g(z) = z^m h(z) \in$

 H_m . Then $||g||_{\infty} \leq M$ and

$$
L_{\lambda}(g) = \sum_{k=-K}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} z_k^m h(z_k)
$$

=
$$
\sum_{k=-K}^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m + |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} z_k^m h(z_k).
$$

By the estimate in (2) and because $M \geq 1$ and $c^m/|\lambda| < 1/(2M+1)$ from the choice of m , we have

$$
\left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} z_k^m h(z_k) \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m |h(z_k)|
$$

$$
\leq M |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{c^m}{|\lambda|} \right)^{k-n}
$$

$$
= M |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m \frac{\frac{c^m}{|\lambda|}}{1 - \frac{c^m}{|\lambda|}}
$$

$$
\leq M |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m \frac{\frac{1}{2M+1}}{1 - \frac{1}{2M+1}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m.
$$

This shows that

$$
|L_{\lambda}(g)| \geq \sum_{k=-K}^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m + |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m - \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-k} z_k^m h(z_k) \right|
$$

$$
\geq \sum_{k=-K}^{n-1} |\lambda|^{-k} |z_k|^m + \frac{1}{2} |\lambda|^{-n} |z_n|^m \geq \frac{1}{2} |z_0|^m.
$$

Then

$$
||L_{\lambda}|| \ge \frac{|L_{\lambda}(g)|}{||g||_{\infty}} \ge \frac{|z_0|^m}{2M} \ge \frac{(0.5)^m}{2M}.
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{\|(C_m - \lambda I)^* L_\lambda\|}{\|L_\lambda\|} \le \frac{2M|\lambda|^{K+1}}{0.5^m}.
$$

Since $|\lambda| < 1$, this is less than ε if we choose K sufficiently large. For the chosen K, we can determine the iteration sequence $\{z_k\}_{-K}^{\infty}$. Since $\|\varphi_K\|_{\infty} =$ 1 by assumption, there exists $w \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|\varphi_K(w)| > 0.5$. Let $z_{-K} = w$ and $z_{k+1} = \varphi(z_k)$ for $k > -K$. Then $|z_0| = |\varphi_K(z_{-K})| > 0.5$. The above calculation follows, thus $(C_m - \lambda I)^*$ is not bounded from below as desired.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my advisor Professor Wayne Smit[h for his valu](http://www.emis.de/cgi-bin/MATH-item?0706.46003)able suggestions and patient guidance in my writing this [pape](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=91e:46001)r.

References

- [Con] J.B. Conway, A Course in Functional Analysis, second edition, Springer-Verlag, 1990, [MR 91e:46001,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=53:11417) Z[bl 0706.46003.](http://www.emis.de/cgi-bin/MATH-item?0295.47003)
- [CM1] C. Cowen and B. MacCluer, Spectra of some composition operators, Journal of Functional Analysis, 125 (1994), 223-251, MR 95i:47058, Zbl 0814.47040.
- [CM2] , Composition Operator on Spaces of Analytic Functions, CRC Press, 1995, [MR 97](http://www.emis.de/cgi-bin/MATH-item?0618.47013)i:47056, Zbl 0873.47017.
- [Kam] H. Kamowitz, The spectra of composition operators on H^p , Journal of Functional Analysis, 18 (1975), 132-150, MR 53 #11417, Zbl 0295.47003.
- [Koe] [G. Koenig,](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet-getitem?mr=88c:47058) Recherches sur les intégrales de certaines équations functionelles, Ann. Sci Ecole Norm. Sup. (Sér. 3), **1** (1884), supplément, 3-41.
- [Kön] H. König, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators*, Birkhauser Verlag, 1986, MR 88j:47021, Zbl 0618.47013
- [Sch] H.J. Schwartz, Composition operator on H^p , Thesis, University of Toledo, 1969.
- [Sh1] J.H. Shapiro, The essential norm of a composition operator, Annals of Mathematics, 125 (1987), 375-404, MR 88c:47058, Zbl 0642.47027.
- [\[Sh2\]](mailto:zheng_lixin@hotmail.com) , Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory, Springer-Verlag, 1993, MR 94k:47049, Zbl 0791.30033.

Received March 1, 2000 and revised October 2, 2000.

1111 Balclutha Dr, # J204 FOSTER CITY, CA 94404 E-mail address: zheng lixin@hotmail.com