

# *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*

THE GROUP OF ISOMETRIES OF A FINSLER SPACE

SHAOQIANG DENG AND ZIXIN HOU

Volume 207      No. 1

November 2002



## THE GROUP OF ISOMETRIES OF A FINSLER SPACE

SHAOQIANG DENG AND ZIXIN HOU

**We prove that the group of isometries of a Finsler space is a Lie transformation group on the original manifold. This generalizes the famous result of Myers and Steenrod on a Riemannian manifold and makes it possible to use Lie theory on the study of Finsler spaces.**

### Introduction.

Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler space, where  $F$  is positively homogeneous but not necessary absolutely homogeneous. As in the Riemannian case, we have two kinds of definitions of isometry on  $(M, F)$ . On one hand, we can define an isometry to be a diffeomorphism of  $M$  onto itself which preserves the Finsler function. On the other hand, since on  $M$  we still have the definition of distance function (although generically it is not a real distance), we can define an isometry of  $(M, F)$  to be a mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$  which keeps the *distance* of each pair of points of  $M$ .

The equivalence of the two definitions of isometry in the Riemannian case is a famous result of Myers and Steenrod. They used this result to prove that the group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold is a Lie transformation groups on the original manifold [5]. This result plays a fundamental role on the theory of homogeneous Riemannian manifolds. Since then, many different proofs were provided, cf., e.g., Palais [6], S. Kobayashi [4].

In this paper we prove that the two definitions of isometry are equivalent for a Finsler space. Then we prove that the group of isometries has a differentiable structure which turns it into a Lie transformation on the manifold. This result makes it possible to use Lie theory on the study of Finsler spaces.

In this paper, Finsler structure  $F$  is only assumed to be positively homogeneous but not necessary absolutely homogeneous. We will not point out this each time. For a mapping  $\phi$  of a manifold  $M$ , we use  $d\phi$  to denote its differential. If  $p \in M$ ,  $d\phi|_p$  will denote the differential of  $\phi$  at  $p$ . The notations of forward and backward metric ball in a Finsler spaces comes from the newly published book by D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen [1].

### 1. A result on distance function.

Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler space,  $d$  be the distance function of  $(M, F)$ . We first need to prove a result on the distance function.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $x \in M$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U$  of the original of  $T_x(M)$  such that  $\exp_x$  is a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism from  $U$  onto its image and for any  $A, B \in U$ ,  $A \neq B$ , and any  $C^1$  curve  $\sigma_0(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ , connecting  $A$  and  $B$  which satisfies  $\sigma_0(s) \in U$  and  $\dot{\sigma}_0(s) \neq 0$ ,  $s \in [0, 1]$ , we have*

$$\left| \frac{L(\sigma)}{L(\sigma_0)} - 1 \right| \leq \epsilon,$$

where  $L(\cdot)$  denotes the arc length of a curve and  $\sigma(s) = \exp_x \sigma_0(s)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B_x(r) = \{A \in T_x(M) \mid F(x, A) < r\}$  be a tangent ball in  $T_x(M)$  such that  $\exp = \exp_x$  is a  $C^1$ -diffeomorphism from  $B_x(r)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_x^+(x) = \{w \in M \mid d(x, w) \leq r\}$  (cf. [1]). Assume  $A, B \in B_x(r)$ ,  $A \neq B$ . Let  $\sigma_0(s)$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  be a  $C^1$  curve connecting  $A$  and  $B$  and  $\forall s, \sigma_0(s) \in B_x(r)$  and  $\dot{\sigma}_0(s) \neq 0$ . Then we can write the velocity vector of  $\sigma_0(s)$  as  $\dot{\sigma}_0(s) = t(s)X(s)$ , where  $X(s)$  satisfies  $F(x, X(s)) = \frac{r}{2}, \forall s$ , and  $t(s) \geq 0$  is a continuous function on  $[0, 1]$ . Therefore the arc length of  $\sigma_0$  is

$$L(\sigma_0) = \int_0^1 t(s)F(x, X(s))ds.$$

Denote  $X_1(s) = d(\exp_x)|_{\sigma_0(s)}X(s)$ . Then the velocity vector of the curve  $\sigma(s) = \exp_x(\sigma_0(s))$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  is

$$\dot{\sigma}(s) = d(\exp_x)|_{\sigma_0(s)}(t(s)X(s)) = t(s)d(\exp_x)|_{\sigma_0(s)}(X(s)) = t(s)X_1(s).$$

Therefore, the arc length of  $\sigma$  is

$$L(\sigma) = \int_0^1 t(s)F(\sigma(s), X_1(s))ds.$$

Now we select a neighborhood  $V_1$  of  $x$  in  $M$  with compact closure which is contained in  $\mathcal{B}_x^+(r)$  and fix a coordinate system  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $V_1$ . Let  $U_1 = \exp^{-1}V_1$ . Suppose  $\sigma_0 \subset U_1$ . Denote by  $M(s)$  the matrix of  $d(\exp_x)|_{\sigma_0(s)}$  under the base  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ . Given any positive number  $\delta < \frac{r}{2}$ . Since  $d(\exp_x)|_0 = I_n$  and  $\exp$  is  $C^1$  smooth, there exists a neighborhood  $U_2 \subset U_1$  of the original of  $T_x(M)$  such that for any  $C^1$  curve  $\sigma_0$  satisfying  $\sigma_0(s) \in U_2, \forall s$ , we have

$$\|M(s) - I\| < \frac{\delta}{n}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 1,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denote the maximum of the absolute value of the entries of a matrix. Write  $X(s)$  and  $X_1(s)$  as:

$$X(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_x;$$

$$X_1(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n y'_j(s) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_{\sigma(s)}.$$

Then we have

$$|y'_j(s) - y_j(s)| < \delta, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

Consider the set

$$C_0 = \left\{ (w, (d(\exp_x))|_W)y \mid w \in V_1, W = \exp_x^{-1}w, \right. \\ \left. y \in T_W(Tx(M)) = Tx(M), F(x, y) = \frac{r}{2} \right\}.$$

Since  $\exp$  is  $C^1$  smooth, the closure of  $C_0$  is compact. Hence the Finsler function  $F$  is bounded on  $C_0$ . Suppose  $F < r_1$  on  $C_0$ ,  $r_1 > 0$ . Now write the Finsler function  $F(w, y)$  as  $F(w, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  for  $y = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_w$ . Consider the closure  $D_1$  of the set  $D_0 = \{(w, y) \in TM \mid w \in V_1, F(x, y) \leq \frac{r}{2} + r_1\}$ . Since  $F$  is continuous and  $D_1$  is compact,  $F$  is uniformly continuous on  $D_1$ . Therefore for the given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  and a neighborhood  $V_2 \subset V_1$  of  $x$  such that for any  $w \in V_2$ ,  $|y_j - y'_j| < \delta_1, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $F(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) < \frac{r}{2} + r_1, F(w, y'_1, \dots, y'_n) < \frac{r}{2} + r_1$ , we have

$$|F(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) - F(w, y'_1, y'_2, \dots, y'_n)| < \frac{r}{2}\epsilon.$$

Therefore if we select the above  $\delta$  such that  $\delta < \delta_1$ . Then for the corresponding  $U_2$  and any  $C^1$  curve  $\sigma_0, \sigma_0 \subset U_2 \cap (\exp)^{-1}V_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{L(\sigma)}{L(\sigma_0)} - 1 \right| &= \frac{\left| \int_0^1 t(s)(F(x, X(s)) - F(\sigma(s), X_1(s)))ds \right|}{\left| \int_0^1 t(s)F(x, X(s))ds \right|} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_0^1 t(s)|F(x, X(s)) - F(\sigma(s), X_1(s))|ds}{r \int_0^1 t(s)ds} \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{r}{2}\epsilon \int_0^1 t(s)ds}{\frac{r}{2} \int_0^1 t(s)ds} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $x \in M$  and  $B_x(r)$  be a tangent ball of  $T_x(M)$  such that  $\exp_x$  is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $B_x(r)$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_x^+(r)$ . For  $A, B \in B_x(r)$ ,  $A \neq B$ , let  $a = \exp_x A$ ,  $b = \exp_x B$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{F(x, A - B)}{d(a, b)} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $(A, B) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_x^-(r) = \{w \in M \mid d(w, x) < r\}$ . Suppose  $r$  is so small that each pair of points in  $\mathcal{B}_x^+(\frac{r}{2}) \cap \mathcal{B}_x^-(\frac{r}{2})$  can be joint by a unique minimal geodesic contained in  $\mathcal{B}_x^+(r)$  (cf. [1]). Let  $\Gamma_0(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$  be the line segment connecting  $A$  and  $B$ , and  $\Gamma(s) = \exp_x \Gamma_0(s)$ . By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\frac{L(\Gamma_0)}{L(\Gamma)} = \frac{F(x, A - B)}{L(\Gamma)} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $(A, B) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . Now let  $a = \exp_x A$ ,  $b = \exp_x B$ . Suppose  $a, b \in \mathcal{B}_x^+(\frac{r}{2}) \cap \mathcal{B}_x^-(\frac{r}{2})$ . Let  $\gamma_{ab}(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$  be the unique minimal geodesic of constant speed connecting  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $\gamma_0(s), 0 \leq s \leq 1$  be the unique curve in  $B_x(r)$  which satisfies  $\gamma_{ab}(s) = \exp_x \gamma_0(s)$ . Then by Lemma 1.1, we also have

$$\frac{L(\gamma_0)}{L(\gamma_{ab})} \rightarrow 1$$

as  $(A, B) \rightarrow (0, 0)$ . Since

$$d(a, b) \leq L(\Gamma), \quad L(\gamma_0) \geq F(x, A - B),$$

we have

$$\frac{F(x, A - B)}{L(\Gamma)} \leq \frac{F(x, A - B)}{d(a, b)} \leq \frac{L(\gamma_0)}{L(\gamma_{ab})}.$$

Theorem 1.2 follows.  $\square$

## 2. Differentiability of isometries.

First we have:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$  be two Minkowski norms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\phi$  be a mapping of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into itself such that  $\|\phi(A) - \phi(B)\|_2 = \|A - B\|_1, \forall A, B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $\phi$  is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathbb{R}^n$  endowed with  $\|\cdot\|_j, j = 1, 2$  as two Finsler spaces, denoted by  $(M_1, F_1), (M_2, F_2)$ . Then geodesics in  $M_j, j = 1, 2$  are straight lines (cf. [1]). And the distance function of  $M_j$  are  $d_j(A, B) = \|A - B\|_j, j = 1, 2$ . Consider  $\phi$  as a mapping from the Finsler space  $(M_1, F_1)$  to  $(M_2, F_2)$ . Then  $\phi$  preserves the distance function. Since in a Finsler space short geodesics minimize distance between its start and end points (cf. [1]), we can prove (similarly as in the Riemannian case) that  $\phi$  transforms geodesics to geodesics. First suppose  $\phi(0) = 0$ . For  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \neq 0$ , the curve  $\phi(tA)$ ,

$t \geq 0$  is a ray which coincides with the ray  $t\phi(A)$  for  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$ . Therefore they coincide as point sets. Thus  $\phi(tA) = \mu(t)\phi(A)$  for some nonnegative function  $\mu(t)$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\|\phi(tA) - 0\|_2 &= \|tA - 0\|_1 = t\|A\|_1 \\ &= \|\mu(t)\phi(A) - 0\|_2 = \mu(t)\|\phi(A)\|_2 = \mu(t)\|A\|_1, t \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

we have  $\mu(t) = t$ . Thus  $\phi(tA) = t\phi(A)$ , for  $t \geq 0$ . Suppose  $A \neq B$ , a similar argument as the above shows that there exists a nonnegative function  $\lambda(t)$  such that  $\phi(tA + (1-t)B) = \lambda(t)\phi(A) + (1-\lambda(t))\phi(B)$ ,  $t \geq 0$ . And we can similarly show that  $\lambda(t) = t$ . In particular, for  $t = \frac{1}{2}$  we have,

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(A+B) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}(A+B)\right) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(A) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(B).$$

Thus  $\phi(A+B) = \phi(A) + \phi(B)$ . Taking  $A = -B$  in the above equality we have  $\phi(-A) = -\phi(A)$ . Therefore  $\phi$  is a linear transformation. Since  $\text{Ker}(\phi) = \{0\}$ , it is a diffeomorphism. If  $A_1 = \phi(0) \neq 0$ , consider the composition mapping  $\phi_1 = \pi_{A_1} \circ \phi$ , where  $\pi_{A_1}(A) = A - A_1$  is the parallel translation, which is a diffeomorphism. Since  $\phi_1(0) = 0$  and  $\|\phi_1(A) - \phi(B)\|_2 = \|A - B\|_1$ ,  $\phi_1$  is a diffeomorphism. Hence  $\phi$  is a diffeomorphism.  $\square$

**Remark.** The proposition is an interesting application of Finsler geometry to Functional Analysis.

Now we can prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler space and  $\phi$  be a distance-preserving mapping of  $M$  onto itself. Then  $\phi$  is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in M$  and put  $q = \phi(p)$ . Let  $r > 0, \epsilon > 0$  be so small that both  $\exp_p$  and  $\exp_q$  are  $C^1$  diffeomorphisms on the tangent ball  $B_p(r+\epsilon), B_q(r+\epsilon)$  of  $T_p(M)$  and  $T_q(M)$ , respectively. For any nonzero  $X \in T_p(M)$ , consider the radial geodesic  $\exp_p(tX)$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq \frac{r}{2F(p,X)}$ . The image  $\gamma(t) = \phi(\exp_p(tX))$  is a geodesic since  $\phi$  is distance-preserving. Let  $X'$  denote the tangent vector of  $\gamma$  at the point  $q$ . We have obtained a mapping  $X \rightarrow X'$  of  $T_p(M)$  into  $T_q(M)$ . Denoting this mapping by  $\phi'$  we have  $\phi'(\lambda X) = \lambda\phi'(X)$ , for  $X \in T_p(M)$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Let  $A, B \in T_p(M)$ ,  $A \neq B$  and  $t$  is so small that both  $tA$  and  $tB$  lie in  $B_p(r)$ . Let  $a_t = \exp_p(tA)$ ,  $b_t = \exp_p(tB)$ . Then by Theorem 1.2 we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(p, tA - tB)}{d(a_t, b_t)} = 1.$$

On the other hand, by the definition of  $\phi'$  we have

$$\exp_q(\phi'(tX)) = \phi(\exp_p tX),$$

for any  $X$  and  $t$  small enough. Thus by Theorem 1.2 we also have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(q, \phi'(tA) - \phi'(tB))}{d(\phi(a_t), \phi(b_t))} = 1.$$

Since  $d(\phi(a_t), \phi(b_t)) = d(a_t, b_t)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(p, tA - tB)}{F(q, \phi'(tA) - \phi'(tB))} \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tF(p, A - B)}{tF(q, \phi'(A) - \phi'(B))} = \frac{F(p, A - B)}{F(q, \phi'(A) - \phi'(B))}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $F(q, \phi'(A) - \phi'(B)) = F(p, A - B)$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $\phi'$  is a diffeomorphism of  $T_p(M)$  onto  $T_q(M)$ .

Although on  $\mathcal{B}_p^+(r) = \exp_p B_r(p)$  we have  $\phi = \exp_q \circ \phi' \circ (\exp_p)^{-1}$ , we still cannot conclude that  $\phi$  is smooth on  $\mathcal{B}_p^+(r)$ , since in a Finsler space the exponential mapping is only  $C^1$  at the zero section. That is, we can only conclude that  $\phi$  is smooth in  $\mathcal{B}_p(r) - \{p\}$ . To finish the proof, we proceed to take  $r$  so small so that every pair of points in  $\mathcal{B}_p^+(r) \cap \mathcal{B}_p^-(r)$  can be joint by a unique minimizing geodesic. Select  $p_1 \in \mathcal{B}_p^+(\frac{r}{2}) \cap \mathcal{B}_p^-(\frac{r}{2})$ ,  $p_1 \neq p$ . Consider the tangent ball  $B_{p_1}(\frac{r}{2})$  of  $T_{p_1}(M)$ . The exponential mapping is a  $C^1$  diffeomorphism from  $B_{p_1}(\frac{r}{2})$  onto  $\mathcal{B}_{p_1}^+(\frac{r}{2})$ . The above argument shows that  $\phi$  is smooth in  $\mathcal{B}_{p_1}^+(\frac{r}{2}) - \{p_1\}$ , which is a neighborhood of  $p$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Group of isometries.

Theorem 2.2 justifies the following definition of isometry for a Finsler space.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler space. A mapping  $\phi$  of  $M$  onto itself is called an isometry if  $\phi$  is a diffeomorphism and for any  $x \in M, X \in T_x(M)$ ,  $F(\phi(x), d\phi_x(X)) = F(x, X)$ .

In the following we denote the group of isometries of  $(M, F)$  by  $I(M)$ .

Let  $N$  be a connected, locally compact metric space and  $\mathcal{I}(N)$  be the group of isometries of  $N$ , for each point  $x$  of  $N$ , let  $\mathcal{I}_x(N)$  denote the isotropy subgroup of  $\mathcal{I}(N)$  at  $x$ . Van Danzig and van der Waerden [7] proved that  $\mathcal{I}(N)$  is a locally compact topological transformation group on  $N$  with respect to the compact-open topology and  $\mathcal{I}_x(N)$  is compact.

Now on  $M$  we have a distance function  $d$  defined by the Finsler function  $F$ . By Theorem 2.2, the group  $I(M)$  coincides with the group of isometries  $\mathcal{I}(M)$  of  $(M, d)$ . Although generically  $d$  is not a distance ( $d$  is not symmetric unless  $F$  is absolutely homogeneous), we still have:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a connected Finsler space. The compact-open topology turns  $I(M)$  into a locally compact transformation group of  $M$ . Let*



$x \in M$  and  $I_x(M)$  denote the subgroup of  $I(M)$  which leaves  $x$  fixed. Then  $I_x(M)$  is compact.

*Proof.* A proof of this result for the Riemannian case was given in Helgason [3] (cf. Helgason [3], pp. 201-204), which is valid in general cases after some minor changes. Just note that on a Finsler manifold the topology generated by the forward metric balls  $\mathcal{B}_p^+(r) = \{x \in M | d(p, x) < r\}, p \in M, r > 0$  is precisely the underlying manifold topology and this is true for the topology generated by the backward metric balls  $\mathcal{B}_p^-(r) = \{x \in M | d(x, p) < r\}, p \in M, r > 0$  (cf. [1]).  $\square$

Bochner-Montgomery [2] proved that a locally compact group of differentiable transformations of a manifold is a Lie transformation group. Therefore we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(M, F)$  be a Finsler space. Then the group of isometries  $I(M)$  of  $M$  is a Lie transformation group of  $M$ . Let  $x \in M$  and  $I_x(M)$  be the isotropy subgroup of  $I(M)$  at  $x$ . Then  $I_x(M)$  is compact.*

## References

- [1] D. Bao, S.S. Chern and Z. Shen, *An Introduction to Riemannian-Finsler Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999, MR 2001g:53130, Zbl 0954.53001.
- [2] S. Bochner and D. Montgomery, *Locally compact groups of differentiable transformations*, Ann. of Math., **47** (1946), 639-653, MR 8,253c, Zbl 0061.04407.
- [3] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry, Lie Groups and Symmetric Spaces*, 2nd ed., Academic Press, New York, 1978, MR 80k:53081, Zbl 0451.53038.
- [4] S. Kobayashi, *Transformation Groups in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972, MR 50 #8360, Zbl 0246.53031.
- [5] S.B. Myers and N. Steenrod, *The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold*, Ann. of Math., **40** (1939), 400-416, Zbl 0021.06303.
- [6] R. Palais, *On the differentiability of isometries*, Proc. Math. AMS., **8** (1957), 805-807, MR 19,451a, Zbl 0084.37405.
- [7] D. van Dantzig and B.L. van der Waerden, *Über metrisch homogene räume*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg, **6** (1928), 374-376.

Received March 15, 2001. This work is Project 19901015 and 19731004 supported by NSFC.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NANKAI UNIVERSITY  
TIANJIN, P. R. CHINA  
E-mail address: matdsq@263.net

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
NANKAI UNIVERSITY  
TIANJIN, P. R. CHINA  
E-mail address: houzx@nankai.edu.cn

