

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

THE FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS HAVE COMPLEMENTED
SUBGROUP LATTICES

MAURO COSTANTINI AND GIOVANNI ZACHER

THE FINITE SIMPLE GROUPS HAVE COMPLEMENTED SUBGROUP LATTICES

MAURO COSTANTINI AND GIOVANNI ZACHER

We prove that the lattice of subgroups of every finite simple group is a complemented lattice.

1. Introduction.

A group G is called a K -group (a *complemented group*) if its subgroup lattice is a complemented lattice, i.e., for a given $H \leq G$ there exists a $X \leq G$ such that $\langle H, X \rangle = G$ and $H \wedge X = 1$. The main purpose of this Note is to answer a long-standing open question in finite group theory, by proving that:

Every finite simple group is a K -group.

In this context, it was known that the alternating groups, the projective special linear groups and the Suzuki groups are K -groups ([P]).

Our proof relies on the FSGC-theorem and on structural properties of the maximal subgroups in finite simple groups. The rest of this paper is divided into four sections. In Section 2 we collect some criteria for a subgroup of a group G to have a complement and recall some useful known results. In Section 3 we deal with the classical groups, in 4 with the exceptional groups of Lie type and in Section 5 with the sporadic groups.

With reference to notation and terminology, we shall follow closely those in use in [P] and [S]. All groups are meant to be finite.

2. Preliminaries.

We begin with the following:

Proposition 2.1. *Given the group G , let T, X be subgroups of G such that $T \leq X < G$. If the interval $[X/T]$ is a complemented lattice and if X is contained in only one maximal subgroup M of G , then every $H \leq G$ with $H \not\leq M$ and $H \wedge T = 1$ has a complement in G .*

Proof. Let C be a complement of $\langle H, T \rangle \wedge X$ in $[X/T]$. Then $\langle H, C \rangle = \langle H, T, C \rangle \geq \langle \langle H, T \rangle \wedge X, C \rangle = X$. Since $H \not\leq M$, we conclude that $\langle H, C \rangle = G$. Moreover $H \wedge C = H \wedge X \wedge C \leq \langle H, T \rangle \wedge X \wedge C = T$, hence $H \wedge C \leq H \wedge T = 1$. □

The condition on M in Proposition 2.1 means that $[G/X]$ is a *mono-coatomic* interval with *coatom* M .

Corollary 2.2. *Let X be a K -subgroup and $[G/X]$ a monocoatomic interval with coatom M . Then every $H \leq G$ not contained in M_G has a complement in G . In particular G/M_G is a K -group.*

Proof. There exists a $g \in G$ such that $H^g \not\leq M$. By Proposition 2.1 with $T = 1$, H^g has a complement. Hence also H has a complement C in G . Moreover, if $M_G < H$, then CM_G/M_G is a complement of H/M_G in G/M_G . \square

Proposition 2.3. *Let G be a simple group and $[G/X]$ a monocoatomic interval with coatom M . If N is a central subgroup of M of prime order with $N \leq X$ and if X/N is a K -group, then G is a K -group.*

Proof. Let H be a proper subgroup of G . Since $M_G = 1$, without loss of generality we may assume $H \not\leq M$. If now $H \wedge N = 1$, by Proposition 2.1 H has a complement in G . Assume now $N \leq H$; there exists a $g \in G$ such that $N^g \wedge H = 1$. So if H has no complement in G , by Proposition 2.1 we must have $N^g \leq C(H)$. It follows that if $\mathcal{F} = \{N^x \mid x \in G\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{N^x \mid N^x \not\leq H\}$, then $\mathcal{N}(H) \geq \langle H, \mathcal{F}_1 \rangle \geq \langle \mathcal{F} \rangle = G$, a contradiction. \square

We finally recall:

(2.1) *The direct product of a family of groups is a K -group if and only if each factor is a K -group,*

see Corollary 3.1.5 in [S].

(2.2) *If G contains an abelian subgroup A generated by minimal normal subgroups of G and a complement K to A that is a K -group, then G is a K -group,*

see Lemma 3.1.9 in [S].

(2.3) *The symmetric and alternating groups, the projective special linear groups $L_n(q)$ and the simple Suzuki groups ${}^2B_2(q)$ are K -groups,*

see [P].

For our purpose it will be convenient to know which non-simple groups of Lie type ([C], p. 175, p. 268) are complemented.

Proposition 2.4. *The following non-simple groups of Lie type are K -groups:*

$$L_2(2), L_2(3), Sp_4(2), G_2(2), {}^2G_2(3).$$

The following non-simple groups of Lie type are not K -groups:

$${}^2B_2(2), {}^2F_4(2), U_3(2).$$

Proof. In fact $L_2(2) \cong S_3$, $L_2(3) \cong A_4$, $Sp_4(2) \cong S_6$, and we are done by (2.3). In $G_2(2)$ there is a monocoatomic interval $[G_2(2)/H]$ with $H \cong L_3(2)$ and corefree coatom, by Theorem 2.5 in [Co]: Hence $G_2(2)$ is a K -group by

(2.3) and Corollary 2.2. The group ${}^2G_2(3)$ has a corefree maximal subgroup isomorphic to $Z_7 : Z_6$ ([K3]): Hence it is a K -group by (2.2). On the other hand, we have ${}^2B_2(2) \cong Z_5 : Z_4$ ([A]), $U_3(2) \cong 3^2 : Q_8$ ([KL], p. 43) and finally $|{}^2F_4(2) : {}^2F_4(2)'| = 2$, but all involutions of ${}^2F_4(2)$ are contained in ${}^2F_4(2)'$ ([AS], p. 75). \square

To prove the main theorem, we take a counterexample L of minimal order and show that such a group L does not exist.

3. The simple classical groups.

We are going to assume in this section that $L = G_0(n, q)$, a (simple) classical group as in [KL].

a) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type A_m , $n = m + 1$, $m \geq 1$.

See (2.3).

b) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type C_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Let r be a prime divisor of m , so that $m = rt$, $t \geq 1$. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in [L], the interval $[PSp(2m, q)/PSp(2t, q^r)]$ is mono-coatomic. Moreover $PSp(2t, q^r)$ is simple, since $q^r \geq 4$, of order less than the order of L , hence a K -group. But then by Corollary 2.2, L is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

c) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type 2A_m , $n = m + 1$, $m \geq 2$.

Proof. We consider first the cases $(n, q) = (3, 3)$, $(3, 5)$. The groups $U_3(3)$ and $U_3(5)$ are K -groups: In fact one has $PSL_2(7) < \cdot U_3(3)$ and $A_7 < \cdot U_3(5)$ ([K1], §5). Assume now $(n, q) \neq (3, 3)$, $(3, 5)$. With reference to the notation in [BGL], p. 388, let G be the simple adjoint algebraic group over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$ with associated Dynkin diagram of type A_m , $\lambda = \sigma_q$ and $\mu = {}^2\sigma_q$: We have $G_\lambda = PGL_n(q)$, $G_\mu = PGU_n(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\lambda) = L_n(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\mu) = U_n(q) = G_0(n, q)$,

$$T := O^{p'}(G_\mu \cap G_\lambda) = \begin{cases} PSp_n(q) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \Omega_n(q) & \text{if } nq \text{ is odd} \\ Sp_{n-1}(q) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd and } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 2 in [BGL] it follows that $[U_n(q)/T]$ is monocoatomic. Moreover, T is a K -group, either because it is simple of order less than $|L|$, or because it is isomorphic to $Sp_4(2)$ (Proposition 2.4): Hence $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

d) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type B_m , $n = 2m + 1$, $m \geq 3$, q odd.

Proof. Assume $q = p^f$, with $f > 1$ and let r be a prime divisor of f . Then by Theorem 1 in [BGL], $[P\Omega_n(q)/P\Omega_n(q^{1/r})]$ is monoatomic, a contradiction. Therefore we must have $q = p$. Now, by §5 in [K1] and Proposition 4.2.15 in [KL], $G_0(n, q)$ contains a maximal subgroup M which is a split extension of an irreducible elementary abelian 2-group by A_n or S_n . Therefore M is a K -group by (2.2), and $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

e) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type D_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 4$.

Proof. Let $V = \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be the natural (projective) module for $G_0(n, q)$, and let W be a nonsingular subspace of V of dimension 1. Since $\bar{\Omega} := G_0(n, q)$ is a counterexample of minimal order, the socle $\text{soc } H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of the stabilizer $H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of W in $\bar{\Omega}$, which is isomorphic to $\Omega_{n-1}(q)$ if q is odd, and to $Sp_{n-2}(q)$ if q is even, must be contained, by Corollary 2.2, in an element $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ of $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \cup \mathcal{S}$ different from $H_{\bar{\Omega}}$ (for the definition of the family $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega}) \cup \mathcal{S}$ we refer to §1.1 and §3.1 in [KL]).

By order considerations, one can prove that only condition (i) of Theorem 4.2 in [Li] applies: This means that $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ must be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$. Since $H_{\bar{\Omega}} \in \mathcal{C}_1$, one is left to show that there does not exist an element $K_{\bar{\Omega}} \in \mathcal{C}_i$, for an $i \neq 1$, such that $\text{soc } H_{\bar{\Omega}} < K_{\bar{\Omega}} < \bar{\Omega}$.

For q odd, the arguments used in the proof of Proposition 7.1.3 in [KL] show that such a $K_{\bar{\Omega}}$ does not exist, taking into account that in our situation $n_2 = n - 1 \geq 7$. To deal with the case when q is even, again one can proceed using arguments suggested in the proof of Lemma 7.1.4 in [KL]. \square

f) $G_0(n, q)$ is not of type 2D_m , $n = 2m$, $m \geq 4$.

Proof. Following the notation in [BGL], let G be the simple adjoint algebraic group over \mathbb{F}_q with associated Dynkin diagram of type D_m , $\lambda = \sigma_q$ and $\mu = {}^2\sigma_q$. Then $O^{p'}(G_\lambda) = P\Omega_n^+(q)$, $O^{p'}(G_\mu) = P\Omega_n^-(q) = G_0(n, q)$,

$$T := O^{p'}(G_\mu \cap G_\lambda) = \begin{cases} \Omega_{n-1}(q) & \text{if } q \text{ is odd} \\ Sp_{n-2}(q) & \text{if } q \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2 in [BGL], $[G_0(n, q)/T]$ is monocoatomic. Since $n \geq 8$, T is simple, hence $G_0(n, q)$ is a K -group, a contradiction. \square

We have therefore completed the proof that L is not a classical group.

4. The simple exceptional groups of Lie type.

Now we are going to show that the minimal counterexample L cannot be an exceptional group of Lie type $G(q)$.

a) $G(q)$ is not of type G_2 , 2G_2 .

Proof. If r is a prime divisor of f , where $q = p^f$, write $q = q_0^r$. Then $G(q_0) < \cdot G(q)$ ([**Co**], Theorem 2.3, 2.4, [**K3**], Theorem A, C). Hence by Proposition 2.4, we have $L = G_2(p)$, for an odd prime p . But then $G_2(2)$ is maximal in $G_2(p)$ by [**K3**], and we are done by Proposition 2.4. \square

b) $G(q)$ is not of type F_4 .

Proof. $F_4(q)$ contains a quasisimple maximal subgroup M of type $B_4(q)$, with $|Z(M)| = (2, q - 1)$ ([**LSS**], p. 322). But then, by Proposition 2.3, $F_4(q)$ is a K -group. \square

c) $G(q)$ is not of type E_6, E_7, E_8 .

Proof. We have $F_4(q) < \cdot E_6(q)$ ([**LS**], Table 1), which excludes E_6 .

If L is of type E_7 , there exist subgroups $H \leq M < \cdot G$ such that $|M : H| = |Z(H)| = (2, q - 1)$ and $H/Z(H) \cong L_2(q) \times P\Omega_{12}^+(q)$ ([**LS**], Table 1). Hence $H/Z(H)$ is a K -group by (2.1). We claim that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic. Clear if q is even. For q odd, suppose $H < M_1 < \cdot G$, with $M_1 \neq M$. Since $|M : H| = 2$, we have $|M_1| > |M| \geq q^{64}$. By the Theorem in [**LS**], M_1 either is a parabolic subgroup, or it appears in Table 1 in [**LS**]: However, both situations are excluded by rank or order considerations. So again by Proposition 2.3, G is a K -group, a contradiction.

Finally assume G is of type E_8 . There exist subgroups $H \leq M < \cdot G$ such that $|M : H| = |Z(H)| = (2, q - 1)$, with $H/Z(H) \cong P\Omega_{16}^+(q)$ ([**I**], p. 286, [**LS**], Table 1), hence a K -group. Using the Theorem in [**LS**] again one shows that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic, giving rise to a contradiction. \square

d) $G(q)$ is not of type 2B_2 .

See (2.3).

e) $G(q)$ is not of type 2F_4 .

Proof. The group ${}^2F_4(2)$ is not simple, and we have seen that it is not a K -group (Proposition 2.4). Its derived subgroup (the Tits group) is simple and it is a K -group, since it has a maximal subgroup isomorphic to $L_2(25)$ ([**A**]). So now assume $L = {}^2F_4(2^{2m+1})$, with $m \geq 1$. By the Main Theorem in [**M**], there exist $H < \cdot M < \cdot L$ such that $|M : H| = 2$ and $H \cong Sp_4(2^{2m+1})$. Since the nonabelian composition factors of maximal subgroups of L not conjugate to M are of type $A_1(q)$, ${}^2B_2(q)$, $U_3(q)$ and ${}^2F_4(q^{1/r})$, r an odd prime, one concludes that $[G/H]$ is monoatomic. \square

f) $G(q)$ is not of type 2E_6 .

Proof. In fact we have $F_4(q) < \cdot {}^2E_6(q)$ from Table 1 in [**LS**]. \square

g) $G(q)$ is not of type 3D_4 .

Proof. From the Theorem in [K2], we have $G_2(q) < {}^3D_4(q)$. Since $G_2(q)$ is a K -group, we get a contradiction. \square

This concludes the proof that L is not a group of Lie type.

5. Sporadic simple groups.

We are left to deal with the sporadic groups: To this end, for each group we exhibit a maximal subgroup which is a K -group. From the tables in [A] we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_2(11) < \cdot M_{11}, \quad L_2(11) < \cdot M_{12}, \quad A_7 < \cdot M_{22}, \quad M_{22} < \cdot M_{23}, \quad M_{23} < \cdot M_{24}, \\ L_2(11) < \cdot J_1, \quad A_5 < \cdot J_2, \quad L_2(19) < \cdot J_3, \quad 43 : 14 < \cdot J_4, \quad M_{22} < \cdot HS, \\ A_7 < \cdot Suz, \quad M_{22} < \cdot McL, \quad A_8 < \cdot Ru, \quad S_4 \times L_3(2) < \cdot He, \quad 67 : 22 < \cdot Ly, \\ A_7 < \cdot O'N, \quad M_{23} < \cdot Co_2, \quad M_{23} < \cdot Co_3, \quad Co_3 < \cdot Co_1, \quad S_{10} < \cdot Fi_{22}, \\ S_{12} < \cdot Fi_{23}, \quad Fi_{23} < \cdot Fi'_{24}, \quad A_{12} < \cdot HN, \quad S_5 < \cdot Th, \quad 31 : 15 < \cdot BM, \\ 31 : 15 \times S_3 < \cdot M \quad . \end{aligned}$$

We have thus completed the proof of the main theorem:

Theorem. *Every finite simple group is a K -group.*

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to E. Vdovin for helpful discussions.

References

- [AS] M. Aschbacher and G. Seitz, *Involutions in Chevalley groups*, Nagoya Math. J., **63** (1976), 1-91, [MR 0422401](#), [Zbl 0359.20014](#).
- [BGL] N. Burgoyne, R. Griess and R. Lyons, *Maximal subgroups and automorphisms of Chevalley groups*, Pac. J. Math., **71** (1977), 365-403, [MR 0444795](#), [Zbl 0334.20022](#).
- [C] R.W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, London, 1989, [MR 1013112](#), [Zbl 0723.20006](#).
- [A] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of Finite Groups*, Oxford University Press, 1985, [MR 0827219](#), [Zbl 0568.20001](#).
- [Co] B.N. Cooperstein, *Maximal subgroups of $G_2(2^n)$* , J. Algebra, **70** (1981), 23-36, [MR 0618376](#), [Zbl 0459.20007](#).
- [I] N. Iwahori, *Centralizers of involutions in finite Chevalley groups*, in 'Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Topics' (eds. A. Borel et al.), Lecture Notes in Math., **131**, Springer, Berlin, 1986, 267-295, [MR 0258945](#), [Zbl 0242.20043](#).
- [K1] P.B. Kleidman, *The Low-Dimensional Finite Classical Groups and their Subgroups*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Cambridge, 1987.

- [K2] ———, *The maximal subgroups of the Steinberg triality groups ${}^3D_4(q)$ and of their automorphism groups*, J. Algebra, **115** (1988), 182-199, [MR 0937609](#), [Zbl 0642.20013](#).
- [K3] ———, *The maximal subgroups of the Chevalley groups $G_2(q)$ with q odd, the Ree groups ${}^2G_2(q)$, and their automorphism groups*, J. Algebra, **117** (1988), 30-71, [MR 0955589](#), [Zbl 0651.20020](#).
- [KL] P.B. Kleidman and M.W. Liebeck, *The Subgroup Structure of the Finite Classical Groups*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, **129**, Cambridge University Press, 1990, [MR 1057341](#), [Zbl 0697.20004](#).
- [L] S. Li, *Overgroups in $GL(nr, F)$ of certain subgroups of $SL(n, K)$* , I, J. Algebra, **125** (1989), 215-235, [MR 1012672](#), [Zbl 0676.20030](#).
- [Li] M.W. Liebeck, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite classical groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **50** (1985), 426-446, [MR 0779398](#), [Zbl 0591.20021](#).
- [LS] M.W. Liebeck and J. Saxl, *On the orders of maximal subgroups of the finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **55** (1987), 299-330, [MR 0896223](#), [Zbl 0627.20026](#).
- [LSS] M.W. Liebeck, J. Saxl and G.M. Seitz, *Subgroups of maximal rank in finite exceptional groups of Lie type*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **65** (1992), 297-325, [MR 1168190](#), [Zbl 0776.20012](#).
- [M] G. Malle, *The maximal subgroups of ${}^2F_4(q^2)$* , J. Algebra, **139** (1991), 52-69, [MR 1106340](#), [Zbl 0725.20014](#).
- [P] E. Previato, *Some families of simple groups whose lattices are complemented*, Boll. U.M.I., **6** (1982), 1003-1014, [MR 0683488](#).
- [S] R. Schmidt, *Subgroup Lattices of Groups*, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994, [MR 1292462](#), [Zbl 0843.20003](#).

Received December 24, 2002 and revised February 24, 2003.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA
VIA BELZONI 7, 35131 PADOVA
ITALY
E-mail address: costantini@math.unipd.it

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA PURA ED APPLICATA
UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA
VIA BELZONI 7, 35131 PADOVA
ITALY
E-mail address: zacher@math.unipd.it