# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# $L^q$ -THEORY OF A SINGULAR "WINDING" INTEGRAL OPERATOR ARISING FROM FLUID DYNAMICS

REINHARD FARWIG, TOSHIAKI HISHIDA, AND DETLEF MÜLLER

Volume 215 No. 2 June 2004

# $L^q$ -THEORY OF A SINGULAR "WINDING" INTEGRAL OPERATOR ARISING FROM FLUID DYNAMICS

REINHARD FARWIG, TOSHIAKI HISHIDA, AND DETLEF MÜLLER

We analyze in classical  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -spaces, n=2 or n=3,  $1 < q < \infty$ , a singular integral operator arising from the linearization of a hydrodynamical problem with a rotating obstacle. The corresponding system of partial differential equations of second order involves an angular derivative which is not subordinate to the Laplacian. The main tools are Littlewood–Paley theory and a decomposition of the singular kernel in Fourier space.

# 1. Introduction

Consider a three-dimensional rotating rigid body with angular velocity  $\omega = (0,0,1)^T$  and assume that the complement, a time-dependent exterior domain  $\Omega(t) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , is filled with a viscous incompressible fluid modelled by the Navier–Stokes equations. By a simple coordinate transform we are led to the nonlinear system [6]

(1.1) 
$$u_t - \nu \Delta u + u \cdot \nabla u - (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \wedge u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$\text{div } u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega$$
$$u = \omega \wedge x \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega$$
$$u \to 0 \quad \text{at } \infty$$

for the unknown velocity u and pressure function p in a time-independent exterior domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  where  $\nu > 0$  is the coefficient of viscosity. Looking for stationary solutions of (1.1), i.e., for time-periodic solutions of the original problem, and ignoring the nonlinear term  $u \cdot \nabla u$  we arrive at a linear stationary partial differential equation in  $\Omega$ .

The first step to analyzing this problem is the  $L^q$ -theory of the system

(1.2) 
$$-\nu \Delta u - (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u + \omega \wedge u + \nabla p = f \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$
 
$$\operatorname{div} u = g \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

in the whole space. Here for later applications we allow div u to equal an arbitrarily given function g. The Coriolis force  $\omega \wedge u = (-u_2, u_1, 0)^T$  can be considered as a perturbation of the Laplacian. But the first order partial

differential operator  $(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u$  is *not* subordinate to the Laplacian due to the increasing term  $\omega \wedge x = (-x_2, x_1, 0)^T$ . Using cylindrical coordinates  $(r, \theta, x_3) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi) \times \mathbb{R}$  we get

$$(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u = -x_2 \partial_1 u + x_1 \partial_2 u = \partial_\theta u$$

showing that the crucial term  $(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u$  is "just" an angular derivative of u w.r.t.  $\theta$ . Since

$$\operatorname{div} ((\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \wedge u) = (\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla \operatorname{div} u = \partial_{\theta} g,$$

the pressure p will satisfy the equation

$$\Delta p = \operatorname{div} f + \nu \Delta q + \partial_{\theta} q \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3$$

which can easily be solved in  $L^q$ -spaces. Given p and ignoring  $(1.2)_2$  we arrive at the system

$$(1.3) -\nu\Delta u - \partial_{\theta}u + \omega \wedge u = f in \mathbb{R}^3$$

with another right-hand side f. Note that (1.3) also makes sense for a two-dimensional vector field u on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ; then  $\omega \wedge u = (-u_2, u_1)^T$  and  $(r, \theta) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$  denote polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

### Theorem 1.1.

(1) Let  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ , n = 2 or n = 3,  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then (1.3) has a solution  $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  satisfying the estimate

(1.4) 
$$\|\nu\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_\theta u - \omega \wedge u\|_q \le c \|f\|_q.$$

Its equivalence class in the homogeneous Sobolev space  $\hat{H}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  is unique.

- (2) Let  $f \in L^{q_1}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L^{q_2}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ ,  $1 < q_1, q_2 < \infty$ , and let  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  be solutions as given by (1) corresponding to  $q = q_1$  and  $q = q_2$ , respectively. Then there are  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $u_1$  coincides with  $u_2$  up to an affine linear vector field  $\alpha\omega + \beta\omega \wedge x + (\gamma x_1, \gamma x_2, \delta x_3)^T$ , and any solution remains a solution if one adds such a term. For n = 2 the terms  $\alpha\omega$  and  $(0,0,\delta x_3)^T$  have to be omitted.
- (3) Let  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ , n = 2 or n = 3, and let  $g \in H^{1,q}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $(\omega \wedge x)g, \nabla g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ . Then (1.2) has a locally integrable solution (u, p) satisfying the estimate

$$\|\nu\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_\theta u - \omega \wedge u\|_q + \|\nabla p\|_q \le c\left(\|f\|_q + \|\nu\nabla g + (\omega \wedge x)g\|_q\right)$$

where  $(1.2)_2$  has to be understood in the sense  $\nabla \operatorname{div} u = \nabla g$ . Its equivalence class in  $\hat{H}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n \times \hat{H}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is unique. Moreover, if  $(u_1, p_1)$  and  $(u_2, p_2)$  are two such solutions, then  $p_1$  equals  $p_2$  up to a constant and  $p_1$  equals  $p_2$  up to an affine linear vector field of the form

 $\alpha\omega + \beta\omega \wedge x + (\gamma x_1, \gamma x_2, -2\gamma x_3)^T$ ,  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , and any solution remains a solution if one adds such terms. For n = 2,  $u_1$  equals  $u_2$  up to the linear term  $\beta(-x_2, x_1)^T$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The so-called *homogeneous* Sobolev spaces  $\hat{H}^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in Theorem 1.1 are defined as follows: Let  $\Pi_{k-1}$  denote the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq k-1$ . Then, using multi-index notation,

$$\hat{H}^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) / \Pi_{k-1} : \partial^{\alpha} u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n, \, |\alpha| = k \right\}$$

is equipped with the norm  $\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^{\alpha}u\|_{q}$ . Note that elements in  $\hat{H}^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  are equivalence classes of  $L^{1}_{\text{loc}}$ -functions being unique only up to polynomials from  $\Pi_{k-1}$ . Since  $\hat{H}^{k,q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})$  can be considered as a closed subspace of  $L^{q}(\mathbb{R}^{n})^{N}$  for some  $N = N(k, n) \in \mathbb{N}$ , it is reflexive for every  $q \in (1, \infty)$ . For more details on these spaces see Chapter II in [3]. Notice, however, that the space  $\Pi^{n}_{1}$  is not completely contained in the kernel of the operator

$$L = -\nu\Delta - \partial_{\theta} + \omega \wedge$$

arising in (1.3).

We note that separate  $L^q$ -estimates of the terms  $\omega \wedge u$  and  $\partial_{\theta}u$  in Theorem 1.1 are not possible unless f satisfies an additional set of compatibility conditions, see Remark 2.3 and Proposition 2.4 below; in particular u or  $\omega \wedge u$  are not necessarily  $L^q$ -integrable. Furthermore Proposition 2.1 indicates that the main solution operator does not define a classical Calderón–Zygmund integral operator.

The underlying problem of the flow around a rotating obstacle has attracted much attention during the last years. Weak solutions have been considered in [1] and [2], whereas one of the present authors proved the existence of a unique instationary solution in an  $L^2$ -setting using semigroup theory ([6] and [7]). It is a remarkable fact that the operator  $-\nu\Delta u - \partial_{\theta}u + \omega \wedge u$  does not generate an analytic semigroup, but a contractive  $C^0$ -semigroup. Several auxiliary linearized equations without the crucial term  $\partial_{\theta}u$  have been considered in [8]. An  $L^2$ - and an  $L^{3/2}$ -theory of problem (1.2) have been established in [4], where the nonlinear problem is also solved for non-Newtonian, second-order fluids and rigid bodies moving due to gravity. Pointwise decay estimates for the linear and nonlinear case are obtained in [5]. For further references on moving bodies in fluids see [4] and [5].

## 2. Preliminaries

To find the fundamental solutions of (1.2) and of (1.3) (see also [6] and [7]), we use the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F} = ^{\wedge}$ , i.e.,

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} u(x) dx.$$

Note that in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the space of tempered distributions,  $\widehat{\partial_j u} = i\xi_j \hat{u}$  and  $\widehat{x_j u} = i\partial \hat{u}/\partial \xi_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . Hence (1.3) is related to the problem

(2.1) 
$$\nu s^2 \hat{u} - \partial_{\varphi} \hat{u} + \omega \wedge \hat{u} = \hat{f}$$

where  $s = |\xi|$  and  $\partial_{\varphi} = -\xi_2 \partial/\partial \xi_1 + \xi_1 \partial/\partial \xi_2 = (\omega \wedge \xi) \cdot \nabla_{\xi}$  is the angular derivative in Fourier space when using polar or cylindrical coordinates for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , resp. Ignoring for a moment the term  $\omega \wedge \hat{u}$  the ordinary differential equation  $-\partial_{\varphi}\hat{u} + \nu s^2\hat{u} = \hat{f}$  yields the solution

(2.2) 
$$\hat{u}(\varphi) = e^{\nu s^2 \varphi} \hat{u}_0 - e^{\nu s^2 \varphi} \int_0^{\varphi} e^{-\nu s^2 t} \hat{f}(t) dt, \quad \hat{u}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

when omitting in  $\hat{u}$ ,  $\hat{f}$  the variables  $s = |\xi|$  or  $s' = (\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)^{1/2}, \xi_3$ , resp. Due to the  $2\pi$ -periodicity of  $\hat{u}$  w.r.t.  $\varphi$  the unknown  $\hat{u}_0$  is given by

$$\hat{u}_0 = \left(1 - e^{-2\pi\nu s^2}\right)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\nu s^2 t} \hat{f}(t) dt.$$

Using for  $s \neq 0$  the geometric series expansion of  $(1 - e^{-2\pi\nu s^2})^{-1}$  and the  $2\pi$ -periodicity of  $\hat{f}$  w.r.t. t we get  $\hat{u}_0 = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} \hat{f}(t) dt$ . Then (2.2) yields

(2.3) 
$$\hat{u}(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} \hat{f}(\varphi + t) dt.$$

Let O(t) denote the orthogonal matrix

$$O(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t & 0\\ \sin t & \cos t & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad O(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t\\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}$$

describing the rotation around the  $\xi_3$ -axis or in the plane by the angle t, resp. Thus, in the variable  $\xi$ ,

$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} \hat{f}(O(t)\xi) dt$$

is the solution of (2.1) when  $\omega \wedge u$  has been ignored. To deal with the term  $\omega \wedge u$  note that  $\partial_{\varphi} O(\varphi) = \omega \wedge O(\varphi)$  in the sense of linear maps. Applying  $O(\varphi)^T$  to (2.1) the unknown  $\hat{v}(\varphi) = O(\varphi)^T \hat{u}(\varphi)$  will satisfy the ordinary differential equation  $\nu s^2 \hat{v}(\varphi) - \partial_{\varphi} \hat{v}(\varphi) = O(\varphi)^T \hat{f}(\varphi)$ . Hence by (2.3)  $\hat{v}(\varphi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} O(\varphi + t)^T \hat{f}(\varphi + t) dt$  and consequently

(2.4) 
$$\hat{u}(\xi) = \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} O(t)^T \hat{f}(O(t)\xi) dt.$$

Since  $e^{-\nu|\xi|^2t}$  multiplied by  $(2\pi)^{-n/2}$  is the Fourier transform of the heat kernel

$$E_t(x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x|^2}{4\nu t}}$$

and since  $\widehat{f(O(t)x)} = \widehat{f}(O(t)\xi)$ , (2.4) yields the formal solution

(2.5) 
$$u(x) = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T E_t * f(O(t) \cdot)(x) dt$$

of (1.3).

Note that for n = 3 and  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ , the integrals (2.4) and (2.5) do in fact converge absolutely and define a distributional solution  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$  of (1.3).

However, if n=2, then both integrals fail to converge in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ , even when  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ . This is not surprising, in view of a similar phenomenon for the Poisson equation in dimension 2. In this case, we need to modify (2.4), by defining a solution  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$  e.g., by means of the convergent integral

$$\begin{split} \langle u, \varphi \rangle &= \langle \hat{u}, \check{\varphi} \rangle \\ &= \int_{|\xi| \ge 1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} \, O(t)^T \hat{f}(O(t)\xi) \cdot \check{\varphi}(\xi) \, dt \, d\xi \\ &+ \int_{|\xi| < 1} \int_0^\infty e^{-\nu s^2 t} \, O(t)^T \hat{f}(O(t)\xi) \cdot (\check{\varphi}(\xi) - \check{\varphi}(0)) \, dt \, d\xi \end{split}$$

for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ ; here denotes the inverse Fourier transform.

Then, in both dimensions n=2,3, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ , we have constructed a solution  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  of (1.3). Moreover, in the next section we shall prove that u satisfies inequality (1.4) in Theorem 1.1(1). In particular,  $||\nabla^2 u||_q \leq c||f||_q < \infty$  for  $1 < q < \infty$ , yielding  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ . We will conclude that, for any  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ , there is a solution  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  of (1.3) satisfying (1.4).

To this end, consider the sequence of balls  $B_m(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and choose a sequence  $\{f_j\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  converging to f in  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ . Let  $u_j$  be the solution of (1.3) corresponding to  $f_j$ . The proof of completeness of  $\hat{H}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  in [3] reveals that we can find a sequence of polynomials  $\{r_j\} \subset \Pi_1^n$  and  $\widetilde{u} \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  such that for  $j \to \infty$ 

$$||\nabla^2 ((u_j + r_j) - \widetilde{u})||_q \to 0$$

and

$$(2.6) (u_j + r_j)|_{B_m} \to \widetilde{u}|_{B_m} \text{ in } L^q(B_m)^n \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then (2.6) implies that  $Lu_j + Lr_j \to L\widetilde{u}$  in the sense of distributions, which shows that  $Lr_j \to L\widetilde{u} - f$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ . And, since  $L\Pi_1^n$  is closed, as a linear subspace of the finite-dimensional space  $\Pi_1^n$ , we see that  $L\widetilde{u} - f = Lr$ , for some  $r \in \Pi_1^n$ . Thus, if we put  $u = \widetilde{u} - r$ , then  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  and  $||\nabla^2 u||_q \le c||f||_q$ , so that u satisfies (1.4).

Observe next that formula (2.5) may be rewritten by using

$$E_t * f(O(t) \cdot)(x) = (E_t * f)(O(t)x),$$

the proof of which is based on the radial symmetry of  $E_t(\cdot)$ . For n=3 we arrive at the identity

(2.7) 
$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Gamma(x, y) f(y) dy$$

with the fundamental solution

(2.8) 
$$\Gamma(x,y) = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T E_t(O(t)x - y) dt.$$

Furthermore  $\Delta u(x)$  can be represented — as u(x) in (2.7) — with the help of the kernel

(2.9)

$$K(x,y) = \Delta_x \Gamma(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \Delta_x O(t)^T E_t(O(t)x - y) dt = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{n/2}} \left( -\frac{n}{2\nu t} + \frac{|O(t)x - y|^2}{(2\nu t)^2} \right) \exp\left( \frac{-|O(t)x - y|^2}{4\nu t} \right) dt,$$

The following proposition indicates that  $K(x,y) = \Delta_x \Gamma(x,y)$  does not define a classical Calderón–Zygmund integral operator:

# Proposition 2.1.

(1) Let n=3. Then, for  $|x|, |y| \to \infty$ , the fundamental solution  $\Gamma(x,y)$  is not bounded by  $C|x-y|^{-1}$ . Actually there exists an  $\alpha>0$  such that for suitable  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with  $|x|, |y| \to \infty$ 

$$|\Gamma(x,y)| \ge \alpha \frac{\log|x-y|}{|x-y|}.$$

(2) Let n=2 or n=3. Then there exists an  $\alpha > 0$  and suitable  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|x|, |y| \to \infty$  such that the kernel

$$K_1(x,y) = \int_0^\infty t^{-n/2} \frac{1}{t} e^{-|O(t)x-y|^2/t} dt$$

satisfies the estimate

for n = 2 or n = 3, cf. (3.4) below.

$$K_1(x,y) \ge \frac{\alpha}{|x-y|}.$$

The same result holds for the kernel  $K_2(x, y)$  where the term  $\frac{1}{t}$  in the definition of  $K_1$  is replaced by  $|O(t)x - y|^2/t^2$ , cf. (2.9).

Proof. (1) Considering only the component  $\Gamma_{3,3}(x,y)$  and points  $x,y \in \mathbb{R}^3$  with equal third component  $x_3 = y_3$  and of equal norm r = |x| = |y| we use complex notation. Thus we may omit the third component of x, y and we restrict ourselves to complex numbers x = r and  $y = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , yielding

$$|O(t)x - y| = r|e^{it} - e^{i\theta}| = 2r\left|\sin\frac{\theta - t}{2}\right|$$

and  $|x-y| = 2r|\sin\frac{\theta}{2}|$ . Now  $\Gamma_{3,3}(x,y)$  is bounded from below by  $\sum_{k=0}^{N} I_k(r,\theta)$ ,

where  $N = \left[2r^2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}\right]$  and

$$I_k(r,\theta) = \int_{\theta/2 + 2k\pi}^{3\theta/2 + 2k\pi} \frac{1}{(4\pi\nu t)^{3/2}} \exp\left(-r^2 \sin^2\left|\frac{\theta - t}{2}\right| / (\nu t)\right) dt.$$

We find constants  $\alpha_i > 0$  independent of r,  $\theta$  and of k such that for  $k \geq 1$ 

$$I_k(r,\theta) \ge \frac{\alpha_1}{k^{3/2}} \int_{-\theta/2}^{\theta/2} \exp\left(-\alpha_2 r^2 t^2/k\right) dt$$
$$= \frac{2\alpha_1}{rk} \int_0^{r\theta/(2\sqrt{k})} \exp\left(-\alpha_2 s^2\right) ds.$$

For  $1 \le k \le N \sim r^2 \theta^2$  and  $r\theta \gg 1$ , we find  $\alpha_3 > 0$  such that  $I_k(r,\theta) \ge \frac{\alpha_3}{rk}$ . Summing up we are led to the inequality

$$\Gamma_{3,3}(x,y) \ge \sum_{k=1}^{N} I_k(r,\theta) \ge \alpha_3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{rk} \ge \alpha_4 \frac{\log(r\theta)}{r}$$

with a constant  $\alpha_4 > 0$  independent of r and of  $\theta$  when  $r\theta \gg 1$ .

(2) Again we use complex notation and consider points x = r,  $y = re^{i\theta}$ ,  $0 < \theta < \pi$ , where now  $r^2\theta \gg 1$ . Then  $K_1(x, y)$  is bounded from below by

$$\int_{\theta-\sqrt{\theta}/r}^{\theta+\sqrt{\theta}/r} t^{-n/2} \exp\left(-4r^2 \sin^2\left|\frac{\theta-t}{2}\right|/t\right) \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha_1}{\theta^{1+n/2}} \int_0^{\sqrt{\theta}/r} \exp\left(-\alpha_2 r^2 t^2/\theta\right) dt$$

$$\geq \frac{\alpha_1}{r\theta^{1/2+n/2}} \int_0^1 e^{-\alpha_2 s^2} ds.$$

Hence  $K_1(x,y) \ge \frac{\alpha_3}{\theta^{n/2-1/2}|x-y|}$ . The kernel  $K_2(x,y)$  can be estimated analogously.

Before proving Theorem 1.1 in Section 3 below we consider the much simpler case q=2, the question of separate estimates for  $u_{\theta}$  and  $\omega \wedge u$  and a variation of (2.10) when the integrals w.r.t. t extend from  $2\pi$  to  $\infty$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Given  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ , n = 2 or n = 3, the solution u of (1.3) given by (2.5) satisfies the estimate

*Proof.* By Plancherel's theorem, Fubini's theorem and the inequality of Cauchy–Schwarz (with  $s = |\xi|$ )

$$\begin{split} \|\Delta u\|_{2}^{2} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} s^{4} \left| \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\nu s^{2}t} O(t)^{T} \hat{f}(O(t)\xi) dt \right|^{2} d\xi \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{2} e^{-\nu s^{2}t} dt \right) \cdot \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{2} e^{-\nu s^{2}t} |\hat{f}(O(t)\xi)|^{2} dt \right) d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} s^{2} e^{-\nu s^{2}t} |\hat{f}(O(t)\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} s^{2} e^{-\nu s^{2}t} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^{2} d\xi \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\nu^{2}} \|f\|_{2}^{2}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore, for any second order partial derivative

$$\|\partial_j \partial_k u\|_2 = \|\xi_j \xi_k \hat{u}\|_2 \le \||\xi|^2 \hat{u}\|_2 = \|\Delta u\|_2 \le \frac{1}{\nu} \|f\|_2.$$

**Remark 2.3.** Inequality (2.10) cannot be improved in the sense that both  $\|\omega \wedge u\|_2$  and  $\|(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u\|_2$  are finite or can even be estimated by  $\|f\|_2$ . In the two-dimensional case let

$$u(x) = u(r,\theta) = a(r)\frac{1}{r}\binom{-\sin\theta}{\cos\theta} = a(r)\frac{1}{r^2}x^{\perp}$$

where  $x^{\perp}$  is obtained from x by rotation with the angle  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  and  $a \in C^{\infty}(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{+}})$  satisfies a=1 for large r and a=0 for  $r\in[0,1)$ . Obviously  $u\in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})^{2}$  is solenoidal,  $|\nabla^{2}u(x)|\sim\frac{1}{r^{3}}$  for large r yielding  $\nabla^{2}u\in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})^{4}$ , supp  $\Delta u\subset\sup a$  and  $\omega\wedge u=\frac{a(r)}{r}\binom{-\cos\theta}{-\sin\theta}=u_{\theta}$ . Consequently  $\omega\wedge u-u_{\theta}\equiv 0$  and the right-hand side  $f=-\nu\Delta u\in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})^{2}$ , but  $|\omega\wedge u|\sim\frac{1}{r}\not\in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})$ . An analogous result holds in  $L^{q}$ -spaces,  $q\neq 2$ , when choosing  $u(x)=a(r)r^{-\lambda}x^{\perp}$  for suitable  $\lambda>0$ .

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$  satisfy the compatibility conditions

(2.11) 
$$f_m(r) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} O(\theta)^T f(r, \theta) d\theta = 0 \quad \text{for a.a. } r > 0.$$

Then one can find a suitable representative u of the unique solution in  $\hat{H}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$  of (1.3) given by Theorem 1.1, satisfying the estimate

$$\|\nabla^2 u\|_q + \|\partial_\theta u\|_q + \|u\|_q \le c\|f\|_q.$$

An analogous result holds for n=3 where (2.11) is replaced by the assumption  $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} O(\theta)^T f(r,\theta,x_3) d\theta = 0$  for a.a.  $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2} > 0$ ,  $x_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* The main idea is to show that the integral mean

$$u_m(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} O(\theta)^T u(r,\theta) d\theta$$

vanishes for a.a. r > 0, for a suitable representative u; for n = 3 the integral mean  $u_m(r, x_3)$  is defined analogously. Then the identity  $O(\theta)\partial_{\theta}(O(\theta)^T u) = \partial_{\theta}u - \omega \wedge u$  and Wirtinger's inequality will imply that

$$||u||_q^q = \int_0^\infty r \int_0^{2\pi} |O(\theta)^T u(r,\theta)|^q d\theta dr$$
  
$$\leq c||\partial_\theta (O(\theta)^T u)||_q^q \leq c||\partial_\theta u - \omega \wedge u||_q^q,$$

and Theorem 1.1(1) will complete the proof for n=2 and also for n=3.

In order to prove that  $u_m(r) \equiv 0$  notice that, for n = 2,  $\widetilde{u}(x) = O(\theta)u_m(r)$  satisfies (1.3) with f replaced by f = 0 since

$$L(\widetilde{u}) = L(O(\theta)u_m(r)) = O(\theta)(Lu)_m(r) = O(\theta)f_m(r) = 0.$$

Furthermore, since  $\widetilde{u} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)^2$ , the proof of Theorem 1.1(2), see Section 3 below, implies that  $\widetilde{u} \in \Pi_1^2$ . Replacing u by  $u - \widetilde{u}$ , we may then assume that  $u_m = 0$ . This argument easily extends to the case n = 3.

**Remark 2.5.** The difficulties in the proof of Theorem 1.1 when estimating  $\Delta u$  with u given by (2.5) arise from the corresponding integrals on  $(0, \varepsilon)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Actually, consider the operator S on  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  given by

$$Sf(x) = \int_{2\pi}^{\infty} (-\Delta)O(t)^T E_t * f(O(t)\cdot)(x)dt,$$

i.e., in Fourier space

$$\widehat{Sf}(\xi) = \int_{2\pi}^{\infty} s^2 e^{-\nu s^2 t} O(t)^T \widehat{f}(O(t)\xi) dt, \quad s = |\xi|.$$

Since O(t) is  $2\pi$ -periodic and  $s^2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-2k\pi\nu s^2} = s^2 e^{-2\pi\nu s^2} (1 - e^{-2\pi\nu s^2})^{-1} =: m(\xi)$ , we get that

$$\widehat{Sf}(\xi) = m(\xi) \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-\nu s^2 t} O(t)^T \widehat{f}(O(t)\xi) dt$$
$$= m(\xi) \mathcal{F}\left(\int_0^{2\pi} O(t)^T E_t * f(O(t)\cdot)(x) dt\right).$$

Obviously  $m(\xi)$  satisfies the classical Michlin-Hörmander multiplier condition, cf. [9], and due to properties of the heat kernel

$$\left\| \int_0^{2\pi} O(t)^T E_t * f(O(t) \cdot)(x) dt \right\|_q \le \int_0^{2\pi} \|f(O(t) \cdot)\|_q dt = 2\pi \|f\|_q.$$

Then multiplier theory yields the estimate  $||Sf||_q \le c||f||_q$  for every  $q \in (1,\infty)$  with a constant c = c(m,q).

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Due to the well-known estimate  $\|\partial_j \partial_k u\|_q \le c \|\Delta u\|_q$ ,  $1 < q < \infty$ ,  $1 \le j, k \le n$ , cf. [9], it suffices to consider only  $\Delta u$ . The main ideas are Littlewood–Paley theory and a decomposition of the integral operator

$$(3.1) Tf(x) = \int_0^\infty (-\Delta)O(t)^T (E_t * f)(O(t)x)dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} K(x,y)f(y)dy$$

in Fourier space where each integral kernel has compact support. Since

$$\mathcal{F}\left(-\Delta O(t)^T (E_t * f)(O(t)\cdot)\right)(\xi) = O(t)^T |\xi|^2 e^{-\nu|\xi|^2 t} \hat{f}(O(t)\xi)$$

define  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

(3.2) 
$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} |\xi|^2 e^{-\nu|\xi|^2} = \widehat{(-\Delta)E_1}$$

and

(3.3) 
$$\psi_t(x) = t^{-n/2}\psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right), \quad \hat{\psi}_t(\xi) = \hat{\psi}(\sqrt{t}\xi) = (2\pi)^{-n/2}t|\xi|^2e^{-\nu t|\xi|^2}.$$

Thus the kernel K(x,y) may be written in the form

(3.4) 
$$K(x,y) = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T \psi_t(O(t)x - y) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

To decompose  $\hat{\psi}_t$  choose  $\widetilde{\varphi}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi} \in C_0^{\infty}(\frac{1}{2}, 2)$  such that  $0 \leq \widetilde{\varphi}$ ,  $\widetilde{\chi} \leq 1$  and

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \widetilde{\chi}(2^{-j}r) = 1, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} \widetilde{\varphi}(sr)^{2} \frac{ds}{s} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all} \quad r > 0.$$

Then define for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , s > 0

$$\hat{\chi}_j(\xi) = \widetilde{\chi}(2^{-j}|\xi|), \quad \hat{\varphi}_s(\xi) = \widetilde{\varphi}(\sqrt{s}|\xi|)$$

yielding

(3.5) 
$$\sup \hat{\chi}_j \subset A(2^{j-1}, 2^{j+1}) := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 2^{j-1} < |\xi| < 2^{j+1} \},$$

$$\sup \hat{\varphi}_s \subset A\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{s}}, \frac{2}{\sqrt{s}}\right);$$

moreover  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_s(x) dx = 0$  and

(3.6) 
$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\chi}_j(\xi) = 1, \quad \int_0^{\infty} \hat{\varphi}_s(\xi)^2 \frac{ds}{s} = 1 \quad (\xi \neq 0).$$

The family of functions  $\{\varphi_s : s > 0\}$  will be used in Littlewood–Paley theory, see I§8.23 in [10], yielding the inequalities

(3.7) 
$$c_1 \|f\|_q \le \left\| \left( \int_0^\infty |\varphi_s * f(\cdot)|^2 \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_q \le c_2 \|f\|_q$$

with constants  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  depending on  $q \in (1, \infty)$ , but independent of  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ . Furthermore we decompose K by defining  $\psi^j \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

(3.8) 
$$\psi^j = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \chi_j * \psi$$
 or equivalently  $\hat{\psi}^j = \hat{\chi}_j \cdot \hat{\psi}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z},$  yielding  $\psi = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_j$  and, cf. (3.4),

(3.9) 
$$K_j(x,y) = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T \psi_t^j(O(t)x - y) \frac{dt}{t}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Given  $K_i$  we define the operator

(3.10) 
$$T_{j}f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{j}(x,y) f(y) dy = \int_{0}^{\infty} O(t)^{T} (\psi_{t}^{j} * f) (O(t)x) \frac{dt}{t}$$

such that formally and even w.r.t to the operator norm topology  $T = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} T_j$ , see the proof below.

**Lemma 3.1.** The functions  $\psi_t^j$  have the following properties:

(1) For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and t > 0

$$\operatorname{supp} \hat{\psi}_t^j \subset A\left(\frac{2^{j-1}}{\sqrt{t}}, \, \frac{2^{j+1}}{\sqrt{t}}\right).$$

(2) For  $m > \frac{n}{2}$  let  $h(x) = (1+|x|^2)^{-m}$  and, cf. (3.3),  $h_t(x) = t^{-n/2} h\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}\right)$ . Then there exists a constant c > 0 independent of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$|\psi^{j}(x)| \le c \, 2^{-2|j|} h_{2^{-2j}}(x)$$
 for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In particular

$$\|\psi^j\|_1 \le c \, 2^{-2|j|}.$$

*Proof.* (1) is obvious due to (3.3), (3.5) and (3.8). To prove (2) we show first of all the pointwise estimate

$$(3.11) |2^{j|\alpha|} \partial^{\alpha} \hat{\psi}^{j}(\xi)| \leq c_{\alpha} 2^{-2|j|} \eta(2^{-j}|\xi|)$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , for all multi-indices  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$  and with a function  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\frac{1}{4},4)$ ,  $0 \le \eta \le 1$ . By the definition of  $\hat{\chi}_j$ , (3.5) and the pointwise estimates

$$|\partial^{\beta} \hat{\psi}(\xi)| \le c_{\beta,N} \begin{cases} |\xi|^{\max(0,2-|\beta|)} &, & |\xi| < 1 \\ |\xi|^{-N} &, & |\xi| \ge 1 \end{cases}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n},$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , cf. (3.2), Leibniz's formula yields the estimate

$$\begin{split} |2^{j|\alpha|}\partial^{\alpha}\hat{\psi}^{j}(\xi)| &\leq c\sum_{0\leq\beta\leq\alpha}2^{j|\alpha|}|\partial^{\alpha-\beta}\widetilde{\chi}(2^{-j}|\xi|)|\;|\partial^{\beta}\hat{\psi}(\xi)|\\ &\leq c\sum_{0\leq\beta\leq\alpha}2^{j|\beta|}\eta(2^{-j}|\xi|)\,|\partial^{\beta}\hat{\psi}(\xi)|. \end{split}$$

For  $j \geq 0$  where only  $|\xi| \sim 2^j$  has to be considered, we get (3.11) immediately, even with  $2^{-N|j|}$  replacing  $2^{-2|j|}$ . For j < 0 and  $|\xi| \sim 2^j < 1$  the right-hand side of the last inequality is bounded by

$$c\sum_{0<\beta<\alpha}\eta(2^{-j}|\xi|)\,2^{j\,\max(|\beta|,2)}\leq c\,2^{-2|j|}\eta(2^{-j|\xi|}).$$

Now (3.11) is proved.

To estimate  $\psi^j(x)$  we use for  $m > \frac{n}{2}$  the identity

$$(1+|2^{j}x|^{2})^{m}\psi^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{n/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} (1-2^{2j}\Delta)^{m} \hat{\psi}_{j}(\xi) e^{ix\cdot\xi} d\xi.$$

By (3.11)

$$|(1-2^{2j}\Delta)^m \hat{\psi}^j(\xi)| \le C_{m,N} 2^{-2|j|} \eta(2^{-j}|\xi|)$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence

$$\|(1 - 2^{2j}\Delta)^m \,\hat{\psi}^j\|_1 \le C_m \, 2^{nj - 2|j|}$$

and consequently  $|(1+|2^jx|^2)^m \psi^j(x)| \le c 2^{nj-2|j|}$  proving Part (2).

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $\mathcal{M}^j$  denote the maximal operator

$$\mathcal{M}^{j}g(x) = \sup_{r>0} \int_{A_{r}} (|\psi_{t}^{j}| * |g|) (O(t)^{T}x) \, \frac{dt}{t}$$

where  $A_r = \left[\frac{r}{16}, 16r\right]$ . Then for  $q \in (2, \infty)$  the operator  $T_j$  satisfies the estimate

$$||T_j f||_q \le c ||\psi^j||_1^{1/2} |||\mathcal{M}^j|||_{(q/2)'}^{1/2} ||f||_q$$

with a constant c > 0 independent of  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The term  $|||\mathcal{M}^j|||_{(q/2)'}$  denotes the operator norm of the sublinear operator  $\mathcal{M}^j$  on  $L^{(q/2)'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , where  $\frac{1}{(q/2)'} + \frac{1}{q/2} = 1$ .

*Proof.* To estimate  $||T_j f||_q$  we use the Littlewood–Paley decomposition (3.7) of  $T_j f$  and find a function  $0 \le g \in L^{(q/2)'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $||g||_{(q/2)'} = 1$  (note that q > 2) such that

$$||T_{j}f||_{q}^{2} \leq \frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} ||\int_{0}^{\infty} |\varphi_{s} * T_{j}f(\cdot)|^{2} \frac{ds}{s} ||_{q/2}$$
$$= \frac{1}{c_{1}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} |\varphi_{s} * T_{j}f|^{2} g \, dx \, \frac{ds}{s}.$$

By (3.9), (3.10)

$$\varphi_s * T_j f(x) = \int_0^\infty O(t)^T (\varphi_s * \psi_t^j * f)(O(t)x) \frac{dt}{t},$$

where due to (3.5)  $\varphi_s * \psi_t^j = 0$  unless  $t \in A(s,j) := [2^{2j-4}s, 2^{2j+4}s]$ . Since  $\int_{t \in A(s,j)} \frac{dt}{t} = \log 2^8$  for every  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , s > 0, the inequality of Cauchy–Schwarz and the associativity of convolutions yield

$$|\varphi_{s} * T_{j} f(x)|^{2} \leq c \int_{A(s,j)} |(\psi_{t}^{j} * (\varphi_{s} * f))(O(t)x)|^{2} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\leq c \|\psi^{j}\|_{1} \int_{A(s,j)} (|\psi_{t}^{j}| * |\varphi_{s} * f|^{2})(O(t)x) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Here we used the inequality

$$|(\psi_t^j * (\varphi_s * f))(y)|^2 \le ||\psi_t^j||_1 (|\psi_t^j| * |\varphi_s * f|^2)(y)$$

and that  $\|\psi_t^j\|_1 = \|\psi^j\|$  for all t > 0. Thus

$$||T_j f||_q^2 \le c||\psi^j||_1 \int_0^\infty \int_{A(s,j)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\psi_t^j| * |\varphi_s * f|^2)(x) g(O(-t)x) dx \frac{dt}{t} \frac{ds}{s}.$$

In the inner integral on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  note that  $\phi = |\psi_t^j|$  is radially symmetric; thus for arbitrary functions f and h we get  $\int (\phi * f) h \, dx = \int f \, \phi * h \, dx$ . Then the elementary identity  $\phi * [g(O(-t)\cdot)] = (\phi * g)(O(-t)\cdot)$  implies that

$$||T_j f||_q^2 \le c \, ||\psi^j||_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_0^\infty |\varphi_s * f|^2(x) \int_{A(s,j)} (|\psi_t^j| * g) (O(-t)x) \, \frac{dt}{t} \, \frac{ds}{s} \, dx.$$

Here the inner integral on A(s, j) is bounded by  $\mathcal{M}^j g(x)$  uniformly in s > 0. Now Hölder's inequality and (3.7) show that

$$||T_{j}f||_{q}^{2} \leq c ||\psi^{j}||_{1} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \left( \int_{0} |\varphi_{s} * f|^{2} \frac{ds}{s} \right)^{q/2} dx \right)^{2/q} ||\mathcal{M}^{j}g||_{(q/2)'}$$

$$\leq cc_{2} ||\psi^{j}||_{1} ||f||_{q}^{2} |||\mathcal{M}^{j}||_{(q/2)'} ||g||_{(q/2)'}.$$

Since  $||g||_{(q/2)'} = 1$ , the proof is complete.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote the classical Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{M}g(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |g(y)| dy,$$

and let  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g$  denote the "angular" maximal operator

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g(x) = \sup_{r>0} \int_{A} |g(O(t)^{T}x)| \frac{dt}{t},$$

where  $A_r = [\frac{r}{16}, 16r]$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}^j$  in Lemma 3.2 satisfies the estimates

$$\mathcal{M}^{j}g(x) \leq c 2^{-2|j|} \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g)(x) \quad \text{for a.a. } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},$$

$$\|\mathcal{M}^{j}g\|_{q} \leq c 2^{-2|j|} \|g\|_{q} \quad \text{for } 1 < q < \infty.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.1 (2)  $|\psi_t^j(x)| \le c 2^{-2|j|} h_{t2^{-2j}}(x)$  and consequently

$$\mathcal{M}^{j}g(x) \le c \, 2^{-2|j|} \sup_{r>0} \int_{A_r} (h_{t2^{-2j}} * |g|) (O(t)^T x) \, \frac{dt}{t}.$$

There exists a constant c > 0 independent of r, j such that  $h_{t2^{-2j}} \le ch_{r2^{-2j}}$  for all  $t \in A_r$ . Hence

$$\mathcal{M}^{j}g(x) \leq c \, 2^{-2|j|} \sup_{r>0} h_{r2^{-2j}} * \int_{A_r} |g|(O(t)^T x) \, \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\leq c \, 2^{-2|j|} \sup_{t>0} h_t * \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g(x).$$

Note that h is a nonnegative, radially decreasing function and that  $\int h_t dx \equiv c_0 > 0$  for all t > 0. Therefore we conclude by II§2.1 in [10] that

$$\sup_{t>0} h_t * \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta} g(x) \le c_0 \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta} g)(x)$$

proving the first assertion.

For  $q \in (1, \infty)$  the maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded on  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Concerning  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}$  we consider for given  $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  its restriction

$$g_r(\theta) = g(r, \theta)$$
 or  $g_{r,x_3}(\theta) = g(r, \theta, x_3)$ 

for n=2 or n=3, resp., when using polar or cylindrical coordinates. For n=2  $g_r(\theta)\in L^q(0,2\pi)$  for a.a. r>0 by Fubini's theorem, and with the classical one-dimensional Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator  $\mathcal{M}_1$  on  $L^q(0,2\pi)$ 

(3.12) 
$$|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g(r,\theta)| \leq c(\mathcal{M}_1g_r)(\theta)$$
 for a.a.  $r > 0$ .

Thus

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\theta}g\|_{q}^{q} \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} r\|\mathcal{M}_{1}g_{r}\|_{L^{q}(0,2\pi)}^{q} dr \leq c \int_{0}^{\infty} r\|g_{r}\|_{L^{q}(0,2\pi)}^{q} dr = c \|g\|_{q}^{q}$$

due to the  $L^q$ -boundedness of  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . For n=3 the proof is analogous.

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (1). Let  $q \in (2, \infty)$ . Then by Lemmata 3.1-3.3

$$||T_j f||_q \le c \, 2^{-|j|} \cdot 2^{-|j|} \, ||f||_q.$$

Thus  $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} T_j$  converges in the  $L^q$ -operator norm and  $T = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} T_j$  is bounded on  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  for q > 2.

Closely related to T is the operator  $T^*f(x) = \int K^*(x,y)f(y)dy$  with kernel

 $K^*(x,y) = \int_0^\infty \psi_t(O(t)y - x)O(t) \, \frac{dt}{t}.$ 

Analogous arguments as before show that  $T^*$  is bounded on  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  for every q > 2. Now let  $q \in (1,2)$ . Then for  $f \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ ,  $g \in L^{q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$ 

$$|\langle Tf, g \rangle| = |\langle f, T^*g \rangle| \le ||f||_q c ||g||_{q'}$$

implying the  $L^q$ -boundedness of T. The case q=2 had been considered in Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1(2). It suffices to prove that every solution  $u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$  of (1.3) when f = 0 and  $\nabla^2 u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$  equals a polynomial of the form  $\alpha \omega + \beta \omega \wedge x + (\gamma x_1, \gamma x_2, \delta x_3)^T$ . Given u define  $\hat{v}(s', \varphi, \xi_3) = O(\varphi)^T \hat{u}(s', \varphi, \xi_3) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$  using cylindrical coordinates for  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $s' = \sqrt{(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)}$ . Then, cf. Section 2,

$$\nu |\xi|^2 \hat{v} - \partial_{\varphi} \hat{v} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^3.$$

Let us show that  $\langle \hat{v}, \psi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\psi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\})^3$ . Given  $\psi$  define

$$\psi_0(s',\varphi,\xi_3) = e^{-\nu|\xi|^2 \varphi} \int_{-\infty}^{\varphi} e^{\nu|\xi|^2 \varphi'} \psi(s',\varphi',\xi_3) \, d\varphi'.$$

Obviously  $\psi_0 \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\})^3$  and  $(\nu |\xi|^2 + \partial_{\varphi})\psi_0 = \psi$ . Consequently

$$\langle \hat{v}, \psi \rangle = \langle \hat{v}, (\nu | \xi |^2 + \partial_{\varphi}) \psi_0 \rangle = \langle (\nu | \xi |^2 - \partial_{\varphi}) \hat{v}, \psi_0 \rangle = 0$$

proving that supp  $\hat{v} \subset \{0\}$  and also supp  $\hat{u} \subset \{0\}$ . Hence u is a polynomial. Since  $\nabla^2 u \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ , u is even affine linear, u(x) = a + Bx for  $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}^{3,3}$ . Then (1.3) with f = 0, i.e.,  $(\omega \wedge x) \cdot \nabla u = \omega \wedge u$ , shows that  $\omega \wedge a = 0$  or equivalently  $a = \alpha \omega$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore Bx must be of the form  $Bx = \beta \omega \wedge x + (\gamma x_1, \gamma x_2, \delta x_3)^T$  with constants  $\beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$ . For n = 2 one easily obtains that a = 0 and  $Bx = \beta \omega \wedge x + \gamma x$ .

Proof of Theorem 1.1(3). As explained in Section 1 problem (1.2) may be reduced to (1.3) by solving the equation

(3.13) 
$$\Delta p = \operatorname{div} f + \nu \Delta g + \partial_{\theta} g = \operatorname{div} F \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^{n}$$

where  $F = f + \nu \nabla g + (\omega \wedge x)g$  satisfies the estimate  $||F||_q \leq c(||f||_q + ||\nu \nabla g + (\omega \wedge x)g||_q)$ . Thus div F may be considered as a continuous linear functional on  $\hat{H}^{1,q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Since the operator  $\Delta$  is easily seen to be an isomorphism from  $\hat{H}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to its dual  $\hat{H}^{1,q'}(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  there exists a unique  $p \in \hat{H}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  solving  $\Delta p = \text{div } F$  and satisfying  $||\nabla p||_q \leq c||F||_q$ . Then Part (1) yields a  $u \in \hat{H}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)^n$  satisfying  $-\nu \Delta u - \partial_\theta u + \omega \wedge u = f - \nabla p$  and the estimate  $||\nabla^2 u||_q + ||\partial_\theta u - \omega \wedge u||_q \leq c(||f||_q + ||\nabla p||_q)$ . In particular  $(-\nu \Delta - \partial_\theta) \text{div } u = \text{div } f - \Delta p$  and consequently  $(-\nu \Delta - \partial_\theta) (\text{div } u - g) = 0$ . By the reasoning of Part (2) we may conclude that div u - g is a polynomial and due to the

integrability assumptions even a constant. Replacing u by  $u - \gamma(x_1, x_2, 0)^T$ , if necessary, we get a solution (u, p) of (1.2) satisfying also div u = g. The uniqueness assertion is proved as in Part (2).

# References

- [1] W. Borchers, Zur Stabilität und Faktorisierungsmethode für die Navier-Stokes-Gleichungen inkompressibler viskoser Flüssigkeiten, Habilitation Thesis, Univ. of Paderborn, 1992.
- [2] Z.M. Chen and T. Miyakawa, Decay properties of weak solutions to a perturbed Navier–Stokes system in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 7 (1997), 741–770, MR 1476275 (98k:35147), Zbl 0893.35092.
- [3] G.P. Galdi, An Introduction to the Mathematical Theory of the Navier-Stokes Equations, Vol. I, Linearized Steady Problems, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy, 38, 2nd edition, 1998, MR 1284205 (95i:35216a), Zbl 0949.35004.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_\_, On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: A mathematical analysis with applications, in 'Handbook of Mathematical Fluid Mechanics' (S. Friedlander and D. Serre, eds.), Elsevier Science, 653–791, 2002, MR 1942470 (2003j:76024).
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_\_, Steady flow of a Navier-Stokes fluid around a rotating obstacle, J. Elasticity, 71 (2003), 1-31.
- [6] T. Hishida, An existence theorem for the Navier-Stokes flow in the exterior of a rotating obstacle, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 150 (1999), 307–348, MR 1741259 (2001b:76024), Zbl 0949.35106.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_\_, The Stokes operator with rotation effect in exterior domains, Analysis, 19 (1999), 51–67, MR 1690643 (2000c:35185), Zbl 0938.35114.
- [8] Š. Nečasova, Some remarks on the steady fall of body in Stokes and Oseen flow. Acad. Sciences Czech Republic Math. Institute Preprint 143, 2001.
- [9] E.M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970, MR 0290095 (44 #7280), Zbl 0207.13501.
- [10] \_\_\_\_\_, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, MR 1232192 (95c:42002), Zbl 0821.42001.

Received July 1, 2003. The second author was supported in part by an Alexander von Humboldt research fellowship, Germany.

Department of Mathematics
Darmstadt University of Technology
Schlossgartenstr. 7
D-64289 Darmstadt
Germany
E-mail address: farwig@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

FACULTY OF ENGINEERING NIIGATA UNIVERSITY NIIGATA 950–2181 JAPAN E-mail address: hishida@eng.niigata-u.ac.jp

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR UNIVERSITÄT KIEL D-24118 KIEL GERMANY

 $\hbox{\it $E$-mail address}: \ {\tt mueller@math.uni-kiel.de}$