

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**MODULAR DIOPHANTINE INEQUALITIES AND NUMERICAL
SEMIGROUPS**

J. C. ROSALES, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND J. M. URBANO-BLANCO

MODULAR DIOPHANTINE INEQUALITIES AND NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

J. C. ROSALES, P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ AND J. M. URBANO-BLANCO

We study the set of integer solutions to the modular diophantine inequality $ax \bmod b \leq x$.

Introduction

Given $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, we set $\lceil x \rceil = \min\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \geq x\}$ and $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq x\}$, as usual. Given integers m, n with $n > 0$, we set $m \bmod n = m - n \lfloor m/n \rfloor$ and $m \bmod (-n) = m \bmod n$. A *modular diophantine inequality* is an expression of the form $ax \bmod b \leq x$ with a, b integers such that $b \neq 0$. Since $ax \bmod b \geq 0$, the set S of solutions to such an inequality is contained in the set \mathbb{N} of nonnegative integers. S is a *numerical semigroup*, that is, S is closed under addition, $0 \in S$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite. Not every numerical semigroup arises from a modular diophantine inequality, and [Section 2](#) presents a procedure for testing numerical semigroups for this property. [Theorem 12](#) is crucial for obtaining this algorithm, and thus [Section 1](#) is devoted to it. One of the main consequences of this theorem is that if the inequalities $ax \bmod b \leq x$ and $cx \bmod d \leq x$ have the same solutions, then

$$b - (a, b) - (a-1, b) = d - (c, d) - (c-1, d),$$

where (x, y) denotes the greatest common divisor of the integers x and y .

A numerical semigroup S is said to be *modular with modulus b and factor a* if $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \bmod b \leq x\}$. The preceding remark ensures that $b - (a, b) - (a-1, b)$ is an invariant of S , which we call the *weight* of S and denote by $w(S)$.

If S is a numerical semigroup, the largest integer not in S is called the *Frobenius number* of S and is denoted by $g(S)$. This integer has been widely studied; see for instance [[Brauer 1942](#); [Brauer and Shockley 1962](#); [Johnson 1960](#); [Selmer 1977](#); [Sylvester 1884](#); [Curtis 1990](#); [Davison 1994](#); [Djawadi and Hofmeister 1996](#)]. In this direction it is worth highlighting [[Ramírez Alfonsín 2000](#); [≥ 2005](#)], where a review of this problem is given, with many references. In the literature one can also find a large number of publications devoted to the study of one-dimensional analytically

Supported by the project BFM2000-1469.

MSC2000: 20M05, 20M14, 20M30, 13H10.

irreducible local domains via their value semigroups, which are numerical semigroups; see, for instance, [Apéry 1946; Barucci et al. 1997; Bertin and Carbonne 1977; Delorme 1976; Fröberg et al. 1987; Kunz 1970; Teissier 1973; Watanabe 1973]. As a consequence of this study, some interesting kinds of numerical semigroups arise, such as symmetric and pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups. In Section 1 we prove that a modular numerical semigroup S is symmetric if and only if $w(S) = g(S)$, and pseudo-symmetric if and only if $g(S) = w(S) + 1$. Sections 3 and 4 are devoted to modular numerical semigroups with modulus equal to their weight plus two and three, respectively. We show that those of weight plus two are obtained from a symmetric numerical semigroup by adjoining its Frobenius number to it, and that those with weight plus three arise from a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup by adding to it its Frobenius number and this number divided by two.

In Section 5 we study those modular numerical semigroups S such that the factor of S divides the modulus. For these numerical semigroups we can explicitly give formulas for the multiplicity, the minimal generator set, the Apéry set and the Frobenius number, so the case $a \mid b$ is now well understood.

Section 6 addresses the problem of computing the Frobenius number in the complementary case $a \nmid b$, solving it when $(a-1)(a - (b \bmod a)) < b$. We have not been able to solve the general case.

1. Modular numerical semigroups

Let a and b be integers such that $b \neq 0$. Since $ax \bmod b = (a \bmod b)x \bmod b$ and $ax \bmod b = ax \bmod (-b)$, in order to study the solutions of $ax \bmod b \leq x$, we can assume that b is a positive integer and that $0 \leq a < b$.

Proposition 1. *The set of integer solutions of a modular diophantine inequality is a numerical semigroup.*

Proof. Let a and b be two integers such that $0 \leq a < b$ and let $S = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \bmod b \leq x\}$. Clearly $0 \in S$, and if x is an integer greater than or equal to b , then $x \in S$. Hence $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is finite. For $x, y \in S$, we have $a(x + y) \bmod b \leq ax \bmod b + ay \bmod b \leq x + y$, whence $x + y \in S$, so S is closed under addition. \square

A numerical semigroup S arising as in the proposition is said to be *modular*. The modular semigroup with modulus b factor a will be denoted by $S(a, b)$; thus $S(a, b) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid ax \bmod b \leq x\}$. When we write $S(a, b)$ we will generally assume tacitly that a and b are integers with $0 \leq a < b$.

Example 2. $S(2, 3) = S(2, 4) = \{0, 2, 3, \rightarrow\}$, where \rightarrow means that all the elements beyond 3 are in the set. Thus a and b don't have to be unique.

The goal of this section is to prove [Theorem 12](#), which counts the natural numbers *absent* from $S(a, b)$. We prepare the ground with some simple results.

Lemma 3. *Let a and b be integers such that $0 \leq a < b$. Then $ax \bmod b \leq x$ if and only if $(b + 1 - a)x \bmod b \leq x$.*

Proof. If $ax \bmod b \leq x$, there exist $q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $ax = qb + r$ with $0 \leq r \leq x$. Hence $(b + 1 - a)x = (b + 1)x - ax = bx - qb + x - r$ and $(b + 1 - a)x \bmod b \leq x - r \leq x$. The converse follows by interchanging a with $b + 1 - a$. □

Lemma 4. *Let S be a modular numerical semigroup with modulus $b \geq 2$. Then there exists a positive integer a such that $a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$ and $S = S(a, b)$.*

Proof. Write $S = S(a, b)$ with $0 \leq a < b$. By [Lemma 3](#), $S = S(b + 1 - a, b)$, so if $a > \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$ we can replace a by $b + 1 - a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$. Also if $a = 0$ we can replace it by $a = 1$, since $S = \mathbb{N}$ for both these values of a . □

Lemma 5. *Let a and b be integers such that $0 \leq a < b$ and let $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$a(b - x) \bmod b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } ax \bmod b = 0, \\ b - (ax \bmod b) & \text{if } ax \bmod b \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and $ax \bmod b > x$ implies that $a(b - x) \bmod b < b - x$. □

Corollary 6. *If $S = S(a, b)$ and $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S$, then $b - x \in S$.* □

Given a subset A of \mathbb{N} , we denote by $H(A)$ the complement $\mathbb{N} \setminus A$, and by $\langle A \rangle$ the submonoid of \mathbb{N} generated by A (the set of finite sums of elements of A).

Remark 7. If $S = S(a, b) \neq \mathbb{N}$ for positive a and b , then $b - 1 \notin H(S)$, since otherwise $b - (b - 1) = 1$ would be an element of S . Moreover $x \in S$ for all integers $x \geq b$. Therefore the Frobenius number $g(S)$ is at most $b - 2$.

We now characterize the case $g(S) = b - 2$. If $g(S) = b - 2$, [Corollary 6](#) implies that $b - (b - 2) = 2 \in S$. Hence b is odd and $S = \langle 2, b \rangle$. In addition, since $2 \in S$, $2a \bmod b \leq 2$ and this leads to $2a > b$, whence $a > \frac{1}{2}b$. But [Lemma 4](#) says we can take $a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$, which then means $a = \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$. Hence $S = S(\frac{1}{2}(b + 1), b)$. Conversely, if $S = S(\frac{1}{2}(b + 1), b)$ with b odd, it is easy to check that $S = \langle 2, b \rangle$ and thus $g(S) = b - 2$.

Example 8. Suppose $b \geq 2$ and $S = S(2, b)$. Then $S = \{0, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(b+1) \rfloor, \rightarrow\}$. For clearly $\{b, \rightarrow\} \subseteq S$. Now take $0 < x < b$. Then $x \in S$ if and only if $2x \bmod b \leq x$. However, $2x \bmod b = 2x$ if and only if $2x < b$, and thus in this case $x \notin S$. If $2x \geq b$, then $2x \bmod b = 2x - b \leq x$, whence $x \in S$.

Lemma 9. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ and let x be an integer such that $0 \leq x \leq b$. Then x and $b - x$ are both in S if and only if $ax \bmod b \in \{0, x\}$.*

Proof. If $ax \bmod b \notin \{0, x\}$, [Lemma 5](#) gives $a(b-x) \bmod b = b - (ax \bmod b)$. If $x \in S$, the right-hand side exceeds $b-x$ (since $ax \bmod b < x$). Thus $b-x \notin S$.

Conversely, if $ax \bmod b = 0$, clearly $x \in S$ and also $b-x \in S$ by [Lemma 5](#); whereas if $ax \bmod b = x \neq 0$, again $x \in S$, and [Lemma 5](#) gives $a(b-x) \bmod b = b - (ax \bmod b) = b-x$, so $b-x \in S$. □

Lemma 10. *Let a and b be positive integers and x an integer such that $0 \leq x < b$.*

- (1) $ax \bmod b = 0$ if and only if x is a multiple of $b/(a, b)$.
- (2) $ax \bmod b = x$ if and only if x is a multiple of $b/(b, a-1)$. □

Lemma 11. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ with $0 < a < b$. Let $\alpha = (b, a-1)$ and $\beta = (b, a)$, and let x be an integer such that $0 \leq x \leq b$. Then*

$$\{x, b-x\} \subset S \iff x \in \left\{0, \frac{b}{\alpha}, 2\frac{b}{\alpha}, \dots, (\alpha-1)\frac{b}{\alpha}, \frac{b}{\beta}, 2\frac{b}{\beta}, \dots, (\beta-1)\frac{b}{\beta}, b\right\} =: X.$$

The cardinality of X is $\alpha + \beta$.

Proof. The equivalence is just [Lemmas 9](#) and [10](#) put together. To show there is no duplication in the elements of X as written, note that $(\alpha, \beta) = 1$. If $sb/\alpha = tb/\beta$ for some $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$, then $s\beta = t\alpha = k\alpha\beta$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $s = k\alpha$ and $t = k\beta$. □

Theorem 12. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ for some integers $0 \leq a < b$. Then*

$$\#H(S) = \frac{b + 1 - (a, b) - (a-1, b)}{2}.$$

Here as usual $\#$ denotes cardinality.

Proof. Let α, β and X be as in [Lemma 11](#). By [Corollary 6](#) and [Lemma 11](#), for $0 \leq x \leq b$, at most one of $x, b-x$ lies in $H(S)$, and it's exactly one unless $x \in X$. Thus $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(b + 1 - \#X) = \frac{1}{2}(b + 1 - \alpha - \beta)$. □

Example 13. If p is an odd prime, $\#H(S(a, p)) = \frac{1}{2}(p-1)$ for all a with $1 < a < p$.

As an immediate consequence of [Theorem 12](#) we obtain:

Corollary 14. *Suppose $S(a, b) = S(c, d)$. Then*

$$b - (a, b) - (a-1, b) = d - (c, d) - (c-1, d).$$

Example 15. The converse of [Corollary 14](#) is false. For instance, $\langle 4, 5, 6 \rangle = S(3, 12) \neq S(2, 10) = \langle 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$.

Recall that we have defined the *weight* of $S = S(a, b)$ as $w(S) := b - (a, b) - (a-1, b)$; by [Theorem 12](#), this number equals $2\#H(S) - 1$, and so is an invariant of S . Note that $w(\mathbb{N}) = -1$. If $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, we can choose a, b with $2 \leq a < b$; hence $(a, b) + (a-1, b) \leq \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{3}b < b$, so $w(S) \geq 1$. Thus, like the Frobenius number, the

weight of a modular numerical semigroup is at least 1, except for the case $S = \mathbb{N}$, where $w(S) = g(S) = -1$.

Theorem 12 and the inequality $\#H(S) \geq \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + 1)$, valid for *any* numerical semigroup S (see [Fröberg et al. 1987], for instance), yield:

Corollary 16. *If S is a modular numerical semigroup, then $w(S)$ is odd and greater than or equal to $g(S)$.* □

In view of this, modular numerical semigroups S with $w(S) = g(S)$ and $g(S)$ odd, or with $w(S) = g(S) + 1$ and $g(S)$ even, have minimal possible weight with respect to their Frobenius numbers. The next result characterizes this kind of numerical semigroup, but before proving it we need to recall some concepts.

A numerical semigroup S is *symmetric* if $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S$ implies $g(S) - x \in S$. It is straightforward to prove that a symmetric numerical semigroup has odd Frobenius number. A numerical semigroup is *pseudo-symmetric* if $g(S)$ is even and $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S$ implies that either $x = g(S)/2$ or $g(S) - x \in S$. A numerical semigroup S is symmetric if and only if $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + 1)$, and pseudo-symmetric if and only if $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + 2)$; see [Fröberg et al. 1987], for instance.

A numerical semigroup is *irreducible* if it cannot be expressed as the intersection of two numerical semigroups containing it properly. In [Rosales and Branco 2003] it is shown that S is irreducible if and only if S is symmetric or pseudo-symmetric (depending on the parity of $g(S)$).

Corollary 17. *Let S be a modular numerical semigroup.*

- (1) *S is symmetric if and only if $w(S) = g(S)$.*
- (2) *S is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $w(S) = g(S) + 1$.*

Proof. S is symmetric if and only if $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + 1)$. By **Theorem 12**, $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(w(S) + 1)$, whence S is symmetric if and only if $g(S) = w(S)$. The proof of (2) is analogous. □

Example 18. If b is an odd integer, there exists a modular numerical semigroup S with $w(S) = b$. It suffices to take $S = S(2, b + 2)$, since $w(S(2, b + 2)) = b + 2 - (2, b + 2) - (1, b + 2) = b + 2 - 1 - 1 = b$.

2. Determining whether a numerical semigroup is modular

In this section we give a procedure for deciding whether a given numerical semigroup is a modular numerical semigroup, and if so to express it in the form $S(a, b)$.

Lemma 19. *Let S be a modular numerical semigroup with modulus b and $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Then $b \leq 12 \#H(S) - 6$.*

Proof. As we saw right after **Example 15**, if $a \geq 2$ we have $(a, b) + (a - 1, b) \leq \frac{5}{6}b$. By **Theorem 12**, $\#H(S) \geq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1 - \frac{5}{6}b)$ and thus $b \leq 12 \#H(S) - 6$. □

For a numerical semigroup S , the *multiplicity* of S , denoted by $m(S)$, is the least positive integer in S . Here is an immediate consequence of [Lemma 11](#):

Lemma 20. For $S = S(a, b)$,

$$b - m(S) \in S \iff m(S) = \min \left\{ \frac{b}{(a, b)}, \frac{b}{(a-1, b)} \right\}.$$

Lemma 21. Let S be a modular numerical semigroup with modulus b . Then

$$b \geq g(S) + m(S).$$

Proof. Since $1, 2, \dots, m(S)-1$ are not in S , [Corollary 6](#) ensures that $b-m(S)+1, \dots, b-1$ are. But $\{b, m(S)\} \subset S$, so $\{b - m(S) + 1, \rightarrow\} \subseteq S$. This implies that $g(S) \leq b - m(S)$. □

Lemma 22. For $S = S(a, b)$,

$$b = g(S) + m(S) \iff m(S) \neq \min \left\{ \frac{b}{(a, b)}, \frac{b}{(a-1, b)} \right\}.$$

Proof. Follows from [Lemmas 20](#) and [21](#). □

Now we have all the ingredients to give the algorithm announced at the start of this section, to decide whether a numerical semigroup is of the form $S(a, b)$, and if so, produce such a pair (a, b) (or all such pairs with $a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1)$, if the algorithm is not stopped after the first pair is found).

Algorithm 23. Given a numerical semigroup S distinct from \mathbb{N} :

(1) Compute $\#H(S)$, $g(S)$ and $m(S)$.

(2) Set $b = g(S) + m(S)$.

(3) For every $a \in A := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{N} \left| \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1), \\ b = 2\#H(S) + (a, b) + (a-1, b) - 1, \\ m(S) < \min\{b/(a, b), b/(a-1, b)\} \end{array} \right. \right\}$

compute $S(a, b)$; if $S = S(a, b)$, return this answer and stop.

(4) Compute $B = \{b \in \{k \cdot m(S) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \mid 2\#H(S) + 1 \leq b \leq 12\#H(S) - 6\}$.

(5) For every $b \in B$

for every $a \in A_b := \left\{ a \in \mathbb{N} \left| \begin{array}{l} 2 \leq a \leq \frac{1}{2}(b + 1), \\ b = 2\#H(S) + (a, b) + (a-1, b) - 1, \\ m(S) = \min\{b/(a, b), b/(a-1, b)\} \end{array} \right. \right\}$

compute $S(a, b)$; if $S = S(a, b)$, return this answer and stop.

(6) Return “ S is not modular”.

We briefly justify the correctness of [Algorithm 23](#). In Steps (2) and (3) we check whether S is a modular numerical semigroup with modulus $g(S) + m(S)$, and the correct working of these steps relies on [Lemmas 4 and 22](#) and [Theorem 12](#). If S is not a modular numerical semigroup with modulus $g(S) + m(S)$, [Lemma 22](#) gives $m(S) = \min\{b/(a, b), b/(a-1, b)\}$. This implies that $m(S)$ divides b . [Theorem 12](#) states that $b = 2 \#H(S) + (a, b) + (a-1, b) - 1$, so $b \geq 2 \#H(S) + 1$; at the same time $b \leq 12 \#H(S) - 6$ by [Lemma 19](#). Therefore Steps (4) and (5) cover the case $b \neq g(S) + m(S)$.

Example 24. Let $S = \langle 3, 5 \rangle$. Then $\#H(S) = 4$, $g(S) = 7$ and $m(S) = 3$. In Step (2) we get $b = 10$. Step (3) yields $A = \{2, 3, 4\}$, then $S(2, 10) = \langle 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 \rangle$, $S(3, 10) = \langle 4, 5, 7 \rangle$, and $S(4, 10) = \langle 3, 5 \rangle = S$, so the algorithm returns $S = S(4, 10)$.

Example 25. Let $S = \langle 3, 8, 10 \rangle$. In this case $\#H(S) = 5$, $g(S) = 7$ and $m(S) = 3$. In Step (2) we obtain $b = 10$ and in Step (3), $A = \emptyset$. The only nonempty set A_b with $b \in B$ is $A_{15} = \{5\}$. Since $S \neq S(5, 15) = \langle 3, 7, 11 \rangle$, the algorithm returns No.

Example 26. Let $S = \langle 10, 11, 12 \rangle$. Then $\#H(S) = 25$, $g(S) = 49$ and $m(S) = 10$. In Step (2) we obtain $b = 59$ and A is empty. Computing B , we obtain

$$B = \{60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, 120, 130, 140, 150, 160, 170, 180, \\ 190, 200, 210, 220, 230, 240, 250, 260, 270, 280, 290\}.$$

The only nonempty set A_b with $b \in B$ is $A_{60} = \{6\}$. It turns out that $S = S(6, 60)$.

Remark 27. If the input to [Algorithm 23](#) is known to be symmetric, the procedure can be improved, because if $S = S(a, b)$ is symmetric then b must be equal to $g(S) + (a, b) + (a-1, b)$ (note that $w(S) = g(S)$ by [Corollary 17](#)). A similar argument applies to the pseudo-symmetric case.

Remark 28. The intersection $\bigcap_{i=1}^n S(a_i, b_i)$ of $n \geq 1$ modular numerical semigroups is a numerical semigroup; it need not be modular, as can be seen from [Example 25](#), since we can write $\langle 3, 8, 10 \rangle = \langle 3, 4 \rangle \cap \langle 3, 5 \rangle = S(3, 8) \cap S(4, 10)$.

Nor can every numerical semigroup be written as such an intersection: for instance, $\langle 7, 8, 10, 13 \rangle$ is a symmetric, hence irreducible, numerical semigroup; thus it cannot be an intersection of modular numerical semigroups other than by being itself a modular numerical semigroup. [Algorithm 23](#) says that it is not.

3. Modular numerical semigroups whose modulus is its weight plus two

We now study modular numerical semigroups $S = S(a, b)$ whose modulus b equals $w(S) + 2$. Since $b = w(S) + (a, b) + (a-1, b) \geq w(S) + 2$, the condition $b = w(S) + 2$ is equivalent to $(a, b) = (a-1, b) = 1$ (so b is odd), and it characterizes modular numerical semigroups whose moduli are minimal with respect to their weights.

Every numerical semigroup S is finitely generated (as an additive monoid). This is easy to see — for instance, start with two relatively prime $r, s \in S$ and then adjoin all elements of $S \cap \{0, 1, \dots, rs - 1\}$ as yet unaccounted for. Among all generating sets one can of course choose one that is *minimal*, say $\mathcal{M}(S)$. A minute’s thought shows that $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is characterized by containing exactly those nonzero elements of S that cannot be expressed as a sum of two nonzero elements of S :

$$\mathcal{M}(S) = (S \setminus \{0\}) \setminus ((S \setminus \{0\}) + (S \setminus \{0\})).$$

In particular, $\mathcal{M}(S)$ is unique. We set $e(S) = \#\mathcal{M}(S)$ and call this number the *embedding dimension* of S ; the elements of $\mathcal{M}(S)$ are called *minimal generators*.

Proposition 29. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ with $2 \leq a < b$ and $(a, b) = (a - 1, b) = 1$. Then*

- (1) $b = g(S) + m(S)$,
- (2) $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S) - 1)$,
- (3) b is the largest minimal generator of S .

Proof. (1) We already know that $b - 1 \in S$ when $2 \leq a < b$. Hence $m(S) \neq b$. Using [Lemma 22](#), we get $b = g(S) + m(S)$.

(2) Immediate from [Theorem 12](#).

(3) First we prove that b is a minimal generator of S . Assume to the contrary that $b = x + y$ with $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Then $ax \bmod b \leq x$ and $ay \bmod b \leq y$, and thus $(ax \bmod b) + (ay \bmod b) \leq x + y = b$. Since $a(x + y) \bmod b = ab \bmod b = 0$, we deduce that $(ax \bmod b) + (ay \bmod b) \in \{0, b\}$. Thus either $ax \bmod b = x$ and $ay \bmod b = y$, or $ax \bmod b = 0$ and $ay \bmod b = 0$. These two cases contradict the two halves of [Lemma 10](#).

To see that b is the largest minimal generator, take $x \in S$ with $x > b$. By applying (1) we obtain $x > g(S) + m(S)$, which implies that $x - m(S) > g(S)$; this forces $x - m(S) \in S$. Thus $x = m(S) + (x - m(S))$ cannot be a minimal generator of S . \square

[Proposition 29](#) allows us to relate the modular numerical semigroups in question with *unitary extensions of symmetric numerical semigroups* or *UESY-semigroups* in short. A numerical semigroup S is a UESY-semigroup if there exists a symmetric numerical semigroup S' such that $S' \subset S$ and $\#(S \setminus S') = 1$. In [[Rosales \$\geq\$ 2005b](#)] this condition is shown to be equivalent to the existence of a symmetric numerical semigroup S' such that $S = S' \cup \{g(S')\}$. The following result also appears there.

Proposition 30. *Let S be a numerical semigroup, $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a UESY-semigroup.
- (2) $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S) - 1)$ and $g(S) + m(S)$ is a minimal generator of S . \square

A *pseudo-Frobenius number* [Rosales and Branco 2002] of a numerical semigroup S is an integer $x \notin S$ such that $x + s \in S$ for all $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$. The set of pseudo-Frobenius numbers of S is denoted by $\text{Pg}(S)$, and its cardinality, called the *type* of S , is denoted by $t(S)$. Clearly $g(S) \in \text{Pg}(S)$. Moreover S is symmetric if and only if $\text{Pg}(S) = \{g(S)\}$, and S is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $\text{Pg}(S) = \{g(S), \frac{1}{2}g(S)\}$; see [Barucci et al. 1997; Fröberg et al. 1987], for instance.

In [Rosales \geq 2005b] it is proved that if S is a UESY-semigroup distinct from \mathbb{N} , then $t(S) = e(S) - 1$. This, plus Propositions 29 and 30, gives:

Corollary 31. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ be such that $2 \leq a < b$ and $(a, b) = (a - 1, b) = 1$. Then $t(S) = e(S) - 1$ and there exists a symmetric numerical semigroup S' such that $S = S' \cup \{g(S')\}$. \square*

Theorem 32. *Let $S = S(a, b)$. Then $b = w(S) + 2$ if and only if S is a UESY-semigroup and b is a minimal generator of S .*

Proof. If $b = w(S) + 2 = b - (a, b) - (a - 1, b) + 2$, we deduce $(a, b) = (a - 1, b) = 1$.

Corollary 31 then says that S is a UESY-semigroup, and Proposition 29 that b is a minimal generator of S .

Conversely, if b is a minimal generator of S it equals $g(S) + m(S)$, by Lemma 21 and the fact, shown in the proof of Proposition 29, that a minimal generator of S cannot exceed $g(S) + m(S)$. If S is a UESY, then, $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S) - 1)$ by Proposition 30 and $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(w(S) + 1)$ by Theorem 12. Thus $b = w(S) + 2$. \square

Corollary 33. *Let S be a modular numerical semigroup with modulus b . Then $b = w(S) + 2$ if and only if $S \setminus \{b\}$ is a symmetric numerical semigroup. Therefore, if b is a prime integer, $S \setminus \{b\}$ is a symmetric numerical semigroup.*

Proof. If $b = w(S) + 2$, Theorem 32 says b is a minimal generator of S , so $S' = S \setminus \{b\}$ is a numerical semigroup with $g(S') = b$. By Corollary 6, S' is symmetric.

Conversely, if $S \setminus \{b\}$ is a symmetric numerical semigroup, then S is a UESY-semigroup with b as a minimal generator. Now Theorem 32 gives $b = w(S) + 2$.

Finally, b prime implies $(a, b) = (a - 1, b) = 1$, so $w(S) = b - 2$. \square

Corollary 34. *Let $b \geq 3$ be an integer. Then b is prime if and only if b is the largest minimal generator of $S(a, b)$ for every a such that $2 \leq a \leq \sqrt{b}$.*

Proof. If b is prime Proposition 29 applies; this proves one direction. Conversely, suppose b is not a prime — say $b = ac$ with integers $a, c \geq 2$ and $a \leq \sqrt{b}$. For $S = S(a, b)$, we have $ac \bmod b = 0$ and thus $c \in S$. But then $b = ac$ cannot be a minimal generator of S . \square

4. Modular numerical semigroups whose modulus is its weight plus three

We now study modular numerical semigroups $S = S(a, b)$ such that $b = w(S) + 3$; this condition is equivalent to $(a, b) + (a - 1, b) = 3$. There are two cases:

- $(a, b) = 1$ and $(a - 1, b) = 2$.
- $(a, b) = 2$ and $(a - 1, b) = 1$.

In both situations b must be even and by [Corollary 6](#) we deduce that $\frac{1}{2}b \in S$.

Let S be a numerical semigroup with minimal generating set $\{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$. We say that $x \in S$ has a *unique expression* if the equality $x = a_1n_1 + \dots + a_pn_p$, with $a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{N}$, determines a_1, \dots, a_p uniquely.

Proposition 35. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ be such that $2 \leq a < b$ and $(a, b) + (a - 1, b) = 3$.*

- (1) $m(S) \neq \frac{1}{2}b \Leftrightarrow S \neq \{0, \frac{1}{2}b, \rightarrow\} \Leftrightarrow b = g(S) + m(S) \Leftrightarrow \#H(S) = \frac{g(S) + m(S) - 2}{2}$.
- (2) $\frac{1}{2}b$ is a minimal generator of S .
- (3) b has a unique expression in S .

Proof. (1) Follows easily from [Corollary 6](#), [Lemma 22](#) and [Theorem 12](#).

(2) Suppose $x + y = \frac{1}{2}b$ with $x, y \in S$. Then $ax \bmod b \leq x$ and $ay \bmod b \leq y$, whence $ax \bmod b + ay \bmod b \leq x + y = \frac{1}{2}b$. Thus $\frac{1}{2}ab \bmod b = a(x + y) \bmod b = ax \bmod b + ay \bmod b$. We must show that $x = 0$ or $y = 0$. We distinguish two cases. If $(a, b) = 2$, then $\frac{1}{2}ab \bmod b = 0$, so $ax \bmod b = 0$ and $ay \bmod b = 0$; then [Lemma 10](#) shows that both x and y are multiples of $\frac{1}{2}b$, which leads to the desired conclusion. Similarly, if $(a - 1, b) = 2$, then $\frac{1}{2}ab \bmod b = \frac{1}{2}b$, so $ax \bmod b = x$ and $ay \bmod b = y$; [Lemma 10](#) again shows that x and y are multiples of $\frac{1}{2}b$.

(3) We prove that if $x, y \in S \setminus \{0\}$ are such that $x + y = b$, then $x = y = \frac{1}{2}b$. Arguing as in the proof of [Proposition 29\(3\)](#), we see that either $(ax \bmod b, ay \bmod b) = (x, y)$ or $ax \bmod b = ay \bmod b = 0$. [Lemma 10](#) implies that x and y are both multiples of $\frac{1}{2}b$, and since $x \neq 0 \neq y$, we conclude that $x = y = \frac{1}{2}b$. □

Paralleling what we did in [Section 3](#) for the case $b = w(S) + 2$, we can use [Proposition 35](#) to relate modular numerical semigroups such that $b = w(S) + 3$ with a previous studied class of numerical semigroups. A numerical semigroup S is called a *PESPY-semigroup* if there exists a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup S' such that $S = S' \cup \{\frac{1}{2}g(S'), g(S')\}$ (the two additional elements are the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of S' ; see [[Barucci et al. 1997](#); [Fröberg et al. 1987](#)]).

Numerical semigroups of the form $\{0, x, \rightarrow\}$ with x a positive integer are called *intervals*. The following result appears in [[Rosales \$\geq\$ 2005a](#)].

Proposition 36. *Let S be a numerical semigroup that is not an interval. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) S is a *PEPSY-semigroup*.
- (2) $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S) - 2)$, $\frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S))$ is a minimal generator of S and $g(S) + m(S)$ is an element of unique expression of S . □

The next result is an immediate consequence of Propositions 35 and 36.

Corollary 37. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ be such that $2 \leq a < b$, $(a, b) + (a-1, b) = 3$ and S is not an interval. Then S is a PEPSY-semigroup.*

In [Rosales \geq 2005a] it is proved that if S is a PEPSY-semigroup that is not an interval, then $t(S) = e(S) - 1$. Thus:

Corollary 38. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ be such that $2 \leq a < b$, $(a, b) + (a-1, b) = 3$ and S is not an interval. Then $t(S) = e(S) - 1$.*

Remark 39. Among numerical semigroups, interval semigroups have maximal embedding dimension relative to multiplicity: $e(S) = m(S)$. For any numerical semigroup with maximal embedding dimension, $t(S) = m(S) - 1 = e(S) - 1$ (see [Barucci et al. 1997], for instance). Hence the assumption “ S is not an interval” can be dropped from Corollary 38.

Theorem 40. *Assume that $S = S(a, b)$ is not an interval. Then $b = w(S) + 3$ if and only if S is a PEPSY-semigroup, $\frac{1}{2}b$ is a minimal generator of S and b has a unique expression in S .*

Proof. Necessity follows from Corollary 37 and Proposition 35. Sufficiency: Lemma 21 says that $b \geq g(S) + m(S)$. If $b > g(S) + m(S)$, then $m(S) + (b - m(S))$ and $\frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}b$ are distinct expressions for b in S ($m(S) \neq \frac{1}{2}b$ since otherwise S is an interval, by Corollary 6). Therefore $b = g(S) + m(S)$. By Proposition 36, we know that $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(g(S) + m(S) - 2)$ and Theorem 12 ensures that $\#H(S) = \frac{1}{2}(w(S) + 1)$, whence $b = g(S) + m(S) = w(S) + 3$. \square

Corollary 41. *Let S be a modular numerical semigroup with modulus b . Then $b = w(S) + 3$ if and only if $S \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}b, b\}$ is a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup. Therefore, if $b = 2p$ and $a < p$ for some positive prime p , then $S \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}b, b\}$ is a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup.*

Proof. Suppose $b = w(S) + 3$. By Theorem 40, $\frac{1}{2}b$ is a minimal generator of S and b has a unique expression in S . This implies that $S' = S \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}b, b\}$ is a numerical semigroup, and clearly $g(S') = b$. Using Corollary 6 we can easily deduce that S' is pseudo-symmetric.

Conversely, if $S \setminus \{\frac{1}{2}b, b\}$ is a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup, then S is a PEPSY-semigroup by definition, $\frac{1}{2}b$ is a minimal generator of S and $b = \frac{1}{2}b + \frac{1}{2}b$ is the unique expression of b in S . Thus $b = w(S) + 3$ by Theorem 40. \square

5. When the factor divides the modulus

We next focus on numerical semigroups of the form $S = S(a, ab)$, where we may as well assume $a, b > 1$. First a general definition: given a numerical semigroup

S and $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$, the Apéry set of n in S [Apéry 1946] is

$$\text{Ap}(S, n) = \{s \in S \mid s - n \notin S\}.$$

This set always has n elements $w(0) = 0, w(1), \dots, w(n-1)$, where $w(i)$ is the least element congruent to i modulo n . Note also that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an element of S if and only if $x \geq w(x \bmod n)$. Consequently

$$(*) \quad g(S) = \max(\text{Ap}(S, n)) - n.$$

The following result is a consequence of [Rosales 1996, Lemma 3.3] and gives a characterization of Apéry sets which will be useful later.

Lemma 42. *Let $m > 0$ be an integer and let $X = \{0 = w(0), w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$ be a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $i < w(i) \equiv i \pmod m$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$. Let S be the submonoid of \mathbb{N} generated by $X \cup \{m\}$. Then S is a numerical semigroup with multiplicity m . Moreover, $\text{Ap}(S, m) = X$ if and only if for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m-1\}$ there exist $k \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $w(i) + w(j) = w(k) + tm$. \square*

Getting back to $S = S(a, ab)$, with $a, b > 1$, we will give a description of the particular Apéry set $\text{Ap}(S, m(S))$ in terms of a, b , and this will lead to an explicit formula for the Frobenius number of S . We also show how the minimal generating set for such numerical semigroups can be computed from a and b as well as the corresponding sets of pseudo-Frobenius numbers.

Lemma 43. $m(S(a, ab)) = b$.

Proof. Let $S = S(a, ab)$ and let $x \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$. Then $ax < ab$ and thus $ax \bmod ab = ax > x$, whence $x \notin S$. Clearly $b \in S$ and consequently $m(S) = b$. \square

Theorem 44. $\text{Ap}(S(a, ab), b) = \{0, k_1b + 1, k_2b + 2, \dots, k_{b-1}b + b - 1\}$, where $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$.

Proof. Let S' be the semigroup generated by $\{b, k_1b + 1, \dots, k_{b-1}b + b - 1\}$. Since $k_i \geq 1$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ we have $m(S') = b$. Clearly $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_{b-1}$ and $k_i + k_j \geq k_{i+j}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ with $2 \leq i + j \leq b-1$. Using Lemma 42, we deduce that $\text{Ap}(S', b) = \{0, k_1b + 1, \dots, k_{b-1}b + b - 1\}$. Recall that $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ belongs to S' if and only if $x \geq k_{x \bmod b}b + x \bmod b$, since this latter number is the element in $\text{Ap}(S', b)$ that is congruent to x modulo b . So, for x an integer we have $x \in S' \iff \lfloor x/b \rfloor \geq k_{x \bmod b} \iff \lfloor x/b \rfloor \geq \lceil (a-1)(x \bmod b)/b \rceil \iff \lfloor x/b \rfloor \geq (a-1)(x \bmod b)/b \iff \lfloor x/b \rfloor b \geq (a-1)(x \bmod b) \iff x - (x \bmod b) \geq (a-1)(x \bmod b) \iff a(x \bmod b) \leq x \iff ax \bmod ab \leq x$. Thus $S' = S(a, ab)$. \square

Using this result and equality (*) with $n = m(S)$, we obtain:

Corollary 45. $g(S(a, ab)) = \lceil (b-1)(a-1)/b \rceil b - 1$.

Particularizing the formula given in [Theorem 12](#) for the case at hand, we get

$$\#H(S(a, ab)) = \frac{a(b-1) - (a-1, b) + 1}{2}.$$

Minimal generators. We next turn our attention to the minimal generating set $\{n_0 < n_1 < \dots < n_p\}$ of $S(a, ab)$. We know that $n_0 = b$, by [Lemma 43](#); our goal is to describe the remaining minimal generators.

Lemma 46. *Let x and y be positive integers. Then $\lceil x/b \rceil + \lceil y/b \rceil = \lceil (x+y)/b \rceil$ if and only if $x \equiv 0 \pmod b$ or $y \equiv 0 \pmod b$ or $(x \bmod b) + (y \bmod b) > b$. \square*

Remark 47. If S is any numerical semigroup and $m \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then S is generated by $X = (\text{Ap}(S, m) \setminus \{0\}) \cup \{m\} = \{m, w(1), \dots, w(m-1)\}$, and the minimal generating set of S is $X \setminus (X + X)$. Now, in the case of $S = S(a, ab)$, [Theorem 44](#) says that $\text{Ap}(S, b) = \{0, k_1b + 1, \dots, ak_{b-1}b + b - 1\}$, with $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$. Thus $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $k_t \neq k_i + k_{t-i}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$.

Lemma 48. *Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$, set $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ and take $t \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$.*

- (i) *If $t < b/(a-1, b)$, then $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $(a-1)i \bmod b < (a-1)t \bmod b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$.*
- (ii) *If $t > b/(a-1, b)$, then $k_t b + t$ is not a minimal generator of S .*
- (iii) *If $t = b/(a-1, b)$, then $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S .*

Proof. Using [Lemma 46](#) and [Remark 47](#), we see that $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $(a-1)i \not\equiv 0 \pmod b$ and $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b \leq b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$. Observe that

$$(\dagger) \quad \frac{b}{(a-1, b)} = \frac{\text{lcm}(a-1, b)}{a-1} = \min\{i \mid (a-1)i \bmod b = 0\}.$$

(i) From the foregoing we deduce that if $t < b/(a-1, b)$, then $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b \leq b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$. If $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b = b$, then $(a-1)t \bmod b = 0$, which is impossible in view of (\dagger) , since $t < b/(a-1, b)$. Hence $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ one has $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b < b$, which is equivalent to $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b = (a-1)t \bmod b$. Since $(a-1)(t-i) \bmod b \neq 0$, we conclude that $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $(a-1)i \bmod b < (a-1)t \bmod b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$.

(ii) Let $i = b/(a-1, b)$. Then $(a-1)i \equiv 0 \pmod b$ and in view of [Lemma 46](#) we get $k_i + k_{t-i} = k_t$, which implies that $k_t b + b$ is not a minimal generator of S .

(iii) In this setting $(a-1)t \bmod b = 0$ and $(a-1)i \bmod b \neq 0$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$. Hence for every $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$ one gets $(a-1)i \bmod b + (a-1)(t-i) \bmod b = b$, and by [Lemma 46](#) we deduce that $k_i \neq k_i + k_{t-i}$ for any $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$. Therefore $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S . \square

[Lemma 48](#) yields an explicit description of the minimal generating set of S :

Theorem 49. *Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$, and set $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$.*

- (1) *If $(b, a-1) = 1$, the minimal generating set of S is $\{b, k_{t_1}b + t_1, \dots, k_{t_r}b + t_r\}$, where $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\} = \{t \in \{1, \dots, b-1\} \mid (a-1)i \bmod b < (a-1)t \bmod b \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}\}$.*
- (2) *If $(b, a-1) \neq 1$, let $t_{r+1} = b/(b, a-1)$. Then the minimal generating set of S is $\{b, k_{t_1}b + t_1, \dots, k_{t_r}b + t_r, k_{t_{r+1}}b + t_{r+1}\}$, where $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\} = \{t \in \{1, \dots, t_{r+1}-1\} \mid (a-1)i \bmod b < (a-1)t \bmod b \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}\}$.* \square

Example 50. Let $S = S(5, 35)$. Applying [Theorem 49](#)(1) with $a = 5$ and $b = 7$, we see that $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\} = \{1, 3, 5\}$ (observe that 1 is always in $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\}$), and that S is minimally generated by $\{7, 8, 17, 26\}$.

Example 51. Let $S = S(5, 30)$. Applying [Theorem 49](#)(2) with $a = 5$ and $b = 6$, we see that $t_{r+1} = 3$, $\{t_1, \dots, t_r\} = \{1\}$, and S is minimally generated by $\{6, 7, 15\}$.

Corollary 52. *Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$. Set $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$, and*

$$t = \begin{cases} \min \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid (a-1)x \equiv b-1 \pmod b\} & \text{if } (b, a-1) = 1, \\ b/(b, a-1) & \text{if } (b, a-1) \neq 1. \end{cases}$$

Then $k_t b + t$ is the greatest minimal generator of S . \square

Corollary 53. *Let $a \geq 3$ and let b be a positive integer. Then $e(S(a, ab)) \geq \lfloor b/(a-1) \rfloor + 1$.*

Proof. The integer b is always a minimal generator of $S(a, ab)$. Also, if $(a-1)t \leq b$, then by [Lemma 48](#), $k_t b + t$ is a minimal generator of S . \square

Pseudo-Frobenius numbers. For any numerical semigroup S , we define an order \leq_S on S as follows: $a \leq_S b$ if $b-a \in S$. Given a subset A of S , denote by $\text{Max}_{\leq_S} A$ the set of maximal elements of A with respect to \leq_S . The following result appears in [[Rosales and Branco 2002](#)].

Lemma 54. *Let S be any numerical semigroup with multiplicity m . If*

$$\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, m)) = \{w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_r}\},$$

the pseudo-Frobenius numbers of S (page [387](#)) are precisely $w_{i_1} - m, \dots, w_{i_r} - m$.

Note that if $w, w' \in \text{Ap}(S, m)$ and $w - w' \in S$, this forces $w - w'$ to be in $\text{Ap}(S, m)$ as well. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, m)) &= \{w \in \text{Ap}(S, m) \mid w + w' \notin \text{Ap}(S, m) \text{ for all } 0 \neq w' \in \text{Ap}(S, m)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$. Our aim is to compute the set $\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$ and thus, in view of Lemma 54, the pseudo-Frobenius set $\text{Pg}(S)$.

Remark 55. By Theorem 44, $k_i b + i \notin \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$ if and only if there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ such that $i + j \leq b-1$ and $k_i + k_j = k_{i+j}$. Minimal generators are \leq_S -minimal elements of $\text{Ap}(S, b)$, which is why the condition just stated is similar (dual) to the one presented on the previous page for minimal generators.

Theorem 56. Let a and b be two integers greater than one, and let $S = S(a, ab)$. Let $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$. Then $k_i b + i \in \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$ if and only if one of the following conditions hold:

- (i) $(a-1)i \equiv 0 \pmod b$ and $i = b-1$,
- (ii) $(a-1)i \not\equiv 0 \pmod b$ and for all $t \in \{i + 1, \dots, b-1\}$, either $(a-1)i \pmod b < (a-1)t \pmod b$ or $(a-1)t \pmod b = 0$.

Proof. Assume that $(a-1)i \equiv 0 \pmod b$ and $i < b-1$. Then by Lemma 46, we deduce that $k_i + k_1 = k_{i+1}$ and thus $k_i b + i \notin \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$. If $(a-1)i \not\equiv 0 \pmod b$, then by Lemma 46 we have $k_i b + i \in \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$ if and only if for all $t \in \{i + 1, \dots, b-1\}$ we have $(a-1)(t - i) \not\equiv 0 \pmod b$ and $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b \leq b$. If $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b < b$, then $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b = (a-1)t \pmod b$ and thus $(a-1)i \pmod b < (a-1)t \pmod b$. If $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b = b$, then $(a-1)t \pmod b = 0$.

To prove the converse, assume $k_i b + i \notin \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$. Then there exists $t \in \{i + 1, \dots, b-1\}$ such that $k_i + k_{t-i} = k_t$. By using Lemma 46, we deduce that $(a-1)i \equiv 0 \pmod b$ or $(a-1)(t - i) \equiv 0 \pmod b$ or $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b > b$. If $(a-1)i \equiv 0 \pmod b$, then i must be equal to $b-1$, but this is impossible since $t \in \{i + 1, \dots, b-1\}$. If $(a-1)(t - i) \equiv 0 \pmod b$, then $(a-1)i \pmod b = (a-1)t \pmod b$, which is also impossible by hypothesis. Finally if $(a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b > b$, then $(a-1)t \pmod b = (a-1)i \pmod b + (a-1)(t - i) \pmod b - b < (a-1)i \pmod b$, leading again to a contradiction. \square

Example 57. Let $S = S(5, 30)$. Applying Theorem 56 we get $\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, 6)) = \{29\}$, which by Lemma 54 means that $\text{Pg}(S) = \{23\}$. Thus $S(5, 30)$ is symmetric.

Proposition 58. Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$.

- (1) S is symmetric if and only if $(a-1, b) + (a-1) \pmod b = b$.
- (2) S is pseudo-symmetric if and only if $(a-1, b) + (a-1) \pmod b = b + 1$.

Proof. (1) Combining Corollaries 45 and 17(1), we see that S is symmetric if and only if $\lceil (b-1)(a-1)/b \rceil b - 1 = ab - a - (a-1, b)$. The left-hand side can be written as $(a-1 - \lfloor (a-1)/b \rfloor)b - 1 = (a-1)b - \lfloor (a-1)/b \rfloor b - 1 = ab - b - (a-1 - (a-1) \bmod b) - 1$. Thus S is symmetric if and only if $(a-1) \bmod b + (a-1, b) = b$.

(2) As above, but this time using Corollary 17(2). □

Corollary 59. *Let k be a positive integer and let b be a multiple of k . Then $S(b - k + 1 + bn, (b - k + 1 + bn)b)$ is symmetric for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.* □

The pseudo-symmetric case is completely different:

Corollary 60. $S(a, ab)$ is not pseudo-symmetric for any choice of $a, b > 1$.

Proof. Set $q = \lfloor (a-1)/b \rfloor$ and choose $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(a-1, b) = u(a-1) + vb$. If $S(a, ab)$ is pseudo-symmetric, we have $(a-1, b) + (a-1) \bmod b = b + 1$, hence $u(a-1) + vb + (a-1) - qb = b + 1$, or yet $(u + 1)(a-1) + (v - q - 1)b = 1$. But this implies $(a-1, b) = 1$ and hence $1 + (a-1) \bmod b = b + 1$, an impossibility. □

Some families. We now present some families of numerical semigroups of the form $S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$ such that $(a-1, b) = 1$. For these families we can compute the minimal generating set and pseudo-Frobenius numbers explicitly. As a consequence of Theorems 49 and 56 one gets:

Proposition 61. *Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$ and $(a-1, b) = 1$. Set $k_i = \lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil$ for $i \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$ and take $t \in \{1, \dots, b-1\}$.*

- (1) $k_i b + t$ is a minimal generator of S if and only if $(a-1)i \bmod b < (a-1)t \bmod b$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}$.
- (2) $k_i b + t \in \text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b))$ if and only if $(a-1)t \bmod b < (a-1)i \bmod b$ for all $i \in \{t+1, \dots, b-1\}$.

Let S_n be the symmetric group in n elements $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and for k relatively prime to $n + 1$, define the permutation $\sigma_{k,n+1} \in S_n$ by $\sigma(i) = ki \bmod (n + 1)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Such a permutation is called *modular*. Next, given any permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, set

$$E(\sigma) = \{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \sigma(i) < \sigma(t) \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, t-1\}\},$$

$$T(\sigma) = \{t \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \sigma(t) < \sigma(i) \text{ for all } i \in \{t+1, \dots, n\}\}.$$

With this notation we can rewrite Proposition 61 as follows.

Corollary 62. *Let $S = S(a, ab)$ with $a, b > 1$ and $(a-1, b) = 1$. Then*

$$e(S) = \#E(\sigma_{a-1,b}) + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad t(S) = \#T(\sigma_{a-1,b}).$$

The minimal generating set of S is $\{b\} \cup \{\lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil b + i \mid i \in E(\sigma_{a-1,b})\}$, and

$$\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b)) = \{\lceil (a-1)i/b \rceil b + i \mid i \in T(\sigma_{a-1,b})\}.$$

Example 63. Let $S = S(6, 42)$. Apply [Corollary 62](#) with $a = 6$ and $b = 7$. Clearly $\sigma_{5,7} = (154623)$, $E(\sigma_{5,7}) = \{1, 4\}$ and $T(\sigma_{5,7}) = \{3, 6\}$. Hence $e(S) = 3$ and $t(S) = 2$. The set $\{7, \lceil(5 \times 1)/7\rceil 7 + 1, \lceil(5 \times 4)/7\rceil 7 + 4\} = \{7, 8, 25\}$ is a minimal generating set of S and $\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, 7)) = \{\lceil(5 \times 3)/7\rceil 7 + 3, \lceil(5 \times 6)/7\rceil 7 + 6\} = \{24, 41\}$.

Corollary 64. Let $S = S((b-1) + bn, ((b-1) + bn)b)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 5$ odd. Then S is minimally generated by $\{b, (n+1)b + 1, (\frac{b-1}{2} + n\frac{b+1}{2})b + \frac{b+1}{2}\}$, and

$$\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b)) = \left\{ \left(\frac{b-1}{2} + n\frac{b-1}{2}\right)b + \frac{b-1}{2}, ((b-2) + n(b-1))b + b-1 \right\}.$$

Proof. Since $(b-2 + bn, b) = (b-2, b) = 1$, we can apply [Corollary 62](#). By inspection we see that $E(\sigma_{b-2,b}) = \{1, (b+1)/2\}$ and $T(\sigma_{b-2,b}) = \{(b-1)/2, b-1\}$. We can conclude the proof using [Corollary 62](#), taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{((b-2) + bn)1}{b} \right\rceil &= n+1, & \left\lceil \frac{((b-2) + bn)(b \pm 1)/2}{b} \right\rceil &= \frac{b-1}{2} + n\frac{b \pm 1}{2}, \quad \text{and} \\ \left\lceil \frac{((b-2) + bn)(b-1)}{b} \right\rceil &= (b-2) + n(b-1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 65. Let b be an integer greater than or equal to two and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $S = S((n+1)b, (n+1)b^2)$ is minimally generated by $\{b, (n+1)b + 1\}$ and $\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b)) = \{(n+1)(b-1)b + b-1\}$.

Proof. Use [Corollary 62](#) and the fact that $\sigma_{(n+1)b-1,b} = \sigma_{b-1,b}$ swaps i and $b-i$. \square

Corollary 66. Let $S = S(2 + nb, (2 + nb)b)$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \geq 2$. Then S is minimally generated by

$$X = \{b, (n+1)b + 1, (2n+1)b + 2, \dots, ((b-1)n+1)b + b-1\}$$

and $\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b)) = X \setminus \{b\}$.

Proof. Use [Corollary 62](#) and the fact that $\sigma_{1+nb,b} = \sigma_{1,b}$ is the identity. \square

Corollary 67. Let $S = S(3 + nb, (3 + nb)b)$ with and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ $b \geq 3$ odd. Then S is minimally generated by $\{b, (n+1)b + 1, (2n+1)b + 2, \dots, (\frac{b-1}{2}n + 1) + \frac{b-1}{2}\}$ and

$$\text{Max}_{\leq_S}(\text{Ap}(S, b)) = \left\{ \left(\frac{b+1}{2}n + 2\right)b + \frac{b+1}{2}, \dots, ((b-1)n + 2)b + b-1 \right\}.$$

Proof. By considering $\sigma_{2+bn,b} = \sigma_{2,b}$ we see that $E(\sigma_{2,b}) = \{1, \dots, \frac{1}{2}(b-1)\}$ and $T(\sigma_{2,b}) = \{\frac{1}{2}(b+1), \dots, b-1\}$. Using [Corollary 62](#), the proof follows easily from

$$\left\lceil \frac{(2 + bn)i}{b} \right\rceil b = \begin{cases} (ni + 1)b + i & \text{if } i \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-1), \\ (ni + 2)b + i & \text{if } i \geq \frac{1}{2}(b+1). \end{cases} \quad \square$$

6. The Frobenius number in other special cases

In Section 5 we studied $S(a, b)$ with $a \mid b$. We now give some partial results for the Frobenius number in the complementary case, $a \nmid b$. We are able to find the number when $(a-1)(a - (b \bmod a)) < b$. We use without further comment the fact that, for q a rational number and x a positive integer, $x < \lceil q \rceil$ implies $x < q$.

Lemma 68. *Let $S = S(a, b)$ with $0 < a < b$ and $b \bmod a \neq 0$. Then*

$$g(S(a, b)) \leq b - \lceil b/a \rceil.$$

Proof. Let x be a positive integer. If $x < \lceil b/a \rceil$, then $x < b/a$ and thus $ax \bmod b = ax > x$. Hence $x \notin S$ and in view of Corollary 6, this leads to $b - x \in S$. As $y \in S$ for all $y \geq b$, we conclude that $g(S) \leq b - \lceil b/a \rceil$. □

Lemma 69. *Let a and b be positive integers such that $a < b$ and $b \bmod a \neq 0$. Then $a \lceil b/a \rceil \bmod b = a - (b \bmod a)$.* □

Proposition 70. *Let a and b be positive integers such that $a < b$ and $b \bmod a \neq 0$. Then $g(S(a, b)) = b - \lceil b/a \rceil$ if and only if $(a-1)(a - (b \bmod a)) < b$.*

Proof. Let $S = S(a, b)$. From Lemma 68 we deduce that $g(S) = b - \lceil b/a \rceil$ if and only if $b - \lceil b/a \rceil \notin S$, or in other words, $a(b - \lceil b/a \rceil) \bmod b > b - \lceil b/a \rceil$. This by Lemma 69 is equivalent to $((b \bmod a) - a) \bmod b > b - \lceil b/a \rceil$, and this condition holds if and only if $b + (b \bmod a) - a > b - \lceil b/a \rceil - 1$. Hence $g(S) = b - \lceil b/a \rceil$ if and only if $\lceil b/a \rceil + 1 + (b \bmod a) > a$, or equivalently $(b - (b \bmod a))/a + 1 + (b \bmod a) > a$, and this holds if and only if $b > (a-1)(a - (b \bmod a))$. □

Corollary 71. *Let a and b be positive integers such that $a < b, b \bmod a \neq 0$ and $(a-1)(a - (b \bmod a)) < b$. Then $m(S(a, b)) = \lceil b/a \rceil$.*

Proof. Let $S = S(a, b)$. By Proposition 70, we know that $g(S) = b - \lceil b/a \rceil$. Thus $b - \lceil b/a \rceil \notin S$ and thus by Corollary 6, $\lceil b/a \rceil = b - (b - \lceil b/a \rceil) \in S$. Besides, if x is a positive integer such that $x < \lceil b/a \rceil$, then $x < b/a$, whence $ax \bmod b = ax > x$ and thus $x \notin S$. Therefore $m(S) = \lceil b/a \rceil$. □

Though we have given an explicit formula for $g(S(a, b))$ for several cases, we have not been able to find such a formula for arbitrary positive integers a and b . We propose this as an open question.

Problem 1. Find a formula for $g(S(a, b))$ with a and b positive integers.

Acknowledgments

We thank J. I. García-García and the referee for their comments and suggestions.

References

- [Apéry 1946] R. Apéry, “Sur les branches superlinéaires des courbes algébriques”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* **222** (1946), 1198–1200. [MR 8,221a](#) [Zbl 0061.35404](#)
- [Barucci et al. 1997] V. Barucci, D. E. Dobbs, and M. Fontana, *Maximality properties in numerical semigroups and applications to one-dimensional analytically irreducible local domains*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **598**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1997. [MR 97g:13039](#) [Zbl 0868.13003](#)
- [Bertin and Carbone 1977] J. Bertin and P. Carbone, “Semi-groupes d’entiers et application aux branches”, *J. Algebra* **49**:1 (1977), 81–95. [MR 58 #27957](#) [Zbl 0498.14016](#)
- [Brauer 1942] A. Brauer, “On a problem of partitions”, *American J. Math.* **64** (1942), 299–312. [MR 3,270d](#) [Zbl 0061.06801](#)
- [Brauer and Shockley 1962] A. Brauer and J. E. Shockley, “On a problem of Frobenius”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **211** (1962), 215–220. [MR 26 #6113](#) [Zbl 0108.04604](#)
- [Curtis 1990] F. Curtis, “On formulas for the Frobenius number of a numerical semigroup”, *Math. Scand.* **67**:2 (1990), 190–192. [MR 92e:11019](#) [Zbl 0734.11009](#)
- [Davison 1994] J. L. Davison, “On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius”, *J. Number Theory* **48**:3 (1994), 353–363. [MR 95j:11033](#) [Zbl 0805.11025](#)
- [Delorme 1976] C. Delorme, “Sous-monoïdes d’intersection complète de N .”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **9**:1 (1976), 145–154. [MR 53 #10821](#) [Zbl 0325.20065](#)
- [Djawadi and Hofmeister 1996] M. Djawadi and G. Hofmeister, “Linear Diophantine problems”, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **66**:1 (1996), 19–29. [MR 96j:11029](#) [Zbl 0854.11016](#)
- [Fröberg et al. 1987] R. Fröberg, C. Gottlieb, and R. Häggkvist, “On numerical semigroups”, *Semigroup Forum* **35**:1 (1987), 63–83. [MR 88d:20092](#) [Zbl 0614.10046](#)
- [Johnson 1960] S. M. Johnson, “A linear diophantine problem”, *Canad. J. Math.* **12** (1960), 390–398. [MR 22 #12074](#) [Zbl 0096.02803](#)
- [Kunz 1970] E. Kunz, “The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 748–751. [MR 42 #263](#) [Zbl 0197.31401](#)
- [Ramírez Alfonsín 2000] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, “The Diophantine Frobenius problem”, preprint 00893, Forschungsinstitut für Diskrete Mathematik, Bonn, 2000.
- [Ramírez Alfonsín \geq 2005] J. L. Ramírez Alfonsín, “The Diophantine Frobenius problem”. In preparation.
- [Rosales 1996] J. C. Rosales, “On numerical semigroups”, *Semigroup Forum* **52**:3 (1996), 307–318. [MR 96m:20092](#) [Zbl 0853.20041](#)
- [Rosales \geq 2005a] J. C. Rosales, “Adding or removing an element from a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup”, preprint.
- [Rosales \geq 2005b] J. C. Rosales, “Numerical semigroups that differ from a symmetric numerical semigroup in one element”, to appear in *Algebra Colloquium*.
- [Rosales and Branco 2002] J. C. Rosales and M. B. Branco, “Numerical semigroups that can be expressed as an intersection of symmetric numerical semigroups”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **171**:2-3 (2002), 303–314. [MR 2003b:20089](#) [Zbl 1006.20043](#)
- [Rosales and Branco 2003] J. C. Rosales and M. B. Branco, “Irreducible numerical semigroups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **209**:1 (2003), 131–143. [MR 2004b:20091](#) [Zbl 02101065](#)
- [Selmer 1977] E. S. Selmer, “On the linear Diophantine problem of Frobenius”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **293/294** (1977), 1–17. [MR 56 #246](#) [Zbl 0349.10009](#)

[Sylvester 1884] J. Sylvester, “Mathematical questions with their solutions”, *Educational Times* **41** (1884), 21.

[Teissier 1973] B. Teissier, “Appendice” in *Le problème des modules pour les branches planes*, École Polytechnique, Paris, 1973. [MR 54 #2662](#) [Zbl 0317.14004](#)

[Watanabe 1973] K. Watanabe, “Some examples of one dimensional Gorenstein domains”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **49** (1973), 101–109. [MR 47 #6689](#) [Zbl 0257.13024](#)

Received March 20, 2003. Revised March 16, 2004.

J. C. ROSALES
DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA
E-18071 GRANADA
SPAIN
jrosales@ugr.es

P. A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ
DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA
E-18071 GRANADA
SPAIN
pedro@ugr.es

J. M. URBANO-BLANCO
DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA
UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA
E-18071 GRANADA
SPAIN
jurbano@ugr.es