

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**GENERALIZED HANDLEBODY SETS AND NON-HAKEN  
3-MANIFOLDS**

JESSE EDWARD JOHNSON AND TERK PATEL

# GENERALIZED HANDLEBODY SETS AND NON-HAKEN 3-MANIFOLDS

JESSE EDWARD JOHNSON AND TERK PATEL

**In the curve complex for a surface, a handlebody set is the set of loops that bound properly embedded disks in a given handlebody bounded by the surface. A boundary set is the set of nonseparating loops in the curve complex that bound two-sided, properly embedded surfaces. For a Heegaard splitting, the distance between the boundary sets of the handlebodies is zero if and only if the ambient manifold contains a nonseparating, two sided incompressible surface. We show that every vertex in the curve complex is within two edges of a point in the boundary set.**

## 1. Introduction

The curve complex  $C(\Sigma)$  for a compact, connected, closed, orientable surface  $\Sigma$  is the simplicial complex whose vertices are loops (isotopy classes of essential, simple closed curves) in  $\Sigma$  and whose simplices correspond to sets of pairwise disjoint loops in  $\Sigma$ . Given a handlebody  $H$  and a homeomorphism  $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \partial H$ , we can define the following subsets of  $C(\Sigma)$ .

The *handlebody set*  $\mathbf{H}$  is the set of loops that bound properly embedded (essential) disks in  $H$ . The *genus  $g$  boundary set*  $\mathbf{H}^g$  is the set of nonseparating loops such that each bounds a properly embedded, two-sided, incompressible, genus- $g$  surface in  $H$ . Note that  $\mathbf{H}^0$  is a proper subset of  $\mathbf{H}$ , specifically the set of all the nonseparating loops in  $\mathbf{H}$ . Define the *boundary set* to be the union

$$\mathbf{H}^\infty = \bigcup_{g \geq 0} \mathbf{H}^g.$$

We will say that a set  $A$  of vertices in  $C(\Sigma)$  is  *$k$ -dense* if every vertex in  $C(\Sigma)$  is within  $k$  edges of a point in  $A$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $\Sigma$  has genus 3 or greater, then  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$  is 2-dense in  $C(\Sigma)$ .*

---

MSC2000: 57M50.

Keywords: curve complex, non-Haken 3-manifold.

Research supported by NSF MSPRF grant 0602368.

The proof presented here does not work for genus two surfaces. However, Schleimer has shown in [2005] that the orbit of a vertex of  $C(\Sigma)$  under the action of the Torelli group is 5-dense. This implies that for a genus two handlebody,  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$  is  $n$ -dense for some  $n \leq 5$ .

In contrast to  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$ , a fixed genus boundary set  $\mathbf{H}^g$  has a geometric structure much closer to  $\mathbf{H}$ , which is not  $k$ -dense for any  $k$ . This is demonstrated by the following two Lemmas, the first of which is a corollary of Conclusion III.15 in [Jaco 1980] and the second of which follows from a Theorem of Scharlemann [2006].

**Lemma 2.** *If  $\Sigma$  has genus three or greater and  $v \in \mathbf{H}$  then*

$$d(v, \mathbf{H}^g) = 1$$

*for every  $g > 0$ . If  $\Sigma$  has genus two then*

$$d(v, \mathbf{H}^g) > 1$$

*for every  $g$ .*

**Lemma 3.** *For  $g \geq 1$ , the set  $\mathbf{H}^g$  is disjoint from  $\mathbf{H}$  and contained in a  $2g$  neighborhood of  $\mathbf{H}$ .*

For this paper, every 3-manifold will be compact, connected, closed and orientable. A *Heegaard splitting* for such a 3-manifold  $M$  is a triple  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$  where  $\Sigma \subset M$  is a compact, connected, closed, orientable surface and  $H_1, H_2 \subset M$  are handlebodies such that

$$\partial H_1 = \Sigma = \partial H_2 \quad \text{and} \quad M = H_1 \cup H_2.$$

The inclusion maps from  $\partial H_1$  and  $\partial H_2$  onto  $\Sigma$  determine handlebody sets  $\mathbf{H}_1$  and  $\mathbf{H}_2$ , respectively. The *distance* of the Heegaard splitting, as defined by Hempel in [2001] is the distance  $d(\Sigma) = d(\mathbf{H}_1, \mathbf{H}_2)$  between the two handlebody sets.

The inclusion maps also determine boundary sets  $\mathbf{H}_1^g, \mathbf{H}_2^h, \mathbf{H}_1^\infty, \mathbf{H}_2^\infty$ , allowing us to generalize this distance to the  $(g, h)$ -distance

$$d^{g,h}(\Sigma) = d(\mathbf{H}_1^g, \mathbf{H}_2^h)$$

and the *boundary distance*

$$d^\infty(\Sigma) = d(\mathbf{H}_1^\infty, \mathbf{H}_2^\infty).$$

The set  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$  is precisely the set of vertices representing simple closed curves whose homology class is nontrivial in  $\Sigma$ , but trivial in  $H$ . For a Heegaard splitting, it encodes homology information about the ambient manifold. In particular, the boundary distance determines precisely when a manifold has infinite homology (and therefore a nonseparating, incompressible surface).

**Lemma 4.** *The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *the first homology group of  $M$  is infinite;*
- (2)  *$M$  contains a nonseparating, two sided, closed incompressible surface;*
- (3)  *$d^\infty(\Sigma) = 0$  and*
- (4)  *$d^{0,\infty}(\Sigma) = 0$ .*

The proof is given in [Section 2](#). The equivalence of (1) and (2) is well known, but we give a very simple, geometric proof via the boundary set. [Theorem 1](#) is proved in [Section 3](#).

For any Heegaard splitting  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$  of a non-Haken 3-manifold, [Lemma 4](#) implies that the boundary set in  $C(\Sigma)$  determined by  $H_2$  must be completely disjoint from the boundary set for  $H_1$ . Hempel showed that there are handlebody sets that are arbitrarily far apart in the curve complex. The same is not true for boundary sets. In particular, [Theorem 1](#) implies that for any Heegaard splitting  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$  of genus 3 or greater,  $d^\infty(\Sigma)$  is equal to either 0, 1 or 2. For non-Haken manifolds, we have the following.

**Corollary 5.** *For any Heegaard splitting  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$  of a non-Haken 3-manifold  $M$ ,  $d^\infty(\Sigma)$  is equal to 1 or 2.*

## 2. Nonseparating surfaces

The following Lemma will not be used until [Section 3](#), but the method of proof gives a good introduction to the proof of [Lemma 7](#). Recall that an element  $\alpha$  of a  $\mathbf{Z}$  module  $G$  is called *primitive* if there is no  $\beta \in G$  such that  $\alpha = k\beta$  for some  $k \neq \pm 1$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$  be pairwise disjoint, essential loops in the boundary of a genus- $g$  handlebody  $H$  with  $g > k$ . Then there is a properly embedded, nonseparating surface  $F \subset H$  such that  $\partial F$  is disjoint from each  $\ell_j$  and the homology class defined by  $\partial F$  in  $H(\Sigma)$  is primitive.*

*Proof.* Let  $D_1, \dots, D_g$  be a system of disks for  $H$ , that is, a collection of properly embedded, essential disks whose complement in  $H$  is a single ball. Orient the boundaries of the disks and the loops  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$ , then form the matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  such that  $a_{ij}$  is the algebraic intersection number of  $D_i$  and  $\ell_j$ .

If we replace one of the disks in the system by a disk slide, the matrix for the new system of disks can be constructed from  $A$  by adding or subtracting one row from the other. Thus we can perform elementary row operations on  $A$  by choosing new systems of disks for  $H$ . In particular, we can make  $A$  upper triangular.

Because  $A$  has more rows than columns, if  $A$  is upper triangular then the bottom row consists of all zeros. In other words, the disk  $D_g$  has algebraic intersection 0 with each loop  $\ell_j$ .

If  $D_g$  intersects the loop  $\ell_1$ , there must be a pair of adjacent intersections in  $\ell_1$  with opposite orientations. By attaching a band from  $\partial D_g$  to itself, along the arc of the loop  $\ell_1$ , we can form a new surface  $F_1$  whose boundary (consisting of two loops) has algebraic intersection zero with each loop  $\ell_j$ , but whose geometric intersection number with the collection of curves  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_k$  is strictly lower than that of  $D_g$ . The surface  $F_1$  is two sided because the intersections have opposite orientations and nonseparating because  $D_g$  is nonseparating.

If  $\partial F_1$  intersects  $\ell_1$ , we can form a new surface  $F_2$  by attaching a band, and so on. Continuing in this manner for each  $\ell_j$ , we form a surface  $F$  which is properly embedded, two-sided, nonseparating and such that  $\partial F$  is disjoint from each  $\ell_j$ .

Attaching a band to the boundary of  $F_i$  does not change the homology class of the boundary, so the homology class of  $\partial F$  is equal to the class of  $\partial D_g$ . Because  $\partial D_g$  is represented by a connected loop, its homology class is primitive, as is the homology class of  $\partial F$ .  $\square$

We will now use the idea of attaching bands to eliminate intersections to prove the implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) of Lemma 4.

**Lemma 7.** *If the first homology of  $M$  is infinite then  $d^{0,\infty}(\Sigma) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D_1, \dots, D_g$  be a system of disks for  $H_1$  and  $D'_1, \dots, D'_g$  be a system of disk for  $H_2$ . Orient the boundaries of both systems of disks. Let  $A$  be the matrix of algebraic intersection numbers of the boundaries. Because the first homology is infinite, the determinant of  $A$  must equal zero.

As in the last proof, we can perform row operations on  $A$  by taking disk slides of the disks  $D_1, \dots, D_g$ . Because the determinant of  $A$  is zero, some sequence of disk slides will leave  $A$  with all zeros in the bottom row. Thus after a sequence of disk slides, we can assume  $D_g$  has algebraic intersection 0 with each  $D'_j$ .

By attaching bands to the boundary of  $D_g$  as in the proof of Lemma 6, we can form a properly embedded, two sided, nonseparating surface  $F$  whose boundary is disjoint from  $D'_1, \dots, D'_g$ . Thus each boundary component of  $F$  bounds a disk in  $H_2$ . The union of  $F$  and these disks is a properly embedded, two sided, nonseparating closed surface in  $M$ .

Recall that  $F$  was constructed from  $D_g$  by attaching bands to its boundary. The last band defines a boundary compression for  $F$  corresponding to an isotopy pushing this last band into  $H_2$ . After this isotopy, the second to last band defines a second isotopy, and so on. The final result is a surface isotopic to  $F$  which intersects  $H_1$  in a disk isotopic to  $D_g$ .

The intersection of this surface with  $H_2$  is orientable, two-sided and nonseparating because  $F$  has these properties. Thus  $\partial D_g$  is in both  $\mathbf{H}_1^0$  and  $\mathbf{H}_2^\infty$  so

$$d^{0,\infty}(\Sigma) = d(\mathbf{H}_1^0, \mathbf{H}_2^\infty) = 0. \quad \square$$

*Proof of Lemma 4.* Lemma 7 implies that for any Heegaard splitting  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$ ,

$$d(\mathbf{H}_1^0, \mathbf{H}_2^\infty) = 0$$

so (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Because  $\mathbf{H}_1^0$  is contained in  $\mathbf{H}_1^\infty$ , (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is immediate.

Let  $(\Sigma, H_1, H_2)$  be a Heegaard splitting for  $M$ . If  $d^\infty(\Sigma) = 0$  then there is a simple closed curve  $\ell \subset \Sigma$  such that  $\ell$  bounds two-sided, nonseparating properly embedded surfaces  $F \subset H_1$  and  $F' \subset H_2$ . The union  $F \cup F'$  is a two-sided, nonseparating closed surface embedded in  $M$ . Compressing  $F \cup F'$  to either side produces at least one new two-sided, nonseparating surface. By compressing repeatedly, we eventually find a closed, nonseparating, two-sided incompressible surface in  $M$ . Thus (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).

The final step, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1), is a classical result. If  $M$  contains a two-sided, nonseparating, closed surface  $S \subset M$ , let  $p$  be a point in  $S$ . There is a path

$$\alpha : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$$

from  $p$  to itself that does not cross  $S$ . The homology class of  $\alpha$  has infinite order so the first homology of  $M$  is infinite.  $\square$

### 3. Density

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We will prove the following: Let  $\ell$  be a loop in  $\partial H$  and assume the genus of  $H$  is at least 3. Then there is an essential loop  $\ell'$  disjoint from  $\ell$  and a properly embedded, two-sided, nonseparating surface  $F$  such that  $\partial F$  is a single, nonseparating loop disjoint from  $\ell'$ .

By Lemma 6, there is a properly embedded surface  $F'' \subset H$  such that  $\partial F''$  is disjoint from  $\ell$  and defines a primitive element of the homology. Of all the properly embedded surfaces with boundary disjoint from  $\ell$  and homologous to  $\partial F''$ , let  $F'$  be one with minimal number of boundary components. Each component of  $\partial F'$  has an orientation induced by  $F'$  and thus defines an element of the first homology of  $\Sigma$ .

For each component  $C$  of  $\Sigma \setminus (\ell \cup \partial F')$ , an orientation for a loop in  $\partial C$  induces an orientation of  $C$ . Assume two components of  $\partial C$  come from loops of  $\partial F'$  and induce the same orientation of  $C$ . Because the induced orientations agree, adding a band between them produces a new orientable surface with fewer boundary components, but homologous boundary. Thus the minimality assumption implies that each component  $C$  of  $\Sigma \setminus (\ell \cup \partial F')$  has at most one boundary loop coming from  $\partial F'$  inducing each possible orientation. Thus it has at most two boundary loops coming from  $\partial F'$  and these induce opposite orientations on  $C$ .

Assume for contradiction each component of  $\Sigma \setminus (\ell \cup \partial F')$  is planar. Each component that is disjoint from  $\ell$  has exactly two boundary components. A planar

surface with two boundary loops is an annulus so each component disjoint from  $\ell$  must be an annulus. There are either two components of  $\Sigma \setminus (\ell \cup \partial F')$  with one boundary loop each on  $\ell$ , or one component with two boundary loops on  $\ell$ . In the first case, the two components are pairs of pants or annuli, while in the second, the component is a four punctured sphere or a pair of pants. The union of such components and a collection of annuli is a genus-one or genus-two surface. This contradicts the assumption that  $\Sigma$  has genus at least three, so we conclude that some component must be nonplanar.

Let  $C$  be a nonplanar component. There is a simple closed curve  $\ell' \subset C$  such that  $\ell'$  separates a once-punctured torus from  $C$ . In  $\Sigma$ , the loop  $\ell'$  separates a once-punctured torus that contains no components of  $\partial F'$ . Let  $F$  be a surface whose boundary is homologous to  $F'$ , disjoint from the once-punctured torus bounded by  $\ell'$  and such that the number of boundary components of  $F'$  is minimal over all such surfaces.

Once again, each component of  $\Sigma \setminus (\ell' \cup \partial F)$  has at most two boundary components on loops in  $\partial F$ , with opposite induced orientations. Because  $\ell'$  bounds a surface disjoint from  $\partial F$ , each component of  $\Sigma \setminus \partial F$  must also have at most two boundary loops on  $\partial F$ .

If a component  $C$  of  $\Sigma \setminus \partial F$  has a single boundary component, this loop is homology trivial in  $\Sigma$ . Attaching a boundary parallel surface to  $F$  removes this loop so minimality of  $\partial F$  implies that each component has two boundary loops.

If  $C$  has two boundary loops (with opposite induced orientations) then these loops determine the same element of the homology of  $\Sigma$ . Because  $\Sigma$  is connected, this implies that any two loops of  $\partial F$  (with their induced orientations) determine the same element of the homology. Thus the element of the homology determined by  $\partial F$  is of the form  $k\beta$  where  $k$  is the number of boundary components of  $F$ .

By [Lemma 6](#),  $\partial F$  determines a primitive element of the homology of  $\Sigma$ , so  $k$  must be 1. In other words, the boundary of  $F$  is connected and  $\partial F$  determines an element of  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$ . By construction,  $\partial F$  is disjoint from a loop  $\ell'$  that is disjoint from  $\ell$ . Thus the vertex  $v \in C(\Sigma)$  determined by  $\ell$  is distance at most 2 from  $\mathbf{H}^\infty$ .  $\square$

## References

- [Hempel 2001] J. Hempel, “3-manifolds as viewed from the curve complex”, *Topology* **40**:3 (2001), 631–657. [MR 2002f:57044](#) [Zbl 0985.57014](#)
- [Jaco 1980] W. Jaco, *Lectures on three-manifold topology*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **43**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1980. [MR 81k:57009](#) [Zbl 0433.57001](#)
- [Scharlemann 2006] M. Scharlemann, “Proximity in the curve complex: boundary reduction and bicompressible surfaces”, *Pacific J. Math.* **228**:2 (2006), 325–348. [MR 2008c:57035](#) [Zbl 05190398](#)
- [Schleimer 2005] S. Schleimer, “Notes on the complex of curves”, lecture notes, 2005, Available at <http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~saulsch/math.html>.

Received July 11, 2007. Revised September 27, 2007.

JESSE EDWARD JOHNSON  
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT  
YALE UNIVERSITY  
PO BOX 208283  
NEW HAVEN, CT 06520-8283  
UNITED STATES

[jessee.johnson@yale.edu](mailto:jessee.johnson@yale.edu)  
<http://math.yale.edu/~jj327/>

TERK PATEL  
18 DUMAS STREET  
PONDICHERRY - 605001  
INDIA

[terkpatel@yahoo.com](mailto:terkpatel@yahoo.com)