

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**EQUIVALENCE OF INTRINSIC MEASURES ON
TEICHMÜLLER SPACE**

ERIC OVERHOLSER

EQUIVALENCE OF INTRINSIC MEASURES ON TEICHMÜLLER SPACE

ERIC OVERHOLSER

Here we show that the Carathéodory, Eisenman–Kobayashi, and Kähler–Einstein volume forms are equivalent on Teichmüller space.

Statement of the theorem

Let \mathcal{T}_g denote the Teichmüller space of an orientable compact Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$. Recently Liu, Sun, and Yau [2004; 2005a; 2005b] made some important progress made on some of the geometric aspects of Teichmüller space including a discussion on the equivalence of intrinsic metrics. Here, our goal is to prove the corresponding theorem in the case of intrinsic volume forms:

Main Theorem. *The Carathéodory, Eisenman–Kobayashi, and Kähler–Einstein intrinsic volume forms are equivalent on Teichmüller space.*

This result is also true when the intrinsic measures are defined with respect to the polydisc instead of the unit ball. The arrangement of this paper is as follows. Section 1 describes of the Carathéodory and Eisenman–Kobayashi volume forms. Section 2 gives preliminaries on Teichmüller space. Section 3 proves the main theorem.

1. Definition of the Carathéodory and Eisenman–Kobayashi volume forms

For the basic materials of this subject, refer to [Graham and Wu 1985; Krantz 2001; Pelles 1975; Wong 1977b; 1977a]. Let B_n denote the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , and let D be any complex domain in \mathbb{C}^n .

Definition. The Carathéodory volume form M_D^C is an (n, n) -form

$$\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n |M_D^C(z)| \cdot dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \cdots dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$$

on D such that $|M_D^C(z)|$ is defined for all $z \in D$ as

$$|M_D^C(z)| = \sup \{ |\det f'(0)|^2 : f \in \text{Hol}(D, B_n) \text{ and } f(z) = 0 \},$$

MSC2000: 32T05, 32Q45.

Keywords: Teichmüller space, Eisenman–Kobayashi measure, Carathéodory measure.

where the supremum is taken over all f indicated above.

The function $|M_D^C|$ is in general a continuous function. $|M_D^C| > 0$ if D is a bounded domain.

Definition. The *Eisenman–Kobayashi volume form* M_D^E is an (n, n) -form

$$\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n |M_D^E(z)| \cdot dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \cdots dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$$

on D such that $|M_D^E(z)|$ is defined for all $z \in D$ as

$$|M_D^E(z)| = \inf \left\{ \frac{1}{|\det f'(0)|^2} : f \in \text{Hol}(B_n, D) \text{ and } f(0) = z \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all f indicated above.

The function $|M_D^E|$ is in general a semicontinuous function. If D is a bounded domain, then $|M_D^E|$ is continuous and $|M_D^E| > 0$ since $|M_D^E| \geq |M_D^C|$ by the generalized Schwarz lemma. Also, both intrinsic measures are volume decreasing under holomorphic mappings and invariant under biholomorphic mappings.

2. Preliminaries on Teichmüller space

Let us begin by discussing some basic properties of Teichmüller space \mathcal{T}_g . For the basic definitions and a survey, see [Imayoshi and Taniguchi 1992; Nag 1988]. Let $n = 3g - 3$ unless stated otherwise. Let R be a Riemann surface of genus $g > 1$. The Teichmüller space of R is the collection of Riemann surfaces of genus g with the following equivalence relation. Let S_1 and S_2 be two Riemann surfaces of genus g , and let $g_1 : R \rightarrow S_1$ and $g_2 : R \rightarrow S_2$ be their respective quasiconformal homeomorphisms. We say that S_1 is equivalent to S_2 if there exists a conformal function $h : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ such that $g_2^{-1} \circ h \circ g_1 : R \rightarrow R$ is homotopic to the identity by a homotopy that leaves every point on ∂R fixed if R has boundary. We will denote the Teichmüller space of R by \mathcal{T}_g . Let Γ be the discrete group acting on the upper-half plane H such that $R = H/\Gamma$. Then we define \bar{R} to be the Riemann surface conjugate holomorphic to R . The Bers embedding theorem gives rise to a holomorphic embedding $\Phi : \mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{R}}$ where $\Omega_{\bar{R}}$ is the vector space of holomorphic quadratic differentials on the Riemann surface \bar{R} with norm $\|\phi\| = \sup |y^2 \phi(z)|$.

We will use the notation $\Phi(\mathcal{T}_g) = T_B(R) \subset \Omega_{\bar{R}}$. \mathcal{T}_g is a bounded domain when identified with its image under Φ and has complex dimension n since $B_n^{1/2} \subset T_B(R) \subset B_n^{3/2}$ [Bers and Ehrenpreis 1964]. Also, \mathcal{T}_g is a domain of holomorphy for $g > 1$ [Bers and Ehrenpreis 1964; Earle 1974], and therefore \mathcal{T}_g has a complete Einstein–Kähler metric of negative Ricci curvature [Cheng and Yau 1980].

3. Proof of the main theorem

We say that two volume forms M^1 and M^2 on $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are equivalent if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{C}|M_D^2| \leq |M_D^1| \leq C|M_D^2|,$$

where $M_D^j = (i/2)^n |M_D^j| dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_n$. Let us denote by M_D^{KE} the volume form determined by the Kähler–Einstein metric on a bounded domain of holomorphy D . The following theorems characterize the distance-decreasing property with respect to volume forms.

Theorem 3.1 [Chern 1968]. *Let $f : B_n \rightarrow N$ be a holomorphic mapping where B_n is the unit n -ball with the standard Kähler metric and where N is an n -dimensional Hermitian Einsteinian manifold with scalar curvature $\leq -n(n+1)$. Then f is volume-decreasing.*

Theorem 3.2 [Mok and Yau 1983; Yau 1978]. *Let M be a complete Hermitian manifold with scalar curvature bounded from below by $-K_1$, and let N be a complex manifold of the same dimension with a volume form V_N such that the Ricci form is negative definite and $V_N = (\frac{i}{2})^n |V_N| dz^1 \wedge d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n \wedge d\bar{z}^n$ is almost Einstein with respect to volume; that is,*

$$\left(\frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \ln |V_N|\right)^n \geq K_2 V_N.$$

Suppose $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a holomorphic map and the Jacobian is nonvanishing at one point. Then $K_1 > 0$ and

$$\sup \frac{f^* V_N}{V_M} \leq \frac{K_1^n}{n^n K_2},$$

where V_M is the volume form associated to the given Kähler metric on M .

The proof of the main theorem will depend on the next two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *Let D be a bounded domain of holomorphy. Then*

$$|M_D^C| \leq |M_D^{KE}| \leq |M_D^E|.$$

Proof. Let's choose the scalar curvature of the Kähler–Einstein metric on both B_n and D to be $-n(n+1)$. We are going to apply Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 to these two metrics.

(i) Suppose $f : B_n \rightarrow D$ is any holomorphic mapping with $f(0) = z$. By Theorem 3.1, $M = B_n$, $N = D$, and $f^* M_D^{KE} \leq M_{B_n}$. Also

$$|\det f'(0)|^2 |M_D^{KE}(z)| \leq |M_{B_n}(0)| \quad \text{and} \quad |M_D^{KE}(z)| \leq 1/|\det f'(0)|^2.$$

Taking the infimum over all such holomorphic mappings f , we find $|M_D^{KE}| \leq |M_D^E|$.

(ii) Suppose $f : D \rightarrow B_n$ is any holomorphic mapping with $f(z) = 0$. By [Theorem 3.2](#), in this case $M = D$, $N = B_n$, $K_1 = n(n+1)$, and $K_2 = n+1$, and we obtain an inequality $f^*M_{B_n} \leq M_D^{KE}$, so that $|\det f'(z)|^2 \leq |M_D^{KE}(z)|$. Taking the supremum over all such holomorphic mappings f , we have $|M_D^C| \leq |M_D^{KE}|$. \square

Lemma 3.2. $|M_{\mathcal{T}_g}^E(X)| \leq k|M_{\mathcal{T}_g}^C(X)|$, where k is a positive constant and X is any point in \mathcal{T}_g .

Proof. Let the Bers embedding be denoted by $\mathcal{B} : \mathcal{T}_g \rightarrow \Omega_{\bar{R}}$, where \mathcal{T}_g is identified with $T_B(R)$ for some Riemann surface R and \bar{R} is the Riemann surface conjugate holomorphic to R . There exists a biholomorphism $F : T_B(R) \rightarrow T_B(X) \subset \Omega_{\bar{X}}$ such that $F(\mathcal{B}(X)) = 0$ [[Earle 1974](#); [Imayoshi and Taniguchi 1992](#)] (comparing with earlier notation, we have $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{T}_g) = T_B(R) \subset \Omega_{\bar{R}}$). The image of this map has the property that $B_n^{1/2} \subset T_B(X) \subset B_n^{3/2}$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} |M_{T_B(X)}^C(0)| &\geq |M_{B_n^{3/2}}^C(\pi(0))| = \frac{1}{9^n} |M_{B_n^{1/2}}^C(0)| \\ &= \frac{1}{9^n} |M_{B_n^{1/2}}^E(0)| \geq \frac{1}{9^n} |M_{T_B(X)}^E(\iota(0))| = \frac{1}{9^n} |M_{T_B(X)}^E(0)|, \end{aligned}$$

since the intrinsic measures are volume decreasing under the holomorphic inclusion mappings $\iota : B_n^{1/2} \rightarrow T_B(X)$ and $\pi : T_B(X) \rightarrow B_n^{3/2}$, and they are equal on any Euclidean ball in \mathbb{C}^n .

The composition $F \circ \mathcal{B}$ is a biholomorphic mapping from \mathcal{T}_g to $T_B(X) \subset \Omega_{\bar{X}}$. Hence $|M_{\mathcal{T}_g}^E(X)| \leq 9^n |M_{\mathcal{T}_g}^C(X)|$, since our volume measures are invariant under biholomorphic mappings. \square

Proof of Main Theorem. The proof now follows from [Lemmas 3.1](#) and [3.2](#). \square

References

- [Bers and Ehrenpreis 1964] L. Bers and L. Ehrenpreis, “Holomorphic convexity of Teichmüller spaces”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **70** (1964), 761–764. [MR 29 #6056](#) [Zbl 0136.07004](#)
- [Cheng and Yau 1980] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, “On the existence of a complete Kähler metric on noncompact complex manifolds and the regularity of Fefferman’s equation”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **33**:4 (1980), 507–544. [MR 82f:53074](#) [Zbl 0506.53031](#)
- [Chern 1968] S.-s. Chern, “On holomorphic mappings of hermitian manifolds of the same dimension.”, pp. 157–170 in *Entire Functions and Related Parts of Analysis* (La Jolla, CA, 1966), edited by J. Korevaar et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **11**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968. [MR 38 #2714](#) [Zbl 0184.31202](#)
- [Earle 1974] C. J. Earle, “On the Carathéodory metric in Teichmüller spaces”, pp. 99–103 in *Discontinuous groups and Riemann surfaces* (College Park, MD, 1973), edited by L. Greenberg, Ann. of Math. Studies **79**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1974. [MR 50 #4937](#) [Zbl 0294.32015](#)
- [Graham and Wu 1985] I. Graham and H. Wu, “Some remarks on the intrinsic measures of Eisenman”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **288**:2 (1985), 625–660. [MR 86e:32031](#) [Zbl 0582.32034](#)

- [Imayoshi and Taniguchi 1992] Y. Imayoshi and M. Taniguchi, *An introduction to Teichmüller spaces*, Springer, Tokyo, 1992. [MR 94b:32031](#) [Zbl 0754.30001](#)
- [Krantz 2001] S. G. Krantz, *Function theory of several complex variables*, AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2001. [MR 2002e:32001](#) [Zbl 1087.32001](#)
- [Liu et al. 2004] K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, “Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. I”, *J. Differential Geom.* **68**:3 (2004), 571–637. [MR 2007g:32009](#) [Zbl 1078.30038](#)
- [Liu et al. 2005a] K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, “Canonical metrics on the moduli space of Riemann surfaces. II”, *J. Differential Geom.* **69**:1 (2005), 163–216. [MR 2007g:32010](#) [Zbl 1086.32011](#)
- [Liu et al. 2005b] K. Liu, X. Sun, and S.-T. Yau, “Geometric aspects of the moduli space of Riemann surfaces”, *Sci. China Ser. A* **48**:suppl. (2005), 97–122. [MR 2007b:32025](#) [Zbl 05210962](#)
- [Mok and Yau 1983] N. Mok, S.-T. Yau, “Completeness of the Kähler-Einstein metric on bounded domains and the characterization of domains of holomorphy by curvature conditions”, pp. 41–59 in *The mathematical heritage of Henri Poincaré, Part 1* (Bloomington, Ind., 1980), edited by F. E. Browder, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **39**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983. [MR 85j:53068](#) [Zbl 0526.53056](#)
- [Nag 1988] S. Nag, *The complex analytic theory of Teichmüller spaces*, Canadian Math. Society Series of Monographs and Advanced Texts, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1988. [MR 89f:32040](#) [Zbl 0667.30040](#)
- [Pelles 1975] D. A. Pelles, “Holomorphic maps which preserve intrinsic measure”, *Amer. J. Math.* **97** (1975), 1–15. [MR 51 #3542](#) [Zbl 0303.32021](#)
- [Wong 1977a] B. Wong, “Characterization of the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n by its automorphism group”, *Invent. Math.* **41**:3 (1977), 253–257. [MR 58 #11521](#) [Zbl 0385.32016](#)
- [Wong 1977b] B. Wong, “On the holomorphic curvature of some intrinsic metrics”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65**:1 (1977), 57–61. [MR 56 #12332](#) [Zbl 0364.32009](#)
- [Yau 1978] S. T. Yau, “A general Schwarz lemma for Kähler manifolds”, *Amer. J. Math.* **100**:1 (1978), 197–203. [MR 58 #6370](#) [Zbl 0424.53040](#)

Received August 20, 2007.

ERIC OVERHOLSER
ST. MARY’S UNIVERSITY
MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
ONE CAMINO SANTA MARIA
SAN ANTONIO, TX 78228-8560
UNITED STATES
ecoverholser@stmarytx.edu