

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A LIOUVILLE-TYPE THEOREM FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC
SYSTEMS VIA MOVING SPHERES**

YAJING ZHANG

A LIOUVILLE-TYPE THEOREM FOR SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC SYSTEMS VIA MOVING SPHERES

YAJING ZHANG

In this paper, we consider second order semilinear elliptic systems of the form $-\Delta u = a(x)v^p$ and $-\Delta v = b(x)u^q$ in \mathbb{R}^N for $N \geq 3$, where $p, q > 0$ and $a, b \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We prove a new Liouville-type theorem for the system under appropriate conditions on the nonlinearity.

1. Introduction

We consider second order semilinear elliptic systems of the form

$$(1-1) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= a(x)v^p \\ -\Delta v &= b(x)u^q \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

for $N \geq 3$, where $p, q > 0$ and $a, b \in C(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The problem of existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of scalar elliptic equation

$$(1-2) \quad -\Delta u = K(x)u^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N$$

has been investigated by many authors, see [Ding and Ni 1985; Gidas and Spruck 1981; Kusano and Naito 1987; Lin 1998; Ni 1982]. For $p = (N+2)/(N-2)$, we note that (1-2) has a geometric root. Given a smooth positive function K defined on a Riemannian manifold (M, g_0) of dimension $N \geq 3$, we ask whether there exists a metric g conformal to g_0 such that K is the scalar curvature of the new metric g . Let $g = u^{4/(N-2)}g_0$ for some positive function u ; then the problem reduces to find solutions of the equation

$$(1-3) \quad \frac{4(N-1)}{N-2} \Delta_{g_0} u - k_0 u + K u^{(N+2)/(N-2)} = 0 \quad \text{in } M,$$

where Δ_{g_0} is the Laplace–Beltrami operator on M and k_0 is the scalar curvature of (M, g_0) . In the special case that $M = \mathbb{R}^N$ and g_0 is the standard metric of \mathbb{R}^N , we have $k_0 \equiv 0$ and Equation (1-3) reduces to (1-2) with $p = (N+2)/(N-2)$. For

MSC2000: primary 35J60; secondary 35J45.

Keywords: positive solution, Liouville-type theorem, moving spheres.

Research supported by NSFC (grant number 10701051) and the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation.

more background material and other related problems, we refer to [Ni 1982; Lin 1998] and the references therein.

When $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are positive constants, the system (1-1) becomes the Lane–Emden system

$$(1-4) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= v^p \\ -\Delta v &= u^q \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

There are some nonexistence results for positive solutions of the system (1-4); see [Busca and Manásevich 2002; de Figueiredo and Felmer 1994; Mitidieri 1993; 1996; Serrin and Zou 1994; 1996].

Next we turn our attention to system (1-1). As far as the author knows, there are no results that contain nonexistence criteria of positive solutions to (1-1) except for the following result of Mitideri [1996]. Let $a(x) = a(|x|)$ and $b(x) = b(|x|)$.

Theorem. *Suppose that $N \geq 3$ and $p, q > 1$ and let $a, b \in [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be functions such that*

- (i) $a, b \in C[0, +\infty) \cap C^1(0, +\infty)$ and $a(r), b(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$;
- (ii) $(a(r)r^\delta)', (b(r)r^\delta)' \geq 0$ and $r > 0$ with

$$\delta = \frac{2(p+1)(q+1) - N(pq-1)}{p+q+2};$$

- (iii) $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} a(r)r^\delta = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} b(r)r^\delta = +\infty$.

Then the problem (1-1) has no positive radial solutions.

In this work, we consider nonradial solutions of (1-1), that is, $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ need not be radially symmetric. We generalize Mitidieri's result partially here. Our result is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $N \geq 3$ and $p, q > 1$ and that the nonnegative functions a, b satisfy*

- (i) $|x|^{\delta_1}a(x)$ and $|x|^{\delta_2}b(x)$ are nondecreasing along each ray $\{t\xi : t \geq 0\}$ for any unit vector ξ in \mathbb{R}^N , where

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(N+2-p(N-2)) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_2 = \frac{1}{2}(N+2-q(N-2)),$$

- (ii) $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{\delta_1}a(x) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{\delta_2}b(x) = +\infty$.

Then the system (1-1) has no positive classical solutions.

We can easily obtain a corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.2. *If $1 < p, q < (N+2)/(N-2)$, then the system (1-4) has no positive classical solutions.*

We note that Figueiredo and Felmer [1994] proved [Corollary 1.2](#), among other things, by using the moving plane method.

In the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), we use the method of moving spheres, a variant of the method of moving planes. Roughly speaking, we make reflection with respect to spheres instead of planes. The method of moving spheres was used in [[Chou and Chu 1993](#); [Padilla 1994](#); [Chen and Li 1995](#); [Li and Zhu 1995](#); [Li and Zhang 2003](#)]. Li and Zhang made significant simplifications and proved some Liouville type theorems for a single equation. The proof of our theorem is along the lines of the works cited above.

The method of moving planes was first introduced by Alexandrov [1958] and then used by several authors: Serrin [1971]; Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [1979, 1981]; and Berestycki and Nirenberg [1988, 1991]. This method has become a powerful tool in the study of nonlinear partial differential equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In [Section 2](#), we give some lemmas that are used in the proof of [Theorem 1.1](#), which is then proved in [Section 3](#).

2. Preliminary lemmas

For $\lambda > 0$, consider the Kelvin transformation of u and v for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$:

$$u_\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{|x|^2} x\right) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\lambda(x) = \frac{\lambda^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} v\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{|x|^2} x\right).$$

Our first lemma says that we can initiate the method of moving spheres.

Lemma 2.1. *There exists a $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that $u_\lambda(x) \leq u(x)$ and $v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x)$ for all $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$ and $|x| \geq \lambda$.*

Proof. Clearly, there exists an $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dr}(r^{(N-2)/2} u(r, \theta)) > 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 < r < r_0 \text{ and } \theta \in S^{N-1}.$$

Consequently,

$$u_\lambda(x) < u(x) \quad \text{for all } 0 < \lambda < |x| < r_0.$$

By the superharmonicity of u and the maximum principle,

$$u(x) \geq (\min_{\partial B_{r_0}} u) r_0^{N-2} |x|^{2-N} \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq r_0.$$

Let

$$\lambda_1 = r_0 \left(\frac{\min_{\partial B_{r_0}} u}{\max_{\bar{B}_{r_0}} u} \right)^{1/(N-2)} \leq r_0.$$

Then for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_1$ and $|x| \geq r_0$, we have

$$u_\lambda(x) \leq \frac{\lambda_1^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} \max_{\bar{B}_{r_0}} u \leq \frac{r_0^{N-2} \min_{\partial B_{r_0}} u}{|x|^{N-2}} \leq u(x).$$

Similarly, there exists $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_2$, we have

$$v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \lambda.$$

We choose $\lambda_0 = \min\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\}$. Thus, for every $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$,

$$u_\lambda(x) \leq u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_\lambda(x) \leq v(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \lambda. \quad \square$$

Set

$$\bar{\lambda} = \sup\{\mu > 0 : u_\mu(x) \leq u(x) \text{ and } v_\mu(x) \leq v(x) \text{ for all } |x| \geq \mu \text{ and } 0 < \lambda \leq \mu\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\bar{\lambda}$ is well defined, and $0 < \bar{\lambda} \leq +\infty$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\bar{\lambda} < +\infty$, then $u_{\bar{\lambda}}(x) \equiv u(x)$ and $v_{\bar{\lambda}}(x) \equiv v(x)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\Sigma_\lambda = \{x : |x| > \lambda\}$. Clearly it suffices to show

$$u_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv u \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv v \quad \text{in } \Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}.$$

We first prove $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv u$. We prove it by contradiction. Supposing $u_{\bar{\lambda}} \not\equiv u$ in $\Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}$, we know from the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$ that

$$u_{\bar{\lambda}} \leq u \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\bar{\lambda}} \leq v \quad \text{in } \Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}.$$

From (1-1), a direct calculation yields

$$-\Delta u_\lambda = \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|}\right)^{N+2-p(N-2)} a\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{|x|^2}x\right) v_\lambda^p.$$

Thus, by condition (i) of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta(u - u_{\bar{\lambda}}) &= a(x)v^p - \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|x|}\right)^{N+2-p(N-2)} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) v_{\bar{\lambda}}^p \\ &= |x|^{-\delta_1} \left(|x|^{\delta_1} a(x)v^p - |x|^{\delta_1} \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|x|}\right)^{N+2-p(N-2)} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) v_{\bar{\lambda}}^p \right) \\ &= |x|^{-\delta_1} \left(|x|^{\delta_1} a(x)v^p - \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|}\right)^{\delta_1} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) v_{\bar{\lambda}}^p \right) \\ &\geq 0 \quad \text{in } \Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle, $u - u_{\bar{\lambda}} > 0$ in $\Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}$. Thus, by the Hopf lemma and the compactness of $\partial B_{\bar{\lambda}}$, there exists a positive constant b such that

$$\frac{d}{dr}(u - u_{\bar{\lambda}}) \Big|_{\partial B_{\bar{\lambda}}} > b > 0.$$

By the continuity of ∇u , there exists $R > \bar{\lambda}$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dr}(u - u_\lambda) > \frac{b}{2} > 0 \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq R \text{ and } \lambda \leq r \leq R.$$

Consequently, since $u - u_\lambda \equiv 0$ on ∂B_λ ,

$$(2-1) \quad u(x) > u_\lambda(x) \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq R \text{ and } \lambda \leq |x| \leq R.$$

Set $c = \min_{\partial B_R} (u - u_{\bar{\lambda}}) > 0$. It follows from the superharmonicity of $u - u_{\bar{\lambda}}$ that $u - u_{\bar{\lambda}} \geq cR^{N-2}/|x|^{N-2}$ for $|x| \geq R$. Therefore

$$(2-2) \quad u - u_\lambda \geq \frac{cR^{N-2}}{|x|^{N-2}} - (u_\lambda - u_{\bar{\lambda}}) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R.$$

By the uniform continuity of u on \bar{B}_R , there exists an $\varepsilon \in (0, R - \bar{\lambda})$ such that for all $\bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon$,

$$\left| \lambda^{N-2} u\left(\frac{\lambda^2 x}{|x|^2}\right) - \bar{\lambda}^{N-2} u\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2 x}{|x|^2}\right) \right| < \frac{cR^{N-2}}{2} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R.$$

It follows from (2-2) and the above inequality that

$$u(x) - u_\lambda(x) > 0 \quad \text{for } \bar{\lambda} \leq \lambda \leq \bar{\lambda} + \varepsilon \text{ and } |x| \geq R.$$

Thus, (2-1) and (2-2) are in contradiction with the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$. Similarly, we can prove that $v_{\bar{\lambda}} \equiv v$ in $\Sigma_{\bar{\lambda}}$. \square

Lemma 2.3. $\bar{\lambda} < +\infty$.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\bar{\lambda} = +\infty$. By the definition of $\bar{\lambda}$,

$$(2-3) \quad u(x) \geq u_\lambda(x) \quad \text{for } |x| \geq \lambda \text{ for all } \lambda > 0.$$

Set $|x| = \lambda^2$. Then, from (2-3),

$$u(x) \geq \lambda^{-(N-2)} u(x/\lambda^2) \geq c_1 |x|^{-(N-2)/2} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1,$$

where $c_1 = \min_{\partial B_1} u(x)$. Similarly, we have

$$v(x) \geq c_2 |x|^{-(N-2)/2} \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1,$$

where $c_2 = \min_{\partial B_1} v(x)$. Rewrite system (1-1) into

$$\Delta u + \tilde{a}(x)v = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta v + \tilde{b}(x)u = 0,$$

where $\tilde{a}(x) = a(x)v^{p-1}$ and $\tilde{b}(x) = b(x)u^{q-1}$. For any $M > 0$, by condition (ii) of Theorem 1.1, there exists $R_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\tilde{a}(x)|x|^2 \geq M c_2^{1-p} |x|^{2-\delta_1} (c_2 |x|^{-(N-2)/2})^{p-1} = M \quad \text{for } |x| \geq R_0.$$

Thus

$$(2-4) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{a}(x)|x|^2 = +\infty.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(2-5) \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \tilde{b}(x)|x|^2 = +\infty.$$

For $|y| \leq 1/2$, set $\tilde{u}(y) = u(x + |x|y)$ and $\tilde{v}(y) = v(x + |x|y)$. Then \tilde{u} and \tilde{v} satisfy

$$(2-6) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} \Delta \tilde{u} + |x|^2 \tilde{a}(x + |x|y) \tilde{v} &= 0 \\ \Delta \tilde{v} + |x|^2 \tilde{b}(x + |x|y) \tilde{u} &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{in } B_{1/2}.$$

in $B_{1/2}$. Set $f(x) = \inf_{|y| \leq 1/2} |x|^2 \tilde{a}(x + |x|y)$ and $g(x) = \inf_{|y| \leq 1/2} |x|^2 \tilde{b}(x + |x|y)$. From (2-6),

$$(2-7) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} -\Delta \tilde{u} &\geq f(x) \tilde{v} \\ -\Delta \tilde{v} &\geq g(x) \tilde{u} \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \text{in } B_{1/2}.$$

Let $\phi \in H_0^1(B_{1/2})$ be the positive eigenfunction corresponding to the first eigenvalue λ_1 of $(-\Delta, H_0^1(B_{1/2}))$. Multiplying both sides of the first inequality in (2-7) by ϕ and integrating the obtained inequality over $B_{1/2}$, we have

$$(2-8) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x) \int_{B_{1/2}} \tilde{v} \phi \, dy &\leq \int_{B_{1/2}} -\Delta \tilde{u} \cdot \phi \, dy \\ &\leq \int_{B_{1/2}} -\Delta \phi \cdot \tilde{u} \, dy = \lambda_1 \int_{B_{1/2}} \tilde{u} \phi \, dy. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the second inequality in (2-7), we obtain

$$(2-9) \quad g(x) \int_{B_{1/2}} \tilde{u} \phi \, dy \leq \lambda_1 \int_{B_{1/2}} \tilde{v} \phi \, dy.$$

From (2-8) and (2-9), $f(x)g(x) \leq \lambda_1^2$. However, by (2-4) and (2-5),

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^2 \tilde{a}(x + |x|y) = \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} |x|^2 \tilde{b}(x + |x|y) = +\infty,$$

uniformly for $|y| \leq 1/2$. This yields a contradiction. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we have

$$u_{\bar{\lambda}}(x) \equiv u(x) \quad \text{and} \quad v_{\bar{\lambda}}(x) \equiv v(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u_{\lambda} &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|} \right)^{N+2-p(N-2)} a \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{|x|^2} x \right) v_{\lambda}^p, \\ -\Delta v_{\lambda} &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{|x|} \right)^{N+2-q(N-2)} b \left(\frac{\lambda^2}{|x|^2} x \right) u_{\lambda}^q, \end{aligned}$$

we have by (1-1)

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}}{|x|}\right)^{N+2-p(N-2)} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) \equiv a(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\},$$

that is,

$$(3-1) \quad \left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|}\right)^{\delta_1} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) \equiv |x|^{\delta_1} a(x) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}.$$

For large $|x|$, we have, by condition (ii) of [Theorem 1.1](#),

$$\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|}\right)^{\delta_1} a\left(\frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{|x|^2}x\right) < |x|^{\delta_1} a(x),$$

which contradicts (3-1). □

References

- [Aleksandrov 1958] A. D. Aleksandrov, “Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large, V”, *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.* **13**:19 (1958), 5–8. In Russian; translated in *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser.* **21** (1962) 412–416. [MR 21 #909](#) [Zbl 0113.16101](#)
- [Berestycki and Nirenberg 1988] H. Berestycki and L. Nirenberg, “Monotonicity, symmetry and antisymmetry of solutions of semilinear elliptic equations”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **5**:2 (1988), 237–275. [MR 91a:35060](#) [Zbl 0698.35031](#)
- [Berestycki and Nirenberg 1991] H. Berestycki and L. Nirenberg, “On the method of moving planes and the sliding method”, *Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. (N.S.)* **22**:1 (1991), 1–37. [MR 93a:35048](#) [Zbl 0784.35025](#)
- [Busca and Manásevich 2002] J. Busca and R. Manásevich, “A Liouville-type theorem for Lane–Emden systems”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **51**:1 (2002), 37–51. [MR 2003d:35080](#) [Zbl 1033.35032](#)
- [Chen and Li 1995] W. X. Chen and C. Li, “A necessary and sufficient condition for the Nirenberg problem”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **48**:6 (1995), 657–667. [MR 96j:35056](#) [Zbl 0830.35034](#)
- [Chou and Chu 1993] K. S. Chou and C. W. Chu, “On the best constant for a weighted Sobolev–Hardy inequality”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **48**:1 (1993), 137–151. [MR 94h:46052](#) [Zbl 0739.26013](#)
- [Ding and Ni 1985] W. Y. Ding and W.-M. Ni, “On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + Ku^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ and related topics”, *Duke Math. J.* **52**:2 (1985), 485–506. [MR 86k:35040](#) [Zbl 0592.35048](#)
- [de Figueiredo and Felmer 1994] D. G. de Figueiredo and P. L. Felmer, “A Liouville-type theorem for elliptic systems”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **21** (1994), 387–397. [MR 95m:35009](#) [Zbl 0820.35042](#)
- [Gidas and Spruck 1981] B. Gidas and J. Spruck, “Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations”, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **34**:4 (1981), 525–598. [MR 83f:35045](#) [Zbl 0465.35003](#)
- [Gidas et al. 1979] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, “Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle”, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **68**:3 (1979), 209–243. [MR 80h:35043](#) [Zbl 0425.35020](#)
- [Gidas et al. 1981] B. Gidas, W. M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, “Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n ”, pp. 369–402 in *Mathematical analysis and applications, Part A*, edited by L. Nachbin, Adv. in Math. Suppl. Stud. **7**, Academic Press, New York, 1981. [MR 84a:35083](#) [Zbl 0469.35052](#)

- [Kusano and Naito 1987] T. Kusano and M. Naito, “Oscillation theory of entire solutions of second order superlinear elliptic equations”, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **30**:2-3 (1987), 269–282. [MR 89a:35019](#) [Zbl 0656.35040](#)
- [Li and Zhang 2003] Y. Li and L. Zhang, “Liouville-type theorems and Harnack-type inequalities for semilinear elliptic equations”, *J. Anal. Math.* **90** (2003), 27–87. [MR 2004i:35118](#)
- [Li and Zhu 1995] Y. Li and M. Zhu, “Uniqueness theorems through the method of moving spheres”, *Duke Math. J.* **80**:2 (1995), 383–417. [MR 96k:35061](#) [Zbl 0846.35050](#)
- [Lin 1998] C-S. Lin, “Liouville-type theorems for semilinear elliptic equations involving the Sobolev exponent”, *Math. Z.* **228**:4 (1998), 723–744. [MR 99f:35050](#) [Zbl 0915.35036](#)
- [Mitidieri 1993] E. Mitidieri, “A Rellich type identity and applications”, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **18**:1-2 (1993), 125–151. [MR 94c:26016](#) [Zbl 0816.35027](#)
- [Mitidieri 1996] E. Mitidieri, “Nonexistence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems in \mathbf{R}^N ”, *Differential Integral Equations* **9**:3 (1996), 465–479. [MR 96m:35087](#) [Zbl 0848.35034](#)
- [Ni 1982] W. M. Ni, “On the elliptic equation $\Delta u + K(x)u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$, its generalizations, and applications in geometry”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **31**:4 (1982), 493–529. [MR 84e:35049](#) [Zbl 0496.35036](#)
- [Padilla 1994] P. Padilla, *On some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Thesis, New York University, 1994.
- [Serrin 1971] J. Serrin, “A symmetry problem in potential theory”, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **43** (1971), 304–318. [MR 48 #11545](#) [Zbl 0222.31007](#)
- [Serrin and Zou 1994] J. Serrin and H. Zou, “Non-existence of positive solutions of semilinear elliptic systems”, pp. 55–68 in *A tribute to Ilya Bakelman* (College Station, TX, 1993), edited by I. R. Bakelman et al., Discourses Math. Appl. **3**, Texas A & M Univ., 1994. [MR 97k:35065](#) [Zbl 0900.35121](#)
- [Serrin and Zou 1996] J. Serrin and H. Zou, “Non-existence of positive solutions of Lane–Emden systems”, *Differential Integral Equations* **9**:4 (1996), 635–653. [MR 97f:35056](#) [Zbl 0868.35032](#)

Received September 21, 2007. Revised March 16, 2008.

YAJING ZHANG
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
SHANXI UNIVERSITY
TAIYUAN 030006
CHINA
zhangyj@sxu.edu.cn