

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A QUOTIENT OF THE BRAID GROUP RELATED TO
PSEUDOSYMMETRIC BRAIDED CATEGORIES**

FLORIN PANAITE AND MIHAI D. STAIC

A QUOTIENT OF THE BRAID GROUP RELATED TO PSEUDOSYMMETRIC BRAIDED CATEGORIES

FLORIN PANAITE AND MIHAI D. STAIC

Motivated by the recent concept of a pseudosymmetric braided monoidal category, we define the pseudosymmetric group PS_n to be the quotient of the braid group B_n by the relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. It turns out that PS_n is isomorphic to the quotient of B_n by the commutator subgroup $[P_n, P_n]$ of the pure braid group P_n (which amounts to saying that $[P_n, P_n]$ coincides with the normal subgroup of B_n generated by the elements $[\sigma_i^2, \sigma_{i+1}^2]$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-2$), and that PS_n is a linear group.

Introduction

A symmetric category consists of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} equipped with a family of natural isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ satisfying natural “bilinearity” conditions together with the symmetry relation $c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$. This concept was generalized by Joyal and Street [1993] by dropping this symmetry relation from the axioms and arriving thus at the concept of braided category, of central importance in quantum group theory; see [Kassel 1995; Majid 1995].

Inspired by recently introduced categorical concepts of pure-braided structures [Staic 2004] and twines [Bruguères 2006], Panaite, Staic and Van Oystaeyen [Panaite et al. 2009] defined the concept of pseudosymmetric braiding to generalize symmetric braidings. A braiding c on a strict monoidal category \mathcal{C} is pseudosymmetric if it satisfies the modified braid relation

$$(c_{Y,Z} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_Y \otimes c_{Z,X}^{-1}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z) = (\text{id}_Z \otimes c_{X,Y}) \circ (c_{Z,X}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_Y) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z})$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$. The main result in [Panaite et al. 2009] asserts that, if H is a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode, then the canonical braiding of the Yetter–Drinfeld category ${}_H \mathcal{YD}^H$ is pseudosymmetric if and only if H is commutative and cocommutative.

MSC2000: primary 20F36; secondary 18D10.

Keywords: braid group, symmetric group, braided categories, pseudosymmetric braidings.

Research partially supported by the CNCSIS project “Hopf algebras, cyclic homology and monoidal categories”, contract number 560/2009.

It is well known that, at several levels, braided categories correspond to the braid groups B_n , while symmetric categories correspond to the symmetric groups S_n . It is natural to expect that there exist some groups corresponding, in the same way, to pseudosymmetric braided categories. Indeed, it is clear that these groups, denoted by PS_n and called (naturally) the pseudosymmetric groups, should be the quotients of the braid groups B_n by the relations $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1}$. Our aim is to study and find more explicitly the structure of these groups. We prove first that the kernel of the canonical group morphism $PS_n \rightarrow S_n$ is abelian, and consequently PS_n is isomorphic to the quotient of B_n by the commutator subgroup $[P_n, P_n]$ of the pure braid group P_n . (This amounts to saying that $[P_n, P_n]$ coincides with the normal subgroup of B_n generated by the elements $[\sigma_i^2, \sigma_{i+1}^2]$ with $1 \leq i \leq n-2$.)

There exist similarities, but also differences, between braid groups and pseudosymmetric groups. Bigelow [2001] and Krammer [2002] proved that braid groups are linear, and we show that so are pseudosymmetric groups. More precisely, we prove that the Lawrence–Krammer representation of B_n induces a representation of PS_n if the parameter q is chosen to be 1, and that this representation of PS_n is faithful over $\mathbb{R}[t^{\pm 1}]$. On the other hand, although PS_n is an infinite group, like B_n , it does have nontrivial elements of finite order, unlike B_n .

1. Preliminaries

Definition 1.1 [Panaite et al. 2007]. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and let $T_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow X \otimes Y$ be a family of natural isomorphisms in \mathcal{C} . We call T a *strong twine* if, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} T_{I,I} &= \text{id}_I, & (T_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z) \circ T_{X \otimes Y, Z} &= (\text{id}_X \otimes T_{Y,Z}) \circ T_{X, Y \otimes Z}, \\ (T_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes T_{Y,Z}) &= (\text{id}_X \otimes T_{Y,Z}) \circ (T_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 [Panaite et al. 2009]. Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and c a braiding on \mathcal{C} . We say that c is *pseudosymmetric* if, for all $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (c_{Y,Z} \otimes \text{id}_X) \circ (\text{id}_Y \otimes c_{Z,X}^{-1}) \circ (c_{X,Y} \otimes \text{id}_Z) \\ = (\text{id}_Z \otimes c_{X,Y}) \circ (c_{Z,X}^{-1} \otimes \text{id}_Y) \circ (\text{id}_X \otimes c_{Y,Z}). \end{aligned}$$

In this case we say that \mathcal{C} is a *pseudosymmetric braided category*.

The next proposition, a key result in [Panaite et al. 2009], led to the introduction of the concept of pseudosymmetric braiding. Here, it will serve as a source of inspiration for a certain key result for braids, [Proposition 2.1](#).

Proposition 1.3 [Panaite et al. 2009]. *Let \mathcal{C} be a strict monoidal category and c a braiding on \mathcal{C} . Then the double braiding $T_{X,Y} := c_{Y,X} \circ c_{X,Y}$ is a strong twine if and only if c is pseudosymmetric.*

$$(7) \quad p_i^3 = q_i^3 = (p_i q_i)^3 = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n-2.$$

Proof. The relations $p_i^3 = 1$ and $q_i^3 = 1$ follow immediately from (4); actually each of them is equivalent to (4). Now we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (p_i q_i)^2 &= (\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1})^2 \\
 &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \\
 &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i+1}^{-2} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \\
 &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-2} \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1} \stackrel{(5)}{=} \sigma_{i+1}^{-2} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \\
 &= \sigma_{i+1}^{-2} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \sigma_i^{-1} \\
 &\stackrel{(3)}{=} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^{-1} = (p_i q_i)^{-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

and so $(p_i q_i)^3 = 1$. □

Consider now the symmetric group S_n with its usual presentation by generators s_i with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and relations (2), (3) and $s_i^2 = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We denote by $\pi : B_n \rightarrow S_n$, $\beta : B_n \rightarrow \text{PS}_n$ and $\alpha : \text{PS}_n \rightarrow S_n$ the canonical surjective group homomorphisms given by $\pi(\sigma_i) = s_i$, $\alpha(\sigma_i) = s_i$ and $\beta(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Obviously we have $\pi = \alpha \circ \beta$; hence in particular we obtain $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \beta(\text{Ker}(\pi))$. We denote as usual $\text{Ker}(\pi) = P_n$, the pure braid group on n strands. It is well known (see [Kassel and Turaev 2008, page 21]) that P_n is generated by the elements

$$(8) \quad a_{ij} := \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n$$

that satisfy certain relations, of which we will use only one, namely, that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq r < s \leq n$,

$$(9) \quad a_{ij} a_{rs} = a_{rs} a_{ij} \quad \text{if } s < i \text{ or } i < r < s < j.$$

Alternatively, P_n is generated by the elements

$$(10) \quad b_{ij} := \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} \sigma_{j-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

It is easy to see that in B_n we have

$$(11) \quad \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} = \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1}^2 \sigma_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^2 \sigma_i^{-1},$$

and by using repeatedly these relations we obtain an equivalent description of the elements a_{ij} and b_{ij} :

$$(12) \quad a_{ij} = \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^2 \sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

$$(13) \quad b_{ij} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} \sigma_{j-1}^2 \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

Now, for all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we define $A_{i,j}$ and $B_{i,j}$ as the elements in PS_n given by $A_{i,j} := \beta(a_{ij})$ and $B_{i,j} := \beta(b_{ij})$. From the discussion above it follows that $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$ is generated by $\{A_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ and also by $\{B_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The following relations hold in PS_n for $1 \leq i < j < n$:*

$$(14) \quad A_{i,j+1} = \sigma_j A_{i,j} \sigma_j^{-1},$$

$$(15) \quad B_{i,j+1} = \sigma_j^{-1} B_{i,j} \sigma_j.$$

Proof. These relations are consequences of corresponding relations in B_n for the a_{ij} and b_{ij} , which in turn follow immediately from (8) and (10). \square

Lemma 2.5. *For all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $i+1 < j$, we have in PS_n*

$$(16) \quad A_{i,j} = \sigma_i A_{i+1,j} \sigma_i^{-1},$$

$$(17) \quad B_{i,j} = \sigma_i^{-1} B_{i+1,j} \sigma_i.$$

Proof. We prove (16), while (17) is similar and left to the reader. Note that in PS_n we have $\sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1} = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1}$, which together with the second of (11) implies $\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^2 \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1}$; hence

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} &= \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \cdots (\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i^2 \sigma_{i+1}^{-1}) \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \cdots (\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1}^2 \sigma_i^{-1}) \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_i \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^2 \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i A_{i+1,j} \sigma_i^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposition 2.6. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we have $A_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$ in PS_n .*

Proof. We use (16) repeatedly:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} &= \sigma_i A_{i+1,j} \sigma_i^{-1} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} A_{i+2,j} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &\quad \dots \\ &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} A_{j-1,j} \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} \sigma_{j-1}^2 \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \stackrel{(13)}{=} B_{i,j}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 2.7. *For all $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ and $1 \leq h \leq k < n$, we have in PS_n*

$$(18) \quad A_{i,j} \sigma_i^2 = \sigma_i^2 A_{i,j},$$

$$(19) \quad A_{h,k+1} \sigma_k^2 = \sigma_k^2 A_{h,k+1}.$$

Proof. Note first that (18) is obvious for $j = i+1$. Assume that $i+1 < j$; using the fact that $A_{r,s} = B_{r,s}$ for all r, s , we compute

$$A_{i,j} \sigma_i^2 \stackrel{(16)}{=} \sigma_i A_{i+1,j} \sigma_i = \sigma_i B_{i+1,j} \sigma_i \stackrel{(17)}{=} \sigma_i^2 B_{i,j} = \sigma_i^2 A_{i,j}.$$

Note also that (19) is obvious for $h = k$. Assume that $h < k$; using again $A_{r,s} = B_{r,s}$ for all r, s , we compute

$$A_{h,k+1}\sigma_k^2 \stackrel{(14)}{=} \sigma_k A_{h,k} \sigma_k = \sigma_k B_{h,k} \sigma_k \stackrel{(15)}{=} \sigma_k^2 B_{h,k+1} = \sigma_k^2 A_{h,k+1}. \quad \square$$

3. The structure of PS_n

We denote by \mathfrak{P}_n the kernel of the morphism $\alpha : \text{PS}_n \rightarrow S_n$ defined above.

Proposition 3.1. *\mathfrak{P}_n is an abelian group.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that any two elements $A_{i,j}$ and $A_{k,l}$ commute in PS_n . We only have to analyze the following seven cases for the numbers i, j, k, l :

- (i) $i < j < k < l$. This is an obvious consequence of (9).
- (ii) $i < j = k < l$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} &= \sigma_i^{-1} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \sigma_{j-1}^2 \sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_i, \\ A_{j,l} &= \sigma_{l-1} \sigma_{l-2} \cdots \sigma_{j+1} \sigma_j^2 \sigma_{j+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{l-2}^{-1} \sigma_{l-1}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain $A_{i,j} A_{j,l} = A_{j,l} A_{i,j}$ by using (2) and the fact that σ_{j-1}^2 and σ_j^2 commute in PS_n .

- (iii) $i < k < j < l$. This follows since $A_{k,l} = B_{k,l}$ in PS_n (Proposition 2.6), and a_{ij} and b_{kl} commute in P_n if $i < k < j < l$, which is easily seen geometrically.
- (iv) $i = k < j = l$. This is trivial.
- (v) $i < k < l < j$. This is an obvious consequence of (9).
- (vi) $i = k < j < l$. In case $j = i + 1$, we have $A_{i,j} = \sigma_i^2$ and so we obtain $A_{i,j} A_{i,l} = A_{i,l} A_{i,j}$ by using (18); assuming now $i + 1 < j$, by using repeatedly (16) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} A_{i,l} &= \sigma_i A_{i+1,j} A_{i+1,l} \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} A_{i+2,j} A_{i+2,l} \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &\quad \dots \\ &= \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} A_{j-1,j} A_{j-1,l} \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$A_{i,l} A_{i,j} = \sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} \cdots \sigma_{j-2} A_{j-1,l} A_{j-1,j} \sigma_{j-2}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \sigma_i^{-1};$$

these are equal since $A_{j-1,j} = \sigma_{j-1}^2$ and by (18), $\sigma_{j-1}^2 A_{j-1,l} = A_{j-1,l} \sigma_{j-1}^2$.

(vii) $i < k < j = l$. In case $j = k + 1$, we have $A_{k,j} = \sigma_k^2$ and so we obtain $A_{i,j}A_{k,j} = A_{k,j}A_{i,j}$ by using (19); assuming now $k + 1 < j$, by repeatedly using (14) we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j}A_{k,j} &= \sigma_{j-1}A_{i,j-1}A_{k,j-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \\ &= \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}A_{i,j-2}A_{k,j-2}\sigma_{j-2}^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1} \\ &\dots \\ &= \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\dots\sigma_{k+1}A_{i,k+1}A_{k,k+1}\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{j-2}^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$A_{k,j}A_{i,j} = \sigma_{j-1}\sigma_{j-2}\dots\sigma_{k+1}A_{k,k+1}A_{i,k+1}\sigma_{k+1}^{-1}\dots\sigma_{j-2}^{-1}\sigma_{j-1}^{-1};$$

these are equal since $A_{k,k+1} = \sigma_k^2$ and by (19), $A_{i,k+1}\sigma_k^2 = \sigma_k^2A_{i,k+1}$. \square

Let G be a group. If $x, y \in G$ we denote by $[x, y] := x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$ the commutator of x and y , and by G' the commutator subgroup of G (the subgroup of G generated by all commutators $[x, y]$), which is the smallest normal subgroup N of G with the property that G/N is abelian. Moreover, G' is a characteristic subgroup of G , that is, $\theta(G') = G'$ for all $\theta \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

Proposition 3.2. $\mathfrak{P}_n \simeq P_n/P'_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2}$.

Proof. For $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ we define $t_i \in P_n$ by $t_i := [\sigma_i^2, \sigma_{i+1}^2] = [a_{i,i+1}, a_{i+1,i+2}]$. These elements are the relators added to the ones of B_n in order to obtain PS_n ; therefore, as a particular case of a general fact about groups given by generators and relations (see for instance [Coxeter and Moser 1972, page 2]), the kernel of the map $\beta : B_n \rightarrow \text{PS}_n$ defined above coincides with the normal subgroup of B_n generated by $\{t_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-2}$, which will be denoted by L_n . We obviously have $L_n \subseteq P_n$, and if we consider the map β restricted to P_n , we have a surjective morphism $P_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n$ with kernel L_n , so $\mathfrak{P}_n \simeq P_n/L_n$. By Proposition 3.1 we know that \mathfrak{P}_n is abelian, so we obtain $P'_n \subseteq L_n$. On the other hand, since P'_n is characteristic in P_n and P_n is normal in B_n , it follows (see [Suzuki 1982, Proposition 6.14]) that P'_n is normal in B_n , and since $t_1, \dots, t_{n-2} \in P'_n$ and L_n is the normal subgroup of B_n generated by $\{t_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-2}$, we obtain $L_n \subseteq P'_n$. Thus, we have obtained $L_n = P'_n$ and so $\mathfrak{P}_n \simeq P_n/P'_n$. On the other hand, it is well known that $P_n/P'_n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2}$; see for instance [Kassel and Turaev 2008, Corollary 1.20]. \square

As a consequence of the equality $L_n = P'_n$, we obtain B_n/P'_n :

Corollary 3.3. $\text{PS}_n \simeq B_n/P'_n$.

The extension with abelian kernel $1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n \rightarrow \text{PS}_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$ induces an action of S_n on \mathfrak{P}_n , given by $\sigma \cdot a = \tilde{\sigma}a\tilde{\sigma}^{-1}$ for $\sigma \in S_n$ and $a \in \mathfrak{P}_n$, where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is an element of PS_n with $\alpha(\tilde{\sigma}) = \sigma$. In particular, on generators we have $s_k \cdot A_{i,j} = \sigma_k A_{i,j} \sigma_k^{-1}$,

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$. By using some of the formulas given above, one can describe explicitly this action as

$$(20a) \quad s_k \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \quad \text{if } k < i-1,$$

$$(20b) \quad s_{i-1} \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i-1,j},$$

$$(20c) \quad s_i \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i+1,j} \quad \text{if } j-i > 1 \text{ and } s_i \cdot A_{i,i+1} = A_{i,i+1},$$

$$(20d) \quad s_k \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \quad \text{if } i < k < j-1,$$

$$(20e) \quad s_{j-1} \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i,j-1} \quad \text{if } j-i > 1 \text{ and } s_{j-1} \cdot A_{j-1,j} = A_{j-1,j},$$

$$(20f) \quad s_j \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i,j+1} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j < n,$$

$$(20g) \quad s_k \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{i,j} \quad \text{if } j < k.$$

Note that the first equality in (20c) follows by using (17) together with the fact that $A_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$ (Proposition 2.6), and the first equality in (20e) follows by an easy computation using also the fact that $A_{i,j} = B_{i,j}$. Also, one can easily see that these formulas may be expressed more compactly as follows: If $\sigma \in \{s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then $\sigma \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}$, where we made the convention $A_{r,t} := A_{t,r}$ for $t < r$. Since s_1, \dots, s_{n-1} generate S_n , we have found the action of S_n on $A_{i,j}$:

Proposition 3.4. *For any $\sigma \in S_n$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, the action of σ on $A_{i,j}$ is given by $\sigma \cdot A_{i,j} = A_{\sigma(i),\sigma(j)}$, with the convention $A_{r,t} := A_{t,r}$ for $t < r$.*

Lemma 3.5. *Let F be a free \mathbb{Z} -module of rank m , and let $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ be a generating system for F over \mathbb{Z} . Then $\{X_1, \dots, X_m\}$ is a basis of F over \mathbb{Z} .*

Proof. Assume X_1, \dots, X_m are linearly dependent over \mathbb{Z} and take $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i X_i = 0$ a nontrivial linear combination over \mathbb{Z} . Choose a prime number p such that $|\alpha_i| < p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, and consider $\bar{F} := F/pF$, a linear space over the field $\mathbb{Z}_p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, and \bar{X}_i , the images of the elements X_i in \bar{F} . These elements generate \bar{F} over \mathbb{Z}_p , and since the dimension of \bar{F} over \mathbb{Z}_p is m , it follows that $\{\bar{X}_1, \dots, \bar{X}_m\}$ is a basis of \bar{F} over \mathbb{Z}_p . Thus, it follows that $\alpha_i \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, which is a contradiction because we have chosen p so that $|\alpha_i| < p$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *In PS_n , there is no element of order 2 whose image in S_n is the transposition $s_1 = (1, 2)$. Consequently, the extension $1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n \rightarrow \text{PS}_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$ is not split.*

Proof. Take $x \in \text{PS}_n$ such that $\alpha(x) = s_1$. Since $\alpha(\sigma_1) = s_1$, we obtain that $x\sigma_1^{-1} \in \text{Ker}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{P}_n$. By Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 3.5, it follows that the abelian group \mathfrak{P}_n is freely generated by $\{A_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$, so we can write uniquely

$x = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \sigma_1$, with $m_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 x^2 &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \sigma_1 \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \sigma_1 \right) \\
 &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \right) \left(\sigma_1 \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \sigma_1^{-1} \right) \sigma_1^2 \\
 &= \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \right) \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sigma_1 A_{i,j}^{m_{ij}} \sigma_1^{-1} \right) A_{1,2} \\
 &= A_{1,2}^{2m_{12}+1} \left(\prod_{3 \leq j \leq n} A_{1,j}^{m_{1j}+m_{2j}} A_{2,j}^{m_{1j}+m_{2j}} \right) \left(\prod_{3 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{2m_{ij}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and this element cannot be trivial because $2m_{12} + 1$ cannot be 0. Note that for the last equality we used the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sigma_1 A_{1,2} \sigma_1^{-1} &= A_{1,2}, \\
 \sigma_1 A_{1,j} \sigma_1^{-1} &= A_{2,j} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 3, \\
 \sigma_1 A_{2,j} \sigma_1^{-1} &= A_{1,j} \quad \text{for all } j \geq 3, \\
 \sigma_1 A_{i,j} \sigma_1^{-1} &= A_{i,j} \quad \text{for all } 3 \leq i < j,
 \end{aligned}$$

which can be easily proved by using some of the formulas given above. \square

Remark 3.7. As is well known [Brown 1982], any extension with abelian kernel corresponds to a 2-cocycle. Specifically, the extension $1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_n \rightarrow \text{PS}_n \rightarrow S_n \rightarrow 1$ corresponds to an element in $H^2(S_n, \mathbb{Z}^{n(n-1)/2})$. We illustrate this by computing explicitly the corresponding 2-cocycle for $n = 3$. We consider the set-theoretical section $f: S_3 \rightarrow \text{PS}_3$ defined by $f(1) = 1$, $f(s_2) = \sigma_2$, $f(s_1) = \sigma_1$, $f(s_1 s_2) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2$, $f(s_2 s_1) = \sigma_2 \sigma_1$ and $f(s_2 s_1 s_2) = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2$. The 2-cocycle afforded by this section is defined by $u: S_3 \times S_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}_3$, $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)f(y)f(xy)^{-1}$, and a direct computation gives its explicit formula as in Table 1, where we have chosen an additive notation for the abelian group $\mathfrak{P}_3 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^3$.

	1	s_2	s_1	$s_1 s_2$	$s_2 s_1$	$s_2 s_1 s_2$
1	0	0	0	0	0	0
s_2	0	$A_{2,3}$	0	0	$A_{2,3}$	$A_{2,3}$
s_1	0	0	$A_{1,2}$	$A_{1,2}$	0	$A_{1,2}$
$s_1 s_2$	0	$A_{1,3}$	0	$A_{1,2}$	$A_{1,2} + A_{1,3}$	$A_{1,2} + A_{1,3}$
$s_2 s_1$	0	0	$A_{1,3}$	$A_{1,3} + A_{2,3}$	$A_{2,3}$	$A_{1,3} + A_{2,3}$
$s_2 s_1 s_2$	0	$A_{1,2}$	$A_{2,3}$	$A_{1,3} + A_{2,3}$	$A_{1,2} + A_{1,3}$	$A_{1,2} + A_{1,3} + A_{2,3}$

Table 1. The 2-cocycle for $n = 3$ associated to the section f .

4. PS_n is linear

Bigelow [2001] and Krammer [2002] proved that the braid group B_n is linear. More precisely, let R be a commutative ring, let q and t be two invertible elements in R , and let V be a free R -module of rank $n(n-1)/2$ with a basis $\{x_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$. Then the map $\rho : B_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k x_{k,k+1} &= tq^2 x_{k,k+1}, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,k} &= (1-q)x_{i,k} + qx_{i,k+1} && \text{for } i < k, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,k+1} &= x_{i,k} + tq^{k-i+1}(q-1)x_{k,k+1} && \text{for } i < k, \\ \sigma_k x_{k,j} &= tq(q-1)x_{k,k+1} + qx_{k+1,j} && \text{for } k+1 < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{k+1,j} &= x_{k,j} + (1-q)x_{k+1,j} && \text{for } k+1 < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,j} &= x_{i,j} && \text{for } i < j < k \text{ or } k+1 < i < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,j} &= x_{i,j} + tq^{k-i}(q-1)^2 x_{k,k+1} && \text{for } i < k < k+1 < j, \end{aligned}$$

and $\rho(x)(v) = xv$ for $x \in B_n$ and $v \in V$, gives a representation of B_n , and if also $R = \mathbb{R}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $q \in \mathbb{R} \subseteq R$ with $0 < q < 1$, then the representation is faithful; see [Krammer 2002].

We consider now the general formula for ρ , in which we take $q = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k x_{k,k+1} &= tx_{k,k+1}, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,k} &= x_{i,k+1} && \text{for } i < k, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,k+1} &= x_{i,k} && \text{for } i < k, \\ \sigma_k x_{k,j} &= x_{k+1,j} && \text{for } k+1 < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{k+1,j} &= x_{k,j} && \text{for } k+1 < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,j} &= x_{i,j} && \text{for } i < j < k \text{ or } k+1 < i < j, \\ \sigma_k x_{i,j} &= x_{i,j} && \text{for } i < k < k+1 < j. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily see that these formulas imply

$$\sigma_k^2 x_{k,k+1} = t^2 x_{k,k+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_k^2 x_{i,j} = x_{i,j} \quad \text{if } (i, j) \neq (k, k+1).$$

One can then check that $\rho(\sigma_k^2)$ commutes with $\rho(\sigma_{k+1}^2)$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, and so for $q = 1$ it turns out that ρ is a representation of PS_n .

Theorem 4.1. *This representation of PS_n is faithful if $R = \mathbb{R}[t^{\pm 1}]$. Therefore, PS_n is linear.*

Proof. We first prove that $A_{i,j}x_{i,j} = t^2x_{i,j}$, and $A_{i,j}x_{k,l} = x_{k,l}$ if $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$. We do it by induction over $|j - i|$. If $|j - i| = 1$, the relations follow from the fact that $A_{i,i+1} = \sigma_i^2$. Assume the relations hold for $|j - i| = s - 1$. We want to prove

them for $|j - i| = s$. We recall that $A_{i,j} = \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1}$; see (14). We compute

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} x_{i,j} &= \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} x_{i,j} = \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j-1} x_{i,j-1} \\ &= \sigma_{j-1} t^2 x_{i,j-1} \quad (\text{by induction}) \\ &= t^2 x_{i,j}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $(i, j) \neq (k, l)$ then $\sigma_{j-1}^{-1} x_{k,l} = x_{u,v}$ with $(i, j-1) \neq (u, v)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i,j} x_{k,l} &= \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} x_{k,l} = \sigma_{j-1} A_{i,j-1} x_{u,v} \\ &= \sigma_{j-1} x_{u,v} \quad (\text{by induction}) \\ &= \sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-1}^{-1} x_{k,l} = x_{k,l}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

To show that the representation is faithful, take $b \in \text{PS}_n$ such that $\rho(b) = \text{id}_V$ and consider $\alpha(b)$, the image of b in S_n . From the way ρ is defined it follows that

$$b x_{i,j} = t^p x_{\alpha(b)(i), \alpha(b)(j)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

with $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, where we made the convention $x_{r,s} := x_{s,r}$ if $1 \leq s < r \leq n$. Since $x_{i,j}$ is a basis in V and we assumed $\rho(b) = \text{id}_V$, we find that the permutation $\alpha(b) \in S_n$ has the property that if $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then either $\alpha(b)(i) = i$ and $\alpha(b)(j) = j$ or $\alpha(b)(i) = j$ and $\alpha(b)(j) = i$. Since we assumed $n \geq 3$, the only such permutation is the trivial one. Thus, we have obtained that $b \in \text{Ker}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{P}_n$ and so we can write $b = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} A_{i,j}^{m_{i,j}}$, with $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. By using the formulas given above for the action of $A_{i,j}$ on $x_{k,l}$ we immediately obtain $b x_{k,l} = t^{2m_{k,l}} x_{k,l}$ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$. Using again the assumption $\rho(b) = \text{id}_V$, we obtain $t^{2m_{k,l}} = 1$ and hence $m_{k,l} = 0$ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$, that is $b = 1$, finishing the proof. \square

5. Pseudosymmetric groups and pseudosymmetric braidings

We recall from [Kassel 1995, XIII.2] that to braid groups one can associate the so-called *braid category* \mathcal{B} , a universal braided monoidal category. Similarly, we can construct a pseudosymmetric braided category \mathcal{PS} associated to pseudosymmetric groups. Namely, the objects of \mathcal{PS} are natural numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The set of morphisms from m to n is empty if $m \neq n$ and is PS_n if $m = n$. The monoidal structure of \mathcal{PS} is defined as the one for \mathcal{B} , and so is the braiding, namely

$$c_{n,m} : n \otimes m \rightarrow m \otimes n,$$

$$c_{0,n} = \text{id}_n = c_{n,0},$$

$$c_{n,m} = (\sigma_m \sigma_{m-1} \cdots \sigma_1)(\sigma_{m+1} \sigma_m \cdots \sigma_2) \cdots (\sigma_{m+n-1} \sigma_{m+n-2} \cdots \sigma_n) \quad \text{if } m, n > 0.$$

We denote by $t_{m,n} = c_{n,m} \circ c_{m,n}$ the double braiding. In view of [Proposition 1.3](#), to prove that c is pseudosymmetric it is enough to check that, for all $m, n, p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(21) \quad (t_{m,n} \otimes \text{id}_p) \circ (\text{id}_m \otimes t_{n,p}) = (\text{id}_m \otimes t_{n,p}) \circ (t_{m,n} \otimes \text{id}_p).$$

Note that $t_{m,n} \otimes \text{id}_p$ and $\text{id}_m \otimes t_{n,p}$ are elements in \mathfrak{P}_{m+n+p} , which is an abelian group, and the composition \circ between $t_{m,n} \otimes \text{id}_p$ and $\text{id}_m \otimes t_{n,p}$ is just the multiplication in the group \mathfrak{P}_{m+n+p} , so (21) is obviously true.

Let \mathcal{C} be a strict braided monoidal category with braiding c , let n be a natural number and let $V \in \mathcal{C}$. Consider the automorphisms c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} of $V^{\otimes n}$ defined by $c_i = \text{id}_{V^{\otimes(i-1)}} \otimes c_{V,V} \otimes \text{id}_{V^{\otimes(n-i-1)}}$. It is well known (see [\[Kassel 1995, XV.4\]](#)) that there exists a unique group morphism $\rho_n^c: B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$ such that $\rho_n^c(\sigma_i) = c_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. It is clear that, if c is pseudosymmetric, then ρ_n^c factorizes to a group morphism $\text{PS}_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V^{\otimes n})$. Thus, pseudosymmetric braided categories provide representations of pseudosymmetric groups.

References

- [Bigelow 2001] S. J. Bigelow, “Braid groups are linear”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14**:2 (2001), 471–486. [MR 2002a:20043](#) [Zbl 0988.20021](#)
- [Brown 1982] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer, New York, 1982. [MR 83k:20002](#) [Zbl 0584.20036](#)
- [Bruguières 2006] A. Bruguières, “Double braidings, twists and tangle invariants”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **204**:1 (2006), 170–194. [MR 2006k:57030](#) [Zbl 1091.57007](#)
- [Coxeter and Moser 1972] H. S. M. Coxeter and W. O. J. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups*, 3rd ed., *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete* **14**, Springer, New York, 1972. [MR 50 #2313](#) [Zbl 0239.20040](#)
- [Joyal and Street 1993] A. Joyal and R. Street, “Braided tensor categories”, *Adv. Math.* **102**:1 (1993), 20–78. [MR 94m:18008](#) [Zbl 0817.18007](#)
- [Kassel 1995] C. Kassel, *Quantum groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **155**, Springer, New York, 1995. [MR 96e:17041](#) [Zbl 0808.17003](#)
- [Kassel and Turaev 2008] C. Kassel and V. Turaev, *Braid groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **247**, Springer, New York, 2008. [MR 2009e:20082](#) [Zbl 05268073](#)
- [Krammer 2002] D. Krammer, “Braid groups are linear”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **155**:1 (2002), 131–156. [MR 2003c:20040](#) [Zbl 1020.20025](#)
- [Majid 1995] S. Majid, *Foundations of quantum group theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1995. [MR 97g:17016](#) [Zbl 0857.17009](#)
- [Panaite et al. 2007] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, and F. Van Oystaeyen, “On some classes of lazy cocycles and categorical structures”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **209**:3 (2007), 687–701. [MR 2007k:16074](#) [Zbl 1155.16030](#)
- [Panaite et al. 2009] F. Panaite, M. D. Staic, and F. Van Oystaeyen, “Pseudosymmetric braidings, twines and twisted algebras”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* (2009). [arXiv 0801.2055](#)
- [Staic 2004] M. D. Staic, “Pure-braided Hopf algebras and knot invariants”, *J. Knot Theory Ramifications* **13**:3 (2004), 385–400. [MR 2005d:16069](#) [Zbl 1106.16042](#)

[Suzuki 1982] M. Suzuki, *Group theory, I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **247**, Springer, Berlin, 1982. [MR 82k:20001c](#) [Zbl 0472.20001](#)

Received December 17, 2008. Revised June 26, 2009.

FLORIN PANAITÉ
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY
P.O. BOX 1-764
014700 BUCHAREST
ROMANIA

Florin.Panaite@imar.ro
<http://www.imar.ro/~fpanaite/>

MIHAI D. STAIC
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE ROMANIAN ACADEMY
P.O. BOX 1-764
014700 BUCHAREST
ROMANIA

Current address:
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
INDIANA UNIVERSITY
RAWLES HALL
BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405
UNITED STATES
mstaic@indiana.edu