Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LEWIS-ZAGIER CORRESPONDENCE FOR HIGHER-ORDER FORMS

ANTON DEITMAR

Volume 249 No. 1

January 2011

LEWIS-ZAGIER CORRESPONDENCE FOR HIGHER-ORDER FORMS

ANTON DEITMAR

The Lewis–Zagier correspondence attaches period functions to Maaß wave forms. We extend this correspondence to wave forms of higher order, which are higher-order invariants of the Fuchsian group in question. The key ingredient, an identification of higher-order invariants with ordinary invariants of unipotent twists, makes it possible to apply standard methods of automorphic forms to higher-order forms.

Introduction

The Lewis–Zagier correspondence – [Lewis 1997; Lewis and Zagier 1997; 2001]; see also [Bruggeman 1997] — is a bijection between the space of Maaß wave forms of a fixed Laplace-eigenvalue λ and the space of real-analytic functions on the line, satisfying a functional equation that involves the eigenvalue. The latter functions are called period functions. In [Deitmar and Hilgert 2007], this correspondence was extended to subgroups Γ of finite index in the full modular group $\Gamma(1)$. One can assume Γ to be normal in $\Gamma(1)$. The central idea of the latter paper is to consider the action of the finite group $\Gamma(1)/\Gamma$ and, in this way, to consider Maaß forms for Γ as vector-valued Maaß forms for $\Gamma(1)$. This technique can be applied to higher-order forms as well [Chinta et al. 2002; Deitmar 2009; Deitmar and Diamantis 2009; Diamantis and Sreekantan 2006; Diamantis et al. 2006; Diamantis and O'Sullivan 2008; Diamantis and Sim 2008], turning the somewhat unfamiliar notion of a higher-order invariant into the notion of a classical invariant of a twist by unipotent representation. In the case of Eisenstein series, this viewpoint has already been used in [Jorgenson and O'Sullivan 2008]. The general framework of higher-order invariants and unipotent twists is described in Section 1. This way of viewing higher-order forms has the advantage that it allows techniques of classical automorphic forms to be applied in the context of higher-order forms. The example of the trace formula will be treated in forthcoming work. This paper only applies this technique to extend the Lewis-Zagier correspondence to higher-order forms.

MSC2000: 11F12, 11F67.

Keywords: higher-order invariants, automorphic forms.

We define the corresponding spaces of automorphic forms of higher order in Section 2. Various authors have defined holomorphic forms of higher order. Maaß forms are more subtle, as it is not immediately clear how to establish the L^2 structure on higher-order invariants. Deitmar and Diamantis [2009] resorted to the obvious L^2 structure for the quotient spaces of consecutive higher-order forms; however, this is unsatisfactory because one wishes to view L^2 higher-order forms as higher-order invariants themselves. In this paper this flaw is remedied: we give a space of locally square-integrable functions on the universal cover of the Borel–Serre compactification, whose higher-order invariants give the sought-for L^2 invariants.

We use a common definition of higher-order forms that insists on full invariance under parabolic elements. In the language of Section 1, that means that we take the subgroup P to be the subgroup generated by all parabolic elements. It is an open question whether the results here can be extended to the case $P = \{1\}$, that is, to full higher-order invariants. In this case, Fourier expansions have to be replaced with Fourier–Taylor expansions, and thus it is unclear how the correspondence should be defined.

1. Higher-order invariants and unipotent representations

We describe higher-order forms by using invariants in unipotent representations.

Let Γ be a group and let W be a $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module. We take the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers as the base ring. Most of the general theory works over any ring, but our applications are over \mathbb{C} . Let I_{Γ} be the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$; that is, the kernel of the *augmentation homomorphism*

$$A: \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] \to \mathbb{C}, \quad \sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma} \gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} c_{\gamma}.$$

The ideal I_{Γ} is a vector space with basis $\{\gamma - 1\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{1\}}$.

We will need two simple properties of the augmentation ideal that, for the reader's convenience, we will prove in the next lemma. A set *S* of generators of the group Γ is called *symmetric* when $s \in S$ if and only if $s^{-1} \in S$. It is easy to see that $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma] = \mathbb{C} \oplus I_{\Gamma}$ and that $I_{\Gamma} = \sum_{s \in S} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma](s-1)$ for any set of generators *S* of Γ . We also fix a normal subgroup *P* of Γ . We let I_p denote the augmentation ideal of *P*, and $\tilde{I}_p = \mathbb{C}[\Gamma] I_p$. As *P* is normal, \tilde{I}_p is a two-sided ideal of $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$. For any integer $q \ge 0$, we set $J_q = I_{\Gamma}^q + \tilde{I}_p$. The set of Γ -invariants $W^{\Gamma} = H^0(\Gamma, W)$ in *W* can be described as the set of all $w \in W$ with $I_{\Gamma}w = 0$. For q = 1, 2, ..., we define the set of invariants of type *P* and order *q* to be

$$H^0_{a,P}(\Gamma, W) = \{ w \in W : J_q w = 0 \}.$$

Then $H_{q,P}^0 = H_{q,P}^0(\Gamma, W)$ is a submodule of *W*, and we have a natural filtration

$$0 \subset H^0_{1,P} \subset H^0_{2,P} \subset \cdots \subset H^0_{q,P} \subset \cdots.$$

Since $I_{\Gamma}H^0_{q,P} \subset H^0_{q-1,P}$, the group Γ acts trivially on $H^0_{q,P}/H^0_{q-1,P}$. A representation (η, V_{η}) of Γ on a complex vector space V_{η} is called a *unipotent*

A representation (η, V_{η}) of Γ on a complex vector space V_{η} is called a *unipotent length-q representation* if V_{η} has a Γ -stable filtration $0 \subset V_{\eta,1} \subset \cdots \subset V_{\eta,q} = V_{\eta}$ such that Γ acts trivially on each quotient $V_{\eta,k}/V_{\eta,k-1}$, where $k = 1, \ldots, q$ and $V_{\eta,0} = 0$.

Let (η, V_{η}) be a unipotent length-*q* representation. Assume that it is *P*-trivial, that is, its restriction to the subgroup *P* is the trivial representation. There is a natural map Φ_{η} : Hom_{Γ} $(V_{\eta}, W) \otimes V_{\eta} \rightarrow W$ given by $\alpha \otimes v \mapsto \alpha(v)$.

Lemma 1.1. Let W be a $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]$ -module. The submodule $H^0_{q,P}(\Gamma, W)$ constitutes a P-trivial, unipotent length-q representation of Γ . If the group Γ is finitely generated, then the space $H^0_{q,P}(\Gamma, W)$ is the sum of all images Φ_{η} , when η runs over the set of all P-trivial, unipotent length-q representations that are finite-dimensional over \mathbb{C} .

Proof. The first assertion is clear. Assume now that Γ is finitely generated. The space $H^0_{q,P}(\Gamma, W)$ needn't be finite-dimensional. We use induction on q to show that for each $w \in H^0_{q,par}(\Gamma, W)$ the complex vector space $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]v$ is finite-dimensional. For q = 1, we have $\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]w = \mathbb{C}w$, and the claim follows. Next, let $w \in H^0_{q+1,P}(\Gamma, W)$ and let S be a finite set of generators of Γ . Then

$$\mathbb{C}[\Gamma]w = \mathbb{C}w + I_{\Gamma}w = \mathbb{C}w + \sum_{s \in S} \mathbb{C}[\Gamma](s-1)w.$$

Since $(s-1)w \in H^0_{a,P}(\Gamma, W)$, the claim follows from the induction hypothesis. \Box

From now on, assume that Γ is finitely generated. The philosophy pursued in the rest of the paper is this:

Once you know $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(V_{\eta}, W)$ for every *P*-trivial finite-dimensional unipotent length-*q* representation, you know the space $H^0_{a,P}(\Gamma, W)$.

So, instead of investigating $H^0_{q,P}(\Gamma, W)$, one should rather look at

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\Gamma}(V_{\eta}, W) \cong (V_{\eta}^* \otimes W)^{\Gamma}$$

which is often easier to handle. In fact, it is enough to restrict to a generic set of η .

As an example of this approach, consider the case q = 2. For each group homomorphism $\chi : \Gamma/P \to (\mathbb{C}, +)$, one gets a *P*-trivial unipotent length-*q* representation η_{χ} on \mathbb{C}^2 given by

$$\eta_{\chi}(\gamma) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \chi(\gamma) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We introduce the notation

$$\overline{H}^{0}_{q,P} = \overline{H}^{0}_{q,P}(\Gamma, W) = H^{0}_{q,P}(\Gamma, W) / H^{0}_{q-1,P}(\Gamma, W) = H^{0}_{q,P} / H^{0}_{q-1,P}.$$

Proposition 1.2. The space $H^0_{2,P}(\Gamma, W)$ is the sum of all images $\Phi_{\eta_{\chi}}$ when χ ranges over $\operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma/P, \mathbb{C}) \setminus \{0\}$. For any two $\chi \neq \chi'$, one has

$$\operatorname{Im}(\Phi_{\eta_{\chi}}) \cap \operatorname{Im}(\Phi_{\eta_{\chi'}}) = H^0(\Gamma, W).$$

In other words,

$$\overline{H}_1^0 = \bigoplus_{\chi} \operatorname{Im}(\Phi_{\eta_{\chi}})/H^0.$$

Proof. We the order-lowering operator

$$\Lambda: \overline{H}^0_{q,P} \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma/P, \overline{H}^0_{q-1,P}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma/P, \mathbb{C}) \otimes \overline{H}^0_{q-1,P},$$

where the last isomorphism exists because Γ is finitely generated. This operator is defined by $\Lambda(w)(\gamma) = (\gamma - 1)w$. One sees that it is indeed a homomorphism in γ by using the fact that $(\gamma \tau - 1) \equiv (\gamma - 1) + (\tau - 1) \mod I^2$ for any two $\gamma, \tau \in \Gamma$. The map Λ is clearly injective.

Pick now $w \in \text{Im}(\Phi_{\eta_{\chi}}) \cap \text{Im}(\Phi_{\eta_{\chi'}})$ for $\chi \neq \chi'$. Then $\Lambda(w) \in \chi \otimes H^0 \cap \chi' \otimes H^0$, and the latter space is zero since $\chi \neq \chi'$. For surjectivity, pick $w \in H_1^0$. Then $\Lambda(w) = \sum_{i=1}^n \chi_i \otimes w_i$ with $w_i \in H^0$, and so $w \in \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Im}(\varphi_{\eta_{\chi_i}})$.

2. Higher-order forms

We now remedy the aforementioned shortcoming of [Deitmar and Diamantis 2009]. We also give a guide on how to set up higher-order L^2 invariants in more general cases (like general lattices in locally compact groups) when there is no gadget such as the Borel–Serre compactification around. In that case, Lemma 2.1 tells you how to define the L^2 structure once you have chosen a fundamental domain for the group action.

Let *G* denote the group $PSL_2(\mathbb{R}) = SL_2(\mathbb{R})/\{\pm 1\}$ and *K* its maximal compact subgroup $PSO(2) = SO(2)/\{\pm 1\}$. Let $\Gamma(1) = PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the full modular group and $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(1)$ be a normal subgroup of finite index that is torsion-free. For every cusp *c* of Γ , fix $\sigma_c \in \Gamma(1)$ such that $\sigma_c \infty = c$ and

$$\sigma_c^{-1}\Gamma_c\,\sigma_c=\pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & N_c\mathbb{Z}\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The number $N_c \in \mathbb{N}$ is uniquely determined and is called the *width* of the cusp c. Let $\mathbb{H} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im}(z) > 0\}$ be the upper half plane, and let $\mathbb{O}(\mathbb{H})$ be the set of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} . We fix a weight $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ and define a (right) action of G on functions f on \mathbb{H} by

$$f|_k \gamma(z) = (cz+d)^{-k} f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) \text{ for } \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define the space $\mathbb{O}_{\Gamma,k}^{M}(\mathbb{H})$ to be the set of all $f \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{H})$ such that for every cusp *c* of Γ , the function $f|_{k}\sigma_{c}$ is bounded on the domain $\{\operatorname{Im}(z) > 1\}$ by a constant times $\operatorname{Im}(z)^{A}$ for some A > 0.

Further, we consider the space $\mathbb{O}_{\Gamma,k}^{S}(\mathbb{H})$ of all $f \in \mathbb{O}(\mathbb{H})$ such that for every cusp c of Γ , the function $f|_{k} \sigma_{c}$ is bounded on the domain $\{\text{Im}(z) > 1\}$ by a constant times $e^{-A \operatorname{Im}(z)}$ for some A > 0.

These two spaces are preserved not only by Γ , but also by the action of the full modular group $\Gamma(1)$.

The normal subgroup *P* of Γ will be the subgroup Γ_{par} generated by all parabolic elements. We write $H_{q,\text{par}}^0$ for $H_{q,P}^0$, and we consider the space

$$M_{k,q}(\Gamma) = H^0_{q,\text{par}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{O}^M_{\Gamma,k}(\mathbb{H}))$$

of modular functions of weight k and order q, as well as the corresponding space

$$S_{k,q}(\Gamma) = H^0_{q,\text{par}}(\Gamma, \mathbb{O}^S_{\Gamma,k}(\mathbb{H}))$$

of cusp forms. Then, every $f \in M_{k,q}(\Gamma)$ possesses a *Fourier expansion* at each cusp *c* of the form

$$f|_k \sigma_c(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{c,n} e^{2\pi i (n/N_c)z}.$$

A function $f \in M_{k,q}(\Gamma)$ belongs to the subset $S_{k,q}(\Gamma)$ if and only if $a_{c,0} = 0$ for every cusp *c* of Γ .

Since the group Γ is normal in $\Gamma(1)$, the latter acts on the finite-dimensional spaces $M_{k,q}(\Gamma)$ and $S_{k,q}(\Gamma)$. These therefore give examples of finite-dimensional representations of $\Gamma(1)$ that become unipotent length-*q* when restricted to Γ .

By a *Maa* β wave form for the group Γ and parameter $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, we mean a function $u \in L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ that is twice continuously differentiable and satisfies

$$\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2)u.$$

By the regularity of solutions of elliptic differential equations, this condition implies that *u* is real analytic. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\nu} = \mathcal{M}_{\nu}(\Gamma)$ be the space of all Maaß wave forms for Γ . Sometimes in the definition of Maaß forms, instead of the L^2 condition, a weaker condition on the growth at the cusps is imposed.

Next, we define Maaß wave forms of higher order. First, we need the higherorder version of the Hilbert space $L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$. For this, recall the construction of the Borel–Serre [1973] compactification $\overline{\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}}$ of $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$. One constructs a space $\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma} \supset \mathbb{H}$ by attaching to \mathbb{H} a real line at each cusp *c* of Γ , and then one equips this set with a suitable topology such that Γ acts properly discontinuously. The quotient $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}$ is the Borel–Serre compactification.

The space \mathbb{H}_{Γ} is constructed so that, for a given (closed) fundamental domain $D \subset \mathbb{H}$ of $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}$ with finitely many geodesic sides, the closure \overline{D} in \mathbb{H}_{Γ} is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}$. By the discontinuity of the group action, this has the consequence that for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma}$, there exists a finite set $F \subset \Gamma$ such that $K \subset F\overline{D} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in F} \gamma \overline{D}$.

Now we extend the hyperbolic measure to \mathbb{H}_{Γ} so that the boundary $\partial \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma} = \mathbb{H}_{\Gamma} \setminus \mathbb{H}$ is a nullset. Let $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})$ be the space of local L^2 functions on \mathbb{H}_{Γ} . Then, Γ acts on $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})$. Since Γ acts discontinuously with compact quotient on \mathbb{H}_{Γ} , one has

$$L^2_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})^{\Gamma} = L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$$

We define $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ as the space of all $f \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})$ such that $J_q f = 0$; in other words,

$$L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) = H^0_{q, \text{par}}(\Gamma, L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})).$$

Then, $L_1^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) = L^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is a Hilbert space in a natural way.

We want to endow the spaces $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ with Hilbert space structures when $q \ge 2$ as well. For this, we introduce the space F_q of all measurable functions $f : \mathbb{H} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $J_q f = 0$ modulo nullfunctions. Then, $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is a subset of F_q .

Lemma 2.1. Let $S \subset \Gamma$ be a finite set of generators, assumed to be symmetric and to contain the unit element. Let $D \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a closed fundamental domain of Γ with finitely many geodesic sides.

Any $f \in F_q$ is uniquely determined by its restriction to

$$S^{q-1}D = \bigcup_{s_1,\ldots,s_{q-1}\in S} s_1\ldots s_{q-1}D.$$

Further, one has

$$F_q \cap L^2(S^{q-1}D) = L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}),$$

where on both sides we mean the restriction to $S^q D$, which is unambiguous by the first assertion. In this way the space $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space $L^2(S^{q-1}D)$. The induced Hilbert-space topology on $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is independent of the choices of S and D, although the inner product is not. The action of the group $\Gamma(1)$ on $L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ is continuous, but not unitary unless q = 1.

Proof. We have to show that any $f \in L_q = L_q^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ that vanishes on $S^{q-1}D$ is zero. We use induction on q. The case q = 1 is clear. Take $q \ge 2$ and write $\overline{L}_q = L_q/L_{q-1}$. Consider the order-lowering operator

$$\Lambda: L_q \to \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, L_{q-1}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \otimes L_{q-1},$$

given by

$$\Lambda(f)(\gamma) = (\gamma - 1)f.$$

The kernel of Λ is L_{q-1} . Assume $f(S^{q-1}D) = 0$. Then, for every $s \in S$, we have $(s-1)f(S^{q-2}D) = 0$, and hence by the induction hypothesis we conclude that (s-1)f = 0. However, since S generates Γ , this means that $\Lambda(f) = 0$ and so $f \in L_{q-1}$, so again by the induction hypothesis, we get f = 0.

We next show that

$$F_q \cap L^2(S^{q-1}D) = F_q \cap L^2(S^{q-1+j}D) \quad \text{for every } j \ge 0.$$

The inclusion " \supset " is clear. We show the other inclusion by induction on q and j. For q = 1 or j = 0, there is no problem. So, assume the claim proved for q. Let $f \in F_{q+1} \cap L^2(S^{q+j}D)$ and $s \in S$. Then f(sz) = f(z) + f(sz) - f(z), the function f(z) is in $L^2(S^{q+j}D)$, and the function f(sz) - f(z) is in $F_q \cap L^2(S^{q+j-1}D) = L^2(S^{q+j}D)$ by the induction hypothesis. It follows that $f \in L^2(s^{-1}S^{q+j}D)$, and since this holds for every s, we get $f \in L^2(S^{q+j+1}D)$ as claimed.

We now come to

$$F_q \cap L^2(S^{q-1}D) = L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$$

Let $f \in F_q \cap L^2(S^{q-1}D)$. For every compact subset K of \mathbb{H}_{Γ} , there exists $j \ge 0$ such that $K \subset S^{q-1+j}D$. Therefore f is in $L^2(K)$ for every compact subset Kof H_{Γ} . Since the latter space is locally compact, f is in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})$. Since $I_{\Gamma}^q f = 0$, we get $f \in L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$. For the other inclusion, let $f \in L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$. Since $S^{q-1}D$ is relatively compact in \mathbb{H}_{Γ} , it follows that $f \in L^2(S^{q-1}D)$ as claimed.

We next prove the independence of the topology of *S*. Let *S'* be another set of generators. There exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $S' \subset S^l$. Hence, it suffices to show that for every $j \ge 0$, the topology from the inclusion $L_q^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) \subset L^2(S^{q-1}D)$ coincides with the topology from the inclusion $L_q^2(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H}) \subset L^2(S^{q+j}D)$. If a sequence tends to zero in the latter topology, it clearly tends to zero in the first as well. The other way around is proved by an induction on *j* similar to the one above. In particular, the continuity of the $\Gamma(1)$ -action follows.

Finally, we prove the independence of D. Let D' be another closed fundamental domain with finitely many geodesic sides. Then there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $D' \subset S^l D$, and the claim follows along the same lines as before.

We define the space $\mathcal{M}_{\nu,q} = \mathcal{M}_{\nu,q}(\Gamma)$ of *Maa* β wave forms of order q to be the space of all $u \in L^2_q(\Gamma \setminus \mathbb{H})$ that are twice continuously differentiable and satisfy

$$\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2)u.$$

Fix a finite-dimensional representation (η, V_{η}) of $\Gamma(1)$ that is Γ_{par} -trivial and that becomes a unipotent length-*q* representation on restriction to Γ .

We set $\mathcal{M}_{\nu,q,\eta}$ equal to $(V_{\eta} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\nu,q})^{\Gamma(1)}$. Likewise, we define $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q}(\Gamma) = \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q}$ as the space of all $u \in F_q(\Gamma)$ that are twice continuously differentiable and satisfy $\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2)u$, and we set $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q,\eta} = (V_{\eta} \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q})^{\Gamma(1)}$.

Lemma 2.2. If \mathfrak{D}'_{ν} is the space of all distributions u on \mathbb{H} with $\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - \nu^2)u$, then

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q,\eta} = (V_\eta \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,q})^{\Gamma(1)} = (V_\eta \otimes \mathfrak{D}'_{\nu})^{\Gamma(1)} \quad and$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{\nu,q,\eta} = (V_\eta \otimes \mathcal{M}_{\nu,q})^{\Gamma(1)} = (V_\eta \otimes (\mathfrak{D}'_{\nu} \cap L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{H}_{\Gamma})))^{\Gamma(1)}.$$

Proof. The inclusion " \subset " is obvious in both cases. We show " \supset ". In the first case, the space on the left can be described as the space of all smooth functions $u : \mathbb{H} \to V_{\eta}$ satisfying $\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - v^2)u$, as well as $J_{q+1}u = 0$ and $u(\gamma z) = \eta(\gamma)u(z)$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma(1)$. Let $u \in (V_{\eta} \otimes \mathfrak{D}'_{\nu})^{\Gamma(1)}$. As u satisfies an elliptic differential equation with smooth coefficients, u is a smooth function with $\Delta u = (\frac{1}{4} - v^2)u$. The condition $u(\gamma z) = \eta(\gamma)u(z)$ is obvious. Finally, the condition $J_{q+1}u = 0$ follows from the fact that $\eta|_{\Gamma}$ satisfies $\eta(J_{q+1}) = 0$ since it is Γ_{par} -trivial and unipotent of length q. Hence, the first claim is proven. The second is similar.

As in the holomorphic case, every Maaß form $f \in \mathcal{M}_{\nu,q}(\Gamma)$ has a Fourier expansion

$$f(\sigma_c z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{c,n}(y) e^{2\pi i (n/N_c)x}$$

at each cusp *c*, with smooth functions $a_{c,n}(y)$.

We define the space $\mathscr{G}_{\nu,q}$ of *Maa* β cusp forms to be the space of all $f \in \mathscr{M}_{\nu,q}$ with $a_{c,0}(y) = 0$ for every cusp c. We also set $\mathscr{G}_{\nu,q,\eta} = (V_\eta \otimes \mathscr{G}_{\nu,q})^{\Gamma(1)}$.

Note that since η is unipotent of length q on Γ , we have $\mathcal{G}_{\nu,q,\eta} = (V_\eta \otimes \mathcal{G}_{\nu,q'})^{\Gamma(1)}$ for every $q' \ge q$.

3. Setting up the transform

It is the aim of this note to extend the Lewis correspondence [Deitmar and Hilgert 2007; Lewis 1997; Lewis and Zagier 1997; Lewis and Zagier 2001] to the case of higher-order forms. We will first explain our approach in the case of cusp forms.

Throughout, (η, V_{η}) will be a finite-dimensional representation of $\Gamma(1)$ that becomes Γ_{par} -trivial and unipotent of length q when restricted to Γ .

For the canonical generators of $\Gamma(1)$, we fix the notation

$$S = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $T = \pm \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

The, $S^2 = \mathbf{1} = (ST)^3$ and *T* is of infinite order. Note that since $\Gamma(1)/\Gamma$ is a finite group, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $T^N \in \Gamma$; let *N* be minimal with this property.

Then, $N = N_{\infty}$ is the width of the cusp ∞ of Γ . We have $\eta(T)^N = \eta(T^N) = 1$ since η is trivial on the parabolic elements of Γ .

Let $\Psi_{\nu,\eta}$ be the space of all holomorphic functions $\psi : \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0] \to V_{\eta}$ satisfying the *Lewis equation*

(1)
$$\eta(T) \psi(z) = \psi(z+1) + (z+1)^{-2\nu-1} \eta(ST^{-1}) \psi\left(\frac{z}{z+1}\right),$$

and the asymptotic formula

(2)
$$0 = e^{+\pi i\nu} \lim_{\mathrm{Im}(z) \to \infty} \left(\psi(z) + z^{-2\nu-1} \eta(S) \psi\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) \right) \\ + e^{-\pi i\nu} \lim_{\mathrm{Im}(z) \to -\infty} \left(\psi(z) + z^{-2\nu-1} \eta(S) \psi\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right) \right),$$

where both limits are assumed to exist.

Let *A* denote the subgroup of *G* consisting of diagonal matrices, and let *N* be the subgroup of upper-triangular matrices with ± 1 on the diagonal. As a manifold, the group *G* is a direct product G = ANK. For $v \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a = \pm \operatorname{diag}(t, t^{-1}) \in A$ with t > 0, let $a^v = t^{2v}$. (We insert the factor 2 for compatibility reasons.)

Let $(\pi_{\nu}, V_{\pi_{\nu}})$ denote the principal series representation of *G* with parameter ν . The representation space $V_{\pi_{\nu}}$ is the Hilbert space of all functions $\varphi : G \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi(anx) = a^{\nu+1/2}\varphi(x)$ for $a \in A$, $n \in N$, $x \in G$, and $\int_{K} |\varphi(k)|^2 dk < \infty$ modulo nullfunctions. The representation is $\pi_{\nu}(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(yx)$. There is a special vector φ_0 in $V_{\pi_{\nu}}$ given by $\varphi_0(ank) = a^{\nu+1/2}$. This vector is called the *basic spherical function* with parameter ν .

For a continuous *G*-representation (π, V_{π}) on a topological vector space V_{π} , let π^{ω} denote the subrepresentation on the space of analytic vectors; that is, $V_{\pi^{\omega}}$ consists of all vectors v in V_{π} such that for every continuous linear map $\alpha : V_{\pi} \to \mathbb{C}$, the map $g \mapsto \alpha(\pi(g)v)$ is real analytic on *G*. This space comes with a natural topology. Let $\pi^{-\omega}$ be its topological dual. In the case of $\pi = \pi_{\nu}$, it is known that π_{ν}^{ω} and $\pi_{\nu}^{-\omega}$ are in perfect duality; that is, they are each other's topological duals. The vectors in $\pi_{\nu}^{-\omega}$ are called *hyperfunction vectors* of the representation π_{ν} .

As a crucial tool, we will use the space

$$A_{\nu,\eta}^{-\omega} = (\pi_{\nu}^{-\omega} \otimes \eta)^{\Gamma(1)} = H^0(\Gamma(1), \pi_{\nu}^{-\omega} \otimes \eta)$$

and call it the space of η -automorphic hyperfunctions.

For an automorphic hyperfunction $\alpha \in A_{\nu,\eta}^{-\omega}$, we consider the function $u: G \to V_{\eta}$ given by

$$u(g) = \langle \pi_{-\nu}(g) \varphi_0, \alpha \rangle.$$

Here, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the canonical pairing $\pi_{-\nu}^{\omega} \times \pi_{-\nu}^{-\omega} \otimes \eta \to V_{\eta}$. Then, *u* is right *K*-invariant, and hence can be viewed as a function on \mathbb{H} . As such, it lies in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,\eta}$ since α is Γ -equivariant, and the Casimir operator on *G* (which induces Δ) is scalar on π_{ν}

with eigenvalue $\frac{1}{4} - v^2$. The transform $P : \alpha \mapsto u$ is called the *Poisson transform*. It follows from [Schlichtkrull 1984, Theorem 5.4.3] that the Poisson transform

$$P: A_{\nu,\eta}^{-\omega} \to \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\nu,\eta}$$

is an isomorphism for $\nu \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$.

For $\alpha \in A_{\nu,n}^{-\omega}$, set

$$\psi_{\alpha}(z) = f_{\alpha}(z) - z^{-2\nu-1}\eta(S) f_{\alpha}\left(\frac{-1}{z}\right),$$

with f_{α} such that the function $z \mapsto (1+z^2)^{\nu+1/2} f_{\alpha}(z)$ represents the restriction $\alpha|_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then, the *Bruggeman transform* $B : \alpha \mapsto \psi_{\alpha}$ maps $A_{\nu,\eta}^{-\omega}$ to $\Psi_{\nu,\eta}$. It is a bijection if $\nu \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, as can be seen in a manner similar to [Deitmar and Hilgert 2007, Proposition 2.2].

When $\nu \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$, we finally define the *Lewis transform* as the map $L : \mathcal{M}_{\nu,\eta} \to \Psi_{\nu,\eta}$ given by $L = B \circ P^{-1}$.

Theorem 3.1 (Lewis transform; see [Lewis and Zagier 2001, Theorem 1.1]). When $\nu \notin \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Re(\nu) > -\frac{1}{2}$, the Lewis transform is a bijective linear map from the space of Maa β cusp forms $\mathcal{G}_{\nu,q,\eta}$ to the space $\Psi_{\nu,\eta}^o$ of period functions.

Proof. The proof runs, with small obvious changes, along the lines of the corresponding result [Deitmar and Hilgert 2007, Theorem 3.3]. \Box

References

- [Borel and Serre 1973] A. Borel and J.-P. Serre, "Corners and arithmetic groups", *Comment. Math. Helv.* **48** (1973), 436–491. MR 52 #8337 Zbl 0274.22011
- [Bruggeman 1997] R. W. Bruggeman, "Automorphic forms, hyperfunction cohomology, and period functions", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **492** (1997), 1–39. MR 98i:11035 Zbl 0914.11023
- [Chinta et al. 2002] G. Chinta, N. Diamantis, and C. O'Sullivan, "Second order modular forms", *Acta Arith.* **103**:3 (2002), 209–223. MR 2003b:11037 Zbl 1020.11025
- [Deitmar 2009] A. Deitmar, "Higher order group cohomology and the Eichler–Shimura map", J. Reine Angew. Math. 629 (2009), 221–235. MR 2527419 Zbl 05551467
- [Deitmar and Diamantis 2009] A. Deitmar and N. Diamantis, "Automorphic forms of higher order", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **80**:1 (2009), 18–34. MR 2010m:11055 Zbl 05588911
- [Deitmar and Hilgert 2007] A. Deitmar and J. Hilgert, "A Lewis correspondence for submodular groups", Forum Math. 19:6 (2007), 1075–1099. MR 2008j:11058 Zbl 05237815
- [Diamantis and O'Sullivan 2008] N. Diamantis and C. O'Sullivan, "The dimensions of spaces of holomorphic second-order automorphic forms and their cohomology", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **360**:11 (2008), 5629–5666. MR 2009h:11067 Zbl 1158.11021
- [Diamantis and Sim 2008] N. Diamantis and D. Sim, "The classification of higher-order cusp forms", *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **622** (2008), 121–153. MR 2010a:11067 Zbl 05344066
- [Diamantis and Sreekantan 2006] N. Diamantis and R. Sreekantan, "Iterated integrals and higher order automorphic forms", *Commentarii Math. Helv.* 81:2 (2006), 481–494. MR 2007b:11050 Zbl 1156.11019

- [Diamantis et al. 2006] N. Diamantis, M. Knopp, G. Mason, and C. O'Sullivan, "L-functions of second-order cusp forms", Ramanujan J. 12:3 (2006), 327–347. MR 2008c:11073 Zbl 05141552
- [Jorgenson and O'Sullivan 2008] J. Jorgenson and C. O'Sullivan, "Unipotent vector bundles and higher-order non-holomorphic Eisenstein series", *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* **20**:1 (2008), 131–163. MR 2010g:11089 Zbl 05543194
- [Lewis 1997] J. B. Lewis, "Spaces of holomorphic functions equivalent to the even Maass cusp forms", *Invent. Math.* 127:2 (1997), 271–306. MR 98f:11036 Zbl 0922.11043
- [Lewis and Zagier 1997] J. Lewis and D. Zagier, "Period functions and the Selberg zeta function for the modular group", pp. 83–97 in *The mathematical beauty of physics* (Saclay, 1996), edited by J. M. Drouffe and J. B. Zuber, Adv. Ser. Math. Phys. 24, World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 1997. MR 99c:11108 Zbl 1058.11544
- [Lewis and Zagier 2001] J. Lewis and D. Zagier, "Period functions for Maass wave forms. I", Ann. of Math. (2) **153**:1 (2001), 191–258. MR 2003d:11068 Zbl 1061.11021
- [Schlichtkrull 1984] H. Schlichtkrull, *Hyperfunctions and harmonic analysis on symmetric spaces*, Progress in Mathematics **49**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1984. MR 86g:22021 Zbl 0555.43002

Received September 29, 2009. Revised April 19, 2010.

Anton Deitmar Universität Tübingen Mathematisches Institut Auf der Morgenstelle 10 D-72076 Tübingen Germany

deitmar@uni-tuebingen.de

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://www.pjmath.org

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 pacific@math.ucla.edu

Darren Long Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 long@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Alexander Merkurjev Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 merkurev@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV. STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOWTM from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840 A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Typeset in IAT_EX Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Finn Department of Mathematics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2125 finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Jonathan Rogawski Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 jonr@math.ucla.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 249 No. 1 January 2011

Metabelian $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ representations of knot groups, II: Fixed points	1
HANS U. BODEN and STEFAN FRIEDL	
Lewis–Zagier correspondence for higher-order forms	11
Anton Deitmar	
Topology of positively curved 8-dimensional manifolds with symmetry ANAND DESSAI	23
Strong Kähler with torsion structures from almost contact manifolds	49
Marisa Fernández, Anna Fino, Luis Ugarte and Raquel Villacampa	
Connections between Floer-type invariants and Morse-type invariants of Legendrian knots	77
MICHAEL B. HENRY	
A functional calculus for unbounded generalized scalar operators on Banach spaces	135
DRAGOLJUB KEČKIĆ and ĐORĐE KRTINIĆ	
Geometric formality of homogeneous spaces and of biquotients D. KOTSCHICK and S. TERZIĆ	157
Positive solutions for a nonlinear third order multipoint boundary value problem YANG LIU, ZHANG WEIGUO, LIU XIPING, SHEN CHUNFANG and CHEN HUA	177
The braid group surjects onto G ₂ tensor space SCOTT MORRISON	189
Analogues of the Wiener Tauberian and Schwartz theorems for radial functions on symmetric spaces	199
E. K. NARAYANAN and ALLADI SITARAM	
Semidirect products of representations up to homotopy YUNHE SHENG and CHENCHANG ZHU	211
Homology sequence and excision theorem for Euler class group YONG YANG	237
Acknowledgement	255