Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CHERN NUMBERS AND THE INDICES OF SOME ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

PING LI

Volume 251 No. 1

May 2011

CHERN NUMBERS AND THE INDICES OF SOME ELLIPTIC DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

Ping Li

Libgober and Wood proved that the Chern number c_1c_{n-1} of a compact complex manifold of dimension *n* can be determined by its Hirzebruch χ_y genus. Inspired by the idea of their proof, we show that, for compact, spin, almost-complex manifolds, more Chern numbers can be determined by the indices of some twisted Dirac and signature operators. As a byproduct, we get a divisibility result of certain characteristic number for such manifolds. Using our method, we give a direct proof of the result of Libgober and Wood, which was originally proved by induction.

1. Introduction and main results

Suppose (M, J) is a compact, almost-complex 2*n*-manifold with a given almost complex structure *J*. This *J* makes the tangent bundle of *M* into a *n*-dimensional complex vector bundle T_M . Let $c_i(M, J) \in H^{2i}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ be the *i*-th Chern class of T_M . Suppose we have a formal factorization of the total Chern class as follows:

$$1 + c_1(M, J) + \dots + c_n(M, J) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + x_i),$$

i.e., x_1, \ldots, x_n are formal Chern roots of T_M . The Hirzebruch χ_y -genus of (M, J), $\chi_y(M, J)$, is defined by

$$\chi_y(M, J) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i(1+ye^{-x_i})}{1-e^{-x_i}}\right)[M].$$

Here [*M*] is the fundamental class of the orientation of *M* induced by *J* and *y* is an indeterminate. If *J* is specified, we simply denote $\chi_y(M, J)$ by $\chi_y(M)$.

Research supported by Program for Young Excellent Talents in Tongji University.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisors, Boju Jiang and Haibao Duan, for their consistent guidance and encouragement during the past several years. I also thank the referees for their careful readings of an earlier version of this paper. Their comments have enhanced the quality of the paper.

MSC2000: primary 57R20; secondary 58J20.

Keywords: Chern number, index, Dirac operator, signature operator.

When the almost complex structure *J* is integrable (equivalently, when *M* is an *n*-dimensional compact complex manifold), $\chi_y(M)$ can be obtained by

$$\chi^{p}(M) = \sum_{q=0}^{n} (-1)^{q} h^{p,q}(M), \ \chi_{y}(M) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \chi^{p}(M) \cdot y^{p},$$

where $h^{p,q}(\cdot)$ is the Hodge number of type (p, q). This is given by the Hirzebruch–Riemann–Roch Theorem, proved in [Hirzebruch 1966] for projective manifolds and in [Atiyah and Singer 1968] in the general case.

The formula

(1-1)
$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} \chi^{p}(M) \cdot y^{p} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}})}{1-e^{-x_{i}}}\right) [M]$$

implies that $\chi^{p}(M)$, the index of the Dolbeault complex, can be expressed as a rational combination of some Chern numbers of M. Conversely, we can address the following question.

Question 1.1. For an *n*-dimensional compact complex manifold *M*, given a partition $\lambda = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_l$ of weight *n*, can the Chern number $c_{\lambda_1} c_{\lambda_2} \cdots c_{\lambda_l} [M]$ be determined by $\chi^p(M)$, or more generally by the indices of some other elliptic differential operators?

For the simplest case $c_n[M]$, the answer is affirmative and well-known [Hirzebruch 1966, Theorem 15.8.1]:

$$c_n[M] = \chi_y(M)|_{y=-1} = \sum_{p=0}^n (-1)^p \chi^p(M).$$

The next-to-simplest case is the Chern number $c_1c_{n-1}[M]$. The answer here is also affirmative, as was proved by Libgober and Wood [1990, pp. 141–143]:

(1-2)
$$\sum_{p=2}^{n} (-1)^{p} {p \choose 2} \chi^{p}(M) = \frac{n(3n-5)}{24} c_{n}[M] + \frac{1}{12} c_{1} c_{n-1}[M].$$

The idea of their proof is quite enlightening: expanding both sides of (1-1) at y = -1 and comparing the coefficients of the term $(y + 1)^2$, one gets (1-2).

Inspired by this idea, in this paper we consider twisted Dirac operators and signature operators on compact, *spin, almost-complex* manifolds and show that the Chern numbers c_n , c_1c_{n-1} , $c_1^2c_{n-2}$ and c_2c_{n-2} of such manifolds can be determined by the indices of these operators.

Remark 1.2. Equation (1-2) was also obtained later by Salamon [1996, p. 144], who applied this result extensively to hyper-Kähler manifolds.

Let *M* be a compact, *almost-complex* 2n-manifold. We still use x_1, \ldots, x_n to denote the corresponding formal Chern roots of the *n*-dimensional complex vector bundle T_M . Suppose *E* is a complex vector bundle over *M*. Set

$$\hat{A}(M, E) := \left(\operatorname{ch}(E) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i/2}{\sinh(x_i/2)}\right) [M],$$
$$L(M, E) := \left(\operatorname{ch}(E) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_i(1+e^{-x_i})}{1-e^{-x_i}}\right) [M],$$

where ch(E) is the Chern character of *E*. The celebrated Atiyah–Singer index theorem [Hirzebruch et al. 1992, pp. 74–81] states that L(M, E) is the index of the signature operator twisted by *E* and when *M* is *spin*, $\hat{A}(M, E)$ is the index of the Dirac operator twisted by *E*.

Definition 1.3. Set

$$A_{y}(M) := \sum_{p=0}^{n} \hat{A}(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) \cdot y^{p} \text{ and } L_{y}(M) := \sum_{p=0}^{n} L(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) \cdot y^{p},$$

where $\Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})$ denotes the *p*-th exterior power of the dual of T_{M} .

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.4. Let M be a compact, almost-complex manifold.

(1)
$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{p} \hat{A}(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = c_{n}[M],$$
$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{p} \cdot p \cdot \hat{A}(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = \frac{1}{2} \left(nc_{n}[M] + c_{1}c_{n-1}[M] \right),$$
$$\sum_{p=2}^{n} (-1)^{p} {p \choose 2} \hat{A}(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = \left(\frac{n(3n-5)}{24}c_{n} + \frac{3n-2}{12}c_{1}c_{n-1} + \frac{1}{8}c_{1}^{2}c_{n-2} \right) [M];$$
(2)
$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} (-1)^{p} L(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = 2^{n}c_{n}[M],$$

$$\sum_{p=1}^{n} (-1)^{p} \cdot p \cdot L(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = 2^{n-1} (nc_{n}[M] + c_{1}c_{n-1}[M]),$$

$$\sum_{p=2}^{n} (-1)^{p} {p \choose 2} L(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*}))$$

= $2^{n-2} \left(\frac{n(3n-5)}{6} c_{n} + \frac{3n-2}{3} c_{1}c_{n-1} + c_{1}^{2}c_{n-2} - c_{2}c_{n-2} \right) [M].$

- **Corollary 1.5.** (1) The Chern numbers $c_n[M]$, $c_1c_{n-1}[M]$ and $c_1^2c_{n-2}[M]$ can be determined by $A_y(M)$.
- (2) The characteristic numbers $c_n[M]$, $c_1c_{n-1}[M]$ and $c_1^2c_{n-2}[M] c_2c_{n-2}[M]$ can be determined by $L_y(M)$.
- (3) When M is a spin manifold, the Chern numbers $c_n[M]$, $c_1c_{n-1}[M]$, $c_1^2c_{n-2}[M]$ and $c_2c_{n-2}[M]$ can be expressed by using linear combinations of the indices of some twisted Dirac and signature operators.

As remarked in [Libgober and Wood 1990, p. 143], it was shown by Milnor [1960] that every complex cobordism class contains a non-singular algebraic variety. Milnor also showed that two almost-complex manifolds are complex cobordant if and only if they have the same Chern numbers. Hence Libgober and Wood's result implies that the characteristic number

$$\frac{n(3n-5)}{24}c_n[N] + \frac{1}{12}c_1c_{n-1}[N]$$

is always an integer for any compact, *almost-complex 2n*-manifold N.

Note that the right-hand side of the third equality in Theorem 1.4 is

$$\left(\frac{n(3n-5)}{24}c_n[M] + \frac{1}{12}c_1c_{n-1}[M]\right) + \frac{1}{8}\left(2(n-1)c_1c_{n-1}[M] + c_1^2c_{n-2}[M]\right).$$

Corollary 1.6. For a compact, spin, almost-complex manifold M, the integer

$$2(n-1)c_1c_{n-1}[M] + c_1^2c_{n-2}[M]$$

is divisible by 8.

Example 1.7. The total Chern class of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is given by $c(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (1+g)^{n+1}$, where g is the standard generator of $H^2(\mathbb{C}P^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is spin if and only if n is odd, as $c_1(\mathbb{C}P^n) = (n+1)g$. Suppose n = 2k + 1. Then

$$2(n-1)c_1c_{n-1}[\mathbb{C}P^n] + c_1^2c_{n-2}[\mathbb{C}P^n] = 8(k+1)^2 \left(k(2k+1) + \frac{1}{3}k(k+1)(2k+1)\right).$$

It is easy to check that $\mathbb{C}P^4$ does not satisfy this divisibility result.

2. Proof of the main result

Lemma 2.1. Let M be a compact, almost-complex manifold. Then:

$$A_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot e^{-x_{i}(1+y)/2}\right)[M],$$

$$L_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot (1+e^{-x_{i}(1+y)})\right)\right)[M]$$

Proof. From

$$c(T_M) = \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)$$

we have (see, for example, [Hirzebruch et al. 1992, p. 11])

$$c(\Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) = \prod_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{p} \le n} (1 - (x_{i_{1}} + \dots + x_{i_{p}})).$$

Hence

$$ch(\Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*}))y^{p} = \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{p} \leq n} e^{-(x_{i_{1}} + \dots + x_{i_{p}})}y^{p} = \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{p} \leq n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} ye^{-x_{i_{j}}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{p=0}^{n} ch(\Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*}))y^{p} = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left(\sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{p} \le n} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p} ye^{-x_{i_{j}}}\right)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + ye^{-x_{i}}).$$

So

(2-1)
$$A_{y}(M) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} \hat{A}(M, \Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*})) \cdot y^{p}$$
$$= \left(\left(\sum_{p=0}^{n} ch(\Lambda^{p}(T_{M}^{*}))y^{p} \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}/2}{\sinh(x_{i}/2)} \right) [M]$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1 + ye^{-x_{i}}) \cdot \frac{x_{i}/2}{\sinh(x_{i}/2)} \right) \right) [M]$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1 + ye^{-x_{i}})}{1 - e^{-x_{i}}} \cdot e^{-x_{i}/2} \right) \right) [M].$$

Since for the evaluation only the homogeneous component of degree *n* in the x_i enters, then we obtain an additional factor $(1 + y)^n$ if we replace x_i by $x_i(1 + y)$ in (2-1). We therefore obtain

$$\begin{split} A_{y}(M) &= \left(\frac{1}{(1+y)^{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1+y)(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot e^{-x_{i}(1+y)/2}\right)\right) [M] \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot e^{-x_{i}(1+y)/2}\right)\right) [M]. \end{split}$$

Similarly,

$$L_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1 + ye^{-x_{i}}) \cdot \frac{x_{i}(1 + e^{-x_{i}})}{1 - e^{-x_{i}}} \right) \right) [M]$$

= $\left(\frac{1}{(1 + y)^{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1 + y)(1 + ye^{-x_{i}(1 + y)})}{1 - e^{-x_{i}(1 + y)}} \cdot (1 + e^{-x_{i}(1 + y)}) \right) \right) [M]$
= $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1 + ye^{-x_{i}(1 + y)})}{1 - e^{-x_{i}(1 + y)}} \cdot (1 + e^{x_{i}(1 + y)}) \right) \right) [M].$

Lemma 2.2. *Set* z = 1 + y*. We have*

$$A_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1+x_{i}) - (x_{i} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2})z + \left(\frac{11}{24}x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{8}x_{i}^{3}\right)z^{2} + \cdots \right) \right) [M],$$

$$L_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(2(1+x_{i}) - (2x_{i} + x_{i}^{2})z + \left(\frac{7}{6}x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{3}\right)z^{2} + \cdots \right) \right) [M].$$

Proof.
$$\frac{x_i(1+ye^{-x_i(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_i(1+y)}} = -x_iy + \frac{x_i(1+y)}{1-e^{-x_i(1+y)}} = -x_i(z-1) + \frac{x_iz}{1-e^{-x_iz}}$$
$$= -x_i(z-1) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}x_iz + \frac{1}{12}x_i^2z^2 + \cdots\right)$$
$$= (1+x_i) - \frac{1}{2}x_iz + \frac{1}{12}x_i^2z^2 + \cdots$$

So we have

$$A_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot e^{-x_{i}(1+y)/2}\right) [M]$$

= $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1+x_{i}) - \frac{1}{2}x_{i}z + \frac{1}{12}x_{i}^{2}z^{2} + \cdots\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}x_{i}z + \frac{1}{8}x_{i}^{2}z^{2} + \cdots\right)\right) [M]$
= $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1+x_{i}) - (x_{i} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2})z + (\frac{11}{24}x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{8}x_{i}^{3})z^{2} + \cdots\right)\right) [M].$

Similarly,

$$L_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}} \cdot (1+e^{-x_{i}(1+y)})\right)\right)[M]$$

= $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1+x_{i}) - \frac{1}{2}x_{i}z + \frac{1}{12}x_{i}^{2}z^{2} + \cdots\right)(2-x_{i}z + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2}z^{2} + \cdots)\right)[M]$
= $\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(2(1+x_{i}) - (2x_{i} + x_{i}^{2})z + (\frac{7}{6}x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{3})z^{2} + \cdots)\right)[M]$.

Let $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ be a symmetric polynomial in $x_1, ..., x_n$. Then $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$ can be expressed in terms of $c_1, ..., c_n$ in a unique way. We use $h(f(x_1, ..., x_n))$ to denote the homogeneous component of degree n in $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$. For instance, when n = 3,

$$h(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 + x_3^2 x_2)$$

= $x_1^2 x_2 + x_1^2 x_3 + x_2^2 x_1 + x_2^2 x_3 + x_3^2 x_1 + x_3^2 x_2$
= $(x_1 + x_2 + x_3)(x_1 x_2 + x_1 x_3 + x_2 x_3) - 3x_1 x_2 x_3 = c_1 c_2 - 3c_3.$

The next lemma is a crucial technical ingredient in the proof of our main result. **Lemma 2.3.**

$$(1) h_{1} := h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i} \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j})\right)\right) = nc_{n}.$$

$$(2) h_{11} := h\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(x_{i}x_{j} \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k})\right)\right) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}c_{n}.$$

$$(3) h_{2} := h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i}^{2} \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j})\right)\right) = -nc_{n} + c_{1}c_{n-1}.$$

$$(4) h_{12} := h\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(x_{i}^{2}x_{j} + x_{i}x_{j}^{2}\right) \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k})\right)\right) = (n-2)(-nc_{n} + c_{1}c_{n-1}).$$

$$(5) h_{22} := h\left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(x_{i}^{2}x_{j}^{2} \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k})\right)\right) = \frac{n(n-3)}{2}c_{n} - (n-2)c_{1}c_{n-1} + c_{2}c_{n-2}.$$

$$(6) h_{3} := h\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i}^{3} \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j})\right)\right) = nc_{n} - c_{1}c_{n-1} + c_{1}^{2}c_{n-2} - 2c_{2}c_{n-2}.$$

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.4; we postpone the proof of Lemma 2.3 to the end of this section.

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, the constant term in $A_v(M)$ is

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+x_i)\right)[M] = c_n[M].$$

The coefficient of z is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\left(x_{i}+\frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2}\right)\prod_{j\neq i}(1+x_{j})\right)\right)[M] = \left(-h_{1}-\frac{1}{2}h_{2}\right)[M]$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\left(nc_{n}[M]+c_{1}c_{n-1}[M]\right).$$

The coefficient of z^2 is

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left(\frac{11}{24}x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{8}x_{i}^{3}\right) \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j}) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left((x_{i} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i}^{2})(x_{j} + \frac{1}{2}x_{j}^{2}) \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k}) \right) \right) [M] \\ &= \left(\frac{11}{24}h_{2} + \frac{1}{8}h_{3} + h_{11} + \frac{1}{2}h_{12} + \frac{1}{4}h_{22} \right) [M] \\ &= \left(\frac{n(3n-5)}{24}c_{n} + \frac{3n-2}{12}c_{1}c_{n-1} + \frac{1}{8}c_{1}^{2}c_{n-2} \right) [M]. \end{split}$$

Similarly, for $L_y(M)$, the constant term is

$$\left(2^n \prod_{i=1}^n (1+x_i)\right) [M] = 2^n c_n [M].$$

The coefficient of z is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-(2x_i + x_i^2) \prod_{j \neq i} 2(1 + x_j) \right) \right) [M] = (-2^n h_1 - 2^{n-1} h_2) [M]$$

= $-2^{n-1} (nc_n[M] + c_1 c_{n-1}[M]).$

The coefficient of z^2 is

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\left(\frac{7}{6} x_{i}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} x_{i}^{3} \right) \prod_{j \neq i} 2(1+x_{j}) \right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left((2x_{i} + x_{i}^{2})(2x_{j} + x_{j}^{2}) \prod_{k \neq i, j} 2(1+x_{k}) \right) \right) [M] \\ &= \left(\frac{7 \cdot 2^{n-2}}{3} h_{2} + 2^{n-2} h_{3} + 2^{n} h_{11} + 2^{n-1} h_{12} + 2^{n-2} h_{22} \right) [M] \\ &= 2^{n-2} \left(\frac{n(3n-5)}{6} c_{n} + \frac{3n-2}{3} c_{1} c_{n-1} + c_{1}^{2} c_{n-2} - c_{2} c_{n-2} \right) [M]. \quad \Box$$

Proof of Lemma 2.3. In the following proof, \hat{x}_i means deleting x_i . Parts (1) and (2) are quite obvious. For (3),

$$h_{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(h\left(x_{i}^{2} \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j})\right) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(x_{i} \sum_{j \neq i} x_{1} \cdots \hat{x_{j}} \cdots x_{n}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} c_{n-1} - c_{n})$$

= $-nc_{n} + c_{1}c_{n-1}$.
For (4),
$$h_{12} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(h\left((x_{i}^{2} x_{j} + x_{i} x_{j}^{2}) \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k})\right) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left((x_i + x_j) \sum_{k \ne i, j} x_1 \cdots \hat{x_k} \cdots x_n \right)$$

= $(n-2) \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i \sum_{k \ne i} x_1 \cdots \hat{x_k} \cdots x_n \right) = (n-2)h_2 = (n-2)(-nc_n + c_1c_{n-1}).$

For (5),

$$c_{2}c_{n-2} = \left(\sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} x_{i}x_{j}\right) \left(\sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} x_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{k} \cdots \hat{x}_{l} \cdots x_{n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(x_{i}x_{j}\sum_{1 \le k < l \le n} x_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{k} \cdots \hat{x}_{l} \cdots x_{n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{1 \le i < j \le n} \left(x_{1}x_{2} \cdots x_{n} + (x_{i}^{2}x_{j} + x_{i}x_{j}^{2})\sum_{\substack{k \ne i, j \\ k \ne i, j}} x_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{k} \cdots \hat{x}_{i} \cdots \hat{x}_{j} \cdots x_{n} + x_{i}^{2}x_{j}^{2}\sum_{\substack{1 \le k < l \le n \\ k \ne i, j \\ l \ne i, j}} x_{1} \cdots \hat{x}_{k} \cdots \hat{x}_{l} \cdots \hat{x}_{j} \cdots x_{n}\right)$$

Therefore,

$$h_{22} = c_2 c_{n-2} - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} c_n - h_{12} = \frac{n(n-3)}{2} c_n - (n-2)c_1 c_{n-1} + c_2 c_{n-2}.$$

For (6),

$$(c_1^2 - 2c_2)c_{n-2}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2\right) \left(\sum_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le n \\ 1 \le j < k \le n}} x_1 \cdots \hat{x_j} \cdots \hat{x_k} \cdots x_n\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(x_i^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \le j < k \le n \\ 1 \le j < k \le n}} x_1 \cdots \hat{x_j} \cdots \hat{x_k} \cdots x_n\right) + (x_i^2 \sum_{\substack{k \ne i \\ k \ne i}} x_1 \cdots \hat{x_k} \cdots x_n)\right)$$

$$= h_3 + h_2.$$

Hence $h_3 = (c_1^2 - 2c_2)c_{n-2} - h_2 = nc_n - c_1c_{n-1} + c_1^2c_{n-2} - 2c_2c_{n-2}$.

3. Concluding remarks

Libgober and Wood's proof [1990, p. 142, Lemma 2.2] of (1-2) is by induction. Here, using our method, we can give a quite direct proof. We have shown that

$$\chi_{y}(M) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}(1+ye^{-x_{i}(1+y)})}{1-e^{-x_{i}(1+y)}}\right)[M]$$
$$= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left((1+x_{i}) - \frac{1}{2}x_{i}z + \frac{1}{12}x_{i}^{2}z^{2} + \cdots\right)\right)[M].$$

The coefficient of z^2 is

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1}{12} x_{i}^{2} \prod_{j \neq i} (1+x_{j})\right) + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{1}{4} x_{i} x_{j} \prod_{k \neq i, j} (1+x_{k})\right)\right) [M]$$

= $\left(\frac{1}{12} h_{2} + \frac{1}{4} h_{11}\right) [M] = \frac{n(3n-5)}{24} c_{n}[M] + \frac{1}{12} c_{1} c_{n-1}[M]$

It is natural to ask what the coefficients are for higher-order terms $(y + 1)^p$, for $p \ge 3$. Unfortunately the coefficients become very complicated for such terms. In [Libgober and Wood 1990, pp. 144–145] there is a detailed remark on the coefficients of the higher-order terms of $\chi_y(M)$. Note that the expression of $A_y(M)$ (resp. $L_y(M)$) has an additional factor $e^{-x_i(1+y)/2}$ (resp. $1 + e^{x_i(1+y)}$) relative to than that of $\chi_y(M)$. Hence we cannot expect that there are *explicit* expressions of higher-order coefficients similar to Theorem 1.4.

References

- [Atiyah and Singer 1968] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, "The index of elliptic operators, III", *Ann. of Math.* (2) **87** (1968), 546–604. MR 38 #5245 Zbl 0164.24301
- [Hirzebruch 1966] F. Hirzebruch, *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, vol. 131, 3rd ed., Grundlehren der Math. Wiss., Springer, New York, 1966. MR 34 #2573 Zbl 0138.42001
- [Hirzebruch et al. 1992] F. Hirzebruch, T. Berger, and R. Jung, *Manifolds and modular forms*, Aspects of Mathematics **E20**, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1992. MR 94d:57001 Zbl 0767.57014
- [Libgober and Wood 1990] A. S. Libgober and J. W. Wood, "Uniqueness of the complex structure on Kähler manifolds of certain homotopy types", *J. Differential Geom.* **32**:1 (1990), 139–154. MR 91g:32039 Zbl 0711.53052
- [Milnor 1960] J. Milnor, "On the cobordism ring Ω^* and a complex analogue. I", *Amer. J. Math.* 82 (1960), 505–521. MR 22 #9975 Zbl 0095.16702
- [Salamon 1996] S. M. Salamon, "On the cohomology of Kähler and hyper-Kähler manifolds", *Topology* **35**:1 (1996), 137–155. MR 97f:32042 Zbl 0854.58004

Received April 11, 2010. Revised November 4, 2010.

PING LI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS TONGJI UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI, 200092 CHINA pingli@tongji.edu.cn

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://www.pjmath.org

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor) Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 pacific@math.ucla.edu

Darren Long Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080 long@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu Department of Mathematics The University of Hong Kong Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Alexander Merkurjev Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 merkurev@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA KEIO UNIVERSITY MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV. OREGON STATE UNIV. STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[™] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840 A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Typeset in IAT<u>EX</u> Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Robert Finn Department of Mathematics Stanford University Stanford, CA 94305-2125 finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 liu@math.ucla.edu Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Jonathan Rogawski Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 jonr@math.ucla.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 251 No. 1 May 2011

An analogue of the Cartan decomposition for <i>p</i> -adic symmetric spaces of split <i>p</i> -adic reductive groups	1
PATRICK DELORME and VINCENT SÉCHERRE	
Unital quadratic quasi-Jordan algebras	23
Raúl Felipe	
The Dirichlet problem for constant mean curvature graphs in $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{R}$ over unbounded domains	37
ABIGAIL FOLHA and SOFIA MELO	
Osgood-Hartogs-type properties of power series and smooth functions	67
BUMA L. FRIDMAN and DAOWEI MA	
Twisted Cappell–Miller holomorphic and analytic torsions	81
Rung-Tzung Huang	
Generalizations of Agol's inequality and nonexistence of tight laminations	109
THILO KUESSNER	
Chern numbers and the indices of some elliptic differential operators	173
PING LI	
Blocks of the category of cuspidal \mathfrak{sp}_{2n} -modules	183
VOLODYMYR MAZORCHUK and CATHARINA STROPPEL	
A constant mean curvature annulus tangent to two identical spheres is Delauney SUNG-HO PARK	197
A note on the topology of the complements of fiber-type line arrangements in \mathbb{CP}^2	207
SHENG-LI TAN, STEPHEN ST. YAU and FEI YE	
Inequalities for the Navier and Dirichlet eigenvalues of elliptic operators QIAOLING WANG and CHANGYU XIA	219
A Beurling–Hörmander theorem associated with the Riemann–Liouville	239
operator	239
XUECHENG WANG	