Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME DYNAMIC WIRTINGER-TYPE INEQUALITIES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

RAVI P. AGARWAL, MARTIN BOHNER,
DONAL O'REGAN AND SAMIR H. SAKER

Volume 252 No. 1 July 2011

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 252, No. 1, 2011

SOME DYNAMIC WIRTINGER-TYPE INEQUALITIES AND THEIR APPLICATIONS

RAVI P. AGARWAL, MARTIN BOHNER,
DONAL O'REGAN AND SAMIR H. SAKER

In this paper, we present some new Wirtinger-type inequalities on time scales. As special cases, some new continuous and discrete Wirtinger-type inequalities are given. The obtained inequalities are applied to a certain class of half-linear dynamic equations on time scales in order to establish sufficient conditions for disconjugacy.

1. Introduction

Wirtinger-type inequalities are studied in the literature in various modifications, both in the continuous and in the discrete settings. In principle, it is an integral or sum estimate between the function and its derivative or difference, respectively. These types of inequalities have received a lot of attention because of applications, for example, in number theory, especially in studies concerning the distribution of the zeros of the Riemann-zeta function [Hall 2002a; 2002b]. In this paper, we are interested in deriving some new Wirtinger-type inequalities on time scales and in employing them to study nonoscillation of the solutions of a certain class of half-linear dynamic equations.

The study of dynamic equations on time scales, which goes back to its founder, Stefan Hilger [1990], is an area of mathematics that has recently received a lot of attention. It was created in order to unify the studies of differential and difference equations. Many results concerning differential equations carry over quite easily to corresponding results for difference equations, while other results seem to be completely different from their continuous counterparts. The study of dynamic equations on time scales reveals such discrepancies, and helps avoid proving results twice — once for differential equations and again for difference equations. The general idea is to prove a result for a dynamic equation where the domain of the unknown function is a time scale $\mathbb{T}$, which may be an arbitrary closed subset of the reals. This way, results are obtained not only related to the set of real numbers or integers but those pertaining to more general time scales.

MSC2000: 26D10, 26D15, 39A10, 39A12.
Keywords: Wirtinger-type inequality, dynamic equation, time scale.

The three most popular examples of calculus on time scales are differential calculus, difference calculus, and quantum calculus [Kac and Cheung 2002], that is, when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0} = \{q^t : t \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$, where $q > 1$. Dynamic equations on a time scale have an enormous potential for applications. In population dynamics, they can model insect populations that are continuous while in season, die out in winter while their eggs are incubating or dormant, and then hatch in a new season, giving rise to a nonoverlapping population [Bohner and Peterson 2001]. There are applications of dynamic equations on time scales to quantum mechanics, electrical engineering, neural networks, heat transfer, and combinatorics. A cover article in the *New Scientist* [Spedding 2003] discusses several possible applications. Since then, several authors have expounded on various aspects of this new theory. The books on the subject of time scales by Bohner and Peterson [2001; 2003] summarize and organize much of time scale calculus. An overview of various inequalities on time scales is given in [Agarwal et al. 2001].

The classical Wirtinger inequality, [Hardy et al. 1988, Theorem 257], is given by

$$\int_a^b (y'(t))^2 dt \geq \int_a^b y^2(t)\,dt \tag{1-1}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ satisfying $y(a) = y(b) = 0$. Beesack [1961] extended the inequality (1-1) and proved that

$$\int_a^b (y'(t))^4 dt \geq \frac{3}{4} \int_a^b y^4(t)\,dt \tag{1-2}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^2([a, b])$ such that $y(a) = y(b) = 0$. Beesack [1961, page 59] proved that

$$\int_0^\pi (y'(t))^{2k} dt \geq \frac{2k-1}{\left(k \sin \dfrac{\pi}{2k}\right)^{2k}} \int_0^\pi y^{2k}(t)\,dt, \qquad \text{for } k \geq 1, \tag{1-3}$$

where $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([0, \pi])$ with $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$. Agarwal and Pang [1995] proved that

$$\int_0^\pi (y'(t))^{2k} dt \geq \frac{2\Gamma(2k+1)}{\pi^{2k}\Gamma^2((2k+1)/2)} \int_0^\pi y^{2k}(t)\,dt, \quad \text{for } k \geq 1, \tag{1-4}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([0, \pi])$ such that $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$. Brnetić and Pečarić [1998] proved that

$$\int_0^\pi (y'(t))^{2k} dt \geq \frac{1}{\pi^{2k} I(k)} \int_0^\pi y^{2k}(t)\,dt, \qquad \text{for } k \geq 1, \tag{1-5}$$

where $y \in C^1([0,\pi])$ with $y(0) = y(\pi) = 0$, and

$$I(k) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(t^{1-2k}+(1-t)^{1-2k})}\,dt.$$

Boyd [1969] proved the inequality

$$\int_0^1 |y'(t)|^{2k}dt \ge \frac{1}{C^{2k}(k)}\int_0^1 |y(t)|^{2k}dt, \quad \text{where } y(0) = y(1) = 0, \tag{1-6}$$

with best constant (by applied variational techniques, the determination of the best constant depends on a nonlinear eigenvalue problem for an integral operator)

$$C(k) := \Big(\frac{2k}{2k-1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2k}}(2k)^{(2k-1)/(2k)}\Big(\Gamma\Big(\frac{1}{2k}\Big)\Gamma\Big(\frac{2k-1}{2k}\Big)\Big)^{-1}. \tag{1-7}$$

Note that inequality (1-6) is the best one in the literature, and we hope that it can be extended to time scales.

Hinton and Lewis [1975] extended the inequality (1-1) and proved by using the Schwarz inequality that

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^2(t)}{|M'(t)|}(y'(t))^2dt \ge \frac{1}{4}\int_a^b |M'(t)|y^2(t)\,dt \tag{1-8}$$

for any positive $M \in C^1([a,b])$ with $M'(t) \neq 0$, $y \in C^2([a,b])$, and $y(a) = y(b) = 0$. Peña [1999] established the discrete analogue of (1-8) and proved the following result: For a positive sequence $\{M_n\}_{0\le n\le N+1}$ satisfying either $\Delta M > 0$, or $\Delta M < 0$ on $[0,N]\cap\mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_nM_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|}(\Delta y_n)^2 \ge \frac{1}{\psi}\sum_{n=0}^{N}|\Delta M_n|y_{n+1}^2 \tag{1-9}$$

holds for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0\le n\le N+1}$ with $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, where

$$\psi = \Big(\sup_{0\le n\le N}\frac{M_n}{M_{n+1}}\Big)\Big[1+\Big(\sup_{0\le n\le N}\frac{|\Delta M_n|}{|\Delta M_{n+1}|}\Big)^{1/2}\Big]^2.$$

Hilscher [2002] proved a Wirtinger-type inequality on time scales, which gives a unification of (1-8) and (1-9); see also [Agarwal et al. 2008]. Hilscher proved that

$$\int_a^b \frac{M(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|}(y^\Delta(t))^2\Delta t \ge \frac{1}{\psi^2}\int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^2(\sigma(t))\Delta t \tag{1-10}$$

holds for a positive function $M \in C^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with either $M^\Delta > 0$ or $M^\Delta < 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^\kappa$, $y \in C^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, $\mathcal{I} = [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}} \subset \mathbb{T}$, and

$$\psi = \Big(\sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^\kappa}\frac{M(t)}{M(\sigma(t))}\Big)^{1/2} + \Big[\Big(\sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^\kappa}\frac{\mu(t)|M^\Delta(t)|}{M(\sigma(t))}\Big)+\Big(\sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^\kappa}\frac{M(t)}{M(\sigma(t))}\Big)\Big]^{1/2}.$$

Our aim in Sections 3 and 4 is to derive some new Wirtinger-type inequalities on time scales, which are different from the inequality (1-10), where we will use $y^{\gamma+1}$ instead of the term $(y^\sigma)^{\gamma+1}$ (due to the fact that y^σ is not differentiable on an arbitrary time scale). In particular, we obtain (1-8) as a consequence of our results. In Sections 5 and 6, we obtain, as special cases, new Wirtinger-type inequalities in the continuous and the discrete case, respectively. In Section 7, we apply our results to a certain class of half-linear dynamic equations on time scales in order to derive sufficient conditions for nonoscillation.

2. Preliminaries

Before we state and prove the main results, for completeness, we recall the following concepts related to the notion of time scales. A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points, and at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$, left-hand limits exist and are finite. The set of all such rd-continuous functions is denoted by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathbb{T})$. Rd-continuous functions possess antiderivatives. (For the definition of derivative, refer to [Bohner and Peterson 2001; 2003]; here we only note that $f^\Delta = f'$ if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $f^\Delta = \Delta f$ if $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$.) Integrals are defined in terms of antiderivatives (so integrals are usual integrals for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and sums for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$). We define the time scale interval $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ by $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}} := [a, b] \cap \mathbb{T}$. The graininess function μ for a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is defined by $\mu(t) := \sigma(t) - t$, and for any function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, the notation f^σ is short for $f \circ \sigma$, where $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the forward jump operator defined by $\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$. We will make use of the following product and quotient rules for the derivative of the product fg and the quotient f/g (where $gg^\sigma \neq 0$) of two differentiable function f and g:

$$(fg)^\Delta = f^\Delta g + f^\sigma g^\Delta = fg^\Delta + f^\Delta g^\sigma \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^\Delta = \frac{f^\Delta g - fg^\Delta}{gg^\sigma}.$$

The integration by parts formula is given by

$$\int_a^b f(t) g^\Delta(t) \Delta t = [f(t)g(t)]_a^b - \int_a^b f^\Delta(t) g^\sigma(t) \Delta t.$$

To prove the main result, we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 [Bohner and Peterson 2001, Theorem 6.13, Hölder's Inequality]. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. For two functions $f, g \in \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{rd}}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$, we have*

$$\int_a^b |f(t)g(t)| \Delta t \leq \left[\int_a^b |f(t)|^p \Delta t\right]^{1/p} \left[\int_a^b |g(t)|^q \Delta t\right]^{1/q},$$

where $p > 1$ and $1/p + 1/q = 1$.

3. Main result

Throughout this paper, we assume that the function $M \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ is positive, where $\mathcal{I} = [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$, and define

$$\alpha := \sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^\kappa}\Big(\frac{M(\sigma(t))}{M(t)}\Big)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^\kappa}\Big(\frac{\mu(t)|M^\Delta(t)|}{M(t)}\Big)^{\gamma}. \tag{3-1}$$

Now, we are in a position to state and prove the main result.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose $\gamma \geq 1$ is an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ satisfying either $M^\Delta > 0$, or $M^\Delta < 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^\kappa$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^\gamma(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma}(y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t \geq \frac{1}{\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \tag{3-2}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where $\Psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$x^{\gamma+1} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0. \tag{3-3}$$

Proof. Let y and M be defined as above, and denote

$$A := \int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \quad \text{and} \quad B := \int_a^b \frac{M^\gamma(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma}(y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t.$$

Using the integration by parts formula and the fact that $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \\ &= \big\{\mathrm{sgn}(M^\Delta(a))\big\}\int_a^b M^\Delta(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \\ &= \big\{\mathrm{sgn}(M^\Delta(a))\big\}\bigg\{[M(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)]_a^b - \int_a^b M(\sigma(t))(y^{\gamma+1})^\Delta(t)\Delta t\bigg\} \\ &= -\big\{\mathrm{sgn}(M^\Delta(a))\big\}\int_a^b M(\sigma(t))(y^{\gamma+1})^\Delta(t)\Delta t \\ &\leq \int_a^b M(\sigma(t))|(y^{\gamma+1})^\Delta(t)|\Delta t. \end{aligned} \tag{3-4}$$

By the Pötzsche chain rule [Bohner and Peterson 2001, Theorem 1.90] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(y^{\gamma+1})^\Delta(t)| &= (\gamma+1)\bigg|\int_0^1 [y(t)+\mu(t)hy^\Delta(t)]^\gamma dh\bigg||y^\Delta(t)| \\ &\leq (\gamma+1)|y^\Delta(t)|\int_0^1 |y(t)+\mu(t)hy^\Delta(t)|^\gamma dh. \end{aligned} \tag{3-5}$$

Applying the inequality [Mitrinović et al. 1993, page 500]

$$|u+v|^{\gamma} \le 2^{\gamma-1}(|u|^{\gamma}+|v|^{\gamma}), \quad \text{for } u, v \in \mathbb{R},$$

with $u = y(t)$ and $v = \mu(t)hy^{\Delta}(t)$, we have from (3-5) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(y^{\gamma+1})^{\Delta}(t)| &\le (\gamma+1)|y^{\Delta}(t)| \int_0^1 |y(t)+\mu(t)hy^{\Delta}(t)|^{\gamma}\,dh \\ &\le 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)|y^{\Delta}(t)| \left\{ \int_0^1 |y(t)|^{\gamma}\,dh + \int_0^1 |\mu(t)hy^{\Delta}(t)|^{\gamma}\,dh \right\} \\ &= 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)|y^{\Delta}(t)||y(t)|^{\gamma} + 2^{\gamma-1}|y^{\Delta}(t)||\mu(t)y^{\Delta}(t)|^{\gamma}. \end{aligned} \tag{3-6}$$

Substituting (3-6) into (3-4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &\le 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\int_a^b M(\sigma(t))|y^{\Delta}(t)||y(t)|^{\gamma}\,\Delta t \\ &\qquad + 2^{\gamma-1}\int_a^b M(\sigma(t))\mu^{\gamma}(t)|y^{\Delta}(t)|^{\gamma+1}\,\Delta t \\ &= 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\int_a^b \left\{ \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}|M^{\Delta}|}{M}|y|^{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \right\}(t)\,\Delta t \\ &\qquad + 2^{\gamma-1}\int_a^b \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu|M^{\Delta}|}{M}\right)^{\gamma} \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right) \right\}(t)\,\Delta t. \end{aligned} \tag{3-7}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality (Lemma 2.1) with $p = \gamma+1,\ q = \dfrac{\gamma+1}{\gamma}$,

$$f = \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}|M^{\Delta}|}{M}|y|^{\gamma+1}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}},$$

we obtain from (3-7) the upper bound for A as

$$\begin{aligned} &2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\left\{\int_a^b \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right)(t)\,\Delta t\right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \left\{\int_a^b \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}|M^{\Delta}|}{M}|y|^{\gamma+1}\right)(t)\,\Delta t\right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \\ &\qquad + 2^{\gamma-1}\int_a^b \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu|M^{\Delta}|}{M}\right)^{\gamma} \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right) \right\}(t)\,\Delta t \\ &\le 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha\left\{\int_a^b \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right)(t)\,\Delta t\right\}^{\frac{1}{\gamma+1}} \left\{\int_a^b (|M^{\Delta}||y|^{\gamma+1})(t)\,\Delta t\right\}^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \\ &\qquad + 2^{\gamma-1}\beta\int_a^b \left(\frac{M^{\sigma}M^{\gamma}}{|M^{\Delta}|^{\gamma}}|y^{\Delta}|^{\gamma+1}\right)(t)\,\Delta t, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$A \le 2^{\gamma-1}\big((\gamma+1)\alpha B^{1/(\gamma+1)}A^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)} + \beta B\big). \tag{3-8}$$

Dividing both sides of (3-8) by $B^{1/(\gamma+1)}A^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}$, we obtain

$$\frac{A^{1/(\gamma+1)}}{B^{1/(\gamma+1)}} \le 2^{\gamma-1}\Big((\gamma+1)\alpha + \beta\frac{B^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}}{A^{\gamma/(\gamma+1)}}\Big),$$

and putting

$$C := \frac{A^{1/(\gamma+1)}}{B^{1/(\gamma+1)}} > 0,$$

we get

$$C \le 2^{\gamma-1}\Big((\gamma+1)\alpha + \frac{\beta}{C^{\gamma}}\Big),$$

which gives

$$C^{\gamma+1} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha C^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta \le 0, \quad \text{with } C = \frac{A^{1/(\gamma+1)}}{B^{1/(\gamma+1)}}. \tag{3-9}$$

By (3-9), we have

$$C \le \Psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma),$$

where $\Psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of Equation (3-3), and thus, replacing C in terms of A and B as in (3-9), we have

$$A^{1/(\gamma+1)} \le \Psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)B^{1/(\gamma+1)},$$

that is,

$$A \le \Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)B,$$

which is the desired inequality (3-2). □

Using Theorem 3.1 with $\gamma = 1$, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.2. *For a positive function $M \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ satisfying either $M^{\Delta} > 0$, or $M^{\Delta} < 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^{\kappa}$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^{\Delta}(t)|}(y^{\Delta}(t))^2\Delta t \ge \frac{1}{\varphi^2}\int_a^b |M^{\Delta}(t)|y^2(t)\Delta t \tag{3-10}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where

$$\varphi := \sqrt{\sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^{\kappa}}\frac{M(\sigma(t))}{M(t)}} + \sqrt{\sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^{\kappa}}\frac{M(\sigma(t))}{M(t)} + \sup_{t\in\mathcal{I}^{\kappa}}\frac{\mu(t)|M^{\Delta}(t)|}{M(t)}}.$$

Proof. When $\gamma = 1$, we see that the largest root $\Psi(\alpha, \beta, 1)$ of the quadratic equation $x^2 - 2x\alpha - \beta = 0$ is given by

$$\Psi(\alpha, \beta, 1) = \alpha + \sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta} = \varphi.$$

Therefore, (3-10) follows from Theorem 3.1. □

Example 3.3. Note that in the case where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t$, $\mu(t) = 0$, and $y^\Delta = y'$. Then $\varphi = 2$ in Corollary 3.2, and in this case, (3-10) becomes the classical Wirtinger-type inequality (1-8) obtained by Hinton and Lewis.

In the case where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{I} = [0, N+1] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, Corollary 3.2 gives a new result, which is stated as follows.

Corollary 3.4. *For any positive sequence* $\{M_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ *satisfying either* $\Delta M > 0$, *or* $\Delta M < 0$ *on* $[0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, *we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_n M_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|} (\Delta y_n)^2 \ge \frac{1}{\psi^2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\Delta M_n| y_n^2$$

for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ *with* $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, *where*

$$\psi := \sqrt{\sup_{0 \le n \le N} \frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n}} + \sqrt{\sup_{0 \le n \le N} \frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n} + \sup_{0 \le n \le N} \frac{|\Delta M_n|}{M_n}}.$$

Proof. When $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t+1$, $\mu(t) = 1$, and $y^\Delta = \Delta y$. Then $\varphi = \psi$ in Corollary 3.2, and the claim follows by using this in Corollary 3.2. □

4. Further dynamic Wirtinger inequalities

We now apply different algebraic inequalities to establish some new Wirtinger-type inequalities. We use the inequality [Mitrinović et al. 1993, page 518]

$$(u+v)^{\gamma+1} \le u^{\gamma+1} + (\gamma+1)u^\gamma v + L_\gamma v u^\gamma, \quad \text{where } u, v > 0. \tag{4-1}$$

Note that $L_\gamma > 1$ in (4-1) is a constant which does not depend on u or v.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose* $\gamma \ge 1$ *is an odd integer. For a positive* $M \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ *satisfying either* $M^\Delta > 0$, *or* $M^\Delta < 0$ *on* $\mathcal{I}^\kappa$, *we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^\gamma(t) M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma} (y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1} \Delta t \ge \frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)| y^{\gamma+1}(t) \Delta t \tag{4-2}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ *with* $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, *where* $\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ *is the largest root of*

$$(\gamma+1)x + \frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2} x^2 - (\gamma+1)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0. \tag{4-3}$$

Proof. We proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to get (3-9), that is,

$$C^{\gamma+1} \le 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha C^\gamma + 2^{\gamma-1}\beta, \quad \text{with } C = \frac{A^{1/(\gamma+1)}}{B^{1/(\gamma+1)}}. \tag{4-4}$$

Applying the inequality (4-1) with $u = C$, $v = 1$, and $L_\gamma = 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1) > 1$, we have

$$C^{\gamma+1} \ge (C+1)^{\gamma+1} - (\gamma+1)C^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)C^\gamma,$$

which together with (4-4) implies that

$$(C+1)^{\gamma+1}-(\gamma+1)C^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)C^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}\beta\le 0. \tag{4-5}$$

Using the inequality (which follows easily from the binomial formula)

$$(1+u)^{\gamma+1}\ge(\gamma+1)u+\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}u^2,\quad \text{where } u>0, \tag{4-6}$$

for $u=C$, we have from (4-5) that

$$(\gamma+1)C+\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}C^2-(\gamma+1)C^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)C^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}\beta\le 0.$$

Therefore,

$$C\le\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\gamma),$$

where $\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is the largest root of Equation (4-3), and thus, replacing C in terms of A and B as in (4-4), we have

$$A^{1/(\gamma+1)}\le\Phi(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)B^{1/(\gamma+1)},$$

that is,

$$B\ge\frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}A,$$

which is the desired inequality (4-2). □

Using the inequality

$$(1+u)^{\gamma+1}\ge\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}u^2,\quad \text{where } u>0,$$

instead of the inequality (4-6) that was used in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we have the following new result.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose $\gamma\ge 1$ is an odd integer. For a positive $M\in C^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ satisfying either $M^{\Delta}>0$, or $M^{\Delta}<0$ on $\mathcal{I}^{\kappa}$, we have*

$$\int_a^b\frac{M^{\gamma}(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^{\Delta}(t)|^{\gamma}}(y^{\Delta}(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t\ \ge\ \frac{1}{\Omega^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b|M^{\Delta}(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \tag{4-7}$$

for any $y\in C^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with $y(a)=y(b)=0$, where $\Omega(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}x^2-(\gamma+1)x^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^{\gamma}-2^{\gamma-1}\beta=0. \tag{4-8}$$

In the following, we apply the inequality [Hardy et al. 1988, Theorem 41]

$$u^{\gamma+1}+\gamma v^{\gamma+1}-(\gamma+1)uv^{\gamma}\ge 0,\quad \text{where } u,v\ge 0, \tag{4-9}$$

and derive a new Wirtinger-type inequality on time scales.

Theorem 4.3. *Suppose $\gamma \geq 1$ is an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ satisfying either $M^\Delta > 0$, or $M^\Delta < 0$ on $\mathcal{I}^\kappa$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^\gamma(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma}(y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t \tag{4-10}$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where $\Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$(\gamma+1)(x+1) - \gamma - (\gamma+1)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0. \tag{4-11}$$

Proof. We proceed exactly as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 to get (4-5), that is,

$$(C+1)^{\gamma+1} - (\gamma+1)C^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)C^\gamma \leq 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha C^\gamma + 2^{\gamma-1}\beta. \tag{4-12}$$

Applying the inequality (4-9) with $u = C + 1$ and $v = 1$, we have from (4-12) that

$$(\gamma+1)(C+1) - \gamma - (\gamma+1)C^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)C^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta \leq 0.$$

Therefore $C \leq \Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$, where $\Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of (4-11). This implies, from the definition of C, that

$$A^{1/(\gamma+1)} \leq \Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)B^{1/(\gamma+1)}.$$

Thus $A \leq \Lambda^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)B$, which is the desired inequality (4-10). □

5. Applications: Continuous Wirtinger inequalities

As special cases of the time scales results presented in Sections 3 and 4, we now give some new Wirtinger-type inequalities in the continuous case. Note that in the case where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t$ and $\mu(t) = 0$, so that

$$\alpha = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 0. \tag{5-1}$$

Then we have, from Theorems 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, the following results.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ satisfying either $M' > 0$, or $M' < 0$ on $[a, b]$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^\gamma}(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt \geq \frac{1}{2^{\gamma^2-1}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\int_a^b |M'(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)dt$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$.

Proof. Using (5-1), Equation (3-3) becomes

$$x^{\gamma+1} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} = 0.$$

Hence, $\Psi(1, 0, \gamma)$ from Theorem 3.1 is given by

$$\Psi(1, 0, \gamma) = 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1),$$

and the proof is completed by applying Theorem 3.1. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ satisfying either $M' > 0$, or $M' < 0$ on $[a, b]$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^{\gamma}}(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt \geq \frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma+1}(1, 0, \gamma)} \int_a^b |M'(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\,dt$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where $\Phi(1, 0, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$(\gamma+1)x + \frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}x^2 - (\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}(\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} = 0.$$

Proof. The claim follows from (5-1) and Theorem 4.1 with $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. □

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ satisfying either $M' > 0$, or $M' < 0$ on $[a, b]$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^{\gamma}}(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt \geq \frac{1}{\Omega^{\gamma+1}(1, 0, \gamma)} \int_a^b |M'(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\,dt$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where $\Omega(1, 0, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2}x^2 - (\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}(\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} = 0.$$

Proof. The claim follows from (5-1) and Theorem 4.2 with $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. □

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive $M \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ satisfying either $M' > 0$ or $M' < 0$, on $[a, b]$, we have*

$$\int_a^b \frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^{\gamma}}(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\gamma+1}(1, 0, \gamma)} \int_a^b |M'(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)dt$$

for any $y \in \mathrm{C}^1([a, b])$ with $y(a) = y(b) = 0$, where $\Lambda(1, 0, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$(\gamma+1)(x+1) - \gamma - (\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma}(\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} = 0.$$

Proof. The claim follows from (5-1) and Theorem 4.3 with $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. □

6. Applications: Discrete Wirtinger inequalities

As special cases of the time scales results presented in Sections 3 and 4, we now give some new Wirtinger-type inequalities in the discrete case. Note that in the case where $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\sigma(t) = t+1$ and $\mu(t) = 1$, so that

$$\alpha = \sup_{0 \le n \le N} \left(\frac{M_{n+1}}{M_n}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = \sup_{0 \le n \le N} \left(\frac{|\Delta M_n|}{M_n}\right)^{\gamma}. \tag{6-1}$$

Then we have, from Theorems 3.1, 4.1, 4.2, and 4.3, the following results.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\gamma \ge 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive sequence $\{M_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ satisfying either $\Delta M > 0$, or $\Delta M < 0$ on $[0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_n^{\gamma} M_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|^{\gamma}} (\Delta y_n)^{\gamma+1} \ge \frac{1}{\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\Delta M_n| y_n^{\gamma+1}$$

for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ with $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, where $\Psi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$x^{\gamma+1} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0.$$

Theorem 6.2. *Let $\gamma \ge 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive sequence $\{M_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ satisfying either $\Delta M > 0$ or, $\Delta M < 0$ on $[0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_n^{\gamma} M_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|^{\gamma}} (\Delta y_n)^{\gamma+1} \ge \frac{1}{\Phi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\Delta M_n| y_n^{\gamma+1}$$

for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ with $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, where $\Phi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$(\gamma+1)x + \frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2} x^2 - (\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0.$$

Theorem 6.3. *Let $\gamma \ge 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive sequence $\{M_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ satisfying either $\Delta M > 0$, or $\Delta M < 0$ on $[0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_n^{\gamma} M_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|^{\gamma}} (\Delta y_n)^{\gamma+1} \ge \frac{1}{\Omega^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\Delta M_n| y_n^{\gamma+1}$$

for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0 \le n \le N+1}$ with $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, where $\Omega(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$\frac{(\gamma+1)\gamma}{2} x^2 - (\gamma+1)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^{\gamma} - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0.$$

Theorem 6.4. *Let $\gamma \geq 1$ be an odd integer. For a positive sequence $\{M_n\}_{0 \leq n \leq N+1}$ satisfying either $\Delta M > 0$, or $\Delta M < 0$ on $[0, N] \cap \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{M_n^\gamma M_{n+1}}{|\Delta M_n|^\gamma} (\Delta y_n)^{\gamma+1} \geq \frac{1}{\Lambda^{\gamma+1}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)} \sum_{n=0}^{N} |\Delta M_n| y_n^{\gamma+1}$$

for any sequence $\{y_n\}_{0 \leq n \leq N+1}$ with $y_0 = y_{N+1} = 0$, where $\Lambda(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$(\gamma+1)(x+1) - \gamma - (\gamma+1)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)(1+\alpha)x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0.$$

Remark 6.5. Notice that the results can also be applied to other discrete time scales such as $\mathbb{T} = h\mathbb{N}$ with $h > 0$, $\mathbb{T} = q^{\mathbb{N}_0}$ with $q > 1$, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{N}^2$, $\mathbb{T} = \sqrt{\mathbb{N}_0}$, $\mathbb{T} = \sqrt[3]{\mathbb{N}_0}$, etc., where the integration on discrete time scales is given by

$$\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = \sum_{t \in [a,b) \cap \mathbb{T}} \mu(t) f(t) \quad \text{for } a < b.$$

7. Applications: Half-linear dynamic equations

In this section, we apply the result from Section 3 to the half-linear dynamic equation

$$(r|y^\Delta|^\gamma)^\Delta(t) + p(t)|y(t)|^\gamma = 0 \tag{7-1}$$

on an arbitrary time scale $\mathbb{T}$, where $\gamma \geq 1$ is an odd positive integer, and r and p are real-valued rd-continuous functions defined on $\mathbb{T}$ with $r(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. We will establish a sufficient condition for disconjugacy. We say that a solution y of (7-1) has a generalized zero at t if $y(t) = 0$. We say y has a generalized zero in $(t, \sigma(t))$ in case $r(t)y(t)y(\sigma(t)) < 0$. Equation (7-1) is called disconjugate on the interval $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$ if there is no nontrivial solution of (7-1) with two (or more) generalized zeros in $[a, b]_\mathbb{T}$. Equation (7-1) is said to be nonoscillatory on $[a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$ if there exists $c \in [a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$, such that (7-1) is disconjugate on $[c, d]_\mathbb{T}$ for every $d > c$. In the opposite case, (7-1) is said to be oscillatory on $[a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$. The oscillation of solutions of Equation (7-1) may equivalently be defined as follows: A nontrivial solution of (7-1) is called oscillatory if it has infinitely many (isolated) generalized zeros in $[a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$; otherwise it is called nonoscillatory. Equation (7-1) is said to be oscillatory if all its solutions are oscillatory. By the Sturm separation theorem, we see that oscillation is an interval property, that is, if there exists a sequence of subintervals $[a_i, b_i]_\mathbb{T}$ of $[a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$, as $i \to \infty$, such that for every i there exists a solution of (7-1) that has at least two generalized zeros in $[a_i, b_i]_\mathbb{T}$, then every solution of (7-1) is oscillatory in $[a, \infty)_\mathbb{T}$. Hence, we can speak about oscillation and nonoscillation of (7-1). We define a class $U = U(a, b)$ of so-called admissible functions by

$$U(a,b) := \{y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I},\mathbb{R}) : \ y(a) = y(b) = 0\},$$

and define the functional $\mathcal{F}$ on $U(a,b)$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(y) := \int_a^b \left\{ r(t)|y^\Delta(t)|^{\gamma+1} - p(t)|y(t)|^{\gamma+1} \right\} \Delta t.$$

We say that $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $U(a,b)$ provided $\mathcal{F}(y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in U(a,b)$, and $\mathcal{F}(y) = 0$ if and only if $y = 0$. Now we turn our attention to the roundabout theorem for (7-1); see [Agarwal et al. 2003, Theorem 5.1] or [Řehák 2002; 2005].

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose that the functions r and p are rd-continuous and $r(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then Equation* (7-1) *is disconjugate on a time scale interval* $[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ *if and only if the functional $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $U(a,b)$.*

In view of Theorem 7.1, we will prove the disconjugacy of a certain class of half-linear dynamic equations (7-1) in terms of the positivity of the functional $\mathcal{F}$. In the following, we apply only Theorem 3.1 since the other theorems can be applied similarly. In what follows, we will assume that $\Psi(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)$ is the largest root of

$$x^{\gamma+1} - 2^{\gamma-1}(\gamma+1)\alpha x^\gamma - 2^{\gamma-1}\beta = 0.$$

We consider the following example as an application of Theorem 3.1.

Example 7.2. Let $\mathbb{T} \subset (0,\infty)$ be an arbitrary time scale. Consider the equation

$$\left((\sigma(t))^{\gamma-1}(y^\Delta(t))^\gamma\right)^\Delta + \frac{\lambda}{t\sigma(t)} y^\gamma(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}, \tag{7-2}$$

where $\lambda > 0$. Equation (7-2) is of the form (7-1) with

$$r(t) = (\sigma(t))^{\gamma-1} \quad \text{and} \quad p(t) = \frac{\lambda}{t\sigma(t)}.$$

We apply Theorem 3.1 with $M(t) = \dfrac{1}{t}$. We see by using the quotient rule from Section 2 that

$$\frac{M^\gamma(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma} = \frac{(1/t^\gamma)\cdot(1/\sigma(t))}{|-1/(t\sigma(t))|^\gamma} = (\sigma(t))^{\gamma-1} = r(t).$$

Now let $y \in U(a, b)$ be nontrivial. Then $y \in \mathrm{C}^1_{\mathrm{rd}}(\mathcal{I})$ and $y(a) = y(b) = 0$. By Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b r(t)(y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t &= \int_a^b \frac{M^\gamma(t)M(\sigma(t))}{|M^\Delta(t)|^\gamma}(y^\Delta(t))^{\gamma+1}\Delta t\\ &\geq \frac{1}{\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b |M^\Delta(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t\\ &= \frac{1}{\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b \frac{y^{\gamma+1}(t)}{t\sigma(t)}\Delta t\\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}\int_a^b p(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t\\ &> \int_a^b p(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)\Delta t,\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{\Psi^{\gamma+1}(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}. \tag{7-3}$$

Thus, assuming (7-3), $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $U(a, b)$. Therefore, by Theorem 7.1, Equation (7-2) is disconjugate on $[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$ provided (7-3) holds.

Example 7.3. Let $\gamma = 1$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. In this case, Equation (7-2) becomes

$$y''(t) + \frac{\lambda}{t^2}y(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b]. \tag{7-4}$$

From Example 7.2 (see also the calculations $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\Psi(1, 0, 1) = 2$ in Example 3.3), we see that if $\lambda < \frac{1}{4}$, then Equation (7-4) is disconjugate. In fact, if $\lambda = \frac{1}{5}$, then Equation (7-4) has a nonoscillatory solution $y(t) = t^{(\sqrt{5}-1)/(2\sqrt{5})}$ which satisfies $y(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(t)y^2(t) = 0$.

Example 7.4. Let $\mathbb{T} = (0, \infty)$. Consider the second-order half-linear differential equation

$$((y')^\gamma)'(t) + \frac{\lambda}{t^{\gamma+1}}y^\gamma(t) = 0, \quad t \in [a, b], \tag{7-5}$$

where $\lambda > 0$. Equation (7-5) is of the form (7-1) with

$$r(t) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad p(t) = \frac{\lambda}{t^{\gamma+1}}.$$

We apply Theorem 5.1 with

$$M(t) = \frac{\gamma^\gamma}{t^\gamma}.$$

In this case, we see that

$$\frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^{\gamma}}=\frac{(\gamma^{\gamma}/t^{\gamma})^{\gamma+1}}{|-\gamma^{\gamma+1}/t^{\gamma+1}|^{\gamma}}=1=r(t).$$

Now let $y\in U(a,b)$ be nontrivial. Then $y\in \mathrm{C}^1([a,b])$, and $y(a)=y(b)=0$. By Theorem 5.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_a^b r(t)(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt&=\int_a^b\frac{M^{\gamma+1}(t)}{|M'(t)|^{\gamma}}(y'(t))^{\gamma+1}dt\\&\geq\frac{1}{2^{\gamma^2-1}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\int_a^b|M'(t)|y^{\gamma+1}(t)\,dt\\&=\frac{1}{2^{\gamma^2-1}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\int_a^b\frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}y^{\gamma+1}(t)}{t^{\gamma+1}}dt\\&=\frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{\lambda 2^{\gamma^2-1}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}\int_a^b p(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)\,dt\\&>\int_a^b p(t)y^{\gamma+1}(t)dt,\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$0<\lambda<\frac{\gamma^{\gamma+1}}{2^{\gamma^2-1}(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}}. \tag{7-6}$$

Thus, assuming (7-6), $\mathcal{F}$ is positive definite on $U(a,b)$. Therefore, by Theorem 7.1, Equation (7-5) is disconjugate on $[a,b]$ provided (7-6) holds. Note that the oscillation constant of (7-5) is $\gamma^{\gamma+1}/(\gamma+1)^{\gamma+1}$ [Saker 2010, page 223].

Acknowledgements

Samir Saker thanks the Deanship of Scientific Research and the Research Centre in the College of Science of King Saud University for encouragement and support of this project.

References

[Agarwal and Pang 1995] R. P. Agarwal and P. Y. H. Pang, "Remarks on the generalizations of Opial's inequality", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **190**:2 (1995), 559–577. MR 95m:26030 Zbl 0831.26008

[Agarwal et al. 2001] R. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and A. Peterson, "Inequalities on time scales: a survey", *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **4**:4 (2001), 535–557. MR 2002g:34016 Zbl 1021.34005

[Agarwal et al. 2003] R. P. Agarwal, M. Bohner, and P. Řehák, "Half-linear dynamic equations", pp. 1–57 in *Nonlinear analysis and applications: to V. Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday*, vol. 1, edited by R. P. Agarwal and D. O'Regan, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2003. MR 2005b:34066 Zbl 1056.34049

[Agarwal et al. 2008] R. P. Agarwal, V. Otero-Espinar, K. Perera, and D. R. Vivero, "Wirtinger's inequalities on time scales", *Canad. Math. Bull.* **51**:2 (2008), 161–171. MR 2009c:26031 Zbl 1148.26020

[Beesack 1961] P. R. Beesack, "Hardy's inequality and its extensions", *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 39–61. MR 22 #12187 Zbl 0103.03503

[Bohner and Peterson 2001] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2001. MR 2002c:34002 Zbl 0978.39001

[Bohner and Peterson 2003] M. Bohner and A. Peterson (editors), *Advances in dynamic equations on time scales*, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2003. MR 2004d:34003

[Boyd 1969] D. W. Boyd, "Best constants in a class of integral inequalities", *Pacific J. Math.* **30** (1969), 367–383. MR 40 #2801 Zbl 0327.41027

[Brnetić and Pečarić 1998] I. Brnetić and J. Pečarić, "Some new Opial-type inequalities", *Math. Inequal. Appl.* **1**:3 (1998), 385–390. MR 99i:26018 Zbl 0906.26009

[Hall 2002a] R. R. Hall, "Generalized Wirtinger inequalities, random matrix theory, and the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function", *J. Number Theory* **97**:2 (2002), 397–409. MR 2003h:11108 Zbl 1066.11037

[Hall 2002b] R. R. Hall, "A Wirtinger type inequality and the spacing of the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function", *J. Number Theory* **93**:2 (2002), 235–245. MR 2003a:11114 Zbl 0994.11030

[Hardy et al. 1988] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, 1988. MR 89d:26016 Zbl 0634.26008

[Hilger 1990] S. Hilger, "Analysis on measure chains—a unified approach to continuous and discrete calculus", *Results Math.* **18**:1-2 (1990), 18–56. MR 91m:26027 Zbl 0722.39001

[Hilscher 2002] R. Hilscher, "A time scales version of a Wirtinger-type inequality and applications", *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **141**:1-2 (2002), 219–226. MR 2003d:26019 Zbl 1025.26012

[Hinton and Lewis 1975] D. B. Hinton and R. T. Lewis, "Discrete spectra criteria for singular differential operators with middle terms", *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **77** (1975), 337–347. MR 51 #3600 Zbl 0298.34018

[Kac and Cheung 2002] V. Kac and P. Cheung, *Quantum calculus*, Springer, New York, 2002. MR 2003i:39001 Zbl 0986.05001

[Mitrinović et al. 1993] D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and A. M. Fink, *Classical and new inequalities in analysis*, Mathematics and its Applications (East European Series) **61**, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1993. MR 94c:00004 Zbl 0771.26009

[Peňa 1999] S. Peňa, "Discrete spectra criteria for singular difference operators", *Math. Bohem.* **124**:1 (1999), 35–44. MR 2000i:39017 Zbl 0936.39008

[Řehák 2002] P. Řehák, "Half-linear dynamic equations on time scales: IVP and oscillatory properties", *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.* **7**:3 (2002), 361–403. MR 2003h:34067 Zbl 1037.34002

[Řehák 2005] P. Řehák, *Half-linear dynamic equations on time scales*, Ph.D. thesis, Masaryk University, Brno, 2005.

[Saker 2010] S. H. Saker, *Oscillation theory of dynamic equations on time scales*, Lambert Academic, Saarbrücken, 2010.

[Spedding 2003] V. Spedding, "Taming nature's numbers", *New Scientist* **179**:2404 (2003), 28–31.

Received August 22, 2010.

RAVI P. AGARWAL
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY – KINGSVILLE
700 UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD
KINGSVILLE, TX 78363-8202
UNITED STATES
agarwal@tamuk.edu

MARTIN BOHNER
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
MISSOURI UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY
400 WEST 12TH STREET
ROLLA, MO 65409-0020
UNITED STATES
bohner@mst.edu
http://web.mst.edu/~bohner/

DONAL O'REGAN
SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, STATISTICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND
UNIVERSITY ROAD
GALWAY
IRELAND
donal.oregan@nuigalway.ie
http://www.maths.nuigalway.ie/staff/doregan.shtml

SAMIR H. SAKER
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KING SAUD UNIVERSITY
RIYADH 11451
SAUDI ARABIA
shsaker@mans.edu.eg
http://www.mans.edu.eg/pcvs/30040/

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://www.pjmath.org

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor
Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US $420/year for the electronic version, and $485/year for print and electronic.
Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION
Typeset in LaTeX
Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 252 No. 1 July 2011

0030-8730(201107)252:1;1-B