

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**ASYMPTOTIC STRUCTURE OF A LERAY SOLUTION TO
THE NAVIER–STOKES FLOW AROUND A ROTATING BODY**

REINHARD FARWIG, GIOVANNI P. GALDI AND MADS KYED

ASYMPTOTIC STRUCTURE OF A LERAY SOLUTION TO THE NAVIER–STOKES FLOW AROUND A ROTATING BODY

REINHARD FARWIG, GIOVANNI P. GALDI AND MADDS KYED

Consider a body, \mathcal{B} , rotating with constant angular velocity ω and fully submerged in a Navier–Stokes liquid that fills the whole space exterior to \mathcal{B} . We analyze the flow of the liquid that is steady with respect to a frame attached to \mathcal{B} . Our main theorem shows that the velocity field v of any weak solution (v, p) in the sense of Leray has an asymptotic expansion with a suitable Landau solution as leading term and a remainder decaying pointwise like $1/|x|^{1+\alpha}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, provided the magnitude of ω is below a positive constant depending on α . We also furnish analogous expansions for ∇v and for the corresponding pressure field p . These results improve and clarify a recent result of R. Farwig and T. Hishida.

1. Introduction

Consider a rigid body rotating with prescribed constant angular velocity $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ in a Navier–Stokes liquid that fills the whole space exterior to the body. We assume that the motion of the liquid with respect to a frame \mathcal{S} attached to the body is steady. Then, after a suitable nondimensionalization, the relevant equations for the liquid in the frame \mathcal{S} become

$$(1-1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} -\Delta v + v \cdot \nabla v - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla v + \omega \wedge v + \nabla p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} v = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ v = \omega \wedge x & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} v(x) = 0, & \end{array} \right.$$

where v is the velocity field, p the corresponding pressure, and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ the region exterior to the body. We assume that Ω is an exterior domain with a C^2 -smooth (compact) boundary.

Galdi was partially supported by the National Science Foundation, grant DMS-1009456. Kyed was supported by the DFG and JSPS as a member of the International Research Training Group Darmstadt–Tokyo IRTG 1529.

MSC2010: 35B40, 35Q30, 76U05, 76D05.

Keywords: Navier–Stokes equations, asymptotic behavior of solutions, rotating frame.

Significant effort has been devoted to the analysis of the fundamental mathematical properties of solutions to (1-1), including existence, uniqueness, asymptotic behavior, and stability. Without pretending to furnish an exhaustive bibliography, we refer the reader to [Borchers 1992; Farwig 1992; 2006; Galdi 2003; Farwig et al. 2004; Galdi and Silvestre 2007a; 2007b; Hishida 2007; Hishida and Shibata 2007; 2009; Farwig and Neustupa 2008; Kračmar et al. 2008; Deuring et al. 2011] and to the references cited therein.

One important question that deserves special attention is the behavior of the velocity and pressure fields at large distances. In particular, the precise asymptotic structure of these fields and the identification of their leading terms have great relevance. Beside its intrinsic mathematical significance, this analysis is also important in several applications, as well as in numerical computations, mainly in the estimation of the error made by approximating the infinite region of flow with a necessarily bounded domain; see, for example, [Deuring and Kračmar 2004].

The problem of the asymptotic structure of solutions to (1-1) appears to be particularly challenging. Even in the simpler case $\omega = 0$ (and a nonzero right-hand side of compact support in $(1-1)_1$) it has been effectively solved, for small data at least, only lately [Korolev and Šverák 2011].

Farwig and Hishida [2009; 2011b] have recently given a first answer to the velocity field question for smooth solutions to (1-1). More specifically, let $T(v, p) := -pI + \nabla v + (\nabla v)^T$ denote the Cauchy stress tensor with I the identity tensor. They have shown that the velocity field of any (smooth) solution to (1-1) having norm in a suitable Lorentz space sufficiently small and for which the quantity¹

$$\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} T(v, p) \cdot n \, dS \right) \cdot \frac{\omega}{|\omega|}$$

is also small can be represented at large distances as

$$(1-2) \quad v(x) = U(x) + R(x),$$

where $U = U(x)$ is the velocity field of a particular Landau solution and R is a “remainder” with $R \in L^q(\Omega)$ for some $q \in (\frac{3}{2}, 3)$. Since $U(x)$ behaves like $1/|x|$ for large $|x|$, the relation (1-2) indicates that U is the leading term in the Lebesgue summability sense. The Landau solution involved in (1-2) is a field $U \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solution to the Navier–Stokes system

$$(1-3) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta U + U \cdot \nabla U + \nabla P = \left(\left(\int_{\partial\Omega} T(v, p) \cdot n \, dS \right) \cdot \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \right) \frac{\omega}{|\omega|} \delta, \\ \operatorname{div} U = 0, \end{cases}$$

¹This quantity represents the force exerted by the liquid on the “body” (the complement of Ω , that is) in the direction of ω .

where δ denotes the delta distribution supported at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$; see, for example, [Farwig and Hishida 2011b] and (3-2) below for an explicit form of (U, P) . We only note that U is smooth away from the origin and satisfies $U = O(1/|x|)$ and $\nabla U = O(1/|x|^2)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

The objective of the present paper is to improve and clarify these results of [Farwig and Hishida 2009; 2011b].

We establish our findings in the class of *Leray solutions*, which are defined as solutions (v, p) to (1-1) such that

$$(1-4) \quad \nabla v \in L^2(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad v \in L^6(\Omega)$$

and that satisfy the energy inequality

$$(1-5) \quad 2 \int_{\Omega} |\mathbf{D}v|^2 \, dx \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{T}(v, p) \cdot \mathbf{n}) \cdot (\omega \wedge x) \, dS,$$

where $\mathbf{D}v := \frac{1}{2}(\nabla v + (\nabla v)^T)$ is the stretching tensor of the liquid. As is well known, the class of Leray solutions is nonempty for any $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^3$ (see, for example, [Borchers 1992]). Moreover, by classical elliptic regularity, any Leray solution is smooth [Galdi 1994].

We will prove that, for sufficiently small $|\omega|$, the velocity field v of any Leray solution (v, p) to (1-1) must obey an asymptotic expansion of the type (1-2), where, unlike [Farwig and Hishida 2009; 2011b], $R(x)$ is estimated *pointwise*, with $|R(x)| \leq O(1/|x|^{1+\alpha})$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.² We also show an analogous (improved) pointwise estimate for ∇v , with ∇U as leading term. As far as the pressure field p is concerned, we furnish a similar asymptotic expansion. However, the leading term in this expansion is *not* the pressure P of the Landau solution, but P plus an additional term that depends on the component orthogonal to ω of the force exerted by the liquid on the body. More precisely, we prove:

Theorem 1.1 (main theorem). *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There is an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha) > 0$ so that if $|\omega| < \varepsilon$, then any Leray solution (v, p) to (1-1) obeys the asymptotic expansion*

$$(1-6) \quad v(x) = U(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{1+\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(1-7) \quad \nabla v(x) = \nabla U(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2+\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (after possibly adding a constant to p)

$$(1-8) \quad p(x) = P(x) + \frac{x}{4\pi|x|^3} \cdot \left(I - \frac{\omega \otimes \omega}{|\omega|^2} \right) \cdot \mathcal{F} + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2+\alpha}}\right) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty,$$

²Clearly, $R \in L^q$ for large $|x|$, with some $q = q(\alpha) \in (\frac{3}{2}, 3)$.

where

$$(1-9) \quad \mathcal{F} := \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{T}(v, p) - v \otimes v) \cdot n \, dS,$$

and (U, P) is the Landau solution (U^b, P^b) given by (3-2) corresponding to the parameter $b := (\mathcal{F} \cdot \omega)\omega/|\omega|^2$.

Remark 1.2. Note that \mathcal{F} is equal to the (negative) force exerted by the liquid on the body \mathcal{B} . We emphasize that the leading terms in the expansions (1-6) and (1-7) of v and ∇v , respectively, depend only on the component of \mathcal{F} directed along ω , whereas the leading term in the expansion (1-8) of p also depends on the component of \mathcal{F} orthogonal to ω .

Remark 1.3. It is not known in general if one can take $\alpha = 1$ in the above estimates. However, if $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$ possesses suitable rotational symmetry, then $\alpha = 1$ is allowed. However, in such a case, the leading term in the asymptotic expansion is no longer a Landau solution; see [Galdi \geq 2011].

Remark 1.4. The formula (1-6) elucidates in a pointwise fashion the result proved in [Farwig and Hishida 2009; 2011b] in Lebesgue spaces. However, in those papers no information was provided on the asymptotic structure of ∇v and p . Therefore, (1-7) and (1-8) are new.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the following two crucial results concerning the *linearized* version of (1-1) in the whole space, which is obtained by suppressing the nonlinear term $v \cdot \nabla v$ in (1-1) and by adding a suitable (given) function f , say, on its right-hand side. The first result, Lemma 2.1, is the proof of existence of solutions with a suitable decay order, under the assumption that f is of compact support and orthogonal (in the L^2 scalar product) to the direction of ω . This lemma can be viewed as a corollary to a very general result proved in [Farwig and Hishida 2011a]. The second result, Lemma 2.2, concerns the existence, uniqueness, and corresponding estimates of solutions that converge to zero pointwise, with a specific order of decay, under appropriate decay hypotheses on f . This lemma is obtained by using the time-dependent transformation and the associated method introduced in [Galdi 2003].

Before discussing some preliminaries in Section 2, recalling the definition of Landau solution along with its basic properties in Section 3, and presenting the proof of our main results in Section 4, we introduce some basic notation. Let $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be any domain, and denote its exterior normal unit vector by n .

- $\|\cdot\|_{r,G} = \|\cdot\|_r$ is the norm in the Lebesgue space $L^r(G)$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$; $\|\cdot\|_{k,r,G}$ is the norm in the usual Sobolev space $W^{k,r}(G)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq r \leq \infty$.
- $D^{1,2}(G) := \{v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G) \mid |v|_{1,2} < \infty\}$ and $|v|_{1,2} := \left(\int_G |\nabla v|^2 \, dx\right)^{1/2}$.

- For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, define $[[v]]_{\beta,G} := \text{ess sup}_{x \in G} |v(x)|(1 + |x|)^\beta$.
- For $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ let $[[v]]_{m,\beta,G} := \sum_{0 \leq k \leq m} [[\nabla^k v]]_{\beta+k,G}$.
- $\mathcal{X}_\beta^m(G) := \{v \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(G) \mid [[v]]_{m,\beta,G} < \infty\}$.
- $\mathbb{R}_T^3 := \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T)$, and $\mathbb{R}_\infty^3 := \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, \infty)$ when $T = \infty$.
- $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid |x| < R\}$ and $B^R = \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}_R$, where $|\cdot|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of \mathbb{R}^3 .

For functions $u : \mathbb{R}_T^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we set $\text{div } u(x, t) := \text{div}_x u(x, t)$, $\Delta u(x, t) := \Delta_x u(x, t)$, and so on. That is, unless otherwise indicated, differential operators act in the spatial variables only. Constants in capital letters are global, and constants in small letters are local.

2. Preliminaries

The proof of our main result relies on two crucial observations concerning the whole space linear problem

$$(2-1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta w - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla w + \omega \wedge w + \nabla q = f & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \text{div } w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

The first observation is due to Farwig and Hishida [2011b, Lemma 3.4]:

Lemma 2.1. *If $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ with*

$$(2-2) \quad \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x) \, dx \right) \cdot \omega = 0,$$

then there exists a solution $(w, q) \in \mathcal{X}_2^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to (2-1).

Proof. We obtain directly from [Farwig and Hishida 2011b, (3.21) and Lemma 3.4] the existence of a solution $(w, q) \in \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Moreover, by elliptic regularity theory for the Stokes operator, $w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. It remains to show that $[[\nabla w]]_{3,\mathbb{R}^3} < \infty$. This, however, follows by the same argument used in Lemma 3.7 of that reference to prove that $|w(x)| \leq c_1|x|^{-2}$. This argument relies on the fact that the fundamental solution $\bar{\Gamma}$ to (2-1) (see (3.20) in the same paper for an explicit expression) satisfies, after setting $\omega = e_3$ without loss of generality, the following expansion for $|y| \leq R$ and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\bar{\Gamma}(x, y) = \Phi(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right), \quad \Phi(x) := \frac{1}{8\pi|x|^3} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & x_1x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_2x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & x_3^2 + |x|^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(2-3) \quad w(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \bar{\Gamma}(x, y) f(y) \, dy.$$

By analogy to the proof of [Farwig and Hishida 2011a, Propositions 4.1 and 4.2] one can show that for $|y| \leq R$ and $|x| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\nabla \bar{\Gamma}(x, y) = \nabla \Phi(x) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^3}\right).$$

Thus, after differentiating in (2-3) and exploiting (2-2) where we have set $\omega = e_3$, it follows that $|\nabla w(x)| \leq c_2|x|^{-3}$, which implies $\|\nabla w\|_{3, \mathbb{R}^3} < \infty$. \square

The second observation concerns the solvability of (2-1) in weighted spaces for more general f :

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. If $f^3 \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)^3$ and $f = \operatorname{div} F$ with⁴*

$$(2-4) \quad \|F\|_{2+\alpha} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha} \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \|F_{ij}\|_{2+\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^3 \|\partial_k F_{ki}\|_{3+\alpha} < \infty,$$

then there exists a unique solution $(w, q) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to (2-1) that satisfies

$$(2-5) \quad \|w\|_{1,1+\alpha} + \|q\|_{2+\alpha} \leq C_1 (\|F\|_{2+\alpha} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha}),$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\alpha)$ is independent of ω .

Proof. The existence of a weak solution

$$(2-6) \quad (w, q) \in (D^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \cap L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)^3) \times L_{\operatorname{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$$

to (2-1) can be shown by a standard Galerkin approximation argument; see, for example, [Silvestre 2004]. We will now prove that this weak solution belongs to the space $\mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. To this aim, for $t > 0$, put

$$Q(t) := \exp(\hat{\omega}t), \quad \text{with } \hat{\omega} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\omega_3 & \omega_2 \\ \omega_3 & 0 & -\omega_1 \\ -\omega_2 & \omega_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and set

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) &:= Q(t)w(Q^T(t)x), & p(x, t) &:= q(Q^T(t)x), \\ G(x, t) &:= Q(t)F(Q^T(t)x). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $u(\cdot, 0) = w$ in the sense that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|u(\cdot, t) - w\|_6 = 0$. Then

$$(2-7) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + \nabla p = \operatorname{div} G & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_\infty^3, \\ \operatorname{div} u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_\infty^3, \\ u(\cdot, 0) = w & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

and $u \in L^6(\mathbb{R}_T^3)^3$ for all $T > 0$.

³We take f smooth for simplicity only; this assumption can be substantially weakened.

⁴Throughout this paper, we shall use the summation convention over repeated indexes.

To get an integral representation of u , recall the fundamental solution to the time-dependent Stokes problem, that is, the solution (in the sense of distributions) to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \Gamma_{ij} - \Delta \Gamma_{ij} + \partial_j \gamma_i = \delta_{ij} \delta(t) \delta(x), \\ \partial_k \Gamma_{ik} = 0, \end{cases} \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3,$$

where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker symbol and $\delta(\cdot)$ the Dirac delta distribution. The fundamental solution takes the form (see [Oseen 1927, Section 5])

$$\Gamma_{ij} := -\delta_{ij} \Delta \Psi + \partial_i \partial_j \Psi, \quad \gamma_i := \partial_i (\Delta - \partial_t) \Psi,$$

with

$$\Psi(x, t) := \frac{1}{4\pi^{3/2} t^{1/2}} \int_0^1 e^{-|x|^2 r^2 / (4t)} dr.$$

Using Γ we can write the unique (in the class $L^6(\mathbb{R}_T^3)$, $T > 0$) solution to (2-7) as

$$\begin{aligned} (2-8) \quad u_i(x, t) &= \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{3/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{-|x-y|^2 / (4t)} w_i(y) dy \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \Gamma_{ih}(x-y, t-\tau) G_{jh}(y, \tau) dy d\tau \\ &=: I_1(x, t) - I_2(x, t); \end{aligned}$$

see [Galdi and Kyed 2011b, Section 3]. Then, since $w \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$, Hölder’s inequality yields

$$(2-9) \quad |I_1(Q(t)x, t)| = O(t^{-1/4}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

It is easy to verify that the estimate on $\int_0^\infty |\nabla \Gamma(x, t)| dt$ from Lemma 3.1 of that reference also holds in the present case of vanishing velocity at infinity (the case $\mathcal{R} = 0$ there). Thus

$$(2-10) \quad |I_2(x, t)| \leq c_1 \|F\|_{2+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2 (1+|y|)^{2+\alpha}} dy.$$

From [Galdi 1994, Lemma II.7.2] we conclude that

$$(2-11) \quad |I_2(x, t)| \leq \|F\|_{2+\alpha} \frac{c_2}{(1+|x|)^{1+\alpha}}, \quad \text{uniformly in } t > 0,$$

with $c_2 = c_2(\alpha)$. Since $|w(x)| = |u(Q(t)x, t)| \leq |I_1(Q(t)x, t)| + |I_2(Q(t)x, t)|$ for all $t > 0$, from (2-9) and (2-11) we obtain

$$(2-12) \quad \|w\|_{1+\alpha, \mathbb{R}^3} \leq c_3 \|F\|_{2+\alpha}.$$

Differentiating (2-8) gives $\partial_k u(x, t) = \partial_k I_1(x, t) + \partial_k I_2(x, t)$. Then another standard application of Hölder’s inequality yields

$$(2-13) \quad |\partial_k I_1(x, t)| = O(t^{-3/4}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly in } x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Moreover, we have

$$(2-14) \quad \partial_k I_2(x, t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_k \Gamma_{ih}(x - y, t - \tau) \partial_j G_{jh}(y, \tau) dy d\tau.$$

Now fix $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and let $R = \frac{1}{2}|x|$. Then

$$(2-15) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_k I_2(x, t) &= \int_0^t \int_{B_R} \partial_j \partial_k \Gamma_{ih}(x - y, \tau) G_{jh}(y, t - \tau) dy d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{\partial B_R} \partial_k \Gamma_{ih}(x - y, \tau) G_{jh}(y, t - \tau) n_j dS(y) d\tau \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_{B^R} \partial_k \Gamma_{ih}(x - y, \tau) \partial_j G_{jh}(y, t - \tau) dy d\tau \\ &=: J_1 + J_2 + J_3. \end{aligned}$$

Employing [Galdi and Kyed 2011b, Lemma 3.1] as above, this time to estimate $\int_0^\infty |\nabla^2 \Gamma(x, \tau)| d\tau$, we find

$$(2-16) \quad \begin{aligned} |J_1| &\leq c_4 \int_{B_R} \frac{\|F\|_{2+\alpha}}{|x-y|^3(1+|y|)^{2+\alpha}} dy \\ &\leq c_5 \frac{1}{|x|^3} \int_{B_R} \frac{\|F\|_{2+\alpha}}{(1+|y|)^{2+\alpha}} dy \leq \|F\|_{2+\alpha} (c_6|x|^{-(2+\alpha)} + c_7|x|^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by the same lemma, we have

$$(2-17) \quad |J_2| \leq c_8 \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\|F\|_{2+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2|y|^{2+\alpha}} dS(y) \leq c_9 \|F\|_{2+\alpha} |x|^{-(2+\alpha)}.$$

Finally, using again the same lemma, as well as [Galdi 1994, Lemma II.7.2], we estimate

$$(2-18) \quad \begin{aligned} |J_3| &\leq c_{10} \int_{B^R} \frac{\|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2|y|^{3+\alpha}} dy \\ &\leq c_{10} \frac{1}{R} \int_{B^R} \frac{\|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2|y|^{2+\alpha}} dy \leq c_{11} \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha} |x|^{-(2+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\nabla w(x)| = |\nabla u(Q(t)x, t)| \leq |\nabla I_1(Q(t)x, t)| + |\nabla I_2(Q(t)x, t)|$, $t > 0$, we deduce from (2-13)–(2-18) that

$$(2-19) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|x|>1} |\nabla w(x)| (1 + |x|)^{2+\alpha} \leq c_{12} (\|F\|_{2+\alpha} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha}).$$

To complete the estimate for ∇w , recall (2-14) and estimate, using [Galdi and Kyed 2011b, Lemma 3.1],

$$|\partial_k I_2(x, t)| \leq c_{13} \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2(1+|y|)^{3+\alpha}} dy.$$

It follows that $|\partial_k I_2(x, t)| \leq c_{14} \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}$ for $|x| \leq 1$ and all $t > 0$. Combining this estimate with (2-13), we conclude that $\operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|x| \leq 1} |\nabla w(x)| \leq c_{15} \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}$. This, together with (2-19), yields

$$(2-20) \quad \llbracket \nabla w \rrbracket_{2+\alpha, \mathbb{R}^3} \leq c_{16} (\llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha} + \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}).$$

We now turn our attention to the pressure term q . Taking div in (2-1)₁ we get

$$\Delta q = -\partial_i \partial_j F_{ij} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

From the fact that $F \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)^{3 \times 3}$, by standard Calderón–Zygmund estimates, it follows that, after possibly modifying q by adding a constant, $q \in L^{3/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Together with the summability properties of $\operatorname{div} F$, this yields the validity of the representation

$$(2-21) \quad q(x) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \partial_j \mathcal{E}(y-x) \partial_i F_{ij}(y) \, dy,$$

where \mathcal{E} denotes the fundamental solution to the Laplace equation. Now fix $R = \frac{1}{2}|x| > 0$ and split

$$q(x) = - \int_{B_R} \partial_i \mathcal{E}(y-x) \partial_j F_{ij}(y) \, dy - \int_{B^R} \partial_i \mathcal{E}(y-x) \partial_j F_{ij}(y) \, dy =: K_1 + K_2.$$

We can estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |K_1| &\leq \left| \int_{\partial B_R} \partial_i \mathcal{E}(y-x) F_{ij}(y) n_j \, dS(y) \right| + \left| \int_{B_R} \partial_j \partial_i \mathcal{E}(y-x) F_{ij}(y) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq c_{17} \left(\int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2 |y|^{2+\alpha}} \, dS(y) + \int_{B_R} \frac{\llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha}}{|x-y|^3 (1+|y|)^{2+\alpha}} \, dy \right) \\ &\leq \llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha} (c_{18} |x|^{-(2+\alpha)} + c_{19} |x|^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using again [Galdi 1994, Lemma II.7.2], we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |K_2| &\leq \int_{B^R} \frac{\llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2 |y|^{3+\alpha}} \, dy \\ &\leq \frac{1}{R} \int_{B^R} \frac{\llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}}{|x-y|^2 |y|^{2+\alpha}} \, dy \leq c_{20} \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha} |x|^{-(2+\alpha)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$(2-22) \quad \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{|x| > 1} |q(x)| (1+|x|)^{2+\alpha} \leq c_{21} (\llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha} + \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}).$$

To complete the estimate for q , we estimate directly from (2-21)

$$|q(x)| \leq c_{22} \llbracket \operatorname{div} F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|x-y|^2 (1+|y|)^{3+\alpha}} \, dy,$$

from which it follows that $\text{ess sup}_{|x| \leq 1} |q(x)| \leq c_{23} \llbracket \text{div } F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}$. Combined with (2-22) we thus have

$$(2-23) \quad \llbracket q \rrbracket_{2+\alpha} \leq c_{24} (\llbracket F \rrbracket_{2+\alpha} + \llbracket \text{div } F \rrbracket_{3+\alpha}).$$

Summarizing (2-12), (2-20), and (2-23) we get (2-5). It remains to show uniqueness of the solution in the class $\mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Since (2-1) is a linear problem, we consider only the case $f = 0$ and a solution $(w, q) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Dot-multiplying the first equation in (2-1) by w , integrating over B_R , and then letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\nabla w = 0$. Consequently, $(w, q) = (0, 0)$. \square

3. Landau solution

The Landau solution (U^b, P^b) , corresponding to a parameter $b \in \mathbb{R}^3$, is a solution in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to

$$(3-1) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta U + U \cdot \nabla U + \nabla P = b \delta, \\ \text{div } U = 0, \end{cases}$$

axially symmetric about the axis $b\mathbb{R}$ and (-1) -homogeneous. Here δ denotes the delta distribution. The Landau solution can be given explicitly. Assume for simplicity that $b = k e_3$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$(3-2) \quad \begin{aligned} U^b(x) &= \frac{2}{|x|} \left(\frac{c(x_3/|x|) - 1}{(c - x_3/|x|)^2} \frac{x}{|x|} + \frac{1}{c - x_3/|x|} e_3 \right) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \\ P^b &= \frac{4}{|x|^2} \frac{(c(x_3/|x|) - 1)}{(c - x_3/|x|)^2} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3-3) \quad k = m 8\pi c 3(c^2 - 1) \left(2 + 6c^2 - 3c(c^2 - 1) \log \frac{c+1}{c-1} \right).$$

As one may easily verify, for each $k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|c| > 1$ so that (k, c) satisfies (3-3). Hence, for each $b \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$, a Landau solution (U^b, P^b) to (3-1) is given. Moreover, we have $b = k e_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $|c| \rightarrow \infty$. The Landau solution was originally constructed in [Landau 1944]. For the explicit calculation of the expressions above, refer to [Cannone and Karch 2004].

An important observation concerning the rotating body case is that

$$b \wedge x \cdot \nabla U^b - b \wedge U^b = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\},$$

since U^b is symmetric about $b\mathbb{R}$ (see [Farwig and Hishida 2011b]).

We conclude from the above that (U^b, P^b) is a solution to

$$(3-4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta U^b + U^b \cdot \nabla U^b - b \wedge x \cdot \nabla U^b + b \wedge U^b + \nabla P^b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \\ \text{div } U^b = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(3-5) \quad |U^b(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa_1(b)}{|x|} \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla U^b(x)| \leq \frac{\kappa_2(b)}{|x|^2} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\},$$

with

$$(3-6) \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \kappa_1(b) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \kappa_2(b) = 0.$$

Properties (3-4), (3-5), and (3-6) are all we need in order to prove [Theorem 1.1](#).

4. Proof of the main theorem

Before proving [Theorem 1.1](#), we outline the idea behind the proof.

Let (v, p) be a Leray solution to (1-1) satisfying the energy inequality (1-5). If $|\omega|$ is sufficiently small, it was proved in [[Galdi and Kyed 2011a](#)] that

$$(4-1) \quad \llbracket v \rrbracket_1 + \llbracket \nabla v \rrbracket_2 + \llbracket p \rrbracket_2 < \infty.$$

Moreover, elliptic regularity implies $v, p \in C^\infty(\Omega)$. Now let $R > \text{diam}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega)$ and $\chi_R \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be a “cut-off” function with $\chi_R = 0$ in B_R and $\chi_R = 1$ in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus B_{2R}$. Put

$$w := \chi_R v - \mathfrak{B}(\nabla \chi_R \cdot v), \quad q := \chi_R p,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{B} : C_0^\infty(B_{2R}) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(B_{2R})^3$$

is the *Bogovskiĭ operator*, defined by the property that $\text{div } \mathfrak{B}(f) = f$ whenever $\int_{B_{2R}} f(x) \, dx = 0$. (See [[Galdi 1994](#), Theorem III.3.2] for details.) In the case above,

$$\int_{B_{2R}} \nabla \chi_R \cdot v \, dx = \int_{\partial B_{2R}} v \cdot n \, dS = \int_{\partial \Omega} \omega \wedge x \cdot n \, dS = 0.$$

Hence (w, q) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta w + w \cdot \nabla w - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla w + \omega \wedge w + \nabla q = G_v & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \text{div } w = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

with $G_v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and

$$(4-2) \quad \llbracket w \rrbracket_1 + \llbracket \nabla w \rrbracket_2 + \llbracket q \rrbracket_2 < \infty.$$

Next we introduce the Landau solution (U, P) corresponding to the parameter $b := (\mathcal{F} \cdot \omega)\omega/|\omega|^2$, that is, $(U, P) := (U^b, P^b)$. As above, put

$$\tilde{U} := \chi_R U - \mathfrak{B}(\nabla \chi_R \cdot U), \quad \tilde{P} = \chi_R P.$$

Then (\tilde{U}, \tilde{P}) satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \tilde{U} + \tilde{U} \cdot \nabla \tilde{U} - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla \tilde{U} + \omega \wedge \tilde{U} + \nabla \tilde{P} = G_U & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \text{div } \tilde{U} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

with $G_{\tilde{U}} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and by (3-5),

$$(4-3) \quad \|\tilde{U}\|_1 + \|\nabla \tilde{U}\|_2 + \|\tilde{P}\|_2 < \infty.$$

A crucial observation now is that since $v = \omega \wedge x$ on $\partial\Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_v \, dx &= \int_{B_{2R}} \operatorname{div}(-\mathbf{T}(w, q) + w \otimes w - w \otimes (\omega \wedge x) + (\omega \wedge x) \otimes w) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{2R}} (-\mathbf{T}(v, p) + v \otimes v - v \otimes (\omega \wedge x) + (\omega \wedge x) \otimes v) \cdot n \, dS \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (\mathbf{T}(v, p) - v \otimes v) \cdot n \, dS, \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, since $(U, P) = (U^b, P^b)$ solves (3-4) with right-hand side $b\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G_U \, dx &= \int_{B_{2R}} \operatorname{div}(-\mathbf{T}(\tilde{U}, \tilde{P}) + \tilde{U} \otimes \tilde{U} - \tilde{U} \otimes (\omega \wedge x) + (\omega \wedge x) \otimes \tilde{U}) \cdot n \, dS \\ &= \int_{\partial B_{2R}} (-\mathbf{T}(U, P) + U \otimes U - U \otimes (\omega \wedge x) + (\omega \wedge x) \otimes U) \cdot n \, dS = b, \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by the definition of b ,

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (G_v - G_U) \, dx \right) \cdot \omega = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 2.1, there exists a solution (V_0, P_0) to

$$(4-4) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta V_0 - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla V_0 + \omega \wedge V_0 + \nabla P_0 = G_v - G_U & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} V_0 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases}$$

satisfying

$$(4-5) \quad \|V_0\|_2 + \|\nabla V_0\|_3 + \|P_0\|_2 < \infty.$$

As a consequence of (4-4), $\Delta P_0 = \operatorname{div}(G_v - G_U)$, and hence

$$(4-6) \quad P_0(x) = \nabla \mathcal{E}(x) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (G_v(y) - G_U(y)) \, dy + O(|x|^{-3}),$$

where \mathcal{E} denotes the fundamental solution to the Laplace equation. Now consider

$$(4-7) \quad z := w - \tilde{U} - V_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \pi := q - \tilde{P} - P_0.$$

As can easily be verified, $(z, \pi) \in \mathcal{X}_1^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ satisfies the *linear* problem

$$(4-8) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta z - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla z + \omega \wedge z + z \cdot \nabla w + \tilde{U} \cdot \nabla z + \nabla \pi \\ \quad \quad \quad = -\operatorname{div}(V_0 \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes V_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} z = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Our main result, namely, the asymptotic expansions (1-6)–(1-8), now follows if we can show $\|z\|_{1,1+\alpha} + \|\pi\|_{2+\alpha} < \infty$. To do this, first, we use Lemma 2.2 in combination with (4-2), (4-3), and (4-5) to establish the existence of a solution to (4-8) with this property, and, second, we show uniqueness of solutions to (4-8) in the class $\mathcal{X}_1^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. There is an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\alpha) > 0$ so that if $|\omega| < \varepsilon$ there exists a solution $(z, \pi) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to (4-8).*

Proof. We shall use a perturbation argument in the space

$$X := \{(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{p}) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3) \mid \operatorname{div} \mathfrak{z} = 0\},$$

$$\|(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{p})\|_X := \|\mathfrak{z}\|_{1,1+\alpha} + \|\mathfrak{p}\|_{2+\alpha}.$$

Clearly, $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ is a Banach space. Let $(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{p}) \in X$. Consider the system

$$(4-9) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta z - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla z + \omega \wedge z + \nabla \pi \\ \quad = -\mathfrak{z} \cdot \nabla w - \tilde{U} \cdot \nabla \mathfrak{z} - \operatorname{div}(V_0 \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes V_0) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} z = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\mathfrak{z} \cdot \nabla w + \tilde{U} \cdot \nabla \mathfrak{z} = \operatorname{div}(\mathfrak{z} \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes \mathfrak{z})$, and put

$$F := \mathfrak{z} \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes \mathfrak{z} + V_0 \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes V_0.$$

Since $\|F\|_{2+\alpha} + \|\operatorname{div} F\|_{3+\alpha} < \infty$, by Lemma 2.2 there exists a unique solution $(z, \pi) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to (4-9). We now define the map $\mathcal{F} : X \rightarrow X$ by $\mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{p}) := (z, \pi)$, and show the existence of a fixed point of \mathcal{F} by the contraction mapping theorem. Therefore, consider $(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{p}_1), (\mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{p}_2) \in X$ and put $(z_1, \pi_1) := \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{z}_1, \mathfrak{p}_1)$ and $(z_2, \pi_2) := \mathcal{F}(\mathfrak{z}_2, \mathfrak{p}_2)$. Clearly, $(z_1 - z_2, \pi_1 - \pi_2)$ satisfies

$$(4-10) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta(z_1 - z_2) - \omega \wedge x \cdot (z_1 - z_2) + \omega \wedge (z_1 - z_2) + \nabla \pi \\ \quad = -\operatorname{div}((\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_2) \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes (\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_2)) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div}(z_1 - z_2) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.2 implies that

$$\|z_1 - z_2\|_{1,1+\alpha} + \|\pi_1 - \pi_2\|_{2+\alpha} \leq C_1(\alpha) \|\mathfrak{z}_1 - \mathfrak{z}_2\|_{1,1+\alpha} (\|w\|_{1,1} + \|\tilde{U}\|_{1,1}).$$

From [Galdi and Kyed 2011a, Theorem 4.1] we obtain $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} \|v\|_{1,1,\Omega} = 0$. Since $w = \chi_R v - \mathfrak{B}(\nabla \chi_R \cdot v)$, using well-known L^q -estimates for \mathfrak{B} (see [Galdi 1994, Chapter III.3]) and Sobolev embedding, one sees easily that $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} \|w\|_{1,1}$ vanishes. The theorem just cited also gives $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} b(\omega, v, p) = 0$, which, together with (3-5), (3-6) implies $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} \|\tilde{U}\|_{1,1} = 0$. Consequently, for sufficiently small $|\omega|$, \mathcal{F} is a contraction, and, by the contraction mapping theorem, there exists a fixed point $(z, \pi) \in \mathcal{X}_{1+\alpha}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_{2+\alpha}^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ of \mathcal{F} . Clearly, by the construction of \mathcal{F} , this fixed point is a solution to (4-8). \square

Lemma 4.2. *There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that if $|\omega| < \varepsilon$ then a solution (z, π) to (4-8) in $\mathcal{X}_1^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is unique in this class.*

Proof. Assume that $(z_1, \pi_1), (z_2, \pi_2) \in \mathcal{X}_1^1(\mathbb{R}^3)^3 \times \mathcal{X}_2^0(\mathbb{R}^3)$ both solve (4-8). Then $(z, \pi) := (z_1 - z_2, \pi_1 - \pi_2)$ solves

$$(4-11) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta z - \omega \wedge x \cdot \nabla z + \omega \wedge z + \nabla \pi = -\operatorname{div}(z \otimes w + \tilde{U} \otimes z) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3, \\ \operatorname{div} z = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3. \end{cases}$$

Testing (4-11) with z , integrating over B_R , subsequently letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, and finally applying the Hardy-type inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|z|^2}{(1+|x|)^2} dx \leq c_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla z|^2 dx,$$

we obtain $|z|_{1,2}^2 \leq c_2 |z|_{1,2}^2 \|w\|_1$. As in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we use that $\lim_{|\omega| \rightarrow 0} \|w\|_1 = 0$, which in this case yields $|z|_{1,2} = 0$ when ω is sufficiently small. Consequently, $(z_1, \pi_1) = (z_2, \pi_2)$. \square

Combining Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, we can now prove our main result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $v(x) - U(x) = w(x) - \tilde{U}(x)$ for $|x| \geq 2R$, the expansions (1-6) and (1-7) follow if we can show that $\|w - \tilde{U}\|_{1,1+\alpha} < \infty$. Similarly, since $p(x) - P(x) = q(x) - \tilde{P}(x)$ for $|x| \geq 2R$, and recalling (4-6), the expansion (1-8) follows if we can show that $\|q - \tilde{P} - P_0\|_{2+\alpha} < \infty$. Since $\|V_0\|_{1,2} < \infty$, both of these assertions are consequences of the fact that (z, π) defined by (4-7) satisfies $\|z\|_{1,1+\alpha} + \|\pi\|_{2+\alpha} < \infty$, which follows from Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.2, provided $|\omega|$ is sufficiently small. \square

Acknowledgment

The authors thank the referee for recommending various improvements.

References

- [Borchers 1992] W. Borchers, “Zur Stabilität und Faktorisierungsmethode für die Navier–Stokes Gleichungen inkompressibler viskoser Flüssigkeiten”, Habilitation Thesis, Universität Paderborn, 1992.
- [Cannone and Karch 2004] M. Cannone and G. Karch, “Smooth or singular solutions to the Navier–Stokes system?”, *J. Differential Equations* **197** (2004), 247–274. MR 2005g:35228 Zbl 1042.35043
- [Deuring and Kračmar 2004] P. Deuring and S. Kračmar, “Exterior stationary Navier–Stokes flows in 3D with non-zero velocity at infinity: approximation by flows in bounded domains”, *Math. Nachr.* **269/270** (2004), 86–115. MR 2005e:35182 Zbl 1050.35067
- [Deuring et al. 2011] P. Deuring, S. Kračmar, and Š. Nečasová, “On pointwise decay of linearized stationary incompressible viscous flow around rotating and translating bodies”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **43:2** (2011), 705–738. MR 2784873 Zbl 05956487

- [Farwig 1992] R. Farwig, “The stationary exterior 3D-problem of Oseen and Navier–Stokes equations in anisotropically weighted Sobolev spaces”, *Math. Z.* **211**:3 (1992), 409–447. MR 93k:35204 Zbl 0727.35106
- [Farwig 2006] R. Farwig, “An L^q -analysis of viscous fluid flow past a rotating obstacle”, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **58**:1 (2006), 129–147. MR 2007f:35226 Zbl 1136.76340
- [Farwig and Hishida 2009] R. Farwig and T. Hishida, “Asymptotic profiles of steady Stokes and Navier–Stokes flows around a rotating obstacle”, *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.* **55**:2 (2009), 263–277. MR 2010k:76035 Zbl 1205.35191
- [Farwig and Hishida 2011a] R. Farwig and T. Hishida, “Asymptotic profile of steady Stokes flow around a rotating obstacle”, *Manuscr. Math.* **136**:3–4 (2011), 315–338. Zbl 05968965
- [Farwig and Hishida 2011b] R. Farwig and T. Hishida, “Leading term at infinity of steady Navier–Stokes flow around a rotating obstacle”, *Math. Nachr.* **284**:16 (2011), 2065–2077. Zbl 05971975
- [Farwig and Neustupa 2008] R. Farwig and J. Neustupa, “On the spectrum of an Oseen-type operator arising from flow past a rotating body”, *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **62**:2 (2008), 169–189. MR 2009i:35245 Zbl 1180.35382
- [Farwig et al. 2004] R. Farwig, T. Hishida, and D. Müller, “ L^q -theory of a singular “winding” integral operator arising from fluid dynamics”, *Pacific J. Math.* **215** (2004), 297–312. MR 2005f:35078 Zbl 1057.35028
- [Galdi 1994] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations, Vol. I: Linearized steady problems*, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy **38**, Springer, New York, 1994. MR 95i:35216a Zbl 0949.35004
- [Galdi 2003] G. P. Galdi, “Steady flow of a Navier–Stokes fluid around a rotating obstacle”, *J. Elasticity* **71**:1–3 (2003), 1–31. MR 2005c:76030 Zbl 1156.76367
- [Galdi \geq 2011] G. P. Galdi, “Steady-state Navier–Stokes equations past a rotating symmetric body”, submitted.
- [Galdi and Kyed 2011a] G. P. Galdi and M. Kyed, “Asymptotic behavior of a Leray solution around a rotating obstacle”, pp. 251–266 in *Parabolic problems: the Herbert Amann Festschrift*, edited by J. Escher et al., Prog. Nonlinear Diff. Eq. Appl. **60**, Springer, Basel, 2011.
- [Galdi and Kyed 2011b] G. P. Galdi and M. Kyed, “Steady-state Navier–Stokes flows past a rotating body: Leray solutions are physically reasonable”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **200**:1 (2011), 21–58. MR 2781585 Zbl 05952971
- [Galdi and Silvestre 2007a] G. P. Galdi and A. L. Silvestre, “Further results on steady-state flow of a Navier–Stokes liquid around a rigid body. Existence of the wake”, pp. 127–143 in *Kyoto Conference on the Navier–Stokes Equations and their Applications*, edited by Y. Giga et al., RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B1**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto, 2007. MR 2008c:35245 Zbl 1119.76011
- [Galdi and Silvestre 2007b] G. P. Galdi and A. L. Silvestre, “The steady motion of a Navier–Stokes liquid around a rigid body”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **184**:3 (2007), 371–400. MR 2008k:35354 Zbl 1111.76010
- [Hishida 2007] T. Hishida, “Steady motions of the Navier–Stokes fluid around a rotating body”, pp. 117–136 in *Asymptotic analysis and singularities—hyperbolic and dispersive PDEs and fluid mechanics*, edited by H. Kozono et al., Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **47**, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2007. MR 2009b:35320 Zbl 1137.35410
- [Hishida and Shibata 2007] T. Hishida and Y. Shibata, “Decay estimates of the Stokes flow around a rotating obstacle”, pp. 167–186 in *Kyoto Conference on the Navier–Stokes Equations and their Applications*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu **B1**, Res. Inst. Math. Sci., Kyoto, 2007. MR 2008e:35153 Zbl 1119.35052

- [Hishida and Shibata 2009] T. Hishida and Y. Shibata, “ L_p - L_q estimate of the Stokes operator and Navier–Stokes flows in the exterior of a rotating obstacle”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **193**:2 (2009), 339–421. [MR 2011d:35374](#) [Zbl 1169.76015](#)
- [Korolev and Šverák 2011] A. Korolev and V. Šverák, “On the large-distance asymptotics of steady state solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in 3D exterior domains”, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* **28**:2 (2011), 303–313. [MR 2784073](#) [Zbl 1216.35090](#)
- [Kračmar et al. 2008] S. Kračmar, Š. Nečasová, and P. Penel, “ L^q -approach to weak solutions of the Oseen flow around a rotating body”, pp. 259–276 in *Parabolic and Navier–Stokes equations, Part I*, edited by J. Rencławowicz and W. M. Zajączkowski, Banach Center Publ. **81**, Polish Acad. Sci. Inst. Math., Warsaw, 2008. [MR 2010i:76040](#) [Zbl 1148.76017](#)
- [Landau 1944] L. Landau, “A new exact solution of Navier–Stokes equations”, *C. R. (Doklady) Acad. Sci. URSS (N.S.)* **43** (1944), 286–288. [MR 6,135d](#) [Zbl 0061.43410](#)
- [Oseen 1927] C. W. Oseen, *Neuere Methoden und Ergebnisse in der Hydrodynamik*, Mathematik und ihre Anwendungen in Monographien und Lehrbüchern **1**, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1927. [JFM 53.0773.02](#)
- [Silvestre 2004] A. L. Silvestre, “On the existence of steady flows of a Navier–Stokes liquid around a moving rigid body”, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **27**:12 (2004), 1399–1409. [MR 2005f:35251](#) [Zbl 1061.35078](#)

Received September 30, 2010. Revised March 13, 2011.

REINHARD FARWIG
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK AND CENTER OF SMART INTERFACES (CSI)
TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT
D-64289 DARMSTADT
GERMANY
farwig@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

GIOVANNI P. GALDI
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING AND MATERIALS SCIENCE
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH PA 15261
UNITED STATES
galdi@pitt.edu

MADS KYED
FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK
TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT
SCHLOSSGARTENSTRASSE 7
D-64289 DARMSTADT
GERMANY
kyed@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Mathew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2011 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2011 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 253 No. 2 October 2011

Fusion rules on a parametrized series of graphs	257
MARTA ASAEDA and UFFE HAAGERUP	
Group gradings on restricted Cartan-type Lie algebras	289
YURI BAHTURIN and MIKHAIL KOCHETOV	
B2-convexity implies strong and weak lower semicontinuity of partitions of \mathbb{R}^n	321
DAVID G. CARABALLO	
Testing the functional equation of a high-degree Euler product	349
DAVID W. FARMER, NATHAN C. RYAN and RALF SCHMIDT	
Asymptotic structure of a Leray solution to the Navier–Stokes flow around a rotating body	367
REINHARD FARWIG, GIOVANNI P. GALDI and MADDS KYED	
Type II almost-homogeneous manifolds of cohomogeneity one	383
DANIEL GUAN	
Cell decompositions of Teichmüller spaces of surfaces with boundary	423
REN GUO and FENG LUO	
A system of third-order differential operators conformally invariant under $\mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$ and $\mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$	439
TOSHIHISA KUBO	
Axial symmetry and regularity of solutions to an integral equation in a half-space	455
GUOZHEN LU and JIUYI ZHU	
Braiding knots in contact 3-manifolds	475
ELENA PAVELESCU	
Gradient estimates for positive solutions of the heat equation under geometric flow	489
JUN SUN	