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Let $d \geq 3, n_{1}>0$ and $n_{2}>0$ be integers. Let $\underline{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\underline{q}=$ $\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\right)$ be two partitions of $d$. Let $X, X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. In this paper we study coverings that decompose into a sequence

$$
X \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y,
$$

where $\pi$ is a degree-two coverings with $n_{1}$ branch points and branch locus $D_{\pi}$ and $f$ is a degree- $d$ coverings with $n_{2}$ points of simple branching and two special points whose local monodromy is given by $\underline{e}$ and $q$, respectively. Furthermore the covering $f$ has monodromy group $S_{d}$ and $\left.\overline{f( } D_{\pi}\right) \cap D_{f}=\varnothing$ where $D_{f}$ denotes the branch locus of $f$. We prove that the corresponding Hurwitz spaces are irreducible under the hypothesis $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$.

## Introduction

In this paper we study Hurwitz spaces that parametrize branched coverings with two special fibers whose monodromy group is a Weyl group of type $B_{d}$.

We notice that the irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces, parametrizing branched coverings of a smooth, connected, projective complex curve $Y$ with monodromy group $S_{d}$ and with at most two special fibers, has been well studied both when $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and when $Y$ has positive genus. The case of simple coverings was studied in [Berstein and Edmonds 1984; Hurwitz 1891], the case of coverings with one special fiber in addition to points of simple branching was studied in [Kanev 2004; Kluitmann 1988; Natanzon 1991; Vetro 2006] and the case of two special fibers in addition to points of simple branching was studied in [Vetro 2010; Wajnryb 1996].
$S_{d}$ is the Weyl group of a root system of type $A_{d-1}$ and so it is interesting to study coverings with monodromy group a Weyl group different by $S_{d}$. Furthermore coverings of this type are interesting, for example, because they appear in the study of spectral curves and of Prym-Tyurin varieties.

[^0]Hurwitz spaces parametrizing coverings of this type were studied in [Biggers and Fried 1986; Kanev 2006; Vetro 2007; 2008a; 2008b; 2009]. Biggers and Fried proved the irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces parametrizing coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ whose monodromy group is a Weyl group of type $D_{d}$ and whose local monodromies are all reflections. Kanev extended the result to Hurwitz spaces of Galois coverings of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ whose Galois group is an arbitrary Weyl group.

Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. We studied Hurwitz spaces of coverings that decompose into a sequence of coverings of type $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y$, where $\pi$ is a degree-two covering and $f$ is a degree $d \geq 3$ covering with one special fiber and with monodromy group $S_{d}$. We analyzed in [Vetro 2007; 2008a] the case that $\pi$ is branched, and in [Vetro 2008b; 2009] the unramified case.

In this paper we continue the study of coverings of type $X \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y$, with $\pi$ a degree-two covering and $f$ a degree- $d$ covering. Let $\underline{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\underline{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\right)$ be two partitions of $d$ and let $b_{0}$ be a point of $Y$. In particular we study equivalence classes of pairs $\left[X \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y, \phi\right]$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $\pi$ is branched in $n_{1}$ points and has branch locus $D_{\pi}, f$ is simply branched in $n_{2}$ points and has two special points with local monodromy given by $\underline{e}$ and $q$, respectively;
- $f$ has monodromy group $S_{d}$ and $f\left(D_{\pi}\right) \cap D_{f}=\varnothing$, where $D_{f}$ denotes the branch locus of $f$;
- $f \circ \pi$ is unramified in $b_{0}$ and $\phi:(f \circ \pi)^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\{-d, \ldots,-1,1, \ldots, d\}$ is a bijection.

We study the irreducibility of the corresponding Hurwitz spaces both when $Y \simeq \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and when $Y$ has genus $>0$. We prove that, in both the cases, these spaces are irreducible under the hypothesis $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$. This condition is necessary in [Vetro 2010] in order to prove the irreducibility of the Hurwitz spaces $H_{d, n_{2}, e, \underline{q}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ that parametrize equivalence classes of pairs $[f, \varphi]$ where $f$ is a coverings as above and $\varphi: f^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\{1, \ldots, d\}$ is a bijection. Here, we also use the results of [Vetro 2010].

Notation. Two degree- $d$ branched coverings of $Y, f_{1}: X_{1} \rightarrow Y$ and $f_{2}: X_{2} \rightarrow Y$, are equivalent if there exists a biholomorphic map $p: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ such that $f_{2} \circ p=f_{1}$. Two sequences of coverings,

$$
X_{1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} X_{1}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f_{1}} Y \quad \text { and } \quad X_{2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} X_{2}^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} Y,
$$

are equivalent if there exist two biholomorphic maps $p: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $p^{\prime}: X_{1}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $p^{\prime} \circ \pi_{1}=\pi_{2} \circ p$ and $f_{2} \circ p^{\prime}=f_{1}$. The equivalence class containing $f \circ \pi$ is denoted by $[f \circ \pi]$. The natural action of $S_{d}$ on $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ is on the right.

## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this section, $d$ and $n$ denote positive integers.
1.1. Weyl groups of type $\boldsymbol{B}_{\boldsymbol{d}}$. (Refer to [Bourbaki 1968; Carter 1972] for details.) Let $\left\{\varepsilon_{1}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{d}\right\}$ be the standard base of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and let $R$ be the root system

$$
\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i}, \pm \varepsilon_{i} \pm \varepsilon_{j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq d\right\}
$$

Let us denote by $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ the group generated by the reflections $s_{\varepsilon_{i}}$, with $1 \leq i \leq d$, and by the reflections $s_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}}$, with $1 \leq i<j \leq d$. We call $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ a Weyl group of type $B_{d}$.

We notice that the reflection $s_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}}$ exchanges $\varepsilon_{i}$ with $\varepsilon_{j}$ and $-\varepsilon_{i}$ with $-\varepsilon_{j}$, leaving fixed each $\varepsilon_{h}$ with $h \neq i, j$. The reflection $s_{\varepsilon_{i}}$ exchanges $\varepsilon_{i}$ with $-\varepsilon_{i}$ and fixes all the $\varepsilon_{h}$ with $h \neq i$. Thus if we identify $\left\{ \pm \varepsilon_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq d\right\}$ with $\{ \pm 1, \ldots, \pm d\}$ by the map $\pm \varepsilon_{i} \rightarrow \pm i$, we can easily define an injective homomorphism from $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ into $S_{2 d}$ such that
$s_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}} \rightarrow(i j)(-i-j), \quad s_{\varepsilon_{i}} \rightarrow(i-i), \quad s_{\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{j}}=s_{\varepsilon_{i}} s_{\varepsilon_{j}} s_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}} \rightarrow(i-j)(-i j)$.
Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$ be the set of the functions from $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ into $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ equipped with the sum operation. We will use $\overline{1}_{j}$ to denote the function in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$ defined by

$$
\overline{1}_{j}(j)=\overline{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \overline{1}_{j}(h)=\overline{0} \quad \text { for each } h \neq j
$$

and we will write $z_{i j}$ to denote the function in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$ defined by

$$
z_{i j}(i)=z_{i j}(j)=z \quad \text { and } \quad z_{i j}(h)=\overline{0} \quad \text { for each } h \neq i, j \text { and } z \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} .
$$

Let $\Psi$ be the homomorphism from $S_{d}$ into $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}\right)$ that assigns to $t \in S_{d}$ the element $\Psi(t) \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}\right)$, where $[\Psi(t) a](j):=a\left(j^{t}\right)$ for each $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times{ }^{s} S_{d}$ be the semidirect product of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d}$ and $S_{d}$ through the homomorphism $\Psi$. Given $\left(a^{\prime} ; t_{1}\right),\left(a^{\prime \prime} ; t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times^{s} S_{d}$, we put

$$
\left(a^{\prime} ; t_{1}\right) \cdot\left(a^{\prime \prime} ; t_{2}\right):=\left(a^{\prime}+\Psi\left(t_{1}\right) a^{\prime \prime} ; t_{1} t_{2}\right) .
$$

It is easy to check that the homomorphism from $W\left(B_{d}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times{ }^{s} S_{d}$ defined by

$$
s_{\varepsilon_{i}-\varepsilon_{j}} \rightarrow(0 ;(i j)), \quad s_{\varepsilon_{i}} \rightarrow\left(\overline{1}_{i} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \quad s_{\varepsilon_{i}+\varepsilon_{j}} \rightarrow\left(\overline{1}_{i j} ;(i j j)\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. We will identify $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times{ }^{s} S_{d}$ via this isomorphism.
Definition 1. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Let $(c ; \xi)$ be an element of $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ such that $\xi$ is a $k$-cycle of $S_{d}$ and $c$ is a function that sends to $\overline{0}$ all the indexes fixed by $\xi$. We call an such element a positive $k$-cycle if $c$ is either zero or a function which sends to $\overline{1}$ an even number of indexes. We call it negative $k$-cycle if it is not positive.

We notice that two cycles $(c ; \xi)$ and $\left(c^{\prime} ; \xi^{\prime}\right)$ in $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ are disjoint if $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$ are disjoint. Furthermore, all the elements in $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ can be expressed as a product of disjoint positive and negative cycles. The lengths of such disjoint cycles together with their signs determine the signed cycle type of the elements of $W\left(B_{d}\right)$. Two elements of $W\left(B_{d}\right)$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same signed cycle type [Carter 1972].

Braid group actions on Hurwitz systems. (Refer to [Birman 1969; Fadell and Neuwirth 1962; Graber et al. 2002; Hurwitz 1891; Kanev 2004; Scott 1970].) Let $Y$ be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus $g$ and let $b_{0} \in Y$. Let $\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}$ be the $n$-fold symmetric product of $\left(Y-b_{0}\right)$ and let $\Delta$ be the codimension 1 locus of $\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}$ consisting of non simple divisors. The generators of the braid group $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ were studied in [Birman 1969; Fadell and Neuwirth 1962; Scott 1970]. They are the elementary braids $\sigma_{i}$, with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, and the braids $\rho_{j k}, \tau_{j k}$, with $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq g$.
Definition 2. Let $G$ be a subgroup of $S_{h}$. An ordered sequence of elements of $G$

$$
(\underline{t} ; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}):=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n} ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

such that $t_{i} \neq \mathrm{id}$ for each $i$ and $t_{1} \cdots t_{n}=\left[\lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}\right] \cdots\left[\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right]$ is called a Hurwitz system with values in $G$. The subgroup of $G$ generated by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots$, $\lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}$ is called the monodromy group of the Hurwitz system.
Remark 3. An ordered sequence $\underline{t}:=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ of elements of $G$, with $t_{i} \neq \mathrm{id}$ for each $i$, is a Hurwitz system if $t_{1} \cdots t_{n}=\mathrm{id}$.

To each generator of $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{(n)}-\Delta, D\right)$ one associates a pair of braid moves. We denote by $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\sigma_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ the moves associated with $\sigma_{i}$, and we call them elementary moves. Similarly, $\rho_{j k}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{j k}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\rho_{j k}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ denote the moves associated to $\rho_{j k}$, and likewise for $\tau_{j k}$.

The moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ fix all the $\lambda_{k}$, all the $\mu_{k}$ and all the $t_{h}$ with $h \neq i, i+1$. The elementary move $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ transforms $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$ into $\left(t_{i} t_{i+1} t_{i}^{-1}, t_{i}\right)$, while the move $\sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ transforms $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$ into ( $\left.t_{i+1}, t_{i+1}^{-1} t_{i} t_{i+1}\right)$; see [Hurwitz 1891].

The braid moves $\rho_{j k}^{\prime}$ and $\rho_{j k}^{\prime \prime}$ fix all the $\lambda_{l}$, all the $t_{h}$ with $h \neq j$ and all the $\mu_{l}$ with $l \neq k$. They modify $t_{j}$ and $\mu_{k}$. Analogously the braid moves $\tau_{j k}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{j k}^{\prime \prime}$ modify $t_{j}$ and $\lambda_{k}$, leaving unchanged $\mu_{l}$ for all $l, \lambda_{l}$ with $l \neq k$ and $t_{h}$ with $h \neq j$.

The braid moves $\rho_{j k}^{\prime}, \rho_{j k}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{j k}^{\prime}$ and $\tau_{j k}^{\prime \prime}$ transform $t_{j}$ to an element belonging to the same conjugate class (see Theorem 1.8, [Kanev 2004]).

By [Kanev 2004, Corollary 1.9], when $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k}=\mu_{1}=\cdots=\mu_{k-1}=\mathrm{id}$, the braid move $\rho_{1 k}^{\prime}$ transforms $\mu_{k}$ into $t_{1}^{-1} \mu_{k}$.

Analogously when $\lambda_{1}=\cdots=\lambda_{k-1}=\mu_{1}=\cdots=\mu_{k-1}=\mathrm{id}$, the braid move $\tau_{1 k}^{\prime \prime}$ transforms $\lambda_{k}$ into $t_{1}^{-1} \lambda_{k}$.

Definition 4. Two Hurwitz systems with values in $G$ are braid-equivalent if one is obtained from the other by a finite sequence of braid moves $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \rho_{j k}^{\prime}, \tau_{j k}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$, $\rho_{j k}^{\prime \prime}, \tau_{j k}^{\prime \prime}$, where $1 \leq i \leq n-1,1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq k \leq g$. Two ordered sequences of elements of $G,\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ and $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{l}^{\prime}\right)$, are braid-equivalent if $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{l}^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ by a finite sequence of braid moves of type $\sigma_{i}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$. We denote braid equivalence by $\sim$.

## 2. The Hurwitz spaces $\boldsymbol{H}_{W\left(B_{d}\right), \boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}, \underline{q}}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{W\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{d}\right), \boldsymbol{n}_{1}, \boldsymbol{n}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}, \underline{q}}(\boldsymbol{Y})$

Let $X, X^{\prime}$ and $Y$ be smooth, connected, projective complex curves. Let $d \geq 3$, $n_{1}>0$ and $n_{2}>0$ be integers. Let $\underline{e}=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}\right)$ and $\underline{q}=\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\right)$ be two partitions of $d$ with $e_{1} \geq e_{2} \geq \cdots \geq e_{r} \geq 1$ and $q_{1} \geq q_{2} \geq \cdots \geq q_{s} \geq 1$. Let $b_{0}$ be a point of $Y$ and let $g$ be the genus of $Y$. In this paper we study equivalence classes of pairs $\left[X \xrightarrow{\pi} X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} Y, \phi\right]$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $\pi$ is a degree-two coverings with $n_{1}$ branch points and branch locus $D_{\pi}$;
(b) $f$ is a degree- $d$ coverings with $n_{2}$ points of simple branching and two special points whose local monodromy has cycle type given by $\underline{e}$ and $\underline{q}$, respectively;
(c) the covering $f$ has monodromy group $S_{d}$ and $f\left(D_{\pi}\right) \cap D_{f}=\varnothing$ where $D_{f}$ denotes the branch locus of $f$;
(d) $f \circ \pi$ is unramified in $b_{0}$ and $\phi:(f \circ \pi)^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\{-d, \ldots,-1,1, \ldots, d\}$ is a bijection such that if $f^{-1}\left(b_{0}\right)=\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{d}\right\}$ then $\pi^{-1}\left(y_{i}\right)=\left\{\phi^{-1}(i), \phi^{-1}(-i)\right\}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, d$.
$H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ will denote the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of pairs $[f \circ \pi, \phi$ ] satisfying conditions (a)-(d).
$H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$ will denote the Hurwitz space that parametrizes equivalence classes of coverings $f \circ \pi$ satisfying conditions (a)-(c).
Definition 5. A $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}\right)$-Hurwitz system is a Hurwitz system with values in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{d} \times^{s} S_{d},\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2} ; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}\right)$, such that $n_{1}$ of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}$ are of the form ( $\overline{1}_{*} ;$ id), $n_{2}$ are of the form $\left(z_{h k} ;(h k)\right)$, one is a product of $r$ disjoint positive cycles whose lengths are given by the elements of the partition $\underline{e}$, and one is a product of $s$ disjoint positive cycles whose lengths are given by the elements of the partition $\underline{q}$.

Let $D=f\left(D_{\pi}\right) \cup D_{f}$ and let $m: \pi_{1}\left(Y-D, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow S_{2 d}$ be the monodromy homomorphism associated to $[f \circ \pi, \phi]$. Let $\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{g}\right)$ be a standard generating system for $\pi_{1}\left(Y-D, b_{0}\right)$. The images under $m$ of $\gamma_{1}$, $\ldots, \gamma_{n_{1}+n_{2}+2}, \alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{g}$ determine an ( $n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}$ )-Hurwitz system with monodromy group $W\left(B_{d}\right)$.

In the sequel we will denote by $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^{o}$ the set of all $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}\right)$-Hurwitz systems with monodromy group $W\left(B_{d}\right)$. When $g=0$ we will write $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}$ instead of $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, e, q, g}^{o}$.

Let $\delta: H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)}-\Delta$ be the map that assigns to each pair $[f \circ \pi, \phi]$ the branch locus of $f \circ \pi$. By Riemann's existence theorem we can identify the fiber of $\delta$ over $D$ with $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, e, q, g}^{o}$. There is a unique topology on $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, e, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ such that $\delta$ is a topological covering map; see [Fulton 1969]. Therefore the braid group $\pi_{1}\left(\left(Y-b_{0}\right)^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)}-\Delta, D\right)$ acts on $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, e, \underline{q}, g}^{o}$. If this action is transitive, $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is connected and hence, since $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, e, q}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is smooth, it is also irreducible.
Remark 6. The forgetful map $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right) \rightarrow H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{,} \underline{ }}(Y)$ defined by $[f \circ \pi, \phi] \rightarrow[f \circ \pi]$ is a morphism, whose image is a dense subset of $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$. This ensures that if $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, e, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is irreducible also $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$ is irreducible.

## 3. The results

We denote by $\epsilon$ the following element in $S_{d}$ having cycle type $\underline{e}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(12 \ldots e_{1}\right)\left(e_{1}+1 \ldots e_{1}+e_{2}\right) \cdots\left(\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r-1}\right)+1 \ldots d\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $v$ the following element in $S_{d}$ having cycle type $\underline{q}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(1 d d-1 \ldots d-q_{1}+2\right)\left(d-q_{1}+1\right. & \left.\ldots d-\left(q_{1}+q_{2}\right)+2\right)  \tag{2}\\
& \ldots\left(d-\left(q_{1}+\cdots+q_{s-1}\right)+1 \ldots 2\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 7. Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{i}, t_{i+1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right)$ be a sequence of permutations in $S_{d}$ where $t_{i}$ and $t_{i+1}$ are two equal transpositions of $S_{d}$. Then we can move to the right and to the left the pair $\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)$ leaving unchanged the other permutations of the sequence.

Proof. Applying the elementary moves $\sigma_{i-1}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime \prime}$ we obtain

$$
\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right) \sim\left(t_{i}, t_{i}^{-1} t_{i-1} t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right) \sim\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}, t_{i-1}\right) ;
$$

applying the moves $\sigma_{i+1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{i}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1}, t_{i+2}\right) \sim\left(t_{i}, t_{i+1} t_{i+2} t_{i+1}^{-1}, t_{i+1}\right) \sim\left(t_{i+2}, t_{i}, t_{i+1}\right)
$$

Hence using sequences of elementary moves of type either $\sigma_{j-1}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ or $\sigma_{j+1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we can move respectively on the left and on the right the pair ( $t_{i}, t_{i+1}$ ), leaving unchanged the other permutations of the sequence.

Lemma 8. Let $\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}, \tau, \tau\right)$ be a sequence of permutations of $S_{d}$, with $\tau$ a transposition. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $S_{d}$ generated by $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}$. Then, for each $h \in H$, one has

$$
\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}, \tau, \tau\right) \sim\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}, h^{-1} \tau h, h^{-1} \tau h\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $h \in H$, then $h=h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{k}$ where $h_{i}$ or $h_{i}^{-1}$, with $i=1, \ldots, k$, belonging to $\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{l}\right\}$. If $h_{1}$ is equal to $t_{j}$ for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$, we use Lemma 7 to bring the pair $(\tau, \tau)$ to the left of $t_{j}$ and then we act by the moves $\sigma_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ in order to replace $\left(\tau, \tau, t_{j}\right)$ with $\left(t_{j}, t_{j}^{-1} \tau t_{j}, t_{j}^{-1} \tau t_{j}\right)$.

On the contrary, if $h_{1}$ is equal to $t_{j}^{-1}$ for some $j \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$, we use Lemma 7 to shift the pair $(\tau, \tau)$ on the right of $t_{j}$ and then we apply $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}, \sigma_{j+1}^{\prime}$. In this way we replace $\left(t_{j}, \tau, \tau\right)$ with $\left(t_{j} \tau t_{j}^{-1}, t_{j} \tau t_{j}^{-1}, t_{j}\right)$.

For $h_{2}$ we reason as above but we bring the pair $\left(h_{1}^{-1} \tau h_{1}, h_{1}^{-1} \tau h_{1}\right)$ to the left or to the right of $t_{n}$ depending on whether $h_{2}$ is equal to $t_{n}$ or to $t_{n}^{-1}$.

Following this line for each $h_{i}$, with $i=3, \ldots, k$, we obtain the claim.
Proposition 9 [Vetro 2010, Proposition 2]. Let $\underline{t}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}\right)$ be a Hurwitz system of permutations of $S_{d}$ with monodromy group $S_{d}$ such that one of $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}$ has cycle type $\underline{e}$, one has cycle type $\underline{q}$ and the other $n_{2}$ permutations in $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}$ are transpositions. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1, \underline{t}$ is braid-equivalent to the Hurwitz system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\epsilon, \tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}, v\right) \text { if } s=1 \\
& \left(\epsilon, \tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}, v,\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(1 d-\left(q_{1}+\cdots+q_{s-1}\right)+1\right)\right) \text { if } s>1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\epsilon$ and $v$ are the permutations defined in (1) and (2), and where the sequence $\left(\tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}\right)$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ((12), \ldots,(12)) \text { if } r=1 \\
& \left(\left(1 e_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(1\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r-1}\right)+1\right),(12), \ldots,(12)\right) \text { if } r>1
\end{aligned}
$$

with the transposition (12) appearing an even number of times.
Remark 10. Seeing that $d \geq 3$, the hypothesis $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$ ensures that in the sequence $\left(\tilde{t}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}\right)$ there are more than 3 transpositions (12).
3.1. Irreducibility of $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{1}}, \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{\mathbf{1}}\right)$. We next show that, if $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the braid group $\pi_{1}\left(\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}-b_{0}\right)^{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+2\right)}-\Delta, D\right)$ acts transitively on $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}$. To prove this we show that each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}\right)$-Hurwitz system in $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}$ is braid-equivalent to a given normal form.
Proposition 11. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, each Hurwitz system in $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}$ is braidequivalent to a Hurwitz system of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},(0 ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right)\right) \text { if } s=1 \\
& \begin{aligned}
\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}\right.
\end{aligned},(0 ; v),\left(0 ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right) \\
& \\
& \left.\quad\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right)\right) \text { if } s>1
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ appears $n_{1}$ times and where $\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}\right)$ is the sequence

$$
((0 ; \epsilon),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ;(12))) \text { if } r=1
$$

$$
\left((0 ; \epsilon),\left(0 ;\left(1 e_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1\right)\right),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ;(12))\right) \text { if } r>1
$$

with (0; (12)) appearing an even number of times.
Proof. Step 1. Let $\underline{t} \in A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, q}^{o}$. We prove first that $\underline{t}$ is braid-equivalent to a Hurwitz system of either the form

$$
\left(\ldots,(0 ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)
$$

or the form
$\left(\ldots,(0 ; v),\left(0 ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)$,
depending on whether $s=1$ or $s>1$, where $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ appears $n_{1}$ times.
Acting by elementary moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we shift on the right the elements of the form $\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.$ id) obtaining that $\underline{t}$ is braid-equivalent to

$$
\left(\hat{t}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{t}_{n_{2}+2},\left(\overline{1}_{h} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{k} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)
$$

where $\hat{t}_{i}=\left(* ; t_{i}^{\prime}\right)$. We notice that $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}\right)$ is a Hurwitz system of permutations of $S_{d}$ with monodromy group $S_{d}$ such that one of $t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$ has cycle type given by $\underline{e}$, one has cycle type given by $\underline{q}$ and the other $n_{2}$ permutations are transpositions. Since $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, by Proposition 9 , the system $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}\right)$ is braid-equivalent to either

$$
(\epsilon, \ldots,(12), \ldots,(12),(12),(12), v)
$$

or

$$
\left(\epsilon, \ldots,(12), \ldots,(12),(12),(12), v,\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right), \ldots,\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right)
$$

depending on whether $s=1$ or $s>1$.
We notice that from

$$
\epsilon \cdots(12) \cdots(12)(12)(12)=(12 \ldots d)
$$

it follows that the group generated by the permutations $\epsilon, \ldots,(12)$ is all of $S_{d}$. Hence, by Lemma 8, the sequence $(\epsilon, \ldots,(12), \ldots,(12),(12),(12))$ is braidequivalent to a sequence of the form $(\epsilon, \ldots,(12), \ldots,(12), \tau, \tau)$, where $\tau$ is an arbitrary transposition of $S_{d}$.

This ensures that $\underline{t}$ is braid-equivalent to a system of type either

$$
\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},(b ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{h} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},(b ; v),\left(z_{1 d-q_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\left(z_{1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1}^{s-1} ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{h} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

depending on whether $s=1$ or $s>1$, where $\bar{t}_{i}=\left(* ; t_{i}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and

$$
\left(t_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2-s}^{\prime \prime}\right)=(\epsilon, \ldots,(12), \ldots,(12), \tau, \tau)
$$

Furthermore we can affirm that our system is braid-equivalent to either

$$
\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \check{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},\left(\overline{1}_{u} ; \text { id }\right),(b ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots\right)
$$

or
$\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \check{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},\left(\overline{1}_{u} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(b ; v), \ldots\right.$,

$$
\left.\left(z_{1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1} ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots\right),
$$

depending on whether $s=1$ or $s>1$, where $u$ is an arbitrary index in $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ and $\check{t}_{n_{2}+2-s}=\left(\star^{\prime} ; \tau\right)$.

In fact, acting by elementary moves of the form $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we can bring to the left of $(b ; v)$ one element of type $\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.$ id). We choose $\tau=(u *)$ and then we act by $\sigma_{n_{2}+2-s}^{\prime}$ two times to replace $\left((\star ; \tau),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)$ by $\left(\left(\star^{\prime} ; \tau\right),\left(\overline{1}_{u} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)$.

Now we analyze separately the cases $s=1$ and $s>1$.
Case $s=1$. Let $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l}$ be the indexes that $b$ sends to $\overline{1}$. We suppose that $i_{1}>i_{2}>\cdots>i_{l-1}>i_{l}$. Since our system is braid-equivalent to

$$
\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n_{2}}, \check{t}_{n_{2}+1},\left(\overline{1}_{i l} ; \text { id }\right),(b ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots\right),
$$

acting two times by the move $\sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$ we can replace the pair $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{i l} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(b ; v)\right)$, with $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{i_{l+1}} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.),(\hat{b} ; v)\right)$ where $\hat{b}$ is a function that sends to $\overline{1}$ the indexes $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l-1}$, $i_{l}+1$, where $i_{l}+1$ is the index that precedes $i_{l}$ in $v$. Observe that if there are $h$ indexes among $i_{l-1}$ and $i_{l}$, it is sufficient to use the move $\sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$ another $2 h$ times, to replace the pair $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{i_{l+1}} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(\hat{b} ; v)\right)$ with $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{i_{l-1}} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(\check{b} ; v)\right)$ where $\check{b}$ is a function that sends to $\overline{1}$ the indexes $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{l-2}$.

Since $b$ is a function that sends to $\overline{1}$ an even number of indexes (see Definition 1), following this line we can replace the pair $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.),(\check{b} ; v)\right)$ with $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.),(0 ; v)\right)$. Now, we use $\sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime \prime}$ to shift $(0 ; v)$ to the place $n_{2}+2$.

We notice that if all the elements of the form ( $\overline{1}_{*} ;$ id) in our system are equal to ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ we have the claim. Otherwise we place the elements $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ to the last places and then we act by $\sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$ to bring one element of type $\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.$ id) to the left of
$(0 ; v)$. By Lemma 8 and by using $\sigma_{n_{2}+1}^{\prime}$ two times, we can replace our system by a system of type

$$
\left((* ; \epsilon), \ldots,(* ;(12)),\left(* ; \tau^{\prime}\right),\left(* ; \tau^{\prime}\right),\left(\overline{1}_{2} ; \text { id }\right),(0 ; v),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots\right)
$$

Thus, acting by the elementary move $\sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime \prime}$, we can replace the pair $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{2} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(0 ; v)\right)$ with $\left((0 ; \nu),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)$. Now, acting with elementary moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$, we bring $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ next to the other elements $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$.

Reasoning in this way for each $\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.$ id) such that $* \neq 1$ we obtain the claim.

## Case $s>1$. Our system is braid-equivalent to a system of the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\ldots, \bar{t}_{n_{2}+1-s}, \check{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\right. & (b ; v),\left(z_{1 d-q_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots, \\
& \left.\left(z_{1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1}^{s-1} ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

so if $z^{s-1}=\overline{1}$ we can use the moves $\sigma_{n_{2}+3-s}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+4-s}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$ in order to replace it by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\ldots, \check{t}_{n_{2}+2-s},\left(b^{\prime} ; v\right),\left(\hat{z}_{1 d-q_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since this system is braid-equivalent to a system of type

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((* ; \epsilon), \ldots,(* ;(12)),\left(* ; \tau^{\prime}\right),\left(* ; \tau^{\prime}\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right),\left(b^{\prime} ; v\right),\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(\hat{z}_{1 d-q_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right), \ldots\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we can reason as above for all the elements

$$
\left(* ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \quad \ldots, \quad\left(* ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-2} q_{h}+1\right)\right)
$$

such that $*$ is a function different from 0 . In this way, after at most $s-2$ steps, we transform our system into

$$
\left(\ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(\hat{b} ; v),\left(0 ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right), \ldots\right) .
$$

Now if $\hat{b} \neq 0$, it is sufficient to proceed as in the case $s=1$ in order to obtain the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left((* ; \epsilon), \ldots,(* ;(12)),(* ; \tau),(* ; \tau),\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right),(0 ; \nu)\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0 ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right), \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using elementary moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$, we move to the left of $(0 ; v)$ all the elements of type ( $\overline{1}_{*} ;$ id $)$, so we replace our system with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\ldots,(* ; \tau),(* ; \tau),\left(\overline{1}_{h_{1}} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{h_{n_{1}}} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(0 ; v),\right. \\
& \left.\quad\left(0 ;\left(1 d-q_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\left(0 ;\left(1 d-\sum_{h=1}^{s-1} q_{h}+1\right)\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 8 we can choose $\tau=\left(1 h_{1}\right)$. We apply $\sigma_{n_{2}+2-s}^{\prime}$ two times in order to replace $\left(\overline{1}_{h_{1}} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ with $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$. Now we use elementary moves $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ to bring $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ next to $(0 ; v)$. We repeat this reasoning for all $\left(\overline{1}_{h_{i}} ; i d\right)$ such that $h_{i} \neq 1$. Since by the Hurwitz formula $n_{1}$ is even, we obtain the claim using the sequence of moves $\sigma_{n_{2}+n_{1}+2-s}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+n_{1}+1-s}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+3-s}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+n_{1}+3-s}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+n_{1}+2-s}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+4-s}^{\prime}, \ldots$, $\sigma_{n_{2}+n_{1}+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$.

Step 2. By Step 1 and by Lemma 8, $\underline{t}$ is braid-equivalent to either

$$
\left((a ; \epsilon),\left(z_{12}^{1} ;(12)\right), \ldots,\left(z_{12}^{l} ;(12)\right),(0 ; v), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((a ; \epsilon),\left(v_{1 e_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 e_{1}+1\right)\right), \ldots,\right. & \left(v_{1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1}^{r-1} ;\left(1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1\right)\right) \\
& \left.\left(z_{12}^{1} ;(12)\right), \ldots,\left(z_{12}^{l} ;(12)\right),(0 ; v), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

depending on whether $r=1$ or $r>1$. We analyze separately the two cases.
Case $r=1$. From

$$
(a ; \epsilon)\left(z_{12}^{1} ;(12)\right) \cdots\left(z_{12}^{l} ;(12)\right)(0 ; v) \cdots\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right)=(0 ; \text { id })
$$

it follows that

$$
a+z_{1 d}^{1}+\cdots+z_{1 d}^{l}+\overline{1}_{1}+\cdots+\overline{1}_{1}=0
$$

Since in our system there are $n_{1}$ elements of type $\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ and $n_{1}$ is even, by the Hurwitz formula we can affirm that $a$ is either 0 or $\overline{1}_{1 d}$ depending on whether the number of $z^{i}$ equal to $\overline{1}$ is even or odd. Acting by moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we move the elements of the form $(0 ;(12))$ to the left of $(0 ; v)$. Successively, acting by sequences of moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{j+1}^{\prime \prime}$, we shift a pair of type $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)$ to the right of the elements $\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)$.

If the function $a$ is equal to 0 and the elements of type $\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)$ are in the places $r+1, \ldots, h$, it is sufficient to use the sequence of moves $\sigma_{h}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{h-1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$, $\sigma_{r+1}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{r+1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{h}^{\prime \prime}$ to obtain the system $((0 ; \epsilon),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ;(12))$,

$$
\left.\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ;(12)),(0 ; v), \ldots\right)
$$

The claim follows by using the sequence of moves $\sigma_{h+2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{h+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$.

On the contrary, if $a=\overline{1}_{1 d}$ and the elements of type ( $\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)$ ) are in the places $r+1, \ldots, h$, we use the sequence of moves $\sigma_{h}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{h-1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+2}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{r+1}^{\prime}$ to bring our system to the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1 d} ; \epsilon\right),\left(\overline{1}_{2} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,\right. & (0 ;(12)) \\
& \left.\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ; v), \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We use $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ to replace the pair $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1 d} ; \epsilon\right),\left(\overline{1}_{2} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.)\right)$ with $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.),\left(\overline{1}_{1 d} ; \epsilon\right)\right)$ and then we apply the moves $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}$, $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}$ to replace $\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1} ;\right.\right.$ id $\left.),\left(\overline{1}_{1 d} ; \epsilon\right),\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)\right)$ by

$$
\left((0 ; \epsilon),(0 ;(12)),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right) .
$$

Now we obtain the claim acting by the sequence of elementary moves $\sigma_{r+2}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{r+3}^{\prime \prime}$, $\ldots, \sigma_{h}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{h+2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{h+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$.
Case $r>1$. Seeing that

$$
(a ; \epsilon)\left(v_{1 e_{1}+1}^{1} ;\left(1 e_{1}+1\right)\right) \cdots\left(z_{12}^{1} ;(12)\right) \cdots(0 ; v) \cdots\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)=(0 ; \mathrm{id}),
$$

one has
$a+v_{e_{1}\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)}^{1}+v_{\left(e_{1}+e_{2}\right)\left(e_{1}+e_{2}+e_{3}\right)}^{2}+\cdots+v_{\left(e_{1}+\cdots+e_{r-1}\right) d}^{r-1}+z_{1 d}^{1}+\cdots+\overline{1}_{1}+\cdots+\overline{1}_{1}=0$.
Since $a$ is a function that sends to $\overline{1}$ at most an even number of indexes moved by every disjoint cycle of which is product $\epsilon$, the equality above ensures that $a$ is either 0 or $\overline{1}_{1 e_{1}}$.

If $a=0$, we have $v^{1}=v^{2}=\cdots=v^{r-1}=0$. Furthermore there is an even number of $z^{i}$ equal to $\overline{1}$. So in order to obtain the claim, it is sufficient to act as in the case $r=1$ and $a=0$.

On the contrary, if $a=\overline{1}_{1 e_{1}}$ we have $v^{1}=v^{2}=\cdots=v^{r-1}=\overline{1}$; furthermore, there is an odd number of $z^{i}$ equal to $\overline{1}$. Then we act as in the case $r=1$ and $a=\overline{1}_{1 d}$ to replace our system with the braid-equivalent system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\overline{1}_{1 e_{1}} ; \epsilon\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1} ;\left(1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{2} ; \text { id }\right),\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)\right. \\
& \left.(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ;(12)),\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right),(0 ;(12)), \ldots,(0 ; v), \ldots\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the moves $\sigma_{r}^{\prime}, \sigma_{r-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ we transform the sequence

$$
\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1 e_{1}} ; \epsilon\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1} ;\left(1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{2} ; \text { id }\right),\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)\right)
$$

into

$$
\left(\left(\overline{1}_{1} ; \text { id }\right),\left(\overline{1}_{1 e_{1}} ; \epsilon\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1} ;\left(1 \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} e_{i}+1\right)\right),\left(\overline{1}_{12} ;(12)\right)\right) .
$$

Now in order to obtain the claim it is sufficient to act by the sequence of moves $\sigma_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{r}^{\prime}, \sigma_{r+1}^{\prime}, \sigma_{r+2}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{h}^{\prime \prime}, \sigma_{h+2}^{\prime}, \sigma_{h+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \sigma_{n_{2}+3}^{\prime}, \sigma_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime}$.

The following result is a direct consequence of Proposition 11.

Theorem 12. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}, b_{0}\right)$ is irreducible.

Combining Theorem 12 and Remark 6, we derive the following result.
Corollary 13. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, q}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$ is irreducible.
3.2. Irreducibility of $\boldsymbol{H}_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(\boldsymbol{Y}, \boldsymbol{b}_{\mathbf{0}}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}_{W\left(\boldsymbol{B}_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(\boldsymbol{Y})$. Let $Y$ be a smooth, connected, projective complex curve of genus $g \geq 1$.

Theorem 14. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is irreducible.

Proof. To prove the irreducibility of $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ it is sufficient to show that each $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}\right)$-Hurwitz system in $A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}, g}^{o}$ is braid-equivalent to a system of the form

$$
(\underline{\hat{t}} ;(0 ; \mathrm{id}), \ldots,(0 ; \mathrm{id}))
$$

In fact, $\hat{\underline{t}} \in A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}$ and so the theorem follows by Proposition 11.
Let $(\underline{t} ; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}) \in A_{n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, q, g}^{o}$. Acting by elementary moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime}$ we shift to the right the elements of the form $\left(\overline{1}_{*} ;\right.$ id) transforming our system into

$$
\left(\tilde{t}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{n_{2}+2},\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots,\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \mathrm{id}\right) ; \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}, \mu_{g}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{t}_{i}=\left(* ; t_{i}^{\prime}\right), \lambda_{k}=\left(* ; \lambda_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mu_{k}=\left(* ; \mu_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.
We notice that $\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}^{\prime}, \mu_{g}^{\prime}\right)$ is the Hurwitz system of a covering of $Y$ of degree $d \geq 3$, with monodromy group $S_{d}$ and with $n_{2}+2$ branch points, $n_{2}$ of which are points of simple branching, one is a special point whose local monodromy is given by $\underline{e}$ and one is a special point whose local monodromy is given by $\underline{q}$.

Since $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{d, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}^{o}\left(Y, b_{0}\right)$ is irreducible (see [Vetro 2010], Theorem 2) and then the Hurwitz system

$$
\left(t_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime} ; \lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \mu_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{g}^{\prime}, \mu_{g}^{\prime}\right)
$$

is braid-equivalent to one of the form

$$
\left(t_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, t_{n_{2}+2}^{\prime \prime} ; \text { id, id, } \ldots, \text { id, id }\right)
$$

Hence it follows that $(\underline{t} ; \underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu})$ is braid-equivalent to a system of type

$$
\left(\bar{t}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{t}_{n_{2}+2},\left(\overline{1}_{*} ; \text { id }\right), \ldots ;\left(a_{1} ; \text { id }\right),\left(b_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{g} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(b_{g} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right)
$$

We notice that if $a_{h}=0$ and $b_{k}=0$ for each $1 \leq h, k \leq g$ the theorem follows by Proposition 11. So let $a_{1} \neq 0$ and $i$ be one of the indexes that $a_{1}$ sends to $\overline{1}$.

Since it is not restrictive to suppose that among the element of type ( $\overline{1}_{*} ;$ id) in our system there is ( $\overline{1}_{i} ;$ id) (see Step 1, Proposition 11), acting by elementary moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ we can transform our system into

$$
\left(\left(\overline{1}_{i} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots ;\left(a_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(b_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right), \ldots,\left(a_{g} ; \mathrm{id}\right),\left(b_{g} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\right) .
$$

Now we use the move $\tau_{11}^{\prime \prime}$ to replace $\left(a_{1} ;\right.$ id $)$ with $\left(\overline{1}_{i} ;\right.$ id $)\left(a_{1} ;\right.$ id $)$, where $\overline{1}_{i}+a_{1}$ is a function that sends $i$ to $\overline{0}$.

So reasoning for all the indexes that $a_{1}$ sends to $\overline{1}$, after a finite number of steps, we obtain a new Hurwitz system with ( 0 ; id) at the place $\left(n_{2}+n_{1}+3\right.$ ).

On the contrary, if $a_{1}=0, b_{1} \neq 0$ and $b_{1}$ sends $i$ to $\overline{1}$, we at first use elementary moves of type $\sigma_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ to bring to the first place ( $\overline{1}_{i} ;$ id) and then we act by the braid move $\rho_{11}^{\prime}$ in order to transform $\left(b_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ into $\left(\overline{1}_{i} ; \mathrm{id}\right)\left(b_{1} ; \mathrm{id}\right)$ where the function $\overline{1}_{i}+b_{1}$ sends $i$ to $\overline{0}$. Following this line for all the indexes that $b_{1}$ sent to $\overline{1}$, we can replace ( $\overline{1}_{i}+b_{1} ; \mathrm{id}$ ) by ( 0 ; id).

We notice that if $a_{k} \neq 0$ and $a_{l}=b_{l}=0$, for each $l \leq k-1$, in order to obtain the claim one can reason in the same way but this time applying the braid move $\tau_{1 k}^{\prime}$. Analogously if $b_{k} \neq 0, a_{l}=b_{l}=0$, for each $l \leq k-1$, and $a_{k}=0$ one can apply the braid move $\rho_{1 k}^{\prime}$ to transform ( $b_{k} ;$ id) into ( 0 ; id).

From Theorem 14 and Remark 6 we deduce the following result.
Corollary 15. If $n_{2}-s-r \geq d+1$, the Hurwitz space $H_{W\left(B_{d}\right), n_{1}, n_{2}, \underline{e}, \underline{q}}(Y)$ is irreducible.
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