

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**ENERGY AND VOLUME OF VECTOR FIELDS  
ON SPHERICAL DOMAINS**

FABIANO G. B. BRITO, ANDRÉ O. GOMES AND GIOVANNI S. NUNES

## ENERGY AND VOLUME OF VECTOR FIELDS ON SPHERICAL DOMAINS

FABIANO G. B. BRITO, ANDRÉ O. GOMES AND GIOVANNI S. NUNES

**We present a “boundary version” for theorems about minimality of volume and energy functionals on a spherical domain of an odd-dimensional Euclidean sphere.**

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a closed,  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold and  $T^1M$  the unit tangent bundle of  $M$  considered as a closed Riemannian manifold with the Sasaki metric. Let  $X : M \rightarrow T^1M$  be a unit vector field defined on  $M$ , regarded as a smooth section of the unit tangent bundle  $T^1M$ . The volume of  $X$  was defined in [Gluck and Ziller 1986] by  $\text{vol } X := \text{vol } X(M)$ , where  $\text{vol } X(M)$  is the volume of the submanifold  $X(M) \subset T^1M$ . Using an orthonormal local frame  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}, e_n = X\}$ , the volume of the unit vector field  $X$  is given by

$$\text{vol } X = \int_M \left( 1 + \sum_{a=1}^n \|\nabla_{e_a} X\|^2 + \sum_{a < b} \|\nabla_{e_a} X \wedge \nabla_{e_b} X\|^2 + \dots + \sum_{a_1 < \dots < a_{n-1}} \|\nabla_{e_{a_1}} X \wedge \dots \wedge \nabla_{e_{a_{n-1}}} X\|^2 \right)^{1/2} v_M(g)$$

and the energy of the vector field  $X$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(X) = \frac{n}{2} \text{vol } M + \frac{1}{2} \int_M \sum_{a=1}^n \|\nabla_{e_a} X\|^2 v_M(g).$$

The Hopf vector fields on  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$  are unit vector fields tangent to the classical Hopf fibration  $\mathbb{S}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ . The following theorems give a characterization of Hopf flows as absolute minima of volume and energy functionals:

**Theorem 1** [Gluck and Ziller 1986]. *The unit vector fields of minimum volume on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  are precisely the Hopf vector fields and no others.*

MSC2010: 53C20.

Keywords: energy of vector fields, volume of vector fields, Hopf flow.

**Theorem 2** [Brito 2000]. *The unit vector fields of minimum energy on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^3$  are precisely the Hopf vector fields and no others.*

We prove in this paper the following boundary version for these theorems:

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $U$  be an open set of the  $(2k + 1)$ -dimensional unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$  and let  $K \subset U$  be a connected  $(2k + 1)$ -submanifold with boundary of the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ . Let  $\vec{v}$  be a unit vector field on  $U$  which coincides with a Hopf flow  $H$  along the boundary of  $K$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{v}) \geq \left( \frac{2k+1}{2} + \frac{k}{2k-1} \right) \text{vol } K \quad \text{and} \quad \text{vol } \vec{v} \geq \frac{4^k}{\binom{2k}{k}} \text{vol } K.$$

(Other results for higher dimensions may be found in [Brito et al. 2004; Borrelli and Gil-Medrano 2006; Chacón et al. 2001].)

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$  be an open set of the unit sphere and let  $K \subset U$  be a connected  $(2k + 1)$ -submanifold with boundary of  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ . Let  $H$  be a Hopf vector field on  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$  and let  $\vec{v}$  be a unit vector field defined on  $U$ . We also consider the map  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}(\sqrt{1+t^2})$  given by  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(x) = x + t\vec{v}(x)$ . This map was introduced in [Asimov 1978; Brito et al. 1981; Milnor 1978].

**Lemma 4.** *For  $t > 0$  sufficiently small, the map  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}$  is a diffeomorphism.*

*Proof.* A simple application of the identity perturbation method. □

From now on, we assume that  $t > 0$  is small enough so that the map  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}$  is a diffeomorphism. In order to find the Jacobian matrix of  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}$ , we define the unit vector field  $\vec{u}$  on  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(U) \subset \mathbb{S}^{2k+1}(\sqrt{1+t^2})$  by

$$\vec{u}(x) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} \vec{v}(x) - \frac{t}{\sqrt{1+t^2}} x.$$

Using an adapted orthonormal frame  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2k}, \vec{v}\}$  on a neighborhood  $V$  of  $U$ , we obtain an adapted orthonormal frame on  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(V)$  given by  $\{\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_{2k}, \vec{u}\}$ , where  $\bar{e}_i = e_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}$ .

In this manner, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_1) &= \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_1), e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_1), e_{2k} \rangle e_{2k} + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_1), \vec{u} \rangle \vec{u}, \\ d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_2) &= \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_2), e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_2), e_{2k} \rangle e_{2k} + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_2), \vec{u} \rangle \vec{u}, \\ &\vdots \\ d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_{2k}) &= \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_{2k}), e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_{2k}), e_{2k} \rangle e_{2k} + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_{2k}), \vec{u} \rangle \vec{u}, \\ d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(\vec{v}) &= \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(\vec{v}), e_1 \rangle e_1 + \dots + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(\vec{v}), e_{2k} \rangle e_{2k} + \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(\vec{v}), \vec{u} \rangle \vec{u}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Gauss's equation for the trivial immersion  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2k+2}$ , we have

$$\tilde{\nabla}_Y \vec{v} = d\vec{v}(Y) = \nabla_Y \vec{v} - \langle \vec{v}, Y \rangle x$$

for every vector field  $Y$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}$ , and then

$$\langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_1), e_1 \rangle = \langle e_1 + td\vec{v}(e_1), e_1 \rangle = 1 + t\langle \nabla_{e_1} \vec{v}, e_1 \rangle$$

Analogously, we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_i), e_i \rangle &= 1 + t\langle \nabla_{e_i} \vec{v}, e_i \rangle && \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}, \\ \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_i), e_j \rangle &= t\langle \nabla_{e_i} \vec{v}, e_j \rangle && \text{for } i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}, i \neq j, \\ \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(e_i), \vec{u} \rangle &= 0 && \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}, \\ \langle d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(\vec{v}), \vec{u} \rangle &= \sqrt{1+t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By employing the notation  $h_{ij}(\vec{v}) := \langle \nabla_{e_i} \vec{v}, e_j \rangle$  (where  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}$ ), we can express the determinant of the Jacobian matrix of  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}$  in the form

$$\det(d\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}) = \sqrt{1+t^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_i(\vec{v})t^2 \right),$$

where, by definition, the functions  $\sigma_i$  are the  $i$ -symmetric functions of the  $h_{ij}$ . For instance, if  $k = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(\vec{v}) &:= h_{11}(\vec{v}) + h_{22}(\vec{v}), \\ \sigma_2(\vec{v}) &:= h_{11}(\vec{v})h_{22}(\vec{v}) - h_{12}(\vec{v})h_{21}(\vec{v}). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proof of the Theorem

The energy of the vector field  $\vec{v}$  (on  $K$ ) is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{v}) := \frac{1}{2} \int_K \|d\vec{v}\|^2 = \frac{2k+1}{2} \text{vol } K + \frac{1}{2} \int_K \|\nabla \vec{v}\|^2$$

Using the notation above, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{v}) = \frac{2k+1}{2} \text{vol } K + \frac{1}{2} \int_K \left( \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} (h_{ij}(\vec{v}))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \langle \nabla_{\vec{v}} \vec{v}, e_i \rangle^2 \right)$$

and then

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{2k+1}{2} \text{vol } K + \frac{1}{2} \int_K \sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} (h_{ij}(\vec{v}))^2.$$

Now observe that

$$\sum_{i < j} (h_{ii} - h_{jj})^2 = (2k - 1) \sum_i h_{ii}^2 - 2 \sum_{i < j} h_{ii} h_{jj}$$

and

$$\sum_{i < j} (h_{ij} + h_{ji})^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} h_{ij} h_{ji}.$$

If we sum these last two equations, we get

$$(2k - 1) \sum_i h_{ii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^2 \geq 2\sigma_2$$

and then

$$(2) \quad \sum_i h_{ii}^2 + \frac{1}{2k - 1} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^2 \geq \frac{2}{2k - 1} \sigma_2.$$

Also, we can write

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} h_{ij}^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^2 + \sum_i h_{ii}^2 \geq \sum_i h_{ii}^2 + \frac{1}{2k - 1} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^2.$$

From this and (2), we obtain

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{2k} (h_{ij}(\vec{v}))^2 \geq \frac{2}{2k - 1} \sigma_2(\vec{v}).$$

But then, using inequality (1), we find that

$$(3) \quad \mathfrak{E}(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{2k + 1}{2} \text{vol } K + \frac{1}{2k - 1} \int_K \sigma_2(\vec{v}).$$

On the other hand, by the change of variables theorem, we obtain

$$\text{vol } \varphi_t^H(K) = \int_K \sqrt{1 + t^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_i(H) t^i \right)$$

By a straightforward computation shown in [Chacón 2000] and [Brito et al. 2004], we have  $\sigma_i(H) = \eta_i$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}$ , where

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} \binom{k}{i/2} & \text{if } i \text{ is even,} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We know that the vector fields  $\vec{v}$  and  $H$  are the same on  $\partial K$ . Thus,  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(K)$  and  $\varphi_t^H(K)$  are  $(2k + 1)$ -submanifolds of  $\mathbb{S}^{2k+1}(\sqrt{1 + t^2})$  with the same boundary. We

claim that  $\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(K) = \varphi_t^H(K)$  for all  $t$  sufficiently small. In fact, if  $p$  is an interior point of  $K$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \varphi_t^H(p) = p$$

and then we have necessarily

$$\varphi_t^{\vec{v}}(K) = \varphi_t^H(K)$$

for all  $t$  sufficiently small; equivalently,

$$\int_K \sqrt{1+t^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \sigma_i(\vec{v}) t^i \right) = \int_K \sqrt{1+t^2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{2k} \eta_i t^i \right)$$

for all  $t > 0$  sufficiently small. Consequently, after canceling the factor  $\sqrt{1+t^2}$  and rearranging the terms, we obtain

$$\left( \int_K [\sigma_1(\vec{v}) - \eta_1] \right) t + \left( \int_K [\sigma_2(\vec{v}) - \eta_2] \right) t^2 + \dots + \left( \int_K [\sigma_{2k}(\vec{v}) - \eta_{2k}] \right) t^{2k} = 0$$

for all sufficiently small  $t$ . By identity of polynomials, we conclude

$$\int_K \sigma_i(\vec{v}) = \int_K \eta_i = \eta_i \text{ vol } K \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, \dots, 2k\}.$$

Using this (for  $i = 2$ ) together with (3), we get

$$\mathcal{E}(\vec{v}) \geq \frac{2k+1}{2} \text{ vol } K + \frac{\eta_2}{2k-1} \text{ vol } K = \left( \frac{2k+1}{2} + \frac{k}{2k-1} \right) \text{ vol } K.$$

We can obtain an analogue of this result for volumes using the following inequality (see [Brito et al. 2004] or [Chacón 2000, page 59]):

$$\text{vol } \vec{v} \geq \int_K \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\binom{k}{i}}{\binom{2k}{2i}} \sigma_{2i}(\vec{v}) \right).$$

But  $\int_K \sigma_{2i} = \int_K \eta_{2i} = \eta_{2i} \text{ vol } K$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then, we have

$$\text{vol } \vec{v} \geq \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\binom{k}{i}^2}{\binom{2k}{2i}} \right) \text{ vol } K \geq \frac{4^k}{\binom{2k}{k}} \text{ vol } K$$

#### 4. Final remarks

- (1) If  $K$  is a spherical cap (the closure of a connected open set with round boundary of the three unit sphere), the theorem provides a “boundary version” for

the minimalization theorem of energy and volume functionals on [Brito 2000] and [Gluck and Ziller 1986].

- (2) The “Hopf boundary” hypothesis is essential. In fact, if there is no constraint for the unit vector field  $\vec{v}$  on  $\partial K$ , it is possible to construct vector fields on “small caps” such that  $\|\nabla\vec{v}\|$  is small on  $K$  (exponential maps may be used on that construction). A consequence of this is that  $\mathcal{E}(\vec{v})$  and  $\text{vol } \vec{v}$  are less than volume and energy of Hopf vector fields respectively.

### Acknowledgements

We express our gratitude to Prof. Jaime Ripoll for helpful conversation concerning the final draft of our paper.

### References

- [Asimov 1978] D. Asimov, “Average Gaussian curvature of leaves of foliations”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84**:1 (1978), 131–133. [MR 0464257 \(57 #4191\)](#)
- [Borrelli and Gil-Medrano 2006] V. Borrelli and O. Gil-Medrano, “A critical radius for unit Hopf vector fields on spheres”, *Math. Ann.* **334**:4 (2006), 731–751. [MR 2209254 \(2007a:53070\)](#)
- [Brito 2000] F. G. B. Brito, “Total bending of flows with mean curvature correction”, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **12**:2 (2000), 157–163. [MR 1758847 \(2001g:53065\)](#)
- [Brito et al. 1981] F. Brito, R. Langevin, and H. Rosenberg, “Intégrales de courbure sur des variétés feuilletées”, *J. Differential Geom.* **16**:1 (1981), 19–50. [MR 633622 \(83a:57032\)](#)
- [Brito et al. 2004] F. B. Brito, P. M. Chacón, and A. M. Naveira, “On the volume of unit vector fields on spaces of constant sectional curvature”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **79**:2 (2004), 300–316. [MR 2059434 \(2005f:53042\)](#)
- [Chacón 2000] P. M. Chacón, *Sobre a energia e energia corrigida de campos unitários e distribuições. Volume de campos unitários*, PhD thesis, Universidade de São Paulo, 2000.
- [Chacón et al. 2001] P. M. Chacón, A. M. Naveira, and J. M. Weston, “On the energy of distributions, with application to the quaternionic Hopf fibrations”, *Monatsh. Math.* **133**:4 (2001), 281–294. [MR 1915876 \(2003k:53050\)](#)
- [Gluck and Ziller 1986] H. Gluck and W. Ziller, “On the volume of a unit vector field on the three-sphere”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **61**:2 (1986), 177–192. [MR 856085 \(87j:53063\)](#)
- [Milnor 1978] J. Milnor, “Analytic proofs of the “hairy ball theorem” and the Brouwer fixed-point theorem”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **85**:7 (1978), 521–524. [MR 505523 \(80m:55001\)](#)

Received April 20, 2011. Revised April 20, 2012.

FABIANO G. B. BRITO  
 DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA  
 UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO ESTADO DO RIO DE JANEIRO  
 22290-240 RIO DE JANEIRO RJ  
 BRAZIL  
[brifabiano@gmail.com](mailto:brifabiano@gmail.com)

ANDRÉ O. GOMES  
INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA  
UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO  
05508-090 SÃO PAULO SP  
BRAZIL

[gomes@ime.usp.br](mailto:gomes@ime.usp.br)

GIOVANNI S. NUNES  
INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA E MATEMÁTICA  
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE PELOTAS  
96001-970 PELOTAS RS  
BRAZIL

[giovanni.nunes@ufpel.edu.br](mailto:giovanni.nunes@ufpel.edu.br)



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://pacificmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by

E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[pacific@math.ucla.edu](mailto:pacific@math.ucla.edu)

Vyjayanthi Chari  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Riverside, CA 92521-0135  
[chari@math.ucr.edu](mailto:chari@math.ucr.edu)

Darren Long  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080  
[long@math.ucsb.edu](mailto:long@math.ucsb.edu)

Sorin Popa  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[popa@math.ucla.edu](mailto:popa@math.ucla.edu)

Robert Finn  
Department of Mathematics  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305-2125  
[finn@math.stanford.edu](mailto:finn@math.stanford.edu)

Jiang-Hua Lu  
Department of Mathematics  
The University of Hong Kong  
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong  
[jhlu@maths.hku.hk](mailto:jhlu@maths.hku.hk)

Jie Qing  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Santa Cruz, CA 95064  
[qing@cats.ucsc.edu](mailto:qing@cats.ucsc.edu)

Kefeng Liu  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[liu@math.ucla.edu](mailto:liu@math.ucla.edu)

Alexander Merkurjev  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[merkurev@math.ucla.edu](mailto:merkurev@math.ucla.edu)

Jonathan Rogawski  
Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
[jonr@math.ucla.edu](mailto:jonr@math.ucla.edu)

## PRODUCTION

[pacific@math.berkeley.edu](mailto:pacific@math.berkeley.edu)

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Mathew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI  
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY  
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA  
KEIO UNIVERSITY  
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.  
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE  
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO  
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ  
UNIV. OF MONTANA  
UNIV. OF OREGON  
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIV. OF UTAH  
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

See inside back cover or [pacificmath.org](http://pacificmath.org) for submission instructions.

---

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

---

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X

Copyright ©2012 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 257    No. 1    May 2012

---

Energy and volume of vector fields on spherical domains	1
FABIANO G. B. BRITO, ANDRÉ O. GOMES and GIOVANNI S. NUNES	
Maps on 3-manifolds given by surgery	9
BOLDIZSÁR KALMÁR and ANDRÁS I. STIPSICZ	
Strong solutions to the compressible liquid crystal system	37
YU-MING CHU, XIAN-GAO LIU and XIAO LIU	
Presentations for the higher-dimensional Thompson groups $nV$	53
JOHANNA HENNIG and FRANCESCO MATUCCI	
Resonant solutions and turning points in an elliptic problem with oscillatory boundary conditions	75
ALFONSO CASTRO and ROSA PARDO	
Relative measure homology and continuous bounded cohomology of topological pairs	91
ROBERTO FRIGERIO and CRISTINA PAGLIANTINI	
Normal enveloping algebras	131
ALEXANDRE N. GRISHKOV, MARINA RASSKAZOVA and SALVATORE SICILIANO	
Bounded and unbounded capillary surfaces in a cusp domain	143
YASUNORI AOKI and DAVID SIEGEL	
On orthogonal polynomials with respect to certain discrete Sobolev inner product	167
FRANCISCO MARCELLÁN, RAMADAN ZEJNULLAHU, BUJAR FEJZULLAHU and EDMUNDO HUERTAS	
Green versus Lempert functions: A minimal example	189
PASCAL THOMAS	
Differential Harnack inequalities for nonlinear heat equations with potentials under the Ricci flow	199
JIA-YONG WU	
On overtwisted, right-veering open books	219
PAOLO LISCA	
Weakly Krull domains and the composite numerical semigroup ring $D + E[\Gamma^*]$	227
JUNG WOOK LIM	
Arithmeticity of complex hyperbolic triangle groups	243
MATTHEW STOVER	