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Let $M$ be a closed Riemannian surface and $u_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ a sequence of maps from $M$ to Riemannian manifold $N$ satisfying

$$
\sup _{n}\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}(M)}+\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}}(M)\right) \leq \Lambda
$$

for some $p>1$, where $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ is the tension field of the mapping $u_{n}$.
For a general target manifold $N$, if $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$, we prove the energy identity and the neckless property during blowing up.

## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a closed Riemannian manifold and ( $N, h$ ) be a Riemannian manifold without boundary. For a mapping $u$ from $M$ to $N$ in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$, the energy density of $u$ is defined by

$$
e(u)=\frac{1}{2}|d u|^{2}=\operatorname{Trace}_{g} u^{*} h,
$$

where $u^{*} h$ is the pull-back of the metric tensor $h$.
The energy of the mapping $u$ is defined as

$$
E(u)=\int_{M} e(u) d V,
$$

where $d V$ is the volume element of $(M, g)$.
A map $u \in C^{1}(M, N)$ is called harmonic if it is a critical point of the energy $E$.
By the Nash embedding theorem we know that ( $N, h$ ) can be isometrically into a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{K}$ with some positive integer $K$. Then ( $N, h$ ) may be considered as a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{K}$ with the metric induced from the Euclidean metric. Thus a map $u \in C^{1}(M, N)$ can be considered as a map of $C^{1}\left(M, \mathbb{R}^{K}\right)$ whose image lies in $N$. In this sense we can get the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\Delta u=A(u)(d u, d u) .
$$

[^0]The tension field $\tau(u)$ is defined by

$$
\tau(u)=\triangle_{M} u-A(u)(d u, d u),
$$

where $A(u)(d u, d u)$ is the second fundamental form of $N$ in $\mathbb{R}^{K}$. So $u$ being harmonic means that $\tau(u)=0$.

The harmonic mappings are of special interest when $M$ is a Riemann surface. Consider a sequence of mappings $u_{n}$ from Riemann surface $M$ to $N$ with bounded energies. It is clear that $u_{n}$ converges weakly to $u$ in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$ for some $u$ in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$. But in general, it may not converge strongly in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$. When $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, that is, when $u_{n}$ are all harmonic, Parker [1996] proved that the lost energy is exactly the sum of some harmonic spheres, which are defined as harmonic mappings from $S^{2}$ to $N$. This result is called the energy identity. Also he proved that the images of these harmonic spheres and $u(M)$ are connected, that is, there is no neck during blowing up.

When $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{2}$, the energy identity was proved in [Qing 1995] for the sphere, and in [Ding and Tian 1995] and [Wang 1996] for a general target manifold. Qing and Tian [1997] proved there is no neck during blowing up. For the heat flow of harmonic mappings, the results can also be found in [Topping 2004a; 2004b]. When the target manifold is a sphere, we proved the energy identity in [ Li and Zhu 2011] for a sequence of mappings with tension fields bounded in $L \ln ^{+} L$, using good observations from [Lin and Wang 2002]. On the other hand, in the same paper we constructed a sequence of mappings with tension fields bounded in $L \ln ^{+} L$ such that there is a positive neck during blowing up. In [Zhu 2012] the neckless property during blowing up was proved for a sequence of maps $u_{n}$ with

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{n} \sup _{B(x, \delta) \subset D_{1}}\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L \ln +} L(B(x, \delta))=0 .
$$

In this paper we prove the energy identity and neckless property during blowing up of a sequence of maps $u_{n}$ with $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ bounded in $L^{p}$ for some $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$, for a general target manifold.

When $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}$ for some $p>1$, the small energy regularity proved in [Ding and Tian 1995] implies that $u_{n}$ converges strongly in $W^{1,2}(M, N)$ outside a finite set of points. For simplicity of exposition, it is no matter to assume that $M$ is the unit disk $D_{1}=D(0,1)$ and there is only one singular point at 0 .

In this paper we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of mappings from $D_{1}$ to $N$ in $W^{1,2}\left(D_{1}, N\right)$ with tension field $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$. If
(a) $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(D_{1}\right)}+\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{1}\right)} \leq \Lambda$ for some $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$,
(b) $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W^{1,2}\left(D_{1} \backslash\{0\}, \mathbb{R}^{K}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
then there exists a subsequence of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ (we still denote it by $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ ) and some nonnegative integer $k$ so that for any $i=1, \ldots, k$, there exist points $x_{n}^{i}$, positive numbers $r_{n}^{i}$ and a nonconstant harmonic sphere $w^{i}$ (which we view as a map from $\left.\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup\{\infty\} \rightarrow N\right)$ such that:
(1) $x_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 0, r_{n}^{i} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(2) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{r_{n}^{i}}{r_{n}^{j}}+\frac{r_{n}^{j}}{r_{n}^{i}}+\frac{\left|x_{n}^{i}-x_{n}^{j}\right|}{r_{n}^{i}+r_{n}^{j}}\right)=\infty$ for any $i \neq j$.
(3) $w^{i}$ is the weak limit or strong limit of $u_{n}\left(x_{n}^{i}+r_{n}^{i} x\right)$ in $W_{L o c}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, N\right)$.
(4) Energy identity: We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(u_{n}, D_{1}\right)=E\left(u, D_{1}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{k} E\left(w^{i}\right) \tag{1-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(5) Neckless property: The image $u\left(D_{1}\right) \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} w^{i}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ is a connected set.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we state some basic lemmas and some standard arguments in the blow-up analysis.

In Section 3 and Section 4 we prove Theorem 1. In the proof, we use delicate analysis on the difference between normal energy and tangential energy. The energy identity is proved in Section 3 and the neckless property is proved in Section 4.

Throughout this paper, the letter $C$ denotes a positive constant that depends only on $p, \Lambda$ and the target manifold $N$ and may vary in different places. We also don't distinguish between a sequence and one of its subsequences.

## 2. Some basic lemmas and standard arguments

We recall the regular theory for a mapping with small energy on the unit disk and tension field in $L^{p}(p>1)$.

Lemma 2. Let $\bar{u}$ be the mean value of $u$ on the disk $D_{1 / 2}$. There exists a positive constant $\epsilon_{N}$ that depends only on the target manifold such that if $E\left(u, D_{1}\right) \leq \epsilon_{N}^{2}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u-\bar{u}\|_{W^{2, p}\left(D_{1 / 2}\right)} \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{1}\right)}+\|\tau(u)\|_{p}\right) \tag{2-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>1$.
As a consequence of (2-1) and the Sobolev embedding $W^{2, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \subset C^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{O s c\left(D_{1 / 2}\right)}=\sup _{x, y \in D_{1 / 2}}|u(x)-u(y)| \leq C\left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{1}\right)}+\|\tau(u)\|_{p}\right) \tag{2-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remarks. - In [Ding and Tian 1995] this lemma is proved for the mean value of $u$ on the unit disk. Note that

$$
\left|\frac{\int_{D_{1}} u(x) d x}{\left|D_{1}\right|}-\frac{\int_{D_{1 / 2}} u(x) d x}{\left|D_{1 / 2}\right|}\right| \leq C\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{1}\right)} .
$$

So we can use the mean value of $u$ on $D_{1 / 2}$ in this lemma.

- Suppose we have a sequence of mappings $u_{n}$ from the unit disk $D_{1}$ to $N$ with $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{W^{1,2}\left(D_{1}\right)}+\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{L^{p}\left(D_{1}\right)} \leq \Lambda$ for some $p>1$.

A point $x \in D_{1}$ is called an energy concentration point (blow-up point) if for any $r$ such that $D(x, r) \subset D_{1}$, we have

$$
\sup _{n} E\left(u_{n}, D(x, r)\right)>\epsilon_{N}^{2},
$$

where $\epsilon_{N}$ is given in this lemma. If $x \in D_{1}$ isn't an energy concentration point, we can find a positive number $\delta$ such that

$$
E\left(u_{n}, D(x, \delta)\right) \leq \epsilon_{N}^{2} \quad \text { for all } n .
$$

Then it follows from Lemma 2 that we have a uniformly $W^{2, p}(D(x, \delta / 2))$ bound for $u_{n}$. Because $W^{2, p}$ is compactly embedded in $W^{1,2}$, there is a subsequence of $u_{n}$ (still denoted by $\left.u_{n}\right)$ and $u \in W^{2, p}(D(x, \delta / 2))$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}=u \quad \text { in } W^{1,2}(D(x, \delta / 2))
$$

So $u_{n}$ converges to $u$ strongly in $W^{1,2}\left(D_{1}\right)$ outside a finite set of points.
Under the assumptions of our theorem, by the standard blow-up argument, that is by repeatedly rescaling $u_{n}$ in a suitable way, we can obtain some nonnegative integer $k$ so that for any $i=1, \ldots, k$, there exist a point $x_{n}^{i}$, a positive number $r_{n}^{i}$ and a nonconstant harmonic sphere $w^{i}$ satisfying (1), (2) and (3) of Theorem 1. By the standard induction argument in [Ding and Tian 1995] we only need to prove the theorem in the case where there is only one bubble.

In that case we can assume that $w$ is the strong limit of the sequence $u_{n}\left(x_{n}+r_{n} x\right)$ in $W_{\text {Loc }}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We may assume that $x_{n}=0$. Set $w_{n}(x)=u_{n}\left(r_{n} x\right)$.

As

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E\left(u_{n}, D_{1} \backslash D_{\delta}\right)=E\left(u, D_{1}\right),
$$

the energy identity is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} E\left(u_{n}, D_{\delta} \backslash D_{r_{n}} R\right)=0 . \tag{2-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the sets $u\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $w\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup \infty\right)$ are connected, it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{x, y \in D_{\delta} \backslash D_{r_{n} R}}\left|u_{n}(x)-u_{n}(y)\right|=0 . \tag{2-4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Energy identity

In this section, we prove the energy identity for a general target manifold when $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$.

Assume that there is only one bubble $w$ which is the strong limit of $u_{n}\left(r_{n}\right.$.) in $W_{\text {Loc }}^{1,2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Let $\epsilon_{N}$ be the constant in Lemma 2. By the standard argument of blow-up analysis we can assume that, for any $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(u_{n}, D_{r_{n}}\right)=\sup _{\substack{r \leq r_{n} \\ D(x, r) \subseteq D_{1}}} E\left(u_{n}, D(x, r)\right)=\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{N}^{2} . \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3 [Ding and Tian 1995]. If $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}$ for some $p>1$, then the tangential energy on the neck domain is zero, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_{\delta} \backslash D_{r_{n} R}}|x|^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2} d x=0 \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in [Ding and Tian 1995], so we only sketch it.
For any $\epsilon>0$, take $\delta, R$ such that, for any $n$,

$$
E\left(u, D_{4 \delta}\right)+E\left(w, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash D_{R}\right)+\delta^{4(p-1) / p}<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

We may suppose that $r_{n} R=2^{-j_{n}}, \delta=2^{-j_{0}}$. When $n$ is big enough we have, for any $j_{0} \leq j \leq j_{n}$,

$$
E\left(u_{n}, D_{2^{1-j}} \backslash D_{2^{-j}}\right)<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

For any $j$, set

$$
h_{n}\left(2^{-j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{1}} u_{n}\left(2^{-j}, \theta\right) d \theta
$$

and

$$
h_{n}(t)=h_{n}\left(2^{-j}\right)+\left(h_{n}\left(2^{1-j}\right)-h_{n}\left(2^{-j}\right)\right) \frac{\ln \left(2^{j} t\right)}{\ln 2}, \quad t \in\left[2^{-j}, 2^{1-j}\right] .
$$

It is easy to check that

$$
\frac{d^{2} h_{n}(t)}{d t^{2}}+\frac{1}{t} \frac{d h_{n}(t)}{d t}=0, \quad t \in\left[2^{-j}, 2^{1-j}\right] .
$$

Consider $h_{n}(x)=h_{n}(|x|)$ as a map from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{K}$, then $\triangle h_{n}=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Setting $P_{j}=D_{2^{1-j}} \backslash D_{2^{-j}}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)=\Delta u_{n}-\Delta h_{n}=\Delta u_{n}=A\left(u_{n}\right)+\tau\left(u_{n}\right), \quad x \in P_{j} . \tag{3-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the inner product of this equation with $u_{n}-h_{n}$ and integrating over $P_{j}$, we get that

$$
\int_{P_{j}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x=-\int_{P_{j}}\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\left(A\left(u_{n}\right)+\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right) d x+\int_{\partial P_{j}}\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)_{r} d s .
$$

Note that by definition, $h_{n}\left(2^{-j}\right)$ is the mean value of $\left\{2^{-j}\right\} \times S^{1}$ and $\left(h_{n}\right)_{r}$ is independent of $\theta$. So the integral of $\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\left(h_{n}\right)_{r}$ on $\partial P_{j}$ vanishes.

When $j_{0}<j<j_{n}$, by Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}-h_{n}\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j}\right)} & \leq\left\|u_{n}-h_{n}\left(2^{-j}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{n}-h_{n}\left(2^{1-j}\right)\right\|_{C^{0}\left(P_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq 2\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{O s c\left(P_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(P_{j-1} \cup P_{j} \cup P_{j+1}\right)}+2^{2(1-p) j / p}\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon+2^{-2(p-1) j / p}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon+\delta^{2(p-1) / p}\right) \leq C \epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $j$ for $j_{0}<j<j_{n}$ gives

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{\delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x  \tag{3-4}\\
= & \sum_{j_{0}<j<j_{n}} \int_{P_{j}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x \\
\leq & \sum_{j_{0}<j<j_{n}} \int_{P_{j}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n}\right|\left(\left|A\left(u_{n}\right)\right|+\left|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right) d x \\
& +\sum_{j_{0}<j<j_{n}} \int_{\partial P_{j}}\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)_{r} d s \\
\leq & C \epsilon\left(\int_{D_{2 \delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}+\left|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right) d x+\int_{\partial D_{2 \delta} \cup \partial D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d s\right) \\
\leq & C \epsilon\left(\int_{D_{2 \delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\delta^{2(p-1) / p}+\epsilon\right) \leq C \epsilon .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the inequality

$$
\int_{\partial D_{2 \delta} \cup \partial D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d s \leq C \epsilon
$$

which can be derived from the Sobolev trace embedding theorem.
As $h_{n}(x)$ is independent of $\theta$, it can be shown that

$$
\int_{D_{2 \delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}}|x|^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{D_{2 \delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}}\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-h_{n}\right)\right|^{2} d x \leq C \epsilon
$$

so this lemma is proved.
It is left to show that the normal energy on the neck domain also equals to zero. We need the following equality.
Lemma 4 (Pohozaev equality [Lin and Wang 1998, Lemma 2.4, page 374]). Let $u$ be a solution to

$$
\Delta u+A(u)(d u, d u)=\tau(u)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial D_{t}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}\right) d s=\frac{2}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \tau \cdot(x \nabla u) d x . \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a direct corollary, by integrating over $[0, \delta]$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}\right) d x=\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{2}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \tau \cdot(x \nabla u) d x d t \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Multiplying both sides of the equation by $x \nabla u$ and integrating over $D_{t}$, we get

$$
\int_{D_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-t \int_{\partial D_{t}}\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2} d s+\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{t}} x \nabla|\nabla u|^{2} d x=-\int_{D_{t}} \tau \cdot(x \nabla u) d x
$$

Note that

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{D_{t}} x \nabla|\nabla u|^{2} d x=-\int_{D_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x+\frac{t}{2} \int_{\partial D_{t}}|\nabla u|^{2} d s
$$

Hence,

$$
\int_{\partial D_{t}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2}|\nabla u|^{2}\right) d s=\frac{1}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \tau \cdot(x \nabla u) d x
$$

As $|\nabla u|^{2}=\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}+r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}$, we have proved this lemma.
Now we use this equality to estimate the normal energy on the neck domain. We prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5. If $\tau\left(u_{n}\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p}$ for some $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$, then for $\delta$ small enough we have

$$
\left|\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-|x|^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \leq C \delta^{(p-1) / p}
$$

where $C$ depends on $p, \Lambda$, the target manifold $N$ and the bubble $w$.
Proof. Take $\psi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(D_{2}\right)$ satisfying $\psi=1$ in $D_{1}$, then

$$
\triangle\left(\psi u_{n}\right)=\psi A\left(u_{n}\right)\left(d u_{n}, d u_{n}\right)+\psi \tau_{n}+2 \nabla \psi \nabla u_{n}+u_{n} \Delta \psi
$$

Set $g_{n}=\psi A\left(u_{n}\right)\left(d u_{n}, d u_{n}\right)+\psi \tau_{n}+2 \nabla \psi \nabla u_{n}+u_{n} \Delta \psi$. When $|x|<1$,

$$
\partial_{i} u_{n}(x)=R_{i} * g_{n}(x)=\int \frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{|x-y|^{2}} g_{n}(y) d y
$$

Let $\Phi_{n}$ be the Newtonian potential of $\psi \tau_{n}$, then $\triangle \Phi_{n}=\psi \tau_{n}$. The corresponding Pohozaev equality is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} \Phi_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} \Phi_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x=\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{2}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \psi \tau_{n} \cdot\left(x \nabla \Phi_{n}\right) d x d t \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here

$$
\partial_{i} \Phi_{n}(x)=R_{i} *\left(\psi \tau_{n}\right)(x)=\int \frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{|x-y|^{2}}\left(\psi \tau_{n}\right)(y) d y
$$

As $\tau_{n}$ is bounded in $L^{p} \quad(p>1)$, we have $\int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\nabla \Phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p}\left\|\nabla \Phi_{n}\right\|_{2 p /(2-p)}^{2} \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p}\left\|\tau_{n}\right\|_{p}^{2} \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p}$.

By (3-7), it can be shown that for any $\delta>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \psi \tau_{n} \cdot\left(x \nabla \Phi_{n}\right) d x d t\right| \leq \int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\nabla \Phi_{n}\right|^{2} d x \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p} \tag{3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\delta$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right|  \tag{3-9}\\
& \quad=\left|\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{2}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \tau_{n} \cdot\left(x \nabla u_{n}\right) d x d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq 2\left|\int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_{t}} \tau_{n} \cdot\left(x \nabla \Phi_{n}\right) d x d t\right|+2 \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \int_{D_{t}}\left|x \tau_{n}\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right| d x d t \\
& \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p}+2 \int_{D_{\delta}}\left|x \tau_{n}\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right|\left(\int_{|x|}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} d t\right) d x \\
& \quad \leq C \delta^{4(p-1) / p}+2 \int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\tau_{n}\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right||x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x
\end{align*}
$$

For any $j>0$, set $\varphi_{j}(x)=\psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{2-j} \delta}\right)-\psi\left(\frac{x}{2^{-2-j \delta}}\right)$. When $2^{-j} \delta \leq|x|<2^{1-j} \delta$, we obtain
(3-10) $\quad\left|\partial_{i}\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right|=\left|\int \frac{x_{i}-y_{i}}{|x-y|^{2}}\left(g_{n}(y)-\psi \tau_{n}(y)\right) d y\right|$
$\leq \int \frac{\left|\psi A\left(u_{n}\right)\left(d u_{n}, d u_{n}\right)+2 \nabla \psi \nabla u_{n}+u_{n} \Delta \psi\right|(y)}{|x-y|} d y$
$\leq \int \frac{\left|\psi A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y+C \int_{1<|y|<2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|+\left|u_{n}\right|\right)(y) d y$
$\leq \int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y+\int \frac{\left|\left(\psi-\varphi_{j}\right) A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y+C$
$\leq \int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y+\frac{\int\left|A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right| d y}{|x|}+C$
$\leq \int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y+\frac{C}{|x|}$.

When $\delta>0$ is small enough and $n$ is big enough, for any $j>0$, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p /(2-p)} \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-4(p-1) / p} \tag{3-11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C$ depends only on $p, \Lambda$, the bubble $w$ and the target manifold $N$.

Take $\delta>0$ and $R(w)$ that depends on $w$ such that

$$
E\left(u, D_{8 \delta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{N}^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad E\left(w, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash D_{R(w)}\right) \leq \frac{1}{8} \epsilon_{N}^{2} .
$$

The standard blow-up analysis (see [Ding and Tian 1995]) shows that for any $j$ with $8 r_{n} R(w) \leq 2^{-j} \delta$ and $n$ big enough, we have

$$
E\left(u_{n}, D_{2^{4-j} \delta} \backslash D_{2^{-3-j} \delta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{3} \epsilon_{N}^{2} .
$$

By (3-1), when $2^{-j} \delta<r_{n} / 16$, we get

$$
E\left(u_{n}, D_{2^{4-j} \delta} \backslash D_{2^{-3-j} \delta}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{N}^{2} .
$$

So when $2^{-j} \delta<r_{n} / 16$ or $2^{-j} \delta \geq 8 r_{n} R(w)$, by Lemma 2 , we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p /(2-p)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 p /(2-p)}\left(D_{2^{3-j_{\delta}}} \backslash D_{2-2-j_{\delta}}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}_{n, j}\right\|_{W^{2, p}\left(D_{\left.2^{3-j_{\delta}} \backslash D_{2-2-j_{\delta}}\right)}^{2}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left[\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-4 \frac{p-1}{p}}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(D_{2^{4-j_{\delta}}} \backslash D_{2-4-j_{\delta}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2}\right] \\
& \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-4 \frac{p-1}{p}},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{u}_{n, j}$ is the mean of $u_{n}$ on $D_{2^{3-j} \delta} \backslash D_{2^{-2-j} \delta}$.
On the other hand, when $r_{n} / 16 \leq 2^{-j} \delta \leq 8 r_{n} R(w)$, we can find no more than $C R(w)^{2}$ balls with radius $r_{n} / 2$ to cover $D_{2^{3-j} \delta} \backslash D_{2^{-2-j} \delta}$, that is,

$$
D_{2^{3-j} \delta} \backslash D_{2^{-2-j} \delta} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} D\left(y_{i}, \frac{1}{2} r_{n}\right) .
$$

Set $B_{i}=D\left(y_{i}, \frac{1}{2} r_{n}\right)$ and $2 B_{i}=D\left(y_{i}, r_{n}\right)$. By (3-1), for any $i$ with $i \leq m$ we have

$$
E\left(u_{n}, 2 B_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{N}^{2} .
$$

Using Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p /(2-p)} \leq C\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 p /(2-p)}\left(D_{23-j_{\delta}}^{2} \backslash D_{2-2-j_{\delta}}\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 p /(2-p)}\left(B_{i}\right)}^{2 p /(2-p)}\right)^{(2-p) / p} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2 p /(2-p)}\left(B_{i}\right)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}_{n, i}\right\|_{W^{2, p}\left(B_{i}\right)}^{2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\left(r_{n}\right)^{-4(p-1) / p}\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(2 B_{i}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}^{2}\right) \\
& \leq C m\left(\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-4(p-1) / p}+1\right) \\
& \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-4(p-1) / p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{u}_{n, i}$ is the mean of $u_{n}$ over $B_{i}$ and the constant $C$ depends only on $p, \Lambda$, the bubble $w$ and the target manifold $N$. So we have proved (3-11).

By (3-10) and (3-11), when $p>1$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\tau_{n}\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right||x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x  \tag{3-12}\\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \delta<|x|<2^{1-j} \delta}\left|\tau_{n}\right|\left|\nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\right||x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \delta<|x|<2^{1-j} \delta}\left|\tau_{n}\right|\left(\frac{1}{|x|}+\int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y\right)|x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x \\
& \leq C\left(\int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\tau_{n}\right| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x\right. \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{2^{-j} \delta<|x|<2^{1-j} \delta}^{\left.\left|\tau_{n}\right|\left(\int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|x-y|} d y\right)|x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x\right)} \\
& \leq C\left(\left\|\ln \frac{1}{|\cdot|}\right\|_{L^{p /(p-1)}\left(D_{\delta}\right)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \delta \ln \frac{2^{j}}{\delta}\left\|\int \frac{\left|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)(y)\right|}{|\cdot-y|} d y\right\| \frac{p}{p-1}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\delta^{2}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \delta \ln \frac{2^{j}}{\delta}\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{2 p /(3 p-2)}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Here we use the fact that the fraction integral operator $I(f)=\frac{1}{|\cdot|} * f$ is bounded from $L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ to $L^{2 q /(2-q)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ for $1<q<2$.

When $p \geq \frac{6}{5}$, that is, when $2 p /(3 p-2) \leq p /(2-p)$, by (3-11) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\frac{2 p}{3 p-2}} & \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{\frac{5 p-6}{p}}\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\frac{p}{2-p}}  \tag{3-13}\\
& \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{\frac{5 p-6}{p}-\frac{4(p-1)}{p}} \leq C\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3-12) and (3-13) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{D_{\delta}}\left|\tau_{n}\right| \mid & \nabla\left(u_{n}-\Phi_{n}\right)(x)\left||x| \ln \frac{1}{|x|} d x\right.  \tag{3-14}\\
& \leq C\left(\delta^{2}\left(\ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \delta \ln \frac{2^{j}}{\delta}\left\|\varphi_{j} A\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{\frac{2 p}{3 p-2}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\delta+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-j} \delta \ln \frac{2^{j}}{\delta}\left(2^{-j} \delta\right)^{-\frac{2-p}{p}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\delta+\delta^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \ln \frac{1}{\delta}\right) \leq C \delta^{\frac{p-1}{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that (3-9) and (3-14) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \leq C \delta^{(p-1) / p} . \tag{3-15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This concludes the proof.
Now we use these lemmas to prove the energy identity. Note that $w$ is harmonic. From Lemma 4 we see that $\int_{D_{R}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} w\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} w\right|^{2}\right) d x=0$ for any $R>0$. It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{D_{r_{n} R}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| & =\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{D_{R}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} w\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} w\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (3-15), we obtain
(3-16) $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{D_{\delta} \backslash D_{r_{n} R}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right|$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{D_{\delta}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \\
& \quad+\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\int_{D_{r_{n} R}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \\
& =0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Lemma 3 we obtain that the normal energy also vanishes on the neck domain, so the energy identity is proved.

## 4. Neckless property

In this section we use the method in [Qing and Tian 1997] to prove the neckless property during blowing up.

For any $\epsilon>0$, take $\delta, R$ such that

$$
E\left(u, D_{4 \delta}\right)+E\left(w, \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash D_{R}\right)+\delta^{4(p-1) / p}<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

Suppose $r_{n} R=2^{-j_{n}}, \delta=2^{-j_{0}}$. When $n$ is big enough, the standard blow-up analysis shows that for any $j_{0} \leq j \leq j_{n}$,

$$
E\left(u_{n}, D_{2^{1-j}} \backslash D_{2^{-j}}\right)<\epsilon^{2} .
$$

For any $j_{0}<j<j_{n}$, set $L_{j}=\min \left\{j-j_{0}, j_{n}-j\right\}$. Now we estimate the norm $\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(P_{j}\right)}$. Set $P_{j, t}=D_{2^{t-j}} \backslash D_{2^{-t-j}}$ and take $h_{n, j, t}$ similar to $h_{n}$ in the last section, but

$$
h_{n, j, t}\left(2^{ \pm t-j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{1}} u_{n}\left(2^{ \pm t-j}, \theta\right) d \theta .
$$

By an argument similar to the one used in deriving (3-4), we have, for $0<t \leq L_{j}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{P_{j, t}} r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2} d x  \tag{4-1}\\
& \quad \leq C \epsilon\left(\int_{P_{j, t}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x+\left(2^{t-j}\right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\right)+\int_{\partial P_{j, t}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n, j, t}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d s
\end{align*}
$$

Set $f_{j}(t)=\int_{P_{j, t}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d x$, a simple computation shows that

$$
f_{j}^{\prime}(t)=\ln 2\left(2^{t-j} \int_{\left\{2^{t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s+2^{-t-j} \int_{\left\{2^{-t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s\right) .
$$

Combining that $h_{n, j, t}$ is independent of $\theta$ and $h_{n, j, t}$ is the mean value of $u_{n}$ at the two components of $\partial P_{j, t}$ with the Poincaré inequality yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial P_{j, t}} \mid u_{n}- & h_{n, j, t}| | \nabla u_{n} \mid d s \\
= & \int_{\left\{2^{t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n, j, t}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d s+\int_{\left\{2^{-t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n, j, t}\right|\left|\nabla u_{n}\right| d s \\
\leq & \left(\int_{\left\{2^{t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n, j, t}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\left\{2^{t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \quad+\left(\int_{\left\{2^{-t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|u_{n}-h_{n, j, t}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\left\{2^{-t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \\
\leq & C\left(2^{t-j} \int_{\left\{2^{t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s+2^{-t-j} \int_{\left\{2^{-t-j}\right\} \times S^{1}}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2} d s\right) \\
\leq & C f_{j}^{\prime}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by a similar argument as we made to obtain (3-15), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{P_{j, t}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right|  \tag{4-2}\\
& \quad \leq C\left(\left(2^{t-j}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}+\left(2^{-t-j}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\right) \leq C\left(2^{t-j}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|\nabla u|^{2}=\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}+r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}=2 r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}+\left(\left|\partial_{r} u\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u\right|^{2}\right)$, by (4-1) and (4-2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j}(t) & \leq 2 \int_{P_{j, t}} r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right| d x+\left|\int_{P_{j, t}}\left(\left|\partial_{r} u_{n}\right|^{2}-r^{-2}\left|\partial_{\theta} u_{n}\right|^{2}\right) d x\right| \\
& \leq C \epsilon\left(f_{j}(t)+\left(2^{t-j}\right)^{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}\right)+C f_{j}^{\prime}(t)+C\left(2^{t-j}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon f_{j}(t)+2^{-\frac{(p-1) j}{p}} 2^{\frac{(p-1) t}{p}}+f_{j}^{\prime}(t)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Take $\epsilon$ small enough and set $\epsilon_{p}=\frac{p-1}{p} \ln 2$, then for some positive constant $C$ big enough we get

$$
f_{j}^{\prime}(t)-\frac{1}{C} f_{j}(t)+C e^{-\epsilon_{p} j} e^{\epsilon_{p} t} \geq 0
$$

We may assume that $\epsilon_{p}>1 / C$, then we have

$$
\left(e^{-t / C} f_{j}(t)\right)^{\prime}+C e^{-\epsilon_{p} j} e^{\left(\epsilon_{p}-1 / C\right) t} \geq 0
$$

Integrating this inequality over $\left[2, L_{j}\right]$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{j}(2) & \leq C\left(e^{-L_{j} / C} f_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)+e^{-\epsilon_{p} j} \int_{1}^{L_{j}} e^{\left(\epsilon_{p}-1 / C\right) t} d t\right) \\
& \leq C\left(e^{-L_{j} / C} f_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)+e^{-\epsilon_{p} j} e^{\left(\epsilon_{p}-1 / C\right) L_{j}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $j \geq L_{j}$, so

$$
f_{j}(2) \leq C\left(e^{-L_{j} / C} f_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)+e^{-j / C}\right)
$$

Since the energy identity was proved in the last section, we can take $\delta$ small such that the energy on the neck domain is less than $\epsilon^{2}$, which implies that $f_{j}\left(L_{j}\right)<\epsilon^{2}$. So we get

$$
f_{j}(2) \leq C\left(e^{-L_{j} / C} \epsilon^{2}+e^{-j / C}\right) .
$$

Using Lemma 2 on the domain $P_{j}=D_{2^{1-j}} \backslash D_{2^{-j}}$ when $j<j_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{o s c\left(P_{j}\right)} & \leq C\left(\left\|\nabla u_{n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(P_{j-1} \cup P_{j} \cup P_{j+1}\right)}+2^{\frac{2(1-p) j}{p}}\left\|\tau\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{p}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(f_{j}(2)+e^{-2 \epsilon_{p} j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Summing over $j$ from $j_{0}$ to $j_{n}$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{O s c}\left(D_{\delta} \backslash D_{2 r_{n} R}\right) & \leq \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{j_{n}}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\operatorname{Osc}\left(P_{j}\right)} \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{j_{n}}\left(f_{j}(2)+e^{-2 \epsilon_{p} j}\right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{j=j_{0}}^{j_{n}}\left(e^{-L_{j} / C} \epsilon^{2}+e^{-j / C}+e^{-2 \epsilon_{p} j}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} e^{-i / C} \epsilon^{2}+\sum_{j=j_{0}}^{\infty} e^{-j / C}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2}+e^{-j_{0} / C}\right) \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2}+\delta^{1 / C}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the assumption that $\epsilon_{p}>1 / C$. So we have proved that there is no neck during the blowing up.
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