Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A CORRECTION TO CONDUCTEUR DES REPRÉSENTATIONS DU GROUPE LINÉAIRE

HERVÉ JACQUET

Volume 260 No. 2 December 2012

A CORRECTION TO CONDUCTEUR DES REPRÉSENTATIONS DU GROUPE LINÉAIRE

HERVÉ JACQUET

We give a correct proof for the existence of the essential vector of an irreducible admissible generic representation of the general linear group over a p-adic field.

Nadir Matringe has indicated to me that the paper "Conducteur des représentations du groupe linéaire" [Jacquet et al. 1981a; 1981b] contains an error. Since the result therein has applications (see [Jacquet and Shalika 1985] for instance), it may be useful to correct the error. In any case, the correct proof is actually simpler than the erroneous proof. Separately, Matringe [2011] has given a different proof, which is of independent interest.

First, I recall the result in question. Let F be a non-Archimedean local field. We denote by α or $|\cdot|$ the absolute value of F, by q the cardinality of the residual field and finally by v the valuation function on F. Thus, $\alpha(x) = |x| = q^{-v(x)}$. Let ψ be an additive character of F whose conductor is the ring of integers \mathbb{O}_F . Let G_r be the group GL(r) regarded as an algebraic group. We denote by w_r the permutation matrix whose antidiagonal entries are 1. For instance,

$$w_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by dg the Haar measure of $G_r(F)$ for which the compact group $G_r(\mathbb{O}_F)$ has volume 1. Let N_r be the subgroup of upper triangular matrices with unit diagonal and A_r the group of diagonal matrices. We define a character

$$\theta_{r,\psi}:N_r(F)\to\mathbb{C}^\times$$

by the formula

$$\theta_{r,\psi}(u) = \psi\left(\sum_{1 \le i \le r-1} u_{i,i+1}\right).$$

MSC2010: 11F70, 22E50.

Keywords: conductor, essential vector.

We denote by du the Haar measure on $N_r(F)$ for which $N_r(\mathbb{O}_F)$ has measure 1. We have then an invariant quotient measure on $N_r(F)\backslash G_r(F)$.

Let S_r be the algebra of symmetric polynomials in

$$(X_1, X_1^{-1}, X_2, X_2^{-1}, \dots, X_r, X_r^{-1}).$$

Let H_r be the Hecke algebra of $G_r(F)$, that is, the convolution algebra of compactly supported, complex-valued functions that are bi-invariant under the maximal compact group $G_r(\mathbb{O}_F)$. Let $\mathcal{G}_r: H_r \to S_r$ be the Satake isomorphism. Thus, for any r-tuple of nonzero complex numbers (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r) we have a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{G}_r(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r): H_r \to \mathbb{C}$, defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_r(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) : \phi \mapsto \mathcal{G}_r(\phi)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r)$$
.

Concretely, it is defined in the following way. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, ..., t_r)$ be a tuple of complex numbers such that $x_i = q^{-t_i}$ for each i. We denote by $\pi(t_1, t_2, ..., t_r)$ the corresponding principal series representation of $G_{r-1}(F)$. It is the representation induced by the character

$$a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \mapsto |a_1|^{t_1} |a_2|^{t_2} \cdots |a_r|^{t_r}$$

of $A_r(F)$. Its space $I(t_1, t_2, ..., t_r)$ is the space of smooth functions $\phi : G_r(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi \left[\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & * & \cdots & * \\ 0 & a_2 & \cdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & a_r \end{pmatrix} g \right] = |a_1|^{t_1 + \frac{r-1}{2}} |a_2|^{t_2 + \frac{r-1}{2} - 1} \cdots |a_r|^{t_r - \frac{r-1}{2}} \phi(g).$$

The space $I(t_1, t_2, ..., t_r)$ contains a unique vector ϕ_0 equal to 1 on $G_r(\mathbb{O}_F)$ and thus invariant under $G_r(\mathbb{O}_F)$. Under convolution, it is an eigenfunction of H_r with eigenvalue $\mathcal{G}_r(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$, that is,

$$\int_{G_r(F)} \phi_0(gh) \,\phi(h) \,dh = \mathcal{G}_r(\phi)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \,\phi_0(g)$$

for every ϕ in H_r .

There is a unique function $W: G_r(F) \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the following properties:

- W(gk) = W(g) for $k \in G_r(\mathcal{O}_F)$,
- $W(ug) = \theta_{yr}(u) W(g)$ for $u \in N_r(F)$,
- for all (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) and all $\phi \in H_r$,

$$\int_{G_r(F)} W(gh) \, \phi(h) \, dh = \mathcal{G}_r(\phi)(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r) \, W(g),$$

• W(e) = 1.

Thus, W is an eigenfunction of H_r with eigenvalue $S_r(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r)$. We will denote this function by $W(x_1, x_2, ..., x_r; \psi)$ and its value at g by

$$W(g; x_1, x_2, \ldots x_r; \psi)$$
.

Let (π, V) be an irreducible admissible representation of $G_r(F)$. We assume that π is *generic*, that is, there is a nonzero linear form $\lambda: V \to \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\lambda(\pi(u)v) = \theta_{r, yt}(u) \lambda(v)$$

for all $u \in N_r(F)$ and all $v \in V$. Recall that such a form is unique within a scalar factor. We denote by $\mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ the space of functions of the form

$$g \mapsto \lambda(\pi(g)v)$$

with $v \in V$. It is the *Whittaker model* of π . On the other hand, we have the *L*-factor $L(s,\pi)$ [Godement and Jacquet 1972]. We denote by $P_{\pi}(X)$ the polynomial defined by $L(s,\pi) = P_{\pi}(q^{-s})^{-1}$. The main result of [Jacquet et al. 1981a] is the following theorem:

Theorem 1. There is an element $W \in W(\pi; \psi)$ such that, for any (r-1)-tuple of nonzero complex numbers $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$,

$$\int_{N_{r-1}(F)\backslash G_{r-1}(F)} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) |\det g|^{s-1/2} dg$$

$$= \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi} (q^{-s} x_i)^{-1}.$$

In [Jacquet et al. 1981a] it is shown that if we impose the extra condition

$$W\begin{pmatrix} gh & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = W\begin{pmatrix} g & 0\\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for all $h \in G_{r-1}(\mathbb{O}_F)$ and $g \in G_{r-1}(F)$, then W is unique. The vector W is then called the *essential vector* of π , and further properties of this vector are obtained in [Jacquet et al. 1981a].

The proof of this theorem is incorrect in that paper. We give a correct proof here.

1. Review of the properties of the L-factor

Let $r \ge 2$ be an integer. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$ be an (r-1)-tuple of complex numbers. We assume that

$$\operatorname{Re}(t_1) \ge \operatorname{Re}(t_2) \ge \cdots \ge \operatorname{Re}(t_{r-1}).$$

Again, we consider the representation $\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$ that acts on the space $I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$. As before, let ϕ_0 be the unique vector of that space that is

equal to 1 on $G_{r-1}(\mathbb{O}_F)$. Recall it is invariant under $G_{r-1}(\mathbb{O}_F)$. We recall a standard result.

Lemma 1. For each tuple t satisfying the above inequalities the vector ϕ_0 is a cyclic vector for the representation $\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$.

Proof. Indeed, if $Re(t_1) = Re(t_2) = \cdots = Re(t_{r-1})$, the representation is irreducible and our assertion is trivial. If not, we use Langlands' construction [Silberger 1978]. For each root α of A_{r-1} we denote by N_{α} the corresponding subgroup of N_{r-1} or \overline{N}_{r-1} and by $\check{\alpha}$ the corresponding co-root. Thus, if α is a positive root, we have

$$\alpha(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{r-1}) = a_i/a_j$$

with i < j and

$$\langle t, \check{\alpha} \rangle = t_i - t_i$$
.

Let P(t) be the set of positive roots α such that $\text{Re}\langle t, \check{\alpha} \rangle > 0$. Let U be the unipotent group generated by the subgroups $N_{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in P(t)$. The intertwining operator

$$N\phi(g) = \int_{U(F)} \phi(ug) \, du$$

is defined by a convergent integral, and its kernel is a maximal invariant subspace. The formula of [Gindikin and Karpelevič 1966; Gindikin 1961] gives

$$N\phi_0(e) = \prod_{\alpha \in P(t)} \frac{1 - q^{-\langle t, \check{\alpha} \rangle - 1}}{1 - q^{-\langle t, \check{\alpha} \rangle}}.$$

Thus, $N\phi_0 \neq 0$, and our assertion follows.

The representation $I(t_1, t_2, ..., t_{r-1})$ admits a nonzero linear form λ such that, for $u \in N_{r-1}(F)$ and ϕ in the space of the representation,

$$\lambda(\pi(u)\phi) = \theta_{r-1,\overline{\psi}}(u)\,\lambda(\phi).$$

We denote by $W(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ the space spanned by the functions of the form

$$g \mapsto W_{\phi}(g), \quad W_{\phi}(g) = \lambda(\pi(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})(g)\phi)$$

with $\phi \in I(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1})$. We recall the following result:

Lemma 2 [Jacquet and Shalika 1983]. The map $\phi \mapsto W_{\phi}$ is injective.

It follows that the image W_0 of ϕ_0 is a cyclic vector in $\mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$. Up to a multiplicative constant, the function W_0 is equal to the function

$$W_0 = W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}).$$

Now let π be an irreducible generic representation of $G_r(F)$. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ and $W' \in \mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ we consider the integral

$$\Psi(s, W, W') = \int_{N_{r-1} \setminus G_{r-1}} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W'(g) |\det g|^{s-1/2} dg.$$

The integral converges absolutely if $Re(s) \gg 0$ and extends to a meromorphic function of s. In any case, it has a meaning as a formal Laurent series in the variable q^{-s} (see below). We recall a result from [Jacquet et al. 1983].

Lemma 3. There are functions $W_j \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ and $W'_j \in \mathcal{W}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k} \Psi(s, W_j, W_j') = \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} L(s + t_i, \pi).$$

Since W_0 is a cyclic vector, after a change of notations, we see that there are functions $W_i \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ and integers n_i , $1 \le i \le k$, such that

$$\sum_{j} q^{-n_i s} \Psi(s, W_j, W(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})) = \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} L(s + t_i, \pi).$$

In our discussion $|x_1| \le |x_2| \le \cdots \le |x_{r-1}|$. However, the functions

$$W(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$$

are symmetric in the variables x_i . Thus, we have the following result:

Lemma 4. Given an (r-1)-tuple of nonzero complex numbers $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{r-1})$ there are functions $W_i \in \mathcal{W}(\pi; \psi)$ and integers $n_i, 1 \leq j \leq k$, such that

$$\sum_{j} q^{-n_{j}s} \Psi(s, W_{j}, W(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})) = \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(q^{-s}x_{i})^{-1}.$$

2. The ideal I_{π}

We review the construction of [Jacquet et al. 1981a], adding a little more detail to some formal computations. First, we introduce a function

$$W(X_1, X_2, ..., X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) : G_{r-1}(F) \to S_{r-1}$$

whose value at a point $g \in G_{r-1}(F)$ is denoted $W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$. It is defined by the following property: for every (r-1)-tuple $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$ and every g, the scalar $W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ is the value of the polynomial

$$W(g; X_1, X_2, ..., X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$$

at the point $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_{r-1})$. For g in a set compact modulo $N_{r-1}(F)$, the polynomials $W(g; X_1, X_2, ..., X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$ remain in a finite dimensional vector subspace of S_{r-1} . We have the relation

$$|\det g|^s W(g; x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) = W(g; q^{-s} x_1, q^{-s} x_2, \dots, q^{-s} x_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}).$$

It follows that if $|\det g| = q^{-n}$, then the polynomial

$$W(g; X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi})$$

is homogeneous of degree n, that is,

$$W(g; XX_1, XX_2, \dots, XX_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) = X^n W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}).$$

For each integer n, we now define the integral

$$\Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) := \int_{|\det g| = a^{-n}} W \begin{pmatrix} g & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} W(g, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}) |\det g|^{-1/2} dg.$$

The support of the integrand is contained in a set compact modulo $N_{r-1}(F)$, which depends on W. In addition, there is an integer N(W) (depending on W) such that the support of the integrand is empty if n < N(W). The polynomial

$$\Psi_n(W;X_1,X_2,\ldots,X_{r-1};\psi)$$

is homogeneous of degree n. We consider the following formal Laurent series with coefficients in S_{r-1} :

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \sum_n X^n \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi).$$

Hence, in fact

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) = \sum_{n \ge N(W)} X^n \Psi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi).$$

If we multiply this Laurent series by $\prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$, we obtain a new Laurent series with coefficients in S_{r-1} , namely,

$$\Psi(X; W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$$

$$= \sum_{n \ge N_1(W)} X^n a_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi),$$

where $N_1(W)$ is another integer (depending on W) and $a_n \in S_{r-1}$. Each a_n is homogeneous of degree n. We can replace π by the contragredient representation $\widetilde{\pi}$,

 ψ by $\overline{\psi}$ and the function W by the function \widetilde{W} defined by

$$\widetilde{W}(g) = W(w_r^t g^{-1}).$$

The function \widetilde{W} belongs to $W(\widetilde{\pi}, \overline{\psi})$. We define similarly

$$\Psi(\widetilde{W}; X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{r-1}; \overline{\psi}).$$

We have then the following functional equation [Jacquet et al. 1983]:

$$\Psi(q^{-1}X^{-1}; \widetilde{W}; X_1^{-1}, X_2^{-1}, \dots, X_{r-1}^{-1}; \overline{\psi}) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} P_{\widetilde{\pi}}(q^{-1}X^{-1}X_i^{-1})$$

$$= c_{\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} \epsilon_{\pi}(XX_i, \psi) \Psi(X; W, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i).$$

The ϵ factors are monomials and $c_{\pi} = \pm 1$. Thus, there is another integer $N_2(W)$ such that in fact

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}; \psi) \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$$

$$= \sum_{N_2(W) \ge n \ge N_1(W)} X^n a_n(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

From now on we drop the dependence on ψ from the notation.

From the above considerations it follows that the product

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$$

is in fact a polynomial in X with coefficients in S_{r-1} . Moreover, because the a_n are homogeneous of degree n, there is a polynomial $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{r-1})$ in S_{r-1} such that

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i) = \Xi(W; XX_1, XX_2, \dots, XX_{r-1}).$$

In a precise way, let us write

$$\prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(X_i) = \sum_{m=0}^{R} P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}),$$

where each P_m is homogeneous of degree m. Then

$$\Psi(X; W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \prod_{1 \le i \le r-1} P_{\pi}(XX_i)$$

$$= \sum_{n} X^n \sum_{m=0}^{R} \Psi_{n-m}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_{m}(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

The polynomial $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$ is then determined by the condition that its homogeneous component of degree n noted $\Xi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$ be given by

$$\Xi_n(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^R \Psi_{n-m}(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_m(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

The theorem amounts to saying there is a W such that $\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$ equals 1.

Let I_{π} be the subvector space of S_{r-1} spanned by the polynomials

$$\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{r-1}).$$

Lemma 5. In fact I_{π} is an ideal of the algebra S_{r-1} .

Proof. Let Q be an element of S_{r-1} . Let ϕ be the corresponding element of H_{r-1} . Then

$$\int W(gh; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) \phi(h) dh$$

$$= W(g; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

Let W be an element of $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$. Define another element W_1 of $\mathcal{W}(\pi, \psi)$ by

$$W_1(g) = \int_{G_{r-1}} W \left[g \begin{pmatrix} h^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \phi(h) |\det h|^{1/2} dh.$$

We claim that

$$\Xi(W_1; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = \Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})Q(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

This will imply the Lemma.

By linearity, it suffices to prove our claim when Q is homogeneous of degree t. Then ϕ is supported on the set of h such that $|\det h| = q^{-t}$. We have then, for every n,

$$\begin{split} &\Psi_{n}(W_{1};X_{1},\ldots,X_{r-1}) \\ &= \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n}} W_{1} {g \choose 0} W(g;X_{1},\ldots,X_{r-1}) |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \\ &= \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n}} \int W {gh^{-1} \choose 0} W(g;X_{1},\ldots,X_{r-1}) \phi(h) |\det h|^{1/2} dh \\ &\qquad \qquad \times |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \\ &= \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n+t}} W {g \choose 0} \int W(gh;X_{1},\ldots,X_{r-1}) \phi(h) dh |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \end{split}$$

$$= \int_{|\det g|=q^{-n+t}} W \binom{g \ 0}{0 \ 1} W(g; X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) |\det g|^{-1/2} dg \ Q(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

$$= \Psi_{n-t}(W; X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}) \ Q(X_1, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

Hence,

$$\Xi_{n}(W_{1}; X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{R} \Psi_{n-m}(W_{1}; X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_{m}(X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{R} \Psi_{n-m-t}(W; X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1}) P_{m}(X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

$$= \Xi_{n-t}(W; X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1}) Q(X_{1}, \dots, X_{r-1}).$$

Since Q is homogeneous of degree t our assertion follows.

3. Proof of the theorem

Proof. Given an (r-1)-tuple of nonzero complex numbers $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{r-1})$, Lemma 4 shows that we can find W_j and integers n_j such that, for all s,

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k} (q^{-s})^{n_j} \Xi(W_j, q^{-s} x_1, q^{-s} x_2, \dots, q^{-s} x_{r-1}) = 1.$$

In particular,

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k} \Xi(W_j, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1}) = 1.$$

Thus, the element

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k} \Xi(W_j; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1})$$

of I_{π} does not vanish at $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1})$. By the theorem of zeros of Hilbert we have then $I_{\pi} = S_{r-1}$. In particular, there is W such that

$$\Xi(W; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{r-1}) = 1$$
.

This implies the theorem.

Remark 1. The proof in [Jacquet et al. 1981a] is correct if $L(s, \pi)$ is identically 1. In general, the proof there only shows that the polynomials in I_{π} cannot all vanish on a coordinate hyperplane $X_i = x$.

Remark 2. Consider an induced representation π of the form

$$\pi = I(\sigma_1 \otimes \alpha^{s_1}, \sigma_2 \otimes \alpha^{s_2}, \dots, \sigma_k \otimes \alpha^{s_k}),$$

where the representations $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ are tempered and s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k are real numbers such that

$$s_1 > s_2 > \cdots > s_k$$
.

The representation π may fail to be irreducible. But, in any case, it has a Whittaker model [Jacquet and Shalika 1983], and Theorem 1 is valid for the Whittaker model of π .

Remark 3. The proof of Matringe uses the theory of derivatives of a representation. The present proof appears simple only because we use Lemma 3, the proof of which is quite elaborate (and can be obtained from the theory of derivatives as in [Cogdell and Piatetski-Shapiro 2011]).

References

[Cogdell and Piatetski-Shapiro 2011] J. Cogdell and I. Piatetski-Shapiro, "Derivatives and L-functions for GL(n)", preprint, 2011.

[Gindikin 1961] S. G. Gindikin, "Integral formulas for Siegel domains of second kind", *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **141** (1961), 531–534. In Russian; translated in *Sov. Math., Dokl.* **2** (1961), 1480–1483. MR 24 #A2053

[Gindikin and Karpelevič 1966] S. G. Gindikin and F. I. Karpelevič, "An integral associated with Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-positive curvature", *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **30** (1966), 1147–1156. In Russian; translated in Translations **2**, Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1969. MR 36 #5271 Zbl 0165.55705

[Godement and Jacquet 1972] R. Godement and H. Jacquet, *Zeta functions of simple algebras*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **260**, Springer, Berlin, 1972. MR 49 #7241 Zbl 0244.12011

[Jacquet and Shalika 1983] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, "The Whittaker models of induced representations", *Pacific J. Math.* **109**:1 (1983), 107–120. MR 85h:22023 Zbl 0535.22017

[Jacquet and Shalika 1985] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, "A lemma on highly ramified ϵ -factors", *Math. Ann.* **271**:3 (1985), 319–332. MR 87i:22048 Zbl 0541.12010

[Jacquet et al. 1981a] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, "Conducteur des représentations du groupe linéaire", *Math. Ann.* **256**:2 (1981), 199–214. MR 83c:22025 Zbl 0443.22013

[Jacquet et al. 1981b] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, "Conducteur des représentations génériques du groupe linéaire", *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **292**:13 (1981), 611–616. MR 82f:22015 Zbl 0475.22012

[Jacquet et al. 1983] H. Jacquet, I. I. Piatetskii-Shapiro, and J. A. Shalika, "Rankin–Selberg convolutions", *Amer. J. Math.* **105**:2 (1983), 367–464. MR 85g:11044 Zbl 0525.22018

[Matringe 2011] N. Matringe, "Essential Whitttaker functions for GL(n) over a p-adic field", preprint, 2011.

[Silberger 1978] A. J. Silberger, "The Langlands quotient theorem for *p*-adic groups", *Math. Ann.* **236**:2 (1978), 95–104. MR 58 #22413 Zbl 0362.20029

Received June 7, 2012. Revised July 18, 2012.

HERVÉ JACQUET
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY
MC 4408
NEW YORK, NY 10027
UNITED STATES
hj@math.columbia.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

http://pacificmath.org

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Don Blasius
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari Department of Mathematics University of California Riverside, CA 92521-0135 chari@math.ucr.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Sorin Popa Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555 popa@math.ucla.edu

Paul Yang Department of Mathematics Princeton University Princeton NJ 08544-1000 yang@math.princeton.edu Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing Department of Mathematics University of California Santa Cruz, CA 95064 qing@cats.ucsc.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, pacific@math.berkeley.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ UNIV. OF MONTANA UNIV. OF OREGON UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA UNIV. OF UTAH UNIV. OF WASHINGTON WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or pacificmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2012 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic.

Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFlow® from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

http://msp.org/

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in LATEX

Copyright © 2012 Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 260 No. 2 December 2012

Special issue devoted to the memory of Jonathan Rogawski

DON BLASIUS, DINAKAR RAMAKRISHNAN and V. S. VARADARAJAN	257
p-adic Rankin L-series and rational points on CM elliptic curves	261
MASSIMO BERTOLINI, HENRI DARMON and KARTIK PRASANNA	
The syntomic regulator for K_4 of curves AMNON BESSER and ROB DE JEU	305
Unique functionals and representations of Hecke algebras BENJAMIN BRUBAKER, DANIEL BUMP and SOLOMON FRIEDBERG	381
A relative trace formula for PGL(2) in the local setting BROOKE FEIGON	395
On the degrees of matrix coefficients of intertwining operators TOBIAS FINIS, EREZ LAPID and WERNER MÜLLER	433
Comparison of compact induction with parabolic induction GUY HENNIART and MARIE-FRANCE VIGNERAS	457
The functional equation and beyond endoscopy P. EDWARD HERMAN	497
A correction to Conducteur des Représentations du groupe linéaire HERVÉ JACQUET	515
Modular L-values of cubic level ANDREW KNIGHTLY and CHARLES LI	527
On occult period maps STEPHEN KUDLA and MICHAEL RAPOPORT	565
A prologue to "Functoriality and reciprocity", part I ROBERT LANGLANDS	583
Truncation of Eisenstein series EREZ LAPID and KEITH OUELLETTE	665
Some comments on Weyl's complete reducibility theorem JONATHAN ROGAWSKI and V. S. VARADARAJAN	687
On equality of arithmetic and analytic factors through local Langlands correspondence	695
Freydoon Shahidi	

0030-8730(201212)260:2;1-A