# Pacific Journal of Mathematics 

OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND CONJUGACY PROBLEM FOR THE PSEUDO-ANOSOV AUTOMORPHISMS OF A SURFACE

Igor Nikolaev

# OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND CONJUGACY PROBLEM FOR THE PSEUDO-ANOSOV AUTOMORPHISMS OF A SURFACE 

Igor Nikolaev

In memory of W. P. Thurston


#### Abstract

The conjugacy problem for the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a compact surface is studied. To each pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi$, we assign an $A F C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ (an operator algebra). It is proved that the assignment is functorial, i.e., every $\phi^{\prime}$, conjugate to $\phi$, maps to an AF $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$, which is stably isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$. The new invariants of the conjugacy of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms are obtained from the known invariants of the stable isomorphisms of the AF $C^{*}$-algebras. Namely, the main invariant is a triple ( $\Lambda,[I], K$ ), where $\Lambda$ is an order in the ring of integers in a real algebraic number field $K$ and [I] an equivalence class of the ideals in $\Lambda$. The numerical invariants include the determinant $\Delta$ and the signature $\Sigma$, which we compute for the case of the Anosov automorphisms. A question concerning the $\boldsymbol{p}$-adic invariants of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism is formulated.
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## Introduction

A. Conjugacy problem. Let $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be the mapping class group of a compact surface $X$, i.e., the group of orientation preserving automorphisms of $X$ modulo the trivial ones. Recall that $\phi, \phi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ are conjugate automorphisms whenever $\phi^{\prime}=h \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}$ for an $h \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. It is not hard to see that conjugation is an equivalence relation which splits the mapping class group into disjoint classes of conjugate automorphisms. The construction of invariants of the conjugacy

[^0]classes in $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is an important and difficult problem studied by Hemion [1979], Mosher [1986], and others. Any knowledge of such invariants leads to a topological classification of three-dimensional manifolds, which fiber over the circle with monodromy $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ [Thurston 1982].
B. Pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. It is known that any $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is isotopic to an automorphism $\phi^{\prime}$, such that either (i) $\phi^{\prime}$ has a finite order, or (ii) $\phi^{\prime}$ is a pseudo-Anosov (aperiodic) automorphism, or else (iii) $\phi^{\prime}$ is reducible by a system of curves $\Gamma$ surrounded by the small tubular neighborhoods $N(\Gamma)$, such that on $X \backslash N(\Gamma), \phi^{\prime}$ satisfies either (i) or (ii). Let $\phi$ be a representative of the equivalence class of a pseudo-Anosov automorphism. Then there exist a pair consisting of the stable $\mathscr{F}_{s}$ and unstable $\mathscr{F}_{u}$ mutually orthogonal measured foliations on the surface $X$, such that $\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{s}\right)=\left(1 / \lambda_{\phi}\right) \mathscr{F}_{s}$ and $\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{u}\right)=\lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{u}$, where $\lambda_{\phi}>1$ is called a dilatation of $\phi$. The foliations $\mathscr{F}_{s}, \mathscr{F}_{u}$ are minimal, uniquely ergodic and describe the automorphism $\phi$ up to a power. In the sequel, we shall focus on the conjugacy problem for the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a surface $X$.
C. AF $\boldsymbol{C}^{*}$-algebras. A $C^{*}$-algebra is an algebra $\mathbb{A}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ with a norm $a \mapsto\|a\|$ and an involution $a \mapsto a^{*}$ such that it is complete with respect to the norm and $\|a b\| \leq$ $\|a\|\|b\|$ and $\left\|a^{*} a\right\|=\left\|a^{2}\right\|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{A}$. The $C^{*}$-algebras have been introduced by Murray and von Neumann as rings of bounded operators on a Hilbert space and are strongly connected with the geometry and topology of manifolds [Blackadar 1986, Section 24]. Any simple finite-dimensional $C^{*}$-algebra is isomorphic to the algebra $M_{n}(\mathbb{C})$ of the complex $n \times n$ matrices. A natural completion of the finite-dimensional semisimple $C^{*}$-algebras (as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) is known as an $A F C^{*}$ algebra [Effros 1981]. An AF $C^{*}$-algebra is most conveniently given by an infinite graph, which records the inclusion of the finite-dimensional subalgebras into the AF $C^{*}$-algebra. The graph is called a Bratteli diagram. When the diagram is periodic, the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebra is stationary; this is an important special case. In addition to the usual isomorphism $\cong$, the $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}^{\prime}$ are called stably isomorphic whenever $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathscr{K} \cong \mathbb{A}^{\prime} \otimes \mathscr{K}$, where $\mathscr{K}$ is the $C^{*}$-algebra of compact operators.
D. Motivation. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism. The main idea of the present paper is to assign to $\phi$ an AF $C^{*}$-algebra, $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$, so that for every $h \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ the following diagram commutes:

(In other words, if $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms, then the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are stably isomorphic.) For the sake of clarity, we shall consider an example illustrating the idea in the case $X=T^{2}$ (a torus).
E. Model example. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(T^{2}\right)$ be the Anosov automorphism given by a nonnegative matrix $A_{\phi} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. (The assumption is not restrictive; each $A_{\phi}$ with $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}\right)>0$ is similar to a nonnegative matrix. The case $\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}\right)<0$ is treated likewise - by reduction to a nonpositive matrix; then the absolute value of all entries must be taken.) Consider a stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebra, $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$, given by the following periodic Bratteli diagram:

\[

A_{\phi}=\left($$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

Figure 1. The $\operatorname{AF} C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$.
where $a_{i j}$ indicate the multiplicity of the respective edges of the graph. We encourage the reader to verify that $F: \phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is a well-defined function on the set of Anosov automorphisms given by the hyperbolic matrices with nonnegative entries. Let us show that if $\phi, \phi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}\left(T^{2}\right)$ are conjugate Anosov automorphisms, then $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$, $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are stably isomorphic AF $C^{*}$-algebras. Indeed, let $\phi^{\prime}=h \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}$ for an $h \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Then $A_{\phi^{\prime}}=T A_{\phi} T^{-1}$ for a matrix $T \in \operatorname{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that

$$
\left(A_{\phi}^{\prime}\right)^{n}=\left(T A_{\phi} T^{-1}\right)^{n}=T A_{\phi}^{n} T^{-1}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall use the following criterion: the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{A}^{\prime}$ are stably isomorphic if and only if their Bratteli diagrams contain a common block of an arbitrary length (compare with [Effros 1981, Theorem 2.3]; recall that an order-isomorphism mentioned in the theorem is equivalent to the condition that the corresponding Bratteli diagrams have the same infinite tails -i.e., a common block of infinite length). Consider two sequences of matrices:

$$
\underbrace{A_{\phi} A_{\phi} \cdots A_{\phi}}_{n}
$$

which mimics the Bratteli diagram of $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$, and

$$
T \underbrace{A_{\phi} A_{\phi} \cdots A_{\phi}}_{n} T^{-1},
$$

which mimics that of $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $\mathbb{A}_{\phi} \otimes \mathscr{K} \cong \mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}} \otimes \mathscr{K}$.
F. Invariants of torus automorphisms obtained from the operator algebras. The conjugacy problem for the Anosov automorphisms can now be recast in terms of AF $C^{*}$-algebras: find invariants of stable isomorphism classes of the stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebras. One such invariant is due to Handelman [1981]. Consider an eigenvalue problem for the hyperbolic matrix $A_{\phi} \in \mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z}): A_{\phi} v_{A}=\lambda_{A} v_{A}$, where $\lambda_{A}>1$ is the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and $v_{A}=\left(v_{A}^{(1)}, v_{A}^{(2)}\right)$ the corresponding eigenvector with the positive entries normalized so that $v_{A}^{(i)} \in K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\lambda_{A}\right)$. Denote by $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(1)}+\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(2)}$ the $\mathbb{Z}$-module in the number field $K$. Recall that the coefficient ring, $\Lambda$, of module $\mathfrak{m}$ consists of the elements $\alpha \in K$ such that $\alpha \mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. It is known that $\Lambda$ is an order in $K$ (i.e., a subring of $K$ containing 1 ) and, with no restriction, one can assume that $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \Lambda$. It follows from the definition that $\mathfrak{m}$ coincides with an ideal, $I$, whose equivalence class in $\Lambda$ we shall denote by [ $I$ ]. It has been proved by Handelman that the triple $(\Lambda,[I], K)$ is an arithmetic invariant of the stable isomorphism class of $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ : the $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}, \mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are stably isomorphic AF $C^{*}$-algebras if and only if $\Lambda=\Lambda^{\prime},[I]=\left[I^{\prime}\right]$ and $K=K^{\prime}$. It is interesting to compare the operator algebra invariants with the matrix invariants obtained in Latimer and MacDuffee 1933] and Wallace 1984].
G. AF C ${ }^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ (pseudo-Anosov case). Denote by $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ the stable foliation of a pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. For brevity, we assume that $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an oriented foliation given by the trajectories of a closed 1-form $\omega \in$ $H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$. Let $v^{(i)}=\int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega$, where $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right\}$ is a basis in the relative homology $H_{1}\left(X, \operatorname{Sing} \mathscr{F}_{\phi} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, such that $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n-1}\right)$ is a vector with positive coordinates $\theta_{i}=v^{(i+1)} / v^{(1)}$. (Note that the $\theta_{i}$ depend on a basis in the homology group, but a $\mathbb{Z}$-module generated by the $\theta_{i}$ does not - see Lemma 5) Consider the (infinite) Jacobi-Perron continued fraction [Bernstein 1971] of $\theta$ :

$$
\binom{1}{\theta}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{k}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{0},
$$

where $b_{i}=\left(b_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{(i)}\right)^{T}$ is a vector of nonnegative integers, $I$ the unit matrix and $\mathbb{\square}=(0, \ldots, 0,1)^{T}$. By definition, $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is an (isomorphism class of the) AF $C^{*}$-algebra given by the Bratteli diagram whose incidence matrices coincide with $B_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ 1 & b_{k}\end{array}\right)$ for $k=1, \ldots, \infty$. Note that this yields the Bratteli diagram derived in the model example (the Anosov case).
H. Main results. For a matrix $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ with positive entries, we denote by $\lambda_{A}$ the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue and let $\left(v_{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, v_{A}^{(n)}\right)$ denote the corresponding normalized eigenvector with $v_{A}^{(i)} \in K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\lambda_{A}\right)$. The coefficient (endomorphism) ring of the module $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(1)}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(n)}$ will be denoted by $\Lambda$. The equivalence class of ideal $I$ in $\Lambda$ will be denoted [I]. Finally, we denote by $\Delta=\operatorname{Det}\left(a_{i j}\right)$ and $\Sigma$
the determinant and signature of the symmetric bilinear form $q(x, y)=\sum_{i, j}^{n} a_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}$, where $a_{i j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(v_{A}^{(i)} v_{A}^{(j)}\right)$, with $\operatorname{Tr}(\cdot)$ the trace function. Our main results can be expressed as follows.

Theorem 1. $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is a stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebra.
Let $\Phi$ be a category of all pseudo-Anosov (Anosov, respectively) automorphisms of a surface of the genus $g \geq 2$ ( $g=1$, respectively); the arrows (morphisms) are conjugations between the automorphisms. Likewise, let $\mathscr{A}$ be the category of all stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$, where $\phi$ runs over the set $\Phi$; the arrows of $\mathscr{A}$ are stable isomorphisms among the algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$.

Theorem 2. Let $F: \Phi \rightarrow \mathscr{A}$ be a map given by the formula $\phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\phi}$. Then:
(i) $F$ is a functor; it maps conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms to stably isomorphic AF C ${ }^{*}$-algebras.
(ii) $\operatorname{Ker} F=[\phi]$, where $[\phi]=\left\{\phi^{\prime} \in \Phi \mid\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)^{m}=\phi^{n}, m, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is the commensurability class of the pseudo-Anoov automorphism $\phi$.

Corollary 3. The triple $(\Lambda,[I], K)$ and the integers $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ are invariants of the conjugacy classes of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms.
I. How can the invariants $(\Lambda,[I], K), \Delta$ and $\Sigma$ be calculated? There is no easy way; the problem is comparable to that of numerical invariants of the fundamental group of a knot. A step in this direction would be computation of the matrix $A$; the latter is similar to the matrix $\rho(\phi)$, where $\rho: \operatorname{Mod}(X) \rightarrow$ PIL is a faithful representation of the mapping class group as a group of the piecewise-integrallinear transformations [Penner 1984, p. 45]. The entries of $\rho(\phi)$ are the linear combinations of the Dehn twists along the $(3 g-1)$ (Lickorish) curves on the surface $X$. Then one can effectively determine whether $\rho(\phi)$ and $A$ are similar matrices (over $\mathbb{Z}$ ) by bringing the polynomial matrices $\rho(\phi)-x I$ and $A-x I$ to the Smith normal form; when the similarity is established, the numerical invariants $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ become the polynomials in the Dehn twists. A tabulation of the simplest elements of $\operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is possible in terms of $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ (see the Examples section, page 459); however, this task lies beyond the scope of present paper.
J. Structure of the paper. Proofs of the main results can be found in Section 3 .

Sections 1 and 2 consist of lemmas used to prove the main results. Section 4 includes some examples, open problems and conjectures. Since the paper does not include a formal section on the preliminaries, we encourage the reader to consult [Blackadar 1986; Effros 1981; Krieger 1980] (operator algebras and dynamics), Hubbard and Masur 1979; Thurston 1988] (measured foliations) and Bernstein 1971; Perron 1907] (Jacobi-Perron continued fractions).

## 1. The jacobian of a measured foliation

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a measured foliation on a compact surface $X$ [Thurston 1988]. For the sake of brevity, we shall always assume that $\mathscr{F}$ is an oriented foliation, i.e., given by the trajectories of a closed 1 -form $\omega$ on $X$. (The assumption is not a restriction; by [Hubbard and Masur 1979], every measured foliation is oriented on a double cover $\tilde{X}$ of $X$ ramified at the singular points of the half-integer index of the nonoriented foliation.) Let $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right\}$ be a basis in the relative homology group $H_{1}(X, \operatorname{Sing} \mathscr{F} ; \mathbb{Z})$, where Sing $\mathscr{F}$ is the set of singular points of the foliation $\mathscr{F}$. It is well known that $n=2 g+m-1$, where $g$ is the genus of $X$ and $m=|\operatorname{Sing}(\mathscr{F})|$. The periods of $\omega$ in this basis will be written

$$
\lambda_{i}=\int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega .
$$

The real numbers $\lambda_{i}$ are coordinates of $\mathscr{F}$ in the space of all measured foliations on $X$ (with the fixed set of singular points) [Douady and Hubbard 1975].

Definition 4. By the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{F})$ of the measured foliation $\mathscr{F}$, we understand the $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}$ regarded as a subset of the real line $\mathbb{R}$.

The importance of the jacobian stems from the observation that although the periods, $\lambda_{i}$, depend on the choice of a basis in $H_{1}(X, \operatorname{Sing} \mathscr{F} ; \mathbb{Z})$, the jacobian does not. Moreover, up to a scalar multiple, the jacobian is an invariant of the equivalence class of the foliation $\mathscr{F}$. We formalize these observations in the following two results.

Lemma 5 (invariance of the jacobian). The $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathfrak{m}$ is independent of the choice of a basis in $H_{1}(X$, Sing $\mathscr{F} ; \mathbb{Z})$ and depends solely on the foliation $\mathscr{F}$.

Proof. Indeed, let $A=\left(a_{i j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ and let

$$
\gamma_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \gamma_{j}
$$

be a new basis in $H_{1}(X, \operatorname{Sing} \mathscr{F} ; \mathbb{Z})$. Then using the integration rules,

$$
\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\int_{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}} \omega=\int_{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \gamma_{j}} \omega=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{\gamma_{j}} \omega=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \lambda_{j}
$$

To prove that $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, consider the following equations:

$$
\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j} \lambda_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} \mathbb{Z}\right) \lambda_{j} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}
$$

Let $A^{-1}=\left(b_{i j}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be an inverse to the matrix $A$. Then $\lambda_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}$ and

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \lambda_{i}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{i j} \lambda_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i j} \mathbb{Z}\right) \lambda_{j}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}
$$

Since both $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, we conclude that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{m}$. Lemma 5 follows.
Now recall that two measured foliations $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ are equivalent if there exists an automorphism $h \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ that sends the leaves of the foliation $\mathscr{F}$ to the leaves of the foliation $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$. This equivalence deals with topological foliations, i.e., projective classes of measured foliations; see [Thurston 1988] for an explanation.

Lemma 6 (projective invariance). Let $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ be the equivalent measured foliations on a surface $X$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mu \operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{F}),
$$

where $\mu>0$ is a real number.
Proof. Let $h: X \rightarrow X$ be an automorphism of the surface $X$. Denote by $h_{*}$ its action on $H_{1}(X, \operatorname{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) ; \mathbb{Z})$ and by $h^{*}$ on $H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ connected by the formula

$$
\int_{h_{*}(\gamma)} \omega=\int_{\gamma} h^{*}(\omega), \quad \text { for all } \gamma \in H_{1}(X, \operatorname{Sing}(\mathscr{F}) ; \mathbb{Z}) \text { and } \omega \in H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})
$$

Let $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in H^{1}(X ; \mathbb{R})$ be the closed 1-forms whose trajectories define the foliations $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$, respectively. Since $\mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ are equivalent measured foliations,

$$
\omega^{\prime}=\mu h^{*}(\omega)
$$

for a $\mu>0$.
Let $\operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{F})=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=\int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega^{\prime}=\mu \int_{\gamma_{i}} h^{*}(\omega)=\mu \int_{h_{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \omega, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n
$$

By Lemma 5, we have

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{F})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \int_{h_{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \omega
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mathscr{F}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega^{\prime}=\mu \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} \int_{h_{*}\left(\gamma_{i}\right)} \omega=\mu \operatorname{Jac}(\mathscr{F})
$$

Lemma 6 follows.

## 2. Equivalent foliations are stably isomorphic

Let $\mathscr{F}$ be a measured foliation on the surface $X$. We introduce an AF $C^{*}$-algebra, $A_{\mathscr{F}}$, corresponding to the foliation $\mathscr{F}$ as explained in Section G of the Introduction (for the foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ ). The goal of this section is to prove the commutativity of the following diagram:


We start with a simple property of Jacobi-Perron fractions [Bernstein 1971].
Lemma 7 (modules and continued fractions). Let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=$ $\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}^{\prime}$ be two $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, such that $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mu \mathfrak{m}$ for a $\mu>0$. Then the Jacobi-Perron continued fractions of the vectors $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ coincide except, possibly, at a finite number of terms.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}$ and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mu \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mu$ is a positive real, one gets the following identity of the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$
\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} \lambda_{n}^{\prime}=\mathbb{Z}\left(\mu \lambda_{1}\right)+\cdots+\mathbb{Z}\left(\mu \lambda_{n}\right)
$$

One can always assume that $\lambda_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}$ are positive reals. For obvious reasons, there exists a basis $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ of the module $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=A(\mu \lambda) \\
\lambda^{\prime \prime}=A^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $A, A^{\prime} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}^{+}(\mathbb{Z})$ are the matrices whose entries are nonnegative integers. In view of Proposition 3 of [Bauer 1996], we have

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{k}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad A^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{l}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $b_{i}, b_{i}^{\prime}$ are nonnegative integer vectors. Since the (Jacobi-Perron) continued fraction for the vectors $\lambda$ and $\mu \lambda$ coincide for any $\mu>0$ [Bernstein 1971], we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\binom{1}{\theta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{k}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{2}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\binom{0}{0}, \\
&\binom{1}{\theta^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{1}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{l}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{2}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\binom{0}{0},
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\binom{1}{\theta^{\prime \prime}}=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{1}
\end{array}\right) \ldots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & a_{i}
\end{array}\right)\binom{0}{0}
$$

In other words, the continued fractions of the vectors $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ coincide except at a finite number of terms.

Lemma 8 (main lemma). Let $\mathscr{F}$ and $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ be equivalent measured foliations on a surface $X$. Then the $A F C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathscr{F}^{\prime}}$ are stably isomorphic.

Proof. Notice that Lemma 6 implies that equivalent measured foliations $\mathscr{F}$, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ have proportional jacobians, i.e., $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}=\mu \mathfrak{m}$ for a $\mu>0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 7 the continued fraction expansion of the basis vectors of the proportional jacobians must coincide, except a finite number of terms. Thus, the AF $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $A_{\mathscr{F}^{\prime}}$ are given by the Bratteli diagrams, which are identical, except a finite part of the diagram. It is well known [Effros 1981, Theorem 2.3] that AF $C^{*}$-algebras that have such a property are stably isomorphic.

## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1 Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of the surface $X$. Denote by $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ the invariant foliation of $\phi$. By definition of such a foliation, $\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}$, where $\lambda_{\phi}>1$ is the dilatation of $\phi$.

Consider the jacobian $\operatorname{Jac}\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}$ of $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$. Since $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an invariant foliation of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi$, one gets the following equality of the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}=\lambda_{\phi} \mathfrak{m}_{\phi}, \quad \lambda_{\phi} \neq \pm 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}\right\}$ be a basis in module $\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}$, such that $v^{(i)}>0$. In view of (1), one obtains the following system of linear equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{\phi} v^{(1)}=a_{11} v^{(1)}+a_{12} v^{(2)}+\cdots+a_{1 n} v^{(n)}  \tag{2}\\
\lambda_{\phi} v^{(2)}=a_{21} v^{(1)}+a_{22} v^{(2)}+\cdots+a_{2 n} v^{(n)} \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_{\phi} v^{(n)}=a_{n 1} v^{(1)}+a_{n 2} v^{(2)}+\cdots+a_{n n} v^{(n)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The matrix $A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$ is invertible. Indeed, since the foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is minimal, the real numbers $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}$. So are the numbers $\lambda_{\phi} v^{(1)}, \ldots, \lambda_{\phi} v^{(n)}$, which therefore can be taken for a basis of the module $\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}$. Thus, there exists an integer matrix $B=\left(b_{i j}\right)$, such that $v^{(j)}=\sum_{i, j} w^{(i)}$, where $w^{(i)}=\lambda_{\phi} v^{(i)}$. Clearly, $B$ is an inverse to matrix $A$. Therefore, $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$.

Moreover, without loss of generality one can assume that $a_{i j} \geq 0$. Indeed, if this is not yet the case, consider the conjugacy class $[A]$ of the matrix $A$. Since
$v^{(i)}>0$, there exists a matrix $A^{+} \in[A]$ whose entries are nonnegative integers. One has to replace basis $v=\left(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}\right)$ in the module $\mathfrak{m}_{\phi}$ by a basis $T v$, where $A^{+}=T A T^{-1}$. It will be further assumed that $A=A^{+}$.

Lemma 9. The vector $\left(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}\right)$ is the limit of a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction.

Proof. It follows from the discussion above that there exists a nonnegative integer matrix $A$, such that $A v=\lambda_{\phi} v$. In view of [Bauer 1996, Proposition 3], matrix $A$ admits a unique factorization:

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{3}\\
I & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \cdots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $b_{i}=\left(b_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, b_{n}^{(i)}\right)^{T}$ are vectors of nonnegative integers. Let us consider the periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction:

$$
\operatorname{Per} \overline{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1  \tag{4}\\
I & b_{1}
\end{array}\right) \ldots\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
I & b_{k}
\end{array}\right)}\binom{0}{\square}
$$

According to [Perron 1907, Satz XII], the above fraction converges to a vector

$$
w=\left(w^{(1)}, \ldots, w^{(n)}\right)
$$

satisfying the equation $\left(B_{1} B_{2} \cdots B_{k}\right) w=A w=\lambda_{\phi} w$. In view of the equation $A v=\lambda_{\phi} v$, we conclude that vectors $v$ and $w$ are collinear. Therefore, the JacobiPerron continued fractions of $v$ and $w$ must coincide.

It is now straightforward to prove that the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebra attached to foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is stationary. Indeed, by Lemma 9, the vector of periods $v^{(i)}=\int_{\gamma_{i}} \omega$ unfolds into a periodic Jacobi-Perron continued fraction. By definition, the Bratteli diagram of the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is periodic as well. In other words, the AF $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is stationary.

Proof of Theorem 2. (i) For completeness, we give a proof of the following wellknown lemma.

Lemma 10. If $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a surface $X$, their invariant foliations $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are equivalent as measured foliations. Proof. Let $\phi, \phi^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be conjugate, i.e., $\phi^{\prime}=h \circ \phi \circ h^{-1}$ for an automorphism $h \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Since $\phi$ is the pseudo-Anosov automorphism, there exists a measured foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$, such that $\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}$. Let us evaluate the automorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ on the foliation $h\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{\prime}\left(h\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)\right)=h \phi h^{-1}\left(h\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)\right)=h \phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=h \lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}=\lambda_{\phi}\left(h\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\mathscr{F}_{\phi^{\prime}}=h\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)$ is the invariant foliation for the pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}, \mathscr{F}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are equivalent foliations. Note also that the pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi^{\prime}$ has the same dilatation as the automorphism $\phi$.

Suppose that $\phi$ and $\phi^{\prime}$ are conjugate pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. The functor $F$ acts by the formulas $\phi \mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ and $\phi^{\prime} \mapsto \mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$, where $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}, \mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are the AF $C^{*}$-algebras corresponding to the invariant foliations $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}, \mathscr{F}_{\phi^{\prime}}$. In view of Lemma 10, $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are equivalent measured foliations. Then, by Lemma 8, the AF $C^{*}$-algebras $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{\prime}}$ are stably isomorphic $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebras. Item (i) follows.
(ii) We start with an elementary observation. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be a pseudoAnosov automorphism. Then there exists a unique measured foliation, $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$, such that $\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}$, where $\lambda_{\phi}>1$ is an algebraic integer. Let us evaluate automorphism $\phi^{2} \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ on the foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{2}\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\phi\left(\phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)\right)=\phi\left(\lambda_{\phi} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\lambda_{\phi} \phi\left(\mathscr{F}_{\phi}\right)=\lambda_{\phi}^{2} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}=\lambda_{\phi^{2}} \mathscr{F}_{\phi}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{\phi^{2}}:=\lambda_{\phi}^{2}$. Thus, foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an invariant foliation for the automorphism $\phi^{2}$ as well. By induction, one concludes that $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an invariant foliation of the automorphism $\phi^{n}$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Even more is true. Suppose that $\psi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ is a pseudo-Anosov automorphism, such that $\psi^{m}=\phi^{n}$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $\psi \neq \phi$. Then $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an invariant foliation for the automorphism $\psi$. Indeed, $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is invariant foliation of the automorphism $\psi^{m}$. If there exists $\mathscr{F}^{\prime} \neq \mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ such that the foliation $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is an invariant foliation of $\psi$, then the foliation $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}$ is also an invariant foliation of the pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\psi^{m}$. Thus, by uniqueness, $\mathscr{F}^{\prime}=\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$. We have just proved the following lemma.

Lemma 11. Let $\phi$ be the pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a surface $X$. Denote by $[\phi]$ a set of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms $\psi$ of $X$, such that $\psi^{m}=\phi^{n}$ for some positive integers $m$ and $n$. Then the pseudo-Anosov foliation $\mathscr{F}_{\phi}$ is an invariant foliation for every pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\psi \in[\phi]$.

In view of Lemma 11, one arrives at the following identities among the $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}-$ algebras:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}_{\phi}=\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{2}}=\cdots=\mathbb{A}_{\phi^{n}}=\mathbb{A}_{\psi^{m}}=\cdots=\mathbb{A}_{\psi^{2}}=\mathbb{A}_{\psi} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, functor $F$ is not an injective functor: the preimage, $\operatorname{Ker} F$, of algbera $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ consists of a countable set of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms $\psi \in[\phi]$, commensurable with the automorphism $\phi$. This proves Theorem 2(ii).

## Proof of Corollary 3.

Proof that $(\Lambda,[I], K)$ is an invariant. (i) It follows from Theorem 1 that $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ is a stationary $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebra. An arithmetic invariant of the stable isomorphism
classes of the stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebras has been found by D. Handelman [1981]. Summing up his results, the invariant is as follows.

Let $A \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a matrix with strictly positive entries, such that $A$ is equal to the minimal period of the Bratteli diagram of the stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebra. (In case the matrix $A$ has zero entries, it is necessary to take a proper minimal power of the matrix $A$.) By the Perron-Frobenius theory, matrix $A$ has a real eigenvalue $\lambda_{A}>1$, which exceeds the absolute values of other roots of the characteristic polynomial of $A$. Note that $\lambda_{A}$ is an invertible algebraic integer (the unit). Consider the real algebraic number field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\lambda_{A}\right)$ obtained as an extension of the field of the rational numbers by the algebraic number $\lambda_{A}$. Let $\left(v_{A}^{(1)}, \ldots, v_{A}^{(n)}\right)$ be the eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{A}$. One can normalize the eigenvector so that $v_{A}^{(i)} \in K$.

The departure point of Handelman's invariant is the $\mathbb{Z}$-module

$$
\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(1)}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} v_{A}^{(n)} .
$$

The module $\mathfrak{m}$ brings in two new arithmetic objects: (i) the ring $\Lambda$ of the endomorphisms of $\mathfrak{m}$ and (ii) an ideal $I$ in the ring $\Lambda$, such that $I=\mathfrak{m}$ after a scaling [Borevich and Shafarevich 1966, Lemma 1, p. 88]. The ring $\Lambda$ is an order in the algebraic number field $K$ and therefore one can talk about the ideal classes in $\Lambda$. The ideal class of $I$ is denoted by [ $I$ ]. Omitting the embedding question for the field $K$, the triple $(\Lambda,[I], K)$ is an invariant of the stable isomorphism class of the stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebra $\mathbb{A}_{\phi}$ [Handelman 1981. Section 5].

Proof that $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ ae invariants. Numerical invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the stationary AF $C^{*}$-algebras can be derived from the triple $(\Lambda,[I], K)$. These invariants are rational integers - called the determinant and signature - and can be obtained as follows.

Let $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ be the full $\mathbb{Z}$-modules in an algebraic number field $K$. It follows from (i) that if $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$ are distinct as the $\mathbb{Z}$-modules, then the corresponding AF $C^{*}$-algebras cannot be stably isomorphic. We wish to find the numerical invariants, which discern the case $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. It is assumed that a $\mathbb{Z}$-module is given by the set of generators $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$. Therefore, the problem can be formulated as follows: find a number attached to the set of generators $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$, which does not change on the set of generators $\left\{\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ of the same $\mathbb{Z}$-module.

One such invariant is associated with the trace function on the algebraic number field $K$. Recall that $\operatorname{Tr}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ is a linear function on $K$, that is, $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha+\beta)=$ $\operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)+\operatorname{Tr}(\beta)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}(a \alpha)=a \operatorname{Tr}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in K$ and all $a \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a full $\mathbb{Z}$-module in the field $K$. The trace function defines a symmetric bilinear form $q(x, y): \mathfrak{m} \times \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$ by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x, y) \longmapsto \operatorname{Tr}(x y) \quad \text { for all } x, y \in \mathfrak{m} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The form $q(x, y)$ depends on the basis $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ in the module $\mathfrak{m}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
q(x, y)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i j} x_{i} y_{j}, \quad \text { where } a_{i j}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, the general theory of bilinear forms (over the fields $\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or the ring of rational integers $\mathbb{Z}$ ) tells us that certain numerical quantities will not depend on the choice of such a basis.

Namely, one such invariant is as follows. Consider a symmetric matrix $A$ corresponding to the bilinear form $q(x, y)$ :

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{12} & \cdots & a_{1 n}  \tag{10}\\
a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{2 n} \\
\vdots & & & \vdots \\
a_{1 n} & a_{2 n} & \cdots & a_{n n}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It is known that the matrix $A$, written in a new basis, will take the form $A^{\prime}=U^{T} A U$, where $U \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(\mathbb{Z})$. Then $\operatorname{Det}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(U^{T} A U\right)=\operatorname{Det}\left(U^{T}\right) \operatorname{Det}(A) \operatorname{Det}(U)=$ $\operatorname{Det}(A)$. Therefore, the rational integer number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\operatorname{Det}\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

called a determinant of the bilinear form $q(x, y)$, does not depend on the choice of the basis $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right\}$ in the module $\mathfrak{m}$. We conclude that the determinant $\Delta$ discerns ${ }^{1}$ the modules $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$.

Finally, recall that the form $q(x, y)$ can be brought by an integer linear transformation to the diagonal form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1} x_{1}^{2}+a_{2} x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+a_{n} x_{n}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$. We let $a_{i}^{+}$be the positive and $a_{i}^{-}$the negative entries in the diagonal form. In view of the law of inertia for bilinear forms, the integer number $\Sigma=\left(\# a_{i}^{+}\right)-\left(\# a_{i}^{-}\right)$, called a signature, does not depend on a particular choice of the basis in the module $\mathfrak{m}$. Thus, $\Sigma$ discerns the modules $\mathfrak{m} \neq \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. Corollary 3 follows.

[^1]
## 4. Examples, open problems and conjectures

In the present section we shall calculate invariants $\Delta$ and $\Sigma$ for the Anosov automorphisms of the two-dimensional torus. Examples of two nonconjugate Anosov automorphisms with the same Alexander polynomial, but different determinants $\Delta$ are constructed. Recall that isotopy classes of the orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the torus $T^{2}$ are bijective with the $2 \times 2$ matrices with integer entries and determinant +1 , i.e., $\operatorname{Mod}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Under the identification, the nonperiodic automorphisms correspond to the matrices $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $|\operatorname{Tr} A|>2$.

Full modules and orders in the quadratic field. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ be a quadratic extension of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$. Further we suppose that $d$ is a positive square free integer. Let

$$
\omega= \begin{cases}\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2} & \text { if } d \equiv 1 \bmod 4  \tag{13}\\ \sqrt{d} & \text { if } d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

Proposition 12. Let $f$ be a positive integer. Every order in $K$ has form $\Lambda_{f}=$ $\mathbb{Z}+(f \omega) \mathbb{Z}$, where $f$ is the conductor of $\Lambda_{f}$.
Proof. See [Borevich and Shafarevich 1966, pp. 130-132].
Proposition 12 allows to classify the similarity classes of the full modules in the field $K$. Indeed, there exists a finite number of $\mathfrak{m}_{f}^{(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{m}_{f}^{(s)}$ of the nonsimilar full modules in the field $K$, whose coefficient ring is the order $\Lambda_{f}$; cf. [Borevich and Shafarevich 1966, Theorem 3, Chapter 2.7]. Thus, Proposition 12 gives a finite-to-one classification of the similarity classes of full modules in the field $K$.

Numerical invariants of Anosov automorphisms. Let $\Lambda_{f}$ be an order in $K$ with the conductor $f$. Under the addition operation, the order $\Lambda_{f}$ is a full module, which we denote by $\mathfrak{m}_{f}$. Let us evaluate the invariants $q(x, y), \Delta$ and $\Sigma$ on the module $\mathfrak{m}_{f}$. To calculate $\left(a_{i j}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\lambda_{i} \lambda_{j}\right)$, we let $\lambda_{1}=1, \lambda_{2}=f \omega$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{11}=2, \quad a_{12}=a_{21}=f, \quad a_{22}=\frac{1}{2} f^{2}(d+1) \quad \text { if } d \equiv 1 \bmod 4, \\
& a_{11}=2, \quad a_{12}=a_{21}=0, \quad a_{22}=2 f^{2} d \quad \text { if } d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4, \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
q(x, y)=2 x^{2}+2 f x y+\frac{1}{2} f^{2}(d+1) y^{2} & \text { if } d \equiv 1 \bmod 4  \tag{15}\\
q(x, y)=2 x^{2}+2 f^{2} d y^{2} & \text { if } d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4
\end{array}
$$

Therefore

$$
\Delta= \begin{cases}f^{2} d & \text { if } d \equiv 1 \bmod 4  \tag{16}\\ 4 f^{2} d & \text { if } d \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

and $\Sigma=+2$ in both cases, where $\Sigma=\#($ positive $)-\#($ negative $)$ entries in the diagonal normal form of $q(x, y)$.

Examples. Let us consider some numerical examples, which illustrate advantages of our invariants in comparison to the classical Alexander polynomials.

Example 13. Denote by $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ the hyperbolic 3-dimensional manifolds obtained as a torus bundle over the circle with the monodromies

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 2  \tag{17}\\
2 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
5 & 1 \\
4 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively. The Alexander polynomials of $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are identical: $\Delta_{A}(t)=$ $\Delta_{B}(t)=t^{2}-6 t+1$. However, the manifolds $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are not homotopy equivalent. Indeed, the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of matrix $A$ is $v_{A}=(1, \sqrt{2}-1)$ while of the matrix $B$ is $v_{B}=(1,2 \sqrt{2}-2)$. The bilinear forms for the modules $\mathfrak{m}_{A}=\mathbb{Z}+(\sqrt{2}-1) \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{B}=\mathbb{Z}+(2 \sqrt{2}-2) \mathbb{Z}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{A}(x, y)=2 x^{2}-4 x y+6 y^{2}, \quad q_{B}(x, y)=2 x^{2}-8 x y+24 y^{2}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The modules $\mathfrak{m}_{A}, \mathfrak{m}_{B}$ are not similar in the number field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, since their determinants $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{A}\right)=8$ and $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{B}\right)=32$ are not equal. Therefore, matrices $A$ and $B$ are not conjugat $\int^{2}$ in the group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that the class number $h_{K}=1$ for the field $K$.

Example 14 Handelman 2009, p. 12]. Let $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ be 3-dimensional manifolds corresponding to matrices

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
4 & 3  \tag{19}\\
5 & 4
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
4 & 15 \\
1 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

respectively. The Alexander polynomials of $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are identical: $\Delta_{A}(t)=$ $\Delta_{B}(t)=t^{2}-8 t+1$. Yet the manifolds $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ are not homotopy equivalent. Indeed, the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of matrix $A$ is $v_{A}=\left(1, \frac{1}{3} \sqrt{15}\right)$ while of the matrix $B$ is $v_{B}=\left(1, \frac{1}{15} \sqrt{15}\right)$. The corresponding modules are $\mathfrak{m}_{A}=\mathbb{Z}+\left(\frac{1}{3} \sqrt{15}\right) \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{B}=\mathbb{Z}+\left(\frac{1}{15} \sqrt{15}\right) \mathbb{Z}$; note that $d=15 \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ in both cases, but the corresponding conductors are $f_{A}=3$ and $f_{B}=15$. Using formulas (15) one finds

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{A}(x, y)=2 x^{2}+18 y^{2}, \quad q_{B}(x, y)=2 x^{2}+450 y^{2}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]respectively. The modules $\mathfrak{m}_{A}, \mathfrak{m}_{B}$ are not similar in the number field $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{15})$, since formulas (16) imply that their determinants $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{A}\right)=36$ and $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{B}\right)=900$ are not equal. Therefore, matrices $A$ and $B$ are not conjugate in the group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Example 15 Handelman 2009, p. 12]. Let $a, b$ be positive integers satisfying the Pell equation $a^{2}-8 b^{2}=1$; the latter has infinitely many solutions, e.g., $a=3$, $b=1$, etc. Denote by $M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ the 3-dimensional manifolds corresponding to matrices

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 4 b  \tag{21}\\
2 b & a
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 8 b \\
b & a
\end{array}\right)
$$

$M_{A}$ and $M_{B}$ have the same Alexander polynomial, $\Delta_{A}(t)=\Delta_{B}(t)=t^{2}-2 a t+1$, yet they are not homotopy equivalent. Indeed, the Perron-Frobenius eigenvector of matrix $A$ is $v_{A}=\left(1, \frac{1}{4 b} \sqrt{a^{2}-1}\right)$ while of the matrix $B$ is $v_{B}=\left(1, \frac{1}{8 b} \sqrt{a^{2}-1}\right)$. The corresponding modules are $\mathfrak{m}_{A}=\mathbb{Z}+\left(\frac{1}{4 b} \sqrt{a^{2}-1}\right) \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{B}=\mathbb{Z}+\left(\frac{1}{8 b} \sqrt{a^{2}-1}\right) \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that the discriminant $d=a^{2}-1 \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ for all $a \geq 2$. Indeed, $d=(a-1)(a+1)$, so the integer $a$ satisfies $a \not \equiv 1 ; 3 \bmod 4$; hence $a \equiv 2 \bmod 4$, so that $a-1 \equiv 1 \bmod 4$ and $a+1 \equiv 3 \bmod 4$ and, thus, $d=a^{2}-1 \equiv 3 \bmod 4$. Therefore the corresponding conductors are $f_{A}=4 b$ and $f_{B}=8 b$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{A}(x, y)=2 x^{2}+32 b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right) y^{2}, \quad q_{B}(x, y)=2 x^{2}+128 b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right) y^{2} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The modules $\mathfrak{m}_{A}, \mathfrak{m}_{B}$ are not similar in the number field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{a^{2}-1}\right)$, because their determinants $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{A}\right)=64 b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)$ and $\Delta\left(\mathfrak{m}_{B}\right)=256 b^{2}\left(a^{2}-1\right)$ are not equal. Therefore, the matrices $A$ and $B$ are not conjugate in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Open problems and conjectures. This section is devoted to some questions and conjectures in connection with the invariants $(\Lambda,[I], K), q(x, y), \Delta$ and $\Sigma$.

## 1. P-adic invariants of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms

A. Let $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$ be a pseudo-Anosov automorphism of a surface $X$. If $\lambda_{\phi}$ is the dilatation of $\phi$, then one can consider a $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{Z} v^{(1)}+\cdots+\mathbb{Z} v^{(n)}$ in the number field $K=\mathbb{Q}\left(\lambda_{\phi}\right)$ generated by the normalized eigenvector $\left(v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}\right)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{\phi}$. The trace function on the number field $K$ gives rise to a symmetric bilinear form $q(x, y)$ on the module $\mathfrak{m}$. The form is defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}$. It has been shown that a pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi^{\prime}$, conjugate to $\phi$, yields a form $q^{\prime}(x, y)$, equivalent to $q(x, y)$, i.e., $q(x, y)$ can be transformed to $q^{\prime}(x, y)$ by an invertible linear substitution with the coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.
B. Recall that two rational bilinear forms $q(x, y)$ and $q^{\prime}(x, y)$ are equivalent whenever the following conditions are met:
(i) $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$, where $\Delta$ is the determinant of the form.
(ii) For each prime number $p$ (including $p=\infty$ ), certain $p$-adic equations between the coefficients of forms $q, q^{\prime}$ must be satisfied; see, e.g., Borevich and Shafarevich 1966, Chapter 1, Section 7.5]. (In fact, only a finite number of such equations have to be verified.)

Condition (i) has already been used to discern between the conjugacy classes of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. One can use condition (ii) to discern between the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms with $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$. The following question can be posed: find the p-adic invariants of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms.

## 2. Signature of pseudo-Anosov automorphism

The signature is an important and well-known invariant connected to the chirality and knotting number of knots and links Reidemeister 1932]. It will be interesting to find a geometric interpretation of the signature $\Sigma$ for the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms. One can ask the following question: find a geometric meaning of the invariant $\Sigma$.

## 3. Number of conjugacy classes of pseudo-Anosov automorphisms with the same

 dilatationThe dilatation $\lambda_{\phi}$ is an invariant of the conjugacy class of the pseudo-Anosov auto$\operatorname{morphism} \phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. On the other hand, it is known that there exist nonconjugate pseudo-Anosov's with the same dilatation and the number of such classes is finite [Thurston 1988]. It is natural to expect that the invariants of operator algebras can be used to evaluate the number. We conclude with the following conjecture.
Conjecture 16. Let $(\Lambda,[I], K)$ be the triple corresponding to a pseudo-Anosov automorphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Mod}(X)$. Then the number of the conjugacy classes of the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms with the dilatation $\lambda_{\phi}$ is equal to the class number $h_{\Lambda}=|\Lambda /[I]|$ of the integral order $\Lambda$.
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[^0]:    Partially supported by NSERC.
    MSC2010: primary 46L85; secondary 57M27.
    Keywords: mapping class group, $\mathrm{AF} C^{*}$-algebras.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note that if $\Delta=\Delta^{\prime}$ for the modules $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$, one cannot conclude that $\mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. The problem of equivalence of symmetric bilinear forms over $\mathbb{Q}$ (i.e., the existence of a linear substitution over $\mathbb{Q}$ that transforms one form to the other), is a fundamental question of number theory. The Minkowski-Hasse theorem says that two such forms are equivalent if and only if they are equivalent over the field $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ for every prime number $p$ and over the field $\mathbb{R}$. Clearly, the resulting $p$-adic quantities will give new invariants of the stable isomorphism classes of the AF $C^{*}$-algebras. The question is similar to the Minkowski units attached to knots; see, e.g., Reidemeister 1932]. We will not pursue this topic here and refer the reader to the section on open problems, on page 460

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ The reader may verify this fact using the method of periods, which dates back to Gauss. First we have to find the fixed points $A x=x$ and $B x=x$, which gives us $x_{A}=1+\sqrt{2}$ and $x_{B}=(1+\sqrt{2}) / 2$, respectively. Then one unfolds the fixed points into a periodic continued fraction, which gives us $x_{A}=[2,2,2, \ldots]$ and $x_{B}=[1,4,1,4, \ldots]$. Since the period (2) of $x_{A}$ differs from the period $(1,4)$ of $B$, the matrices $A$ and $B$ belong to different conjugacy classes in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

