

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**CONNECTED SUMS OF CLOSED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS
AND FOURTH-ORDER CONFORMAL INVARIANTS**

DAVID RASKE

CONNECTED SUMS OF CLOSED RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS AND FOURTH-ORDER CONFORMAL INVARIANTS

DAVID RASKE

In this note we take some initial steps in the investigation of a fourth-order analogue of the Yamabe problem in conformal geometry. The Paneitz constants and the Paneitz invariants considered are believed to be very helpful to understand the topology of the underlying manifolds. We calculate how those quantities change, analogous to how the Yamabe constants and the Yamabe invariants do, under the connected sum operations.

1. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a connected compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension $n \geq 5$. Let

(1-1)

$$Q[g] = -\frac{n-4}{4(n-1)}\Delta R + \frac{(n-4)(n^3-4n^2+16n-16)}{16(n-1)^2(n-2)^2}R^2 - \frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)^2}|\text{Ric}|^2$$

be the so-called Q -curvature, where R is the scalar curvature, Ric is the Ricci curvature. And let

$$(1-2) \quad P[g] = (-\Delta)^2 - \text{div}_g \left(\left(\frac{(n-2)^2+4}{2(n-1)(n-2)}Rg - \frac{4}{n-2}\text{Ric}_g \right) d \right) + Q[g]$$

be the so-called the Paneitz–Branson operator. It is known that

$$(1-3) \quad P[g]u = Q[g_u]u^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}}$$

which is called the Paneitz–Branson equation, where $g_u = u^{\frac{4}{n-4}}g$ (see [Paneitz 1983; Branson 1987; Xu and Yang 2001; Djadli et al. 2000]). We consider the equation (1-3) as a fourth-order analogue of the well-known scalar curvature equation

$$(1-4) \quad L[g]v = R[g_v]v^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}},$$

where

$$(1-5) \quad L[g] = -\frac{4(n-1)}{n-2}\Delta + R$$

MSC2010: primary 57R65; secondary 57R99.

Keywords: Paneitz–Branson operator, Q -curvature.

is the so-called conformal Laplacian and $g_v = v^{\frac{4}{n-2}}g$. The well-known Yamabe problem in conformal geometry is to find a metric, in a given class of conformal metrics, which is of constant scalar curvature, i.e., to solve

$$L[g]v = Yv^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$$

on a given manifold (M, g) for some positive function v and a constant Y . The affirmative resolution to the Yamabe problem was given in [Schoen 1984] after other notable works [Yamabe 1960; Trudinger 1968; Aubin 1976]. In fact, it was proven that there exists a so-called Yamabe metric g_v in the class $[g]$ which is a minimizer for the so-called Yamabe functional

$$Y(v) = \frac{\int_M (vL[g]v) dv_g}{\left(\int_M v^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} dv_g\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}}.$$

In this paper we investigate a fourth-order analogue of the Yamabe problem. Let $C_+^\infty(M)$ be the space of smooth positive functions on M . Similar to the Yamabe problem, we define the Paneitz functional

$$(1-6) \quad \wp_g(u) = \frac{\int_M (uP[g]u) dv_g}{\left(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv_g\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}}$$

for $u \in C_+^\infty(M)$ and the *Paneitz constant* associated with $(M, [g])$

$$(1-7) \quad \lambda(M, [g]) = \inf_{u \in C_+^\infty(M)} \wp_g(u).$$

It is clear that $\lambda(M, [g])$ is a conformal invariant of the conformal class $[g]$ because of the conformally covariant property of the Paneitz–Branson operator:

$$(1-8) \quad P[g_w]u = w^{-\frac{n+4}{n-4}} P[g](w \cdot u)$$

where $g_w = w^{\frac{4}{n-4}}g \in [g]$. To describe the differential structure of M , we define

$$(1-9) \quad \lambda(M) = \sup_{[g]} \lambda(M, [g]).$$

We will refer to $\lambda(M)$ as the *Paneitz invariant* of the manifold M as the counterpart of Yamabe invariant. In [1986], Gil-Medrano studied the Yamabe constant for a connected sum of two closed manifolds. One interesting consequence of connected sum results in [Gil-Medrano 1986] is that every compact manifold without boundary admits a conformal class of metrics whose Yamabe constant is very negative. In Section 3 we calculate as Gil-Medrano did in [1986] to verify that

Theorem 1.1. *Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be two compact Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$. Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a conformal class $[g]$ of metrics*

on $M_1 \# M_2$ such that

$$(1-10) \quad \lambda(M_1 \# M_2, [g]) < \min\{\lambda(M_1, [g_1]), \lambda(M_2, [g_2])\} + \epsilon$$

and there exists a conformal class $[h]$ of metrics on $M_1 \# M_2$ such that

$$(1-11) \quad \lambda(M_1 \# M_2, [h]) < 2^{-\frac{n-4}{n}} (\lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \lambda(M_2, [g_2])) + \epsilon.$$

Due to the works of Schoen and Yau [1979] (see also [Gromov and Lawson 1980]), one knows that there is some topological constraint for a manifold to possess a metric of positive Yamabe constant. Therefore it is interesting to see how the Yamabe invariant is effected by connected sum. It was proven in [Kobayashi 1987], [Schoen and Yau 1979], and [Gromov and Lawson 1980] that the Yamabe invariant of connected sum of two manifolds with positive Yamabe invariants is still positive. More precisely, Kobayashi in [1987] showed that the Yamabe invariant of connected sum of two manifolds is greater than or equal to the smaller of the Yamabe invariants of the two. In Section 4 we obtain an analogue for the Paneitz invariant.

Theorem 1.2. *If M_1 and M_2 are compact manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$, then*

$$(1-12) \quad \lambda(M_1 \# M_2) \geq \min\{\lambda(M_1), \lambda(M_2)\}.$$

The positivity of Paneitz invariant in dimension higher than 4 should be a topological constraint, as indicated by successful researches in [Chang and Yang 2002] (references therein) for a fourth-order analogue of how Gaussian curvature influences the geometry of surfaces in dimension 2. Another testing ground is to consider closed locally conformally flat manifolds. Then the recent works in [Chang et al. 2004] and [González 2005] indicate to us that the positivity of fourth-order curvature is indeed very informative about the topology of the underlying manifolds. We would also like to mention the work by Xu and Yang in [2001] where they demonstrated that positivity of the Paneitz–Branson operator is stable under the process of taking connected sums of two closed Riemannian manifolds.

In Section 2 we discuss some preliminary facts about the Paneitz functional. In Section 3 we calculate and verify Theorem 1.1. In Section 4 we prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Preliminaries

Recall that the Yamabe constant of any closed manifold of dimension greater than 2 is a finite number and the largest possible Yamabe constant is realized and only realized by the Yamabe constant of the standard round sphere in each dimension. The difficult part is to show that the round sphere is the only one that has the largest Yamabe constant, which was the last step in the resolution of Yamabe problem solved by Schoen in [1984] based on a positive mass theorem of Schoen and Yau.

We observe that, by (1-3),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-1) \quad \int_M (uP[g]u) dv_g &= \int_M uQ[g_u]u^{\frac{n+4}{n-4}} dv_g \\
 &= \int_M Q[g_u]u^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv_g = \int_M Q[g_u]dv_{g_u},
 \end{aligned}$$

where $g_u = u^{\frac{4}{n-4}}g \in [g]$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_M (uP[g]u) dv_g \\
 &= \int_M \left(\left(\frac{(n-4)(n^3 - 4n^2 + 16n - 16)}{16(n-1)^2(n-2)^2} R^2 - \frac{2(n-4)}{(n-2)^2} |\text{Ric}|^2 \right) dv \right) [g_u] \\
 &\leq c_n \int_M ((R^2) dv) [g_u],
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_n = \frac{(n-4)(n^3 - 4n^2 + 16n - 16)}{16(n-1)^2(n-2)^2} - \frac{2(n-4)}{n(n-2)^2}.$$

When we consider a Yamabe metric g_u , we have

$$(2-2) \quad \frac{\int_M (Rdv)[g_u]}{\text{vol}(M, g_u)^{\frac{n-2}{n}}} = Y \text{vol}(M, g_u)^{\frac{2}{n}} \leq n(n-1) \text{vol}(S^n, g_0)^{\frac{2}{n}},$$

and since Y and c_n are nonnegative by hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2-3) \quad \frac{\int_M (uP[g]u) dv_g}{\text{vol}(M, g_u)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}} &\leq c_n Y^2 \text{vol}(M, g_u)^{\frac{4}{n}} \leq c_n (n(n-1))^2 \text{vol}(S^n, g_0)^{\frac{4}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{\int_{S^n} (Qdv)[g_0]}{\text{vol}(S^n, g_0)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}} = \lambda(S^n, [g_0]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we obtain:

Lemma 2.1. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than 5 with nonnegative Yamabe constant. Then*

$$(2-4) \quad \lambda(M^n, [g]) \leq \lambda(S^n, [g_0])$$

and the equality holds if and only if (M, g) is conformally equivalent to the standard round sphere (S^n, g_0) .

On the other hand, by some choices of testing functions similar to the ones used to estimate the Yamabe functional, we get:

Lemma 2.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension greater than 4. Then*

$$(2-5) \quad -\infty < \lambda(M^n, [g]) \leq \lambda(S^n, [g_0]),$$

where g_0 is the standard round metric on the sphere S^n .

Proof. The Paneitz constant is easily seen to be bounded from below, because, by (1-2),

$$(2-6) \quad \int_M (uP[g]u) dv = \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dv + a_n \int_M R|\nabla u|^2 dv - \frac{4}{n-4} \int_M \text{Ric}(\nabla u, \nabla u) dv + \int_M Qu^2 dv,$$

where

$$a_n = \frac{(n-2)^2 + 4}{2(n-1)(n-2)}.$$

It suffices to estimate (2-3) for nonnegative functions such that

$$\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv = 1.$$

Hence, by Hölder's inequality,

$$(2-7) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M (uP[g]u) dv &\geq \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dv - C_1 \int_M |\nabla u|^2 dv - C_2 \int_M u^2 dv \\ &\geq \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dv - C_1 \int_M (-\Delta u)u dv - C_2 \int_M u^2 dv \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\Delta u|^2 dv - \frac{1}{2} C_1^2 \int_M u^2 dv - C_2 \int_M u^2 dv \\ &\geq -\left(\frac{1}{2} C_1^2 + C_2\right) \left(\int_M u^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}} \text{vol}(M, g)^{\frac{4}{n}} \\ &\geq -\left(\frac{1}{2} C_1^2 + C_2\right) \text{vol}(M, g)^{\frac{4}{n}}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ depending on (M^n, g) .

To estimate the upper bound we choose to work in geodesic normal coordinates in a very small geodesic ball $B_{2\epsilon} \subset M$ and transplant the rescaled round sphere metric. Let $B_{2\epsilon}(0) \subset R^n$ and

$$(2-8) \quad g_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + O(|x|^2) \quad \text{for all } x \in B_{2\epsilon}(0).$$

Define a smooth nonnegative function u_ϵ on M by

$$(2-9) \quad u_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{2\epsilon^3}{\epsilon^6 + |x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} & \text{for } x \in B_\epsilon(0), \\ 0 & \text{for } x \notin B_{2\epsilon}(0) \end{cases}.$$

It is easily calculated that

$$(2-10) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M (u_\epsilon P[g]u_\epsilon) dv &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} |\Delta u_\epsilon|^2 dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{R^n} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{2\epsilon^3}{\epsilon^6 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \right|^2 dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{R^n} \left| \Delta \left(\frac{2}{1 + |x|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}} \right|^2 dx + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2-11) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_M u_\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv &= \int_{B_\epsilon(0)} u_\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{R^n} \left(\frac{2\epsilon^3}{\epsilon^6 + |x|^2} \right)^n dx + o(1) \\ &= \int_{R^n} \left(\frac{2}{1 + |x|^2} \right)^n dx + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(2-12) \quad \wp(u_\epsilon) = \frac{\int_M (u_\epsilon P[g]u_\epsilon) dv}{\left(\int_M u_\epsilon^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}} = \frac{\int_{R^n} |\Delta s|^2 dx}{\left(\int_{R^n} s^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dx\right)^{\frac{n-4}{n}}} + o(1),$$

where $s = \left(\frac{2}{1+|x|^2}\right)^{\frac{n-4}{2}}$. Thus, taking $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we arrive at

$$(2-13) \quad \lambda(M, [g]) \leq \lambda(S^n, [g_0]). \quad \square$$

One interesting question would be whether (M, g) is conformally equivalent to (S^n, g_0) when $\lambda(M, [g]) = \lambda(S^n, [g_0])$ without assuming the Yamabe constant of (M, g) is nonnegative. In other words one would be interested in searching for some analogue of a positive mass theorem of Schoen and Yau here if it make any sense.

3. Connected sums and the Paneitz constant

In this section we will calculate the Paneitz functional on a connected sum of two closed manifolds and verify [Theorem 1.1](#). Let (M, g) be a closed manifold of

dimension higher than 4. Fix a point $p \in M$ and let

$$(3-1) \quad f_\delta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x \in B_\delta(p), \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in M \setminus B_{2\delta}(p), \end{cases}$$

be a family of smooth functions. We may ask that

$$(3-2) \quad 0 \leq f_\delta \leq 1, \quad |\nabla f_\delta| < \frac{C_0}{\delta}, \quad |\Delta f_\delta| < \frac{C_0}{\delta^2}$$

for some number $C_0 > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let (M, g) be a closed manifold of dimension greater than 4. Let $u \in C_+^\infty(M)$ be given. Then $u_\delta = f_\delta u \in C_+^\infty(M)$ and*

$$(3-3) \quad \wp_g(u_\delta) = \wp_g(u) + o(1)$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. We simply calculate, for a fixed $\delta > 0$, by (2-6) and (3-2),

$$(3-4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_M (u_\delta P[g]u_\delta) dv \\ &= \int_M |\Delta u_\delta|^2 dv + a_n \int_M R |\nabla u_\delta|^2 dv - \frac{4}{n-4} \int_M \text{Ric}(\nabla u_\delta, \nabla u_\delta) dv + \int_M Q u_\delta^2 dv \\ &= \int_M (u P[g]u) dv + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3-5) \quad \int_M u \frac{2n}{\delta} dv = \int_M u \frac{2n}{\delta} dv + o(1),$$

as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. □

Now let us consider the connected sum of two closed Riemannian manifolds. Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be two compact Riemannian manifolds without boundary of dimension $n \geq 5$. For $x_1 \in M_1$ and $x_2 \in M_2$, let $B_{\delta_1}(x_1) \subset M_1$ and $B_{\delta_2}(x_2) \subset M_2$ be geodesic balls respectively. To make the connected sum one simply takes off the open balls $B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_1}(x_1)$ and $B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_2}(x_2)$ from M_1 and M_2 , identify $\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_1}(x_1)$ with $\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_2}(x_2)$. Hence

$$(3-6) \quad M_1 \# M_2 = [(M_1 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_1}(x_1)) \cup (M_2 \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_2}(x_2))] / \{\partial B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_1}(x_1) \sim \partial B_{\frac{1}{2}\delta_2}(x_2)\}.$$

We may construct a metric g on the connected sum $M_1 \# M_2$ such that g agrees with g_1 on $M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)$ and g_2 on $M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)$. Notice that topologically $M_1 \# M_2$ does not depend on the value of δ_i when they are sufficiently small. Now let us calculate and estimate the Paneitz functional on the connected sum.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (M_1, g_1) and (M_2, g_2) be two closed Riemannian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$. Then for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a conformal structure $[g]$ on $M_1 \# M_2$ such that*

$$(3-7) \quad \lambda(M_1 \# M_2, [g]) < \min\{\lambda(M_1, [g_1]), \lambda(M_2, [g_2])\} + \epsilon.$$

Alternatively, we may find a conformal structure $[g]$ on $M_1 \# M_2$ such that

$$(3-8) \quad \lambda(M, [g]) < \lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \lambda(M_2, [g_2])2^{-\frac{n-4}{n}} + \epsilon.$$

Proof. Let us assume that $\lambda(M_1, [g_1]) \leq \lambda(M_2, [g_2])$ and $\epsilon > 0$ fixed. By the definition of the Paneitz constant, we know that there is a real number $\delta > 0$ and a smooth function $u_\delta \in C_+^\infty(M)$ such that u_δ vanishes on a geodesic ball $B_\delta(x_1)$ of radius δ and centered at $x_1 \in M_1$ and such that

$$\wp_g(u_\delta) < \lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \epsilon.$$

Let g be a metric on $M = M_1 \# M_2$ which agrees with g_1 , when restricted to $M_1 \setminus B_\delta(x_1)$. And define the function \tilde{u}_δ on $M_1 \# M_2$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_\delta = u_\delta & \text{on } M_1 \setminus B_\delta(x_1), \\ \tilde{u}_\delta = 0 & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We then have

$$\wp_g(\tilde{u}_\delta) = \frac{\int_M (\Delta \tilde{u}_\delta^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}_\delta|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}_\delta, \nabla \tilde{u}_\delta) + Q \tilde{u}_\delta^2) dv}{\left(\int_M \tilde{u}_\delta^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}}.$$

Recalling that u_δ vanishes on $B_\delta(x_1)$ we see that

$$\wp_g(\tilde{u}_\delta) = \wp_{g_1}(u_\delta) < \lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \epsilon.$$

Consequently,

$$\lambda(M, [g]) < \lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \epsilon = \min(\lambda(M_1, [g_1]), \lambda(M_2, [g_2])) + \epsilon.$$

We now proceed to prove (3-8). First, Lemma 3.1 can be used to say that for any fixed $\epsilon > 0, x_1 \in M_1, x_2 \in M_2$, we can find two positive reals δ_1, δ_2 and smooth functions $u_{\delta_1}, u_{\delta_2}$, where $u_{\delta_i} \in C^\infty(M_i)$, with the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\delta_1} &= 0 & \text{on } B_{\delta_1}(x_1), & \quad \wp_{g_1}(u_{\delta_1}) < \lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \epsilon_1, \\ u_{\delta_2} &= 0 & \text{on } B_{\delta_2}(x_2), & \quad \wp_{g_2}(u_{\delta_2}) < \lambda(M_2, [g_2]) + \epsilon_1, \end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon_1 = 2^{-\frac{n+4}{n}}\epsilon$. Also, notice that we can assume without loss of generality that the $L^{\frac{2n}{n-4}}(M)$ norms of u_{δ_1} and u_{δ_2} are normalized. Using the same reasoning as in the proof of (3-7), a metric g on $M_1 \# M_2$ can be constructed such that g

agrees with g_i when restricted to $M_i \setminus B_{\delta_i}(x_i)$. Let us consider now the function \tilde{u} on $M = M_1 \# M_2$ given by

$$(3-9) \quad \tilde{u} = \begin{cases} u_{\delta_1} & \text{on } M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1), \\ u_{\delta_2} & \text{on } M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2), \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_g(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} ((\Delta \tilde{u})^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 - \frac{4}{n-4} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + Q \tilde{u}^2) dv}{\left(\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv + \int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} ((\Delta \tilde{u})^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}, \nabla \tilde{u}) + Q \tilde{u}^2) dv}{\left(\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv + \int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} \tilde{u}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3-9) we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_g(\tilde{u}) &= \frac{\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} ((\Delta \tilde{u}_{\delta_1})^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}, \nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}) + Q \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}^2) dv}{\left(\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv + \int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}} \\ &+ \frac{\int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} ((\Delta \tilde{u}_{\delta_2})^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}, \nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}) + Q \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}^2) dv}{\left(\int_{M_1 \setminus B_{\delta_1}(x_1)} \tilde{u}_{\delta_1}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv + \int_{M_2 \setminus B_{\delta_2}(x_2)} \tilde{u}_{\delta_2}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, recalling the above stated properties of u_{δ_1} and u_{δ_2} , we may also assume

$$\int_{M_i \setminus B_{\delta_i}(x_i)} u_{\delta_i}^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv = 1,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \wp_{g_i}(u_{\delta_i}) &= \int_{M_i \setminus B_{\delta_i}(x_i)} \left(\Delta \tilde{u}_{\delta_i}^2 + a_n R |\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_i}|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_i}, \nabla \tilde{u}_{\delta_i}) + Q \tilde{u}_{\delta_i}^2 \right) dv \\ &< \lambda(M_i, [g_i]) + \epsilon_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(M, [g]) &\leq \wp_g(\tilde{u}) < (\lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \lambda(M_2, [g_2]) + 2\epsilon_1) 2^{-\frac{n-4}{n}} \\ &= (\lambda(M_1, [g_1]) + \lambda(M_2, [g_2])) 2^{-\frac{n-4}{n}} + \epsilon. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Connected sums and the Paneitz invariants

Kobayashi in [1987] showed that the Yamabe invariant of connected sum of two manifolds is greater than or equal to the smaller of the Yamabe invariants of the

two. The aim of this section is to generalize this result of Kobayashi to the case of compact manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$, and with the Yamabe invariant $Y(M)$ replaced by its fourth-order analogue the Paneitz invariant $\lambda(M)$. Namely, we have

Theorem 4.1. *Let M_1 and M_2 be closed manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$. If $\lambda(M_1) > 0$ and $\lambda(M_2) > 0$ then*

$$(4-1) \quad \lambda(M_1 \# M_2) \geq \min\{\lambda(M_1), \lambda(M_2)\}.$$

We will basically follow the approach taken in [Kobayashi 1987]. First we consider the Paneitz invariant on the disjoint union of compact manifolds. Take two n -manifolds with conformal structures, say $(M_1, [g_1])$ and $(M_2, [g_2])$. We write $(M, [g]) = (M_1, [g_1]) \sqcup (M_2, [g_2])$ if M is the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 , and $g_i = \{g|_{M_i}; g \in [g_i]\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let u be a smooth nonnegative function on M . Since M is the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 it follows that we can write $u = u_1 + u_2$, where $u_i = 0$ on M_j , where $i \neq j$ and where u_i is a nonnegative smooth function on M_i . If we assume that $\lambda(M_i, [g_i]) \geq 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, then it can easily be seen that

$$\lambda(M, [g]) = \min\{\lambda(M_1, [g_1]), \lambda(M_2, [g_2])\}.$$

Due to Lemma 2.2, we can assume that $\lambda(M_1)$ and $\lambda(M_2)$ are finite; and we can use the above equation to conclude that

$$\lambda(M) = \min\{\lambda(M_1), \lambda(M_2)\}.$$

Let M be a compact manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$, and p_1 and p_2 two points of M . We take off two small balls around p_1 and p_2 , and then attach a handle instead, the handle being topologically the product of a line segment and S^{n-1} . The new manifold obtained in this way will be denoted by \bar{M} . Let M_1 and M_2 be Riemannian manifolds and let $M_1 \sqcup M_2$ denote the disjoint union of M_1 and M_2 . If $M = M_1 \sqcup M_2$ and p_1 and p_2 are taken from M_1 and M_2 respectively, then $\bar{M} = M_1 \# M_2$. Therefore we see that in order to prove Theorem 4.1 it suffices to show

$$\lambda(\bar{M}) \geq \lambda(M).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let ϵ be an arbitrary positive number, which will be fixed throughout. First, we take a metric g on M such that

$$(4-2) \quad \lambda(M, [g]) > \lambda(M) - \epsilon.$$

Due to continuity considerations we may assume that $[g]$ is conformally flat around the points p_1 and p_2 . Then there is a function $\gamma \in C^\infty(M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\})$ and $g \in [g]$ such that $\tilde{g} = e^\gamma g$ is a complete metric of $M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}$ and that each of the two

ends is isometric to the half-infinite cylinder $[0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}(1)$. For convenience, we write

$$(M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}, \tilde{g}) = [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}(1) \cup (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \cup [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}(1),$$

where \tilde{M} is the complement of the two cylinders. We can glue (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) and $[0, l] \times S^{n-1}(1)$, along their boundaries to get a smooth Riemannian manifold (\bar{M}, g_l) , where \bar{M} is as mentioned in the beginning of the section:

$$(4-3) \quad (\bar{M}, \bar{g}_l) = (\tilde{M}, \tilde{g}) \cup [0, l] \times S^{n-1}(1).$$

We then have

$$\lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) = \inf_{f > 0} \frac{\int_{\bar{M}} ((\Delta f)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f, \nabla f) + Q f^2) dv}{\left(\int_{\bar{M}} f^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv\right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}},$$

So, take a positive function $f_l \in C^\infty(\bar{M})$ such that

$$(4-4) \quad \int_{\bar{M}} \left((\Delta f_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) + Q f_l^2 \right) dv < \lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) + \frac{1}{l+1}$$

and

$$(4-5) \quad \int_{\bar{M}} f_l^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv = 1.$$

Lemma 4.2. *There is a section, say $\{t_l\} \times S^{n-1}$, in the cylindrical part of \bar{M} such that*

$$\int_{\{t_l\} \times S^{n-1}} \left((\Delta f_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) + Q f_l^2 \right) dv < \frac{B}{l},$$

where B is a constant independent of l .

Proof. Using (4-4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{S^{n-1} \times [0, l]} \left((\Delta f_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) + Q f_l^2 \right) dv \\ & < \lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) + \frac{1}{1+l} \\ & \quad - \int_{\tilde{M}} \left((\Delta f_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) + Q f_l^2 \right) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $\int_{\bar{M}} |\nabla f_l|^2 dv$ goes to infinity as $l \rightarrow \infty$. It would follow that $\int_{\bar{M}} (\Delta f_l)^2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$ and that this divergence is much faster than the divergence of $\int_{\bar{M}} |\nabla f_l|^2 dv$. But this implies that $\int_{\bar{M}} f_l P_l f_l dv > \lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) + \frac{1}{l+1}$ for large l , which forces a contradiction (here P_l is the Paneitz–Branson operator

of the metric g_l .) It follows that there exists a constant D independent of l such that

$$\int_{\bar{M}} a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) dv \leq D.$$

Note as well that there exists a constant E such that $-\int_{\bar{M}} Q f_l^2 dv \leq E$. Putting this together we conclude that there exists a $t_1 \in [0, l]$ such that

$$l \int_{t_1 \times S^{n-1}} \left((\Delta f_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla f_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla f_l, \nabla f_l) + Q f_l^2 \right) dv < \lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) + \frac{1}{1+l} + D + E.$$

The lemma follows. □

Now we cut off \bar{M} on the section $\{t_1 \times S^{n-1}\}$, and attach two half-infinite cylinders to it, so $(M, \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}, \bar{g})$ reappears. But this time we describe it as follows:

$$(M, \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}, \bar{g}) = [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}(1) \cup (\bar{M} - \{t_1\} \times S^{n-1}, g_l) \cup [0, \infty) \times S^{n-1}(1).$$

We think of the function f_l as defined on $\bar{M} - \{t_l\} \times S^{n-1}$, and extend it to the whole space $M - \{p_1, p_2\}$ as follows: Let F_l be $W^{2,\infty}$ function of $\bar{M} - \{p_1, p_2\}$ such that

$$F_l = f_l \quad \text{on } \bar{M} - \{t_l\} \times S^{n-1}$$

and

$$F_l(t, x) = \begin{cases} g(t) \tilde{f}_l(x) & \text{for } (t, x) \in [0, 1] \times S^{n-1}, \\ 0 & \text{for } (t, x) \in [1, \infty) \times S^{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

where $\tilde{f}_l = f_l|_{\{t_l\} \times S^{n-1}} \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and where g is a smooth function on $[0, 1]$ that goes from a value of 1 to a value of 0, and whose derivative vanishes at 1. Now it easy to see from (4-4) and the above lemma that

$$\int_{M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}} \left((\Delta F_l)^2 + a_n R |\nabla F_l|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla F_l, \nabla F_l) + Q F_l^2 \right) dv < \lambda(\bar{M}, [g_l]) + \frac{B}{l},$$

where B is a constant independent of l . Obviously from (4-5) we get

$$\int_{\bar{M} \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}} F_l^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv > 1.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\inf \frac{\int_{M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}} \left((\Delta F)^2 + a_n R |\nabla F|^2 - \frac{4}{n-2} \text{Ric}(\nabla F, \nabla F) + Q F^2 \right) dv}{\left(\int_{M \setminus \{p_1, p_2\}} F^{\frac{2n}{n-4}} dv \right)^{\frac{n}{n-4}}} \leq \lambda(\bar{M}),$$

where the infimum is taken over all nonnegative $W^{2,\infty}$ functions F with compact support. It follows from the choice of the metric \tilde{g} that the left side of the preceding equation is equal to $\lambda(M, [g])$. Since ϵ can be chosen arbitrarily in (4-2), we conclude $\lambda(M) \leq \lambda(\bar{M})$, which completes the proof. \square

References

- [Aubin 1976] T. Aubin, “The scalar curvature”, pp. 5–18 in *Differential geometry and relativity*, edited by M. Cahen and M. Flato, Mathematical Phys. and Appl. Math. **3**, Reidel, Dordrecht, 1976. [MR 55 #6476](#) [Zbl 0345.53029](#)
- [Branson 1987] T. P. Branson, “Group representations arising from Lorentz conformal geometry”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **74**:2 (1987), 199–291. [MR 90b:22016](#) [Zbl 0643.58036](#)
- [Chang and Yang 2002] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. C. Yang, “Non-linear partial differential equations in conformal geometry”, pp. 189–207 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, I* (Beijing, 2002), edited by T. Li, Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002. [MR 2004d:53031](#)
- [Chang et al. 2004] S.-Y. A. Chang, F. Hang, and P. C. Yang, “On a class of locally conformally flat manifolds”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2004**:4 (2004), 185–209. [MR 2005d:53051](#) [Zbl 1137.53327](#)
- [Djadli et al. 2000] Z. Djadli, E. Hebey, and M. Ledoux, “Paneitz-type operators and applications”, *Duke Math. J.* **104**:1 (2000), 129–169. [MR 2002f:58061](#) [Zbl 0998.58009](#)
- [Gil-Medrano 1986] O. Gil-Medrano, “Connected sums and the infimum of the Yamabe functional”, pp. 160–167 in *Differential geometry* (Peñíscola, 1985), edited by A. M. Naveira et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **1209**, Springer, Berlin, 1986. [MR 88a:58206](#) [Zbl 0604.58023](#)
- [González 2005] M. d. M. González, “Singular sets of a class of locally conformally flat manifolds”, *Duke Math. J.* **129**:3 (2005), 551–572. [MR 2006d:53034](#) [Zbl 1088.53023](#)
- [Gromov and Lawson 1980] M. Gromov and H. B. Lawson, Jr., “The classification of simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **111**:3 (1980), 423–434. [MR 81h:53036](#) [Zbl 0463.53025](#)
- [Kobayashi 1987] O. Kobayashi, “Scalar curvature of a metric with unit volume”, *Math. Ann.* **279**:2 (1987), 253–265. [MR 89a:53048](#) [Zbl 0611.53037](#)
- [Paneitz 1983] S. M. Paneitz, “A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (summary)”, preprint, 1983. [arXiv 0803.4331](#)
- [Schoen 1984] R. Schoen, “Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature”, *J. Differential Geom.* **20**:2 (1984), 479–495. [MR 86i:58137](#) [Zbl 0576.53028](#)
- [Schoen and Yau 1979] R. Schoen and S. T. Yau, “On the structure of manifolds with positive scalar curvature”, *Manuscripta Math.* **28**:1-3 (1979), 159–183. [MR 80k:53064](#) [Zbl 0423.53032](#)
- [Trudinger 1968] N. S. Trudinger, “Remarks concerning the conformal deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds”, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3)* **22** (1968), 265–274. [MR 39 #2093](#) [Zbl 0159.23801](#)
- [Xu and Yang 2001] X. Xu and P. C. Yang, “Positivity of Paneitz operators”, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems* **7**:2 (2001), 329–342. [MR 2002d:58043](#) [Zbl 1032.58018](#)
- [Yamabe 1960] H. Yamabe, “On a deformation of Riemannian structures on compact manifolds”, *Osaka Math. J.* **12** (1960), 21–37. [MR 23 #A2847](#) [Zbl 0096.37201](#)

DAVID RASKE
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN
ANN ARBOR, MI 48104
UNITED STATES
david.t.raske@gmail.com

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

msp.org/pjm

Founded in 1951 by E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Paul Balmer
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
balmer@math.ucla.edu

Don Blasius
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
blasius@math.ucla.edu

Vijayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Daryl Cooper
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
cooper@math.ucsb.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Paul Yang
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University
Princeton NJ 08544-1000
yang@math.princeton.edu

PRODUCTION

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor, production@msp.org

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.


See inside back cover or msp.org/pjm for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2013 is US \$400/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by [Mathematical Reviews](#), [Zentralblatt MATH](#), [PASCAL CNRS Index](#), [Referativnyi Zhurnal](#), [Current Mathematical Publications](#) and the [Science Citation Index](#).

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 798 Evans Hall #3840, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW[®] from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY

 **mathematical sciences publishers**
nonprofit scientific publishing

<http://msp.org/>

© 2013 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 261 No. 2 February 2013

Geography of simply connected nonspin symplectic 4-manifolds with positive signature	257
ANAR AKHMEDOV, MARK C. HUGHES and B. DOUG PARK	
Schur–Horn theorems in II_∞ -factors	283
MARTÍN ARGERAMI and PEDRO MASSEY	
Classification of positive solutions for an elliptic system with a higher-order fractional Laplacian	311
JINGBO DOU and CHANGZHENG QU	
Bound states of asymptotically linear Schrödinger equations with compactly supported potentials	335
MINGWEN FEI and HUICHENG YIN	
Type I almost homogeneous manifolds of cohomogeneity one, III	369
DANIEL GUAN	
The subrepresentation theorem for automorphic representations	389
MARCELA HANZER	
Variational characterizations of the total scalar curvature and eigenvalues of the Laplacian	395
SEUNGSU HWANG, JEONGWOOK CHANG and GABJIN YUN	
Fill-ins of nonnegative scalar curvature, static metrics, and quasi-local mass	417
JEFFREY L. JAUREGUI	
Operator algebras and conjugacy problem for the pseudo-Anosov automorphisms of a surface	445
IGOR NIKOLAEV	
Connected sums of closed Riemannian manifolds and fourth-order conformal invariants	463
DAVID RASKE	
Ruled minimal surfaces in the three-dimensional Heisenberg group	477
HEYONG SHIN, YOUNG WOOK KIM, SUNG-EUN KOH, HYUNG YONG LEE and SEONG-DEOG YANG	
G -bundles over elliptic curves for non-simply laced Lie groups and configurations of lines in rational surfaces	497
MANG XU and JIAJIN ZHANG	